

The legislation in front of us at its core is about something very simple. The survivors deserve justice. The American people deserve the truth.

To every survivor watching, their courage is inspiring. They are the reason why this vote is happening today. Protecting women and children from pedophiles should not be a Democratic issue. It should not be a Republican issue. It should be a human rights issue and a matter of justice.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on the Epstein File Transparency Act.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will remind all persons in the gallery that they are here as guests of the House and that any manifestation of approval or disapproval of proceedings is in violation of the rules of the House.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, they are here as honored guests of the House. We are delighted they are here.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. LOIS FRANKEL).

Ms. LOIS FRANKEL of Florida. Mr. Speaker, for far too long, the survivors of Jeffrey Epstein's abuse were failed by a justice system that minimized their suffering and prosecutors who treated young girls as if they were criminals. That was wrong.

I am here with my colleagues to promise that we will get to the bottom of why the Epstein survivors were treated so badly, who made those decisions, and who they were protecting. There will be no more secrecy and no more excuses.

Mr. Speaker, we all deserve the truth, and I thank the survivors for their courage and perseverance. Their voices are being heard.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from the great State of Louisiana (Mr. JOHNSON), the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives.

Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman for doing such an extraordinary job on all of this.

Mr. Speaker, for 43 long days, the Democrats held this House and the entire country hostage. Finally, with the lights back on, this body is returning to our regular legislative session. We have a lot of work to do.

My colleagues on this side of the Chamber are ready and eager to get back to our urgent legislative work we promised the American people we would do.

Just to name a few of those priorities, we have to continue lowering the cost of healthcare. We have to bring down prices for American families. We

have to finish the regular appropriations process.

I wish I could say that our first order of business would be to get to those urgent priorities. However, of course, we are spending time on the floor about something else. This is something we could have resolved last week when we brought a unanimous consent to pass this discharge with the full support of the body.

Mr. Speaker, our friends over here, who are arguing today, stalled that. They objected to it. They wanted to have this exercise instead. That is why we say that this is a "show" vote. That is what this is. They are making a show of it, and it really is a shame.

Mr. Speaker, we have some heroic women in the Chamber today. I met with many of them a while back. They are here in the gallery. They have come forward. They have shown their faces. They have used their names to share the unspeakable tragedies that many of them were subjected to, some of them when they were very young. It is a heroic service to the country.

They are seeking justice, and justice has been delayed for too long. The Department of Justice many years ago should have brought these charges. It took too long to do it.

□ 1400

Now we are in this process of making sure all the American people get the information, but we have to do it in the right way.

After 4 years of Democratic control under President Biden, they were not truthful with us about a lot of things. The Democrats insisted the border was secure. We knew it wasn't. They insisted that inflation was transitory. We knew it wouldn't be. They misled the American people about the obvious mental and physical decline of the previous Commander in Chief. Now those same Democrats are demanding transparency. Suddenly transparency is their new word.

Out of nowhere, they have taken a curious concern in the Epstein investigation, all in the name of transparency.

However, the question has been asked here many times during the debate on the floor and everybody is questioning why it is that the Democrats have done this right now. We have to look at the obvious facts.

The Democrats had all the Epstein files in their possession for 4 long years under the Biden Presidency. The Biden Department of Justice had these files, and no one on this side, who is breathless today about the urgency of this release, ever said a word about it. It was the Democrats who could have urged President Biden's Department of Justice to go beyond prosecuting just Epstein and Gislaine Maxwell, but they didn't do it. It is a fair question to ask today: Why now? Why the sudden urgent interest in Jeffrey Epstein?

We know why. It is because the Democrats were never interested in

transparency or executing justice or protecting the victims of this unspeakable tragedy before. The simple truth is obvious for everybody to see. This is a political exercise for Democrats. It pains me to say it. I wish that was not the truth, but it is, and it is undeniable. This is as deceitful and dishonest as their pointless stunt was to shut the government down.

Democrats are using the Epstein tragedy, the unspeakable evils that this guy committed with his trafficking ring and all of the abuses that they made these young women go through, they are using that as a political weapon to try to distract from their failures as a party and to try their best to try to tie President Trump somehow into this wretched scandal.

The President had nothing to do with it. He has been very clear. He has nothing to hide. That is why he endorsed the vote today. I suspect this vote will be probably unanimous.

Here is the important point that everybody needs to understand: We have been advocates of maximum transparency, but we have also insisted that the victims be carefully protected. The Oversight Committee has been doing extraordinary work. We have got some of the most vigorous advocates on the Republican and Democrat side on the Oversight Committee. They have been working in earnest to deliver transparency for the American people and to do it in a responsible manner.

What do we mean by that?

The bipartisan effort over there is already producing all the results that the discharge petition seeks and much, much more. Chairman COMER and all of these advocates over there have been releasing thousands of documents, for example, from the Epstein estate. By the way, in my view, that has been the greatest treasure trove of information because it has yielded for us Epstein's own personal flight logs, his financial records, his daily calendars, and so much more.

Importantly, none of that was addressed or is addressed in the legislation that is being voted on today. The estate files wouldn't even have been encompassed in that. It goes to show that the Oversight Committee is doing it in the right way.

From the very beginning, we have been insistent that this matter be handled carefully and with the utmost caution and care for the people who have been harmed. They should not be made to suffer any longer.

We are talking about real people's lives at stake here and young victims who don't want to be dragged into this political game who could get hurt further. However, the Democrats are rushing to release the thousands of unsubstantiated documents that may be included in this that may be in the public domain with the passage of this bill.

There are serious deficiencies in the legislation that I have noted at length,

and Republicans have to work to address those deficiencies in the Senate if and when this legislation is advanced.

I stood before the American public today at our press conference, and I explained in detail the dangers of the discharge petition. We have posted it at my website, speaker.gov, a summary that the legal counsel, a small army of lawyers, have put together. I used to be a Federal Court litigator. Many of my colleagues who have spoken today were. We understand the dangers of how this was haphazardly drawn up.

Mr. Speaker, I include in the RECORD a document titled “How the Flaws of H.R. 4405 Could Revictimize Epstein’s Victims, Create New Victims, and Damage the Judicial System”.

NOVEMBER 18, 2025.

HOW THE FLAWS OF H.R. 4405 COULD REVICTIMIZE EPSTEIN’S VICTIMS, CREATE NEW VICTIMS, AND DAMAGE THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM BACKGROUND. On November 12, 2025, a motion to discharge in relation to H. Res. 581 was filed and assigned to the Discharge Calendar. Accordingly, the House is poised to consider H.R. 4405, compelling the Department of Justice (DOJ) to release its files related to Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell. While Republicans fully support transparency and the release of the names of those who conspired with and aided Epstein and Maxwell, the bill the House will consider is flawed.

ANALYSIS. The flaws in H.R. 4405 include the following.

1. Fails to Fully Protect Victim Privacy. While H.R. 4405 permits the Attorney General to withhold “personally identifiable information of [Epstein’s] victims” and “personal and medical files and similar files,” this authority is limited to disclosures that “constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” Protections for Epstein’s victims should go further. Congress should give the Attorney General broader authority to redact all victim information. This would prevent the release of information that could be used to unmask victims who have chosen to remain anonymous. Anything less risks revictimizing those who were trafficked and exploited. The courts have recognized this concern. On August 20, 2025, Judge Richard Berman (SDNY) issued an order denying DOJ’s request to release Epstein grand jury materials, noting “names and identifying information [of victims] appear in the subject materials.” Judge Berman quoted a letter related to victims’ concerns, which stated: “[T]ransparency cannot come at the expense of the very people whom the justice system is sworn to protect . . .” and he quoted a letter from a victim, Jane Doe 2, which stated: “I beg the court to make sure it is the upmost [sic] priority that in any sort of release ALL and EVERY detail that could possibly reveal our identities be redacted.”

2. Could Create New Victims. H.R. 4405 requires DOJ to release information, even in cases where DOJ or the FBI has determined it was false. Congress should avoid mandating this kind of release. Doing so could ruin the reputations of innocent persons, such as those who may have known Epstein but knew nothing of his crimes, or whose names Epstein exploited and used in order to get close to his intended victims. Releasing information containing the names of innocent people would subject the innocents to a guilt by association, creating a new group of victims who have no means to clear their names. To avoid this, the Attorney General should be given additional authority to re-

duct information the FBI has previously deemed was false or not credible.

3. Potentially Jeopardizes Grand Jury Secrecy. Rule 6(e) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure prohibits the release of grand jury materials. An unauthorized release can be prosecuted as a criminal offense, including obstruction of justice. This secrecy exists to protect the individual. Grand juries are not adversarial. There is no opportunity for the subject of a grand jury inquiry to cross-examine, disprove testimony, or challenge the evidence. If Congress compels release of grand jury materials, it raises a risk that the grand jury process will become politicized in the future. Imagine how a malicious prosecutor could abuse and weaponize the grand jury process by inducing testimony about a political adversary, testimony the prosecutor knows is false and which could not be contested, with the hopes a future Congress would later compel disclosure. H.R. 4405 is ambiguous as to whether it requires DOJ to release grand jury materials from the Maxwell and Epstein cases. As such, as written, the current text of H.R. 4405 creates a conflict of laws. Congress can clear up any ambiguity by requiring the Attorney General to redact grand jury materials.

4. Fails to Prohibit Release of Child Sexual Abuse Materials. H.R. 4405 allows the Attorney General to redact portions of records that “depicts or contains child sexual abuse materials (CSAM) as defined under 18 U.S.C. 2256 . . .” It necessarily follows that the redaction authority is limited by whatever CSAM definition is found in 18 U.S.C. 2256. Unfortunately, Sec. 2256 does not contain any CSAM definition, which means that H.R. 4405 bestows no real legal authority on the Attorney General to redact those materials. The bill should be amended to clearly prohibit release of any CSAM.

5. Jeopardizes Future Federal Investigations. H.R. 4405 allows the Attorney General to redact “portions of records that . . . would jeopardize an active federal investigation or ongoing prosecution” but only if “narrowly tailored and temporary.” This standard ignores the impact disclosure might have on future investigations. Release of this information could publicly identify individuals who were promised confidentiality, such as a whistleblower or confidential informant, in exchange for agreeing to share information in the Epstein case. Violating confidentiality would have a chilling effect, deterring future whistleblowers and informants. Release could also publicly reveal the identity of undercover law enforcement officers, preventing them from working in future operations. In order to properly protect whistleblowers, informants, and undercover officers, the Attorney General should be given additional authorities to redact information related to these types of individuals (if any), provided they were not complicit in Epstein’s crimes.

6. National Security Concerns. H.R. 4405 requires the Attorney General to complete the release of information within 30 days, including to declassify “classified information to the maximum extent possible.” This raises two concerns. First, it may not be feasible to properly undertake such an extensive review in such a short period of time. Second, it ignores the principle that declassification should rest with the agency that originated the intelligence so as to protect sources and methods. It is incredibly unwise to demand that DOJ declassify materials originated by other agencies. H.R. 4405 should be amended to direct relevant intelligence agencies to work with the Attorney General to declassify in a reasonable time frame.

CONCLUSION. Republicans support transparency, especially when it comes to disclosing the names of those who conspired

with and aided Jeffrey Epstein. Before H.R. 4405 becomes law, each of the above concerns should be addressed, with particular attention paid to ensuring the highest protection for victims.

Mr. JOHNSON of Louisiana. It is dated today.

It summarizes just five or six of the major concerns.

Among them, it fails to fully protect victim privacy. It could create new categories of victims. It potentially jeopardizes grand jury secrecy.

It fails to prohibit release of child sexual abuse materials that are not appropriately defined in the legislation. It jeopardizes future Federal investigations. Moreover, we have national security concerns regarding classified information.

We will put this in the RECORD because we need the legislative RECORD to reflect what is the legislative intent behind this vote. I used to litigate cases. We used to litigate Federal statutes and whether or not they could survive, and legislative intent is important.

We need to state clearly for the record, and as Speaker of the House, I am saying to you this legislation that will pass today is flawed, and it must be amended.

The question is: Why didn’t we amend it here before we passed it?

It is because the authors won’t allow it.

It is because under the rules of the House under a discharge petition, they have to agree to consent for the legislation to be amended, and they are not doing that. Now we rely on our partners in the other Chamber to get that done, and they need to do that.

The victims deserve our utmost respect. In fact, they should be saluted for their courage. The young women who have not come forward, and some of them are middle-aged women who have not come forward, also deserve our respect, and they deserve to be protected.

Republicans support transparency. We want maximum transparency, especially when it comes to disclosing the names of anybody who had anything to do with these evils, anybody who conspired with or aided in any way Jeffrey Epstein must be brought to justice.

We want the bill to be amended so it doesn’t at the same time violate victim privacy, create new victims, disclose the names of any whistleblower or informant, cause the release of grand jury materials or child sexual abuse materials, or undermine our national security. If and when the Senate takes this up, we will work with our colleagues over there to make sure that these things are corrected.

I will just close with this simple thought.

We have been at this a while, and it has dragged on for a while, but it is time for this to come to light.

I expect that this will be a unanimous vote, and it will reflect what I think every Member in this Chamber—

and I will say this—now, at least in recent days, every Member of the Chamber, on the Democrat side, didn't say anything for 4 years, but they are for maximum transparency now, and so is this side. But we want to do it in a respectful and careful manner so that we don't subject innocent people to further harm. That has been the whole thing.

I told all my Members today I will be voting "yes" on this, and I suspect almost everyone will. We will send it to the Senate, and I hope it is corrected.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. GOMEZ) for the purpose of making a parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. GOMEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask a point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FLOOD). The gentleman may state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. GOMEZ. Is this an appropriate time to point out that the Republicans named children's savings accounts in their so-called One Big Beautiful Bill Act after Donald Trump, someone connected to—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has not stated a parliamentary inquiry. The gentleman will suspend. The gentleman is no longer recognized.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, I am prepared to close. I have no more speakers on my side, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JORDAN. May I inquire as to the time remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Ohio has 30 seconds remaining. The gentleman from Maryland has 6¼ minutes remaining.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, I take the opportunity of the Speaker's presence to respond to some of the things that he said. In the very presence of the victims and the survivors who have come to join us today, the Speaker said that now would be the time we should be working on urgent legislative work.

Mr. Speaker, justice is urgent legislative work. The truth is urgent legislative work.

The Speaker says: Why now? Why now?

Mr. Speaker, you were the one who refused to swear in ADELITA GRIJALVA for 50 days. We would have done it 50 days ago, but she provided the 218th signature on the discharge petition.

So why now?

It was because of your dilatory tactics, your delay, your postponement of it.

Now the gentleman says that there are not sufficient protections. There are protections in the bill for the victims to make sure that their names will be redacted. Yet, we hear even the Speaker of the House of Representatives openly inviting the U.S. Senate to start finger painting on this bill which we have waited more than 5 months to bring to the floor.

The Senate should pass the bill exactly as it is written. The President should sign it exactly as he said he would when he finally changed his mind, and the Epstein file must be released. Moreover, if the President is serious about what he is saying, he has got the power to release the Epstein file right now. Nobody is stopping him. It is within his possession. It is within his control. He can release the whole thing, and he can redact the names of the victims and others who are innocent in this process.

Mr. Speaker, Speaker JOHNSON said that these are unspeakable evils. However, because of the bravery and the invincible courage of the women who joined us today, they are no longer unspeakable.

□ 1410

They are spoken. They are articulated. The cat is out of the bag. We know what has been going on for decades. It has been a double standard of justice going all the way back to Alex Acosta, who got later rewarded with a Cabinet appointment in the Trump administration.

Alex Acosta had a 60-count Federal indictment ready to go against all kinds of people for an interstate sex trafficking conspiracy, with solicitation, child sex abuse, all of it. He traded that 60-count Federal indictment for 1 count in State court.

Jeffrey Epstein ended up getting the sweetest of sweetheart deals ever on the plea bargain front. He was free from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. to do whatever he wanted in continuing to perpetrate his crimes and run his conspiracy. Then he would come and would watch TV and spend the night in jail. It was over in about a year. This was after the rape and sexual abuse of hundreds and hundreds of girls. He kept going, and he kept at it.

We want the whole truth to come out.

This is the United States of America. Even the British monarchy wouldn't put up with this. How about the American democracy? How about we say: No way. We are not going to allow this coverup to go on for 1 day more.

I am glad the President changed his mind after pulling out all the stops to try to get one of our Republican colleagues to change their mind, to remove their name from the discharge petition. He gave up.

I want to salute the gentlewoman from Colorado (Ms. BOEBERT) for having the courage to stand her ground in the Situation Room in the White House and say, no, she wasn't going to be bullied.

I am glad the President changed his mind, but let's be clear: Mr. President, you have the power today to release the entire file. That is what you called for. That is what Pam Bondi called for. That is what Kash Patel called for. We don't need anybody calling for the Senate to slow things down for more weeks and more months.

The American people have had it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to direct their comments to the Chair and not to their colleagues.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. NEHLS).

Mr. NEHLS. Mr. Speaker, the Democratic Party, with help from the dishonest media, used the Epstein files not to seek justice for the victims but to smear President Trump, and it is sickening, quite sickening.

The Democrats have used the Epstein files to distract the American people from the wins President Trump and his administration have delivered for the American people for the last 11 months.

I am proud to work with my Republican colleagues on the House Oversight Committee, in coordination with the Justice Department. Mr. Speaker, 65,000 pages of documents related to Epstein have been released.

What have my Democrat colleagues done? They have selectively leaked and altered documents to mislead the American people.

As President Trump has stated, we have nothing to hide, nothing to hide here. I am voting to release the files so that we can move on from this smear campaign the Democrats have manufactured. God bless Donald J. Trump.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time I have remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Maryland has 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I thank all of America for tuning in to this. I thank Representatives MASSIE, KHANNA, and GREENE and all of those who have insisted upon bringing the truth forward and a reckoning with the reality of one of the worst international child sex trafficking rings ever to exist in the United States and the coverup attendant to it.

We have the opportunity today to do justice for the victims, for the survivors who have come forward to say that the voice of the victims and the survivors must be put at the very center of our deliberations, not shunted off to the side, not submerged, not subordinated, but put at the very center. We must hear from the people who themselves were victimized by this nightmare.

Now, our colleagues across the aisle seem to want to make this into some sort of partisan contest. I haven't heard so much talk about the Democrats since the Democratic National Convention. All that we have done here is to say we want to bring the truth forward, along with a bipartisan group. We don't want the Senate monkeying around with this in order to slow things down. We want it passed in the Senate. We want it signed.

Before that, if the President is true to his word, and he wants to see all of this come to light, he can release it now instead of fighting, kicking and screaming every step along the way. I am glad he has changed his mind, and I hope he maintains the momentum he has to stick with the vast majority of the American people who want to see that the truth is done here. While he is at it, the administration could reconsider their terrible policies gutting Federal offices and programs designed to combat violence against women.

The DOJ terminated hundreds of grants to police departments, defunding the police when it comes to investigating violent sex crimes and to support victims. His DOGE destroyed programs to combat international human trafficking rings. Let's get back on the side of the women.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. JORDAN. Mr. Speaker, Speaker JOHNSON said it right: Why now after 4 years of doing nothing? It is because going after President Trump is an obsession with these guys.

The best example is that John Brennan testified in front of our committee under oath and said he was not involved with the dossier. The only problem is, Tulsi Gabbard declassified a report, released that report, and it said exactly the opposite. She related a story in there where a CIA official went up to Mr. Brennan and said: We shouldn't include this in the report. John Brennan's response was: Yeah, but doesn't it ring true? Forget the facts. Forget the truth. Go after Trump. Put it in. The same dynamic is at work here.

We are all for protecting innocent victims. We are all for exposing the bad guys who did bad things, but this is an obsession with the left. For 10 years, they have been going after President Trump.

Vote "yes" to make sure the bad guys are held accountable. We all want to do that. Speaker JOHNSON is right. I think it is going to be unanimous, but understand what they are doing because they had 4 years and didn't do a darn thing.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. JORDAN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4405.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on the motion to suspend the rules will be followed by 5-minutes votes on:

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 879;

Adoption of House Resolution 879, if ordered; and

Adoption of H. Res. 878.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 427, noes 1, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 289]

AYES—427

Adams	Comer	Gillen
Aderholt	Conaway	Gimenez
Agullar	Correa	Golden (ME)
Alford	Costa	Goldman (NY)
Allen	Courtney	Goldman (TX)
Amo	Craig	Gomez
Amodei (NV)	Crane	Gonzales, Tony
Ansari	Crank	Gonzalez, V.
Arrington	Crawford	Gooden
Auchincloss	Crenshaw	Goodlander
Babin	Crockett	Gosar
Bacon	Crow	Gottheimer
Baird	Cuellar	Graves
Balderson	Davids (KS)	Gray
Balint	Davidson	Green, Al (TX)
Barr	Davis (IL)	Greene (GA)
Barragan	Davis (NC)	Griffith
Barrett	De La Cruz	Grijalva
Baumgartner	Dean (PA)	Grothman
Bean (FL)	DeGette	Guest
Beatty	DeLauro	Guthrie
Begich	DeBene	Hageman
Bell	Deluzio	Hamadeh (AZ)
Bentz	DeSaulnier	Harder (CA)
Bera	DesJarlais	Haridopolos
Bergman	Dexter	Harrigan
Bice	Diaz-Balart	Harris (MD)
Biggs (AZ)	Dingell	Harris (NC)
Biggs (SC)	Doggett	Harshbarger
Bilirakis	Donalds	Hayes
Bishop	Downing	Hern (OK)
Boebert	Dunn (FL)	Hill (AR)
Bonamici	Edwards	Himes
Bost	Elfreth	Hinson
Boyle (PA)	Ellzey	Horsford
Brecheen	Emmer	Houchin
Bresnahan	Escobar	Houlahan
Brown	Espallat	Hoyer
Brownley	Estes	Hoyle (OR)
Buchanan	Evans (CO)	Hudson
Budzinski	Evans (PA)	Huffman
Burchett	Ezell	Huizenga
Burlison	Fallon	Hunt
Bynum	Fedorchak	Hurd (CO)
Calvert	Feenstra	Issa
Cammack	Fields	Ivey
Carbajal	Figures	Jack
Carey	Fine	Jackson (IL)
Carson	Finstad	Jackson (TX)
Carter (GA)	Fischbach	Jacobs
Carter (LA)	Fitzgerald	James
Carter (TX)	Fitzpatrick	Jayapal
Case	Fleischmann	Jeffries
Casten	Fletcher	Johnson (GA)
Castro (FL)	Flood	Johnson (LA)
Castro (TX)	Fong	Johnson (SD)
Cheffins-	Foster	Johnson (TX)
McCormick	Foushee	Jordan
Chu	Fox	Joyce (OH)
Ciscomani	Frankel, Lois	Joyce (PA)
Cisneros	Franklin, Scott	Kamlager-Dove
Clark (MA)	Friedman	Kaptur
Clarke (NY)	Frost	Kean
Cleaver	Fry	Keating
Cline	Fulcher	Kelly (IL)
Cloud	Garamendi	Kelly (MS)
Clyburn	Garbarino	Kelly (PA)
Clyde	Garcia (CA)	Kennedy (NY)
Cohen	Garcia (IL)	Kennedy (UT)
Cole	Garcia (TX)	Khanna
Collins	Gill (TX)	Kiggans (VA)

Kiley (CA)	Moran	Shreve
Kim	Morelle	Simon
Knott	Morrison	Simpson
Krishnamoorthi	Moskowitz	Smith (MO)
Kustoff	Moulton	Smith (NE)
LaHood	Mrvan	Smith (NJ)
LaLota	Mullin	Smith (WA)
LaMalfa	Murphy	Smucker
Landsman	Nadler	Sorensen
Langworthy	Neal	Soto
Larsen (WA)	Neguse	Spartz
Larson (CT)	Nehls	Stansbury
Latimer	Newhouse	Stanton
Latta	Norcross	Stauber
Lawler	Norman	Stefanik
Lee (FL)	Nunn (IA)	Steil
Lee (NV)	Obernolte	Steube
Lee (PA)	Ocasio-Cortez	Stevens
Leger Fernandez	Ogles	Strickland
Letlow	Olzewski	Strong
Levin	Omar	Stutzman
Liccardo	Onder	Subramanyam
Lieu	Owens	Suozy
Lofgren	Pallone	Swalwell
Loudermilk	Palmer	Sykes
Lucas	Panetta	Takano
Luna	Pappas	Taylor
Luttrell	Patronis	Tenney
Lynch	Pelosi	Thanedar
Mace	Perez	Thompson (CA)
Mackenzie	Perry	Thompson (MS)
Magaziner	Peters	Thompson (PA)
Malliotakis	Pettersen	Tiffany
Maloy	Pfluger	Timmons
Mann	Pingree	Titus
Mannion	Pocan	Tlaib
Massie	Pou	Tokuda
Mast	Pressley	Tonko
Matsui	Quigley	Torres (CA)
McBath	Ramirez	Torres (NY)
McBride	Randall	Trahan
McCaul	Raskin	Tran
McClain	Reschenthaler	Turner (OH)
McClain Delaney	Riley (NY)	Underwood
McClellan	Rivas	Valadao
McClintock	Rogers (AL)	Van Drew
McCollum	Rogers (KY)	Van Dyne
McCormick	Rose	Van Orman
McDonald Rivet	Ross	Vargas
McDowell	Rouzer	Vasquez
McGarvey	Roy	Veasey
McGovern	Ruiz	Velázquez
McGuire	Rutherford	Vindman
McIver	Ryan	Wagner
Meeks	Salazar	Walberg
Menendez	Salinas	Walkinshaw
Meng	Sánchez	Wasserman
Messmer	Scalise	Schultz
Meuser	Scanlon	Waters
Mfume	Schakowsky	Watson Coleman
Miller (IL)	Schmidt	Weber (TX)
Miller (OH)	Schneider	Webster (FL)
Miller (WV)	Scholten	Westerman
Miller-Meeks	Schrier	Whitesides
Mills	Schweikert	Wied
Min	Scott (VA)	Williams (GA)
Moolenaar	Scott, Austin	Williams (TX)
Moore (AL)	Scott, David	Wilson (FL)
Moore (NC)	Self	Wilson (SC)
Moore (UT)	Sessions	Wittman
Moore (WI)	Sewell	Yakym
Moore (WV)	Sherman	Zinke

NOES—1

Higgins (LA)

NOT VOTING—5

Beyer	Rulli	Womack
Casar	Sherrill	

□ 1443

Messrs. JACKSON of Texas and DAVIS of Illinois changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF S.J. RES. 80, PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT RELATING TO "NATIONAL PETROLEUM RESERVE IN ALASKA INTEGRATED ACTIVITY PLAN RECORD OF DECISION"; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.J. RES. 130, PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT RELATING TO "BUFFALO FIELD OFFICE RECORD OF DECISION AND APPROVED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENT"; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.J. RES. 131, PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT RELATING TO "COASTAL PLAIN OIL AND GAS LEASING PROGRAM RECORD OF DECISION"; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H. CON. RES. 58, DENOUNCING THE HORRORS OF SOCIALISM; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1949, UNLOCKING OUR DOMESTIC LNG POTENTIAL ACT OF 2025; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3109, RESEARCHING EFFICIENT FEDERAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR NECESSARY ENERGY REFINING ACT; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5107, COMMON-SENSE LAW ENFORCEMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY NOW IN DC ACT OF 2025; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5214, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CASH BAIL REFORM ACT OF 2025; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 879) providing for consideration of the joint resolution (S.J. Res. 80) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Bureau of Land Management relating to "National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska Integrated Activity Plan Record of Decision"; providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 130) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Bureau of Land Management relating to "Buffalo Field Office Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment"; providing for consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 58) denouncing the horrors of socialism; pro-

viding for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1949) to repeal restrictions on the export and import of natural gas; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3109) to require the Secretary of Energy to direct the National Petroleum Council to issue a report with respect to petrochemical refineries in the United States, and for other purposes; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 5107) to repeal the Comprehensive Policing and Justice Reform Amendment Act of 2022 enacted by the District of Columbia Council; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 5214) to require mandatory pretrial and post conviction detention for crimes of violence and dangerous crimes and require mandatory cash bail for certain offenses that pose a threat to public safety or order in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes; and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 217, nays 211, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 290]

YEAS—217

Aderholt	Evans (CO)	Joyce (PA)
Alford	Ezell	Kean
Allen	Fallon	Kelly (MS)
Amodei (NV)	Fedorchak	Kelly (PA)
Arrington	Feenstra	Kennedy (UT)
Babin	Fine	Kiggans (VA)
Bacon	Finstad	Kiley (CA)
Baird	Fischbach	Kim
Balderson	Fitzgerald	Knott
Barr	Fitzpatrick	Kustoff
Barrett	Fleischmann	LaHood
Baumgartner	Flood	LaLota
Bean (FL)	Fong	LaMalfa
Begich	Fox	Langworthy
Bentz	Franklin, Scott	Latta
Bergman	Fry	Lawler
Bice	Fulcher	Lee (FL)
Biggs (AZ)	Garbarino	Letlow
Biggs (SC)	Gill (TX)	Loudermilk
Bilirakis	Gimenez	Lucas
Boebert	Goldman (TX)	Luna
Bost	Gonzales, Tony	Luttrell
Brecheen	Gooden	Mace
Bresnahan	Gosar	Mackenzie
Buchanan	Graves	Malliotakis
Burchett	Greene (GA)	Maloy
Burlison	Griffith	Mann
Calvert	Grothman	Massie
Cammack	Guest	Mast
Carey	Guthrie	McCauley
Carter (GA)	Hageman	McClain
Carter (TX)	Hamadeh (AZ)	McClintock
Ciscomani	Haridopolos	McCormick
Cline	Harrigan	McDowell
Cloud	Harris (MD)	McGuire
Clyde	Harris (NC)	Messmer
Cole	Harshbarger	Meuser
Collins	Hern (OK)	Miller (IL)
Comer	Higgins (LA)	Miller (OH)
Crane	Hill (AR)	Miller (WV)
Crank	Hinson	Miller-Meeks
Crawford	Houchin	Mills
Crenshaw	Hudson	Moolenaar
Davidson	Huizenga	Moore (AL)
De La Cruz	Hunt	Moore (NC)
DesJarlais	Hurd (CO)	Moore (UT)
Diaz-Balart	Issa	Moore (WV)
Donalds	Jack	Moran
Downing	Jackson (TX)	Murphy
Dunn (FL)	James	Nehls
Edwards	Johnson (LA)	Newhouse
Ellzey	Johnson (SD)	Norman
Emmer	Jordan	Nunn (IA)
Estes	Joyce (OH)	Oberholte

Ogles
Onder
Owens
Palmer
Patronis
Perry
Pfluger
Reschenthaler
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rose
Rouzer
Roy
Rutherford
Salazar
Scalise
Schmidt
Schweikert
Scott, Austin

Self
Sessions
Shreve
Simpson
Smith (MO)
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smucker
Spartz
Stauber
Stefanik
Steil
Steube
Strong
Stutzman
Taylor
Tenney
Thompson (PA)
Tiffany

Timmons
Turner (OH)
Valadao
Van Drew
Van Duyn
Van Orden
Wagner
Walberg
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Westerman
Wied
Williams (TX)
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Yakym
Zinke

NAYS—211

Adams
Aguilar
Amo
Ansari
Auchincloss
Balint
Barragan
Beatty
Bell
Bera
Bishop
Bonamici
Boyle (PA)
Brown
Brownley
Budzinski
Bynum
Carbajal
Carson
Carter (LA)
Case
Casten
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Cherfilus-
McCormick
Chu
Cisneros
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Conaway
Correa
Costa
Courtney
Craig
Crockett
Crow
Cuellar
Davids (KS)
Davis (IL)
Davis (NC)
Dean (PA)
DeGette
DeLauro
DeBene
Deluzio
DeSaulnier
Dexter
Dingell
Doggett
Elfreth
Escobar
Espallat
Evans (PA)
Fields
Figures
Fletcher
Foster
Foushee
Frankel, Lois
Friedman
Frost
Garamendi
Garcia (CA)
Garcia (IL)
Garcia (TX)
Gillen
Golden (ME)

Goldman (NY)
Gomez
Gonzalez, V.
Goodlander
Gottheimer
Gray
Green, Al (TX)
Grijalva
Harder (CA)
Hayes
Himes
Horsford
Houlahan
Hoyer
Hoyle (OR)
Huffman
Ivey
Jackson (IL)
Jacobs
Jayapal
Jeffries
Johnson (GA)
Johnson (TX)
Kamlager-Dove
Kaptur
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kennedy (NY)
Khanna
Krishnamoorthi
Landsman
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latimer
Lee (NV)
Lee (PA)
Leger Fernandez
Levin
Liccardo
Lieu
Lofgren
Lynch
Magaziner
Mannion
Matsui
McBath
McBride
McClain Delaney
McClellan
McCollum
McDonald Rivet
McGarvey
McGovern
McIver
Meeks
Menendez
Meng
Mfume
Min
Moore (WI)
Morelle
Morrison
Moskowitz
Moulton
Mrvan
Mullin
Nadler
Neal
Neguse
Norcross
Ocasio-Cortez

Olszewski
Omar
Pallone
Panetta
Pappas
Pelosi
Perez
Peters
Pettersen
Pingree
Pocan
Pou
Pressley
Quigley
Ramirez
Randall
Raskin
Riley (NY)
Rivas
Ross
Ruiz
Ryan
Salinas
Sánchez
Scanlon
Schakowsky
Schneider
Scholten
Schrier
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Sewell
Sherman
Simon
Smith (WA)
Sorensen
Soto
Stansbury
Stanton
Stevens
Strickland
Subramanyam
Suozi
Swalwell
Sykes
Takano
Thanedar
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tokuda
Tonko
Torres (CA)
Torres (NY)
Trahan
Tran
Underwood
Vargas
Vasquez
Veasey
Velázquez
Vindman
Walkinshaw
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson Coleman
Neal
Whitesides
Williams (GA)
Wilson (FL)

NOT VOTING—5

Beyer
Casar

Rulli
Sherrill

Womack