

(A) the chairman and chief executive officer of the Philadelphia Eagles, Jeffrey Lurie;

(B) the executive vice president and general manager of the Philadelphia Eagles, Howie Roseman, and the head coach of the Philadelphia Eagles, Nick Sirianni; and

(C) the senior advisor to the general manager and chief security officer for the Philadelphia Eagles, Dom DiSandro.

SENATE RESOLUTION 85—CONGRATULATING THE JACKSON STATE UNIVERSITY TIGERS FOR WINNING THE 2024 CELEBRATION BOWL

Mr. WICKER (for himself and Mrs. HYDE-SMITH) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 85

Whereas, on Saturday, December 14, 2024, the Jackson State University football team won the 2024 Celebration Bowl, which is played every year between the conference champions of the Southwestern Athletic Conference and the Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference;

Whereas the Celebration Bowl is widely respected as a national title game for Historically Black Colleges and Universities, succeeding the previous Pelican Bowl and Heritage Bowl;

Whereas the Jackson State University Tigers defeated the South Carolina State University Bulldogs 28-7 and claimed the Celebration Bowl trophy for the first time in program history;

Whereas the Jackson State University football team only lost 2 games during the 2024 college football season, finishing the season with a record of 12-2 and the first-ranked team in the Southwestern Athletic Conference;

Whereas the Jackson State University football team averaged 36.43 points per game during the 2024 season;

Whereas the Jackson State University football team completed a ground-breaking run through the postseason, finishing on top of 17 other universities in the Southwestern Athletic Conference and the Mid-Eastern Athletic Conference;

Whereas running back Travis Terrell Jr. was named the 2024 Southwestern Athletic Conference Freshman of the Year and Special Teams Player of the Year;

Whereas running back Irv Mulligan was named the Southwestern Athletic Conference Offensive Player of the Year;

Whereas head coach T.C. Taylor was named the Southwestern Athletic Conference Head Coach of the Year;

Whereas head coach T.C. Taylor, having led the Jackson State University football team for 2 seasons as its 22nd head coach, carried the team to a 19-6 record for the past 2 seasons;

Whereas the Jackson State University football team's home stadium, the Mississippi Veterans Memorial Stadium, honors military veterans and their families who have sacrificed their lives in service to the State of Mississippi and the United States; and

Whereas the Jackson State University football team displayed outstanding dedication, teamwork, and sportsmanship, bringing tremendous pride and honor to—

(1) Jackson State University;

(2) loyal fans of the Jackson State University Tigers; and

(3) the entire State of Mississippi: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) congratulates Jackson State University, as well as its athletes, coaching staff,

administration, faculty, students, and alumni, for winning the 2024 Celebration Bowl;

(2) recognizes Jackson State University for its excellence as an institution of higher education; and

(3) respectfully requests that the Secretary of the Senate transmit an enrolled copy of this resolution to—

(A) the president of Jackson State University, Dr. Marcus L. Thompson;

(B) the athletic director of Jackson State University, Ashley Robinson; and

(C) the head coach of the Jackson State University football team, T.C. Taylor.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 98. Mr. WHITEHOUSE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 99. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 100. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 101. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 102. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 103. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 104. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 105. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 106. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 107. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 108. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 109. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 110. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 111. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 112. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 113. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 114. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the

concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 115. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 116. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 117. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 118. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 119. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 120. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 121. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 122. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 123. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 124. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 125. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 126. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 127. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 128. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 129. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 130. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 131. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 132. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 133. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 134. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 135. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 136. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 137. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 138. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 139. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 140. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 141. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 142. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 143. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 144. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 145. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 146. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 147. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 148. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 149. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 150. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 151. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 152. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 153. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 154. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 155. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 156. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 157. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 158. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 159. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 98. Mr. WHITEHOUSE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 48, strike lines 13 through 18.

SA 99. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in title IV, add the following:

SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST INCREASING THE COST OF CONSUMER GOODS.

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would increase the costs of consumer goods and services bought for consumption by households in the United States as measured by the Consumer Price Index published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

SA 100. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in title IV, add the following:

SEC. . . . POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LIMITING VETERAN-OWNED BUSINESSES ACCESS TO SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION LOAN PROGRAMS.

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that limit veteran-owned

businesses access to Small Business Administration loan programs.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

SA 101. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following:

SEC. . . . DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RELATING TO FUNDING FOR GRANTS AWARDED BY THE OFFICE ON VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to providing funding for grants awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women of the Department of Justice that are designed to develop the capacity of the United States to reduce domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking by strengthening services to victims and holding offenders accountable, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2025 through 2034.

SA 102. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following:

SEC. 3. . . . DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RELATING TO ACCEPTABLE PROOFS OF UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP FOR TRIBAL CITIZENS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to protecting Tribal citizens from immigration enforcement efforts by requiring that the Secretary of Homeland Security consult with Indian Tribes and issue guidance to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement and Indian Tribes on forms of Tribal identification that are acceptable proofs of United States citizenship by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2025 through 2034.