

who was in grave danger, we would want to save them. Somebody who has endured an unbelievable process and survived it, we would save them; we would not take advantage of that.

This program was supported by taxpayer funding through the NIH program. So congratulations, Mr. and Mrs. Taxpayer, for all the things that you hate, you are helping to fund it.

This isn't the only abortion project that the National Institutes of Health is funding, though. The NIH expects to spend \$88 million on human fetal tissue research in this year alone.

When these allegations began coming out—which I thought was a real good move by the university—they hired a law firm to conduct an independent review of Pitt's abortion process. Somebody is questioning the process you do, so you say: Here is what I will do. I want to participate with you, so I will provide the funding to an independent research group. That law firm was a Washington, D.C.-based consulting firm that employs Pitt grads. What a surprise.

The review determined that Pitt was totally in compliance with the law. They didn't say what Pitt was doing was right; they said they were in compliance with the law, even though that report completely failed to look into Planned Parenthood or UPMC, who were partners with this NIH program.

When all of this came out, we sent a letter, along with Representative MORGAN GRIFFITH and over 50 of our colleagues, asking the NIH for answers on how it funds and oversees these abortions programs. It took them months to respond to me, which is not unusual for any letter you send to any agency here in Washington, D.C.

When they finally did reply to us, they simply pointed out to me and to the rest of us: Please, look at Pitt's independent review.

Now, this level of accountability to Congress is completely unacceptable, and it shouldn't take months for a government agency to respond to letters from any of us here in the House of Representatives. We are here representing the people who voted us in office. And when they do respond, they should respond with a substantive answer. I don't need to be horsed around and told something and told: Well, you just don't understand.

Which is absolutely correct. I don't understand. In the United States of America, why are we doing things and then covering them up and saying, we did an independent review. We paid for it, and these guys actually came up with an answer that we were looking for. So they followed the money.

A few weeks ago, Congress finally passed a budget, the first since President Biden has been in office. It was far from perfect, but it included strong protections for the unborn, protections which have been in place since the 1970s.

Less than 2 weeks later, President Biden released his 2023 budget proposal,

which included a full wish list of Democrat anti-life priorities.

Here are some examples from his recent budget: Number 1, it eliminates the Hyde amendment, which prohibits federal funding for abortions. It became law in 1976. The Hyde amendment has saved over 2.4 million lives.

□ 2030

It also wants to eliminate the Dornan amendment. The Dornan amendment prevents taxpayer dollars from being used to pay for abortion in the District of Columbia. Without this protection, D.C. taxpayer dollars could pay for an estimated 1,400 to 1,500 abortions every year. Every year.

It increased Title X family planning funding by \$113 million. Title X is a prime funding source for Planned Parenthood, providing it with \$56 million taxpayer dollars annually. Now, on January 21, 2022, the Biden administration awarded \$6.6 million in Title X funds from the American Rescue Plan to abortion providers. \$6.6 million in American taxpayer money to provide funds for abortion providers.

Now, I am Catholic, and oftentimes I have gone to my priest and I have said, "Father, is there some reason you cannot go into the pulpit and talk about the horrendous things that are going on in our country today?" You know what the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops said? "The USCCB remains gravely concerned about the continued efforts to expand taxpayer funding of abortion, which would occur if the Hyde amendment or any other lifesaving appropriations riders were to be removed from the annual appropriations bill."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania. "We take this stand because abortion is not healthcare. It is the antithesis of healthcare."

Now, I know I am out of time, but me being out of time here on the floor does not take this country from being out of time to address the most egregious actions that are taking place.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has again expired.

Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania. Now, I just tell you, I am going to use up some time, and you can keep hammering me, but you know what? In the time we have been talking, so far, as of April 5—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is no longer recognized.

#### CRISIS AT THE SOUTHERN BORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 4, 2021, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Colorado (Mrs. BOEBERT) for 30 minutes.

Mrs. BOEBERT. Mr. Speaker, this past Friday, Biden's CDC, formally known as the Centers for Disease Con-

trol, but often referred to now as the center for Democrat control, announced that it would be ending title 42.

At a time when liberal mayors across our country are extending mask mandates on our children in schools, and the American public is still being forced to mask-up on airplanes, the Biden regime has decided that protecting the American people from diseases, including but not limited to COVID, is not a priority if it comes at the expense of their amnesty and open borders agenda.

Now, it is no coincidence that the Biden regime has decided to drop title 42, a policy that has been used to prevent communicable diseases from spreading into the homeland via illegal aliens entering our southern border.

In fact, more than 2 million illegal aliens have been apprehended at our southern border since Joe Biden took office, and Border Patrol agents estimate another 70 percent of these illegal immigrants have not been apprehended. They call them got-aways. So, in fact, that means nearly 3.5 million illegal aliens have come across our border on Biden's watch.

Now, Republicans and Democrats from both the House and Senate have condemned canceling title 42. Some have called it dangerous, and others have called it frightening. I call it an attack on the safety and security of the American people.

It has been reported that by the time the mid-terms roll around in November of 2022, nearly 7 million illegal aliens will have crossed the southern border this year. That is larger than the populations of Denver, San Francisco, Atlanta, Washington, D.C., Boston, Seattle, Miami, Las Vegas, New Orleans, Portland, Tampa, and Detroit combined. Can you imagine?

We have a product here that is working. We have a policy that is working to deter some people away from the border, but we are going to take whatever sliver we have that is keeping people out of our country illegally and do away with it.

Maybe it is to overrun our system, maybe it is to create chaos; 8 to 10 years of backlogs, so then the American people have to accept amnesty. I am not sure exactly what the plan is, but it certainly is intentional, and that is what members of the House Freedom Caucus are here to discuss tonight.

I have with me members from the House Freedom Caucus who are going to address what has been going on at the southern border multiple times to see firsthand what is happening—the invasion that is taking place in our country—unlike the border czar, who has failed to visit the most dangerous parts of our southern border. I think she made a trip to El Paso. And then, of course, the Commander in Chief, who is in command of nothing, he hasn't been there at all.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the former chairman of the House Freedom Caucus, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. BIGGS), my good friend.

Mr. BIGGS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding to me. I would like to help set the stage here just for a moment. Imagine, if you will, 100,000 people entering the country illegally and stopped on our border just in the first 2 weeks of March of this year. But because of title 42, which allows the Border Patrol agents to immediately turn people away because of communicable diseases, that is the term used in title 42, they sent 50,000 of them back away. You don't have to imagine it because that is true. That is exactly what happened.

If you extrapolate that out, because you can, just looking at it from January, February, March of this year, they turned away over a quarter million people under title 42.

Now, when title 42 goes away on May 23, because that is exactly what this administration wants, you will double the amount of people who are coming in just through the apprehension route. We have another record month in March. Those numbers are just out. That means that you are going to be sitting on about 300,000 to 400,000 apprehensions that you are going to be releasing right into the United States of America.

What does that do? Everybody in here knows this because you have been down to the border. I have been down to the border with you. The cartels are in it to make money. As they see this opening up, more and more people getting freedom to the United States caught and released, what they will do, and what they are already doing, by the way, is they will advertise.

The NGOs that we help fund, that the United Nations funds, will advertise. It will be chaos, chaos on the border. My prediction is somewhere around 400,000 to 500,000 people a month coming in. But it actually could be more. A lot of people are talking about the ceiling now being 18,000 people a day when that goes away. I don't think it is going to be 18,000. I think it will exceed 20,000. If it exceeds 20,000, that is 600,000 a month coming in. That is bigger than the city of Mesa in Arizona, which is Arizona's second largest city.

You know what that means? In the last half of this year, the last half of the year alone, you are going to be sitting, as you said, at 4½ to 5 million people brought into this country illegally, and that is not counting the get-aways. The get-aways last year were at least 800,000.

It is enormous; it is dangerous; it is inhumane. I haven't even touched on the inhumanity of it. We are just talking up here. We are not getting granular. We are just talking about the over-running of America, our culture, and our sovereignty. We won't have much of a nation after this is done.

You said it yourself, is this incompetence? The answer is no, this is will-

ful. This is willful, and this is what they want.

Mrs. BOEBERT. Mr. BIGGS, do you believe that the cartels have been emboldened during Biden's first year as President of the United States?

Mr. BIGGS. Absolutely, 100 percent. Do you know how we know they have been emboldened? Because it used to be they would have the coyotes take the people up and locate them in the U.S. They don't do that anymore. The coyotes take them up, they put them on the border and say, "We don't have to go in with you. Go in. Go in with your cell phone." Oh, by the way, Biden administration says now they are going to give away a cell phone to every illegal alien crossing the border.

But they will just come across. I have seen it; you have all seen it. They are walking across, they are FaceTiming their friends back home: "Yeah, I made it in." They are dressed nice; they are dressed clean. The cartels are emboldened not just at the southern border any longer.

This is spilling over into the country, and we are seeing violence along the border because of it, and you are seeing cartel membership all over. If there are drugs being distributed anywhere in the United States, you have got cartels there.

Mrs. BOEBERT. What about these illegal aliens who are coming through with the help of the cartel, what do they owe the cartel for getting into the United States?

Mr. BIGGS. The average price right now is \$4,000 to \$7,000, unless you are from China, and then it is \$35,000. Very few of these people have that to pay. Guess what happens? Either they can work it off by delivering illicit drugs, helping to smuggle human beings, including sex trafficking, or they come in, they get a job, and they are indentured servants. They are effectively slaves to the cartel, and they will never work it off, because the cartels are taskmasters at this. They know exactly how to keep these folks under their thumb.

Mrs. BOEBERT. Mr. BIGGS, you also chair the Border Security Caucus, and you recently brought in Secretary Mayorkas. Thank you for bringing him here to the Hill so we could ask some questions.

Now, he was talking about title 42 being a CDC issue, and that is not really his issue to enforce. That is not his policy to enforce. What is a policy that he could enforce that would effectively secure our border?

Mr. BIGGS. He could enforce the MPP, the migrant protection protocols. That is the remain in Mexico policy. What he could do is, instead of enforcing that at 9 people a day—that is the number we heard earlier this week, 9 people a day—you could actually enforce it the way it was intended to be enforced, and that would be thousands a day because we have thousands of folks coming in. That would be another deterrent. That is just one of the things that he could do.

He could actually go in and encourage us to fix the Flores law or the TVPRA laws. All of those things would be deterrents. But, instead, he has opened it wide open. He has taken away any deterrent. Instead, he is basically encouraging people to come into this country illegally.

Mrs. BOEBERT. Thank you. Now, one final question for you here. You are a businessman. We may have 7 million undocumented workers in our country by November. What does that mean for our economy?

Mr. BIGGS. Well, first of all, the underground economy right now for people, my estimate is about 25 to 27 million illegal aliens in the country. You are going to bring in another 7 million, so you are going to have an underground economy. Those people who are not skilled laborers are going to have a tough time getting jobs. A lot of these folks are going to go on social welfare programs, even though they are not supposed to be allowed to. They will get on social welfare programs.

We are already on the verge of stagflation right now, high inflation and a shrinking GDP. As that happens, and you bring in that many people undocumented, you are going to actually exacerbate both those problems, and we may see the likes of something we haven't seen since Jimmy Carter. It might even exceed what happened under Jimmy Carter, who was probably the most unfortunate and incompetent President in my lifetime.

Mrs. BOEBERT. We have more Members joining us here from the House Freedom Caucus to talk about title 42. Thank you so much, Congressman BIGGS, for your insight on this. I know that you work really hard in studying what is going on at the southern border.

I have the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. NORMAN) here now. Do you believe that this regime is responsible for signaling to poor and desperate people that now is the time to take this dangerous journey, to break our laws, to come into our country, and live under the freedoms and protections our country provides but not as a citizen?

Mr. NORMAN. Well, first of all, thank you for organizing this discussion to inform the American people what is going on. As Andy has said, this President is willfully and directly causing this to happen to the American people.

You are a businessperson. You run a restaurant. I am in the development world. Who would let anybody come into your business or to your home, not know who they were, not know why they were there?

It is insane what this administration is doing. By taking title 42, as has been said, it prohibits—that is the only tool that President Trump had at his disposal and President Biden has, but he is doing away with it because that is what he is encouraging.

Lauren, think about this, how unfair is it to that law enforcement agency,

that law enforcement official stopping a car not knowing who is in it, not knowing what his background is, having no information, how safe is that for that law enforcement officer?

□ 2045

Mr. NORMAN. Mr. Speaker, how unfair is it to the municipalities and the cities that are going to have to pay for the hospital care, for the schooling? How fair is that?

Well, it is not. It is intentional. It is willful.

As people ask me all the time, why is he doing this? He is burning the house down before, I assume, the November elections, which the House will turn over, and hopefully, we will elect Freedom Caucus members who have got the steel and the spine to do something about it.

He sold out not only to the citizens; he sold out to China and those that he is indebted to. We are going to find out more and more about that as we move forward.

Mrs. BOEBERT. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Speaker, I am so happy that the gentlewoman from Colorado organized this effort tonight. We need to be speaking about it, and of course, I would call on our friends from this side of the aisle.

It is interesting that we are standing on this side of the aisle. I mean, thank goodness somebody is willing to stand over here and defend the country.

Mr. Speaker, I call on my Democrat colleagues to start answering some questions and start defending their country from this invasion.

But if the gentleman doesn't know this, if you do a quick calculation—this isn't Congressman PERRY, Congresswoman BOEBERT, Congressman ROY, any of us up here. This is DHS. The Department of Homeland Security is bracing for 18,000 crossings a day, 18,000 people coming across the border illegally each day.

Now, I don't know, for each one of you, the size of your town where you come from, but I suspect 18,000 in any town would make a pretty big dent unless you are talking about one of the major cities like Los Angeles or something like that.

Even so, 18,000 a day, Mr. Speaker, that is over 6½ million people, if we keep that rate up, 6½ million people in 1 year illegally coming to our country.

Congressman NORMAN or Congresswoman BOEBERT, could your business sustain something like that?

Mrs. BOEBERT. Mr. Speaker, absolutely not. The city of Rifle just hit 10,000 for our population. I mean, 18,000 people a day, that right there exceeds the little city of Rifle, Colorado.

But this is something that we absolutely cannot endure, so I am glad that we have this time tonight to discuss this.

Representative GOOD, you have been to the southern border. You have talked to Border Patrol agents. Can

you tell me what you have seen, what you have heard from Border Patrol agents?

Mr. GOOD of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I have been there four times in my first year, the first 14 months here in this Congress.

February a year ago was the largest February in terms of illegal border crossings in the history of the country. We had 101,000 cross, some 3,000 a day, in February a year ago.

We exceeded that by 64 percent this most recent February. We went from 101,000 to 165,000.

Now, it is so bad with what this President has allowed to happen in the last year that 165,000 in a month doesn't sound like a really bad month for this President.

That is because, again, it was 101,000 last February, but it increased as the year went on to where it was some 200,000 a month later on into the year, as we know, and 2 million in the first year this President was in office.

As others have already pointed out, with him rescinding title 42, I guess he was afraid that is a policy, a law, that might help repel some illegals back across the border, might allow us to return some if we actually would enforce the law.

So, we are rescinding title 42, which, as already has been said, will take it from 7,000 to 2½ times as large. Mr. Speaker, 18,000 a day is the average, 500,000 in the first 30 days.

This President is on pace for some 10 to 15 million illegal entries into our country in his first and hopefully only term.

I was in a Budget Committee hearing today with Congresswoman BOEBERT. We had Secretary Becerra there, the HHS Secretary. HHS has been called in not to help stop the border crossings but to help facilitate those.

Mr. Speaker, I asked him today, I said: Who do you think should be let across our border, or who should be prevented from going across our border? Are there any restraints you would put upon anyone who wants to come across our border, or do you think everyone should be able to come across illegally?

He said: Well, we are talking about violating the law. You are saying it is illegal. Why would we permit anyone to violate the law?

Our President is violating the highest law of the land. The Constitution that we all and he swore to uphold, Article IV, Section 4 says: "The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a republican form of government and shall protect them against invasion."

As we look to next year, I want to go on record and say—some of you were on the articles of impeachment with me. Congresswoman BOEBERT drafted those. How do we declare this the public health emergency that it is, the national security crisis that it is, the health crisis that it is, the social services crisis that it is, the education cri-

sis that it is, and the unlawful process that it is and not hold this President responsible when we have the House majority, Lord willing, a year from now? How can we not impeach this President?

Mrs. BOEBERT. Mr. Speaker, I agree that that absolutely needs to be brought up in the next Congress.

Now, these appointed Secretaries, they really have turned our Border Patrol agents into travel agents. They are being shipped all throughout our country, these illegal immigrants, and every State is now a border State.

Congressman GOOD is from Virginia. We have Congressman RALPH NORMAN here from South Carolina. I am from Colorado. But someone who has a front seat view here, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ROY), this has been a really hot topic for him, and rightfully so.

Republicans and Democrats from both Houses of Congress have said that they don't support ending title 42, yet no legislation or action has been taken to reinstate it. What are your thoughts on that?

Mr. ROY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman from Colorado (Mrs. BOEBERT), and I thank all colleagues from the House Freedom Caucus for joining me here on the floor.

I thank my friend from Virginia, who joined me down in Del Rio, Texas, just a month ago, where we saw firsthand what is actually happening in real-time on the border, something that we know and, unfortunately, my colleagues on this side of the aisle refuse to acknowledge and refuse to do anything about.

Now, as you all know, one of our Freedom Caucus colleagues from New Mexico (Ms. HERRELL) filed, 14 months ago, a piece of legislation to require that title 42 be enforced at our border.

For those listening at home, title 42 is our power as a country to stop communicable diseases and people with communicable diseases from coming across our border. It is an important tool that President Trump and his administration put in place in the last year of his administration to ensure that we stop the flow of people across the border from inundating and overwhelming Border Patrol.

We knew this was coming. Fourteen months ago, we knew this was coming. A year ago, we came together. I filed a discharge petition as part of this team to say that we can force a vote on the floor of the House.

Well, the Speaker of the House refuses to bring to a vote a measure to enforce title 42. Everybody listening at home, the Speaker of the House, who has control of the floor, refuses to bring forward a vote on title 42 to require enforcement of the border. We are trying to change that.

We have almost every single Republican, I think save one, who has filed that, 210 signatures. We need 218. Where are my colleagues on the other side of the aisle? Where are those from border States?

Mr. Speaker, we have zero Democrats on that title 42 discharge position.

Now, as the gentlewoman from Colorado (Mrs. BOEBERT) noted, there are at least four noteworthy United States Senators who are Democrats who said we should not end title 42: Mr. TESTER, Mr. MANCHIN, Ms. SINEMA, and Mr. KELLY. Those four Senators have said we should not end title 42.

Now, I will wrap up and pass it to someone else just to say this: How many dead migrants is enough? How many dead Americans from fentanyl poisoning is enough? How much money in the pockets of dangerous cartels is enough? How many bullets need to fly at the border? How many homes need to be destroyed? How many cars need to be wrecked?

How many DPS agents need to be killed or endangered? How many people need to be harmed before this administration will do its job? How many criminals need to be let off in the United States and not prosecuted under ICE? When are we going to change this and actually secure our country?

Those are my questions for Secretary Mayorkas.

Mrs. BOEBERT. Mr. Speaker, we talk about this issue regularly in our meetings with the House Freedom Caucus, and one question that comes up regularly is, where is KAMALA HARRIS? Where is the border czar in this? Are there any plans for her to visit the southern border?

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Wisconsin.

Mr. TIFFANY. Mr. Speaker, KAMALA who? In all seriousness, it is unfortunate that Vice President HARRIS has not fulfilled her mission to be the border czar. It is awful.

Did you see the news today in regard to the free phones that the White House Press Secretary talked about today, free phones for migrants?

Think about it. I was down in Panama almost a year ago and went to the Darien Gap. Who did I see? IOM, the International Organization for Migration. Where do they get their money? They get a lot of their money from the United Nations. Who puts the most money into the United Nations? Yes, Americans do.

Back home on the farm, we used to refer to this as—my colleague from Texas just said how many, how many? I would say to you also: How much?

We used to refer to it as eating your seed corn. Americans pay an international organization to send debit cards for these people to take themselves up the Panama pipeline all the way to the southern border. Then you pay for a bus ride to get them to the airport. Then you pay for an airline ride: Philadelphia, Baltimore, wherever. They are going around the country.

Then you pay for the education, the free education they are going to get.

You pay for the healthcare.

That is what you Americans are paying for every single day for over 2 million people, and it is about to get worse.

To highlight how it is going to get worse and how obtuse this side of the aisle is, we are sitting in the Committee on the Judiciary today creating another loophole in the Virgin Islands. There is another loophole that is being created for visa waiver privileges, something that we saw in the Mariana Islands that was created about a decade ago under the Obama administration where they allowed parole for people to be able to come in, Chinese nationals.

There were maybe, like, eight births that were being done on those islands prior to this change that was quietly made in the law. It accelerated to—there were, like, 600 births of Chinese nationals that happened in the Mariana Islands as a result of this loophole.

If you want to see the reporting on it, The Wall Street Journal did an excellent job. It was one of our intelligence agencies in 2017 that really dug into this.

Yes, here is this huge loophole, and they want to now create it in the Virgin Islands. That is what we are voting on tonight in the Committee on the Judiciary.

They want to create another loophole, and they are, like, oh, it will only be a few people. Do the American people believe that?

Mr. ROY. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate my fellow border Stater being down here. You hold those Mounties back up there, okay? Keep them at bay.

Mr. TIFFANY. Mr. Speaker, every State is a border State, including my State of Wisconsin.

Mr. NORMAN. Mr. Speaker, it is not just the phones. What about the gas cards that are in the latest budget that the President had? What about that?

Just to put some context to this, Texas, I think you have about 15 to 20 million people. South Carolina has 5.3 million. That is the number that is going to be coming into this country in the next 4 months if the pace continues.

It is a travesty. It is something that Americans have to be aware of and stop. God help us all if they do exactly what was said. To fund all of this, America is at the breaking point.

Mr. TIFFANY. Mr. Speaker, so if I may extend my point a little bit. Think about it. Tonight, we are debating, in the Judiciary Committee, creating another loophole. It shows how unserious they are, how they do not have the interests of the American people at hand. They want to create another loophole, and this one is in the Virgin Islands, not in the South Pacific.

People are going to be able to be funneled in here by the thousands as a result of that loophole—just another way to bring people into this country to compete against hardworking Americans who have to not only try to make their way up the scale, the economic ladder here in America, but now, with inflation, they have to fight that, too.

Mrs. BOEBERT. Mr. Speaker, we are wrapping up here. We have 30 seconds.

Mr. Speaker, I ask the chairman for his final thoughts.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Speaker, my final thoughts are that there are Democrats that are decrying this. We can do something about it, and they can do something about it right here in this House. They can walk right down there to the well and sign this discharge petition. We don't need all of them; we need eight of them.

Bring the bill up. If they don't believe we ought to continue to try and secure our border with title 42, they can vote against it, but let us have a vote.

We are just asking for a vote. Let's see what the vote count is, and then the American people will know who stands with them and who stands for a wide-open border because that is what we are going to have. Think about that.

To the gentlewoman from Colorado (Mrs. BOEBERT), we certainly appreciate her putting this together for us and providing the opportunity to come and talk on behalf of our constituents that are very concerned about this.

Mr. TIFFANY. Mr. Speaker, the chairman could lead the way. He could lead the way.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Speaker, I would only add that I have seen some reports that there are a number of Democrats in the Senate joining with Republicans in the Senate to try to do something about this. I hope that is true.

But my little warning to that is, I keep hearing words about, well, let's keep title 42 in place until we see a plan. Look, I don't want one of these plans full of words, okay? I want the border secure. I want title 42 enforced. That ought to be the metric that we are gauging everything by.

□ 2100

Mr. GOOD of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, it is simple. Complete the wall. End catch and release. Reinstate remain in Mexico and title 42. Require E-verify. Take amnesty off the table.

Speaker Mrvan, we would love to have you on that petition.

Mr. NORMAN. Mr. Speaker, sign the petition.

Folks, have the courage to call your Congressman. And, folks, it is time, as Winston Churchill said: "Sometimes doing your best is not good enough." We have to do what is required to close this border.

Mrs. BOEBERT. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleagues from the House Freedom Caucus for joining me here on the House floor tonight to discuss this issue.

I would encourage all Members of Congress—both bodies, the House and Senate—to talk to a Border Patrol agent. Ask them about the policies that they need to secure our southern border. I guarantee you, they won't be telling you they need funding or personnel or even infrastructure. They

just need the policy to do their jobs so they can go home feeling like they have accomplished something and have protected American citizens.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

#### ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

Cheryl L. Johnson, Clerk of the House, reported and found truly enrolled a bill of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 3197. An act to direct the Secretary of the Interior to convey to the City of Eunice, Louisiana, certain Federal land in Louisiana, and for other purposes.

#### SENATE ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

The Speaker announced her signature to enrolled bills of the Senate of the following titles:

S. 233.—An act to designate the Rocksprings Station of the U.S. Border Patrol located on West Main Street in Rocksprings, Texas, as the “Donna M. Doss Border Patrol Station”.

S. 1226.—An act to designate the United States courthouse located at 1501 North 6th Street in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, as the “Sylvia H. Rambo United States Courthouse”, and for other purposes.

S. 2126.—An act to designate the Federal Office Building located at 308 W. 21st Street in Cheyenne, Wyoming, as the “Louisa Swain Federal Office Building”, and for other purposes.

S. 2629.—An act to establish cybercrime reporting mechanisms, and for other purposes.

#### ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 11(b) of House Resolution 188, the House stands adjourned until 9 a.m. tomorrow.

Thereupon (at 9 o'clock and 1 minute p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Thursday, April 7, 2022, at 9 a.m.

#### EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

EC-3719. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-361, “Closing of Public Streets and Alleys Adjacent to Squares 3039, 3040, and 3043 Clarification Temporary Amendment Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-3720. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-362, “Department of Human Services Emergency Powers Temporary Amendment Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-3721. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-363, “Limited Coronavirus Procurement Second Extension Temporary Amendment Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87

Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-3722. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-364, “Medical Marijuana Patient Access Extension Temporary Amendment Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-3723. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-365, “Tenant Payment Plan Phasing Temporary Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-3724. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-366, “Department of Insurance, Securities and Banking Emergency Powers Temporary Amendment Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-3725. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-367, “East Capitol Gateway Eminent Domain Authority Temporary Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-3726. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-357, “Eviction Recording Sealing Authority and Fairness in Renting Amendment Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-3727. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-358, “Armstead Barnett Way Designation Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-3728. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-359, “Developmental Disability Eligibility Reform Amendment Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-3729. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-360, “Grandparent and Close Relative Caregivers Program Amendment Act of 2022”, pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. DEFAZIO: Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. H.R. 1951. A bill to increase the Federal share provided under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act for a certain time frame during fiscal year 2020; with amendments (Rept. 117-289). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. MORELLE: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 1033. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3807) to amend the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 to increase appropriations to the Restaurant Revitalization Fund, and for other purposes (Rept. 117-290). Referred to the House Calendar.

#### PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. LUCAS:

H.R. 7411. A bill to direct certain financial regulators to exclude representatives of the People's Republic of China from certain banking organizations upon notice of certain threats or danger, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services, and in addition to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. MOONEY (for himself, Mr. WILLIAMS of Texas, Mr. KUSTOFF, Mr. HILL, Mr. DAVIDSON, Mr. BUDD, Mr. ROSE, Mr. HUIZENGA, Mr. POSEY, Mr. TIMMONS, Mr. EMMER, Mr. HOLLINGSWORTH, Mrs. WAGNER, and Mr. LUETKEMEYER):

H.R. 7412. A bill to enhance rulemaking requirements for the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. POSEY:

H.R. 7413. A bill to authorize States to request that the Secretary of Homeland Security enforce the Immigration and Nationality Act, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. HUFFMAN (for himself, Mr. CASE, and Mr. GROTHMAN):

H.R. 7414. A bill to amend chapter 73 of title 38, United States Code, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish a rural recruitment office within the Department of Veterans Affairs to recruit health care professionals, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. MASSIE (for himself, Mrs. BOEBERT, Mr. BIGGS, Mr. BROOKS, Mr. CLYDE, Mr. COMER, Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. GAETZ, Mr. GOHMERT, Mr. GOSAR, Mrs. GREENE of Georgia, Mr. HICE of Georgia, Mr. LAMALFA, Mr. McCLINTOCK, Mr. MOONEY, Mr. ROSENDALE, Mr. ROY, and Mrs. MILLER of Illinois):

H.R. 7415. A bill to repeal the Gun-Free School Zones Act of 1990 and amendments to that Act; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Ms. BASS (for herself, Ms. SCANLON, Mrs. CHERFILUS-McCORMICK, Mrs. HAYES, and Mrs. LAWRENCE):

H.R. 7416. A bill to amend parts B and E of title IV of the Social Security Act to remove barriers and encourage kinship guardianship, foster, or adoptive placements for children who cannot be safely cared for in their own homes, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mrs. BICE of Oklahoma (for herself, Mr. HERN, Mr. MULLIN, Mr. COLE, and Mr. LUCAS):

H.R. 7417. A bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 120 East Oak Avenue in Seminole, Oklahoma, as the “Sergeant Bret D. Eisenhower Memorial Post Office Building”; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Mr. CAWTHORN:

H.R. 7418. A bill to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to exclude certain individuals and entities from participation in Medicare and State health programs that discriminate on the basis of a covered statement; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.