

Res. 289, a resolution expressing the sense of the Senate that socialism poses a significant threat to freedom, liberty, and economic prosperity.

S. RES. 487

At the request of Mr. TILLIS, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 487, a resolution supporting the goals and ideals of Countering International Parental Child Abduction Month and expressing the sense of the Senate that Congress should raise awareness of the harm caused by international parental child abduction.

S. RES. 509

At the request of Mr. TOOMEY, the names of the Senator from Maine (Ms. COLLINS), the Senator from Alabama (Mr. JONES), the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN), the Senator from Arizona (Ms. SINEMA), the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN), the Senator from West Virginia (Mr. MANCHIN), the Senator from Arizona (Ms. MCSALLY), the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from California (Mrs. FEINSTEIN), the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Washington (Ms. CANTWELL), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. BLUNT) and the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. BLUMENTHAL) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 509, a resolution calling upon the United Nations Security Council to adopt a resolution on Iran that extends the dates by which Annex B restrictions under Resolution 2231 are currently set to expire.

AMENDMENT NO. 1334

At the request of Mr. MURPHY, the names of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. BLUMENTHAL) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKEY) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 1334 intended to be proposed to S. 2657, a bill to support innovation in advanced geothermal research and development, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 1335

At the request of Mr. MURPHY, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. BLUMENTHAL) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 1335 intended to be proposed to S. 2657, a bill to support innovation in advanced geothermal research and development, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 1337

At the request of Ms. HARRIS, the names of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. BOOKER), the Senator from Nevada (Ms. CORTEZ MASTO), the Senator from Oregon (Mr. WYDEN) and the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. HEINRICH) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 1337 intended to be proposed to S. 2657, a bill to support innovation in advanced geothermal research and development, and for other purposes.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 526—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT THE INTERNATIONAL OLYMPIC COMMITTEE SHOULD REBID THE 2022 WINTER OLYMPIC GAMES TO BE HOSTED BY A COUNTRY THAT RECOGNIZES AND RESPECTS HUMAN RIGHTS

Mr. SCOTT of Florida (for himself, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. COTTON, Mr. YOUNG, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. RUBIO, Ms. MCSALLY, Mrs. LOEFFLER, and Mr. JONES) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 526

Whereas the International Olympic Committee has announced Beijing, People's Republic of China, as the host city of the 2022 Winter Olympic Games;

Whereas the Olympic charter states that the goal of Olympism is to promote "a peaceful society concerned with the preservation of human dignity";

Whereas the 2019 Trafficking in Persons Report of the Department of State relating to the People's Republic of China indicates that—

(1) authorities in the People's Republic of China have arbitrarily detained more than 1,000,000 ethnic Muslims, including Uyghur, ethnic Kazakh, and Kyrgyz individuals, in as many as 1,200 "vocational training centers", which are internment camps designed to erase ethnic and religious identities;

(2) the national household registry system of the People's Republic of China restricts the freedom of rural inhabitants to legally change their workplace or residence, placing the internal migrant population of the People's Republic of China at high risk of forced labor in brick kilns, coal mines, and factories;

(3) the Government of the People's Republic of China subjects Christians and members of other religious groups to forced labor in brick kilns, food processing centers, and factories as part of detention for the purpose of ideological indoctrination; and

(4) the Government of the People's Republic of China provides financial incentives for companies to open factories near the internment camps, and local governments receive additional funds from the Government of the People's Republic of China for each inmate forced to work in an internment camp;

Whereas, in October 2019, Radio Free Asia reported that—

(1) the Government of the People's Republic of China, as part of its Pair Up and Become Family program, assigns male Han Chinese "relatives" to monitor the homes of Uyghur families in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) and to regularly sleep in the same beds as the wives of men detained in the internment camps of the region; and

(2) Uyghur individuals who protest hosting "relatives" or refuse to take part in study sessions or other activities with the officials in their homes are subject to additional restrictions and may face detention in the internment camps;

Whereas, in July 2019, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Iceland, Ireland, Japan, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United King-

dom jointly condemned the arbitrary detention and surveillance of Uyghur individuals and other minorities in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region;

Whereas, in June 2019, the Independent Tribunal Into Forced Organ Harvesting from Prisoners of Conscience in China of the China Tribunal found that—

(1) forced organ harvesting has been carried out for years throughout the People's Republic of China on a significant scale, and practitioners of Falun Gong have been the main source of organs; and

(2) the Government of the People's Republic of China has committed crimes against humanity with respect to Uyghur individuals and practitioners of Falun Gong;

Whereas the report of Freedom House entitled "Freedom in the World 2019" indicates that—

(1) women, ethnic and religious minorities, and the LGBT community in the People's Republic of China have no opportunity to gain meaningful political representation and are barred from advancing their interests outside the formal structures of the Communist Party of China;

(2) foreign journalists in the People's Republic of China were surveilled, harassed, physically abused, detained to prevent meetings with certain individuals, and had their visas withheld;

(3) hundreds of Falun Gong practitioners have recently received long prison terms, and many other individuals were arbitrarily detained in various "legal education" facilities, where they were tortured, sometimes fatally, until they abandoned their beliefs;

(4) limitations on due process in the People's Republic of China, including the excessive use of pretrial detention, are rampant, and an extended crackdown on human rights lawyers has weakened the access of defendants to independent legal counsel; and

(5) individuals attempting to petition the Government of the People's Republic of China with respect to grievances or injustices are routinely intercepted in their efforts to travel to Beijing, forcefully returned to their hometowns, or subjected to extralegal detention in "black jails", psychiatric institutions, and other sites at which they are at risk of abuse;

Whereas the annual report of the U.S. Congressional-Executive Commission on China for 2019 indicates that—

(1) the one-party authoritarian political system of the People's Republic of China deprives the people of the People's Republic of China of their right to meaningfully participate in electoral processes and public life generally;

(2) in 2019, the Government of the People's Republic of China detained and prosecuted individuals who criticized government officials and policies online and censored or distorted a range of news and information that the Government of the People's Republic of China considered "politically sensitive", including—

(A) the 30th anniversary of the Tiananmen Square massacre;

(B) human rights abuses in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region; and

(C) the protests in Hong Kong against proposed extradition legislation;

(3) Hong Kong authorities, under the influence of the Government of the People's Republic of China, violated fundamental freedoms of the people of Hong Kong, as articulated in the Basic Law, including the freedoms of expression, association, and assembly;

(4) the Government of the People's Republic of China has used propaganda, disinformation, and censorship in an attempt to shape reporting on the Hong Kong protests, attributing the protests to influence

by “foreign forces”, and threatening protesters in Hong Kong;

(5) officials of the Government of the People’s Republic of China and the Communist Party of China continue to abuse criminal law and police power to punish critics and “maintain stability” with the goal of perpetuating one-party rule, often targeting human rights advocates, religious believers, and ethnic minority groups; and

(6) the Government of the People’s Republic of China is likely committing crimes against humanity;

Whereas, before the 2008 Summer Olympics were held in Beijing, the Department of State Country Report on Human Rights Practices for 2006 reported that the Government of the People’s Republic of China practiced severe cultural and religious repression of minorities, especially of Uyghur individuals in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region, and according to the Department of State Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2018, such repression has intensified since the 2008 Summer Olympics in Beijing;

Whereas four Special Rapporteurs of the United Nations have urged the governing authorities in Hong Kong and the People’s Republic of China to ensure protestors in Hong Kong may fully exercise the right to peacefully assemble, including—

(1) the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression;

(2) the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders;

(3) the Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and of association; and

(4) the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment;

Whereas police in Hong Kong have arrested more than 6,000 individuals and fired more than 16,000 rounds of tear gas during the seven months of protests by people of Hong Kong seeking to uphold their liberties and the autonomy of Hong Kong, as articulated in the Basic Law of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People’s Republic of China (referred to in this preamble as the “Basic Law”);

Whereas the report of the Network of Chinese Human Rights Defenders entitled “Defending Rights in a ‘No Rights Zone’: Annual Report on the Situation of Human Rights Defenders in China (2018)” indicates that—

(1) authorities in the People’s Republic of China continue to charge Tibetans with “inciting separatism” for expressing political or religious dissent and impose heavy prison sentences on such individuals;

(2) the Government of the People’s Republic of China continues to implement its draconian 2017 cybersecurity law, which authorizes invasive cyber surveillance and provides broad authority to restrict and penalize online expression;

(3) the Government of the People’s Republic of China intends to have “full coverage, connectivity, and control” of the entire People’s Republic of China by police video surveillance by 2020; and

(4) the Government of the People’s Republic of China boldly retaliates against human rights advocates for their work upholding international standards and cooperating with the United Nations human rights mechanisms;

Whereas, in January 2020, the editorial board of *The Washington Post* questioned whether the People’s Republic of China should “be allowed to host the 2022 Winter Olympics in one city while running concentration camps in another”; and

Whereas the flagrant human rights abuses committed by the Government of the Peo-

ple’s Republic of China are inconsistent with Olympic values: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That—

(1) the Senate supports the values of Olympism and the principles of Team USA with respect to the protection of—

(A) the rights, safety, and well-being of athletes; and

(B) the integrity of sport; and

(2) it is the sense of the Senate that, consistent with the principles of the International Olympic Committee, unless the Government of the People’s Republic of China demonstrates significant progress in securing fundamental human rights, including the freedoms of religion, speech, movement, association, and assembly, by January 1, 2021, the International Olympic Committee should rebid the 2022 Winter Olympics to be hosted by a country that recognizes and respects human rights.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1345. Mr. COONS (for himself, Mr. MORAN, Ms. ERNST, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. KING, and Ms. SINEMA) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, to support innovation in advanced geothermal research and development, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1346. Mr. BLUMENTHAL (for himself and Mr. BURR) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1347. Mr. BLUMENTHAL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1348. Mr. WHITEHOUSE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1349. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1350. Mr. REED (for himself, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. JONES, Mr. MORAN, and Mrs. HYDE-SMITH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1351. Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, and Mr. BLUMENTHAL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1352. Ms. MCSALLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1353. Ms. MCSALLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1354. Ms. MCSALLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1355. Ms. MCSALLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1356. Mrs. FEINSTEIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1357. Mrs. FEINSTEIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1358. Mrs. FEINSTEIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her

to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1359. Mrs. FEINSTEIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1360. Mrs. FEINSTEIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1361. Mrs. FEINSTEIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1362. Mr. UDALL (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1363. Mr. UDALL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1364. Mr. UDALL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1365. Mr. UDALL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1366. Mr. UDALL (for himself, Mr. HEINRICH, Mr. BENNET, Ms. HARRIS, Mr. MARKEY, and Ms. STABENOW) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1367. Mrs. LOEFFLER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1368. Ms. STABENOW (for herself, Mr. BOOZMAN, Ms. BALDWIN, and Mr. CASSIDY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1369. Ms. STABENOW (for herself and Ms. HIRONO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1370. Ms. STABENOW (for herself and Ms. HIRONO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1371. Mr. DURBIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1372. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1373. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1374. Mrs. CAPITO (for herself, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. BARRASSO, and Mr. CRAMER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1375. Mr. WICKER (for himself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1376. Mr. WICKER (for himself, Mr. KAINE, and Mr. WARNER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1377. Ms. HASSAN (for herself and Ms. COLLINS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 2657, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1378. Ms. HASSAN (for herself and Ms. COLLINS) submitted an amendment intended