from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BRAUN), the Senator from Wyoming (Ms. LUMMIS), and the Senator from Utah (Mr. ROMNEY).

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 90, nays 5, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 35 Ex.]

YEAS-90

Baldwin Gillibrand Padilla Bennet Graham Paul Blackburn Grassley Peters Blumenthal Hagerty Reed Ricketts Booker Hassan Heinrich Boozman Risch Britt Hickenlooper Rosen Rounds Brown Hirono Budd Hoeven Rubio Butler Hyde-Smith Schatz Cantwell Schmitt Johnson Capito Kaine Schumer Cardin Kelly Scott (SC) Carper King Shaheen Casey Klobuchar Cassidy Lankford Smith Collins Stabenow Lee Luján Sullivan Cornyn Manchin Tester Cortez Masto Markey Thune Marshall Cotton Tillis Van Hollen Cramer McConnell Crapo Menendez Warner Merkley Warnock Daines Moran Warren Duckworth Mullin Welch Durbin Murkowski Whitehouse Ernst Murphy Wicker Fetterman Murray Wyden Fischer Ossoff Young

NAYS-5

Hawley Scott (FL) Kennedy Tuberville

NOT VOTING—

Barrasso Lummis Braun Romney

mis Sanders

Vance

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. HICKENLOOPER). On this vote, the yeas are 90, the nays are 5.

The motion is agreed to.

The Senator from Connecticut.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. President, I would ask unanimous consent that I be allowed to complete my remarks before the scheduled recess.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. President, this is unbelievable. Like, I can't believe this is happening. We were all here. This wasn't a dream. This really happened.

Republicans all stood up and said that they wanted a bipartisan bill to fix the border. The border is a priority. The border is a crisis.

We delivered a bipartisan bill to fix the border with the Republican Senator appointed by the Republican caucus to cut the deal. And within 24 hours, before the ink was even dry, Republican Senators decided they don't want a bipartisan bill to fix the border. They want to pretend they never asked for a bipartisan border bill because what they actually want is chaos because that is what Donald Trump says he wants. What the hell just happened?

Here is what happened—because the facts are just the facts. In October, Republicans refused to support funding for Ukraine. They voted against stopping Putin from making Kyiv a Russian city, not because they opposed

Ukraine funding, they said, no, because they demanded that Ukraine funding be paired with bipartisan border reforms.

Democrats took them at their word. America took Republicans at their word that these two things had to be combined. Republicans appointed a lead negotiator—one of their most conservative Members, a serious legislator—Senator Lankford, an unquestioned border hawk.

I represented the Democratic caucus in those negotiations. Now, I will be honest with you, a lot of my friends told me that I was crazy. They told me that I was hopelessly naive, that Republicans are never going to agree to a bipartisan bill to fix the border. This is just a setup. You shouldn't go into the negotiating room. It is a trap. But I did because, you know what, I am an optimist, maybe a hopeless optimist.

I still believe that when people say things in this body, they mean what they say. And I do believe that the border is a mess. It is too chaotic. We can't handle 10,000 people crossing on some days

And I believe the asylum system is broken, and my constituents, whether they be right or left, believe the asylum system is broken. It shouldn't take 10 years to process an asylum claim, especially when the majority of those asylum claims are ultimately rejected.

And so I went into the room skeptical that we could get a deal but sincere because my party actually wants to fix the problem at the border, and we are willing to reach out across the aisle and find a compromise in order to do it.

And so we met for months every day. We took Thanksgiving off. We took Christmas off. But that was it because Republicans told us that they wanted a bipartisan border deal. We met every Saturday, every Sunday. We worked straight through the holidays because we saw an opportunity to cut through the politics, to get a bipartisan agreement done, to finally start fixing the border.

We saw that opportunity because Republican Senators told the country that if we could find an agreement with their appointed negotiator on border policy, that they would support it, and they would support funding for Ukraine.

And against the odds, we made the deal. We actually achieved the compromise. And here is just a snapshot of what it does: It allows the President to close portions of the border on those days when 10,000 people are crossing, to funnel people who are applying for asylum in a much more orderly manner, to make sure that you don't have those chaotic scenes that we have watched on the news.

It reforms the asylum system, a comprehensive reform, so that it doesn't take 10 years to get your asylum claim adjudicated; it will take months. And it screens individuals so that no longer

are we going to let people into the country who don't have a likely positive claim of asylum.

It allows more people to come into the country legally. We expand visas so that folks can find nonasylum pathways to come to the country or reunite with family or to work. It speaks to our values by making sure that the most vulnerable people who come to the country, like young, unaccompanied kids have an advocate standing next to them when they are making their case for an asylum claim.

It honors the commitment we made to our Afghan partners by allowing those individuals who are in the country today to have a pathway to citizenship. And it speaks to the nightmare in many cities where you have immigrants who can't work on the streets and in homeless shelters. It makes sure that we get more immediate work permits to individuals who do have legitimate claims for asylum.

This bill is not comprehensive immigration reform, but it would fix the crisis at the border. It would immediately give the President tools to start better managing the border.

We released the text of the bill on Sunday night at 7 p.m., the first serious bipartisan compromise on border policy in a decade, a breakthrough, a real chance for this Nation to come together on an issue—immigration—that too often divides us. And within 24 hours, by 7 p.m. Monday night, almost every single Senate Republican, including the Senate Republicans who set us on the mission 4 months ago, declared that they wouldn't support it. For some of them, it didn't even take that long.

When the text of the bill came out, Senator Lee tweeted that "it's 370 pages long. Time to start reading." Three minutes later, he tweeted again that "no self-respecting Senator should vote for this bill." That is either record time for reading a 370-page bill or, more likely, Senator Lee didn't even open the PDF.

What happened? How did Senate Republicans tell us they wanted a bipartisan bill only to end up opposing the very bill that they asked for?

Well, here is the simple truth, and there is no way around this: Republicans don't want to fix the border. They want the border to remain chaotic. They want the asylum system to remain broken because Republicans in this country don't view the border as a problem to fix anymore. They view it as a problem that needs to be exploited.

Senate Republicans have been pretty unapologetic about just wanting to keep this issue open as an election issue. Less than 24 hours after the text came out, one Senator launched killtheborderbill.com, a website to fundraise for his campaign. Senator BARRASSO said today that he can't support the bill; that Americans should just go to the upcoming election to solve the border crisis.

Maybe I am a sucker. Maybe I should be mad at myself, but, yes, I believed that there were enough Senate Republicans of good faith who would actually support Senator Lankford's sincere efforts to work to achieve a bipartisan fix. but I was wrong.

Senator Lankford doesn't matter. What his colleagues have put him through is unforgiveable. Senator McConnell doesn't matter. The migrants and regular Americans who are getting screwed by a broken immigration system and a broken border don't matter. There is only one person who matters to Republicans, and his name is Donald Trump.

Donald Trump made it clear last

Donald Trump made it clear last month. He told Republicans they should oppose any bipartisan bill to fix the border, and he meant it. To Trump it didn't matter at all what the policy, what the substance was. His only advice was kill any bipartisan bill. Why? Because President Trump wants to win an election, and if the border is fixed by a bipartisan bill, then that hurts his reelection chances.

Trump wants chaos at the border because it helps him personally. He asked Republicans to back him, and nearly every single Senator did exactly that less than 48 hours after introduction of this bill.

This country should be outraged. Regular people out there don't think this is a game. They don't think that the only thing that matters is Donald Trump's election odds. They do think the border is broken.

They have spent the last 40 years hearing about how the border is a problem, but they don't see any action from Congress. They are sick of this, and they want the two parties to come together to fix the problem. And they are going to be furious to find out that when Republicans here had the chance to support a bipartisan bill that they requested, that they asked for, almost every single Senate Republican opposed that bill because Donald Trump wants to keep the chaos.

There used to be a difference between House Republicans and Senate Republicans. I used to explain this fact to my constituents all the time. I defended my Senate Republican colleagues. I explained how Trump doesn't control the Senate Republican caucus like he controls the House, but I don't think that is true any longer.

I think this conference is just as big a mess as the conference in the House. And that is terrible for the border, which will remain a wreck because Republicans have just chosen to keep it that way. That is terrible for Ukraine, which will soon be overrun by Russia because Republicans have chosen to leave it undefended.

And that is terrible for America because the one group of Republicans who used to be able to exercise original thought and independent judgment now just seems to be another subsidiary of the Trump campaign.

I yield the floor.

RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate stands in recess until 2:15 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 12:56 p.m., recessed until 2:15 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. LUJÁN).

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR—Continued

VOTE ON CAMPBELL NOMINATION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Campbell nomination?

Ms. WARREN. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. Barrasso), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. Braun), and the Senator from Wyoming (Ms. Lummis).

The result was announced—yeas 92, nays 5, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 36 Ex.]

YEAS-92

Baldwin	Graham	Paul
Bennet	Grassley	Peters
Blackburn	Hagerty	Reed
Blumenthal	Hassan	Ricketts
Booker	Heinrich	Risch
Boozman	Hickenlooper	Romney
Britt	Hirono	Rosen
Brown	Hoeven	Rounds
Budd	Hyde-Smith	Rubio
Butler	Johnson	Schatz
Cantwell	Kaine	Schmitt
Capito	Kelly	Schumer
Cardin	Kennedy	Scott (SC)
Carper	King	Shaheen
Casey	Klobuchar	Sinema
Cassidy	Lankford	Smith
Collins	Lee	Stabenow
Coons	Luján	Sullivan
Cornyn	Manchin	Tester
Cortez Masto	Markey	Thune
Cotton	Marshall	
Cramer	McConnell	Tillis
Crapo	Menendez	Van Hollen
Cruz	Merkley	Warner
Daines	Moran	Warnock
Duckworth	Mullin	Warren
Durbin	Murkowski	Welch
Ernst	Murphy	Whitehouse
Fetterman	Murray	Wicker
Fischer	Ossoff	Wyden
Gillibrand	Padilla	Young
	NAYS-5	
Hawley	Scott (FL)	Vance

Hawley Scott (FL) Vance Sanders Tuberville

NOT VOTING—3

Barrasso Braun Lummis
The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table, and the President will

be immediately notified of the Senate's

action.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented

under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Executive Calendar No. 473, Amy M. Baggio, of Oregon, to be United States District Judge for the District of Oregon.

Charles E. Schumer, Richard J. Durbin, Brian Schatz, Mazie K. Hirono, Tina Smith, Gary C. Peters, Amy Klobuchar, Raphael G. Warnock, Catherine Cortez Masto, Alex Padilla, Mark R. Warner, Tim Kaine, Sheldon Whitehouse, Martin Heinrich, Christopher A. Coons, Margaret Wood Hassan, Peter Welch.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of Amy M. Baggio, of Oregon, to be United States District Judge for the District of Oregon, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. Barrasso), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. Braun), and the Senator from Wyoming (Ms. Lummis).

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 54, nays 43, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 37 Ex.]

YEAS-54

Baldwin	Hassan	Peters
Bennet	Heinrich	Reed
Blumenthal	Hickenlooper	Rosen
Booker	Hirono	Sanders
Brown	Kaine	Schatz
Butler	Kelly	Schumer
Cantwell	King	Shaheen
Cardin	Klobuchar	Sinema
Carper	Luján	Smith
Casey	Manchin	Stabenow
Collins	Markey	Tester
Coons	Menendez	Van Hollen
Cortez Masto	Merkley	Warner
Duckworth	Murkowski	Warnock
Durbin	Murphy	Warren
Fetterman	Murray	Welch
Gillibrand	Ossoff	Whitehouse
Graham	Padilla	Wyden

NAYS-43

NOT VOTING-3

Barrasso Braun Lummis

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. WELCH). On this vote, the yeas are 54, the nays are 43.

The motion is agreed to.