

changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the motion to adjourn was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1, ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL ACT

Mrs. HOUCHIN, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 119–113) on the resolution (H. Res. 436) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

□ 2350

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1, ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL ACT

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 436 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 436

*Resolved*, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. An amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 119–3, modified by the amendment printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) two hours of debate equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget or their respective designees and the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees; and (2) one motion to recommit. Clause 5(b) of rule XXI shall not apply to the bill or amendments thereto.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 9 of rule XXI, I make a point of order against consideration of the rule, House Resolution 436.

Clause 9(c) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House specifically state that the Rules Committee may not waive the earmark disclosure rule prescribed by paragraphs (a) or (b) of clause 9 of rule XXI.

House Resolution 436 states: “All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived.”

Therefore, I make a point of order pursuant to clause 9(c) of rule XXI that this rule may not be considered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts makes a

point of order that the resolution violates clause 9(c) of rule XXI.

Under clause 9(c) of rule XXI, the gentleman from Massachusetts and a Member opposed each will control 10 minutes of debate on the question of consideration.

Following that debate, the Chair will put the question of consideration as follows, Will the House now consider the resolution?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Massachusetts.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, House Democrats are making a series of procedural motions today to stop the consideration of this lousy, awful, disastrous bill.

Mr. Speaker, we will not stand by and watch Trump and his billionaire friends destroy this country without putting up one hell of a fight, and because this resolution waives the earmark rule, it is not in order for it to be considered on the floor.

As I just said, Democrats are not going to sit here and let Republicans jam this bill down the throats of the American people. We are going to fight back, and we are going to fight like hell because, Mr. Speaker, this point of order is not just about the fact that this bill has earmarks. Look at who the earmarks are for. Look at who Republicans help and who they hurt. Follow the money.

From day one, Trump has demanded that this entire process be about one thing: giveaways to the rich and to the powerful. This bill is a scam; a tax scam designed to steal from the American people and give to Trump’s millionaire and billionaire friends.

These are the same millionaires and billionaires who write big, fat checks to Members of Congress, and guess what? They expect a return on their investment, and this bill, this Republican tax scam, is exhibit A.

This is all about corruption, greed, and theft—theft from the American people, theft of their hard-earned tax dollars to give to Elon and his rich friends. It is 1,000 pages of giveaways to billionaires.

There are so many, I don’t even know where to begin. Let’s start with the big, fat earmarks Republicans have in here for polluters. There is lots of pork for polluters in this bill.

We have corrupt pay-to-play schemes that let polluters buy immunity from lawsuits, cut corners on environmental reviews, and skip the legal permitting process. Did ExxonMobil come up with that one, or was it BP? There is a nice little giveaway to them tucked into this bill. That is on page 247 and page 600.

Mr. Speaker, what about the earmarks in here that will allow certain companies to exploit and pollute pristine public lands, including for a coal mine owner with criminal convictions for environmental and safety violations? Did he just text Republicans the language of that earmark, or did my

colleagues on the other side of the aisle draft it themselves? That is on page 559 for those following along at home.

This bill even has a hit man handout. An earmark here gets rid of an excise tax on gun silencers. Who does that? That is on page 1,024.

This has been in place for nearly 100 years, and it is a vital public safety measure. Over 400 silencers were found on or traced to violent crime scenes in 2023 alone.

At least on that earmark, we know who asked for it. It was the NRA. We know why Republicans complied. It is because they want to keep getting big, fat checks from the gun lobbyists.

I could go on and on, but this bill is loaded to the gills with giveaways to lobbyists, earmarks for special interests, and loopholes for the rich so they can get away with dodging their taxes.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I claim time in favor of consideration of the resolution.

The question before the House is: Should the House now consider House Resolution 436? The resolution before us waives all points of order against consideration of the bill.

The Committee on the Budget filed its report on May 21, 2025, which included the following statement from each instructed committee’s reports: The committee print does not contain any congressional earmark, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits, as defined in clause 9 of House rule XXI.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I can’t believe the Republican argument is just: Trust us.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FROST).

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, this is a moment I am never going to forget because, tonight, hundreds of Republican Members of Congress are wanting to line up to vote for the biggest transfer of wealth from the working poor and the working class to billionaires and megacorporations that we have seen in this country’s history.

This is a deep moment of betrayal, a complete absence of loyalty to the people that we represent, and an abandonment of the values of hard work and a dignified life.

Mr. Speaker, I am 28 years old. My generation already expects that we will never be able to afford a home, to get out of debt, to retire, or to live comfortably. No, it is not because we have lived beyond our means, but it is because we have been denied the means to live.

Donald Trump and congressional Republicans are making it worse by gutting Medicaid and food assistance to give tax cuts to billionaires and special interests.

Mr. Speaker, out of all of the things that you could give tax breaks for, this bill will cut taxes on silencers for guns. For those who don’t know, gun silencers can be attached to a gun to muffle the sound of a gunshot.

In mass shootings, these silencers make it harder to identify and respond to the source of the gunshots. Earlier, I put forth an amendment to strip this tax cut for the gun lobby, and House Republicans wouldn't even let it come up for a vote.

Mr. Speaker, the Republicans then made the bill worse in their manager's amendment by making silencers completely unregulated. In 2023 alone, the ATF recovered over 400 silencers from violent crime scenes. For this reason, silencers have been highly regulated for nearly 100 years.

In May of 2019, the Virginia Beach shooter attached a silencer to his .45-caliber handgun that he used to kill 12 people on three floors of a city building. Survivors of the attack said that they weren't even aware that a mass shooting was going on due to the silencer.

My question is: Why did this get added to the bill just a couple of hours ago? Which congressional Republican just couldn't stand to vote for the horrible bill until it promised even more death to people?

Mr. Speaker, I will yield to hear a name.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. GRIFFITH. Mr. Speaker, point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. GRIFFITH. Mr. Speaker, this is supposed to be a challenge because the rule says that we waived earmarks, but the gentleman is not talking about earmarks. He is talking about process that he doesn't like. He is talking about parts of the bill that he doesn't like, but he has not mentioned any specific earmark.

Even the gentleman who spoke before him, the gentleman from Massachusetts, only talked in general terms about things that were general policy, not earmarks.

Mr. MCGOVERN. We are talking about an earmark for the gun lobby, my friend.

Mr. GRIFFITH. I submit, Mr. Speaker, that the gentleman is not in order, giving remarks that are not pertinent.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Virginia has not stated a point of order.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I reclaim my time.

Mr. Speaker, I will yield just to hear the name of the Republican that couldn't vote for this bill.

□ 0000

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I am just curious which Republican couldn't vote for the bill until we completely deregulated silencers.

My generation isn't just defined by a bleak financial future. We are also defined as the mass shooting generation. We have done more active-shooter drills than fire drills. We have grown up watching classes of our students die a brutal death, helpless inside classrooms, on our blocks, and in our churches again and again and again.

Mr. Speaker, I say to America, we have to be very clear and truthful about this budget. Laws for gun manufacturers to make more money off the deaths of children and our people? Yes. Food for children to eat? No. Healthcare for seniors, disabled Americans, and millions more? No. Resources to help working families fight to make ends meet? No.

This is why they have been told to not host any townhalls back in their districts. That is why this debate is happening in the middle of the night. It is nothing short of theft.

Congressional Republicans are really helping me understand why half of this country doesn't vote. It is because they will look people in the eyes and make promises only to come here in the middle of the night to advance a bill that will take away the benefits that they have earned. Republicans would have us believe that the person most likely to steal from you is a Black person in a hoodie or an immigrant with tattoos.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. FROST. This is to distract from the fact that, at least here tonight, the people stealing from Americans are not folks with tattoos and hoodies. It is people wearing suits, ties, and congressional pins, sitting in this Capitol right now, not in some random alley wrapped in darkness, but in the United States Congress wrapped in the flag.

It is disgusting, and we will never forget this.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GRIFFITH).

Mr. GRIFFITH. Mr. Speaker, as I said in the point of order—which I didn't have the rule in hand, but it is 17(1)(b). As I said then, if they want to complain that they didn't like the process, if they don't like the bill, if there is a piece of the bill they don't like, save that for the debate on the bill itself. Instead, they have raised a parliamentary procedure to claim that the rule is not proper, assuming or claiming that there are earmarks. I would submit, Mr. Speaker, that they have not mentioned an earmark yet.

I have been listening. I have been wondering where it was because I have read the bill and the manager's amendment. With the manager's amendment, I see no earmarks in the bill. They haven't brought it up, and I submit it is because they can't. The proper ruling would be to rule that there aren't any earmarks.

I understand we might have to take a vote, but let's try to get our processes right, Mr. Speaker. I would submit to everybody that we ought to get the process right.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, this is everything that people hate about Washington. This bill is a tax scam that steals from the

American people, and it is filled with earmarks.

It has earmarks to the gun lobby, earmarks to polluters to be able to exploit land, and earmarks to every big donor that gives to the National Republican Congressional Committee.

This is really about corruption. This bill that we are trying to bring to the floor steals from regular people, takes away people's food benefits, throws people off healthcare, and gives a big, fat tax break to millionaires and billionaires. That is what their priorities are all about.

Mr. Speaker, I urge Democrats and Republicans who care about the integrity of this institution to vote "no" on considering the rule and to block this terrible tax scam from coming to the floor today. We can do a hell of a lot better. This is a disgrace.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" vote, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, this is just a dilatory tactic by my colleagues across the aisle. We must continue so the House can begin consideration of this historic legislation and deliver on President Trump's agenda.

Mr. Speaker, I urge Members to vote "yes" on the question, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

The question is, Will the House now consider the resolution?

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 217, nays 211, not voting 4, as follows:

[Roll No. 139]

YEAS—217

Aderholt	Collins	Gooden
Alford	Comer	Gosar
Allen	Crane	Graves
Amodei (NV)	Crank	Green (TN)
Arrington	Crawford	Greene (GA)
Babin	Crenshaw	Griffith
Bacon	Davidson	Grothman
Baird	De La Cruz	Guest
Balderson	DesJarlais	Guthrie
Barr	Diaz-Balart	Hageman
Barrett	Donalds	Hamadeh (AZ)
Baumgartner	Downing	Haridopolos
Bean (FL)	Dunn (FL)	Harrigan
Begich	Edwards	Harris (MD)
Bentz	Ellzey	Harris (NC)
Bergman	Emmer	Harshbarger
Bice	Estes	Hern (OK)
Biggs (AZ)	Evans (CO)	Higgins (LA)
Biggs (SC)	Ezell	Hill (AR)
Bilirakis	Fallon	Hinson
Boebert	Fedorchak	Houchin
Bost	Feenstra	Hudson
Brecheen	Fine	Huizenga
Bresnahan	Finstad	Hunt
Buchanan	Fischbach	Hurd (CO)
Burchett	Fitzgerald	Issa
Burlison	Fitzpatrick	Jack
Calvert	Fleischmann	Jackson (TX)
Cammack	Fong	James
Carey	Fox	Johnson (LA)
Carter (GA)	Franklin, Scott	Johnson (SD)
Carter (TX)	Fry	Jordan
Ciscomani	Fulcher	Joyce (OH)
Cline	Garbarino	Joyce (PA)
Cloud	Gill (TX)	Kean
Clyde	Gimenez	Kelly (MS)
Cole	Goldman (TX)	Kelly (PA)

Kennedy (UT)	Miller-Meeks	Simpson
Kiggans (VA)	Moolenaar	Smith (MO)
Kiley (CA)	Moore (AL)	Smith (NE)
Kim	Moore (NC)	Smith (NJ)
Knott	Moore (UT)	Smucker
Kustoff	Moore (WV)	Spartz
LaHood	Moran	Stauber
LaLota	Murphy	Stefanik
LaMalfa	Nehls	Steil
Langworthy	Newhouse	Steube
Latta	Norman	Strong
Lawler	Nunn (IA)	Stutzman
Lee (FL)	Obernoite	Taylor
Letlow	Ogles	Tenney
Loudermilk	Onder	Thompson (PA)
Lucas	Owens	Tiffany
Luna	Palmer	Timmons
Luttrell	Patronis	Turner (OH)
Mace	Perry	Valadao
Mackenzie	Pfluger	Van Drew
Malliotakis	Reschenthaler	Van Royne
Maloy	Rogers (AL)	Van Orden
Mann	Rogers (KY)	Wagner
Massie	Rose	Walberg
Mast	Rouzer	Weber (TX)
McCauley	Roy	Webster (FL)
McClain	Rulli	Westerman
McClintock	Rutherford	Wied
McCormick	Salazar	Williams (TX)
McDowell	Scalise	Wilson (SC)
McGuire	Schmidt	Wittman
Messmer	Schweikert	Womack
Meuser	Scott, Austin	Yakym
Miller (IL)	Self	Zinke
Miller (OH)	Sessions	
Miller (WV)	Shreve	

Strickland	Tlaib	Veasey
Subramanyam	Tokuda	Velázquez
Suozi	Tomko	Vindman
Swalwell	Torres (CA)	Wasserman
Sykes	Torres (NY)	Schultz
Takano	Trahan	Waters
Thanedar	Tran	Watson Coleman
Thompson (CA)	Underwood	Whitesides
Thompson (MS)	Vargas	Williams (GA)
Titus	Vasquez	Wilson (FL)

NOT VOTING—4

Flood	Mills
Gonzales, Tony	Norcross

□ 0029

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

Mses. PINGREE and PEREZ changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Messrs. BARR and McCAUL changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the question of consideration was decided in the affirmative.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to section 426 of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, I make a point of order against consideration of the rule, House Resolution 436.

Section 426 of the Budget Act specifically states that the Rules Committee may not waive the point of order prescribed by section 425 of that same act.

House Resolution 436 states that all points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. Therefore, I make a point of order pursuant to section 426 that this rule may not be considered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts makes a point of order that the resolution violates section 426(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

The gentleman has met the threshold burden under the rule and the gentleman from Massachusetts and a Member opposed each will control 10 minutes of debate on the question of consideration. Following debate, the Chair will put the question of consideration as the statutory means of disposing of the point of order.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Massachusetts.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, let me say this once again. Democrats will not stand by and watch Trump and his billionaire friends destroy this country without putting up one hell of a fight.

Because this rule waives the point of order against unfunded mandates, it is not in order to consider it on the floor. The bill Republicans are attempting to jam through the House this week cuts food assistance for moms and dads, kids and people with disabilities, veterans, and seniors.

It goes after the most important people in our lives, our families, all to fund a massive tax break for the richest people in this country.

What the hell is wrong with these people, Mr. Speaker?

It is more than just a massive betrayal of the American people. This bill is also a massive, new, unfunded mandate on States.

Mr. Speaker, don't just take my word for it. The nonpartisan experts at the Congressional Budget Office said that title I of the bill, the Agriculture Committee's portion of this awful bill, would impose intergovernmental mandates by requiring States, for the first time ever, to provide State funding for food assistance benefits, increasing the share of food assistance administrative costs paid by States and requiring State agencies to perform additional administrative duties. This massive, new, unfunded mandate would cost States a whopping \$19 billion each year.

Mr. Speaker, where the hell are States going to come up with this kind of money? They can't. So what will happen is that people will get kicked off of food assistance. They will get less benefits, and they will go hungry.

This will increase hunger. State after State and Governor after Governor has written to Congress begging us not to pass this awful bill. They have made it very clear that if this bill goes through, then States will be forced to cut benefits, kick eligible people off of food assistance entirely, or raise taxes to pay for this massive, new, bureaucracy that Republicans are creating in every State.

The chairman of the Agriculture Committee's home State of Pennsylvania would have to come up with over \$1 billion to cover the State's share of benefit costs.

There is no State in the country that is able to take on this kind of massive, unfunded mandate. We are talking about basic needs for people, a food assistance benefit of \$2 per meal so that people don't go hungry. By the way, that money not only lifts people out of poverty, it improves health and uplifts local economies too.

Hunger costs this country, and food assistance programs save us money. Workers who are hungry are less productive at work. Kids who go to school hungry don't learn. Seniors who take their medication on an empty stomach end up in emergency rooms.

We live in the richest country on Earth. For God's sake, not a single kid in this country should go to bed hungry, not a single damn one, especially not when Republicans are giving millionaires and billionaires a big, fat tax break.

Mr. Speaker, I think Republicans know exactly what they are doing here. They know that this bill will kick millions and millions of people off of their modest food assistance. They know that their cuts will hurt kids, moms and dads, working families, seniors, veterans, and people with disabilities. This is an unbelievably cruel and rotten thing to do.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I claim the time in opposition to this point of

NAYS—211		
Adams	Fletcher	McDonald Rivet
Aguilar	Foster	McGarvey
Amo	Foushee	McGovern
Ansari	Frankel, Lois	McIver
Auchincloss	Friedman	Meeks
Balint	Frost	Menendez
Barragán	Garamendi	Meng
Beatty	Garcia (CA)	Mfume
Bell	Garcia (IL)	Min
Bera	Garcia (TX)	Moore (WI)
Beyer	Gillen	Morelle
Bishop	Golden (ME)	Morrison
Bonamici	Goldman (NY)	Moskowitz
Boyle (PA)	Gomez	Moulton
Brown	Gonzalez, V.	Mrvan
Brownley	Goodlander	Mullin
Budzinski	Gottheimer	Nadler
Bynum	Gray	Neal
Carbajal	Green, Al (TX)	Neguse
Carson	Harder (CA)	Ocasio-Cortez
Carter (LA)	Hayes	Olszewski
Casar	Himes	Omar
Case	Horsford	Pallone
Casten	Houllahan	Panetta
Castor (FL)	Hoyer	Pappas
Castro (TX)	Hoyle (OR)	Pelosi
Cherfilus-	Huffman	Perez
McCormick	Ivey	Peters
Chu	Jackson (IL)	Pettersen
Cisneros	Jacobs	Pingree
Clark (MA)	Jayapal	Pocan
Clarke (NY)	Jeffries	Pou
Cleaver	Johnson (GA)	Pressley
Clyburn	Johnson (TX)	Quigley
Cohen	Kamlager-Dove	Ramirez
Conaway	Kaptur	Randall
Correa	Keating	Raskin
Costa	Kelly (IL)	Riley (NY)
Courtney	Kennedy (NY)	Rivas
Craig	Khanna	Ross
Crockett	Krishnamoorthi	Ruiz
Crow	Landsman	Ryan
Cuellar	Larsen (WA)	Salinas
Davids (KS)	Larson (CT)	Sánchez
Davis (IL)	Latimer	Scanlon
Davis (NC)	Lee (NV)	Schakowsky
Dean (PA)	Lee (PA)	Schneider
DeGette	Leger Fernandez	Scholten
DeLauro	Levin	Schrier
DelBene	Liccardo	Scott (VA)
Deluzio	Lieu	Scott, David
DeSaulnier	Lofgren	Sewell
Dexter	Lynch	Sherman
Dingell	Magaziner	Sherrill
Doggett	Mannion	Simon
Elfreth	Matsui	Smith (WA)
Escobar	McBath	Sorensen
Espallat	McBride	Soto
Evans (PA)	McClain Delaney	Stansbury
Fields	McClellan	Stanton
Figures	McCollum	Stevens

order and in favor of consideration of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Indiana is recognized for 10 minutes.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, the question before the House is: Should the House now consider House Resolution 436?

The gentleman on the other side of the aisle is certainly correct. The bill before us today does impose a number of intergovernmental mandates. However, let's look at what is considered in those. The bill requires States to have skin in the game on SNAP. The bill requires States to be more responsible about how Medicaid is funded. The bill provides needed tax relief for seniors.

These are good things that reduce the size and scope of Federal Government that is encroaching on the everyday lives of Americans, and it protects the American people from a Democratic Party that prioritizes illegal immigrants over vulnerable American families.

In order to allow the House to continue its scheduled business for the day, I urge Members to vote "yes" on the question of consideration of the resolution, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, enough with the BS about States having skin in the game. This is about throwing families off of food assistance, and it is an awful thing to do.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Mrs. HAYES), who is the ranking member of the Nutrition Subcommittee.

Mrs. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today against this House Republican reconciliation bill that would put 42 million Americans at risk of losing their SNAP benefits.

I would argue that my State of Connecticut that sends more money to the Federal Government than Indiana already has skin in the game.

As ranking member of the Nutrition and Foreign Agricultural Subcommittee, it is notable that we have had no hearings on the State cost-sharing provisions or on the Thrifty Food Plan or on the impact of access to SNAP.

House Republicans are pushing untested policy proposals that have real-life consequences on the people in my community.

□ 0040

During the Agriculture Committee reconciliation markup, I offered a commonsense amendment stating that this legislation can only take effect once the USDA and all State agencies issue reports evaluating and certifying that any changes made to SNAP will not result in a reduction of benefits to individuals or decrease participation. No Republican on the Agriculture Committee voted for that amendment.

Hunger is a policy choice, and today, we are considering legislation that makes the choice to take food away

from people. The reconciliation bill we are considering here today is the largest ever cut to SNAP.

Approximately \$313 billion is being taken away from the most vulnerable in this country—hungry children, hungry families, hungry veterans, and hungry seniors. I guarantee they are not just in Connecticut.

Republicans are pushing a legislative package that guts SNAP, the most effective and efficient antipoverty program in our Nation. The cost-sharing provisions in this legislation are going to shift the financial burden to States, forcing States to cut benefits, eligibility, or both to reduce costs.

They are pushing work requirements with no workforce development, pushing reporting requirements with no administrative reports, and pushing for cuts to children who are on this program without considering that they will also lose free and reduced lunches at school.

They don't want to feed kids at home. They don't want to feed kids at school. It is just cruel.

Every provision in this program is cruel, and they know it. That is why none of them spoke on it in the Agriculture Committee. That is why they were silent in the Budget Committee. That is why they have nothing to say. That is why they have no response. That is why they don't hold townhalls. That is why they don't answer questions.

All they do is tweet, repeat, and hide in the back until they vote "yes."

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, by the way, it is not just people in Connecticut who are threatened by this budget. I would say to the gentlewoman from Indiana that there are 54,000 people in her district who are going to be threatened, losing their SNAP benefits because of what Republicans are voting for today on the Republican budget. I don't know how you deal with that.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. BROWN), the vice ranking member of the Agriculture Committee.

Ms. BROWN. Mr. Speaker, I thank Ranking Member MCGOVERN for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to this rule and the underlying bill.

Let's be clear, Republicans are paying for massive tax cuts for millionaires and billionaires by cutting \$300 billion from SNAP.

Instead of owning those cuts, Republicans are punting their problems to the States, forcing Governors and legislators to do the dirty work of denying food assistance. That is not fiscal responsibility. That is political cowardice.

This is an unfunded Federal mandate, plain and simple. States would have to cover at least 5 percent of SNAP benefits and up to 25 percent.

What they call skin in the game is unworkable. The hits to States' budgets would be massive, up to \$4.5 billion over 10 years in Louisiana, \$4 billion in Alabama, \$15 billion in Florida, and \$7.5 billion in my home State of Ohio.

Mr. Speaker, what does that mean? Well, I am glad you asked. It means fewer dollars for schools, opioid response, and basic services. Any way you cut it, families lose.

If States can't pick up the bill, they will have to cut benefits or kick folks off the program, a program that currently provides \$6 a day, on average.

This plan will take away food from kids, working families, veterans, seniors, and the disabled. It will hit red States, blue States, and every State and every district.

Even Republicans know how damaging this is. That is why they have delayed implementation until when? After the next election.

Hiding the consequences doesn't make them less real. Their own State legislators, Democrats and Republicans, oppose this plan. The National Conference of State Legislatures calls it fiscally unsustainable and warns it will harm the very people SNAP is meant to help.

This is a shameful attempt to dodge accountability while handing out tax breaks on the backs of hungry families.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "no." Protect SNAP. Don't make struggling Americans pay for tax breaks for the wealthy.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire how many more speakers the gentlewoman has.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I am prepared to close.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time I have remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts has 1 minute remaining.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, let me just say to my Republican friends that hunger is a political position. We have the money. We have the infrastructure. We know what to do to end it. We have everything but the political will.

You bring to the floor a bill that robs from poor people and takes money away from the most incredible food assistance program in the world. You take that and use it not to end hunger but to pay for tax cuts for millionaires and billionaires. That is shameful. That is shameful.

You put a big unfunded mandate on States. Stand with us on the right side of history. Stand with people who need help. For once in your life, do something to help people who really need help and not just reward those at the top who are well-off and well-connected.

Stand with us in stopping this rule and vote "no" on this.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire how much time I have remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Indiana has 9 minutes remaining.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, the Democrats claim that this is providing tax cuts for the wealthy. Let's just talk about that.

This bill includes no tax on tips, no tax on overtime, and provides tax relief for seniors on Social Security. This will protect the largest tax cut for middle-class and working families in American history. Americans will see a double-digit percent decrease in their taxes.

If we want to talk about districts, Mr. Speaker, let's talk about the Second District of Massachusetts. It is home to 524,530 taxpayers.

The average taxpayer in Massachusetts' Second District would see a 20 percent tax hike if the Trump tax cuts expire, which is what my Democratic colleagues across the aisle are fighting for. They are fighting for taxes to go up.

A family of four, making \$95,495 in the Massachusetts Second District, the median income, would see a \$2,141 tax increase if the Trump tax cuts expire. That is about 10 weeks' worth of groceries for a typical family of four in that region.

Now, let's talk for a moment, too, Mr. Speaker, about SNAP benefits. Only Democrats would protect error rates in SNAP benefits, saying that integrity in the program is a cut, but this is ensuring that benefits are available to the people who are eligible for them and need them most. Anything else is fraud.

Republicans are ensuring that SNAP prioritizes benefits for American citizens and protects the program's long-term stability by closing loopholes and requiring cost sharing for States that have error rates that are unfeasible.

We are focusing on protecting tax cuts for the American people across the board. That is what we are trying to do here, Mr. Speaker. Anything else that we hear from the Democrats is a stall tactic.

We must continue so the House can begin our consideration of this historic legislation to deliver on President Trump's agenda.

Mr. Speaker, I urge our Members to vote "yes" on the question, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

The question is, Will the House now consider the resolution?

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 211, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 140]

YEAS—216

Aderholt	Goldman (TX)	Miller (WV)
Alford	Gooden	Miller-Meeks
Allen	Gosar	Mills
Amodei (NV)	Graves	Moolenaar
Arrington	Green (TN)	Moore (AL)
Babin	Greene (GA)	Moore (NC)
Bacon	Griffith	Moore (UT)
Baird	Grothman	Moore (WV)
Balderson	Guest	Moran
Barr	Guthrie	Murphy
Barrett	Hageman	Nehls
Baumgartner	Hamadeh (AZ)	Newhouse
Bean (FL)	Haridopolos	Norman
Begich	Harrigan	Nunn (IA)
Bentz	Harris (MD)	Oberholte
Bergman	Harris (NC)	Ogles
Bice	Harshbarger	Onder
Biggs (AZ)	Hern (OK)	Owens
Biggs (SC)	Higgins (LA)	Palmer
Billirakis	Hill (AR)	Patronis
Boebert	Hinson	Perry
Bost	Houchin	Pfluger
Brecheen	Hudson	Reschenthaler
Bresnahan	Huizenga	Rogers (AL)
Burchett	Hunt	Rogers (KY)
Burlison	Hurd (CO)	Rose
Calvert	Issa	Rouzer
Cammack	Jack	Roy
Carey	Jackson (TX)	Rulli
Carter (GA)	James	Rutherford
Carter (TX)	Johnson (LA)	Salazar
Ciscomani	Johnson (SD)	Scalise
Cline	Jordan	Schmidt
Cloud	Joyce (OH)	Schweikert
Clyde	Joyce (PA)	Scott, Austin
Cole	Kean	Self
Collins	Kelly (MS)	Sessions
Comer	Kelly (PA)	Shreve
Crane	Kennedy (UT)	Simpson
Crank	Kiggans (VA)	Smith (MO)
Crawford	Kiley (CA)	Smith (NE)
Crenshaw	Kim	Smith (NJ)
Davidson	Knott	Smucker
De La Cruz	Kustoff	Spartz
DesJarlais	LaHood	Staubert
Diaz-Balart	LaLota	Stefanik
Donalds	LaMalfa	Steil
Downing	Langworthy	Steube
Edwards	Latta	Strong
Ellzey	Lawler	Stutzman
Emmer	Letlow	Taylor
Estes	Loudermilk	Tenney
Evans (CO)	Lucas	Thompson (PA)
Ezell	Luna	Tiffany
Fallon	Luttrell	Timmons
Fedorchak	Mace	Turner (OH)
Feenstra	Mackenzie	Valadao
Fine	Malliotakis	Van Drew
Finstad	Maloy	Van Duyne
Fischbach	Mann	Van Orden
Fitzgerald	Massie	Wagner
Fitzpatrick	Mast	Walberg
Fleischmann	McCaul	Weber (TX)
Flood	McClain	Webster (FL)
Fong	McClintock	Westerman
Foxx	McCormick	Wied
Franklin, Scott	McDowell	Williams (TX)
Fry	McGuire	Wilson (SC)
Fulcher	Messmer	Wittman
Garbarino	Meuser	Womack
Gill (TX)	Miller (IL)	Yakym
Gimenez	Miller (OH)	Zinke

NAYS—211

Adams	Bynum	Cohen
Aguilar	Carbajal	Conaway
Amo	Carson	Correa
Ansari	Carter (LA)	Costa
Auchincloss	Casar	Courtney
Balint	Case	Craig
Barragan	Casten	Crockett
Beatty	Castor (FL)	Crow
Bell	Castro (TX)	Cuellar
Bera	Cherfilus-	Davids (KS)
Beyer	McCormick	Davis (IL)
Bishop	Chu	Davis (NC)
Bonamici	Cisneros	Dean (PA)
Boyle (PA)	Clark (MA)	DeGette
Brown	Clarke (NY)	DeLauro
Brownley	Cleaver	DelBene
Budzinski	Clyburn	Deluzio

DeSaulnier	Latimer	Riley (NY)
Dexter	Lee (NV)	Rivas
Dingell	Lee (PA)	Ross
Doggett	Leger Fernandez	Ruiz
Elfreth	Levin	Ryan
Escobar	Liccardo	Salinas
Espallat	Lieu	Sanchez
Evans (PA)	Lofgren	Scanlon
Fields	Lynch	Schakowsky
Figures	Magaziner	Schneider
Fletcher	Mannion	Scholten
Foster	Matsui	Schrier
Foushee	McBath	Scott (VA)
Frankel, Lois	McBride	Scott, David
Friedman	McClain Delaney	Sewell
Frost	McClellan	Sherman
Garamendi	McCollum	Serrill
Garcia (CA)	McDonald Rivet	Simon
Garcia (IL)	McGarvey	Smith (WA)
Garcia (TX)	McGovern	Sorensen
Gillen	McIver	Soto
Golden (ME)	Meeks	Stansbury
Goldman (NY)	Menendez	Stanton
Gomez	Meng	Stevens
Gonzalez, V.	Mfume	Strickland
Goodlander	Min	Subramanyam
Gottheimer	Moore (WI)	Suozi
Gray	Morelle	Swalwell
Green, Al (TX)	Morrison	Sykes
Harder (CA)	Moskowitz	Takano
Hayes	Moulton	Thanedar
Himes	Mrvan	Thompson (CA)
Horsford	Mullin	Thompson (MS)
Houlihan	Nadler	Titus
Hoyer	Neal	Tlaib
Hoyle (OR)	Neguse	Tokuda
Huffman	Ocasio-Cortez	Tonko
Ivey	Olszewski	Torres (CA)
Jackson (IL)	Omar	Torres (NY)
Jacobs	Pallone	Trahan
Jayapal	Panetta	Tran
Jeffries	Pappas	Underwood
Johnson (GA)	Pelosi	Vargas
Johnson (TX)	Perez	Vasquez
Kamliager-Dove	Peters	Veasey
Kaptur	Petterson	Velazquez
Keating	Pingree	Vindman
Kelly (IL)	Pocan	Wasserman
Kennedy (NY)	Pou	Schultz
Khanna	Pressley	Waters
Krishnamoorthi	Quigley	Watson Coleman
Landsman	Ramirez	Whitesides
Larsen (WA)	Randall	Williams (GA)
Larson (CT)	Raskin	Wilson (FL)

NOT VOTING—5

Buchanan	Gonzales, Tony	Norcross
Dunn (FL)	Lee (FL)	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 0103

So the question of consideration was decided in the affirmative.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. McGOVERN), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this rule and in support of the underlying legislation.

This morning, the Rules Committee met and produced a rule, House Resolution 436, providing for the House's consideration of H.R. 1, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

This provides for a closed rule on the bill. The rule provides 1 hour of debate for the chair and ranking member of the Committee on the Budget or their respective designees, as well as an additional 1 hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees. Additionally, the rule provides 1 motion to recommit.

Today, after months of hard work and hours of testimony in hearings, House Republicans are advancing the One Big Beautiful Bill Act to deliver on President Trump's America First agenda.

Mr. Speaker, we have heard plenty of fear-mongering and flatout dishonesty from the Democrats and their liberal media allies about what this bill actually does.

Earlier this morning, Democrats challenged us to debate this bill in the light of day. Mr. Speaker, it may be the middle of the night. Yet, we are here, wide awake and ready to defend it because the truth doesn't sleep, and neither do we when it comes to fighting for the American people.

Mr. Speaker, let's talk about how this bill delivers for the American people.

It makes the very successful 2017 Trump tax cuts permanent, protecting the average taxpayer from a 22 percent tax increase. It unleashes American energy production and allows us to drill, baby, drill.

It provides the largest border security investment in history and empowers ICE to deport the millions of illegal immigrants that were allowed in under President Joe Biden.

It also completes 700 miles of border wall and stops the flow of fentanyl into our communities.

It shuts down the blatant abuse that has allowed 1.4 million illegal immigrants to access taxpayer-funded Medicaid, draining resources meant for our most vulnerable citizens.

It establishes modest work requirements for able-bodied adults with no dependents who receive Medicaid.

It drives down costs for seniors by increasing the transparency of pharmacy benefit managers and expanding access to the medications seniors need by providing more choices.

It ends the reckless Biden student loan giveaways that favored liberal elites over working-class Americans at taxpayers' expense.

It provides nearly \$150 billion in resources to our military to improve areas from servicemember quality of life to strengthening our shipbuilding capacity.

It also makes targeted reinvestments and improvements to foreign policy

and other rural priorities that support our farmers and ranchers.

It includes no tax on tips, no tax on overtime, car loan interest deductibility for autos made in America, and provides tax relief for seniors.

The list of wins goes on and on and on in this one big, beautiful bill.

Our friends across the aisle will try to deflect from these real and tangible benefits. They want to deceive and scare the American people, and I am sorry for that. Don't believe them.

My Democratic colleagues seem to forget that 77 million Americans rejected their America-last policies last November. My colleagues on the other side of the aisle are now trying to convince the American people that a bill that secures the border; invests in our military; extends tax relief to millions of hardworking Americans; and protects Medicaid, Medicare, and Social Security for the people who need it most is somehow not in the best interests of the country.

Yet, the American people know better. The American people know Trump's tax cuts worked and need to be extended. The American people know that it is in the best interests of our national security to secure the border. They know the world needs American energy leadership. They know that the men and women who serve in the Armed Forces need additional resources to counter the growing threats and defend American interests across the globe.

□ 0110

We are putting Americans first by deporting illegal immigrants and protecting taxpayer-funded benefits for those who truly need them. Everything you will hear from the Democrats tonight is wrong. Again, don't believe them.

How many times do you have to get duped by their dishonesty before you stop listening? The sky is not falling.

Mr. Speaker, today, we are delivering on President Trump's agenda. I look forward to the consideration of this one big, beautiful bill, and I urge the passage of this rule.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, over 100 Democrats just spent hours and hours debating hundreds of amendments in the Rules Committee for over 19 hours, and the reason why is simple: We are fighting back because this bill is a moral disgrace.

It rips healthcare from millions of Americans. It guts Medicaid and Medicare, lifelines for seniors, children, working families, and people with disabilities. It takes food out of the mouths of hungry kids and veterans. For what? To shovel tax breaks into the overflowing pockets of billionaires and giant corporations.

Let's call this what it is: theft. It is stealing from those with the least to give to those with the most.

It is not just bad policy. It is a betrayal. It is a betrayal of the American people. Republicans know that this bill is toxic, and that is why they started their rules hearing at 1 o'clock, by the way, yesterday morning. They are terrified that the American people might actually see what is in this GOP tax scam and be outraged by what they are doing.

Republicans don't want to talk about it. They want us to just shut up and pass this bill. They want it rubberstamped. Trump said to them: Close your eyes and vote for it. Guess what? No, no, no. We are not going to do that. We will not stay silent. We will not close our eyes and do what Trump says.

Our eyes are open, Mr. Speaker, and our voices are loud. We are here to fight, and this bill is about whose side you are on.

Democrats choose to stand with our communities, our neighbors, our families, and our people, and not the ultrarich Republican mega-donors and billionaires that write big, fat campaign contributions to buy votes.

This is a rotten, ugly, bad bill that will hurt the people I represent. It will hurt millions of people in this country, but the sad reality is, Mr. Speaker, Republicans just don't care.

Democrats are united, and with strength in our mission, with unity in our purpose, we are here to vote "hell, no" on this monstrosity of a bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX), chairwoman of the Rules Committee.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Indiana for yielding and for her great work on this rule.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the rule and the underlying legislation.

After a marathon session in the Rules Committee, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act now stands right here on the floor of the people's House.

For months, the House's committees, chairmen, Members, and staff have worked to craft this legislation. Let me tell you something, Mr. Speaker, it is truly big and beautiful.

It is a clear, full-throated response to the millions of hardworking men and women across the entire Nation who believe that America is due for a serious course correction. These men and women categorically rejected the dysfunction and disarray of the last 4 years under the Biden-Harris administration.

While our colleagues across the aisle have resorted to casting aspersions, conjuring up misleading claims, and fear-mongering about this legislation, Republicans are the ones who put pen to paper and have created one of the most consequential pieces of legislation in our Nation's history.

The American people and this government, by extension, cannot afford inaction. Americans need this legislation to ensure our economic survival

and the sustainability of this Republican government. More than 77 million Americans entrusted us with this explicit responsibility: to govern in such a decisive, forward-thinking manner that will lead America straight into the golden age.

The express purpose of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act is this: to ensure that our Federal spending, taxation, and other revenue-generating concepts align with the current needs of the American people and bring us into that new American era.

How do we achieve this grand realignment? It is through this great reconciliation project. We are executing the instructions given to the committees, pursuant to the budget resolution—one that passed both Chambers and is binding on this body and the Senate.

We are not interested in rhetorical jousting or succumbing to the status quo. We are interested in delivering real, tangible results to the American people.

These results are achieving the full potential of our energy dominance, realizing a secured border, and establishing fiscal certainty for our great American future.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to be part of delivering real, tangible results by voting “yes” on the rule and “yes” on the underlying legislation.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, let me just say to the distinguished chairwoman of the Rules Committee: Do you know what gives people fiscal certainty? It is food in their refrigerators.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Colorado (Ms. DEGETTE).

Ms. DEGETTE. Mr. Speaker, among the many other deficiencies of the bill, it is a direct assault on women’s reproductive freedom.

Number one, it defunds Planned Parenthood, which means if this bill passes, 1 million Americans will have no pap smears. They will have no breast cancer screenings. They will have no well-women visits. That is the first thing it does.

Then, the manager’s amendment, introduced in the dead of night, says that one in seven Americans who are on the insurance exchanges will no longer be able to get abortion coverage on those exchanges with their own money.

I can see why the Republicans wanted to do this in the dead of night because after the Dobbs decision, America woke up. We realized that this is an important service. When the women and families of America wake up and find out the direct assault on reproductive freedom in this bill, they are going to be mad, and they are not going to forget when they go to the ballot box in 2026.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. LANGWORTHY).

Mr. LANGWORTHY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Indiana for yielding the time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of the rule and the big, beautiful bill because this is not just a budget bill. This is the beginning of a great American comeback. That is what the people demanded when they went to the polls last November.

They voted to take our country back from chaos, from open borders, from crushing inflation, and from a government that rewards fraud while punishing hard work.

This bill answers that call. It puts working families first with expanded tax relief for families and the hard-working men and women who are paying the bills in this country. This bill tells them: We see you, and we have your backs.

At the same time, we go after the rot that has taken hold here in Washington for far too long. Just last year, Medicaid alone paid out \$36 billion in improper payments. That is fraud. That is theft. That is a disgrace. That is money that is not taking care of our most vulnerable, which we have to protect at all costs.

This bill stops it cold with tough enforcement, eligibility checks, and a full crackdown on waste, fraud, and abuse.

□ 0120

We also bring back work requirements for able-bodied adults without dependents. It is just common sense. It has worked before—in fact, in the 1990s, under a Democratic President, Bill Clinton. He worked with Congress and passed historic welfare reform that helped millions of people move from dependence to dignity. We have now restored that principle in this bill because a nation that rewards hard work is a nation that thrives.

We stop throwing taxpayer dollars at failed green schemes and electric vehicle mandates that working families simply can’t afford and don’t want. Instead, we double down on American energy—oil, gas, and nuclear—to lower costs and to make this country safer and stronger than ever.

Let’s be clear: This bill is more than just policy. It is a turning point. It is the beginning of the great American comeback that President Trump is leading and that this House Republican majority was elected to deliver.

The American people are tired of excuses. They want results. They want accountability. They want a government that works for them, not for bureaucrats, not for special interests, and not for people gaming the system.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act delivers. It protects taxpayers. It restores work. It ends waste. It gets America back on track.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote “yes” on this rule, vote “yes” on the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, vote to launch the great American comeback, and vote to stand with the people who sent us here.

Let’s pass this One Big Beautiful Bill Act. Let the great American comeback begin.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, some people may be wondering why we are debating this bill at 1:20 in the morning, which is prime time in Guam. It is because they are ashamed of what they are bringing to this House floor, and they should be ashamed.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. TRAN).

Mr. TRAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this reckless attempt by Republicans to force through a reconciliation bill that makes life harder for the working families in California’s 45th District.

My constituents deserve better than this budget. The American people deserve better than this budget. Republicans are taking money out of Americans’ pockets and giving it to billionaires and big companies.

Over 250,000 people in my district rely on Medicaid, and over 100,000 of them are children and seniors. Nearly 40,000 children, seniors, veterans, and families in my district will have less food on their tables because of Republicans’ cuts to SNAP.

Our constituents sent us here to fight for them. They are looking to us for answers, and all Republicans can offer are higher costs for less care. That is not okay with me. Our constituents deserve better.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote “no.”

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. ALFORD), my friend.

Mr. ALFORD. Mr. Speaker, we now have a chance to deliver real, lasting change for the American people.

The One Big Beautiful Bill Act is not just a promise that we as House Republicans and President Trump made. This is a generational opportunity to reset the direction of our great Nation.

Let me be clear: My colleagues on the other side of the aisle don’t like this bill because it puts hardworking Americans first, not the bureaucrats, not special interests, and not those who break our laws.

Our friends on the left like to shout: “The sky is falling. The sky is falling.” Enough with the Chicken Little mentality in this body.

This bill stops the largest tax increase in U.S. history. This bill will increase take-home pay by more than \$13,000 and grow wages by more than \$11,000. It protects Medicaid for our citizens by removing more than a million illegal aliens from taxpayer-funded benefits. Yes, Mr. Speaker, this draws the clear line: No taxpayer dollars for sex change surgeries for anyone.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a “yes” vote on this big, beautiful bill.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, my friends are so proud of this bill that they are doing it at 1:25 a.m.

The bottom line is the reason why we don’t like the bill is that we don’t think billionaires deserve any more tax



cuts, and we don't want to support a bill that screws regular people.

Mr. Speaker, I am going to urge that we defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to make in order amendment No. 233 offered by Leader JEFFRIES, which strikes all provisions that would cause millions of Americans to lose healthcare and food assistance.

We spent over 20 hours in the Rules Committee today trying to stop this ugly, cruel Republican bill. Over 100 Democrats came to testify. We did everything we could to get them to change this bill so that it would not strip healthcare and food assistance from the most vulnerable people in our Nation.

Now, we will give Republicans one last chance not to strip vital programs like Medicaid and SNAP away from millions of Americans.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of my amendment into the RECORD, along with any extraneous material, immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. UNDERWOOD) to discuss our proposal.

Ms. UNDERWOOD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to speak out on behalf of millions of Americans who are about to have their healthcare torn away from them.

Republicans have been working through the night to hand Donald Trump a massive tax cut to all those billionaires who were in the front row of his inauguration.

It seems that nothing is off-limits. Everything is on the table to get that done, including meals for hungry families, working families' healthcare, and the incredible gains that we have made to get more families affordable coverage.

Today, Republicans blocked my amendment to lower healthcare costs, refusing to accept a proposal that Donald Trump's own pollster said is the most popular way to make care more affordable.

The Medicaid and nutrition cuts that they are pushing will be devastating. Hospitals and nursing homes will close, and they will not come back. Kids will go hungry. Millions will lose their healthcare, and more people will die.

We will not stop fighting for the American people to have access to high-quality, affordable healthcare.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to defeat the previous question.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Utah (Ms. MALOY), my very good friend.

Ms. MALOY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to support the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, legislation that prioritizes fis-

cal responsibility while boosting America's economy.

The Energy and Commerce Committee provisions provide \$912 billion in deficit reduction. The Ways and Means Committee provisions make real tax relief. This bill reins in Federal spending levels by rooting out waste, fraud, and abuse, and strengthens our economy by ensuring that working American families can thrive without overly burdensome taxes or costly regulations.

We are moving the economy and government in the right direction.

The Natural Resources Committee title reduces the deficit by at least \$18.5 billion while restoring common-sense management to our Federal lands and environmental programs. It will generate revenue through oil and gas leases, coal leases on Federal lands, leases for geothermal energy production, timber sales, and other activities that stimulate local economies while generating Federal revenue.

It cuts waste and strengthens resource development, all while achieving significant fiscal savings.

This bill is good for Utah, and it is good for America. The bill helps all Americans by helping us keep our border secure by providing law enforcement with the tools they need.

Mr. Speaker, I support the bill.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. MASSIE), a man who is not afraid to speak his mind about fiscal responsibility.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I would love to stand here and tell the American people that we can cut your taxes and increase spending, and everything is going to be just fine. I can't do that because I am here to deliver a dose of reality.

This bill dramatically increases deficits in the near term but promises our government will be fiscally responsible 5 years from now. Where have we heard that before? How do you bind a future Congress to these promises?

This bill is a debt bomb ticking.

Congress can do funny math, fantasy math if it wants, but bond investors don't. This week, they sent us a message. Moody's downgraded our credit rating, and the bond investors who buy and finance our debt demanded higher interest rates on the 10-year note, 20-year note, and the 30-year note. What does this mean? Very soon, the government will be paying \$16,000 of interest, interest alone, per U.S. family.

What are we telling them? Instead of taking care of that problem, we are going to give them a \$1,600 tax break.

Under the taxing and spending levels in this bill, we are going to rack up, the authors say, \$20 trillion of new debt over the next 10 years. I am telling you, it is closer to \$30 trillion of new debt in the next 10 years.

Mr. Speaker, we are not rearranging deck chairs on the *Titanic* tonight. We are putting coal in the boiler and setting a course for the iceberg. If some-

thing is beautiful, you don't do it after midnight.

Mr. Speaker, I oppose this bill.

□ 0130

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. NUNN).

Mr. NUNN of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, tonight we have the opportunity to deliver on a promise made to the American people on border security, energy independence, and tax cuts for working-class families.

Now, my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, many of them millionaires themselves, may bemoan what it may do. I promise them this. For folks back home in Iowa, this is a working-class tax cut.

I spoke to an Iowa mom, Sarah Curry, who used her child tax credit to help buy a new water heater for her family when it went out last winter. Sarah was also able to get speech therapy lessons for her son.

I talked to Jolene Riessen, an Iowa farmer, whose sons were able to continue her family's legacy of a family farm because she didn't have a death tax cut that would have allowed a foreign country to buy their family's centuries-old farm.

In fact, the average household will see an increase of \$5,000 in real income that goes straight back into our economy. That, my friends, is how we not only grow the economy but pay down the national deficit. This mechanism is something the CBO apparently doesn't understand with over \$1 trillion in growth.

Mr. Speaker, I support this bill. The American people demand this bill.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, you have got to love these Republicans. The two things they don't want to talk about are tax cuts for billionaires, which are in this budget reconciliation, and the debt. This bill adds trillions to the debt.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Nevada (Ms. TITUS).

Ms. TITUS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the leader for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, the Republicans may think that they can hide what they are doing to the American people by voting on this one big, bad, beautiful, BS bill in the middle of the night. They need to think again.

I represent Las Vegas. That is a 24-hour town. My constituents are up. They are not asleep. They are working, and they are watching.

They can see my Republican colleagues cutting benefits for families while cutting taxes for billionaires. They can see them taking away food from children and healthcare from women. They can see my friends on the other side of the aisle stripping funds from renewable energy, Medicaid, and public education.

They are not asleep. They are not blind. Their eyes are wide open. Republicans can say whatever they want to, but my constituents are watching what they are doing.



The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. MEUSER).

Mr. MEUSER. Mr. Speaker, we are here this late because our time has been wasted for the last 3 or 4 hours on the nonsense coming from the left.

We were elected to improve our country, to work with President Trump to improve our national security, and strengthen our economy. We were elected to bring fiscal sanity to an out-of-control budget, deliver on accountability, and lead the world toward peace. We were elected, frankly, to bring common sense so people start trusting our government again.

This big, beautiful bill is about caring about America. It is designed to strengthen our national security, fortify our now-secure border, which was turned into a disaster by the Democrats, responsibly grow our domestic energy supply, and create more opportunity for Americans.

It also gives small businesses the type of tax environment and certainty they need and deserve, not one where they are slammed by major tax increases which would occur by voting "no" on this bill.

Voting "no" on this bill will assure massive tax hikes and will continue the waste, abuse, and fraud that is just running rampant through our Federal Government.

Mr. Speaker, this bill is just the beginning. It sets the stage for making America as great as it should be.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, boy, that was weird.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. MAGAZINER).

Mr. MAGAZINER. Mr. Speaker, I rise against the cruelty of a bill that would take food from the hungry and medicine from the sick and give billions in tax cuts to billionaires who don't need it.

I rise against a Republican Party that is too afraid to do townhalls and face their own voters, too afraid to debate us in committees to support this terrible bill.

I rise for the working people who are struggling under the weight of Donald Trump's tariffs, the largest tax increase on the middle class in a generation. They can't afford higher copays or higher premiums.

I rise for the seniors who will have nowhere to go when their nursing homes are shut down by the Medicaid cuts in this bill. I rise for the children who will have to pay the tab for the trillions in debt that they are adding.

My Republican colleagues told their voters that they would change Washington. Washington has changed them. Do better. Vote "no."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TAYLOR).

Mr. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of the big, beautiful bill which will cut rampant waste, fraud, and abuse in critical government programs so they are protected for vulnerable Americans who need them most for generations to come.

With this bill, President Trump and Republicans in Congress are defending Medicaid, protecting SNAP, and eliminating fraud so taxpayers' dollars are spent on Americans in need, not stolen by illegal immigrants or other fraudsters.

This critical legislation will also make Trump tax cuts, which benefit all American taxpayers, permanent. It will cut taxes for hardworking families, while Democrats try to drive them up.

The bill will also allocate \$60 billion toward farm bill programs to support our Nation's farmers, ranchers, and producers who so desperately need support.

Remember this. Republicans are the ones protecting these critical government programs. When Democrats refuse to support this bill, they are choosing to hike up taxes on American families, allow fraud to run rampant in benefit programs, and cut off aid to our farmers who put food on our tables.

Mr. Speaker, passing this bill that puts America first is vital to our Nation's future in both the long and short term.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Ms. POU).

Ms. POU. Mr. Speaker, the massive cuts to Medicaid within this bill will unleash catastrophic impacts across America and my district. Hospitals and nursing homes could close, and healthcare prices will rise as a result of these cuts.

More than 1.7 million New Jerseyans, including over one-third of all children in our State, get their healthcare through Medicaid. Our State will lose one-quarter of the Federal funding it receives for Medicaid. That is \$3.6 billion.

That is what this bill will do if it becomes law. These cuts will especially hurt families in our poorest communities. That is why I offered an amendment to require continuous Medicaid coverage through pregnancy and a year postpartum.

If my colleagues support women and working families, there is no reason to oppose this provision. However, my amendment was blocked, along with five other commonsense amendments.

I urge my colleagues to please vote "no" on this cruel rule.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Montana (Mr. DOWNING).

Mr. DOWNING. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Indiana (Mrs. HOUCHIN) for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, right now the Montanans that I represent are staring down the barrel of a 26 percent tax increase. If Congress does not act soon,

the average Treasure State family of four will lose more than \$1,400 a year.

President Trump won a decisive victory on creating jobs, lowering costs, and making his signature tax law permanent. This resolution advances his full agenda that the American people overwhelmingly elected us to enact.

This is a game changer for individual filers, as well as farmers, ranchers, and small business owners that make up the backbone of the Treasure State's economy.

Our reconciliation bill delivers permanent death tax relief, benefiting over 2 million family-owned farms, ranches, and small businesses that would otherwise see their exemption cut in half.

Our bill provides permanent relief for the small businesses around the country that employ nearly half of Americans, while honoring President Trump's promise of no tax on overtime and no tax on tips.

Put plainly, a vote against this rule, or our one big, beautiful bill, is a vote to raise taxes on every single American. With that, I urge my colleagues to support this rule.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I would say to the gentleman the reason why the tax cuts for middle-class families might expire is because that is the way they wrote them in the 2017 Republican tax scam bill.

Republicans made the corporate tax cuts permanent. Republicans made those tax cuts for working people expire. It is their fault.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. CASTEN).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

□ 0140

Mr. CASTEN. Mr. Speaker, if you run a company that is losing market share, then you have three choices: You can go bankrupt, you can innovate, or you can come whining to Washington saying that competition scares me.

In this bill, the Republican Party is delivering that protection from competition to the fossil fuel sector.

Some numbers: In the last 15 years, coal use is down 50 percent; oil use is flat; gas is losing market share.

That is because people are transitioning to renewables. If you don't have to burn fossil fuel, Mr. Speaker, then you don't have to buy fossil fuel. People like cheap energy.

That is awesome. In the IRA, we passed rules to make sure that everybody could access those technologies, not just ones with disposable income. It is great for consumers. We prioritize those investments in red and blue States.

The result of all that is that the oil and gas sector doesn't like competition for the same reason the Republican Party doesn't like DEI, Mr. Speaker, because mediocre folks are scared about meritocracies.

They are now slashing those programs that were building out transmission technology, and that were building out nuclear and solar not because they are clean, but because they are cheap. We are getting higher energy prices, a less reliable grid, and more extreme weather, all so that the fossil fuel industry doesn't have to face competition.

That is not capitalism. It is state-directed socialism. You own your life choices, Mr. Speaker.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. HARIDOPOLOS).

Mr. HARIDOPOLOS. Mr. Speaker, I had a great conversation with their interns the other day.

They said: I have been studying the tax bill. The current tax rate on the wealthiest Americans is 37 percent. The new tax rate, so to speak, will be 37 percent.

They asked me: Why do they keep on talking about cutting taxes for the rich? It is who is getting the cut. It is simple. It is the person who has earned Social Security. It is the person who earned those tips. It is the person who has earned that overtime pay.

The people who make America work have been suffering for last 4 years from failed government policies.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New Hampshire (Mr. PAPPAS).

Mr. PAPPAS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight because healthcare is on the line and so is the well-being and the dignity of the people I serve in New Hampshire.

The phones in my office won't stop ringing because people I represent are beyond angry about the proposal to slash Medicaid.

Last week, I sat down with a constituent of Laconia, Donka Facciolo, who lives with a disability. Thanks to Medicaid, she receives skilled nursing, transportation, and employment support. Because of that help she can live on her own.

Another constituent whom I met, Kevin Brett, told me the same. Without Medicaid, he is not sure where he would go.

Parents of individuals with disabilities have told me that they could lose in-home care for their kids, and in doing so, they would be forced to quit their jobs.

What kind of choice is that for these parents?

Slashing Medicaid is cruel. It would take away Granite Staters' ability to receive care and their chance to work, and it would rob them of their independence.

We know it is all being ripped away in order to give big tax breaks to billionaires and the biggest corporations.

I am a "no" on this reckless scheme, and I urge my colleagues to vote "no" as well.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. MOORE).

Mr. MOORE of West Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I rise in favor of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act that delivers on President Trump's promise to make America great again.

The bill makes America energy dominant again by repealing the Democrats' disastrous green new scam, expediting permitting, and unleashing all of the below energy—that is the ground—coal, oil, and natural gas.

The legislation makes America safe again by building the wall, giving needed resources to enforce our immigration laws, and rebuild our military.

We also make America prosperous again by providing the largest tax cut in history, including no tax on tips, no tax on overtime, and slashing taxes on Social Security. Tip workers will see a \$1,700 increase in take-home pay in this bill.

That is a win for the American people.

Finally, this bill puts American families first by increasing and making permanent the child tax credit and enhancing the adoption tax credit and defunding, thank God, big abortion.

President Trump made a promise to the American people, and this bill fulfills it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. SYKES).

Mrs. SYKES. Mr. Speaker, every time I hear the name and the title of H.R. 1, I am stunned with how childish and immature it is to name a bill the way that the majority has.

However, truly, it is the cruelty that is the worst part of this bill. When I was first elected, I told the people of Ohio's 13th Congressional District that it may be my name on the ballot, but we are all going to Congress together. I made that promise because as a Representative, it is my responsibility to make sure that my constituents' needs are being addressed here in Washington.

The people in Ohio's 13th Congressional District need lower costs. They need access to care, and they do not need this horrible, horrible bill.

I have said many times before that access to maternal health, to infant mortality reduction, to making sure that people have access to substance and mental health services is what is so important. Mr. Speaker, there was no Republican mandate to kick our grandmothers out of nursing homes.

However, the most important part about this is that when 30,000 jobs are on the line in Ohio's 13th Congressional District, Mr. Speaker, what are you going to say to my constituents?

Will you call them lazy or worthless, unworthy of food, shelter, and human dignity? I already know the answer to that.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 15 seconds to the gentlewoman from Ohio.

Mrs. SYKES. Mr. Speaker, I have heard you talk about them, that they

are not important. They are totally unworthy of this horrible, horrific, mean, cruel, and nasty bill.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. MCDOWELL).

Mr. MCDOWELL. Mr. Speaker, it comes as no surprise that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle are afraid of the truth when speaking about the bill before us today.

We now have extensive reporting that the last administration kept the American people in the dark about the health of President Biden. They refused to call the flood of illegals coming across our border a crisis.

My colleagues on the other side of the aisle tried putting lipstick on their inflationary economy rather than changing course.

It should come as no shock, Mr. Speaker, that these same folks are misleading Americans about the bill before us today. The One Big Beautiful Bill Act will be a major course correction delivering massive tax relief, certainty for small businesses, a historic investment in border security, and we are unleashing American energy.

Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that too many in this body live their own truth, not the truth. The truth is: This puts America first.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to support this rule.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. FIGURES).

Mr. FIGURES. Mr. Speaker, we are talking border security, and the same administration just let in El Chapo's family. El Chapo's family. I rise today as somebody representing one of the poorest districts in this country. The individual median income is around \$30,000. Mr. Speaker, one in four people in my district receive SNAP benefits, and one in four people in my district receive Medicaid benefits.

These people cannot afford to lose healthcare access. They cannot afford to lose access to SNAP benefits.

The truth of the matter is, the truth is people will lose those benefits, all in an effort to make long money even longer.

My question is: Is their money being longer worth people in my district's lives being shorter?

It is not. It never will be. It never can be. We have to stand up for these people. I came to Congress to be a voice for people who need things like Medicaid and SNAP benefits.

Every day I am here, I will push back against efforts to cut back on these benefits.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. KENNEDY).

Mr. KENNEDY of Utah. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for the yielding of that time.

Mr. Speaker, as a family physician, I have seen both the benefits and issues associated with Medicaid.

Currently there are 7.6 million people on Medicaid who should not be there.

Mr. Speaker, there are 1.4 million illegal immigrants, 1.2 million who are ineligible for Medicaid, and 4.8 million able-bodied adults who choose not to work.

It is time we crack down on fraud, waste, and abuse on such a vital program for those who need it.

As we assess the state of Medicaid, we find that roughly \$1 in every \$4 are spent improperly with more than 80 percent of these payments stemming from eligibility errors.

Medicaid is critically important for countless Americans, and this bill will ensure that those who truly need it can continue to access the care they depend on.

Medicaid was designed to support the disabled, pregnant women, children, and those who are in need. It has ballooned with able-bodied adults who are currently not required to be working.

Mr. Speaker, Republicans are trying to fix Medicaid and preserve it for those who need it.

□ 0150

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Delaware (Ms. MCBRIDE).

Ms. MCBRIDE. Mr. Speaker, I offered a simple but essential amendment to this slash-and-burn Republican budget.

This budget creates red tape that would result in vulnerable seniors losing their healthcare. My amendment would have prevented that red tape unless the Congressional Budget Office certifies that this bill will not result in any senior losing coverage.

Why did Republicans block my amendment? Because they know their budget cuts care. That is why they are doing all of this in the dead of night. That is why they are rushing this through. They want to eviscerate healthcare so long as they get tax breaks for their billionaire best friends.

It may be very late at night, but it is not too late for my Republican colleagues to do the right thing. It is not too late to protect Medicaid. It is not too late to protect SNAP. It is not too late to protect their constituents, but I won't hold my breath.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. LUNA).

Mrs. LUNA. Mr. Speaker, I thank everyone for being here today.

Mr. Speaker, I can say that I am very excited to vote for this bill for a few reasons. Starting in November, I was campaigning with our very popular President, who won not just the national popular vote but also the electoral college and who campaigned on ending taxes on tips, taxes on overtime pay, everything that would help the American people who have felt far too long left by Washington, D.C.

We are finally able to deliver. What are we delivering on? It doesn't just stop there. It is ending taxes on car loan interest, which so many people

struggle with. It is going to bring additional tax relief for seniors. It makes sure that we restore border security.

By the way, I remind the American people that it was our colleagues who were responsible for giving many of these program benefits to people who never paid into the program, to people who were here illegally, hurting our very own people.

We talk about defending seniors. We talk about defending the most needy. Yet, these programs were literally at risk of insolvency had we not done this.

Mr. Speaker, what I can say is that I am very proudly supporting this bill that also includes an increase in the child tax credit and an increase in individual tax deductions.

Mr. Speaker, I ask everyone to join us in this. Please support the very big, beautiful bill.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. AGUILAR), chairman of the Democratic Caucus.

Mr. AGUILAR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts for yielding. I am grateful for his leadership and the leadership of the Rules Committee Democrats, who are fighting back against these massive tax giveaways to billionaires and corporations raking in record profits.

Mr. Speaker, the American people are getting squeezed by reckless tariffs that are raising prices on every family, and it is only going to get worse. With prices still too high, I don't understand how my Republican colleagues can justify taking food off the table from women, children, and families.

While so many working families are struggling, I don't understand how they can justify a tax bill that only makes life easier for billionaires like Elon Musk.

In the dark of night, they want to pass this GOP tax scam.

We saw today that the President has said that a vote against this legislation represents an ultimate betrayal. The real betrayal, Mr. Speaker, is to the working people of this country, who will pay the price so that billionaires can pay less in taxes.

Mr. Speaker, our friends on the other side of the aisle said that they wouldn't cut Medicaid, and that is exactly what this bill does. They said that they would end taxes on tips, but they forgot to mention that the trade-off is that Americans will lose their healthcare.

The American people didn't sign up for these broken promises. They asked their elected officials to work together to lower costs.

My colleagues in the House Democratic Caucus are waiting for these so-called reasonable Republicans to join us. Let's focus on bringing down the cost of housing, rent, gas, and groceries, and let's stop rewarding billionaires at the expense of the middle class.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from North Dakota (Mrs. FEDORCHAK).

Mrs. FEDORCHAK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act on behalf of these people and thousands of others like them. They are not millionaires or billionaires. They are family farmers.

Brent and Stephanie Baldwin farm wheat, sugar beets, edible beans, and soybeans in St. Thomas, North Dakota. They have three kids. They are fourth-generation farmers.

Unless Congress acts, the tax relief they depend on, the 199A deduction, will expire at the end of this year. Our bill will permanently expand this and increase this deduction for passthrough businesses like the Baldwins'.

In 2020 alone, 67,000 taxpayers in my State, including many farmers, claimed the 199A deduction, putting \$682 million in their pockets. That is real money for working-class Americans.

Our bill also eliminates the death tax for family-owned businesses. We can't have the big, bloated Federal Government stealing their hard work and wasting it on fraud and abuse.

For our family farmers and producers, the backbone of rural America, this is urgent. Let's make these tax cuts permanent and pass this bill.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ).

Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member for yielding his time.

Mr. Speaker, 13.7 million Americans are the number of people in this country whose healthcare is going to be stripped in this bill. Now, Republicans are going to try to say every single distraction in the book from that essential number.

I want people at home to understand that Medicaid and the Federal matching funds for Medicaid can make up 30 to 40 percent of some of the State budgets that we have going on back home.

Republicans have put this bill together, rushed it together in a matter of hours on the back of a napkin, shaking it, walking it out of the White House, and brought it right here to this floor.

They are defunding Planned Parenthood. They are ending tons of Medicaid coverage for 13.7 million Americans, including Affordable Care Act coverage, as well. If Americans want to get an abortion and have a silver plan on a healthcare plan that they paid for, they will also be affected by this legislation, as well.

Mr. Speaker, it is also allowing suppressors on guns to be deregulated to some of the largest amounts that we have seen since the 1930s. This is just a Christmas tree bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentlewoman from New York.

Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ. Mr. Speaker, I want people to understand, for my Republican colleagues who are sure what

is in and not in this bill, in this process that has been this rushed, when they wake up in the morning, they will realize that they voted to defund Planned Parenthood and take away healthcare from 13.7 million Americans.

When this country wakes up in the morning, there will be consequences to pay for this.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. VAN ORDEN).

Mr. VAN ORDEN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to take a moment to ground this House in reality and make sure that everyone understands that words have consequences and that the violent political rhetoric that has been delivered over the last 48 to 72 hours by my Democratic colleagues has affected Americans across this country and the globe.

Mr. Speaker, it has been reported that two Israeli diplomats were shot leaving an event at the Capital Jewish Museum in Washington, D.C., by someone who yelled: "Free, free Palestine."

I would like to spend the rest of my time that has been yielded by Mrs. HOUCHIN to respectfully ask my colleagues to have a moment of silence for these two people who were murdered by someone for political purposes.

□ 0200

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI), the Speaker Emerita.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding and for his exceptional leadership. I recognize all the Members of the Rules Committee for their stamina and Mr. MCGOVERN for his values.

Mr. Speaker, the Reverend Martin Luther King said: "Of all the forms of inequality, injustice in health is the most shocking and the most inhuman because it often results in physical death." He went on to talk about access to healthcare as a right.

This bill's inequality and inhumanity is exactly what Republicans have in store for the American people.

I was in the Rules Committee for 5 hours. I know some of you were there longer, and it was stiff competition as to what was the worst provision they came up with.

Let's just talk about work requirements for a moment. Republicans said with great pride: Oh, if they have a 7-year-old child, they have to go to work. A 7-year-old child is a little child.

I will just recall for you a conversation I had with some moms. One of them said: If I have a work requirement to have Medicaid, this is what it means to me. If my child is sick, I can't go to work because I can't afford childcare. If I miss work, I will miss pay, and I can't afford to miss the pay. If I miss going to work, I can be fired. What I have to do is put my child on a schoolbus even though my child is sick, and I have to go to work to have Medicaid.

Then, of course, as has been mentioned about the silencers, that is just beyond comprehension in terms of safety for our children.

Mr. Speaker, because of Republicans' tax bill scam, millions of American families, seniors, and veterans—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentlewoman from California.

Ms. PELOSI.—vulnerable children, people with disabilities, and all the rest will lose their healthcare. Rural hospitals will be closed. Millions of jobs will be destroyed across America, and all of this to give another massive tax cut to the richest people in America. It is a Republican Robin Hood in reverse, one of the largest transfers of wealth from working families to the rich in our country.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" vote on the rule and on the bill.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. EDWARDS).

Mr. EDWARDS. Mr. Speaker, once again, Democrats are distorting the truth to smear a bill that protects working-class Americans.

They scream: Tax cuts for billionaires. Yet, not a single personal income tax rate from the Trump tax cuts is being changed, tax cuts that pulled over 6 million people out of poverty and brought our country's poverty rate to a record low.

What they don't want Americans to know is that without this bill, families face a 22 percent tax hike. House Republicans are making sure that does not happen. Republicans are cutting taxes, boosting take-home pay, and securing millions of American manufacturing jobs.

Republicans are delivering on conservative promises: no taxes on tips, overtime, or car loan interest. We are strengthening the child tax credit, making the paid leave credit permanent, and repealing the Democrats' IRS overreach targeting gig workers with their \$600 rule.

This bill stands for working families, economic freedom, and common sense.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentleman from North Carolina.

Mr. EDWARDS. The left can't spin it all they want, but the facts don't lie.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ).

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this one big, ugly bill.

Instead of cutting costs, it imposes the biggest healthcare cut ever, forcing 14 million people to lose coverage.

This bill makes the deepest cuts to nutrition assistance in U.S. history, ripping food from the mouths of kids, seniors, veterans, and people with disabilities.

It guts clean energy, hurts farmers, expands abortion bans, and explodes the debt so badly it will trigger \$500 billion in cuts to Medicare.

Its thousand-plus pages all boil down to this: \$1.1 trillion is swiped from SNAP and Medicaid and stuffed right into the pockets of the richest 1 percent.

It no longer, thankfully, gives tax breaks to tanning beds, although Republicans tried that in the dead of night, yet strips Affordable Care Act coverage from millions of families and raises deductibles and copays for millions more.

This is worse than the haves versus the have-nots. It is just one big giveaway to the have yachts.

Just like Trump did to all his businesses, this bill will drive our constituents and our country into bankruptcy. Any Republican with a spine, which they clearly lack, and a conscience, which they also lack, should join me in voting "hell no."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FINE).

Mr. FINE. Mr. Speaker, I am a new person in this Chamber, and one of the things I have observed over the last 6 weeks is the fundamental question of: Who is it that we should be most worried about? See, Rome is burning, and it doesn't seem as though people realize that.

It is not thoughtful, it is not generous, it is not compassionate to borrow money from our children and our grandchildren to give it to people who should not be in our country anyway. It is not compassionate to borrow money from them to give it to people for healthcare if they could work and they could provide it for themselves.

What is compassionate is to tell someone who is a waitress, working hard to support her family, that she doesn't have to pay taxes on her tips. It is compassionate to someone like my father who is blind to know that he might not have to pay taxes on his Social Security.

There is compassion in this bill. There is compassion for the American people, and I hope that everyone will support it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. CRANK).

Mr. CRANK. Mr. Speaker, there was a lot of talk tonight about what happens if we vote for this bill.

What are my colleagues voting for if they vote against this bill? Democrats who aren't going to vote for this bill will be voting for a \$4.5 trillion tax increase. They will be voting for waste, fraud, and abuse in Medicaid. They will be voting for illegal immigrants stealing from Medicaid. They will be voting for continuing green energy scams.

They will be voting for allowing environmental extremists to continue to drive up the costs of energy for average American families.

What are they voting against? They are voting against \$150 billion increase for our military, and they are voting against a base housing allowance increase for our soldiers. That is in this bill. They are voting against tax relief for seniors and working Americans.

Let's end the nonsense. Let's do what is right for America. Let's pass this rule and pass this bill.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire how much time I have remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts has 5½ minutes remaining.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, one of the previous Republican speakers got up and said: Rome is burning. I hate to tell him, but Republicans are in charge, and Trump is your Caesar.

CBO just did an analysis of this budget, and they did an analysis as to how it impacts the bottom 10 percent and the top 10 percent income earners of this country. Guess what? The bottom 10 percent gets screwed, and the top 10 percent gets a big raise in terms of revenue, in terms of tax breaks.

Let me end where I began, Mr. Speaker. This is about whose side you are on. Whose side are you on, Mr. Speaker? From where I stand, it looks like you and the entire Republican Party betrayed the American people to side with the billionaires.

People are so tired of getting screwed over by a system that doesn't work for them. They are fed up, and they want change. They want us to make things better for regular, hardworking people, not just the rich and the powerful.

□ 0210

What Republicans' big, ugly bill does is the exact opposite. Our colleagues and Trump are perpetuating the exact broken system that people hate and despise: more tax breaks for billionaires while regular people get screwed; more giveaways for the rich and powerful while moms and dads struggle to get by; and more loopholes into the tax code for Wall Street while working families fall further and further behind.

That is the Republican agenda. That is what my colleagues on the other side of the aisle think is big and beautiful—greed, corruption, and tax breaks for billionaires. My State of Massachusetts funds States like Indiana, where the gentlewoman is from. We get back less than we give. How dare she or anybody else vote to steal our tax money and give it to billionaires.

Mr. Speaker, no, we will not go quietly. No, we will not give up. We will fight this cruel, corrupt, immoral bill every step of the way because the people we represent are counting on us, and we will never stop fighting for them.

Mr. Speaker, I urge every Member of this House to vote "hell no," "never," "absolutely not," on this terrible disgrace of a bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, Rome is burning, but not because of the leadership of Republicans and Donald Trump. After 4 years of failed leadership under President Biden, our country faces many challenges.

Yet, Republicans, along with President Trump, are ready to address them head on and get our country back on track. The one big, beautiful bill is here, and the American people are ready to see us deliver on our promises.

This is historic legislation that is a bill for working Americans. Here are three words I want my colleagues to remember: "ineligible," "illegal," and "able." Those are the people who we don't believe should be taking Medicaid from pregnant women, children, the disabled, and the elderly.

We have heard a lot about 13.7 million people are going to lose their healthcare. That number was debunked by The New York Times. That is a newspaper that doesn't write a lot of things that are friendly to our side. It is not that way.

This is a bill that is going to support pregnant women, children, the disabled, and the elderly. It supports our veterans, our farmers, and our small business owners. It secures tax certainty, permitting reform, and our border.

Mr. Speaker, put quite simply, the One Big Beautiful Bill Act is America First, and I am proud to support it. With this vote, we can unlock the golden age of America. I look forward to moving the One Big Beautiful Bill Act out of the House and delivering for the American people who voted for the golden age to return.

I ask my colleagues to join me in voting "yes" on the previous question, and "yes" on the rule.

The material previously referred to by Mr. MCGOVERN is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 436 OFFERED BY  
MR. MCGOVERN OF MASSACHUSETTS

Strike everything following the resolved clause and insert the following:

That upon adoption of this resolution, it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to title II of H. Con. Res. 14. The bill shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and on any amendment thereto, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) two hours of debate equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget or their respective designees and the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees; (2) the amendment specified in section 2 of this resolution, if offered by Representative Jeffries of New York or a designee, which shall be in order without intervention of any

point of order, shall be considered as read, shall be separately debatable for 10 minutes equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question; and (3) one motion to recommit.

SEC. 2. The amendment referred to in section 1 is as follows:

Amend section 8 of the bill to read as follows:

In subtitle A of title I, strike sections 10001 through 10012.

Strike subtitle D of title IV.

Strike sections 112101 through 112103.

Strike sections 112201 through 112203.

Mrs. HOUCHIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on ordering the previous question will be followed by 5-minute votes on adoption of the resolution, if ordered, and passage of S.J. Res. 31.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 213, nays 211, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 141]

YEAS—213

Aderholt	Emmer	Jackson (TX)
Alford	Estes	James
Allen	Evans (CO)	Johnson (LA)
Amodei (NV)	Ezell	Johnson (SD)
Babin	Fallon	Joyce (OH)
Bacon	Fedorchak	Joyce (PA)
Baird	Feenstra	Kean
Balderson	Fine	Kelly (MS)
Barr	Finstad	Kelly (PA)
Barrett	Fischbach	Kennedy (UT)
Baumgartner	Fitzgerald	Kiggans (VA)
Bean (FL)	Fitzpatrick	Kiley (CA)
Begich	Fleischmann	Kim
Bentz	Flood	Knott
Bergman	Fong	Kustoff
Bice	Fox	LaHood
Biggs (AZ)	Franklin, Scott	LaLota
Biggs (SC)	Fry	LaMalfa
Bilirakis	Fulcher	Langworthy
Boebert	Garbarino	Latta
Bost	Gill (TX)	Lawler
Brecheen	Gimenez	Lee (FL)
Bresnahan	Goldman (TX)	Letlow
Buchanan	Gonzales, Tony	Loudermilk
Burchett	Gooden	Lucas
Burlison	Gosar	Luna
Calvert	Graves	Luttrell
Cammack	Green (TN)	Mace
Carey	Greene (GA)	Mackenzie
Carter (GA)	Griffith	Malliotakis
Carter (TX)	Grothman	Maloy
Ciscomani	Guest	Mann
Cline	Guthrie	Massie
Cloud	Hageman	McCaul
Clyde	Hamadeh (AZ)	McClain
Cole	Haridopolos	McClintock
Collins	Harrigan	McCormick
Comer	Harris (MD)	McDowell
Crane	Harris (NC)	McGuire
Crank	Harshbarger	Messmer
Crawford	Hern (OK)	Meuser
Crenshaw	Higgins (LA)	Miller (IL)
Davidson	Hill (AR)	Miller (OH)
De La Cruz	Hinson	Miller (WV)
DesJarlais	Houchin	Miller-Meeks
Diaz-Balart	Hudson	Mills
Donalds	Huizenga	Moolenaar
Downing	Hurd (CO)	Moore (AL)
Edwards	Issa	Moore (NC)
Ellzey	Jack	Moore (UT)

Moore (WV)	Rulli	Tenney
Moran	Scalise	Thompson (PA)
Murphy	Schmidt	Tiffany
Nehls	Schweikert	Timmons
Newhouse	Scott, Austin	Turner (OH)
Norman	Self	Valadao
Nunn (IA)	Sessions	Van Drew
Obernoite	Shreve	Van Dуйne
Ogles	Simpson	Van Orden
Onder	Smith (MO)	Wagner
Owens	Smith (NE)	Walberg
Palmer	Smith (NJ)	Weber (TX)
Patronis	Smucker	Webster (FL)
Perry	Spartz	Westerman
Pfluger	Stauber	Wied
Reschenthaler	Stefanik	Williams (TX)
Rogers (AL)	Stell	Wilson (SC)
Rogers (KY)	Steube	Wittman
Rose	Strong	Womack
Rouzer	Stutzman	Yakym
Roy	Taylor	Zinke

□ 0230

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MURPHY). The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered. The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 217, noes 212, not voting 3, as follows:

[Roll No. 142]

AYES—217

Adams	Gillen	Ocasio-Cortez
Aguilar	Golden (ME)	Olszewski
Amo	Goldman (NY)	Omar
Ansari	Gomez	Pallone
Auchincloss	Gonzalez, V.	Panetta
Balint	Goodlander	Pappas
Barragan	Gottheimer	Pelosi
Beatty	Gray	Perez
Bell	Green, Al (TX)	Peters
Bera	Harder (CA)	Pettersen
Beyer	Hayes	Pingree
Bishop	Himes	Pocan
Bonamici	Horsford	Pou
Boyle (PA)	Houllahan	Pressley
Brown	Hoyer	Quigley
Brownley	Hoyle (OR)	Ramirez
Budzinski	Huffman	Randall
Bynum	Ivey	Raskin
Carbajal	Jackson (IL)	Riley (NY)
Carson	Jacobs	Rivas
Carter (LA)	Jayapal	Ross
Casar	Jeffries	Ruiz
Case	Johnson (GA)	Ryan
Casten	Johnson (TX)	Salinas
Castor (FL)	Kamlager-Dove	Sánchez
Castro (TX)	Kaptur	Scanlon
Cherfilus-	Keating	Schakowsky
McCormick	Kelly (IL)	Schneider
Chu	Kennedy (NY)	Scholten
Cisneros	Khanna	Schrier
Clark (MA)	Krishnamoorthi	Scott (VA)
Clarke (NY)	Landsman	Scott, David
Cleaver	Larsen (WA)	Sewell
Clyburn	Larson (CT)	Sherman
Cohen	Latimer	Sherrill
Conaway	Lee (NV)	Simon
Correa	Lee (PA)	Smith (WA)
Costa	Leger Fernandez	Sorensen
Courtney	Levin	Soto
Craig	Liccardo	Stansbury
Crockett	Lieu	Stanton
Crow	Lofgren	Stevens
Cuellar	Lynch	Strickland
Davids (KS)	Magaziner	Subramanyam
Davis (IL)	Mannion	Suozi
Davis (NC)	Matsui	Swalwell
Dean (PA)	McBath	Sykes
DeGette	McBride	Takano
DeLauro	McClain Delaney	Thanedar
DelBene	McClellan	Thompson (CA)
Deluzio	McCollum	Thompson (MS)
DeSaulnier	McDonald Rivet	Titus
Dexter	McGarvey	Tlaib
Dingell	McGovern	Tokuda
Doggett	McIver	Tonko
Elfreth	Meeks	Torres (CA)
Escobar	Menendez	Torres (NY)
Espallat	Meng	Trahan
Evans (PA)	Mfume	Tran
Fields	Min	Underwood
Figures	Moore (WI)	Vargas
Fletcher	Morelle	Vasquez
Foster	Morriston	Veasey
Foushee	Moskowitz	Velázquez
Frankel, Lois	Moulton	Vindman
Friedman	Mrvan	Wasserman
Frost	Mullin	Schultz
Garamendi	Nadler	Watson Coleman
Garcia (CA)	Neal	Whitesides
Garcia (IL)	Neguse	Williams (GA)
Garcia (TX)	Norcross	Wilson (FL)

NOT VOTING—8

Arrington	Jordan	Salazar
Dunn (FL)	Mast	Waters
Hunt	Rutherford	

Aderholt	Gill (TX)	Messmer
Alford	Gimenez	Meuser
Allen	Goldman (TX)	Miller (IL)
Amodei (NV)	Gonzales, Tony	Miller (OH)
Arrington	Gooden	Miller (WV)
Babin	Gosar	Miller-Meeks
Bacon	Graves	Mills
Baird	Green (TN)	Moolenaar
Balderson	Greene (GA)	Moore (AL)
Barr	Griffith	Moore (NC)
Barrett	Grothman	Moore (UT)
Baumgartner	Guest	Moore (WV)
Bean (FL)	Guthrie	Moran
Begich	Hageman	Murphy
Bentz	Hamadeh (AZ)	Nehls
Bergman	Haridopolos	Newhouse
Bice	Harrigan	Norman
Biggs (AZ)	Harris (MD)	Nunn (IA)
Biggs (SC)	Harris (NC)	Obernoite
Bilirakis	Harshbarger	Ogles
Boebert	Hern (OK)	Onder
Bost	Higgins (LA)	Owens
Brecheen	Hill (AR)	Palmer
Bresnahan	Hinson	Patronis
Buchanan	Houchin	Perry
Burchett	Hudson	Pfluger
Burlison	Huizenga	Reschenthaler
Calvert	Hunt	Rogers (AL)
Cammack	Hurd (CO)	Rogers (KY)
Carey	Issa	Rose
Carter (GA)	Jack	Rouzer
Carter (TX)	Jackson (TX)	Roy
Ciscomani	James	Rulli
Cline	Johnson (LA)	Rutherford
Cloud	Johnson (SD)	Salazar
Clyde	Jordan	Salazar
Cole	Joyce (OH)	Scalise
Collins	Joyce (PA)	Schmidt
Comer	Kean	Scott, Austin
Crane	Kelly (MS)	Self
Crank	Kelly (PA)	Sessions
Crawford	Kennedy (UT)	Shreve
Crenshaw	Kiggans (VA)	Simpson
Davidson	Kiley (CA)	Smith (MO)
De La Cruz	Kim	Smith (NE)
DesJarlais	Knott	Smith (NJ)
Diaz-Balart	Kustoff	Smucker
Donalds	LaHood	Spartz
Downing	LaLota	Stauber
Edwards	LaMalfa	Stauber
Ellzey	Langworthy	Stefanik
Emmer	Latta	Stell
Estes	Lawler	Steube
Evans (CO)	Lee (FL)	Strong
Ezell	Letlow	Stutzman
Fallon	Loudermillk	Taylor
Fedorchak	Lucas	Tenney
Feenstra	Luna	Thompson (PA)
Fine	Luttrell	Tiffany
Finstad	Mace	Timmons
Fischbach	Mackenzie	Turner (OH)
Fitzgerald	Malliotakis	Valadao
Fitzpatrick	Maloy	Van Drew
Fleischmann	Mann	Van Dуйne
Flood	Mast	Van Orden
Fong	McCaul	Wagner
Fox	McClain	Walberg
Franklin, Scott	McClintock	Weber (TX)
Fry	McCormick	Webster (FL)
Fulcher	McDowell	Westerman
Garbarino	McGuire	Wied

Williams (TX)	Wittman	Yakym
Wilson (SC)	Womack	Zinke

NOES—212

Adams	Golden (ME)	Olszewski
Aguilar	Goldman (NY)	Omar
Amo	Gomez	Pallone
Ansari	Gonzalez, V.	Panetta
Auchincloss	Goodlander	Pappas
Balint	Gottheimer	Pelosi
Barragan	Gray	Perez
Beatty	Green, Al (TX)	Peters
Bell	Harder (CA)	Pettersen
Bera	Hayes	Pingree
Beyer	Himes	Pocan
Bishop	Horsford	Pou
Bonamici	Houllahan	Pressley
Boyle (PA)	Hoyer	Quigley
Brown	Hoyle (OR)	Ramirez
Brownley	Huffman	Randall
Budzinski	Ivey	Raskin
Bynum	Jackson (IL)	Riley (NY)
Carbajal	Jacobs	Rivas
Carson	Jayapal	Ross
Carter (LA)	Jeffries	Ruiz
Casar	Johnson (GA)	Ryan
Case	Johnson (TX)	Salinas
Casten	Kamlager-Dove	Sánchez
Castor (FL)	Kaptur	Scanlon
Castro (TX)	Keating	Schakowsky
Cherfilus-	Kelly (IL)	Schneider
McCormick	Kennedy (NY)	Scholten
Chu	Khanna	Schrier
Cisneros	Krishnamoorthi	Scott (VA)
Clark (MA)	Landsman	Scott, David
Clarke (NY)	Larsen (WA)	Sewell
Cleaver	Larson (CT)	Sherman
Clyburn	Latimer	Sherrill
Cohen	Lee (NV)	Simon
Conaway	Lee (PA)	Smith (WA)
Correa	Leger Fernandez	Sorensen
Costa	Levin	Soto
Courtney	Liccardo	Stansbury
Craig	Lieu	Stanton
Crockett	Lofgren	Stevens
Crow	Lynch	Strickland
Cuellar	Magaziner	Subramanyam
Davids (KS)	Mannion	Swalwell
Davis (IL)	Massie	Sykes
Davis (NC)	Matsui	Takano
Dean (PA)	McBath	Thanedar
DeGette	McBride	Thompson (CA)
DeLauro	McClain Delaney	Thompson (MS)
DelBene	McClellan	Titus
Deluzio	McCollum	Tlaib
DeSaulnier	McDonald Rivet	Tokuda
Dexter	McGarvey	Tonko
Dingell	McGovern	Torres (CA)
Doggett	McIver	Torres (NY)
Elfreth	Meeks	Trahan
Escobar	Menendez	Tran
Espallat	Meng	Underwood
Evans (PA)	Mfume	Vargas
Fields	Min	Vasquez
Figures	Moore (WI)	Veasey
Fletcher	Morelle	Velázquez
Foster	Morriston	Vindman
Foushee	Moskowitz	Wasserman
Frankel, Lois	Moulton	Schultz
Friedman	Mrvan	Waters
Frost	Mullin	Watson Coleman
Garamendi	Nadler	Whitesides
Garcia (CA)	Neal	Williams (GA)
Garcia (IL)	Neguse	Wilson (FL)
Garcia (TX)	Norcross	

NOT VOTING—3

Dunn (FL)	Schweikert	Suozi
-----------	------------	-------

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 0237

So the resolution was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded. A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.



**MOMENT OF SILENCE IN MEMORY OF THE HONORABLE GERRY CONNOLLY**

(Mr. SCOTT of Virginia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I am gathered here today with colleagues from the Commonwealth of Virginia and others with a heavy heart to announce to the House the passing of our friend and colleague, Congressman GERRY CONNOLLY.

I have had the distinct honor to serve alongside GERRY for many years. GERRY served in this Chamber since 2009, and before that, he served 14 years on the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors, including 5 years as its chairman.

GERRY was a tireless fighter for his constituents, an unwavering advocate for the Federal workforce, and a true steward for our democratic institutions. He loved America, the Commonwealth of Virginia, and, of course, his beloved hometown of Fairfax.

As the ranking member of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, he spent the last few months on the front lines as the chief advocate for our Federal civil service workers.

I deeply valued GERRY's partnership. We worked together to champion policies that strengthen our communities, protect workers' rights, and expand opportunities for all Americans.

As his friend, I know I speak for all of us here to say that we will miss GERRY's infectious energy, his humor, and his unique ability to connect with people. This is a loss for this Chamber, the Commonwealth of Virginia, and our entire Nation.

Our condolences go out to his wife, Smitty; his daughter, Caitlin; his staff; and the rest of his family and loved ones.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. WITTMAN).

Mr. WITTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I have had the honor and privilege to know GERRY CONNOLLY for over three decades. He and I served in local government together for years. We were part of the Virginia Association of Counties.

GERRY had a deep passion for Fairfax County, for the Commonwealth of Virginia, and for this Nation. You wouldn't find anybody who had a deeper knowledge of issues, knew more about what was best to be done, and knew more about trying to find a place to agree about getting things done.

There was really something special about GERRY. I remember us having discussions back in the day when we just started out in local government. We both learned from each other. He came from a big county there in Fairfax County. I came from a small county. I live in a town with a population of 350. We couldn't have been any more different in how we reflected on the counties that we represented, but do

you know what? We had a deep commitment to doing what was right.

I learned a lot from GERRY and his perspective on Fairfax, but also his perspective on the Commonwealth and perspective on the Nation.

GERRY was always looking to find solutions. He was always very passionate about people, about making sure he understood the policies. He understood how to get things done. GERRY was always committed to doing that.

GERRY's legacy is going to live on forever in all of us. It will live on forever in his family and live on forever in the things that he has done for Fairfax County, for the Commonwealth of Virginia, and for our Nation.

We will miss GERRY, but we will remember his legacy through the things that he has done and through what he did to reach out to others and to look for common ground to get things done in the best interest of not only his constituents but of our Commonwealth and our Nation.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I ask all of us to join in a moment of silence in honor of GERRY CONNOLLY.

**PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RELATING TO "REVIEW OF FINAL RULE RECLASSIFICATION OF MAJOR SOURCES AS AREA SOURCES UNDER SECTION 112 OF THE CLEAN AIR ACT"**

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on passage of the joint resolution (S.J. Res. 31) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to "Review of Final Rule Reclassification of Major Sources as Area Sources Under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act", on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the passage of the joint resolution.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 212, not voting 4, as follows:

[Roll No. 143]

YEAS—216

Aderholt	Boebert	Crank
Alford	Bost	Crawford
Allen	Brecheen	Crenshaw
Amodei (NV)	Bresnahan	Davidson
Arrington	Buchanan	De La Cruz
Babin	Burchett	DesJarlais
Bacon	Burlison	Diaz-Balart
Baird	Calvert	Donalds
Balderson	Cammack	Downing
Barr	Carey	Edwards
Barrett	Carter (GA)	Ellzey
Baumgartner	Carter (TX)	Emmer
Bean (FL)	Ciscomani	Estes
Begich	Cline	Evans (CO)
Bentz	Cloud	Ezell
Bergman	Clyde	Fallon
Bice	Cole	Fedorchak
Biggs (AZ)	Collins	Feenstra
Biggs (SC)	Comer	Fine
Bilirakis	Crane	Finstad

Fischbach	Kiley (CA)	Patronis
Fitzgerald	Kim	Perry
Fleischmann	Knott	Pfluger
Flood	Kustoff	Reschenthaler
Fong	LaHood	Rogers (AL)
Fox	LaLota	Rogers (KY)
Franklin, Scott	LaMalfa	Rose
Fry	Langworthy	Rouzer
Fulcher	Latta	Roy
Garbarino	Lawler	Rulli
Gill (TX)	Lee (FL)	Rutherford
Gimenez	Letlow	Salazar
Goldman (TX)	Loudermilk	Scalise
Gonzales, Tony	Lucas	Schmidt
Gooden	Luna	Scott, Austin
Gosar	Luttrell	Self
Graves	Mace	Sessions
Green (TN)	Mackenzie	Shreve
Greene (GA)	Malliotakis	Simpson
Griffith	Maloy	Smith (MO)
Grothman	Mann	Smith (NE)
Guest	Massie	Smith (NJ)
Guthrie	Mast	Smucker
Hageman	McCauley	Spartz
Hamadeh (AZ)	McClain	Stauber
Haridopolos	McClintock	Stefanik
Harrigan	McCormick	Steil
Harris (NC)	McDowell	Steube
Harshbarger	McGuire	Strong
Hern (OK)	Messmer	Stutzman
Higgins (LA)	Meuser	Taylor
Hill (AR)	Miller (IL)	Tenney
Hinson	Miller (OH)	Thompson (PA)
Houchin	Miller (WV)	Tiffany
Hudson	Miller-Meecks	Timmons
Huizenga	Mills	Turner (OH)
Hunt	Moolenaar	Valadao
Hurd (CO)	Moore (AL)	Van Drew
Issa	Moore (NC)	Van Duyne
Jack	Moore (UT)	Van Orden
Jackson (TX)	Moore (WV)	Wagner
James	Moran	Walberg
Johnson (LA)	Murphy	Weber (TX)
Johnson (SD)	Nehls	Webster (FL)
Jordan	Newhouse	Westerman
Joyce (OH)	Norman	Wied
Joyce (PA)	Numm (IA)	Williams (TX)
Kean	Obenolte	Wilson (SC)
Kelly (MS)	Ogles	Wittman
Kelly (PA)	Onder	Womack
Kennedy (UT)	Owens	Yakym
Kiggans (VA)	Palmer	Zinke

NAYS—212

Adams	Cuellar	Horsford
Aguilar	Dauids (KS)	Houlihan
Amo	Davis (IL)	Hoyer
Ansari	Davis (NC)	Hoyle (OR)
Auchincloss	Dean (PA)	Huffman
Balint	DeGette	Ivey
Barragan	DeLauro	Jackson (IL)
Beatty	DelBene	Jacobs
Bell	Deluzio	Jayapal
Bera	DeSaulnier	Jeffries
Beyer	Dexter	Johnson (GA)
Bishop	Dingell	Johnson (TX)
Bonamici	Doggett	Kammlager-Dove
Boyle (PA)	Elfreth	Kaptur
Brown	Escobar	Keating
Brownley	Espallat	Kelly (IL)
Budzinski	Evans (PA)	Kennedy (NY)
Bynum	Fields	Khanna
Carbajal	Figures	Krishnamoorthi
Carson	Fitzpatrick	Landsman
Carter (LA)	Fletcher	Larsen (WA)
Casar	Foster	Larson (CT)
Case	Foushee	Latimer
Casten	Frankel, Lois	Lee (NV)
Castor (FL)	Friedman	Lee (PA)
Castro (TX)	Frost	Leger Fernandez
Cherfilus-	Garamendi	Levin
McCormick	Garcia (CA)	Liccardo
Chu	Garcia (IL)	Lieu
Cisneros	Garcia (TX)	Lofgren
Clark (MA)	Gillen	Lynch
Clarke (NY)	Golden (ME)	Magaziner
Cleaver	Goldman (NY)	Mannion
Clyburn	Gomez	Matsui
Cohen	Gonzalez, V.	McBath
Conaway	Goodlander	McBride
Correa	Gottheimer	McClain Delaney
Costa	Gray	McClellan
Courtney	Green, Al (TX)	McCollum
Craig	Harder (CA)	McDonald Rivet
Crockett	Hayes	McGarvey
Crow	Himes	McGovern