Ms. WARREN. Mr. President, I ask for consent to speak for 5 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO CAMERON RICKER

Ms. WARREN. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize a true public servant, Cameron Ricker, the chief clerk of Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee, who is leaving the Senate after 8 years. And I am glad to recognize today Cameron's loving family, who is here in the Gallery: his wife Zaida, his daughter Zona, and his parents Don and Peggy, who flew all the way from Idaho to be here with us, as well as current and former banking staff.

It is not an exaggeration to say that Cameron is the backbone of the Senate Banking Committee. As chief clerk, Cameron is the person who makes sure that hearings go off without a hitch; that we are able to review paperwork from White House nominees and to fulfill our constitutional duty to advise and consent on Presidential appointments; that we are able to mark up important legislation. In short, Cameron is the reason that the Banking Committee can do the work of the Banking Committee.

I would like to ask for permission to bring Cameron to the floor while I finish my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Ms. WARREN. Thank you.

Can you join us, Cameron?

Cameron demonstrates public service at its very best. The role of the chief clerk on the Banking Committee is nonpartisan. A chief clerk serves both the majority and minority fairly and equally. To this day, I don't know if anyone could tell you what party Cameron belongs to and how he votes—and that is no small feat in today's Washington. All we know is that he approaches his work with the utmost professionalism, competence, and kindness every single day.

Need to know the answer to some arcane rule in the committee handbook? Cameron's your guy. Need to know how many people are in the hearing room at any given moment so your boss doesn't miss their question time? Ask Cameron. Need some help brokering peace with the chairman's team across the hallway? Go to Cameron again.

I am personally very grateful for Cameron's support in the early months of my tenure as ranking member of this committee. He spent hours meeting with my staff to make sure that they were prepared for new decisions and responsibilities, impressing every single one of them with his preparation, his thoughtfulness, and his commitment to public service.

Generations of Senators and staff who have served on the Banking Committee have been inspired by Cameron's work ethic, hypercompetence, and religious devotion to his favorite pen.

It is a Zebra F–701, for those of you who are wondering.

Cameron is humble and hard-working, but don't let his humility fool you. He is also a trailblazer. At the start of the COVID–19 pandemic, no one knew how the Senate was going to conduct its committee business. How the heck was an institution that was still firmly stuck in the 18th century supposed to hold hearings or mark up legislation when it was dangerous for people even to be in a room together? Cameron was the first to figure it out. And thanks to his persistence, the Banking Committee was the first in the Senate to hold a virtual hearing during the pandemic, laying out the roadmap for every other committee to follow.

My respect for Cameron is broadly shared. I want to read quotes that two recent leaders of the Banking Committee sent to me—one Democrat, one Republican—so that we can hear in their own words how much Cameron has meant to the Banking Committee.

Former chairman Sherrod Brown said:

> Cameron Ricker served 8 years on the Banking and Housing Committee, 6 of those years as chief clerk when I was Chairman and Ranking Member. The committee couldn't have asked for a more fair, hard-working, and forward thinking colleague during a time full of transitions and challenges. We were lucky to serve with him and wish Cameron and his family all the best in the next chapter.

Then there is former ranking member Pat Toomey, who said:

> Cameron Ricker's departure from the Banking Committee is a huge loss for the Senate. For over 8 years, he's gracefully kept the trains running on time at the committee, which is no easy feat. And he's always done it with a smile. As Ranking Member, I observed firsthand Cameron's can-do spirit, [his] tireless work ethic, and [his] attention to detail. He was invaluable to me, my staff, and the entire committee. I thank him for his dedicated service in the Senate and wish him and his family all the best as he starts the next chapter of his professional career.

I want to close with a story about Cameron that is close to my heart.

Marking up legislation is one of the most important things any Senate committee does. What people may not know is how much work it is behind the scenes and especially how much work it is for Cameron and his team on Banking.

By tradition, the Banking Committee requires that any member wishing to file an amendment to legislation being considered in a markup print out 50 copies of that amendment, sign each one, and drop the stack off to Cameron's office by 4 p.m. and not a second later lest Cameron nicely slam the door in your face.

In a markup last Congress, before I was the ranking member, my office had an army of outstanding interns racing stacks of amendments over to Cameron as fast as the printer would shoot out the 50 pages so that we could get them in before 4 p.m., the deadline.

Drop off a stack of amendments to Cameron; run back to my office; pick up the next batch; run back to Cameron—over and over and over again.

It was the middle of the summer, and let's just be blunt: There was a lot of sweat. Cameron offered them kindness and encouragement the entire way, and they were deeply touched.

When our interns ferried over the last batch just in the nick of time, they sheepishly asked Cameron for a photo. Cameron obliged, and that picture of sweaty people who were committed to getting their jobs done on behalf of the American people has been hanging in our office ever since.

Work on the Banking Committee can be difficult, maybe even more than on other committees. Democrats' and Republicans' views on the issues under the committee's jurisdiction are often diametrically opposed. Things get heated, and sometimes they get personal. But Cameron is a demonstration of decency and exemplary nonpartisan public service. He has made the Banking Committee better, and I am grateful for his service to the committee and to our country.

Cameron, we will miss you. Best wishes to you and your family on your next adventure.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the previous order with respect to the scheduled recess be modified so that the Senate reconvene at 2 p.m.; further, that notwithstanding rule XXII, all postcloture time on the Glass nomination expire at 2 p.m. today and the Senate vote on confirmation of the Glass nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate stands in recess until 2 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 1:18 p.m., recessed until 2 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mrs. BRITT).

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR—Continued

VOTE ON GLASS NOMINATION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Glass nomination?

Mr. PAUL. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant bill clerk called the roll.

Mr. BARRASSO. The following Senator is necessarily absent: the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. MCCORMICK).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Illinois (Mrs. DUCKWORTH) is necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 66, nays 32, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 195 Ex.]

YEAS—66

Banks	Grassley	Moreno
Barrasso	Hagerty	Mullin
Bennet	Hassan	Murkowski
Blackburn	Hawley	Paul
Boozman	Hickenlooper	Reed
Britt	Hoeven	Ricketts
Budd	Husted	Risch
Capito	Hyde-Smith	Rosen
Cassidy	Johnson	Rounds
Collins	Justice	Schmitt
Coons	Kaine	Scott (FL)
Cornyn	Kelly	Scott (SC)
Cotton	Kennedy	Shaheen
Cramer	King	Sheehy
Crapo	Klobuchar	Sullivan
Cruz	Lankford	Thune
Curtis	Lee	Tillis
Daines	Lummis	Tuberville
Ernst	Marshall	Warner
Fischer	McConnell	Warnock
Gallego	Moody	Wicker
Graham	Moran	Young

NAYS—32

Alsobrooks	Hirono	Schatz
Baldwin	Kim	Schiff
Blumenthal	Luján	Schumer
Blunt Rochester	Markey	Slotkin
Booker	Merkley	Smith
Cantwell	Murphy	Van Hollen
Cortez Masto	Murray	Warren
Durbin	Ossoff	Welch
Fetterman	Padilla	Whitehouse
Gillibrand	Peters	Wyden
Heinrich	Sanders	

NOT VOTING—2

Duckworth	McCormick

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table, and the President will be notified of the Senate's actions.

The majority leader.

WAIVING QUORUM CALL

Mr. THUNE. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to waive the mandatory quorum call with respect to the Huckabee nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Executive Calendar No. 67, Mike Huckabee, of Arkansas, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the State of Israel.

John Thune, John Barrasso, Cindy Hyde-Smith, John R. Curtis, Rick Scott of Florida, Bernie Moreno, Pete Ricketts, Eric Schmitt, Jon A. Husted, Roger Marshall, Jim Justice, Tommy Tuberville, Bill Hagerty, Joni Ernst, James E. Risch, Marsha Blackburn, Tim Sheehy.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of Mike Huckabee, of Arkansas, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the State of Israel, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. BARRASSO. The following Senator is necessarily absent: the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. MCCORMICK).

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 53, nays 46, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 196 Ex.]

YEAS—53

Banks	Fischer	Moreno
Barrasso	Graham	Mullin
Blackburn	Grassley	Murkowski
Boozman	Hagerty	Paul
Britt	Hawley	Ricketts
Budd	Hoeven	Risch
Capito	Husted	Rounds
Cassidy	Hyde-Smith	Schmitt
Collins	Johnson	Scott (FL)
Cornyn	Justice	Scott (SC)
Cotton	Kennedy	Sheehy
Cramer	Lankford	Sullivan
Crapo	Lee	Thune
Cruz	Lummis	Tillis
Curtis	Marshall	Tuberville
Daines	McConnell	Wicker
Ernst	Moody	Young
Fetterman	Moran	

NAYS—46

Alsobrooks	Hirono	Sanders
Baldwin	Kaine	Schatz
Bennet	Kelly	Schiff
Blumenthal	Kim	Schumer
Blunt Rochester	King	Shaheen
Booker	Klobuchar	Slotkin
Cantwell	Luján	Smith
Coons	Markey	Van Hollen
Cortez Masto	Merkley	Warner
Duckworth	Murphy	Warnock
Durbin	Murray	Warren
Gallego	Ossoff	Welch
Gillibrand	Padilla	Whitehouse
Hassan	Peters	Wyden
Heinrich	Reed	
Hickenlooper	Rosen	

NOT VOTING—1

McCormick

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. BANKS). On this vote, the yeas are 53, the nays are 46.

The motion is agreed to.

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the nomination.

The legislative clerk read the nomination of Mike Huckabee, of Arkansas, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the State of Israel.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from North Carolina.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. TILLIS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that notwithstanding rule XXII, at 4:30 p.m., the Senate vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the Hoekstra nomination; further, that at 6 p.m., the Senate vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the Johnson and Cabrera nominations in the order listed and that if cloture is invoked on the nominations individually, the postcloture time be expired and the Senate vote on the confirmations of the nominations as well as the motion to invoke cloture on the Atkins nomination at a time to be determined by the majority leader, in consultation with the Democratic leader, no earlier than Wednesday, April 9; finally, that if the nominations are confirmed, the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table and the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action. I also ask that the order be amended to include the Huckabee nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TARIFFS

Mr. TILLIS. Mr. President, this morning, we had a Finance Committee meeting, and Ambassador Greer was there, our U.S. Trade Representative, whom I voted for and have a lot of confidence in. I asked him a line of questions. Then I saw the press getting lazy and not reporting what I said. So I felt like, instead of having to talk a lot to the press, here is what I said:

I was a partner at Price Waterhouse for most of my career. I have advised big clients on big projects. What I was asking the Ambassador today was a simple question on policy—or, I should say, on business—that didn't resonate with the people in journalism who have, apparently, never worked a day in business. We used to have this concept of ''one throat to choke,'' right? When somebody makes a business decision for a major enterprise, there is always one person—it is not the President; it is not the Vice President, as they are in elected positions, and they have to roll out experts.

All I was saying there is I support what is going on, right now, with trade. As uncertain as it may seem now, I think the President is right in challenging other nations that have, for decades, abused their relationship with the United States—to press. I think he should. Whether or not I would do it ''alla prima,'' as I described—that is all at once—or whether or not I would pick out the top quartile of the worst offenders, work on them, and then kind of work down the list is subject to debate.

But the point that I was making is that someone in the administration—I assume, when they decided to do broad-based tariffs against dozens and dozens of countries, that they gamed out the second- and third-order effects of that decision. I am assuming that anybody with a modicum of business experience would have understood that the markets are going to react the way they did, and that is OK. Markets go up and down. Markets reacting the way they have over the past few days over the long term is a problem.

So my request—just to make it clear what I said—of ''one throat to choke'' is just in jest: Whom is it I am either going to put on a pedestal and show up at the ticker-tape parades when all this stuff works out well or whom am I going to hold accountable for not thinking through some of the second-