

protesters, some of them children, many of them families, there in the public park to peacefully protest were met with rubber bullets and tear gas. This has no place in American society or any democracy worthy of the name. The President must cease his behavior.

The images from last night should disturb all of us and must be condemned by the United States Senate. They cannot go unanswered, less the President be encouraged to do even greater abuse because he has no self-restraint.

In a few minutes, I will ask the Senate's consent to pass a simple resolution that says three things: first, that the constitutional rights of Americans must be respected; second, that violence and looting are unlawful and unacceptable; and, third, that Congress condemns the order to have Federal officers use gas and rubber bullets on peaceful protesters.

This resolution is not a substitute for critical law enforcement and racial justice reforms that are badly needed, but this unconstitutional action by this lawless President requires a response from this body.

I hope all Senators will support this resolution. Democrats are outraged. Republicans should be outraged as well. For my friends on the other side who claim they have not seen the events of last night, I suggest you find a moment and turn on the television.

Our Republican colleagues cannot be objecting to our resolution on the false grounds that it doesn't reject violence. It does. Let me read you the words again in the resolution: "Violence and looting are unlawful, unacceptable, and contrary to the purpose of peaceful protest." It is right there in the resolution.

What other reason would any Republican Senator have to object to the things in this resolution? If a Senator objects, they should be asked which of these things do they disagree with. Do they believe Americans do not have the constitutional right to exercise the freedom of speech, the freedom of assembly, and the right to petition their government? Do they believe Americans do not have the right to peacefully protest? Do they disagree with the statement that violence and looting are unlawful and unacceptable, or do they support the President's use of tear gas against people, including families, who are peacefully protesting in a public park? Which is it?

Any objector should explain why the simple resolution I offer today is so unacceptable to them.

Mr. President, as if in legislative session, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of a concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the constitutional rights of Americans must be respected; that violence and looting are unlawful and unacceptable; and that Congress condemns the President for ordering Federal officers to use gas and rubber bullets against

peaceful protesters in Lafayette Square in Washington, DC, on June 1, 2020; that the concurrent resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

The majority leader.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, first and foremost, I am a First Amendment absolutist. The right to peaceful protest is absolutely sacrosanct. It is a core American liberty. When peaceful demonstrations occur within the bounds of the law and with respect to the needs of law enforcement, leadership at every level has an obligation to respect and honor them.

Our Nation must not turn a deaf ear to the anger, pain, or frustration of Black Americans. Our Nation needs to hear them. There is no question that the killing of George Floyd was horrific. In my view, it absolutely appears to have been a heinous act of criminal violence. It is totally unacceptable that Mr. Floyd is dead. There is no doubt that residual racism continues to be a stain on our country. We need to do more to address it.

Over the last few days, we have seen peaceful protests hijacked on a nightly basis into a rolling series of riots that engulfed great American cities in wanton destruction and violent crime.

These are the two issues the American people are focused on: justice for Black Americans in the face of unjust violence and peace for our country in the face of looting, riots, and domestic terror. Those are the two issues that Americans want addressed: racial justice and ending riots.

Unfortunately, this resolution from my friend the Democratic leader does not address either one of them. Instead, it just indulges in the myopic obsession with President Trump that has come to define the Democratic side of the aisle. It pays more attention to the precise ways that Federal law enforcement protects Presidential movements around the White House than to the fact that great American cities, including my colleague's beloved New York, have been consumed by rioting, looting, and violence against police for several nights in a row, with no end in sight.

Outside of the Washington, DC, bubble, there is no universe where Americans think Democrats' obsession with condemning President Trump is a more urgent priority than ending the riots or advancing racial justice. There is no universe in which the dynamics of Lafayette Park before the President seeks to exit the White House is a more urgent national priority than the shattered glass, destroyed businesses, and brutal attacks on law enforcement that are happening nationwide in places like Lafayette Street in New York City.

I will object to my distinguished colleague's thin resolution and will offer

something more full-throated in its place.

My resolution would condemn a long pattern of unjust police violence toward Black Americans. It would champion the First Amendment and praise the peaceful protests that followed Mr. Floyd's death. It would clearly condemn the violent rioting that has paralyzed places like New York City and insist that local authorities finally get serious about protecting the innocent.

I hope my distinguished colleague will not object. America is united and outraged at the death of Mr. Floyd, and I hope we can unite to condemn these senseless riots and move forward together as one Nation. Therefore, I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST—S. RES. 601

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, as in if legislative session, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 601 submitted earlier today. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. SCHUMER. Reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, it is very simple why the Republican leader objected to our resolution and offers this one instead. It is because they do not want to condemn what the President did, though every fair-minded American of any political party would.

We certainly should condemn violence. Let me repeat: This resolution condemns violence. But it is insufficient, in the light of what happened yesterday, to just condemn violence and not condemn what the President did as well, so I will object to my colleague's resolution.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

VOTE ON MILLER NOMINATION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, all postcloture time is expired.

The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Miller nomination?

Mr. PORTMAN. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER), the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. HOEVEN), and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS).

Further, if present and voting, the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. HOEVEN) would have voted "yea."

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. SCHATZ), the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW), the Senator from Montana (Mr. TESTER), and the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. WHITEHOUSE) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 51, nays 40, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 107 Ex.]

YEAS—51

Alexander	Fischer	Paul
Barrasso	Gardner	Perdue
Blackburn	Graham	Portman
Blunt	Grassley	Risch
Boozman	Hawley	Roberts
Braun	Hyde-Smith	Romney
Burr	Inhofe	Rubio
Capito	Johnson	Sasse
Cassidy	Jones	Scott (FL)
Collins	Kennedy	Scott (SC)
Cornyn	Lankford	Shelby
Cotton	Lee	Sullivan
Crapo	Loeffler	Thune
Cruz	McConnell	Tillis
Daines	McSally	Toomey
Enzi	Moran	Wicker
Ernst	Murkowski	Young

NAYS—40

Baldwin	Gillibrand	Peters
Bennet	Harris	Reed
Blumenthal	Hassan	Rosen
Booker	Heinrich	Schumer
Brown	Hirono	Shaheen
Cantwell	Kaine	Sinema
Cardin	King	Smith
Carper	Klobuchar	Udall
Casey	Leahy	Van Hollen
Coons	Manchin	Warner
Cortez Masto	Menendez	Warren
Duckworth	Merkley	Wyden
Durbin	Murphy	
Feinstein	Murray	

NOT VOTING—9

Cramer	Rounds	Stabenow
Hoever	Sanders	Tester
Markey	Schatz	Whitehouse

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

The Senate majority leader.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that notwithstanding the provisions of rule XXII, the cloture motions with respect to the Anderson and Tipton nominations ripen at 12 noon tomorrow; I further ask unanimous consent that if cloture is invoked on the Anderson nomination, the postcloture time expire at 2:15 tomorrow; further I ask unanimous consent that if cloture is invoked on the Tipton nomination, the postcloture expire at 4:30 p.m. tomorrow; finally, if either of the nominations are confirmed, the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table and the President be immediately notified of the Senate's actions.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to legislative session for a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

REMEMBERING PETER M. PINO

Mr. HEINRICH. Madam President, it is an honor to recognize the enormously impactful life of Governor Peter M. Pino. The Pueblo of Zia, and New Mexico as a whole, have lost one of our greatest leaders.

Peter M. Pino devoted his entire life to serving the people of the Pueblo of Zia. For more than four decades, he served the Pueblo of Zia as Governor, War Chief, Tribal council member, Tribal administrator, and treasurer. He was also a traditional spiritual leader and held a lifetime appointment as one of the Pueblo's Keeper of Songs. As a traditional artist, Governor Pino employed techniques passed down to him by his Puebloan ancestors to craft works in rock, tan deer hides, and make moccasins, bows, arrows, digging sticks, rabbit sticks, and bone tools.

He also played an instrumental role in the Pueblo's ongoing effort to repatriate and protect a treasured part of Zia's heritage and cultural property, its sacred sun symbol. Almost a century after the State of New Mexico appropriated this sacred symbol on its flag, Pino helped lead the Pueblo's actions to seek compensation, public education and engagement, and permission for commercial uses. Thanks to this work, Eastern New Mexico University changed the name of its women's athletic teams, and multiple companies have voluntarily sought permission and advice from the Pueblo on how they will depict the symbol and contributed proceeds from sales to a scholarship fund for Zia youth.

Governor Pino also used his deep historical and archaeological knowledge to serve on boards and committees that advised the Crow Canyon Archaeological Center, Mesa Verde National Park, and the Native American Rights Fund. Governor Pino also served as vice chair of the New Mexico Office of Indian Affairs and was the first Native American to serve on the New Mexico Game and Fish Commission.

On a personal note, Governor Pino was one of my first mentors in public service in New Mexico and dear friend. He shared traditional knowledge and perspectives with me that helped form the foundation of my respect for Tribal governments, religions, and culture. I am heartbroken that I did not spend more time learning directly from Governor Pino because his generosity knew no bounds. I was, however, fortunate enough to attend a number of feast

days at the Pueblo of Zia and left the Pino home with a tighter belt and great appreciation for the delicious and healthy traditional food that Peter and his wife Stella embraced.

When I began advocating for land conservation in New Mexico, Governor Pino showed me how important sacred sites and cultural landscapes are to the Tribal nations in our State. He was also an example of statesmanship to whom I will always look. In fact, I was able to travel to Washington, DC, with Governor Pino when I was just a city councilor. Observing how he interacted with my State's congressional delegation was a very formative experience.

Watching him work to protect the Ojito Wilderness and reclaim lands from the Bureau of Land Management that contained cultural sites also taught me how critical it is for Tribes to have a meaningful seat at the table in conservation and land management decisions.

My thoughts are with Governor Pino's family and the Pueblo of Zia during this time of mourning. His legacy will never be forgotten.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

RECOGNIZING IDEAL ALUMINUM PRODUCTS, LLC

• Mr. RUBIO. Madam President, as chairman of the Senate Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship, each week I recognize a small business that exemplifies the American entrepreneurial spirit at the heart of our country. It is my privilege to recognize a small business that is known for excellence in its industry, outstanding craftsmanship, and creating dignified work in their community. This week, it is my pleasure to recognize Ideal Aluminum Products, LLC of St. Augustine, FL, as the Senate Small Business of the Week.

In 2010, Michael Siegel and Doug Brady purchased Ideal Aluminum Products. Based in DeLand, FL, this fence, gate, and railing manufacturing company had recently gone out of business. Doug, an alumnus of the University of South Florida, had also worked with Michael at Ideal Gas, LLC. Together, the two planned to turn this new company around.

Over the next decade, Michael and Doug grew Ideal Aluminum into an industry leader. A favorable business environment and proximity to I-95 spurred Ideal Aluminum's relocation to St. Augustine in 2015. Their success as a local manufacturer and commitment to job creation was honored by then-Governor Rick Scott, who issued a monthly job report at their facility in 2016.

Ideal Aluminum has been an ardent supporter of community development. In 2011, Ideal Aluminum manufactured, donated, and installed railings for ABC's "Extreme Makeover: Home Edition." They were recognized in 2015 by