



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 119th CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 171

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, JULY 2, 2025

No. 114

Senate

The Senate was not in session today. Its next meeting will be held on Thursday, July 3, 2025, at 12 p.m.

House of Representatives

WEDNESDAY, JULY 2, 2025

The House met at 9 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON).

DESIGNATION OF THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,
July 2, 2025.

I hereby appoint the Honorable MICHAEL K. SIMPSON to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

MIKE JOHNSON,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Margaret Grun Kibben, offered the following prayer:

Holy and merciful God, send Your angels to surround us, and protect us while we pray and then as we conduct the business of this day.

May we seek and find You ahead of us, showing us the way. May we be certain that You are behind us, upholding us in Your truth. Grant us a sense of Your presence beside us, encouraging or challenging us on the path we take. Take residence within us, granting us a fundamental understanding of Your wisdom. Surround us with Your unfailing love, for our hope is in You alone.

Lord, You have hemmed us in, behind and before, in the past, in this present, and the future ahead. Lay Your hand upon each one of us, that we would yield to Your authority alone, and so

that none will stray from Your perfect plan.

For You, O Lord, are omnipresent and omniscient, and it is in Your omnipotent name, we pray.
Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 13 of rule I, the Journal of the last day's proceedings is approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. MCGOVERN led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following resignation as a member of the Committee on Homeland Security:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, June 27, 2025.

Hon. MIKE JOHNSON,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER JOHNSON: Following my election as Ranking Member of the House

Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, I hereby resign from the House Committee on Homeland Security for the 119th Congress, effective today.

Sincerely,
ROBERT GARCIA,
Member of Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the resignation is accepted. There was no objection.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, July 1, 2025.

Hon. MIKE JOHNSON,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on July 1, 2025, at 5:20 p.m.:

That the Senate passed with an amendment H.R. 1.

With best wishes, I am
Sincerely,

KEVIN F. MCCUMBER,
Clerk.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1, ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL ACT

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 566 and ask for its immediate consideration.

This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

H3039

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 566

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14, with the Senate amendment thereto, and to consider in the House, without intervention of any point of order, a motion offered by the chair of the Committee on the Budget or his designee that the House concur in the Senate amendment. The Senate amendment and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget or their respective designees and the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to section 426(a) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, I make a point of order against consideration of the rule, House Resolution 566.

Section 426(a) of the Budget Act specifically states that the Rules Committee may not waive the point of order prescribed by section 425 of that same act. House Resolution 566 states that it is in order to consider a motion that the House concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 1: "Without intervention of any point of order."

Therefore, I make a point of order pursuant to section 426(a) that this rule may not be considered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts makes a point of order that the resolution violates section 426(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

The gentleman has met the threshold burden under the rule and the gentleman from Massachusetts and a Member opposed each will control 10 minutes of debate on the question of consideration. Following debate, the Chair will put the question of consideration as the statutory means of disposing of the point of order.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Massachusetts.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, let me say this once again: We will not stand by and watch Trump and his billionaire friends destroy this country without putting up one hell of a fight.

Because this rule waives the point of order against unfunded mandates, it is not in order to consider it on the floor.

The bill Republicans are attempting to jam through the House today cuts nutritional assistance for moms and dads, kids, people with disabilities, veterans, and senior citizens. It goes after the most important people in our lives, our families, to fund massive tax breaks for the richest people in the world. I think that that is wrong, and I think it is immoral.

More than just a massive betrayal of the American people, this bill is also a massive, new unfunded mandate on

States. Don't just take my word for it. The nonpartisan experts of the Congressional Budget Office said title I of the bill, the Agriculture Committee's portion of this awful bill, would impose intergovernmental mandates by requiring States, for the first time ever, to provide State funding for food assistance benefits, increasing the share of food assistance administrative costs paid by States and requiring State agencies to perform additional administrative duties. This massive, new unfunded mandate would cost States a whopping \$19 billion each year.

Mr. Speaker, where the hell are States going to come up with this kind of money? They can't. What will happen is, people will get kicked off of food assistance, and they will go hungry.

State after State has written to Congress begging us not to pass this awful bill. They have made it very clear that if this bill goes through, States will be forced to cut benefits, kick eligible people off of food assistance entirely, or raise taxes to pay for this massive new bureaucracy that Republicans are creating in every State in this country.

The chairman of the Agriculture Committee's home State of Pennsylvania would have to come up with over \$1 billion to cover the State's share of benefit costs. There is no State in this country who is able to take on this kind of massive, unfunded mandate.

We are talking about basic needs for people. It is a food assistance benefit of \$2 per meal so people don't go hungry. By the way, that money not only lifts people out of poverty, it improves health and it uplifts local economies, too.

Hunger costs this country, and food assistance programs save us money. People who have enough food to eat are more productive at work. Kids who are not hungry are able to learn better at school. Seniors who take their medication on a full stomach are less likely to go to emergency rooms.

No American should go without food. This is a human rights issue. We live in the richest country on Earth for God's sake. Not a single kid in this country should go to bed hungry, not a single damn one, especially not when Republicans are giving millionaires and billionaires a big, fat tax break.

Mr. Speaker, what is wrong with my Republican colleagues? In this bill, they provide a \$2 billion tax break for gun silencers, but they cut SNAP benefits for vulnerable families, which, by the way, is on average \$2 per person per meal. Jesus Christ, what are you people thinking?

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I claim time in favor of consideration of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from North Carolina is recognized for 10 minutes.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, the question before the House is: Should the House

now consider House Resolution 566? Though the resolution waives all points of order against consideration of the motion to concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 1, the Committee on Rules is not aware of any violation of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act, nor has the Congressional Budget Office identified any violation of the act.

The reason for this motion is plain and obvious. It is not a legitimate attempt to question consideration, but simply a dilatory tactic to further delay consideration of this historic legislation and to give the Democrats more time to mislead Americans.

We must dispense with this question of consideration so we can move the process forward and enact the agenda President Trump and the American people have demanded.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, we have a letter from the Congressional Budget Office confirming that there are unfunded mandates in this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. BROWN).

Ms. BROWN. Mr. Speaker, I thank Ranking Member MCGOVERN for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to this rule and the underlying bill.

For the first time in history, this bill would force States to pay for part of SNAP benefits, and it would require States to increase their share of administrative costs.

This is an unfunded mandate, plain and simple.

Let's be clear. The costs are staggering, the consequences are devastating, and the cruelty is deeply disturbing.

This policy punishes those who can least afford it: seniors on a fixed income, veterans, and families already forced to choose between gas and groceries.

States will be stuck in a corner. They will cut benefits, cut eligibility, or cut public safety, education, housing, and mental health programs just to stay afloat.

This isn't just a budgeting decision. It is a values decision, and for what? All to take from the hungry and give it to the wealthy. To slash SNAP benefits just \$6 per day hands a quarter-million-dollar tax break to the top 0.1 percent. That is not policy. That is plunder. The math doesn't lie.

In North Carolina, it is \$400 million. That is more than the entire State budget for child development and early education. In Georgia, it is \$500 million, double what the State spends on child welfare. In my State of Ohio, it is \$300 million, four times the transportation budget.

My colleagues are writing checks that the government can't cash. When the money runs out, the food runs out. Children will go hungry. Seniors will skip meals. Veterans, working moms, and working dads will be turned down

and turned away all because of a vote taken here today.

This mandate isn't just unfunded. It is unfathomable.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to reject this provision, reject this rule, and reject this betrayal. Don't reward the rich on the backs of hungry Americans.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Mrs. HAYES), a member of the Agriculture Committee.

Mrs. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today against the Republican reconciliation bill, which would put 42 million Americans at risk of losing their SNAP benefits.

These policies are untested, dangerous, and arbitrary. Congress did not engage in a thoughtful process to make these changes to SNAP. The majority has not held a single hearing on the Nutrition, Foreign, Agriculture, and Horticulture Subcommittee on the cost-sharing provisions or the impact of this legislation. They have not solicited feedback from stakeholders or experts on what these changes would mean to States and hungry families who rely on SNAP.

The Republicans did not examine these policies closely because they knew it would take food away from hungry families and they didn't care. They have chosen to provide tax cuts for the wealthiest individuals. They know these changes will devastate State budgets.

In my home State of Connecticut, we could have to pay up to an additional \$221 million per year for SNAP benefits and an additional \$39 million for administrative costs.

□ 0915

Connecticut, like most States, will have to come up with these funds or deny basic services that they need for other things, like education, public safety, healthcare, and providing for our roads and bridges in our States. Notably, not every State will be subject to this change.

Late into the night, the Republican majority jumped through hoops to exempt noncontiguous States from these policies to pass cruel legislation. That is why we are up here writing legislation on the fly to protect some Americans while going after others.

No one should go hungry, whether they live in Connecticut, Alaska, Hawaii, or anywhere. It should not matter where you live or what kind of deal your Senator made. Everybody deserves to eat.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to reject this irresponsible legislation.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts has 2½ minutes remaining.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I don't think any of my Republican colleagues have read this provision in the reconciliation bill. In fact, the Agriculture Committee never even did a hearing on it. Yet, billions and billions of dollars in new costs are going to be thrust upon States. States are going to have to decide whether to cut other programs in order to make sure that no one loses their nutrition benefits, or they are going to have to cut people off their nutrition benefits.

The SNAP benefit, on average, is about \$2 per person per meal. That is it. You can't buy a damn cup of coffee in the Capitol with that. My Republican friends are obsessed with trying to throw people off of this benefit. I have no idea why.

Most of the people on the benefit are children and senior citizens. They include veterans. Many of them are working full time and can't afford to put food on the table. This benefit helps them provide for their families.

My Republican friends have basically gone on an attack on this most basic, effective program that is about food. You can live without a lot of things, but you can't live without food.

Mr. Speaker, for those Republicans who think it is okay to give Jeff Bezos a tax cut and, at the same time, cut food benefits for struggling families, let me say clearly that we don't share the same values.

Unloading billions of dollars in new costs on States, money they do not have, will force them to cut benefits and throw needy people off of SNAP. It is a rotten thing to do.

I believe there is a special place in hell for people who take food away from veterans, seniors, children, former foster youth, and hungry families. This is sick. This is disgusting.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I urge all Members to vote "yes" on the question of consideration of the resolution, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

The question is, Will the House now consider the resolution?

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 212, nays 211, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 186]

YEAS—212

Aderholt	Barrett	Boebert
Alford	Baumgartner	Bost
Allen	Bean (FL)	Brecheen
Amodei (NV)	Begich	Bresnahan
Arrington	Bentz	Buchanan
Babin	Bergman	Burchett
Bacon	Bice	Calvert
Baird	Biggs (AZ)	Cammack
Balderson	Biggs (SC)	Carey
Barr	Bilirakis	Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)	Houchin	Newhouse
Ciscomani	Hudson	Norman
Cline	Huizenga	Nunn (IA)
Cloud	Hunt	Oberholte
Clyde	Hurd (CO)	Ogles
Cole	Issa	Onder
Collins	Jack	Owens
Comer	Jackson (TX)	Palmer
Crane	James	Patronis
Crank	Johnson (LA)	Perry
Crawford	Johnson (SD)	Pfluger
Crenshaw	Jordan	Reschenthaler
Davidson	Joyce (OH)	Rogers (AL)
De La Cruz	Joyce (PA)	Rogers (KY)
DesJarlais	Kean	Rose
Diaz-Balart	Kelly (MS)	Rouzer
Donalds	Kelly (PA)	Roy
Downing	Kennedy (UT)	Rulli
Edwards	Kiggans (VA)	Rutherford
Ellzey	Kiley (CA)	Salazar
Emmer	Kim	Scalise
Estes	Knott	Schmidt
Evans (CO)	Kustoff	Schweikert
Ezell	LaHood	Scott, Austin
Fallon	LaLota	Self
Fedorchak	LaMalfa	Sessions
Feenstra	Langworthy	Shreve
Fine	Latta	Simpson
Finstad	Lawler	Smith (MO)
Fischbach	Lee (FL)	Smith (NE)
Fitzpatrick	Letlow	Smith (NJ)
Fleischmann	Loudermilk	Smucker
Flood	Lucas	Spartz
Fong	Luna	Stauber
Foxx	Luttrell	Stefanik
Franklin, Scott	Mace	Steil
Fry	Mackenzie	Steube
Fulcher	Malliotakis	Strong
Gill (TX)	Maloy	Stutzman
Gimenez	Mann	Taylor
Goldman (TX)	Massie	Tenney
Gonzales, Tony	Mast	Thompson (PA)
Gooden	McCaul	Tiffany
Gosar	McClain	Timmons
Graves	McClintock	Turner (OH)
Green (TN)	McCormick	Valadao
Greene (GA)	McDowell	Van Duyne
Griffith	McGuire	Van Orden
Grothman	Messmer	Wagner
Guest	Miller (IL)	Walberg
Guthrie	Miller (WV)	Weber (TX)
Hageman	Miller-Meeeks	Webster (FL)
Hamadeh (AZ)	Mills	Westerman
Haridopolos	Moolenaar	Wied
Harrigan	Moore (AL)	Williams (TX)
Harris (MD)	Moore (NC)	Wilson (SC)
Harris (NC)	Moore (UT)	Wittman
Harshbarger	Moore (WV)	Womack
Higgins (LA)	Moran	Yakym
Hill (AR)	Murphy	Zinke
Hinson	Nehls	

NAYS—211

Adams	Correa	Golden (ME)
Aguilar	Costa	Goldman (NY)
Amo	Courtney	Gomez
Ansari	Craig	Gonzalez, V.
Auchincloss	Crockett	Goodlander
Balint	Crow	Gottheimer
Barragan	Cuellar	Gray
Beatty	Dauids (KS)	Green, Al (TX)
Bell	Davis (IL)	Harder (CA)
Bera	Davis (NC)	Hayes
Beyer	Dean (PA)	Himes
Bishop	DeGette	Horsford
Bonamici	DeLauro	Houlahan
Boyle (PA)	DelBene	Hoyer
Brown	Deluzio	Hoyle (OR)
Brownley	DeSaulnier	Huffman
Budzinski	Dexter	Ivey
Bynum	Dingell	Jackson (IL)
Carbajal	Doggett	Jacobs
Carson	Elfreth	Jayapal
Carter (LA)	Escobar	Jeffries
Casar	Espallat	Johnson (GA)
Case	Evans (PA)	Johnson (TX)
Casten	Fields	Kamlager-Dove
Castor (FL)	Figures	Kaptur
Castro (TX)	Fletcher	Keating
Cherfilus-	Foster	Kelly (IL)
McCormick	Foushee	Kennedy (NY)
Chu	Frankel, Lois	Khanna
Cisneros	Friedman	Krishnamoorthi
Clark (MA)	Frost	Landsman
Clarke (NY)	Garamendi	Larsen (WA)
Cleaver	Garcia (CA)	Larson (CT)
Clyburn	Garcia (IL)	Lee (NV)
Cohen	Garcia (TX)	Lee (PA)
Conaway	Gillen	Leger Fernandez

Levin	Omar	Sorensen
Liccardo	Pallone	Soto
Lieu	Panetta	Stansbury
Lofgren	Pappas	Stanton
Lynch	Pelosi	Stevens
Magaziner	Perez	Strickland
Mannion	Peters	Subramanyam
Matsui	Pettersen	Suozzi
McBath	Pingree	Swalwell
McBride	Pocan	Sykes
McClain Delaney	Pou	Takano
McClellan	Pressley	Thanedar
McCollum	Quigley	Thompson (CA)
McDonald Rivet	Ramirez	Thompson (MS)
McGarvey	Randall	Titus
McGovern	Raskin	Tlaib
McIver	Riley (NY)	Tokuda
Meeks	Rivas	Tonko
Menendez	Ross	Torres (CA)
Meng	Ruiz	Torres (NY)
Mfume	Ryan	Trahan
Min	Salinas	Tran
Moore (WI)	Sánchez	Underwood
Morelle	Scanlon	Vargas
Morrison	Schakowsky	Vasquez
Moskowitz	Schneider	Veasey
Moulton	Scholten	Velázquez
Mrvan	Schrier	Vindman
Mullin	Scott (VA)	Wasserman
Nadler	Scott, David	Schultz
Neal	Sewell	Waters
Neguse	Sherman	Watson Coleman
Norcross	Sherrill	Whitesides
Ocasio-Cortez	Simon	Williams (GA)
Olshewski	Smith (WA)	Wilson (FL)

NOT VOTING—9

Burlison	Garbarino	Meuser
Dunn (FL)	Hern (OK)	Miller (OH)
Fitzgerald	Latimer	Van Drew

□ 1057

Messrs. GARCIA of California, GARCIA of Illinois, Mrs. MCCLAIN DELANEY, Messrs. CROW and SMITH of Washington changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Messrs. JACKSON of Texas and HARRIS of Maryland changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the question of consideration was decided in the affirmative.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, during consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, Republicans stand ready to deliver H.R. 1 directly to the President's desk for his signature.

Late last year, the American people rallied en masse behind the Republican vision for a renewed Nation. It is a nation no longer blighted by the cataclysmic failures of the previous administration, and a nation primed and ready to enter the golden age.

One of the many strong reinforced byproducts of our Republican vision is the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. It is one of the most consequential pieces of legislation that any party has ever passed and for good reason.

The provisions interwoven in this legislation are monumental. They are what the American people voted for in November: historic tax relief for working families; massive investments to secure our Nation's borders; capturing generational savings; and slashing waste, fraud, and abuse in government programs so that they may run more efficiently for those who truly need them.

□ 1100

Mr. Speaker, I could opine at length about the wins that Republicans have secured in this bill, wins that we have been highlighting to the American people for months. Meanwhile, our Democratic colleagues have resorted to fear-mongering and wailing from their bully pulpits in the hopes of deceiving the American people.

For months, we have heard Democrats claim that the sky was falling, that all hope is lost because H.R. 1 will bring about the end times, and a litany of other outlandish and absurd claims. They are so desperate to smear H.R. 1 and deny the American people the policies that the American people overwhelmingly voted for in November.

Let's again focus on what this bill accomplishes. It yields the single greatest cut in wasteful government spending in congressional history by slashing far more than a trillion dollars of bloat. It preserves the greatest battle-tested set of tax cuts for working families that our Nation has ever seen or our economy has ever realized. It doubles down on the border priorities of the most successful enforcement efforts by a President in our Nation's history. It realigns our economy in defense of American workers, American energy, American businesses, and American job creators to unleash the engines of our economy, raise the tide of our economic standards, and bring a new definition of American greatness to the world.

Perhaps the reason why Democrats are so desperate to smear this legislation is because they are caught in their own self-inflicted malaise of denial. Perhaps they still can't accept that Americans chose Republicans to lead the Nation instead of them.

That said, Mr. Speaker, Republicans are not distracted by that fear-mongering and doomsaying of those who want H.R. 1 to fail. The facts are on our side, and we have been cutting through the noise by bringing those facts to the American people for months.

So that the record is clear, a vote against this legislation is a vote to green-light the largest tax increase in American history being levied against everyday men and women, to decimate millions of jobs across America, and to

kneecap the capabilities and readiness of the Nation's military. This is not fear-mongering like what our colleagues across the aisle have been engaging in. These are just facts.

Mr. Speaker, the pathway forward is crystal clear. The House must pass this rule and pass the Senate amendment to H.R. 1 so that more wins can be delivered to the American people. The American people deserve to keep winning, and to deny them that opportunity is a flagrant disservice.

This legislation is the embodiment of the America First agenda, and we would all do well to remember that. Failure at this critical juncture is not an option. This clock is ticking. The President and the American people are waiting.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from North Carolina for yielding me the customary 30 minutes, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this process is an abomination, legislative malpractice. Final text of this bill came out less than 24 hours ago. We met in committee an hour after it was posted, and now, we are here considering a rule that allows for only 1 hour of debate.

This bill is within the jurisdiction of 12 different committees. One hour is ridiculous. Every minute, we are finding out new things that were snuck into the bill, like a tax cut for whalers. Now, we are learning about a gambling tax.

Republican leadership and the White House are jamming this bill down our throats. For what? We are not on a deadline. There is no looming crisis.

We are here because Donald Trump wants a Fourth of July party to celebrate this garbage bill. He wants fireworks, flags, and cameras, not for this country, but for himself. He says to close your ears, close your eyes, and vote for this bill. Honestly, it sounds more like a cult than a Congress to me.

Some Republicans promised their voters no cuts to Medicaid and no new debt. Now, they are flipping faster than pancakes at a campaign breakfast. The House passed it hoping the Senate would kill it. The Senate passed it hoping the House would grow a spine.

Everyone is playing a game of legislative chicken, voting for a bad bill and thinking the other Chamber will be the one to fix it. This is nuts.

Trump says: “Have fun, and Vote ‘YAY.’” Have fun gutting Medicaid? Vote “yea” on taking away food from poor families? Are you serious?

This bill is catastrophic. It is not policy. It is punishment.

Let's talk facts. It slashes Medicaid, cuts Medicare, and dismantles the ACA. It shuts rural hospitals, closes nursing homes, and wipes out health centers. It takes food off kitchen tables, throws clean energy workers out of jobs, and spikes utility bills. Why? So billionaires and oil companies can

cash in. Big Oil gets handouts. Billionaires get tax breaks. Working families get scraps, if that.

Jeff Bezos just had a \$50 million wedding in Venice, and they want to give him another tax break. Meanwhile, families making under \$50,000 a year get less than a buck a day in tax cuts. You can't even buy a cup of coffee with that.

The cost? Over \$3 trillion added to the debt and a \$5 trillion debt ceiling raise brought to you by the very people who won't shut up about so-called fiscal responsibility.

This isn't tax reform to relieve the middle and working class. It is a robbery that will result in the largest transfer of wealth from the poor to the rich our country has ever seen.

Let's not lie to the American people. This is about taking from the most vulnerable to pay off the already powerful. It is about pleasing one man who is holding this Congress hostage with primary threats and social media tantrums.

This bill is cruel. It is cowardly. It is a betrayal of everything this country is supposed to stand for.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. LANGWORTHY).

Mr. LANGWORTHY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of the rule to consider H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

The stakes for the American people could not be higher. We are rapidly approaching a fiscal cliff. If we do nothing, the Trump tax cuts, the most progrowth tax reforms in generations, will expire.

That means higher taxes on nearly every American. That means a gutted child tax credit. That means small businesses will lose the tools they need to survive and to thrive. That means less take-home pay for working families that have already been crushed by the Biden-era inflation.

This isn't some hypothetical scenario. This is the Democrats' game plan. They want to raise taxes. They want to punish success. They want more people dependent on government and fewer people building independent lives. Republicans will not let that happen.

With H.R. 1, we are stepping in to lock in the Trump tax cuts permanently and to provide targeted new relief to the workers and the families who keep this country running: seniors, tipped workers, and those putting in overtime to get ahead.

Mr. Speaker, this bill also takes a critical step to protect and strengthen Medicaid, a vital program for millions of Americans.

Let me be very clear about something: This isn't anything radical. We are restoring the same commonsense work requirements that President Bill Clinton signed into law in the 1990s, one of the most popular things he did.

Back then, a Democratic President worked with a Republican Congress to say that if you are able-bodied and adult without dependents and receiving government assistance, you should be expected to work, train, or volunteer and participate in the economy. It worked. Poverty dropped, employment rose, and millions of Americans moved from welfare to work and independence.

Democrats today have abandoned that model. They want permanent government dependency. They will oppose any form of accountability, and they will vilify anyone who dares to suggest that opportunity should come with responsibility. Republicans are standing up for what works and what is right.

□ 1110

We are saying Medicaid must remain a lifeline for the truly vulnerable: children, seniors, people with disabilities, and low-income parents.

However, for able-bodied adults without kids, yes, you should try to work, just like in the 1990s, just like under President Bill Clinton.

This isn't extreme. It is not partisan. It is proven policy that respects both taxpayers and lifts people up.

Mr. Speaker, this bill delivers economic relief, fiscal sanity, and basic fairness. It secures the future of our economy and our safety net.

Let's choose the path that has been tested and proven to work. Let's approve this rule. Let's pass the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, and let's get this country back on track.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I want to alert all Members to something about this rule. It has a mistake in it.

Republicans have rushed this process from the very beginning to meet Trump's artificial July 4 deadline, and this rule is no exception.

We found an error in the rule at 2 a.m. after the Rules Committee reported the rule. This is going to sound a little in the weeds, but they forgot to do something called considering the previous question as ordered on their big ugly bill.

Now, let me put that in English. What that means is they won't have an escape hatch once they start debate on this bill. If they start it and they realize they don't have the votes, they still have to take the embarrassing vote. There are also other consequences, but this Speaker's timeout authority is the one Republican leadership is most afraid of.

Now, I expect they will try to fix this with an amendment, but I have a question for Members. If this Republican leadership cannot get a one-paragraph rule right, can we really trust them to get an 870-page bill right?

Not only are we going to find things that were sneaked into this bill, we are going to find massive mistakes that have unintended consequences for people and industries across this country.

This whole process has been lousy, and this rule is no exception.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT).

Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the opportunity to address the American citizens for just a couple of minutes.

I know many of our citizens are concerned about some of the language in the bill, and I think maybe the reason they are concerned is because the people that are telling them what is in the bill never bothered to read the bill, so I thought I would just read to them a part.

If the Democrats have read this, then they are lying to the general public.

This excerpt is on SNAP. "Exceptions. Paragraph (2) shall not apply to an individual if the individual is— (A) under 18, or over 65, years of age;

(B) medically certified as physically or mentally unfit for employment;

(C) a parent or other member of a household with responsibility for a dependent child under 14 years of age"

When the Democrats are telling you that we are kicking disabled people off of SNAP or we are kicking disabled people off of Medicaid, it is an absolute lie.

Look at pages 16 and 17 of the legislation. It will take about 60 seconds to read it. That is exactly what it says.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, there is a lot of low energy on that side.

I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. MORELLE), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on House Administration.

Mr. MORELLE. Mr. Speaker, I thank my good friend, the gentleman from Massachusetts, the ranking member of the Rules Committee, for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, as we reach the apparent conclusion of this absurd exercise, it is worth asking: What is the purpose of this bill? This bill will strip away health insurance from nearly 17 million people, devastating communities like mine in Rochester, New York. This bill will recklessly eliminate food assistance for nearly 3 million Americans, including nearly a million senior citizens. This bill will explode the deficit by \$3.3 trillion.

For what?

Well, Mr. Speaker, sometimes the simplest explanation is closest to the truth. We all saw the gaggle of billionaires sitting behind Donald Trump on Inauguration Day earlier this year. The driving force behind this entire legislative effort has been to grant handouts to those same billionaires and special interests all at the expense of hardworking families.

Mr. Speaker, I hope my colleagues on the other side of the aisle will stop and think again before voting for the worst piece of legislation in generations. But I know one thing for certain, House Democrats will never stop fighting for American families.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. MCDOWELL).

Mr. MCDOWELL. Mr. Speaker, today we take another big step toward delivering a course correction for our country. The American people sent us here with a mandate, to fight back and deliver on a promise. That is what the One Big Beautiful Bill Act does.

Americans should first know what my colleagues in opposition to this legislation are voting for and what they are voting against.

Not passing this bill means a \$1,700 increase in taxes for the average American family of four. Mr. Speaker, that might not be a big deal to the elites in Manhattan or San Francisco, but it does mean a lot to the people of my district in North Carolina.

Not passing this bill means our Border Patrol officers and our Coast Guard won't have what they need to secure the border and stop the flow of deadly fentanyl.

It doesn't stop there, Mr. Speaker. Opposing this bill also means protecting waste over purpose, fraud over fairness, and foreign interests over American strength.

Mr. Speaker, I want to make sure voters in my district know what passing the One Big Beautiful Bill Act will mean for them. It means they get to keep more of their hard-earned money. It means that small businesses can spend more money on their employees instead of sending it to Washington. This bill will make our communities safer by making a historic investment in our border security. No more dangerous, illegal aliens parading around with no consequences.

Mr. Speaker, this legislation is one of the most consequential items we will vote on. It is President Trump's agenda, and it is what we all told the people back home we would do.

Now the House must get it done. I urge all of my colleagues to vote in favor of this rule. Let's choose our country over comfort and get the One Big Beautiful Bill Act to the President's desk.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I know a Senator from North Carolina who disagrees with everything the gentleman just said, including a lot of hospitals in North Carolina that disagree with what he just said.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. RASKIN), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Speaker, I found the preamble to this big ugly bill: We, the billionaires and our king, in order to deform and sicken our Union, establish injustice, ensure domestic servility, weaken our people's defenses, undermine the general welfare, and reserve to ourselves and our posterity staggering debt servitude for eternity, do hereby instruct the Republicans in Congress to strip 17 million people of

their healthcare, increase copays, deductibles, and premiums for everyone else, cut 42 million people off of nutritional assistance, increase the national debt by \$4 trillion, trash renewable energy systems, increase our electric bills for the carbon kings, all to weaken and destroy the Constitution of the people of these United States of America.

Please include this preamble in the legislative RECORD.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. GARCÍA), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

Mr. GARCÍA of California. Mr. Speaker, my Democratic colleagues and I believe in a country where we take care of our fellow Americans and the wealthiest pay their fair share. However, Republicans are fighting for the biggest rip-off in American history and the biggest attack on our social safety net in decades.

If this bill passes, 16 million people are going to lose their health insurance. They will take away basic food assistance from millions of veterans, seniors, and kids. The poorest 10 percent of Americans are going to lose \$1,600 per person on average while the richest only get richer because of this bill. It is a transfer from the poor and the middle class to the wealthiest. It does all of this while increasing debt over \$3 trillion.

What happened to fiscal responsibility?

That means that our grandkids will fund a tax cut for Elon Musk. Now, while I am proud that we are defeating a number of extremely concerning provisions in this House bill, this is our last chance to stand up for our values.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this big BS bill. The American people are watching.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. HARIDOPOLOS).

Mr. HARIDOPOLOS. Mr. Speaker, promises made, promises kept.

Voters wanted change for one simple reason: It was too expensive to live in America for the last 4 years. Gas prices, rent prices, and food prices were up radically. This bill is designed to help those very people hurt over the last 4 years. People who earn tips or overtime, as well as those who earn Social Security get a big tax cut.

□ 1120

Mr. Speaker, let's be clear, so the American public hears it loud and clear: The current tax rate on the wealthiest Americans is 37 percent. Under this bill, it remains at 37 percent.

At the same time, we are protecting the most vulnerable. Please read the bill. Seniors in nursing homes are unaffected. Persons who are disabled are unaffected. Pregnant women on Med-

icaid are unaffected. Low-income kids, whose parents aren't making much, are unaffected.

Isn't it nice to see that Washington actually keeps its promises from the campaign trail? This is a welcome change. Donald Trump said that we are going to bring America back by putting more money back in their pockets, instead of sending it up here to Washington, D.C.

He promised a secure border. Mr. Speaker, there is a 99 percent reduction in the number of people coming across the border illegally. That is action. What are we putting in this bill? We are putting in the money needed to make sure it stays that way.

I am proud to support this bill because I came to Washington for one reason: I came to Washington to make promises, keep promises, and prove that the Republicans can lead and are willing to make sure that the great American comeback continues.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I read the bill, too. I want to know why Jeff Bezos and multimillionaires and multi-billionaires are getting tax cuts.

Last night, I offered an amendment to let Trump's tax cuts expire only for people earning more than \$1 billion a year. Every single Republican voted against it. What is that all about? Follow the money.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. THOMPSON), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on Homeland Security.

Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, Republicans are openly bragging about how the bill kicks children and the elderly off Medicaid, nutritional assistance, and more, all to pay for another tax break for wealthy Republican donors.

My Republican colleagues are not talking about the trillions and trillions of dollars their political giveaway is going to cost. In fact, Mr. Speaker, Vice President VANCE has said historic levels of Homeland Security funding in the bill sweetens the deal for the devil.

This deal means billions of American tax dollars to build a wall Trump promised Mexico would pay for.

This bill means billions of dollars for masked ICE agents to terrorize immigrant families who have been contributing to our country for decades, including law-abiding marines and even American kids.

This bill means billions of dollars for DHS to continue obstructing Congress and denying due process to legal immigrants.

My Republican colleagues may be fooled by the one big, ugly bill, but the American people are not. I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on the rule and the underlying bill.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. ALFORD).

Mr. ALFORD. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Virginia (Ms. Foxx) for her work on this.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the rule and in strong support of H.R. 1, President Trump's One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Today, Mr. Speaker, we face a watershed moment for the American people. This Republican majority was given a clear mandate by the American people, which is the America First agenda. This bill is our opportunity to deliver on that agenda.

H.R. 1 stops the largest tax increase in U.S. history, while providing the largest tax cut for working- and middle-class families ever, with no tax on tips, no tax on overtime, and tax breaks for seniors.

H.R. 1 protects the 87,000 Missouri farms and countless family-owned small businesses from double taxation by expanding the death tax exemption.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act reverses 4 long years of Biden's open-border policies with a generational investment in border security, including funding to complete phase 2 of the border wall.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act modernizes our Armed Forces for 21st century threats by properly funding our military and funding the Golden Dome.

H.R. 1 ends the progressive left's war on American energy by unleashing domestic oil, natural gas, and nuclear energy production. H.R. 1 lowers energy costs, ensuring reliable and stable energy sources. H.R. 1 renews not just energy independence but makes us energy dominant once again.

Finally, H.R. 1 restores fiscal sanity by cutting almost \$2 trillion in Federal spending. It rescinds billions in green new scam funding. It eliminates waste, fraud, and abuse from numerous Federal programs.

Mr. Speaker, this is a time for choosing. We can choose to side with the illegal aliens, unelected rogue bureaucrats, and fear-mongering from the America left or we can choose to side with the American people and deliver on the mandate that they gave us back in November.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to put America first, support the rule, and pass the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, cult much? That gentleman's poster says it all. It is not about American families. It is about one big party for Trump. It is about swelling up his ego.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI), the Speaker Emerita.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) for his leadership.

Mr. Speaker, the gentleman just ended by asking us to vote for the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. If beauty is in the eye of the beholder, the GOP has a very blurred vision of what America is about.

Is it beautiful to cut off food from seniors and children? Is it beautiful to

cut off 17 million people from healthcare? Is it beautiful to do this to give tax cuts to billionaires in our country? Is it beautiful to take money from education and the rest? The list goes on and on.

Nearly 60 years ago, Dr. King said: "Of all the forms of inequality, injustice in health is the most shocking and the most inhuman because it often results in physical death."

We come to this floor with the moral force of Dr. King's words in our hearts. Let us not with this bill turn the American Dream he talked about into a nightmare for America's seniors, the disabled, and our children. Let us vote "no" on this shameful bill and throw a punch for the children.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on Financial Services.

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, this big, ugly bill strikes healthcare from 17 million Americans, shuts hospitals, and starves 12 million people by taking away their food stamps.

These cuts add up to \$1.3 trillion, the exact cost of the tax cut Republicans are handing out to the richest 1 percent of Americans. That is not all. The entire bill will add a whopping \$3.3 trillion to our Nation's \$35 trillion debt. So much for Republicans being the party of fiscal responsibility.

They are also gutting the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, an agency that returned \$21 billion to families cheated by megabanks. They are cutting housing funds during an affordability crisis and weakening oversight of Wall Street. Maybe they have forgotten that the people elected them, not Trump or the billionaire class.

Mr. Speaker, it will be a cold day in hell before we let Republicans get away with this.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, if I could inquire of the gentlewoman, if she doesn't have many speakers, maybe she can lend us some time. We have a ton.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I would say nice try to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN).

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I say that because here in Congress, we measure how much people really believe in their position by whether they are willing to come and join their leaders on the House floor.

I count 4 Members on the Republican side, and we have over 75 here. If I were them, I wouldn't want to be associated with this bill either.

Mr. Speaker, I urge we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to consider amendment No. 156 offered by Leader JEFFRIES, which strikes all provisions that will cause millions of Americans to lose healthcare and food assistance.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of my amendment into the RECORD, along with any extraneous material, immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. OCASIO CORTEZ) to discuss our proposal.

□ 1130

Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ. Mr. Speaker, President Trump issued some statements throughout this process saying, urging, and insisting that this bill does not cut Medicaid.

He has also said some things. He says he doesn't think I am too much of a smart person. I will tell you one thing. It doesn't take a smart person to know if you are being lied to.

President Trump, you are either being lied to or you are lying to the American people because this bill represents, in the text of this bill, the largest and greatest loss of healthcare in American history.

Seventeen million Americans will lose their healthcare with this bill, not undocumented people, not the disgusting term "illegal," but 17 million Americans will have their healthcare cut from this bill.

On this point of tax on tips, as one of the only people in this body who has lived off of tips, I want to tell you a little bit about the scam of that text, a little bit of the fine print there.

The cap on that is \$25,000, while you are jacking up taxes on people who make less than \$50,000 across the United States, while taking away their SNAP, while taking away their Medicaid, and while kicking them off of the ACA and their healthcare extensions.

If you are at home and living off tips, you do the math. Is that worth it to you? Losing all your healthcare, not being able to feed your babies, and not being able to put a diaper on their bottom in exchange for what?

This bill is a deal with the devil. It explodes our national debt. It militarizes our entire economy. It strips away healthcare and basic dignity from the American people. For what? To give Elon Musk a tax break, and billionaires, the greedy, taking our Nation.

We cannot stand for it, and we will not support it. You should be ashamed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President, and Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. NEHLS).

Mr. NEHLS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to discuss an important provision in the big, beautiful bill.

I was proud to introduce the Endowment Tax Fairness Act, which would

tax the endowment profits of private, elite universities at 21 percent, raising an estimated—listen to me—\$70 billion to \$100 billion over 10 years.

The Senate gutted this provision and reduced it to under \$1 billion annually to protect elite universities that take hundreds of millions of dollars annually in Federal tax dollars.

We were given instructions to generate revenue to pay for President Trump's wonderful priorities. We are not taxing the endowment itself. Harvard has \$53 billion. We are not taxing that. We are just going to tax the net earnings on their investments at 21 percent, which is equal to the corporate tax rate.

What did the Senate do? They reduced it to 8 percent, just under 8 percent.

It is about time that we get these universities to pay the same that corporate America pays.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ).

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this vile Republican plunder of working families, seniors, and veterans, all so the richest Americans can be showered with even more wealth.

This billionaire bailout would strip healthcare coverage from 17 million Americans, nearly 2 million in my State of Florida. It would push millions into crowded, costly emergency rooms and saddle them with medical debt.

Trump's big, ugly bill also steals record food assistance from millions of kids, seniors, and veterans.

It makes cancer screenings and prenatal care harder to get by defunding Planned Parenthood.

It cripples solar and wind projects and kills millions of clean, green manufacturing and construction jobs, all so the rich can get huge tax breaks.

No family is unscathed because this bill also blows up the national debt by \$4 trillion, which drives up interest rates on car loans and mortgages and triggers billions in Medicare cuts, cutting healthcare for our seniors.

This bill makes life less affordable and more painful. Instead of uplifting lives, it will end them.

When history looks back on this bill, its legacy will be: Trump lied, and people died.

Vote "no."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded, once again, to refrain from engaging in personalities toward the President.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. MAGAZINER).

Mr. MAGAZINER. Mr. Speaker, when we say that the Republican Party has turned into a cult, this is what we mean. Our Republican colleagues are pushing a bill that would throw their constituents under the bus, a bill that

flies in the face of everything they claim to stand for, all because Donald Trump wants a bill-signing photo op by the Fourth of July.

My Republican colleagues know that this bill will cost 17 million Americans their health insurance. They know that nursing homes in their own districts will have to close down. They know that, under this bill, \$5 trillion of debt is being added that will be paid for by my children, your children, and their children for generations to come so that today's billionaires can get a tax break.

They know that this bill is unpopular. Many of their vulnerable Members will lose reelection over it, but the cult leader has decided. He wants his photo op on July Fourth, and our Kool-Aid-drinking colleagues are going along with it.

They know this is wrong. They still have a chance to do right by their constituents and their convictions.

Vote "no" on this bill.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the very distinguished gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. WILSON).

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairwoman VIRGINIA FOXX of North Carolina for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, indeed, Republicans were elected last November, but with promises made, promises kept.

Despite the extraordinarily ignorant lies on the other side, the American people know that the bill that we will be voting on today reduces taxes, creating jobs.

It is the elimination of taxes on tips and overtime. It provides for securing the border. It provides for energy independence. It provides for the ability to have peace through strength. All of this is in the bill.

On compassion, it is not compassion to support programs that are ultimately going to fail. Republicans want people who need assistance to receive assistance.

Margaret Thatcher has identified what they are proposing, and that is that socialism will work until you run out of other people's money to spend.

They are putting the poor people of America at risk. We are the ones with compassion.

Additionally, with promises made, promises kept by Donald Trump, I have lived it. I led the delegation to move the Embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem. He did that. People at the State Department said that we shouldn't do it because it leads to dislocation. No, it led to the Embassy being in Jerusalem, and it led to the Abraham Accords.

We know that last week the President was advised not to bomb Iran, that it would lead to World War III. No, it has led to a cease-fire and the ability to have the Abraham Accords extended to other countries, including Syria.

I am really grateful that LINDSEY GRAHAM was such an adviser. The President acted despite the naysayers that we have all around us.

I just appreciate Donald Trump. Promises made, promises kept.

□ 1140

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. KENNEDY).

Mr. KENNEDY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I am an occupational therapist. I helped people live the fullest life they could given the cards they were dealt. I worked at a school for children with disabilities and a nursing home, helping seniors maintain their independence.

I know exactly what it means to cut \$1 trillion from Medicare, Medicaid, and the Affordable Care Act, which is exactly what this big, ugly bill does.

Taking away healthcare for 17 million Americans won't make us any healthier. Stealing food away from the neediest children, seniors, and veterans won't make us any stronger. Giving billionaires a tax break won't make us any richer. Children will go hungry. Seniors will lose their healthcare. Students will lose their financial aid. Hospitals will close. Americans will die.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my Republican colleagues to stand up; grow a spine; stop bowing down to your king, Donald Trump; represent your constituents like you were elected to do; and vote "no" on this horrible, big, ugly bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For the third time, the Chair would remind Members not to engage in personalities toward the President, or they will be called out of order.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, if there are no other Republican Members willing to speak for this bill, we can send some Members over to you just to state the facts as to what is in this bill. Let's do the public a service.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. OLSZEWSKI).

Mr. OLSZEWSKI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to remind my colleagues that this bill is more than words on paper. The policies we are debating today have real impacts on real people, people like 4-year-old Amir Rich, who visited my office earlier this month. Amir was born at just 2 pounds and spent 452 days in the hospital.

Today, although he still depends on feeding tubes and oxygen tanks, he is thriving. He is walking and able to speak. He lit up my office with energy. That is thanks to the care he received under Medicaid.

Christina was forced to leave her 16-year career in corrections to become his full-time caregiver, placing a significant financial strain on their family. In the face of rising costs for American families, Medicaid has become a vital lifeline helping to cover the kind of care Amir needs.

The bill before us guts these lifelines like Medicaid and CHIP. These programs cover nearly half of all American children.

For families like Christina and Amir, today's vote is a matter of life and death. I encourage my colleagues to look at Amir. Look at him and oppose this reckless bill. Protect the vital support systems that serve millions of Americans.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. KENNEDY).

Mr. KENNEDY of Utah. Mr. Speaker, it is a pleasure to be here and speak in favor of the rule for the big, beautiful bill.

As a provider of healthcare myself, as a doctor, and as a recipient of Medicaid services—my first three children were born on Medicaid—in addition to the fact that as a child, I was receiving food assistance not only from free school lunch programs but church programs, recognizing the vital need associated with Medicaid as well as with these food programs, we need to rightsize these programs.

Mr. Speaker, if we don't take a different trajectory, these programs will collapse under the economic failures of prior administrations. The reality behind this is, this bill that we are discussing today is insisting on work requirements associated with the recipients of Medicaid.

If you are an able-bodied, working adult, you should be able to go out and find a job. If you can't find a job, you need to go to a training program and try to find training so that you can get a job and ultimately get off Medicaid.

One of the components about Medicaid, we all know, is that it was formed in favor of pregnant women, disabled people, as well as the elderly. What the Republicans are trying to do is rightsize the Medicaid program so that it survives for the long-term, vital needs of the people of the future.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, if you can't find a job, just enroll in a training program. That is hard to do when Republicans are cutting and gutting the training programs that exist in this country.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JOHNSON).

Ms. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have been listening to this debate, and I am struck by the appalling ability of the Members of the other side who are so afraid of this President. They are willing to lie to the American people because they fear the tweet of a President more than they fear the wrath of the voters at the ballot box. This will come home to roost because 17 million people are going to lose their healthcare.

In Texas, we are one of the largest creators of clean energy jobs. Texas is the energy State. I am proud to be an energy State. We have vast amounts of oil and gas jobs, but we also are the largest creator of clean energy jobs. We will lose millions of jobs in our State because Republicans of this delegation are going to vote for this bill.

In response to Representative NEHLS, I am a proud graduate of the Univer-

sity of Texas. I think Texas A&M and our elite University of Texas deserve to have the funding in our universities.

With this bill, we must vote it down. I condemn the Republicans in the State of Texas who are going to harm our State with their support of this bill.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CASTRO).

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Three years ago today, I was diagnosed with neuroendocrine cancer. That day, my youngest child, my daughter, turned 2 months old.

There is a drug that I have to take every month, every 28 days, and the first time I saw the bill for that, the list price on that drug was \$24,000 per injection. For people that don't have insurance or Medicaid or aren't covered, they are not getting that shot. They are not going to survive, some of them. This is a choice for some of you between your career and saving people's lives.

There are so many folks who have reached out over the last several months panicking about their disabled children, about their senior citizen parents who are in nursing homes, people struggling with cancer, Alzheimer's, dementia, sickle cell, diabetes, all of these illnesses. You have the power today to make sure that they can live with dignity and stay alive, or you can choose your career. That is your choice.

We choose to keep people alive. We choose to have healthcare in this country. We refuse to cut healthcare for 17 million people nationwide and 1.6 million people in Texas because it is wrong and it is immoral and we can take a different course.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Washington (Mr. BAUMGARTNER).

Mr. BAUMGARTNER. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the big, beautiful bill.

At its heart, the big, beautiful bill is a measure that will make America both wealthier and safer. I am inspired in thinking about the big, beautiful bill of not only this President but two of my favorite former Presidents.

The first would be President John Fitzgerald Kennedy, who realized—getting the appropriate quote—realized the negative impacts of high tax rates. Indeed, roughly 60 years ago, President Kennedy warned: "The largest single barrier to full employment of our manpower and resources and to a higher rate of economic growth is the unrealistically heavy drag of Federal income taxes on private purchasing power, initiative, and incentive."

Indeed, I have little doubt that President Kennedy would be a big fan of the big, beautiful bill.

Similarly, President Reagan realized peace through strength was how America could defeat the communist Soviet Union. He realized how missile defense

was key to facing up to the challenge of the communists.

Today, the big, beautiful bill puts much-needed funding into missile defense through the Golden Dome system.

I encourage every American that cares about making our country wealthier and safer to support the big, beautiful bill.

□ 1150

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. STEVENS).

Ms. STEVENS. Mr. Speaker, this bill makes me sick to my stomach. I have met with over 200 manufacturers in my district, and the one thing that I hear over and over again is that the cost of everything is going up.

This administration is now, yet again, trying to raise prices on working Michiganders. This bill in Michigan will kick 750,000 people off their Medicaid. It will eliminate critical investments in Michigan manufacturing. It means, for Michiganders, that costs will continue to go up and up.

As someone who served as the chief of staff on the U.S. auto rescue in the Obama administration, I know what it means to see Michiganders struggling. I know what it means when Michiganders have to choose between paying their bills and paying for life-saving healthcare, all while billionaires get a tax cut.

This bill, Mr. Speaker, makes me sick to my stomach, and I urge everyone to vote "no."

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I feel the excitement on the other side of the aisle.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. SYKES).

Mrs. SYKES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to oppose this terrible bill that is a bad deal for Ohio, the residents of Ohio's 13th Congressional District, and the people of the United States of America.

When I was first elected, I told the people of Ohio's 13th Congressional District that it may be my name on the ballot, but when I win, we are all going to Washington together. I made that promise because, as a Representative of my district, it is my responsibility to make sure that my constituents' needs are being addressed here in Washington.

The people in Ohio's 13th Congressional District need lower costs. The people in Ohio's 13th Congressional District need access to care. The people in Ohio's 13th District need opportunity, opportunity to work, to buy a house, and to live their American Dream. Yet, this bill does none of that.

Instead, it kicks 17 million people off their healthcare and makes life more expensive. People don't have extra money to pay for billionaires' yachts and monthslong vacations.

This bill takes money away from hardworking Americans and gives it to

the rich. It takes food away from children, making their bellies hungry.

In my district, Mr. Speaker, 30,000 jobs are in jeopardy. I don't want to hear another word from Republicans about people not wanting to work when they are sending them to the unemployment line.

Mr. Speaker, this is a bad bill. Do not allow the people in my district to be unemployed, hungry, and without the ability to live their American Dream.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, my Democratic colleagues continue to rail against commonsense community engagement requirements, which require able-bodied adults without an exemption to spend 20 hours per week working, volunteering, enrolling in school, or participating in a work development program.

Let's be perfectly clear. These work requirements apply only to able-bodied adults without young dependents who don't have a disqualifying condition.

Individuals who are exempt from these requirements include pregnant women, individuals under the age of 19 or over the age of 64, foster youth and former foster youth under the age of 26, members of Tribes, individuals who are considered medically frail, and individuals who are already in compliance with the work requirements under Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, TANF, or the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, SNAP.

The list goes on, but our priority remains the same. It is to root out waste, fraud, and abuse and to strengthen and sustain Medicaid for those for whom the program was intended to serve: expectant mothers, children with disabilities, the poor, and the elderly.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, Democratic Leader JEFFRIES has an amendment to strike the devastating cuts to healthcare and SNAP, and I yield to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. CLARKE), the chair of the Congressional Black Caucus, for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. CLARKE of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WOMACK). Does the gentlewoman from North Carolina yield for that purpose?

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate, and I do not yield for that request.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina does not yield. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. CARTER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. CARTER of Louisiana. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the

amendment at the desk that protects against any Medicaid cuts and any cuts to SNAP.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate. I do not yield for that request.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair understands that the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Georgia (Mrs. MCBATH) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. MCBATH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Once again, the Chair understands that the gentlewoman from North Carolina will not yield for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. OMAR) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. OMAR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has expressed a desire not to entertain the unanimous consent request for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. EVANS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. EVANS of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Given that the gentlewoman from North Carolina will not accept the request for the purpose, therefore, cannot be entertained at this time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New York (Mr. MEEKS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. MEEKS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Once again, the Chair understands that the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Nevada (Mr. HORSFORD) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. HORSFORD. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule

to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair understands that the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. BEATTY) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. BEATTY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Once again, the Chair understands that the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. FOUSHEE) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. FOUSHEE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair understands the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Alabama (Ms. SEWELL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. SEWELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not

yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

□ 1200

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, are you sure you don't want to check with the gentlewoman from North Carolina just in case she changed her mind?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is pretty confident.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. ADAMS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. ADAMS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX) has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. MFUME) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. MFUME. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. CHERFILUS-McCORMICK) for the purpose of unanimous consent request.

Mrs. CHERFILUS-McCORMICK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. JACKSON) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. JACKSON of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. CROCKETT) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. CROCKETT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not

yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. SYKES) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. SYKES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Mrs. HAYES) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. BROWN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. BROWN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Virginia (Ms. MCCLELLAN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. MCCLELLAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. SIMON) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. SIMON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Oregon (Ms. BYNUM) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. BYNUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule

to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. IVEY) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. IVEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Mrs. MCIVER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. MCIVER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. AMO) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. AMO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. KAMLAGER-DOVE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. BELL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. BELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. RANDALL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. RANDALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. BUDZINSKI) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. BUDZINSKI. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Hawaii (Ms. TOKUDA) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. TOKUDA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. MENG) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. MENG. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. DINGELL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Pennsylvania (Ms. HOULAHAN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. HOULAHAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas (Mrs. FLETCHER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. FLETCHER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New York (Mr. TONKO) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. TONKO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Ms. PRESSLEY) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. PRESSLEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, does one Republican Member have the power to block an amendment to protect Medicaid and SNAP?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The manager of the pending resolution would have to yield for any such amendment.

Mr. MCGOVERN. That kind of seems like a silly rule.

Anyway, Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. GILLEN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. GILLEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule

to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. MORRISON) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. MORRISON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

□ 1220

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. BROWNLEY) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. BROWNLEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Once again, the gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request may not be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Mrs. TORRES) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. LIEU) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. LIEU. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not

amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. RUIZ) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. RUIZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. CASTOR) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. CASTOR of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

□ 1230

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. DESAULNIER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. DESAULNIER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not

yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. MATSUI) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New Hampshire (Mr. PAPPAS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. PAPPAS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Nevada (Ms. TITUS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. TITUS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SUBRAMANYAM) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. SUBRAMANYAM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Ms. SHERRILL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. SHERRILL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New York (Mr. MANNION) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. MANNION. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule

to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. LYNCH) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. LYNCH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid or the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. CLYBURN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. CLYBURN. Mr. Speaker, I request that we allow a vote to let the 10-20-30 formula be applied to the low-income areas affected by this bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman seek unanimous consent?

Mr. CLYBURN. Mr. Speaker, I seek unanimous consent.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentlewoman yield for that purpose?

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I do not yield.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina does not yield for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. CLYBURN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman has been clear. She is not entertaining any unanimous consent requests. She will not yield to that point. Therefore, it cannot be entertained at this time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. CARBAJAL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. CARBAJAL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. ESCOBAR) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. ESCOBAR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. DELBENE) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. DELBENE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. COHEN) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the spirit of Jesus as it is spoken in Matthew: He was sick, and I healed him. He was hungry, and I fed him.

Medicare, healthcare, SNAP payments, and nutrition payments should not be taken away from fellow passengers on this Earth.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Further, the Chair would advise Members that although a unanimous consent to consider a measure is covered by the Speaker's guidelines for recognition, embellishments constitute debate and, as such, can become an imposition on the time of the Member who is yielded for that purpose.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. ROSS) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. ROSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. WHITESIDES) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. WHITESIDES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from New Hampshire (Ms. GOODLANDER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. GOODLANDER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and to SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. THOMPSON) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule and to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. SCHNEIDER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. SCHNEIDER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. MAGAZINER) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. MAGAZINER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CASAR) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. CASAR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not

yielded for that purpose. Therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

□ 1240

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. STANTON) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Mr. STANTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid or SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and, therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the distinguished woman from California (Ms. PELOSI) for the purpose of a unanimous consent request.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to amend the rule to make in order the amendment at the desk that protects against any cuts to Medicaid and SNAP.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has not yielded for that purpose, and, therefore, the unanimous consent request cannot be entertained.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, over 100 Democratic Members just asked to allow an amendment to protect Medicaid and SNAP, to just give us a vote. That is all I ask.

I will say that we are here standing up for the American people, no matter where they live, and this is what it looks like to fight for the American people, to make sure that this administration and this Republican Congress don't rip away their healthcare and their food benefits. That is disgusting.

In the gentlewoman from North Carolina's district alone, 142,000 of her constituents would lose some or all of their SNAP benefits, and five rural hospitals are at risk of closure.

My Democratic colleagues and I are here to help people, not to screw them over.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, wow, that gambit was riveting.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts has 7 minutes remaining. The gentlewoman from North Carolina has 7¾ minutes remaining.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FINE).

Mr. FINE. Mr. Speaker, as I sat here and listened to that panoply of speeches or motions over the last few seconds, I was reminded of the fact that when we say there should be no cuts to Medicaid, we are saying there should be no

cuts to illegal immigrants who are getting Medicaid.

We live in a world where we spend more money than we bring in, and it is not fair to actual Americans to be forced to take on additional debt to give benefits to people who are not in this country legally.

Mr. Speaker, I note that the Democrats have not proposed a solution that would allow us to continue to give benefits to people who shouldn't be here anyway and pay for them without our children going into future debt. We must keep that in mind.

I am here to fight for Americans, and that is what this bill does.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I don't know if the gentleman has ever read the Medicaid statute, but undocumented immigrants are not entitled to receive Medicaid benefits, and they don't.

Our solution to make sure that our children are not saddled with debt is to not give billionaires, millionaires, and corporations big tax cuts. Jeff Bezos doesn't need a tax cut.

Why don't you come down here and explain to people why it is so important that you protect his tax cuts? We tried to go after the billionaire tax cuts in the Rules Committee last night, and every single Republican voted "no."

That is how we are going to do it. We are going to invest in people who need help. We are going to make sure people have healthcare. We are going to make sure people have food assistance. We are not going to screw them over.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. DESAULNIER).

Mr. DESAULNIER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, this bill would cause household resources for the poorest Americans to decrease while the obscenely rich will get richer.

I offered two amendments in the Rules Committee, one to prevent people with disabilities from being kicked off Medicaid and one to help low-income students afford an education that they earned.

Unfortunately, Republicans refused to consider them, as they yet again worked through the dead of night to rip off hardworking Americans and give tax breaks to the richest Americans who don't need them.

Even FOX News reports that it is projected to increase the Federal deficit by \$4 trillion over the next decade.

This bill is nothing more than a vanity project for the President, and it betrays the American people. I strongly oppose this reckless legislation and urge my colleagues to do the right thing.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Texas (Mr. BABIN).

Mr. BABIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in very strong support of H.R. 1, President Trump's one big, beautiful bill.

Here we are, despite months of our Democratic colleagues' best efforts to delay, fearmonger, and mislead the public about this bill, and we are ready to deliver for the American people.

Here is the thing about fearmongering. You can only say "the sky is falling" so many times before folks start waking up and seeing for themselves exactly what is going on.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act secures our border. It prevents the single largest tax increase in American history and refocuses safety net programs for those who truly need them, not the ones shamming. Then, it reinvigorates our Armed Forces, where we saw a diminution for 4 long years under the Biden administration.

Mr. Speaker, it is high time that we deliver this to the President's desk for a signature. This is promises made and promises kept, and I am very proud to support this bill.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, the big, ugly bill is going to throw 16 million people off of healthcare and give people like Jeff Bezos, millionaires and billionaires, a tax cut. If that is what you are about, I hate to tell you, that is not what the American people voted for.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. RILEY).

Mr. RILEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, where I come from, we make things. Generations woke up before the crack of dawn, went off to the factory, punched a clock, worked their hands to the bone, and came home and counted nickels to make sure they could keep a roof over the kids' heads and keep food on the tables.

We have kept our heads down. We have kept our sleeves rolled up. We have kept our shoulder to the wheel. All we have asked for is a fair shot and for this place to stop screwing us over, but you can't help yourselves.

You got us into terrible trade deals that made Wall Street rich and shipped all of our jobs overseas. You bail out the banks while neglecting rural America. You have rigged the economy so the corporate PACs that are funding your campaigns make huge profits, jacking up costs on folks who can't afford it, and now this.

This bill will kill good, blue-collar manufacturing jobs that we need to rebuild the economy in this country, close rural hospitals, and defund healthcare, all to give trillions of dollars in tax cuts to your cronies.

Don't tell me you give a shit about the middle class when all you are doing is shitting on the middle class.

□ 1250

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would remind both sides of two things. Please direct your remarks to the Chair and avoid vulgar speak. We do have families here. The gentleman will not be recognized.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, thank you for reminding us of the language we should be using in this Chamber.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I hope when the President comes here next, you will admonish him for the language he uses.

Mr. Speaker, I yield one minute to the gentlewoman from Delaware (Ms. MCBRIDE).

Ms. MCBRIDE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this big, ugly bill. Let's be clear: This bill is TrumpCare. And TrumpCare means 17 million more Americans will be uninsured and all the rest of us will be paying higher costs and driving further to get care.

Over the last several months, I have met with hundreds of Delawareans—parents, patients, providers, hospital leaders, teachers, nurses, people from all across the State of Delaware—and everyone was asking us, pleading with us, to kill this bill.

Are my Republican colleagues meeting with their constituents? Are they ignoring them? Do they even care?

Every "yes" vote shows very clearly that they don't. This bill is trickle-down cruelty, and people will die because of it.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, as the President has said numerous times, there will be no cuts to Medicaid. The One Big Beautiful Bill Act protects and strengthens Medicaid for those who rely on it—pregnant women, children, seniors, people with disabilities, and low-income families—while eliminating waste, fraud, and abuse.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act removes illegal aliens, enforces work requirements, and protects Medicaid for the truly vulnerable.

For our colleagues on the other side who say illegal aliens are not receiving this, we understand that 1.4 million people do not meet citizenship and immigration status requirements for Medicaid enrollment but would be covered under current law and programs funded by the States. We know that because we have a CBO score.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. KHANNA).

Mr. KHANNA. Mr. Speaker, I never want to hear the Republicans lecture about fiscal responsibility again. The last President to actually balance this budget was Bill Clinton. Today, Bob Rubin and Larry Summers wrote an op-ed in The New York Times that every American should read.

Their budget will take the deficit up to 8 percent of GDP. It is historically unprecedented in the history of our country during peacetime. We never had a more reckless, deficit-exploding bill than what they are proposing.

The Democrats have a proposal to bring the deficits back down under 4 percent. Bob Rubin and Larry Summers were the last ones who have done it. HAKEEM JEFFRIES is leading with a sensible proposal to lower deficits.

If you care about debt in this country, if you care about our grandkids

being burdened with debt, if you care about a bond market devastation, then you need to recognize that this bill hurts America, and you need to support the Democratic plan for deficit reduction.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. BARR).

Mr. BARR. Mr. Speaker, with the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, President Trump is once again keeping his promises to the American people. He promised he would stop the largest tax increase in America history. He promised he would make the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act permanent. He promised he would deliver the largest tax cut for hard-working taxpayers in American history, and he promised to eliminate taxes on tips, overtime, and Social Security benefits.

President Trump is keeping his word. He is delivering much-needed relief for the American people, and this will produce an investment surge, driving real median incomes up by \$10,000 relative to the scenario in which the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act is allowed to expire.

To my friend from California, if he cares about fiscal responsibility, stopping a \$4.5 trillion tax increase stops a recession. You cannot balance the budget without growth.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I don't want to close, but I am prepared to close.

Mr. Speaker, this bill is a moral failure. It rips healthcare away from millions. It pushes more families into hunger, and it leaves seniors stranded all so billionaires can keep more of what they will never spend.

Republicans say: If you lose your job, too bad. If hospitals shut down, good luck. If you can't afford groceries or insulin, figure it out. But if you are Big Oil, a CEO, or trust fund baby, don't worry, we have got you covered. This bill is about who matters and who doesn't. Guess what. Working people didn't make the cut.

I want my Republican colleagues to know they don't have to do this. They don't have to sell out their own communities. They don't have to vote for a bill that goes against fundamental things they claim to believe in.

Crazy as it sounds, they don't have to hurt people to keep Trump happy. Find a spine. Find a conscience, for God's sake, because the American people are watching. They know the difference between real leadership and bootlicking.

A vote for this bill is a vote for cruelty. It is a vote for fiscal irresponsibility and a vote to screw over your constituents to give more to the ultra wealthy. This bill is a middle finger to working people.

We can still stop this. We can still do the right thing. Vote "no." Go back to the table. Work with us on tax relief for people who are not making millions and billions of dollars. Don't let this be your legacy.

That so many of you are blindly going along with this just to please the

guy in the White House is shameful. You have a responsibility to care for the people in your districts, and you are voting for a bill that is going to throw millions off of healthcare and rip food off kitchen tables all across the country. It is shameful. It is shameful. I am disgusted that we are here debating this trash.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" vote on the rule and a "no" vote on the bill. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Once again, I remind both sides to direct your comments to the Chair.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

People who have supported the Democratic Party policies for years cannot come to this floor and question the morality of Republicans.

President Trump has his pen in hand and is waiting for the House to complete its work. We have championed this legislation for months, have guided it through the appropriate processes, and now we are on the 1 yard line.

America deserves to move even further into the golden age. H.R. 1 unlocks the opportunity to do that. It is time to get this done.

Let's be crystal clear about the content of this historic bill. We are restoring our borders once left defenseless and without redress. We are protecting our great Nation by investing in our military and military families. We are preserving tax cuts that made the United States economy the envy of the world just 8 years ago. We are reviving our Nation's fiscal outlook by finally cutting wasteful spending and unleashing our economy from harmful restraint—restore, protect, preserve, and revive. The failure to act would yield only the opposite.

Our Democrat colleagues would tear down the border wall and return us to open-border policies. They would have us oversee a continued decline in our military readiness despite unprecedented theaters of global conflict. They would destroy our economy in their unending appetite for continued tax increases to feed their spending binges.

□ 1300

Mr. Speaker, the choice is clear. We must deliver. We must deliver a renewed, restored, and revived Nation and grant voters the America they stood for just 8 months ago.

Mr. Speaker, in a moment, I will offer an amendment to the resolution, which would correct a technical error in the rule.

I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on the previous question, "yes" on the amendment, and "yes" on the rule.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MS. FOXX

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I offer an amendment to the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

At the end of the resolution, add the following:

The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to its adoption without intervening motion.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I urge support for the resolution, as amended.

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, and still I rise now, as I did during the Joint Address to Congress when I told the President and the Nation that there is no mandate to cut Medicaid. The waste, fraud, and abuse argument is a façade, because no empirical evidence has been presented to justify cutting more than \$1 trillion from Medicaid, Medicare, and the Affordable Care Act. These deep cuts will allow the richest Americans to receive, on average, an additional \$30,000 annually, while the poorest Americans will lose approximately \$700 annually.

This legislation is a complete betrayal of the American people, extending additional tax breaks to the wealthiest individuals in this country while cutting lifesaving, urgent services for the most vulnerable among us. The cuts to Medicaid, Medicare, and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) alone will take health care and food assistance away from millions of veterans, seniors, and families across the country.

Congressional Democrats offered many amendments to ensure that critical services would not be cut. I submitted an amendment to ensure that individuals who have had their SNAP benefits stolen are able to receive a reimbursement. I also submitted an amendment which would help raise awareness of the risk of renal medullary carcinoma, an aggressive form of kidney cancer found in young individuals with the sickle cell trait. These common-sense amendments would not substantially add to the deficit, yet they were still rejected by Congressional Republicans, alongside all other amendments submitted to make this bill less cruel.

With H.R. 1, President Trump and Congressional Republicans have shown that they want a billionaire bailout at the expense of working families.

The material previously referred to by Mr. MCGOVERN is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 566 OFFERED BY MR. MCGOVERN OF MASSACHUSETTS

Strike everything following the resolved clause and insert the following:

That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14, with the Senate amendment thereto, and to consider in the House, without intervention of any point of order, a motion offered by the chair of the Committee on the Budget or his designee that the House concur in the Senate amendment with the amendment specified in section 2 of this resolution. The Senate amendment and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget or their respective designees and the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to its adoption without intervening motion.

SEC. 2. The amendment referred to in section 1 is as follows:

In subtitle A of title I, strike sections 10101 through 10108.

Strike subtitle B of title VII.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the

previous question on the amendment and on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question on the amendment and on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on ordering the previous question will be followed by 5-minute votes on:

Adoption of the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX), if ordered; and

Adoption of the resolution, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 214, nays 212, not voting 6, as follows:

[Roll No. 187]

YEAS—214

Aderholt	Fong	Luttrell
Alford	Fox	Mace
Allen	Franklin, Scott	Mackenzie
Amodei (NV)	Fry	Malliotakis
Arrington	Fulcher	Maloy
Babin	Garbarino	Mann
Bacon	Gill (TX)	Massie
Baird	Gimenez	Mast
Balderson	Goldman (TX)	McCaul
Barr	Gonzales, Tony	McClain
Barrett	Gooden	McClintock
Baumgartner	Gosar	McCormick
Bean (FL)	Graves	McDowell
Begich	Green (TN)	McGuire
Bentz	Greene (GA)	Messmer
Bergman	Griffith	Miller (IL)
Bice	Grothman	Miller (OH)
Biggs (AZ)	Guest	Miller (WV)
Biggs (SC)	Guthrie	Miller-Meeks
Billirakis	Hageman	Mills
Boebert	Hamadeh (AZ)	Moolenaar
Bost	Haridopolos	Moore (AL)
Brecheen	Harrigan	Moore (NC)
Bresnahan	Harris (MD)	Moore (UT)
Buchanan	Harris (NC)	Moore (WV)
Burlison	Harshbarger	Moran
Calvert	Hern (OK)	Murphy
Cammack	Higgins (LA)	Nehls
Carey	Hill (AR)	Newhouse
Carter (GA)	Hinson	Norman
Carter (TX)	Houchin	Nunn (IA)
Ciscomani	Hudson	Oberholte
Cline	Huizenga	Onder
Cloud	Hunt	Owens
Clyde	Hurd (CO)	Palmer
Cole	Issa	Patronis
Collins	Jack	Perry
Comer	Jackson (TX)	Pfluger
Crane	James	Reschenthaler
Crank	Johnson (LA)	Rogers (AL)
Crawford	Johnson (SD)	Rogers (KY)
Crenshaw	Jordan	Rose
Davidson	Joyce (OH)	Rouzer
De La Cruz	Joyce (PA)	Rulli
DesJarlais	Kean	Rutherford
Diaz-Balart	Kelly (MS)	Salazar
Donalds	Kelly (PA)	Scalise
Downing	Kennedy (UT)	Schmidt
Edwards	Kiggans (VA)	Schweikert
Elzey	Kiley (CA)	Scott, Austin
Emmer	Kim	Self
Estes	Knott	Sessions
Evans (CO)	Kustoff	Shreve
Ezell	LaHood	Simpson
Fallon	LaLota	Smith (MO)
Fedorchak	LaMalfa	Smith (NE)
Feenstra	Langworthy	Smith (NJ)
Fine	Latta	Smucker
Finstad	Lawler	Spartz
Fischbach	Lee (FL)	Staubert
Fitzgerald	Letlow	Stefanik
Fitzpatrick	Loudermilk	Steil
Fleischmann	Lucas	Steube
Flood	Luna	Strong

Stutzman	Van Dwyne	Williams (TX)
Taylor	Van Orden	Wilson (SC)
Tenney	Wagner	Wittman
Thompson (PA)	Walberg	Womack
Timmons	Weber (TX)	Yakym
Turner (OH)	Webster (FL)	Zinke
Valadao	Westerman	
Van Drew	Wied	

NAYS—212

Adams	Golden (ME)	Omar
Aguilar	Goldman (NY)	Pallone
Amo	Gomez	Panetta
Ansari	Gonzalez, V.	Pappas
Auchincloss	Goodlander	Pelosi
Balint	Gottheimer	Perez
Barragan	Gray	Peters
Beatty	Green, Al (TX)	Pettersen
Bell	Harder (CA)	Pingree
Bera	Hayes	Pocan
Beyer	Himes	Pou
Bishop	Horsford	Pressley
Bonamici	Houlahan	Quigley
Boyle (PA)	Hoyer	Ramirez
Brown	Hoyle (OR)	Randall
Brownley	Huffman	Raskin
Budzinski	Ivey	Riley (NY)
Bynum	Jackson (IL)	Rivas
Carbajal	Jacobs	Ross
Carson	Jayapal	Ruiz
Carter (LA)	Jeffries	Ryan
Casar	Johnson (GA)	Salinas
Case	Johnson (TX)	Sánchez
Casten	Kamllager-Dove	Sánchez
Castor (FL)	Kaptur	Scanlon
Castro (TX)	Keating	Schakowsky
Cherfilus-	Kelly (IL)	Schneider
McCormick	Kennedy (NY)	Scholten
Chu	Khanna	Schrier
Cisneros	Krishnamoorthi	Scott (VA)
Clark (MA)	Landsman	Scott, David
Clarke (NY)	Larsen (WA)	Sewell
Clarke (NY)	Larsen (WA)	Sherman
Cleaver	Larson (CT)	Sherrill
Clyburn	Latimer	Simon
Cohen	Lee (NV)	Smith (WA)
Conaway	Lee (PA)	Sorensen
Correa	Leger Fernandez	Soto
Costa	Levin	Stansbury
Courtney	Liccardo	Stanton
Craig	Lieu	Stevens
Crockett	Lofgren	Strickland
Crow	Lynch	Subramanyam
Cuellar	Magaziner	Suozi
Davids (KS)	Mannion	Swalwell
Davis (IL)	Matsui	Sykes
Davis (NC)	McBath	Takano
Dean (PA)	McBride	Thanedar
DeGette	McClain Delaney	Thompson (CA)
DeLauro	McClellan	Thompson (MS)
DeBene	McCollum	Titus
Deluzio	McDonald Rivet	Tommy
DeSaulnier	McGarvey	Traub
Dexter	McGovern	Tokuda
Dingell	McIver	Tonko
Doggett	Meeks	Torres (CA)
Elfreth	Menendez	Torres (NY)
Escobar	Meng	Trahan
Espallat	Mfume	Tran
Evans (PA)	Min	Underwood
Fields	Moore (WI)	Vargas
Figures	Morelle	Vasquez
Fletcher	Morrison	Veasey
Foster	Moskowitz	Velázquez
Foushee	Moulton	Vindman
Frankel, Lois	Mrvan	Wasserman
Friedman	Mullin	Schultz
Frost	Nadler	Waters
Garamendi	Neal	Watson Coleman
Garcia (CA)	Neguse	Whitesides
Garcia (IL)	Norcross	Williams (GA)
Garcia (TX)	Ocasio-Cortez	Wilson (FL)
Gillen	Olszewski	

NOT VOTING—6

Burchett	Meuser	Roy
Dunn (FL)	Ogles	Tiffany

□ 1406

Mr. VARGAS changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WOMACK). The question is on adoption of the amendment offered by the gen-

tlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered. The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 220, noes 212, not voting 0, as follows:

[Roll No. 188]

AYES—220

Aderholt	Goldman (TX)	Miller (WV)
Alford	Gonzales, Tony	Miller-Meeks
Allen	Gooden	Mills
Amodei (NV)	Gosar	Moolenaar
Arrington	Graves	Moore (AL)
Babin	Green (TN)	Moore (NC)
Bacon	Greene (GA)	Moore (UT)
Baird	Griffith	Moore (WV)
Balderson	Grothman	Moran
Barr	Guest	Murphy
Barrett	Guthrie	Nehls
Baumgartner	Hageman	Newhouse
Bean (FL)	Hamadeh (AZ)	Norman
Begich	Haridopolos	Nunn (IA)
Bentz	Harrigan	Oberholte
Bergman	Harris (MD)	Ogles
Bice	Harris (NC)	Onder
Biggs (AZ)	Harshbarger	Owens
Biggs (SC)	Hern (OK)	Palmer
Billirakis	Higgins (LA)	Patronis
Boebert	Hill (AR)	Perry
Bost	Hinson	Pfluger
Brecheen	Houchin	Reschenthaler
Bresnahan	Hudson	Rogers (AL)
Buchanan	Huizenga	Rogers (KY)
Burlison	Burchett	Hunt
Calvert	Burlison	Hurd (CO)
Cammack	Calvert	Rose
Carey	Cammack	Rouzer
Carter (GA)	Carey	Roy
Carter (TX)	Carter (GA)	Rulli
Ciscomani	Carter (TX)	Rutherford
Ciscomani	Ciscomani	Salazar
Cline	Cline	Scalise
Cloud	Cloud	Schmidt
Clyde	Clyde	Schweikert
Cole	Cole	Scott, Austin
Collins	Collins	Self
Comer	Comer	Sessions
Crane	Crane	Shreve
Crank	Crank	Simpson
Crawford	Crawford	Smith (MO)
Crenshaw	Crenshaw	Smith (NE)
Davidson	Davidson	Smith (NJ)
De La Cruz	De La Cruz	Smucker
DesJarlais	DesJarlais	Spartz
Diaz-Balart	Diaz-Balart	Staubert
Donalds	Donalds	Stefanik
Downing	Downing	Steil
Edwards	Edwards	Langworthy
Elzey	Elzey	Latta
Emmer	Emmer	Lawler
Estes	Estes	Strong
Evans (CO)	Evans (CO)	Stutzman
Ezell	Ezell	Taylor
Fallon	Fallon	Tenney
Fedorchak	Fedorchak	Loudermilk
Feenstra	Feenstra	Thompson (PA)
Fine	Fine	Tiffany
Finstad	Finstad	Timmons
Fischbach	Fischbach	Luttrell
Fitzgerald	Fitzgerald	Mace
Fitzpatrick	Fitzpatrick	McDowell
Fleischmann	Fleischmann	Mackenzie
Flood	Flood	Malliotakis
	Fong	Maloy
	Fox	Mann
	Franklin, Scott	Massie
	Fry	Mast
	Fulcher	McCaul
	Garbarino	McClain
	Gill (TX)	McClintock
	Gimenez	McCormick
		McDowell
		McGuire
		Messmer
		Meuser
		Miller (IL)
		Miller (OH)

NOES—212

Adams	Amo	Auchincloss
Aguilar	Ansari	Balint

Barragán
Beatty
Bell
Bera
Beyer
Bishop
Bonamici
Boyle (PA)
Brown
Brownley
Budzinski
Bynum
Carbajal
Carson
Carter (LA)
Casar
Case
Casten
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Cherfilus-
McCormick
Chu
Cisneros
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Conaway
Correa
Costa
Courtney
Craig
Crockett
Crow
Cuellar
Davids (KS)
Davis (IL)
Davis (NC)
Dean (PA)
DeGette
DeLauro
DeBene
Deluzio
DeSaulnier
Dexter
Dingell
Doggett
Elfrehth
Escobar
Espallat
Evans (PA)
Fields
Figures
Fletcher
Foster
Foushee
Frankel, Lois
Friedman
Frost
Garamendi
Garcia (CA)
Garcia (IL)
Garcia (TX)
Gillen
Golden (ME)
Goldman (NY)
Gomez
Gonzalez, V.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. NEGUSE (during the vote). Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, my understanding is that Republican leadership has issued a notice to their Members that they aren't required to be on the floor, essentially an informal adjournment, notwithstanding that this amendment vote is pending. Is it appropriate under the Rules of the House of Representatives' procedure or Jefferson's Manual to keep a vote open while simultaneously declaring to Members that they are free to leave?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. What the Chair will say to the gentleman from Colorado is that, under clause 9 of rule XX, the minimum—underline min-

Panetta
Pappas
Pelosi
Perez
Peters
Pettersen
Pingree
Pocan
Pou
Pressley
Quigley
Ramirez
Randall
Raskin
Riley (NY)
Rivas
Ross
Ruiz
Ryan
Salinas
Sánchez
Scanlon
Schakowsky
Schneider
Scholten
Schrier
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Sewell
Sherman
Sherrill
Simon
Smith (WA)
Sorensen
Soto
Stansbury
Stanton
Stevens
Strickland
Subramanyam
Suozzi
Swalwell
Sykes
Takano
Thanedar
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tokuda
Tonko
Meng
Torres (CA)
Torres (NY)
Trahan
Tran
Underwood
Vargas
Vasquez
Veasey
Velázquez
Vindman
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson Coleman
Whitesides
Williams (GA)
Wilson (FL)

imum—time for voting is 5 minutes on this vote. This vote remains open, so the minimum time is 5 minutes, and there is not a maximum time, nor to this Chair's knowledge is there a requirement that, during the vote, the Members remain on the floor.

As the gentleman from Colorado would surely know, it is customary for Members to vote in the middle of a vote series, leave the floor, and then come back for additional votes later.

I hope that clears up any misunderstanding the gentleman from Colorado would have.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, it does, and I appreciate the Speaker's response. Is it customary for a vote to go over 1 hour?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. It is not unprecedented, though the Chair is not a historian, and so you would have to talk to people who are engaged in the history and the proceedings of the House of Representatives to be able to ascertain that answer. Sorry.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, my final parliamentary procedure request: Would it be permissible, Mr. Speaker, or would the Speaker entertain a motion to adjourn, given that Republicans don't intend to complete this vote?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. That would not be in order. The gentleman rose for a parliamentary inquiry. That answer has been given, and that would be the limitation to the gentleman's ability on the floor.

Mr. NEGUSE. I thank the Speaker.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his inquiry.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, my understanding is that House Republicans have kept this vote open longer than any other Congress in the history of the House of Representatives.

At what point will the Speaker ascertain whether this practice is violative of rule XVII with respect to the order of the House or rule XX with respect to the voting procedures of the House?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. What the Chair would tell the gentleman from Colorado is that, once again, as I said a few hours ago—

Mr. NEGUSE. Nine hours ago, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore.—the presiding officer is not a historian and so, therefore, cannot opine as to whether or not historical precedent is in order or whether this will be a condition of the House going forward.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I asked whether or not it was violative of rule XVII or rule XX for Republicans to keep this vote open longer than any other Congress in the history of the United States House of Representatives.

We know for certain that this, in fact, is a new precedent that has been broken by Republicans. This is the longest—yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. To the gentleman from Colorado, as was stat-

ed, again, several hours ago, the rule provides for a minimum amount of time for voting, not a maximum time for voting. That minimum time is, obviously, part of that rule.

Besides that, the Chair doesn't have any other information he could share with the gentleman from Colorado that would be useful in this discussion.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I conclude simply by saying: Is it permissible under the rules for this vote to be held open for 24 hours, 48 hours, 3 months? How long, in the Speaker's judgment, is it permissible to hold the vote?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is posing hypotheticals, and the Chair is prepared to move on the vote that we are currently in. Therefore, the conversation ends.

□ 2131

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution, as amended.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 219, nays 213, not voting 0, as follows:

[Roll No. 189]

YEAS—219

Aderholt	DesJarlais	Harshbarger
Alford	Diaz-Balart	Hern (OK)
Allen	Donalds	Higgins (LA)
Amodei (NV)	Downing	Hill (AR)
Arrington	Dunn (FL)	Hinson
Babin	Edwards	Houchin
Bacon	Ellzey	Hudson
Baird	Emmer	Huizenga
Balderson	Estes	Hunt
Barr	Evans (CO)	Hurd (CO)
Barrett	Ezell	Issa
Baumgartner	Fallon	Jack
Bean (FL)	Fedorchak	Jackson (TX)
Begich	Feenstra	James
Bentz	Fine	Johnson (LA)
Bergman	Finstad	Johnson (SD)
Bice	Fischbach	Jordan
Biggs (AZ)	Fitzgerald	Joyce (OH)
Biggs (SC)	Fleischmann	Joyce (PA)
Bilirakis	Flood	Kean
Boebert	Fong	Kelly (MS)
Bost	Fox	Kelly (PA)
Brecheen	Franklin, Scott	Kennedy (UT)
Bresnahan	Fry	Kiggans (VA)
Buchanan	Fulcher	Kiley (CA)
Burchett	Garbarino	Kim
Burlison	Gill (TX)	Knott
Calvert	Gimenez	Kustoff
Cammack	Goldman (TX)	LaHood
Carey	Gonzales, Tony	LaLota
Carter (GA)	Gooden	LaMalfa
Carter (TX)	Gosar	Langworthy
Ciscomani	Graves	Latta
Cline	Green (TN)	Lawler
Cloud	Greene (GA)	Lee (FL)
Clyde	Griffith	Letlow
Cole	Grothman	Loudermilk
Collins	Guest	Lucas
Comer	Guthrie	Luna
Crane	Hageman	Luttrell
Crank	Hamadeh (AZ)	Mace
Crawford	Haridopolos	Mackenzie
Crenshaw	Harrigan	Malliotakis
Davidson	Harris (MD)	Maloy
De La Cruz	Harris (NC)	Mann

Massie	Onder	Stauber
Mast	Owens	Stefanik
McCaul	Palmer	Steil
McClain	Patronis	Steube
McClintock	Pfleger	Perry
McCormick	Reschenthaler	Stutzman
McDowell	Rogers (AL)	Taylor
McGuire	Rogers (KY)	Tenney
Messmer	Rose	Thompson (PA)
Meuser	Rouzer	Tiffany
Miller (IL)	Roy	Timmons
Miller (OH)	Rulli	Turner (OH)
Miller (WV)	Rutherford	Valadao
Miller-Meeks	Salazar	Van Drew
Mills	Scalise	Van Duyn
Moolenaar	Schmidt	Van Orden
Moore (AL)	Schweikert	Wagner
Moore (NC)	Scott, Austin	Walberg
Moore (UT)	Self	Weber (TX)
Moore (WV)	Sessions	Webster (FL)
Moran	Shreve	Westerman
Murphy	Simpson	Wied
Nehls	Smith (MO)	Williams (TX)
Newhouse	Smith (NE)	Wilson (SC)
Norman	Smith (NJ)	Wittman
Nunn (IA)	Smucker	Womack
Obernolte	Spartz	Yakym
Ogles		Zinke

NAYS—213

Adams	Garcia (CA)	Mullin
Aguilar	Garcia (IL)	Nadler
Amo	Garcia (TX)	Neal
Ansari	Gillen	Neguse
Auchincloss	Golden (ME)	Norcross
Balint	Goldman (NY)	Ocasio-Cortez
Barragan	Gomez	Olszewski
Beatty	Gonzalez, V.	Omar
Bell	Goodlander	Pallone
Bera	Gottheimer	Panetta
Beyer	Gray	Pappas
Bishop	Green, Al (TX)	Pelosi
Bonamici	Harder (CA)	Perez
Boyle (PA)	Hayes	Peters
Brown	Himes	Pettersen
Brownley	Horsford	Pingree
Budzinski	Houlahan	Pocan
Bynum	Hoyer	Pou
Carbajal	Hoyle (OR)	Pressley
Carson	Huffman	Quigley
Carter (LA)	Ivey	Ramirez
Casar	Jackson (IL)	Randall
Case	Jacobs	Raskin
Casten	Jayapal	Riley (NY)
Castro (FL)	Jeffries	Rivas
Castro (TX)	Johnson (GA)	Ross
Cherfilus-	Johnson (TX)	Ruiz
McCormick	Kamlager-Dove	Ryan
Chu	Kaptur	Salinas
Cisneros	Keating	Sanchez
Clark (MA)	Kelly (IL)	Scanlon
Clarke (NY)	Kennedy (NY)	Schakowsky
Cleaver	Khanna	Schneider
Clyburn	Krishnamoorthi	Scholten
Cohen	Landsman	Schrier
Conaway	Larsen (WA)	Scott (VA)
Correa	Larson (CT)	Scott, David
Costa	Latimer	Sewell
Courtney	Lee (NV)	Sherman
Craig	Lee (PA)	Sherrill
Crockett	Leger Fernandez	Simon
Crow	Levin	Smith (WA)
Cuellar	Liccardo	Sorensen
Davids (KS)	Lieu	Soto
Davis (IL)	Lofgren	Stansbury
Davis (NC)	Lynch	Stanton
Dean (PA)	Magaziner	Stevens
DeGette	Mannion	Strickland
DeLauro	Matsui	Subramanyam
DelBene	McBath	Suozi
Deluzio	McBride	Swalwell
DeSaulnier	McClain Delaney	Sykes
Dexter	McClellan	Takano
Dingell	McCollum	Thanedar
Doggett	McDonald Rivet	Thompson (CA)
Elfreth	McGarvey	Thompson (MS)
Escobar	McGovern	Titus
Espallat	McIver	Tlaib
Evans (PA)	Meeks	Tokuda
Fields	Menendez	Tonko
Figures	Meng	Torres (CA)
Fitzpatrick	Mfume	Torres (NY)
Fletcher	Min	Trahan
Foster	Moore (WI)	Tran
Foushee	Morelle	Underwood
Frankel, Lois	Morrison	Vargas
Friedman	Moskowitz	Vasquez
Frost	Moulton	Veasey
Garamendi	Mrvan	Velázquez

Vindman	Waters	Williams (GA)
Wasserman	Watson Coleman	Wilson (FL)
Schultz	Whitesides	

□ 0323

Mr. MASSIE changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL ACT

Mr. ARRINGTON. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 566, I call up the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14, with the Senate amendment thereto.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will designate the Senate amendment.

The text of the Senate amendment is as follows:

Senate amendment:
Strike all after the first word, and insert the following:

I. TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The table of contents of this Act is as follows:
Sec. 1. Table of contents.

TITLE I—COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION, AND FORESTRY

Subtitle A—Nutrition

- Sec. 10101. Re-evaluation of thrifty food plan.
- Sec. 10102. Modifications to SNAP work requirements for able-bodied adults.
- Sec. 10103. Availability of standard utility allowances based on receipt of energy assistance.
- Sec. 10104. Restrictions on internet expenses.
- Sec. 10105. Matching funds requirements.
- Sec. 10106. Administrative cost sharing.
- Sec. 10107. National education and obesity prevention grant program.
- Sec. 10108. Alien SNAP eligibility.

Subtitle B—Forestry

- Sec. 10201. Rescission of amounts for forestry.

Subtitle C—Commodities

- Sec. 10301. Effective reference price; reference price.
- Sec. 10302. Base acres.
- Sec. 10303. Producer election.
- Sec. 10304. Price loss coverage.
- Sec. 10305. Agriculture risk coverage.
- Sec. 10306. Equitable treatment of certain entities.
- Sec. 10307. Payment limitations.
- Sec. 10308. Adjusted gross income limitation.
- Sec. 10309. Marketing loans.
- Sec. 10310. Repayment of marketing loans.
- Sec. 10311. Economic adjustment assistance for textile mills.
- Sec. 10312. Sugar program updates.
- Sec. 10313. Dairy policy updates.
- Sec. 10314. Implementation.

Subtitle D—Disaster Assistance Programs

- Sec. 10401. Supplemental agricultural disaster assistance.

Subtitle E—Crop Insurance

- Sec. 10501. Beginning farmer and rancher benefit.
- Sec. 10502. Area-based crop insurance coverage and affordability.
- Sec. 10503. Administrative and operating expense adjustments.
- Sec. 10504. Premium support.
- Sec. 10505. Program compliance and integrity.

- Sec. 10506. Reviews, compliance, and integrity.
- Sec. 10507. Poultry insurance pilot program.

Subtitle F—Additional Investments in Rural America

- Sec. 10601. Conservation.
- Sec. 10602. Supplemental agricultural trade promotion program.
- Sec. 10603. Nutrition.
- Sec. 10604. Research.
- Sec. 10605. Energy.
- Sec. 10606. Horticulture.
- Sec. 10607. Miscellaneous.

TITLE II—COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

- Sec. 20001. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for improving the quality of life for military personnel.
- Sec. 20002. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for shipbuilding.
- Sec. 20003. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for integrated air and missile defense.
- Sec. 20004. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for munitions and defense supply chain resiliency.
- Sec. 20005. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for scaling low-cost weapons into production.
- Sec. 20006. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for improving the efficiency and cybersecurity of the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 20007. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for air superiority.
- Sec. 20008. Enhancement of resources for nuclear forces.
- Sec. 20009. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources to improve capabilities of United States Indo-Pacific Command.
- Sec. 20010. Enhancement of Department of Defense resources for improving the readiness of the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 20011. Improving Department of Defense border support and counter-drug missions.
- Sec. 20012. Department of Defense oversight.
- Sec. 20013. Military construction projects authorized.

TITLE III—COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS

- Sec. 30001. Funding cap for the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.
- Sec. 30002. Rescission of funds for Green and Resilient Retrofit Program for Multifamily Housing.
- Sec. 30003. Securities and Exchange Commission Reserve Fund.
- Sec. 30004. Appropriations for Defense Production Act.

TITLE IV—COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION

- Sec. 40001. Coast Guard mission readiness.
- Sec. 40002. Spectrum auctions.
- Sec. 40003. Air traffic control improvements.
- Sec. 40004. Space launch and reentry licensing and permitting user fees.
- Sec. 40005. Mars missions, Artemis missions, and Moon to Mars program.
- Sec. 40006. Corporate average fuel economy civil penalties.
- Sec. 40007. Payments for lease of Metropolitan Washington Airports.
- Sec. 40008. Rescission of certain amounts for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
- Sec. 40009. Reduction in annual transfers to Travel Promotion Fund.
- Sec. 40010. Treatment of unobligated funds for alternative fuel and low-emission aviation technology.
- Sec. 40011. Rescission of amounts appropriated to Public Wireless Supply Chain Innovation Fund.