

SCOTT), and the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT) would have voted "nay" and the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS) would have voted "nay."

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 49, nays 18, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 348 Ex.]

YEAS—49

Baldwin	Hassan	Reed
Bennet	Heinrich	Rosen
Blumenthal	Hickenlooper	Sanders
Booker	Hirono	Schumer
Brown	Kaine	Shaheen
Butler	Kelly	Smith
Cantwell	King	Stabenow
Cardin	Klobuchar	Tester
Carper	Lujan	Van Hollen
Casey	Manchin	Warner
Collins	Markey	Warnock
Coons	Merkley	Warren
Cortez Masto	Murkowski	Welch
Duckworth	Murphy	Whitehouse
Durbin	Murray	Wyden
Fetterman	Ossoff	
Gillibrand	Peters	

NAYS—18

Britt	Kennedy	Sullivan
Cotton	Lankford	Thune
Crapo	McConnell	Tuberville
Fischer	Menendez	Vance
Grassley	Ricketts	Wicker
Hoeven	Sinema	Young

NOT VOTING—33

Barrasso	Ernst	Padilla
Blackburn	Graham	Paul
Boozman	Hagerty	Risch
Braun	Hawley	Romney
Budd	Hyde-Smith	Rounds
Capito	Johnson	Rubio
Cassidy	Lee	Schatz
Cornyn	Lummis	Schmitt
Cramer	Marshall	Scott (FL)
Cruz	Moran	Scott (SC)
Daines	Mullin	Tillis

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 49, the nays are 18.

The motion is agreed to.

NOMINATION OF CHRISTOPHER CHARLES FONZONE

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, today, the Senate will vote to confirm Christopher Charles Fonzone to serve as Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Legal Counsel, OLC.

After graduating from Cornell University and Harvard Law School, Mr. Fonzone clerked for Judge J. Harvie Wilkinson on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit and later Justice Stephen Breyer on the U.S. Supreme Court. Subsequently, Mr. Fonzone held a number of roles in the Obama administration: attorney on the appellate staff in the Civil Division at the Justice Department, special counsel in the Office of the General Counsel at the Department of Defense, attorney-adviser in OLC, special assistant and associate counsel in the White House Counsel's Office and Deputy National Security Council—NSC—Legal Adviser, and deputy assistant and counsel in the White House Counsel's Office and NSC Legal Adviser.

After working in private practice at Sidley Austin for several years, Mr. Fonzone returned to public service as the general counsel for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, ODNI. In this role, Mr. Fonzone pro-

vides advice and counsel to the Director of National Intelligence and other senior ODNI leaders on a range of legal issues facing the Agency, including intelligence law, employment matters, administrative matters, and budgetary and fiscal issues.

Mr. Fonzone's demonstrated track record advising the U.S. Government and clients on a range of complex legal issues will serve him well when he is confirmed to lead OLC.

I urge my colleagues to support Mr. Fonzone's nomination.

VOICE ON FONZONE NOMINATION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. All postcloture time has expired.

Under the previous order, the question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Fonzone nomination?

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Mr. PADILLA) and the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. SCHATZ) are necessarily absent.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO), the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN), the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BRAUN), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD), the Senator from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN), the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), and the Senator from Montana (Mr. DAINES).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD) would have voted "nay."

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Iowa (Ms. ERNST), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. HAGERTY), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. HAWLEY), the Senator from Mississippi (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH), the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. JOHNSON), the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Wyoming (Ms. LUMMIS), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), and the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. MULLIN).

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. RISCH), the Senator from Utah (Mr. ROMNEY), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS), the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. SCHMITT), the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. SCOTT), and the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT)

would have voted "nay" and the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS) would have voted "nay."

The result was announced—yeas 50, nays 17, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 349 Ex.]

YEAS—50

Baldwin	Hassan	Peters
Bennet	Heinrich	Reed
Blumenthal	Hickenlooper	Rosen
Booker	Hirono	Sanders
Brown	Kaine	Schumer
Butler	Kelly	Shaheen
Cantwell	King	Smith
Cardin	Klobuchar	Stabenow
Carper	Lujan	Tester
Casey	Manchin	Van Hollen
Collins	Markey	Warner
Coons	Menendez	Warnock
Cortez Masto	Merkley	Warren
Duckworth	Murkowski	Welch
Durbin	Murphy	Whitehouse
Fetterman	Murray	Wyden
Gillibrand	Ossoff	

NAYS—17

Britt	Kennedy	Thune
Cotton	Lankford	Tuberville
Crapo	McConnell	Vance
Fischer	Ricketts	Wicker
Grassley	Sinema	Young
Hoeven	Sullivan	

NOT VOTING—33

Barrasso	Ernst	Padilla
Blackburn	Graham	Paul
Boozman	Hagerty	Risch
Braun	Hawley	Romney
Budd	Hyde-Smith	Rounds
Capito	Johnson	Rubio
Cassidy	Lee	Schatz
Cornyn	Lummis	Schmitt
Cramer	Marshall	Scott (FL)
Cruz	Moran	Scott (SC)
Daines	Mullin	Tillis

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. WELCH). Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table, and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Executive Calendar No. 444, Sara E. Hill, of Oklahoma, to be United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Charles E. Schumer, Richard J. Durbin, Jack Reed, Tammy Duckworth, Martin Heinrich, Tina Smith, Mark R. Warner, Jeanne Shaheen, Margaret Wood Hassan, Tammy Baldwin, Alex Padilla, Mazie Hirono, Sheldon Whitehouse, Peter Welch, Chris Van Hollen, Elizabeth Warren, Christopher A. Coons.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of Sara E. Hill, of Oklahoma, to be United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Mr. PADILLA) and the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. SCHATZ) are necessarily absent.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO), the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN), the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BRAUN), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD), the Senator from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN), the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), and the Senator from Montana (Mr. DAINES).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD) would have voted "nay."

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Iowa (Ms. ERNST), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. HAGERTY), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. HAWLEY), the Senator from Mississippi (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH), the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. JOHNSON), the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Wyoming (Ms. LUMMIS), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), and the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. MULLIN).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL) would have voted "nay."

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. RISCH), the Senator from Utah (Mr. ROMNEY), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS), the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. SCHMITT), the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS), and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT) would have voted "nay", the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS) would have voted "nay", and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE) would have voted "nay."

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 52, nays 14, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 350 Ex.]

YEAS—52

Baldwin	Cortez Masto	King
Bennet	Duckworth	Klobuchar
Blumenthal	Durbin	Lankford
Booker	Fetterman	Lujan
Brown	Gillibrand	Markey
Butler	Grassley	Menendez
Cantwell	Hassan	Merkley
Cardin	Heinrich	Murkowski
Carper	Hickenlooper	Murphy
Casey	Hirono	Murray
Collins	Kaine	Ossoff
Coons	Kelly	Peters

Reed	Smith	Warren
Rosen	Stabenow	Welch
Sanders	Tester	Whitehouse
Schumer	Van Hollen	Wyden
Shaheen	Warner	
Sinema	Warnock	

NAYS—14

Britt	Kennedy	Thune
Cotton	Manchin	Tuberville
Crapo	McConnell	Wicker
Fischer	Ricketts	Young
Hoeben	Sullivan	

NOT VOTING—34

Barrasso	Graham	Risch
Blackburn	Hagerty	Romney
Boozman	Hawley	Rounds
Braun	Hyde-Smith	Rubio
Budd	Johnson	Schatz
Capito	Lee	Schmitt
Cassidy	Lummis	Scott (FL)
Cornyn	Marshall	Scott (SC)
Cramer	Moran	Tillis
Cruz	Mullin	Vance
Daines	Padilla	
Ernst	Paul	

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. MARKEY). On this vote, the yeas are 52, the nays are 14.

The motion is agreed to.

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the nomination.

The bill clerk read the nomination of Sara E. Hill, of Oklahoma, to be United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

NOMINATION OF SARA E. HILL

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, today, the Senate will vote to confirm Sara Hill to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Ms. Hill has deep ties to Oklahoma. Born in Tahlequah, OK, she received her B.A. from Northeastern State University, cum laude, and her J.D. from the University of Tulsa College of Law. She then began working for the Cherokee Nation in Tahlequah, where she served as an Assistant Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, Secretary of Natural Resources, and Attorney General. She also served as a special assistant U.S. attorney in the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Oklahoma in Tulsa. Since leaving her role as Attorney General, Ms. Hill has worked as a solo practitioner at Hill Law, PLLC in Tahlequah. She also serves as a voting, at-large member of the Tribal Issues Advisory Group of the U.S. Sentencing Commission. Ms. Hill has significant litigation experience in State, Federal, and Tribal court. Over the course of her career, she has tried 43 cases to verdict, and as Attorney General for the Cherokee Nation, she had constitutional responsibility for all litigation of the government and its businesses.

Ms. Hill is strongly supported by both of her home State Senators, as well as by the Oklahoma and Native American legal communities. The American Bar Association unanimously rated her as "qualified" to serve on the Northern District of Oklahoma. If confirmed, she will be the first Native American woman to serve as a Federal judge in Oklahoma.

When Senator LANKFORD introduced Ms. Hill at her confirmation hearing, he called her "an exceptionally impressive nominee" and said that he and his staff "have found her to be one of the smartest people in the room in just about any room she walks in." I agree on both counts. I am proud to support this nominee, and I urge my colleagues to join me.

VOTE ON HILL NOMINATION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, all time has expired.

The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Hill nomination?

Ms. HASSAN. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Mr. PADILLA) and the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. SCHATZ) are necessarily absent.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO), the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN), the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BRAUN), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD), the Senator from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN), the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), and the Senator from Montana (Mr. DAINES).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BUDD) would have voted "nay."

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Iowa (Ms. ERNST), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. HAGERTY), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. HAWLEY), the Senator from Mississippi (Mrs. HYDE-SMITH), the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. JOHNSON), the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Wyoming (Ms. LUMMIS), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), and the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. MULLIN).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL) would have voted "nay."

The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. RISCH), the Senator from Utah (Mr. ROMNEY), the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS), the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. SCHMITT), the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. SCOTT), the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS), and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE).

Further, if present and voting: the Senator from Florida (Mr. SCOTT)