

pass, but they haven't been in the Senate during this period of our divisiveness.

The senior Senator from New York, the Democratic leader, has refused to let this bill pass without a Broadway-scale production of other unrelated legislation. Back in November, I came to the floor to ask that this bill be passed by unanimous consent—again, since it had passed unanimously out of the Judiciary Committee, and we had hotlined the bill to see if there were any other objections in the Senate and found none.

Well, in the month that followed, after the senior Senator from New York objected to passing that bill, I didn't hear a single word from the Senator who had concerns about it, but when I came to the floor to ask that the bill be passed again, the senior Senator from New York, the Democratic leader, blocked it again. He doesn't think it is bad policy. In fact, he admitted it is a good bill. He is not objecting to it because it is somehow a partisan bill that hasn't gone through the regular order or would increase the national debt. As I said, none of these things are true of this legislation.

The only reason the senior Senator from New York, the Democratic leader, objected to this bill is because he is engaging in the kind of politics and gamesmanship that really gives Washington, DC, a bad name. It is true that my name, like a third of the Senators' names, will be on the ballot in November, and Senator SCHUMER, apparently, is willing to punish his constituents in New York State by not allowing this bill to pass because he wants to make sure that nobody whose name is on the ballot, who happens to be a Republican, can claim any sort of advantage by getting a win, legislatively.

Well, unfortunately, while he is playing those sort of politics and games, his own constituents are being harmed, and the American people are being deprived of the benefits of this bipartisan legislation. We saw this mentality during the President's impeachment trial too. We saw how the Democratic leader staged vote after vote—not because he felt like he had a shot at getting a conviction of President Trump and a removal but strictly to make Senators whose names were going to be on the ballot in 2020 look bad. He wanted to get the best 30-second TV spot he could possibly get against all Republican Senators running in 2020.

He knew he was going to lose on the main impeachment vote, so he focused on the one thing that has eluded him for many years, and that is, his aspiration to become the next majority leader. Now, in his bid to become the next majority leader, our colleague from New York is blocking a bill that would bring down drug prices not only in the State of Texas but in New York as well and every other State around the country.

I wonder what the Senator's constituents in New York are telling him

about blocking bipartisan legislation that would actually benefit them. This is at the same time that they are trying to figure out how do they pay the higher copay or deductible for their prescriptions at the pharmacy. We are not even a month and a half into the new year, and drug prices are already on the rise, with an average increase of 6 percent. HUMIRA, which I mentioned earlier, has already gone up 7.4 percent.

So it is clear to me that this problem is not going to go away, and the time to act is now. I would encourage the Democratic leader to stop blocking the bill that his conference Member Senator BLUMENTHAL of Connecticut and I have introduced, so we can address these rising costs and provide some much needed relief for our constituents.

My constituents have asked me: What does Congress intend to do between now and the election? I usually mention: Well, we can deal with the prescription drugs, and we can help bring down the out-of-pocket costs. Hopefully, we can pass a highway infrastructure bill that we are working on, one that passed unanimously out of the Environment and Public Works Committee under the leadership of Senators BARRASSO and CARPER, but the third thing I think we ought to be able to do—and really it is a shame it has taken this long to act—is we need to take actions to confront the rising healthcare risks associated with e-cigarettes.

E-CIGARETTES

In December, I visited the University of North Texas Health Science Center in Fort Worth to learn more about the danger of e-cigarettes, particularly among adolescents. I heard from a young Texan named Anna Carey, who used to be among the many students at her high school using e-cigarettes. Like so many young people across the country, she became addicted. That is the point. E-cigarettes are not harmless. They deliver nicotine, which is an addictive drug, into your body, and that is the point of the e-cigarette.

The one advantage it does have over tobacco is you don't have to burn it, which also produces carcinogenic by-products of combustion, but like so many people in the country, Anna became addicted, and it didn't take her long to experience severe health consequences as a result of the use of this product.

The once active 16-year-old became extremely lethargic and would experience random and severe chest pain. Eventually, she was admitted to Cook Children's Hospital in Fort Worth and diagnosed with chemical-induced pneumonia in both her lungs. She said that was her wake-up call. Anna quit using e-cigarettes, and I am glad to report she has made a full recovery. Others have not been so lucky.

She now shares her story in an effort to raise awareness and prevent other young people her age from going down the same path, but we can't let young

people like Anna lead this fight alone. We need to do more in Washington to do our job. This has been a high priority for Members on both sides of the aisle. One of our colleagues on the HELP Committee, the Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee, continues to work to address this health challenge.

The most effective way to prevent adolescents from facing the harmful consequences of these devices is to stop them from getting addicted in the first place. A recent survey found that one-third of underage e-cigarette users bought them over the internet, where it is easy to skirt the age requirements. That has to change. It has already changed for tobacco. We just want to apply the same standard to e-cigarettes.

I have introduced a bill with the Senator from California, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, to protect our children from becoming addicted to the nicotine produced by e-cigarettes, and I hope we will be able to make progress on this legislation soon.

As I said, e-cigarettes and tobacco are on totally different playing fields when it comes to online purchases. For traditional cigarettes, there are clear guardrails in place to prevent minors from using online purchases to skirt the age requirements. At the time of delivery, the buyer has to sign and show an ID proving their age, which just makes sense. You are required to show an ID when you purchase cigarettes at a gas station or convenience store, and online purchases should be the same, but in the case of e-cigarettes, it is different. Anyone, no matter how old or young, can go online and buy e-cigarettes and have them delivered to their front door without the legal requirement of an ID, and you better believe that too many young people are taking advantage of that loophole without really fully understanding the dangers they are subjecting themselves to.

A recent survey found that about one-third of underage e-cigarette users bought them online. This legislation would change that. It wouldn't add additional requirements. It would simply apply the same requirements for the online sale of traditional cigarettes to e-cigarettes.

As I said, this bill has broad bipartisan support, as you think it would. So I am hopeful we can pass it and get it to the President's desk soon so we can address this wave of addiction among our young people.

With impeachment in the rearview mirror, I hope the Senate will come together and cross these critical items off of our to-do list. Our constituents, the American people, will benefit.

We have a lot of work to do and a lot of work we can and should get done between now and the election in November, so I hope we will be able to make some progress.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. HAWLEY. I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. LANKFORD). Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNIZING THE KANSAS CITY CHIEFS

Mr. HAWLEY. Mr. President, it is my privilege to rise and congratulate the Kansas City Chiefs on their victory over the 49ers in Super Bowl LTV last week in Miami, FL.

With their victory, the Chiefs earned their second Super Bowl championship and their first since 1970. I would just note, as someone who was born just about 10 years after that first Super Bowl, I have literally been waiting my whole life for this, and, man, it is darn good.

This victory was Coach Andy Reid's 222nd career win and, of course, his first Super Bowl title in his Hall of Fame career.

The Chiefs were led by quarterback Patrick Mahomes. If you don't know who Patrick Mahomes is, I don't know where you have been for the last couple of years. Mahomes completed 26 of 42 passes for 286 yards and 2 touchdowns. He rushed nine times for 29 yards and another touchdown, and he was named the game's Most Valuable Player.

Mahomes is the youngest player in the history of the NFL to win both the NFL MVP award and a Super Bowl title. Mahomes' play in the Super Bowl was the culmination of a historic play-off run, full of memorable moments, none more iconic than the "scamper down the sideline" for a touchdown to take the lead against the Tennessee Titans in the AFC championship game.

It takes a team to win a Super Bowl, and everyone on this team did his part. Running back Damien Williams had 17 carries for 104 yards and 1 touchdown, plus 4 catches for 29 yards and a touchdown. Tight end Travis Kelce added six receptions for 43 yards and one touchdown. Wide receiver Tyreek Hill had nine receptions for 105 yards, including that crucial 44-yard reception on third down with fewer than 7 minutes remaining in the fourth quarter. And wide receiver Sammy Watkins added another five catches for 98 yards.

The defense and special teams did their part, too. Bashaud Breeland led the Chiefs with seven tackles and one interception. Defensive tackle Chris Jones was a disruptive force, batting down three passes from 49ers quarterback Jimmy Garoppolo. Defensive end Frank Clark sacked Garoppolo on fourth and 10 with fewer than 2 minutes remaining to seal the victory—maybe my favorite play of the game. And Harrison Butker was perfect, making one field goal and four extra points. The entire Chiefs roster contributed to this historic victory.

I ask unanimous consent that all of their names be listed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

The entire Chiefs roster contributed to the Super Bowl victory, including Nick Allegretti, Jackson Barton, Blake Bell, Bashaud Breeland, Alex Brown, Harrison Butker, Morris Claiborne, Frank Clark, Dustin Colquitt, Laurent Duvernay-Tardif, Cam Erving, Rashad Fenton, Eric Fisher, Kendall Fuller, Mecole Hardman, Demone Harris, Chad Henne, Tyreek Hill, Anthony Hitchens, Ryan Hunter, Chris Jones, Travis Kelce, Tanoh Kpassagnon, Darron Lee, Jordan Lucas, Patrick Mahomes, Tyrann Mathieu, LeSean McCoy, Matt Moore, Ben Niemann, Derrick Nnadi, Dorian O'Daniel, Mike Pennel, Byron Pringle, Reggie Ragland, Austin Reiter, Demarcus Robinson, Khalel Saunders, Mitchell Schwartz, Anthony Sherman, Daniel Sorensen, Terrell Suggs, Darwin Thompson, Charvarius Ward, Sammy Watkins, Armani Watts, Damien Williams, Xavier Williams, James Winchester, Stefen Wisniewski, Andrew Wylie, and Deon Yelder.

Punter Dustin Colquitt, the longest tenured Chief and the team's nominee for Walter Payton NFL Man of the Year, earned his first Super Bowl championship in his 15th season.

Rookie kick returner Mecole Hardman, tight end Travis Kelce, safety Tyrann Mathieu, and right tackle Mitchell Schwartz were named to the Associated Press All-Pro team for the 2019 season.

Mr. HAWLEY. If I could just add a word about the Hunt family, who own the Chiefs and have led the Chiefs for decades now, Lamar Hunt founded the Chiefs more than six decades ago and helped shape the National Football League, including by coining the phrase "Super Bowl." Those were his words. It was his idea. His legacy continues today with Clark and Tavia Hunt, who are remarkable people.

The entire Hunt family deserves great credit for their unwavering commitment to Kansas City, the State of Missouri, and the Chiefs organization, which they lead with tremendous poise, tremendous integrity, and tremendous honor.

Congratulations to the Kansas City Chiefs, to their employees, to the hundreds of thousands—maybe millions—of loyal fans out there, to Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Clark Hunt, to President Mark Donovan, General Manager Brett Veach, Coach Reid, and his staff, trainers, and equipment managers, all of whom contributed to this great victory. They have people all over the world asking "how 'bout those Chiefs?"

Thank you.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader is recognized.

BUDGET PROPOSAL

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, today President Trump unveiled his budget proposal for fiscal year 2021. For the third year in a row, the President's budget puts a magnifying glass on the endemic, pervasive hypocrisy of this administration.

He says one thing in the State of the Union and does the opposite in his budget. But the budget is what he does. The State of the Union is just what he says. So, 1 week removed from the State of the Union Address, President Trump's budget doublecrosses the American workers and middle-class families he promised to help in that speech.

Let's take healthcare. Candidate Trump promised to protect the social safety net programs like Medicare and Medicaid, unlike other Republicans. Once in office, President Trump has proposed cutting—cutting—Medicare and Medicaid every year he sent us a budget. Once again, the President proposed steep cuts to Medicaid, as well as onerous new qualification requirements, policies that would take coverage away from millions.

Medicaid affects poor people, but it affects a whole lot of middle class people whose parents are in nursing homes and healthcare facilities. Dramatic cuts to Medicaid hurt large, large numbers of Americans, both poor and middle class.

On top of that, the budget proposes cutting funding for the Department of Health and Human Services by 9 percent. That is the Department in charge of the coronavirus. He is cutting the budget. Then, when something bad happens, he will blame somebody else. That is his MO.

The President stood in front of the Nation and promised his administration would protect Americans with preexisting conditions. It was a lie when he said it, and his budget makes that very, very clear. If the President's budget became reality, hundreds of billions of dollars would be taken away from healthcare services, and tens of millions of Americans would see their coverage disappear, including millions with preexisting conditions.

There is one term that appears nowhere in the President's budget. It is called "climate change." One of the greatest challenges of our time, the No. 1 threat facing our planet, climate change is not mentioned once among the hundreds of pages of the President's budget, except it does propose cutting the Environmental Protection Agency by 26 percent—more than a quarter.

The Earth is on fire. Antarctica had a 64-degree record temperature this week. What is the President's response? He douses the fire with the lighter fluid of weakened pollution regulations and then proposes cutting the fire department.

He cares about the oil companies. Lots of those Big Oil wildcatters send him tons of money. He doesn't care