

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Mr. PADILLA) and the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. SCHATZ) are necessarily absent.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO), the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN), the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BRAUN), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD), the Senator from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN), the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), and the Senator from Montana (Mr. DAINES).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD) would have voted "nay."

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Iowa (Ms. ERNST), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. HAGERTY), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. HAWLEY), the Senator from Mississippi (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH), the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. JOHNSON), the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Wyoming (Ms. LUMMIS), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), and the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. MULLIN).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL) would have voted "nay."

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. RISCH), the Senator from Utah (Mr. ROMNEY), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS), the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. SCHMITT), the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS), and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT) would have voted "nay", the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS) would have voted "nay", and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE) would have voted "nay."

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 52, nays 14, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 350 Ex.]

YEAS—52

Baldwin	Cortez Masto	King
Bennet	Duckworth	Klobuchar
Blumenthal	Durbin	Lankford
Booker	Fetterman	Lujan
Brown	Gillibrand	Markey
Butler	Grassley	Menendez
Cantwell	Hassan	Merkley
Cardin	Heinrich	Murkowski
Carper	Hickenlooper	Murphy
Casey	Hirono	Murray
Collins	Kaine	Ossoff
Coons	Kelly	Peters

Reed	Smith	Warren
Rosen	Stabenow	Welch
Sanders	Tester	Whitehouse
Schumer	Van Hollen	Wyden
Shaheen	Warner	
Sinema	Warnock	

NAYS—14

Britt	Kennedy	Thune
Cotton	Manchin	Tuberville
Crapo	McConnell	Wicker
Fischer	Ricketts	Young
Hoeben	Sullivan	

NOT VOTING—34

Barrasso	Graham	Risch
Blackburn	Hagerty	Romney
Boozman	Hawley	Rounds
Braun	Hyde-Smith	Rubio
Budd	Johnson	Schatz
Capito	Lee	Schmitt
Cassidy	Lummis	Scott (FL)
Cornyn	Marshall	Scott (SC)
Cramer	Moran	Tillis
Cruz	Mullin	Vance
Daines	Padilla	
Ernst	Paul	

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. MARKEY). On this vote, the yeas are 52, the nays are 14.

The motion is agreed to.

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the nomination.

The bill clerk read the nomination of Sara E. Hill, of Oklahoma, to be United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

NOMINATION OF SARA E. HILL

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, today, the Senate will vote to confirm Sara Hill to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Ms. Hill has deep ties to Oklahoma. Born in Tahlequah, OK, she received her B.A. from Northeastern State University, cum laude, and her J.D. from the University of Tulsa College of Law. She then began working for the Cherokee Nation in Tahlequah, where she served as an Assistant Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, Secretary of Natural Resources, and Attorney General. She also served as a special assistant U.S. attorney in the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Oklahoma in Tulsa. Since leaving her role as Attorney General, Ms. Hill has worked as a solo practitioner at Hill Law, PLLC in Tahlequah. She also serves as a voting, at-large member of the Tribal Issues Advisory Group of the U.S. Sentencing Commission. Ms. Hill has significant litigation experience in State, Federal, and Tribal court. Over the course of her career, she has tried 43 cases to verdict, and as Attorney General for the Cherokee Nation, she had constitutional responsibility for all litigation of the government and its businesses.

Ms. Hill is strongly supported by both of her home State Senators, as well as by the Oklahoma and Native American legal communities. The American Bar Association unanimously rated her as "qualified" to serve on the Northern District of Oklahoma. If confirmed, she will be the first Native American woman to serve as a Federal judge in Oklahoma.

When Senator LANKFORD introduced Ms. Hill at her confirmation hearing, he called her "an exceptionally impressive nominee" and said that he and his staff "have found her to be one of the smartest people in the room in just about any room she walks in." I agree on both counts. I am proud to support this nominee, and I urge my colleagues to join me.

VOTE ON HILL NOMINATION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, all time has expired.

The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Hill nomination?

Ms. HASSAN. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Mr. PADILLA) and the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. SCHATZ) are necessarily absent.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO), the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN), the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BRAUN), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD), the Senator from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN), the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), and the Senator from Montana (Mr. DAINES).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD) would have voted "nay."

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Iowa (Ms. ERNST), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. HAGERTY), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. HAWLEY), the Senator from Mississippi (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH), the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. JOHNSON), the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Wyoming (Ms. LUMMIS), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), and the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. MULLIN).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL) would have voted "nay."

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. RISCH), the Senator from Utah (Mr. ROMNEY), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS), the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. SCHMITT), the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS), and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT)

would have voted “nay”, the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS) would have voted “nay”, and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE) would have voted “nay.”

The result was announced—yeas 52, nays 14, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 351 Ex.]

YEAS—52

Baldwin	Hassan	Reed
Bennet	Heinrich	Rosen
Blumenthal	Hickenlooper	Sanders
Booker	Hirono	Schumer
Brown	Kaine	Shaheen
Butler	Kelly	Sinema
Cantwell	King	Smith
Cardin	Klobuchar	Stabenow
Carper	Lankford	Tester
Casey	Lujan	Van Hollen
Collins	Markey	Warner
Coons	Menendez	Warnock
Cortez Masto	Merkley	Warren
Duckworth	Murkowski	Welch
Durbin	Murphy	Whitehouse
Fetterman	Murray	Wyden
Gillibrand	Ossoff	
Grassley	Peters	

NAYS—14

Britt	Kennedy	Thune
Cotton	Manchin	Tuberville
Crapo	McConnell	Wicker
Fischer	Ricketts	Young
Hoeven	Sullivan	

NOT VOTING—34

Barrasso	Graham	Risch
Blackburn	Hagerty	Romney
Boozman	Hawley	Rounds
Braun	Hyde-Smith	Rubio
Budd	Johnson	Schatz
Capito	Lee	Schmitt
Cassidy	Lummis	Scott (FL)
Cornyn	Marshall	Scott (SC)
Cramer	Moran	Tillis
Cruz	Mullin	Vance
Daines	Padilla	
Ernst	Paul	

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table, and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of the Richard nomination, which the clerk will report.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nomination of Elizabeth H. Richard, of Virginia, a Career Member of the Senior Foreign Service, Class of Career Minister, to be Coordinator for Counterterrorism, with the rank and status of Ambassador at Large.

VOTE ON RICHARD NOMINATION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Richard nomination?

Mr. CARDIN. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Mr. PADILLA),

the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), and the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. SCHATZ) are necessarily absent.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO), the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN), the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BRAUN), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD), the Senator from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN), the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), and the Senator from Montana (Mr. DAINES).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BRAUN) would have voted “aye.”

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Iowa (Ms. ERNST), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. HAGERTY), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. HAWLEY), the Senator from Mississippi (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH), the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. JOHNSON), the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Wyoming (Ms. LUMMIS), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), and the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. MULLIN).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL) would have voted “nay.”

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Alaska (Ms. MURKOWSKI), the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. RISCH), the Senator from Utah (Mr. ROMNEY), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS), the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. SCHMITT), the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS), and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS) would have voted “aye”, the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD) would have voted “nay”, the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE) would have voted “nay”, and the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT) would have voted “nay.”

The result was announced—yeas 49, nays 15, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 352 Ex.]

YEAS—49

Baldwin	Gillibrand	Murray
Bennet	Hassan	Ossoff
Blumenthal	Heinrich	Peters
Booker	Hickenlooper	Reed
Brown	Hirono	Rosen
Butler	Kaine	Schumer
Cantwell	Kelly	Shaheen
Cardin	King	Sinema
Carper	Klobuchar	Smith
Casey	Lujan	Stabenow
Coons	Lujan	Tester
Cortez Masto	Manchin	Van Hollen
Duckworth	Markey	Warner
Durbin	Menendez	
Fetterman	Merkley	
	Murphy	

Warnock	Welch	Wyden
Warren	Whitehouse	Young

NAYS—15

Britt	Grassley	Ricketts
Collins	Hoeven	Sullivan
Cotton	Kennedy	Thune
Crapo	Lankford	Tuberville
Fischer	McConnell	Wicker

NOT VOTING—36

Barrasso	Graham	Paul
Blackburn	Hagerty	Risch
Boozman	Hawley	Romney
Braun	Hyde-Smith	Rounds
Budd	Johnson	Rubio
Capito	Lee	Sanders
Cassidy	Lummis	Schatz
Cornyn	Marshall	Schmitt
Cramer	Moran	Scott (FL)
Cruz	Mullin	Scott (SC)
Daines	Murkowski	Tillis
Ernst	Padilla	Vance

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. WELCH). Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table, and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

The Senator from New Jersey.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST—S. 2119

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. President, I come to the floor today seeking unanimous consent of my legislation to reauthorize the Firefighter Cancer Registry, a law that was passed unanimously by Congress and signed into law in 2018.

The Firefighter Cancer Registry improves our Nation's ability to conduct research and gather data on the cancer risks that are associated with firefighting, and in doing so, we learn how to mitigate those risks. It is a vital program, one that furthers our understanding of how to protect the brave first responders who run toward danger when everyone else is running away from it.

Yet, on October 1 of last year, the program expired. That should have never happened. In July, this Chamber passed this very legislation as part of the National Defense Authorization Act, but, unfortunately, it was stripped out of the House version.

My bipartisan, commonsense legislation would reauthorize the program for an additional 5 years while bringing it in line with the current appropriation level. And since this body has already once approved reauthorizing it at this funding level, a unanimous consent vote should be a simple task that we can all agree upon.

In the House, the House Energy and Commerce Committee has already marked up language identical to this bill, including the specific \$5.5 million figure which, I should add, is in line with the program's current appropriation. Further, the \$5.5 million appropriation was the product of a negotiation between the firefighter organizations, including the International Association of Fire Fighters, the CDC, and the House committee members. Although the CDC actually wanted the program funded at a higher level of \$7.5 million, there was an agreement on that \$5.5 million, which is the present authorization.