

none so heinous as the targeting and abuse of our Nation's children.

That is why I urge the House to consider legislation that I introduced last year, the Child Rescue Act, which is currently in the House Judiciary Committee.

This bipartisan bill, which I introduced with Congresswoman SPANBERGER, requires the Department of Justice to convene with experts to focus on protecting children who are suffering abusive situations, whether this be trafficking, sexual abuse by family and trusted adults, or pornography.

Technology companies report more than 45 million photos and videos online of children being sexually abused in 2018, and the U.S. Sentencing Commission also released a report last year which studied child sexual abuse image producers and found that these crimes had increased by 422 percent over the last 15 years.

I am proud to join this bipartisan effort to develop proactive solutions to protect our Nation's youth and safeguard them from heinous predators. The Child Rescue Act is a targeted solution supported by child protection advocacy organizations, and I urge Chairman NADLER and the Judiciary Committee to act on this legislation as quickly as possible.

□ 1015

RECOGNIZING DR. JON PAUL RODRIGUEZ

(Mr. BILIRAKIS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, the American Humane Association, the country's first national humane organization, is saving, sheltering, feeding, and protecting more than 1 billion animals around the world each year.

In honor of noted conservationist Wolfgang Kiessling, the American Humane Association created the Wolfgang Kiessling International Prize for Species Conservation, recognizing and supporting the work of those who achieve significant positive change in the field of conservation practice, theory, and research.

After considering the achievements of extraordinary candidates from 16 countries, Dr. Paul Rodriguez, chairman of the International Union of the Conservation of Nature Species Survival Commission, was selected as the inaugural honoree of the Wolfgang Kiessling International Prize for Species Conservation.

I recognize and commend the efforts of the American Humane Association, Wolfgang Kiessling, and the 2022 award winner, Dr. Jon Paul Rodriguez, for their outstanding work on behalf of the endangered animals which enrich our world.

AMERICANS DETAINED IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES DESERVE ANSWERS

(Mr. BURCHETT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BURCHETT. Mr. Speaker, dozens of Americans are being detained in foreign countries, and this has been brought to everybody's attention by the latest deal in Russia. These families deserve answers from our State Department about what is being done for their cases.

One of these folks is an east Tennessean, a marine named Matthew Heath, who is being held in communist Venezuela. Right now, his family is back in Tennessee, wondering when their boy will come home and what will happen to him in the future.

Mr. Speaker, they deserve real answers from the State Department, not just some bureaucratic nonsense. When it comes to cases like this, the Federal Government needs to be totally transparent, at least with folks' families.

On the poster beside me, you can see a picture of a mural placed here in Washington, D.C., by artist Isaac Campbell. I spoke with Isaac this week. The mural is meant to draw attention to the dire situation. It depicts 18 of the 64 detained Americans, including Matthew Heath.

The mural isn't permanent. It will wash away with the rain and with normal wear and tear. That is what it is meant to do. As we watch the mural deteriorate, we are left to wonder what is going to happen to these individuals by the time it disappears. Are they going to come home, or will they be abandoned by our government?

Their moms and dads, brothers and sisters, loved ones and kids deserve answers from our State Department about what is really happening to their loved ones.

CHINA NOT KEEPING TRADE AGREEMENTS

(Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, in January 2020, the United States signed a Phase 1 trade agreement with China in which China agreed to purchase at least \$80 billion worth of U.S. agricultural products through 2020 and 2021. In 2020, the U.S. exported over \$27 billion worth of ag products to China, including soybeans, corn, pork, and wheat.

America's farmers and ranchers were eager to get back to business globally, and restoring our ability to be competitive in China was key to that. Unfortunately, China has deflected on their part of the agreement, thanks in part to President Biden's softness on the world stage.

Now, President Biden is considering rolling back tariffs on cheap Chinese-

made import products. Let's be clear. Rolling back these tariffs won't reduce inflation; it will only reward bad policy.

Instead, the Biden administration should be rolling back the regulatory assault on American manufacturing and production to encourage innovation here on U.S. soil, as well as moving forward on energy production domestically.

In the meantime, China should start pulling their weight and import the American products that they agreed to. Otherwise, why do we import so much stuff from China?

We need to send the signal to China and others that we will not stand for the predatory economic policies that we are enduring and that are hurting American jobs and hurting the American economy.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 263, BIG CAT PUBLIC SAFETY ACT; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4040, ADVANCING TELEHEALTH BEYOND COVID-19 ACT OF 2021, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 1256 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1256

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 263) to amend the Lacey Act Amendments of 1981 to clarify provisions enacted by the Captive Wildlife Safety Act, to further the conservation of certain wildlife species, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. The amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Natural Resources now printed in the bill shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Natural Resources or their respective designees; (2) the further amendment printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, if offered by the Member designated in the report, which shall be in order without intervention of any point of order, shall be considered as read, shall be separately debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question; and (3) one motion to recommit.

SEC. 2. Upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 4040) to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to extend telehealth flexibilities under the Medicare program, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. An amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee

Print 117-59, modified by the amendment printed in part B of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Energy and Commerce or their respective designees; and (2) one motion to recommit.

SEC. 3. House Resolution 517 is hereby adopted.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from California is recognized for 1 hour.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Mrs. FISCHBACH), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask for unanimous consent that all Members be given 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, the Rules Committee met and reported a rule, House Resolution 1256, providing for consideration of H.R. 263, the Big Cat Public Safety Act, under a structured rule.

It provides 1 hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Natural Resources, makes in order one amendment, and provides one motion to recommit.

The rule also provides for consideration of H.R. 4040, the Advancing Telehealth Beyond COVID-19 Act, under a closed rule.

The rule self-executes a manager's amendment from Chairman PALLONE, provides 1 hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and provides one motion to recommit.

Finally, the rule deems as passed H. Res. 517.

First, the Advancing Telehealth Beyond COVID-19 Act, led by Representative CHENEY, and I am a proud cosponsor, will extend critical telehealth policies implemented during the pandemic while making it easier for seniors to access telehealth services.

We know that because of the pandemic, healthcare visits to the doctor drastically changed, and these health services have become critical to patients in accessing care. The pandemic made access to healthcare difficult, but telehealth turned this negative into a positive.

To avoid exposure, many patients, including high-risk, vulnerable people, choose to visit their doctor by video or telephone to receive care. In response to the COVID-19 public health emergency, we in Congress authorized bipartisan legislation expanding telehealth services for Medicare beneficiaries in March 2020. This was especially important for our seniors and underserved areas, where it is already significantly more challenging to access in-person care.

Telehealth is a very popular program among populations living in both remote and rural areas and in highly populated areas. According to a recent study, nearly a quarter of U.S. adults over 65 had a video doctor's visit during the pandemic.

In November 2021, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services announced that it would extend some telehealth coverage through 2023. However, certain telehealth services are scheduled to end when the declared public health emergency expires.

Why should we end something, a program, that is helping vulnerable people access medical care?

Telehealth is no longer an innovative option for accessing healthcare services. For many, these services are a lifeline.

H.R. 4040 would extend critical telehealth policies under Medicare that were initially authorized at the start of the COVID-19 pandemic through 2024. Specifically, H.R. 4040 would provide patients with better access to telehealth regardless of where they are by removing geographic barriers.

It would expand the availability of telehealth services for patients by increasing the number of health clinics' eligibility, including federally qualified health centers and rural health clinics.

It will allow flexibility for Medicare beneficiaries to access mental health telehealth and ensure audio-only telehealth services under Medicare continue to be covered.

These provisions will help increase access to care and allow Medicare to adapt to innovations in medical technology, all while reducing healthcare costs and significantly reducing wait times for patient care.

H.R. 263, the Big Cat Public Safety Act, will improve public safety and protect wild animals by prohibiting the private possession of lions, tigers, leopards, cheetahs, jaguars, cougars, or any hybrid of these species.

In short, this bill prevents people from keeping big cats as pets and helps ensure that these animals are not kept in inhumane conditions. Many law enforcement and first responder groups are supportive of this legislation and are asking Congress to pass this bipartisan bill to protect themselves and the general public.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support these two bipartisan bills, and I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1030

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, I thank the Representative from California and my colleague on the Rules Committee for yielding me the customary 30 minutes, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Today, we are here to discuss the rule providing for consideration of H.R. 4040, the Advancing Telehealth Beyond COVID-19 Act, and H.R. 263, the Big Cat Public Safety Act.

First, H.R. 4040 will extend several Medicare telehealth flexibilities that were initially utilized during the pandemic.

Telehealth has allowed many Americans to receive needed healthcare across the Nation. While my Republican colleagues and I appreciate the extension of these important programs, we believe that permanently authorizing them is the better option.

Once again, the Democrats have decided to skip the committee process and refuse Republican input or look at any possible improvements to the bill. I know that many Members will be supporting this bill, but I still must mention this missed opportunity to strengthen healthcare and telehealth, especially for rural Minnesota and rural America.

Second, H.R. 263, the Big Cat Public Safety Act, would make it illegal for any person to trade, breed, or possess any prohibited wildlife species, specifically exotic big cat species like lions, tigers, snow leopards, et cetera. The bill would impose civil and criminal penalties for violators and grant the Federal Government the authority to order forfeiture of big cats held in private captivity. Many of the provisions of this legislation already exist under the USDA regulatory scheme.

More than anything, Mr. Speaker, I am wholeheartedly disappointed in how my colleagues are managing the remaining time left in this Congress. The absence of any sense of urgency to fix the real problems facing this country is incredibly frustrating.

This country is at the doorstep of a recession, but instead of addressing it, the U.S. House of Representatives is spending time on the regulation of big cats. My constituents are calling my office because their families are struggling to pay for basic needs like food and gas. They are calling because they want us to secure national borders and address the fentanyl epidemic. They are calling because they want to know what Congress is doing about rampant crime in cities across the country. These are the issues facing our constituents. These are the issues they are calling about because they are the issues affecting their everyday lives and the ones we should be focusing on.

It is because of the irresponsible policies by Democrats that we have such a big hole to dig ourselves out of, and now they want to ignore these problems altogether and take up our precious time left in Congress to consider legislation built off reality TV.

Mr. Speaker, I oppose the rule, I ask Members to do the same, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. DOGGETT).

Mr. DOGGETT. Mr. Speaker, telehealth is good. Telehealth fraud is not. The Cheney bill is remarkably similar to a bipartisan measure that I worked on last year and got the support of 22 health-related stakeholders after holding a productive bipartisan hearing.

I applaud Representative CHENEY's support for telehealth and her valued service to our Nation in general. Through no fault of hers, this bill contains a glaring omission.

Whenever billions of Federal dollars are available anywhere, some will try to steal it. That is what has happened with telehealth. The Justice Department has brought one charge after another against hundreds of defendants for stealing through fraud billions of taxpayer dollars, including charges that they brought last week.

What happens is that someone using the telehealth mechanism is ordering expensive genetic tests, allergy tests, and medical equipment that the patient does not need and billing the taxpayer through Medicare.

My effort to address this is not just to see a prosecution of theft after it has occurred, but to prevent it and to protect taxpayers with an amendment that was designed to employ the recommendations of a nonpartisan commission to prevent and reduce this kind of fraud.

It enjoyed bipartisan support. I saw that it was not included under this rule. I think that is unfortunate. Accordingly, Mr. Speaker, I do not support the rule or the bill.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to immediately consider H.R. 8488, a bill to prohibit the Secretary of Energy from sending petroleum products from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve to China.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of my amendment into the RECORD, along with extraneous material, immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Minnesota?

There was no objection.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, the Strategic Petroleum Reserve, or SPR, is an important national security tool and safety net for this country. The United States has already been improperly depleting the Strategic Petroleum Reserve under this administration since it is refusing to increase domestic production during an energy crisis.

We most certainly should not be selling our emergency supply to an adversary like China.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. DUNCAN) to speak further on the amendment.

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Minnesota for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the previous question so that we can amend the rule to immediately consider the Protecting America's Strategic Petroleum Reserve from China Act.

H.R. 8488 is a bill introduced by Ranking Member RODGERS and me, and it is simple. It would prohibit the Department of Energy from sending America's emergency oil reserves, known as the Strategic Petroleum Reserve, to China.

Now the Democrats are in denial about why their constituents are paying so much at the pump for gas and diesel fuel. It is directly a result of the Biden administration and Democrat policies that began the day that Joe Biden was sworn in as the President of the United States. Because of his war on the oil and gas industry, our domestic production and our refining capacity has declined by more than 1 million barrels per day since President Trump was in office.

Americans know what they were paying for gas in January of 2021. They know what they are paying for gas today. They know they had more money in their pocket to spend on things for their family. They know they are having to make decisions now about their travel. It is affecting their cost to go to work, to take their kids to school, or to go to their place of worship.

Energy prices have surged past historic records. People have been forced to pay more than \$6 per gallon in some regions of the country. Now President Biden and the Democrats are looking for cover. He is looking to distract the American voters from the painful realities of his anti-fossil fuel agenda by releasing an unprecedented 260 million barrels of oil from the SPR, or the Strategic Petroleum Reserve. Releasing this oil is going to be no more than a blip for gasoline prices.

It seems as if the White House and Democrats are taking victory laps over a temporary decrease in the price of gasoline. It is because Americans are on vacation, they are not driving as much, they are staying home—staycations—and they are not using as much. It is a supply and demand issue.

But it is also a cause and effect issue. The cause is the Biden administration's Democrat policies against fossil fuels because they have some sort of utopian ideal that Americans are just going to—let's see, in the words of Secretary Granholm: transition to electric vehicles.

Let me be clear: I like electric vehicles. I think they ought to be a part of the mix. In fact, more electric vehicles on the road means less emissions and better air quality.

But instead of forcing it, why not let the free market work?

Why not let the free market come up with alternatives and more cost-effec-

tive modes of transportation for Americans versus government policies trying to push this utopian ideal?

It is not the solution to the energy crisis Americans are facing today. Releasing oil from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve is not the answer either. In fact, the Biden administration is releasing more than 1 million barrels per day, but it really has nowhere to go because our refineries are at capacity and our pipelines are full. As a result, America's emergency reserves are being sent to China which is using it to build up its own strategic reserves.

The irony is that when prices plummeted at the onset of COVID, President Trump's Department of Energy wanted to buy oil and fill up the SPR. Democrats opposed that. Let's see, buy low, sell high. Oil was really cheap. President Trump wanted to fill up the SPR. Now that oil is really high, this President wants to release it and give it to China.

We are going to have to replace it.

Are we going to replace it at a high price?

The other irony is that this isn't about fossil fuels because President Biden traveled 11,000 miles roundtrip to Saudi Arabia to beg the Saudis for oil. He didn't beg them for batteries for electric cars. He begged them for oil which is going to be refined into the transportation fuels that we use.

I have got an idea for President Biden and for Democrats: How about embrace American energy production?

How about President Biden travel down to Port Fourchon, Louisiana, or to Midland, Texas, and talk to American energy producers and ask them the question: How can we meet the domestic demand that we have here in this country?

How can we, with American energy production, lower the costs for moms and dads, American families, here at home by American production, providing American jobs, and producing American resources?

We have been blessed in this country with abundant resources in oil and gas. Unfortunately, we have been cursed by liberal politicians.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentleman from South Carolina.

Mr. DUNCAN. We have been cursed by liberal politicians who want to take those abundant resources off the table and continue to hurt American families who are trying to fill up their car just to travel to work, to school, and to church.

Mr. Speaker, we need to defeat the previous question, we need to stop selling or giving, or whatever, oil to China—an adversary—and we need to focus on domestic energy production.

So, Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" vote on the previous question so that the House can immediately consider this important bill. I urge my colleagues to vote against this previous question and for the replacement.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, today Democrats are talking about expanding access to healthcare and helping our constituents live healthy lives. That is what Democrats are bringing to the floor today in a bipartisan way.

But yet here we are with some Republican colleagues across the aisle continuing to insert divisive politics into a debate about healthcare, about being able to see your doctor, and about being able to live a healthy life. Perhaps it is because there are billions to be made in gun sales or oil sales. But Democrats will continue to try to find Republicans on the other side to save American lives.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GREEN).

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I also thank Mrs. TORRES for being very generous with the time of the Rules Committee.

I support all aspects of what I consider to be historic legislation. I would like to also thank Mr. HOYER who has worked tirelessly to help us to bring this legislation to the floor. It will mean a lot to many people. It will mean an awful lot to me.

I appreciate anyone who is going to vote for it, and I encourage everyone to vote for it.

Today is a unique day in history, and I trust that this bipartisan legislation will prove such to be the case.

I am not going to prolong my time. I just want to be grateful to all Members of the House, including the Speaker, the whip, the caucus chair, persons on both sides, and the minority leader—everyone. I am grateful. I trust that we will vote to pass the legislation.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, I would like to remind my colleague from the Rules Committee that, as I mentioned before, many of the Republicans plan on supporting the telehealth bill, although I think we missed the opportunity to have Republican input and have an improved bill. But we are able to do more than one thing.

My colleague mentioned that this is very partisan. Gas and oil prices are a serious, serious issue for our constituents. As I mentioned before, it is about their getting to work, it is about their getting to school, and it is about their getting to church. They need us to address the cost of oil and gas.

The Democrats have really just simply tried to distract from this issue and tried to distract us with all kinds of other things and not really talk about the issues facing our constituents.

□ 1045

And like I said earlier, we certainly do have Republican support for the telehealth bill. As a matter of fact, I believe that there are Republican sponsors of that bill.

But I think that what is happening now is the Democrats are truly trying to distract from the issues that are really facing our constituents.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I absolutely agree that we can do more than one thing at a time. But unfortunately, today should be a day that we are working together in a bipartisan way, again, to expand access to healthcare for fragile Americans that want to have an opportunity and deserve to have an opportunity to see their doctor.

So, while my colleagues continue to talk about the millions and billions of dollars that gun manufacturers and oil folks are making, we want to talk about expanding healthcare.

But let me remind my colleagues, too, that it is easy to come to the floor and rant and rave when you have no real ideas to offer or solutions.

But let's take a look at the facts. The current drop in gas prices is one of the fastest declines in over a decade. Gas prices have declined by an average of 50 cents per gallon over the past 34 days. The most common price at gas stations across the country is now \$3.99, with around 20,000 gas stations across over 30 States.

But, you see, it is not just about gasoline. We are also increasing availability and options for Americans to drive electric vehicles. So we can do more than one thing.

But I urge my colleagues across the aisle, if they truly care about telehealth, if they truly care about improving healthcare options for Americans, that we focus on the two bills, the rule that we have in front of us.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I just have to say that the calls for working together ring hollow to me because working together should be happening in markup. Working together should be happening in committee meetings. But the Democrats have repeatedly and consistently chosen to skip the committee process and bring things directly to the Rules Committee and directly to the floor. And so when they call for working together, I think that that starts at the committee process.

And as for bringing solutions to the floor, the Westerman-McMorris Rodgers bill that would address the energy costs has been brought repeatedly as a PQ to the floor. The Democrats had the opportunity to take a look at that and to vote on it.

With a more exact answer, I yield 1 minute to my colleague from South Carolina (Mr. DUNCAN).

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, you know, there are some bipartisan issues in this bill. There is no doubt about that, if the Democrats were to reach across the aisle and actually work with us. Issues like telehealth are important.

But we are getting ready to break for the August recess, and American fami-

lies are hurting because of what they are paying at the pump for the price of gas. We have the opportunity to stop the sale of oil to China and help Americans maintain their strategic national resource and not give it to China. That is what we are asking for.

Unless we are focused on energy policies before we go home for recess, there are a lot of other things we could do to address the pain that Americans are feeling right now, this week, before we break for the August recess.

Instead, we are going to have some feel-good legislation that won't even pass the Senate.

We could help American families today, yet the Democrats continue wanting to try to hoodwink the American people; place blame on Putin and others for energy prices, when we know what is causing the price at the pump; and that is the Biden administration's policies on energy.

Let's quit giving oil to China, let's hold that strategic asset, and let's lessen the price at the pump by passing good energy policy in this country.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Working together means meeting each other in the middle when we agree or disagree on an issue, finding middle ground. That is what this bill does because some Republicans and Democrats agree that the healthcare of the American people is worth so much more than nonsense political headlines.

So today, once again, we come together, some of us, to help continue to save lives by passing this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I would just like to mention that finding middle ground actually starts with allowing the minority input into bills, whether that be in committee or offering amendments on the floor. But that is where finding middle ground and that is where finding bipartisan solutions starts.

I would also like to remind my colleague from the Rules Committee that this PQ would not stop consideration of the bills in the rule. It would simply allow consideration of the amendment that was proposed.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

It is unfortunate that we fail—some of us on this floor—to recognize that the lead author of this bill is a Republican member of their caucus. Unfortunately, it is a Republican member of their caucus that they no longer find conveniently friendly to their agenda of hate and division.

Today, we stand together, and I urge my Republican colleagues to support this bill, to, again, help Americans find the doctor and the healthcare that they need in order to live a better life.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I have to just mention again, because I am confused, and I want it stated very clearly what I have said repeatedly. There are many Republicans who will be supporting the telehealth bill.

Mr. Speaker, there are many Republicans that will be supporting the telehealth bill. That is not where I am concerned that we are not addressing constituents' issues with.

Where I am concerned about our constituents is the price of gas and the inflation that they are facing, and that we need to be addressing those issues; and that is why we offered the PQ that we did. And that is why we have offered repeated solutions to the cost of gas and the inflation facing our constituents.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I am prepared to close. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I do continue to be disappointed in my colleagues. It is possible that they are really this out of touch.

The typical family is now spending almost \$500 per month. Across the country, people are making changes like skipping meals, changing driving patterns, and even delaying retirement to adjust their lives to cope with the accelerating inflation; inflation caused by out-of-control government spending and regulation.

Cities across the country are experiencing record crime waves. We have a serious immigration crisis leading to the deaths of countless people at our southern border and in our hometowns because of the fentanyl drug crisis crossing along with them.

I know that, like mine, your offices are getting daily calls about all of these. Why are we spending time on anything that does not work directly to solve those devastating problems in our country?

Maybe it is because Democrats want to distract us from the fact that their policies got us here, rather than fix them. We could be discussing genuine efforts to stop crime or increase domestic energy production or alleviate pressure points to the supply chain.

But, for the sake of the Green New Deal and rampant government spending, Americans are going to have to suffer through inaction on real issues facing Americans by this Congress.

Mr. Speaker, I oppose the rule, and I ask Members to do the same.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

The two bills before us for consideration, H.R. 263 and H.R. 4040, will increase access to critical healthcare

services and improve public safety. I am proud of Representative LIZ CHE-NEY.

And while my colleagues continue to argue and work against the healthcare of the American people, we will stand together with those Republicans that have the courage to stand up for healthcare and healthcare options.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "yes" vote on the rule and the previous question.

The material previously referred to by Mrs. FISCHBACH is as follows:

AMENDMENT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 1256

At the end of the resolution, add the following:

SEC. 4. Immediately upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall proceed to the consideration in the House of the bill (H.R. 8488) to prohibit the Secretary of Energy from sending petroleum products from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve to China, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. The bill shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and on any amendment thereto to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Energy & Commerce; and (2) one motion to recommit.

SEC. 5. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 8488.

Mrs. TORRES of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of adoption of the resolution.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 218, nays 208, not voting 4, as follows:

[Roll No. 394]

YEAS—218

Adams	Cárdenas	Davidson
Agullar	Carson	Davis, Danny K.
Allred	Carter (LA)	Dean
Auchincloss	Cartwright	DeFazio
Axne	Case	DeGette
Barragán	Castor (FL)	DeLauro
Bass	Castro (TX)	DelBene
Beatty	Cherfilus-	Demings
Bera	McCormick	DeSaulnier
Beyer	Chu	Deutch
Bishop (GA)	Cioccilline	Dingell
Blumenauer	Clark (MA)	Doggett
Blunt Rochester	Clarke (NY)	Doyle, Michael
Bonamici	Cleaver	F.
Bourdeaux	Clyburn	Escobar
Bowman	Cohen	Eshoo
Boyle, Brendan	Connolly	Espallat
F.	Cooper	Evans
Brown (MD)	Correa	Fletcher
Brown (OH)	Costa	Foster
Brownley	Courtney	Frankel, Lois
Bush	Craig	Gallego
Bustos	Crist	Garamendi
Butterfield	Crow	Garcia (IL)
Carbajal	Cuellar	Garcia (TX)

Golden	Malinowski	Sánchez
Gomez	Maloney,	Sarbanes
Gonzalez,	Carolyn B.	Scanlon
Vicente	Maloney, Sean	Schakowsky
Gottheimer	Manning	Schiff
Green, Al (TX)	Matsui	Schneider
Grijalva	McBath	Schrader
Harder (CA)	McCollum	Schrier
Hayes	McEachin	Scott (VA)
Higgins (NY)	McGovern	Scott, David
Himes	McNerney	Sewell
Horsford	Meeks	Sherman
Houlihan	Meng	Sherrill
Hoyer	Mfume	Sires
Huffman	Moore (WI)	Slotkin
Jackson Lee	Morelle	Smith (WA)
Jacobs (CA)	Moulton	Soto
Jayapal	Mrvan	Spanberger
Jeffries	Murphy (FL)	Speier
Johnson (GA)	Nadler	Stansbury
Johnson (TX)	Napolitano	Stanton
Jones	Neal	Stevens
Kahele	Neguse	Strickland
Kaptur	Newman	Suozi
Keating	Norcross	Swalwell
Kelly (IL)	O'Halleran	Takano
Khanna	Ocasio-Cortez	Thompson (CA)
Kildee	Omar	Thompson (MS)
Kilmer	Pallone	Titus
Kim (NJ)	Panetta	Tlaib
Kind	Pappas	Tonko
Kirkpatrick	Pascrell	Torres (CA)
Krishnamoorthi	Payne	Torres (NY)
Kuster	Perlmutter	Trahan
Lamb	Peters	Trone
Langevin	Phillips	Underwood
Larsen (WA)	Pingree	Vargas
Larson (CT)	Pocan	Veasey
Lawrence	Porter	Velázquez
Lawson (FL)	Pressley	Wasserman
Lee (CA)	Price (NC)	Schultz
Lee (NV)	Quigley	Waters
Leger Fernandez	Raskin	Watson Coleman
Levin (CA)	Rice (NY)	Welch
Levin (MI)	Ross	Wexton
Lieu	Roybal-Allard	Wild
Lofgren	Ruiz	Williams (GA)
Lowenthal	Ruppersberger	Wilson (FL)
Luria	Rush	Yarmuth
Lynch	Ryan	

NAYS—208

Aderholt	DesJarlais	Hice (GA)
Allen	Diaz-Balart	Higgins (LA)
Amodei	Donalds	Hill
Armstrong	Duncan	Hinson
Arrington	Dunn	Hollingsworth
Babin	Ellzey	Hudson
Bacon	Emmer	Huizenga
Baird	Estes	Issa
Balderson	Fallon	Jackson
Banks	Feenstra	Jacobs (NY)
Barr	Ferguson	Johnson (LA)
Bentz	Fischbach	Johnson (OH)
Bergman	Fitzgerald	Johnson (SD)
Bice (OK)	Fitzpatrick	Jordan
Biggs	Fleischmann	Joyce (OH)
Bilirakis	Flood	Joyce (PA)
Bishop (NC)	Flores	Katko
Boebert	Foxx	Keller
Bost	Franklin, C.	Kelly (MS)
Brady	Scott	Kelly (PA)
Brooks	Fulcher	Kim (CA)
Buchanan	Gaetz	Kustoff
Buck	Gallagher	LaHood
Bucshon	Garbarino	LaMalfa
Budd	Garcia (CA)	Lamborn
Burchett	Gibbs	Latta
Burgess	Gimenez	LaTurner
Calvert	Gohmert	Lesko
Cammack	Gonzales, Tony	Letlow
Carey	Gonzalez (OH)	Long
Carl	Good (VA)	Loudermilk
Carter (GA)	Gooden (TX)	Lucas
Carter (TX)	Gosar	Luetkemeyer
Cawthorn	Granger	Mace
Chabot	Graves (LA)	Malliotakis
Cheney	Graves (MO)	Mann
Cline	Green (TN)	Massie
Cloud	Greene (GA)	McCarthy
Clyde	Griffith	McCaul
Cole	Grothman	McClain
Comer	Guest	McClintock
Conway	Guthrie	McHenry
Crawford	Harris	McKinley
Crenshaw	Harshbarger	Meijer
Curtis	Hern	Meuser
Davidson	Herrell	Miller (IL)
Davis, Rodney	Herrera Beutler	Miller (WV)

Table listing members of the House of Representatives and their states, including Miller-Meeks, Moolenaar, Mooney, Moore (AL), Moore (UT), Mullin, Murphy (NC), etc.

NOT VOTING—4

Table listing members not voting: Casten, Hartzler, Kinzinger, Mast.

□ 1147

Messrs. CAREY and CALVERT changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. CASTEN. Mr. Speaker, I missed Roll Call vote number 394. Had I been present, I would have voted YEA on motion to move the previous question on H. Res. 1256.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Table listing members recorded pursuant to House Resolution 8, 117th Congress, including Babin (Jackson), Bass (Neguse), Blumenauer (Beyer), etc.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. QUIGLEY). The question is on adoption of the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 218, nays 207, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 395]

YEAS—218

Table listing members voting YEAS, including Adams, Aguilar, Allred, Auchincloss, Axne, Barragan, Bass, Beatty, Bera, Beyer, Bishop (GA), etc.

Table listing members voting NAYS, including Correa, Costa, Courtney, Craig, Crist, Turner, Crow, Cuellar, Davids (KS), etc.

NAYS—207

Table listing members voting NAYS, including Aderholt, Allen, Amodei, Armstrong, Arrington, Babin, Bacon, Baird, Balderson, Banks, Barr, Bentz, Bergman, Bice (OK), Biggs, Bilirakis, Bishop (NC), Boebert, Bost, Brady, Brooks, Buchanan, Buck, Bucshon, Budd, Burchett, Burgess, Calvert, Cammack, Carey, Carl, Carter (GA), Carter (TX), Cawthorn, Chabot, Cline, Cloud, Clyde, Cole, Comer, Conway, Crawford, Crenshaw, Curtis, Davidson, Davis, Rodney, DesJarlais, Diaz-Balart, Doggett, Donalds, Duncan, Dunn, Ellzey, Estes, Fallon, Feenstra, Ferguson, Fischbach, Fitzgerald, Fitzpatrick, Fleischmann, Flood, Flores, Foeux, Franklin, C. Scott, Fulcher, Gaetz, Gallagher, Garbarino, Garcia (CA), Gibbs, Gimenez, Gohmert, Gonzalez, Tony, Gonzalez (OH), Good (VA), Gooden (TX), Gosar, Granger, Graves (LA), Graves (MO), Green (TN), Greene (GA), Griffith, Grothman, Guest, Guthrie, Harris, Harshbarger, Hern, Herrell, Herrera Beutler, Hice (GA), Higgins (LA), Hill, Hinson, Hollingsworth, Hudson, Huizenga, Issa, Jackson, Jacobs (NY), Johnson (LA), Johnson (OH), Johnson (SD), Jordan, Joyce (OH), Joyce (PA), Katko, Keller, etc.

Table listing members voting YES, including Kelly (MS), Kelly (PA), Kim (CA), Kustoff, LaHood, LaMalfa, Lamborn, Latta, LaTurner, Lesko, Letlow, Long, Loudermilk, Lucas, Luetkemeyer, Mace, Malliotakis, Mann, Massie, Mast, McCarthy, McCaul, McClain, McClintock, McHenry, McKinley, Meijer, Meuser, Miller (IL), Miller (WV), Miller-Meeks, Moolenaar, Mooney, etc.

NOT VOTING—5

Table listing members not voting: Emmer, Hartzler, Kinzinger, Mfume, Nehls.

□ 1159

So the resolution was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Table listing members recorded pursuant to House Resolution 8, 117th Congress, including Babin (Jackson), Bass (Neguse), Blumenauer (Beyer), Bourdeaux (Correa), Brown (MD), Bush (Jeffries), Carter (TX), Casten (Neguse), Crist, etc.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. KUSTER). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on the motions to suspend the rules if a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or if the vote is objected to under clause 6 of rule XX.

The House will resume proceedings on postponed questions at a later time.

ORIGINAL SLAVERY REMEMBRANCE DAY RESOLUTION OF 2021

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 1256, H. Res. 517 is considered as agreed to.

The text of the resolution is as follows: