

about the comprehensive lead program is that it pays to remove lead service lines. This seems like less but calling it comprehensive certainly suggests more.

In addition, this amendment authorizes \$4.5 billion per year for both programs. Does this mean \$4.44 billion is supposed to go to the new, undefined comprehensive program and \$60 million to the existing defined lead reduction program?

Are they supposed to be treated equally?

On the question of funding, the amount authorized to be spent in 1 year is 300 percent more than the entire amount of Federal funding for major drinking water aid programs. It is actually about one-half of the EPA's entire annual budget.

The regular lead reduction program which was authorized at \$60 million per year and took 4 years to establish is now just starting to award funds. Since the comprehensive program is a separate program, we can expect this program to take longer to get going, but in reality, pushing this unprecedented level of funding out the door might be aspirational rather than realistic. That would be a shame for those communities who need it most.

Second, the amendment waives any requirements for matching funds from the water systems or communities that obtain them. On top of that, this amendment waives any requirement for any person to pay for replacement of their personally-owned portion of lead service lines, whereas the existing program waives this expense for low-income people. This means people who have the financial resources to afford their own replacements don't have to use them at all because the new comprehensive program will pick up the check for them. That is not very progressive. Compensating the wealthy for these replacements both now and in the future is an especially harsh consequence for U.S. taxpayers, but that is what this amendment does.

Flint was a failure at all levels, and it happened because of money in politics. The city of Flint wanted off Detroit water because they felt they were being gouged on their rates.

The city council set an artificial political deadline for transition that wasn't based on the engineering needs of the system's water chemistry.

The State cut the city slack because the city was in receivership and didn't pursue enforcement.

EPA was aware of the high-level readings but minimized their impact to avoid causing a panic and slowed-walked the legal response.

The biggest problem was that no one told the public.

Flint suffered because of that, and the people living in the most neglected areas of Flint suffered the most.

So while this amendment guarantees priority funding for cities and water utilities for low-income folks, this amendment does not mandate that

these households get their lead service lines replaced first or that they target the worst contamination. Let me repeat that. Under this amendment, you can be the reason your city or utility gets moved to the front of the line, but that city does not have to replace the poorest and most dangerous lead service lines.

This is another example of why we shouldn't stick safe drinking water amendments on a transportation bill. It bastardizes the process and creates poor public policy like this amendment.

I ask for a "no" vote.

In fact, Chairman DEFAZIO in the Rules Committee once said: I have no idea what these amendments mean because I had no jurisdiction on this process.

So with that, Madam Speaker, vote "no" on this very poorly drafted amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. MOORE. Madam Speaker, I am pleased to rise in strong support of the Tlaib/Kildee/Slotkin/Cicilline/Moore amendment to help remove dangerous lead pipes in our communities.

Lead paint in housing and water infrastructure containing lead are the two primary, but not the sole, pathways for lead poisoning in our children.

HUD estimates that over 22 million homes (34 percent of the homes built before 1978) have significant lead-based paint hazards. Nationwide, estimates are that there are as many as 10 million lead service lines.

The pernicious impacts of lead poisoning are well known. These impacts are often lifelong and irreversible. Lead poisoning is a serious threat in the State of Wisconsin and particularly in the City of Milwaukee, which has the largest concentration of lead service lines in the state. And its not just my state. According to the Great Lakes Governor's and Premiers, the Great Lakes region contains the highest concentrations of lead service lines in the United States.

The good news is that lead poisoning is preventable, not inevitable, if we act. It is critical that we start taking steps to boost assistance, especially to localities with extremely high numbers of households served by lead lateral lines, who are least able to pay for the replacement of those lines.

That's what this amendment does.

This amendment would authorize \$4.5 billion dollars per year for 5 years to help pay to fully replace lead service lines across the country with a priority given to low-income and other communities that suffer disproportionately from the harms posed by this threat.

A sustained substantial commitment to federal lead prevention and mitigation efforts is critical if our country is to make serious progress in protecting our nation's children. That's what this amendment does. It raises the federal investment and makes changes to ensure that more households can participate in comprehensive lead reduction projects that fully replace lead lines.

Unfortunately, the households most affected by this problem often have the fewest resources available to pay to replace lead pipes.

It reaffirms a federal commitment to helping get lead pipes out of the ground. Primary pre-

vention—the removal of lead hazards from the environment before a child is exposed—is the most effective way to ensure that children do not experience the harmful effects of lead exposure. These funds will help to ensure that children can grow up healthy and safe while living in homes where they are protected from lead poisoning.

For this small investment, our communities reap great gains. The annual costs of lead poisoning have been estimated at over \$50 billion. As noted in a report by the Pew Charitable Trusts, "In the absence of lead, hundreds of thousands of children would be more likely to realize their full potential thanks to higher GPAs, a better chance of earning high school diplomas and graduating.

This amendment gets us closer to riding our communities of lead service lines and to providing a healthier tomorrow for millions of children and their families. I urge my colleagues to support it.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the previous question is ordered on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. TLAIB).

The question is on the amendment.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. TLAIB. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3 of House Resolution 965, the yeas and nays are ordered.

Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question are postponed.

Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of H.R. 2 is postponed.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 21 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1342

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Ms. WILD) at 1 o'clock and 42 minutes p.m.

INVESTING IN A NEW VISION FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND SURFACE TRANSPORTATION IN AMERICA ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2) to authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes, will now resume.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

AMENDMENTS EN BLOC NO. 6 OFFERED BY MR. GRAVES OF MISSOURI

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the question on the

amendments en bloc consisting of the further amendments printed in part G of House Report 116-438 on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendments en bloc.

The Clerk redesignated the amendments en bloc.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the amendments en bloc offered by the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. GRAVES).

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 179, nays 241, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 134]

YEAS—179

Abraham	Gonzalez (OH)	Nunes
Aderholt	Gooden	Olson
Allen	Gosar	Palazzo
Amash	Graves (GA)	Palmer
Amodel	Graves (LA)	Pence
Armstrong	Graves (MO)	Perry
Arrington	Green (TN)	Posey
Bacon	Griffith	Reschenthaler
Baird	Grothman	Rice (SC)
Balderson	Guest	Riggleman
Banks	Guthrie	Rodgers (WA)
Barr	Hagedorn	Roe, David P.
Bergman	Harris	Rogers (AL)
Biggs	Hartzler	Rogers (KY)
Bilirakis	Hern, Kevin	Rose, John W.
Bishop (NC)	Herrera Beutler	Rouzer
Bishop (UT)	Hice (GA)	Roy
Bost	Higgins (LA)	Scalise
Brady	Hill (AR)	Schweikert
Brooks (AL)	Holding	Scott, Austin
Brooks (IN)	Hollingsworth	Sensenbrenner
Buchanan	Hudson	Shimkus
Buck	Huizenga	Simpson
Bucshon	Hurd (TX)	Smith (MO)
Budd	Johnson (LA)	Smith (NE)
Burchett	Johnson (OH)	Smucker
Burgess	Johnson (SD)	Spano
Byrne	Jordan	Stauber
Calvert	Joyce (OH)	Steil
Carter (GA)	Joyce (PA)	Steube
Chabot	Keller	Stewart
Cheney	Kelly (MS)	Stivers
Cline	Kelly (PA)	Taylor
Cloud	Kinzing	Thompson (PA)
Cole	Kustoff (TN)	Thornberry
Collins (GA)	LaHood	Tiffany
Comer	LaMalfa	Timmons
Conaway	Lamborn	Tipton
Cook	Latta	Turner
Crawford	Lesko	Upton
Crenshaw	Long	Wagner
Curtis	Lucas	Walberg
Davidson (OH)	Luetkemeyer	Walden
Davis, Rodney	Marshall	Walker
DesJarlais	Massie	Walorski
Diaz-Balart	Mast	Waltz
Duncan	McCarthy	Watkins
Dunn	McCaul	Webster (FL)
Estes	McClintock	Webstrup
Ferguson	McHenry	Westerman
Fleischmann	McKinley	Williams
Flores	Meuser	Wilson (SC)
Fortenberry	Miller	Wittman
Foxx (NC)	Mitchell	Womack
Fulcher	Moolenaar	Woodall
Gaetz	Mooney (WV)	Wright
Garcia (CA)	Mullin	Yoho
Gianforte	Murphy (NC)	Young
Gibbs	Newhouse	Zeldin
Gohmert	Norman	

NAYS—241

Adams	Bonamici	Cartwright
Aguilar	Boyle, Brendan	Case
Allred	F.	Casten (IL)
Axne	Brindisi	Castor (FL)
Barragán	Brown (MD)	Castro (TX)
Bass	Brownley (CA)	Chu, Judy
Beatty	Bustos	Cicilline
Bera	Butterfield	Cisneros
Beyer	Carbajal	Clark (MA)
Bishop (GA)	Cárdenas	Clarke (NY)
Blumenauer	Carson (IN)	Clay
Blunt Rochester	Carter (TX)	Cleaver

Clyburn	Katko	Pocan
Cohen	Keating	Porter
Connolly	Kelly (IL)	Pressley
Cooper	Kennedy	Price (NC)
Correa	Khanna	Quigley
Costa	Kildee	Raskin
Courtney	Kilmer	Reed
Cox (CA)	Kim	Rice (NY)
Craig	Kind	Richmond
Crist	King (NY)	Rose (NY)
Crow	Kirkpatrick	Rouda
Cuellar	Krishnamoorthi	Roybal-Allard
Cunningham	Kuster (NH)	Ruiz
Davids (KS)	Lamb	Ruppersberger
Davis (CA)	Langevin	Rush
Davis, Danny K.	Larsen (WA)	Rutherford
Dean	Larson (CT)	Ryan
DeFazio	Lawrence	Sánchez
DeGette	Lawson (FL)	Sarbanes
DeLauro	Lee (CA)	Scanlon
DeBene	Lee (NV)	Schakowsky
Delgado	Levin (CA)	Schiff
Demings	Levin (MI)	Schneider
DeSaulnier	Lewis	Schrier
Deutch	Lieu, Ted	Scott (VA)
Dingell	Lipinski	Scott, David
Doggett	Loeb sack	Serrano
Doyle, Michael	Lofgren	Sewell (AL)
F.	Lowenthal	Shalala
Engel	Lowey	Sherman
Escobar	Luján	Sherill
Eshoo	Luria	Sires
Espallat	Lynch	Slotkin
Evans	Malinowski	Smith (NJ)
Finkenauer	Maloney,	Smith (WA)
Fitzpatrick	Carolyn B.	Soto
Fletcher	Maloney, Sean	Spanberger
Foster	Matsui	Speier
Frankel	McAdams	Stanton
Fudge	McBath	Stefanik
Gabbard	McCollum	Stevens
Gallego	McEachin	Suozzi
Garamendi	McGovern	Swalwell (CA)
Garcia (IL)	McNerney	Takano
Garcia (TX)	Meeks	Thompson (CA)
Golden	Meng	Thompson (MS)
Gomez	Mfume	Titus
Gonzalez (TX)	Moore	Tlaib
Gottheimer	Morelle	Tonko
Granger	Moulton	Torres (CA)
Green, Al (TX)	Mucarsel-Powell	Torres Small
Grijalva	Murphy (FL)	(NM)
Haaland	Nadler	Trahan
Harder (CA)	Napolitano	Trone
Hastings	Neal	Underwood
Hayes	Neguse	Van Drew
Heck	Norcross	Vargas
Higgins (NY)	O'Halleran	Veasey
Himes	Ocasio-Cortez	Vela
Horn, Kendra S.	Omar	Velázquez
Horsford	Pallone	Visclosky
Houlahan	Panetta	Wasserman
Hoyer	Pappas	Schultz
Huffman	Pascrell	Waters
Jackson Lee	Payne	Watson Coleman
Jayapal	Perlmutter	Welch
Jeffries	Peters	Wexton
Johnson (GA)	Peterson	Wild
Johnson (TX)	Phillips	Wilson (FL)
Kaptur	Pingree	Yarmuth

NOT VOTING—10

Babin	Loudermilk	Schrader
Emmer	Marchant	Weber (TX)
Gallagher	Roby	
King (IA)	Rooney (FL)	

□ 1419

Mr. HECK, Mrs. MURPHY of Florida, Messrs. FOSTER, GOTTHEIMER, DELGADO, REED, STANTON, O'HALLERAN, Miss RICE of New York, Messrs. CUNNINGHAM and ENGEL changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Messrs. CONAWAY, SIMPSON, GRAVES of Louisiana, HOLLINGSWORTH, STEWART, FORTENBERRY, and JOYCE of Ohio changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the en bloc amendments were rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 965, 116TH CONGRESS

Cárdenas (Gomez)	Lawson (FL) (Evans)	Pingree (Cicilline)
Cleaver (Clay)	Lee (CA) (Huffman)	Price (NC) (Butterfield)
DeSaulnier (Matsui)	Lewis (Kildee)	Rush (Underwood)
Frankel (Clark (MA))	Lieu, Ted (Beyer)	Sánchez (Roybal-Allard)
Hastings	Lofgren (Boyle, Brendan F.)	Allard
(Wasserman Schultz)	Lowenthal (Beyer)	Serrano (Jeffries)
Johnson (TX) (Jeffries)	Lowey (Tonko)	Vargas (Levin (CA))
Khanna (Gomez)	Meng (Tonko)	Watson Coleman (Pallone)
Kirkpatrick (Gallego)	Moore (Beyer)	Welch (McGovern)
Kuster (NH)	Nadler (Jeffries)	Wilson (FL) (Hayes)
(Brownley (CA))	Napolitano (Correa)	
	Payne (Wasserman Schultz)	

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MS. FOXX OF NORTH CAROLINA

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CUELLAR). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the question on amendment No. 1 printed in part H of House Report 116-438 on which further proceedings were postponed on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. Foxx).

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 147, nays 274, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 135]

YEAS—147

Abraham	Flores	Marshall
Aderholt	Foxx (NC)	Massie
Allen	Fulcher	McCarthy
Amash	Gaetz	McCaul
Armstrong	Garcia (CA)	McClintock
Arrington	Gibbs	McHenry
Babin	Gohmert	Miller
Baird	Gooden	Mitchell
Banks	Gosar	Moolenaar
Barr	Granger	Mooney (WV)
Bergman	Graves (GA)	Mullin
Biggs	Graves (LA)	Murphy (NC)
Bilirakis	Green (TN)	Norman
Bishop (NC)	Griffith	Nunes
Bishop (UT)	Grothman	Olson
Brady	Guest	Palazzo
Brooks (AL)	Guthrie	Palmer
Brooks (IN)	Hagedorn	Pence
Buchanan	Harris	Perry
Buck	Hartzler	Posey
Bucshon	Hern, Kevin	Rice (SC)
Budd	Herrera Beutler	Riggleman
Burgess	Hice (GA)	Rodgers (WA)
Byrne	Higgins (LA)	Roe, David P.
Calvert	Hill (AR)	Rogers (AL)
Carter (GA)	Holding	Rogers (KY)
Chabot	Hollingsworth	Rose, John W.
Cheney	Hudson	Rouzer
Cline	Huizenga	Roy
Cloud	Hurd (TX)	Rutherford
Cole	Johnson (LA)	Scalise
Collins (GA)	Johnson (SD)	Schweikert
Comer	Jordan	Scott, Austin
Conaway	Joyce (PA)	Sensenbrenner
Crawford	Keller	Smith (MO)
Crenshaw	Kelly (MS)	Smith (NE)
Curtis	Kustoff (TN)	Smucker
Davidson (OH)	LaMalfa	Spano
DesJarlais	Lamborn	Steube
Duncan	Latta	Stewart
Dunn	Lesko	Taylor
Estes	Long	Thompson (PA)
Ferguson	Lucas	Thornberry
Fleischmann	Luetkemeyer	Tiffany

Timmons
Walberg
Walker
Walorski
Waltz

Watkins
Wenstrup
Westerman
Williams
Wilson (SC)

Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Wright
Yoho

Wexton
Wild

Emmer
Gallagher
King (IA)

Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

Loudermilk
Marchant
Roby

Young
Zeldin

Rooney (FL)
Tipton
Weber (TX)

Evans
Finkenauer
Fitzpatrick
Fletcher
Foster
Frankel
Fudge
Gabbard
Gallego
Garamendi
Garcia (IL)
Garcia (TX)
Golden
Gomez
Gonzalez (TX)
Gottheimer
Green, Al (TX)
Grijalva
Haaland
Harder (CA)
Hastings
Hayes
Heck
Higgins (NY)
Himes
Horn, Kendra S.
Horsford
Houlahan
Hoyer
Huffman
Reed

Lewis
Lieu, Ted
Lipinski
Loeb sack
Lofgren
Lowenthal
Lowe y
Luján
Luria
Lynch
Malinowski
Maloney,
Carolyn B.
Maloney, Sean
Matsui
McAdams
McBath
McCollum
McEachin
McGovern
McNerney
Meeks
Meng
Mfume
Moore
Morelle
Moulton
Mucarsel-Powell
Murphy (FL)
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Neguse
Norcross
O'Halleran
Ocasio-Cortez
Omar
Pallone
Panetta
Pappas
Pascrell
Payne
Perlmutter
Peters
Peterson
Phillips
Pingree
Pocan
Porter
Pressley
Price (NC)
Quigley
Raskin
Reed

Ruiz
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan
Sánchez
Sarbanes
Scanlon
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schneider
Schrad er
Schrier
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell (AL)
Shalala
Sherman
Sherrill
Sires
Slotkin
Smith (NJ)
Smith (WA)
Soto
Spanberger
Speier
Stanton
Stevens
Suo zzi
Swalwell (CA)
Takano
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tonko
Torres (CA)
Torres Small
(NM)
Trahan
Trone
Underwood
Upton
Van Drew
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson Coleman
Welch
Wexton
Wild
Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

NAYS—274

Adams
Aguilar
Allred
Amodei
Axne
Bacon
Balderson
Barragán
Bass
Beatty
Bera
Beyer
Bishop (GA)
Blumenauer
Blunt Rochester
Bonamici
Bost
Boyle, Brendan
F.
Brindisi
Brown (MD)
Brownley (CA)
Burchett
Bustos
Butterfield
Carbajal
Cárdenas
Carson (IN)
Carter (TX)
Cartwright
Case
Casten (IL)
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Cisneros
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Clever
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Cook
Cooper
Correa
Costa
Courtney
Cox (CA)
Craig
Crist
Crow
Cuellar
Cunningham
Davids (KS)
Davis (CA)
Davis, Danny K.
Davis, Rodney
Dean
DeFazio
DeGette
DeLauro
DelBene
Delgado
Demings
DeSaulnier
Deutch
Diaz-Balart
Dingell
Doggett
Doyle, Michael
F.
Engel
Escobar
Eshoo
Espallat
Evans
Finkenauer
Fitzpatrick
Fletcher
Fortenberry
Foster
Frankel
Fudge
Gabbard
Gallego
Garamendi
Garcia (IL)
Garcia (TX)
Gianforte
Golden

Gomez
Gonzalez (OH)
Gonzalez (TX)
Gottheimer
Graves (MO)
Green, Al (TX)
Grijalva
Haaland
Harder (CA)
Hastings
Hays
Heck
Higgins (NY)
Himes
Horn, Kendra S.
Horsford
Houlahan
Hoyer
Huffman
Jackson Lee
Jayapal
Jeffries
Johnson (GA)
Johnson (OH)
Johnson (TX)
Joyce (OH)
Kaptur
Katko
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kelly (PA)
Kennedy
Khanna
Kildee
Kilmer
Kim
Kind
King (NY)
Kinzinger
Kirkpatrick
Krishnamoorthi
Kuster (NH)
LaHood
Lamb
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larsen (CT)
Lawrence
Lawson (FL)
Lee (CA)
Lee (NV)
Levin (CA)
Levin (MI)
Lewis
Lieu, Ted
Lipinski
Loeb sack
Lofgren
Lowenthal
Lowe y
Luján
Luria
Lynch
Malinowski
Maloney,
Carolyn B.
Maloney, Sean
Mast
Matsui
McAdams
McBath
McCollum
McEachin
McGovern
McKinley
McNerney
Meeks
Meng
Meuser
Mfume
Moore
Morelle
Moulton
Mucarsel-Powell
Murphy (FL)
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Neguse
Newhouse
Norcross
O'Halleran

Ocasio-Cortez
Omar
Pallone
Panetta
Pappas
Pascrell
Payne
Perlmutter
Peters
Peterson
Phillips
Pingree
Pocan
Porter
Pressley
Price (NC)
Quigley
Raskin
Reed
Reschenthaler
Rice (NY)
Richmond
Rose (NY)
Rouda
Roybal-Allard
Ruiz
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan
Sánchez
Sarbanes
Scanlon
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schneider
Schrad er
Schrier
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell (AL)
Shalala
Sherman
Sherrill
Shimkus
Simpson
Sires
Slotkin
Smith (NJ)
Smith (WA)
Soto
Spanberger
Speier
Stanton
Staub er
Stefanik
Steil
Stevens
Stivers
Suo zzi
Swalwell (CA)
Takano
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tonko
Torres (CA)
Torres Small
(NM)
Trahan
Trone
Turner
Underwood
Upton
Van Drew
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Wagner
Walden
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson Coleman
Webster (FL)
Welch

□ 1459

Messrs. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois, GONZALEZ of Texas, CISNEROS, and SIMPSON changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mrs. WALORSKI, Messrs. RUTHERFORD, and MCCARTHY changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE
RESOLUTION 965, 116TH CONGRESS

Cárdenas (Gomez)
Cleaver (Clay)
DeSaulnier (Matsui)
Frankel (Clark (MA))
Hastings (Wasserman Schultz)
Johnson (TX) (Jeffries)
Khanna (Gomez)
Kirkpatrick (Gallego)
Kuster (NH)
Schrier (Brownley (CA))
Lawson (FL) (Evans)
Lee (CA) (Huffman)
Lewis (Kildee)
Lieu, Ted (Beyer)
Lofgren (Boyle, Brendan F.)
Lowenthal (Beyer)
Lowe y (Tonko)
Meng (Tonko)
Moore (Beyer)
Nadler (Jeffries)
Napolitano (Correa)
Payne (Wasserman Schultz)
Pingree (Cicilline)
Price (NC) (Butterfield)
Rush (Underwood)
Sánchez (Roybal-Allard)
Serrano (Jeffries)
Vargas (Levin (CA))
Watson Coleman (Pallone)
Welch (McGovern)
Wilson (FL) (Hayes)

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MS. TLAIB OF
MICHIGAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the question on amendment No. 3 printed in part H of House Report 116-438 on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. TLAIB).

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 240, nays 181, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 136]

YEAS—240

Adams
Aguilar
Allred
Axne
Barragán
Bass
Beatty
Bera
Beyer
Bishop (GA)
Blumenauer
Blunt Rochester
Bonamici
Boyle, Brendan
F.
Brindisi
Brown (MD)
Brownley (CA)
Buchanan
Bustos
Butterfield
Carbajal
Cárdenas
Carson (IN)
Cartwright
Case
Casten (IL)
Castro (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Cisneros
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Cooper
Correa
Costa
Courtney
Cox (CA)
Craig
Crist
Crow
Cuellar
Cunningham
Davids (KS)
Davis (CA)
Davis, Danny K.
Dean
DeFazio
DeGette
DeLauro
DelBene
Delgado
Demings
DeSaulnier
Deutch
Dingell
Doggett
Doyle, Michael
F.
Engel
Escobar
Eshoo
Espallat

NAYS—181

Abraham
Aderholt
Allen
Amash
Amodei
Armstrong
Arrington
Babin
Bacon
Baird
Balderson
Banks
Barr
Bergman
Biggs
Bilirakis
Bishop (NC)
Bishop (UT)
Bost
Brady
Brooks (AL)
Brooks (IN)
Buck
Bucshon
Budd
Burchett
Burgess
Byrne
Calvert
Carter (GA)
Carter (TX)
Chabot
Cheney
Cline
Cloud
Cole
Collins (GA)
Comer
Conaway
Cook
Crawford
Crenshaw
Curtis
Davidson (OH)
Davis, Rodney
DesJarlais
Diaz-Balart
Duncan
Dunn
Estes
Ferguson
Fleischmann
Flores
Fortenberry
Foxx (NC)
Fulcher
Gaetz
Garcia (CA)
Gianforte
Gibbs
Gohmert
Gonzalez (OH)
Gooden
Gosar
Granger
Graves (GA)
Graves (LA)
Graves (MO)
Green (TN)
Griffith
Grothman
Guest
Guthrie
Hagedorn
Harris
Hartzler
Hern, Kevin
Herrera Beutler
Hice (GA)
Higgins (LA)
Hill (AR)
Holding
Hollingsworth
Hudson
Hurd (TX)
Johnson (LA)
Johnson (OH)
Johnson (SD)
Jordan
Joyce (OH)
Joyce (PA)
Keller
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
King (NY)
Kinzinger
Kustoff (TN)
LaHood
LaMalfa
Lamborn
Latta
Lesko
Long
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Marshall
Massie
Mast
McCarthy
McCaul
McClintock
McHenry
McKinley
Meuser

Miller	Rouzer	Tipton
Mitchell	Roy	Turner
Moolenaar	Rutherford	Wagner
Mooney (WV)	Scalise	Walberg
Mullin	Schweikert	Walden
Murphy (NC)	Scott, Austin	Walker
Newhouse	Sensenbrenner	Walorski
Norman	Shimkus	Waltz
Nunes	Simpson	Watkins
Olson	Smith (MO)	Webster (FL)
Palazzo	Smith (NE)	Wenstrup
Palmer	Smucker	Westerman
Pence	Spano	Williams
Perry	Staubert	Wilson (SC)
Posey	Stefanik	Wittman
Reschenthaler	Steil	Womack
Rice (SC)	Steube	Woodall
Riggleman	Stewart	Wright
Rodgers (WA)	Stivers	Yoho
Roe, David P.	Taylor	Young
Rogers (AL)	Thompson (PA)	Zeldin
Rogers (KY)	Tiffany	
Rose, John W.	Timmons	

NOT VOTING—9

Emmer	Loudermilk	Rooney (FL)
Gallagher	Marchant	Thornberry
King (IA)	Roby	Weber (TX)

□ 1546

Ms. GARCIA of Texas changed her vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE
RESOLUTION 965, 116TH CONGRESS

Cárdenas	Lawson (FL)	Pingree
(Gomez)	(Evans)	(Cicilline)
Cleaver (Clay)	Lee (CA)	Price (NC)
DeSaulnier	(Huffman)	(Butterfield)
(Matsui)	Lewis (Kildee)	Rush
Frankel (Clark)	Lieu, Ted (Beyer)	(Underwood)
(MA)	Lofgren (Boyle,	Sánchez (Roybal-
Hastings	Brendan F.)	Allard)
(Wasserman	Lowenthal	Serrano
Schultz)	(Beyer)	(Jeffries)
Johnson (TX)	Lowe (Tonko)	Vargas (Levin
(Jeffries)	Meng (Tonko)	(CA)
Khanna (Gomez)	Moore (Beyer)	Watson Coleman
Kirkpatrick	Nadler (Jeffries)	(Pallone)
(Gallego)	Napolitano	Welch
Kuster (NH)	(Correa)	(McGovern)
(Brownley	Payne	Wilson (FL)
(CA))	(Wasserman	(Hayes)
	Schultz)	

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. MCCOLLUM). Pursuant to the rule, the previous question is ordered on the bill, as amended.

The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. CRAWFORD. Madam Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. CRAWFORD. Madam Speaker, I am opposed to the bill in its current form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Crawford moves to recommit the bill H.R. 2 to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith, with the following amendment:

At the end of the bill, add the following:

DIVISION N—STATE-OWNED ENTERPRISES

SEC. 91001. STATE-OWNED ENTERPRISES PROHIBITION.

(a) BUY AMERICA.—None of the funds authorized or made available by this Act, or the amendments made by this Act, may be used in awarding a contract, subcontract, grant, or loan to an entity that—

(1) is owned or controlled by, is a subsidiary of, or is otherwise related legally or financially to a corporation based in a country that—

(A) is identified as a nonmarket economy country (as defined in section 771(18) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677(18))) as of the date of enactment of this Act;

(B) was identified by the United States Trade Representative in the most recent report required by section 182 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2242) as a priority foreign country under subsection (a)(2) of that section; and

(C) is subject to monitoring by the Trade Representative under section 306 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2416); or

(2) is listed pursuant to section 9(b)(3) of the Uyghur Human Rights Policy Act of 2020 (Public Law 116-145).

(b) EXCEPTION.—For purposes of subsection (a), the term “otherwise related legally or financially” does not include a minority relationship or investment.

(c) INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS.—This section shall be applied in a manner consistent with the obligations of the United States under international agreements.

Mr. CRAWFORD (during the reading). Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with the reading.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Arkansas?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Arkansas is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his motion.

Mr. CRAWFORD. Madam Speaker, this amendment will not kill the bill, but will instead ensure that the Democrats’ partisan wish list does not result in a windfall for the Chinese state-owned enterprises.

This amendment would prevent companies owned or controlled by the Chinese regime or the Chinese Communist Party from participating in any project or receiving any fund authorized by this bill.

This is particularly important given the hundreds of billions of dollars for programs like power grid transmission and distribution projects and broadband infrastructure investment.

We can’t afford to allow the Chinese Government to take control of our power grid or broadband system, and we all know that allowing Chinese companies to compete for these projects often opens the door to Chinese Government control.

Time and time again, China has demonstrated its hostility to America’s interests and international standards of transparency and accountability, while violating basic human morality.

China’s industrial plan makes their goal clear: Dominate global innovation and manufacturing by any means necessary. China is buying and stealing American technology explicitly to overtake our semiconductor, robotic, and electric vehicle industries.

Already, China owns a majority of the world’s lithium-ion battery production, more than 60 percent. And the Chinese Government is continually investing to increase that capacity. Already, China exports the most lithium-ion batteries and components of any other country, more than 6 times what the United States exports.

And Chinese Government subsidies are slated to expand their battery production more than 2½ times by 2026.

We have seen what happens when Chinese-Government sponsored companies like Huawei gain a foothold with telecom products, we succumb to state-directed domination of a U.S. industry, and we leave ourselves vulnerable to national security threats, espionage, and IP theft.

Without ensuring that this bill’s \$1.5 trillion spending spree on electric vehicles and other technologies are manufactured by American companies or countries that play by the rules, we will simply be aiding China in achieving its goals.

The issue of protecting our critical infrastructure is a goal many of us share.

In fact, the White House has already taken action to prevent foreign infiltration of our power grid through an executive order on bulk power systems earlier this year, and is working with industry to phase out the use of technology produced in China.

However, I make this motion not just because of China’s economic policies. The Chinese Government is responsible for: government censorship of publications, media, and social media;

The blatant theft of American intellectual property;

Refusal to abide by World Trade Organization rules;

Choosing not to report the coronavirus outbreak for months; and

Frequent mistreatment of minority ethnic groups within its borders.

Just this week, Madam Speaker, we learned that in addition to the many atrocities China has committed against the Uighur people, it is now committing genocide.

Hundreds of thousands of women are being subjected to nonconsensual implantation of birth control, forced sterilization, and even forced abortion of their unborn children.

We have a moral obligation to ensure that no government treats its citizens this way, and none of its state-sponsored companies that help them do it benefit from the majority’s spending spree.

But that is what we are doing if we pass this massive spending bill that encourages purchasing products mined and manufactured in China. We invest in their companies and sponsor their tyranny rather than supporting American workers and families.

Madam Speaker, a Democrat amendment applying these restrictions to a portion of the bill already passed 62 to 1 during the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee markup.

In an otherwise hyper-partisan markup, where Democrats refused to accept many Republican-led amendments, it was perhaps the most bipartisan moment—the “where’s the meatloaf,” mute violation notwithstanding—supported by Chairman DEFAZIO. We can do that again here, Madam Speaker.

Adopting this amendment will not kill the bill and not stop the majority from sending its wish list on to the Senate with no plan to pay for it.

But the bare minimum we can do is come together to say that as we build American infrastructure we also build up America, not China.

During the markup of H.R. 2, the chairman said he didn’t care if China was listening in on our discussions, so let’s send a clear message to China now.

Madam Speaker, I urge adoption of the motion to recommit.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Madam Speaker, I claim the time in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Oregon is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Madam Speaker, if only we had a President who would take meaningful action against China. It has recently been revealed that the President—regular order, Madam Chair, I hear some kind of wiggling on that side—whining, whining. Okay.

Yeah, we just recently found out that he begged Premier Xi Jinping to buy more farm products to help his reelection.

And, by the way, he said he liked the prison camps, he thought they were a good idea. He actually said that.

So, you know, if we had a President who would act against dictators. Putin murdering U.S. troops, while he is still cozying up to Putin.

So, you know, anything with China is a result of the MFN for China, which granted, came under President Bill Clinton. I voted against it. A large majority of Republicans voted for it. Perhaps we have a different group of Republicans here now who wouldn’t have voted for MFN for China, or would support my resolution to withdraw from the WTO because of the WTO and the dominance of China and its lack of effectiveness. And I hope to have that vote later this year, although it was precluded during this time period by the Rules Committee.

So we have the most stringent Buy America requirements of any part of the government in the Transportation and Infrastructure sections of this bill. Most stringent. We closed the last loopholes for transit and rail being used by Communist government-owned or controlled corporations in China. We have done that.

And, you know, it is time to bring things back to the United States of America. Bring back pharmaceutical production. Let’s stop being driven by the pharmaceutical industry here.

I still remember the Medicaid part D vote, the Republican bill, it prohibited the government from negotiating lower drug prices because Big Pharma runs this place on that side of the aisle.

And now here we have—all of a sudden we developed a concern about human rights and China. Well, you have been pretty absent for the last, oh, let’s see, when you were in the majority until very recently—60 percent of my career has been in the minority. So the last time you held the majority for 10 years or so, you didn’t do anything on any of these things. You had President Bush, he didn’t do anything on these things. You have President Trump who is cozying up to the dictator of China. And now you come here, and say, Oh, we are going to fix all this with this vote.

We have taken the strongest, strongest stand in the infrastructure sections of this bill, and it is fully within the rights of the President to take further action to punish China for these sorts of things.

Madam Speaker, I recommend a “no” vote on this motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. CRAWFORD. Madam Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Arkansas will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. CRAWFORD. Madam Speaker, is it appropriate for the gentleman to make comments about the President of the United States on the floor as he did?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is not going to offer an advisory opinion.

Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. CRAWFORD. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3 of House Resolution 965, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 224, nays 193, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 137]

YEAS—224

Abraham	Barr	Bucshon
Aderholt	Bergman	Budd
Allen	Biggs	Burchett
Amodei	Billirakis	Burgess
Armstrong	Bishop (NC)	Byrne
Arrington	Bost	Calvert
Axne	Brady	Carter (GA)
Babin	Brindisi	Chabot
Bacon	Brooks (AL)	Cheney
Baird	Brooks (IN)	Cisneros
Balderson	Buchanan	Cline
Banks	Buck	Cloud

Cole	Hurd (TX)	Rodgers (WA)
Collins (GA)	Johnson (LA)	Roe, David P.
Comer	Johnson (OH)	Rogers (AL)
Conaway	Johnson (SD)	Rogers (KY)
Cook	Jordan	Rose (NY)
Cox (CA)	Joyce (OH)	Rose, John W.
Craig	Joyce (PA)	Rouda
Crawford	Katko	Rouzer
Crenshaw	Keller	Roy
Crist	Kelly (MS)	Rutherford
Crow	Kelly (PA)	Scalise
Cunningham	Kim	Schrier
Curtis	King (NY)	Schweikert
Davidson (OH)	Kinzinger	Scott, Austin
Davis, Rodney	Kustoff (TN)	Sensenbrenner
Delgado	LaHood	Shimkus
DesJarlais	LaMalfa	Simpson
Diaz-Balart	Lamb	Slotkin
Duncan	Latta	Smith (MO)
Dunn	Lee (NV)	Smith (NE)
Estes	Lesko	Smith (NJ)
Ferguson	Lipinski	Smucker
Finkenauer	Loeb sack	Spanberger
Fitzpatrick	Long	Spano
Fleischmann	Lucas	Staubert
Flores	Luetkemeyer	Stefanik
Fortenberry	Luria	Steil
Fox (NC)	Malinowski	Steube
Fulcher	Maloney, Sean	Stewart
Gaetz	Marshall	Stivers
Garcia (CA)	Massie	Taylor
Gianforte	Mast	Thompson (PA)
Gibbs	McAdams	Thornberry
Gohmert	McBath	Tiffany
Golden	McCarthy	Timmons
Gonzalez (OH)	McCauley	Tipton
Gooden	McClintock	Torres Small
Gosar	McHenry	(NM)
Gottheimer	McKinley	Turner
Granger	Meuser	Underwood
Graves (GA)	Miller	Upton
Graves (LA)	Moolenaar	Van Drew
Graves (MO)	Mooney (WV)	Wagner
Green (TN)	Mucarsel-Powell	Walberg
Griffith	Mullin	Walden
Grothman	Murphy (FL)	Walker
Guest	Murphy (NC)	Walorski
Guthrie	Newhouse	Waltz
Hagedorn	Norman	Watkins
Harder (CA)	Nunes	Webster (FL)
Harris	Olson	Wenstrup
Hartzler	Palazzo	Westerman
Hern, Kevin	Palmer	Wexton
Herrera Beutler	Pappas	Wild
Hice (GA)	Pence	Williams
Higgins (LA)	Perry	Wilson (SC)
Hill (AR)	Peterson	Wittman
Holding	Phillips	Womack
Hollingsworth	Posey	Woodall
Horn, Kendra S.	Reed	Wright
Houlahan	Reschenthaler	Yoho
Hudson	Rice (SC)	Young
Huizenga	Riggleman	Zeldin

NAYS—193

Adams	Cohen	Garcia (TX)
Aguilar	Connolly	Gomez
Allred	Cooper	Gonzalez (TX)
Amash	Correa	Green, Al (TX)
Barragan	Costa	Grijalva
Bass	Courtney	Haaland
Beatty	Cuellar	Hastings
Bera	David (KS)	Hayes
Beyer	Davis (CA)	Heck
Bishop (GA)	Davis, Danny K.	Higgins (NY)
Blumenauer	Dean	Himes
Blunt Rochester	DeFazio	Horsford
Bonamici	DeGette	Hoyer
Boyle, Brendan F.	DeLauro	Huffman
Brown (MD)	DelBene	Jackson Lee
Brownley (CA)	Demings	Jayapal
Bustos	DeSaulnier	Jeffries
Butterfield	Deutch	Johnson (GA)
Carbajal	Dingell	Johnson (TX)
Cardenas	Doggett	Kaptur
Carson (IN)	Doyle, Michael F.	Keating
Cartwright	Engel	Kelly (IL)
Case	Escobar	Kennedy
Casten (IL)	Eshoo	Khan
Castor (FL)	Espallat	Kildee
Castro (TX)	Evans	Kilmer
Chu, Judy	Fletcher	Kind
Cicilline	Foster	Kirkpatrick
Clark (MA)	Frankel	Krishnamoorthi
Clarke (NY)	Fudge	Kuster (NH)
Clay	Gabbard	Langevin
Cleaver	Gallego	Larsen (WA)
Clyburn	Garcia (IL)	Larson (CT)
		Lawrence

Lawson (FL)
Lee (CA)
Levin (CA)
Levin (MI)
Lewis
Lieu, Ted
Lofgren
Lowenthal
Lowe
Luján
Lynch
Maloney,
Carolyn B.
Matsui
McCollum
McEachin
McGovern
McNerney
Meeks
Meng
Mfume
Moore
Morelle
Moulton
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Neguse
Norcross
O'Halleran
Ocasio-Cortez
Omar

Pallone
Panetta
Pascrell
Payne
Perlmutter
Peters
Pingree
Pocan
Porter
Pressley
Price (NC)
Quigley
Raskin
Rice (NY)
Richmond
Roybal-Allard
Ruiz
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan
Sánchez
Sarbanes
Scanlon
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schneider
Schrader
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell (AL)
Shalala

Sherman
Sherrill
Sires
Smith (WA)
Soto
Speier
Stanton
Stevens
Suozi
Swallow (CA)
Takano
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tonko
Torres (CA)
Trahan
Trone
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson Coleman
Welch
Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

NOT VOTING—13

Bishop (UT)
Carter (TX)
Emmer
Gallagher
Garamendi

King (IA)
Lamborn
Loudermilk
Marchant
Mitchell

Roby
Rooney (FL)
Weber (TX)

□ 1638

Mr. DOGGETT changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mrs. RODGERS of Washington, Messrs. DAVIDSON of Ohio, COX of California, PETERSON, SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York, and LOEBSACK changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the motion to recommit was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 965, 116TH CONGRESS

Cárdenas
(Gomez)
Cleaver (Clay)
DeSaulnier
(Matsui)
Frankel (Clark
(MA)
Hastings
(Wasserman
Schultz)
Johnson (TX)
(Jeffries)
Khanna (Gomez)
Kirkpatrick
(Gallego)
Kuster (NH)
(Brownley
(CA))

Lawson (FL)
(Evans)
Lee (CA)
(Huffman)
Lewis (Kildee)
Lieu, Ted (Beyer)
Lofgren (Boyle,
Brendan F.)
Lowenthal
(Beyer)
Lowe (Tonko)
Meng (Tonko)
Moore (Beyer)
Nadler (Jeffries)
Napolitano
(Correa)
Payne
(Wasserman
Schultz)

Pingree
(Cicilline)
Price (NC)
(Butterfield)
Rush
(Underwood)
Sánchez (Roybal-
Allard)
Serrano
(Jeffries)
Vargas (Levin
(CA))
Watson Coleman
(Pallone)
Welch
(McGovern)
Wilson (FL)
(Hayes)

Mr. DEFazio. Madam Speaker, pursuant to instructions of the House in the motion to recommit, I report the bill, H.R. 2, back to the House in its entirety with an amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. DEFazio of Oregon:

At the end of the bill, add the following:

**DIVISION N—STATE-OWNED ENTERPRISES
SEC. 91001. STATE-OWNED ENTERPRISES PROHIBITION.**

(a) BUY AMERICA.—None of the funds authorized or made available by this Act, or

the amendments made by this Act, may be used in awarding a contract, subcontract, grant, or loan to an entity that—

(1) is owned or controlled by, is a subsidiary of, or is otherwise related legally or financially to a corporation based in a country that—

(A) is identified as a nonmarket economy country (as defined in section 771(18) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677(18))) as of the date of enactment of this Act;

(B) was identified by the United States Trade Representative in the most recent report required by section 182 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2242) as a priority foreign country under subsection (a)(2) of that section; and

(C) is subject to monitoring by the Trade Representative under section 306 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2416); or

(2) is listed pursuant to section 9(b)(3) of the Uyghur Human Rights Policy Act of 2020 (Public Law 116-145).

(b) EXCEPTION.—For purposes of subsection (a), the term “otherwise related legally or financially” does not include a minority relationship or investment.

(c) INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS.—This section shall be applied in a manner consistent with the obligations of the United States under international agreements.

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri (during the reading). Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with the reading.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Missouri?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3 of House Resolution 965, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 233, nays 188, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 138]

YEAS—233

Adams
Aguilar
Allred
Axne
Barragán
Bass
Beatty
Bera
Beyer
Bishop (GA)
Blumenauer
Blunt Rochester
Bonamici
Boyle, Brendan
F.
Brindisi
Brown (MD)
Brownley (CA)
Bustos
Butterfield

Carbajal
Cárdenas
Carson (IN)
Cartwright
Case
Casten (IL)
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Cisneros
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Cooper
Correa

Costa
Courtney
Cox (CA)
Craig
Crist
Crow
Cuellar
Cunningham
Davids (KS)
Davis (CA)
Davis, Danny K.
Dean
DeFazio
DeGette
DeLauro
DelBene
Delgado
Demings
DeSaulnier
Deutch

Dingell
Doggett
Doyle, Michael
F.
Engel
Escobar
Eshoo
Españat
Evans
Finkenauer
Fitzpatrick
Fletcher
Foster
Frankel
Fudge
Gabbard
Gallego
Garamendi
Garcia (IL)
Garcia (TX)
Golden
Gomez
Gonzalez (TX)
Gottheimer
Green, Al (TX)
Grijalva
Haaland
Harder (CA)
Hastings
Hayes
Heck
Higgins (NY)
Himes
Horn, Kendra S.
Horsford
Houlahan
Hoyer
Huffman
Jackson Lee
Jayapal
Jeffries
Johnson (GA)
Johnson (TX)
Kaptur
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kennedy
Khanna
Kildee
Kilmer
Kim
Kind
Kirkpatrick
Krishnamoorthi
Kuster (NH)
Lamb
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Lawrence

Lawson (FL)
Lee (CA)
Levin (CA)
Levin (MI)
Lewis
Lieu, Ted
Lipinski
Loeb sack
Lofgren
Lowenthal
Lujan
Luria
Lynch
Malinowski
Maloney,
Carolyn B.
Maloney, Sean
Matsui
McBath
McCollum
McEachin
McGovern
McNerney
Meeks
Meng
Mfume
Moore
Morelle
Moulton
Mucarsel-Powell
Murphy (FL)
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Neguse
Norcross
O'Halleran
Ocasio-Cortez
Omar
Pallone
Panetta
Pappas
Pascrell
Payne
Pelosi
Perlmutter
Peters
Phillips
Pingree
Pocan
Porter
Pressley
Price (NC)
Quigley
Raskin
Rice (NY)
Richmond
Rose (NY)
Rouda

Roybal-Allard
Ruiz
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan
Sánchez
Sarbanes
Scanlon
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schneider
Schrader
Schrier
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell (AL)
Shalala
Sherman
Sherrill
Sires
Slotkin
Smith (NJ)
Smith (WA)
Soto
Spanberger
Speier
Stanton
Stevens
Suozi
Swallow (CA)
Takano
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tlaib
Tonko
Torres (CA)
Torres Small
(NM)
Trahan
Trone
Underwood
Van Drew
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson Coleman
Welch
Wexton
Wild
Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

NAYS—188

Abraham
Aderholt
Allen
Amash
Amodei
Armstrong
Arrington
Babin
Bacon
Baird
Balderson
Banks
Barr
Bergman
Biggs
Bilirakis
Bishop (NC)
Bishop (UT)
Bost
Brady
Brooks (AL)
Brooks (IN)
Buchanan
Buck
Bucshon
Budd
Burchett
Burgess
Byrne
Calvert
Carter (GA)
Chabot
Cheney
Cline
Cloud
Cole
Collins (GA)

Comer
Conaway
Cook
Crawford
Crenshaw
Curtis
Davidson (OH)
Davis, Rodney
DesJarlais
Diaz-Balart
Duncan
Dunn
Estes
Ferguson
Fleischmann
Flores
Fortenberry
Foxy (NC)
Fulcher
Gaetz
Garcia (CA)
Gianforte
Gibbs
Gohmert
Gonzalez (OH)
Gooden
Gosar
Granger
Graves (GA)
Graves (LA)
Graves (MO)
Green (TN)
Griffith
Grothman
Guest
Guthrie
Hagedorn

Harris
Hartzler
Hern, Kevin
Herrera Beutler
Hice (GA)
Higgins (LA)
Hill (AR)
Holding
Hollingsworth
Hudson
Huizenga
Hurd (TX)
Johnson (LA)
Johnson (OH)
Johnson (SD)
Jordan
Joyce (OH)
Joyce (PA)
Katko
Keller
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
King (NY)
Kinzinger
Kustoff (TN)
LaHood
LaMalfa
Lamborn
Latta
Lesko
Long
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Marshall
Massie
Mast
McAdams

McCarthy	Rodgers (WA)	Thornberry
McCaul	Roe, David P.	Tiffany
McClintock	Rogers (AL)	Timmons
McHenry	Rogers (KY)	Tipton
McKinley	Rose, John W.	Turner
Meuser	Rouzer	Upton
Miller	Roy	Wagner
Mitchell	Rutherford	Walberg
Moolenaar	Scalise	Walden
Mooney (WV)	Schweikert	Walker
Mullin	Scott, Austin	Walorski
Murphy (NC)	Sensenbrenner	Waltz
Newhouse	Shimkus	Watkins
Norman	Simpson	Webster (FL)
Nunes	Smith (MO)	Wenstrup
Olson	Smith (NE)	Westerman
Palazzo	Smucker	Williams
Palmer	Spano	Wilson (SC)
Pence	Stauber	Wittman
Perry	Stefanik	Womack
Peterson	Stell	Woodall
Posey	Steube	Wright
Reed	Stewart	Yoho
Reschenthaler	Stivers	Young
Rice (SC)	Taylor	Zeldin
Riggleman	Thompson (PA)	

NOT VOTING—10

Carter (TX)	Lee (NV)	Rooney (FL)
Emmer	Loudermilk	Weber (TX)
Gallagher	Marchant	
King (IA)	Roby	

□ 1721

Ms. CASTOR of Florida changed her vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. EMMER. Madam Speaker, on July 1st, I was unable to be present in the House Chamber to cast my vote on amendments and passage of H.R. 2. If present, I would have voted YEA on the Graves en bloc amendment no. 6 (RC No. 134), NAY on the Foxx Amendment (RC No. 135), NAY on the Tlaib Amendment (RC No. 136), YEA on the Motion to Recommit (RC No. 137), and NAY on H.R. 2 (RC No. 138).

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. KING of Iowa. Madam Speaker, I was unable to vote on July 1, 2020 due to not being in D.C. Had I been present, I would have voted yes on rollcall No. 134; yes on rollcall No. 135; no on rollcall No. 136; yes on rollcall No. 137; and no on rollcall No. 138.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 965, 116TH CONGRESS

Cárdenas (Gomez)	Lawson (FL) (Evans)	Pingree (Cicilline)
Cleaver (Clay)	Lee (CA)	Price (NC)
DeSaulnier (Matsui)	(Huffman)	(Butterfield)
Frankel (Clark (MA))	Lewis (Kildee)	Rush
Hastings (Wasserman Schultz)	Lieu, Ted (Beyer)	(Underwood)
Johnson (TX) (Jeffries)	Lofgren (Boyle, Brendan F.)	Sánchez (Roybal-Allard)
Khanna (Gomez)	Lowenthal (Beyer)	Serrano (Jeffries)
Kirkpatrick (Gallego)	Lowe (Tonko)	Vargas (Levin (CA))
Kuster (NH) (Brownley (CA))	Meng (Tonko)	Watson Coleman (Pallone)
	Moore (Beyer)	Welch (McGovern)
	Nadler (Jeffries)	Wilson (FL) (Hayes)
	Napolitano (Correa)	
	Payne (Wasserman Schultz)	

AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 2, INVESTING IN A NEW VISION FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND SURFACE TRANSPORTATION IN AMERICA ACT

Mr. DEFAZIO. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that in the engrossment of H.R. 2, the Clerk be authorized to correct section numbers, punctuation, spelling, and cross-references and to make such other technical conforming changes as may be necessary to accurately reflect the actions of the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oregon?

There was no objection.

RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, SPACE, AND TECHNOLOGY

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following resignation as a member of the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, June 30, 2020.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER PELOSI: I write to respectfully tender my resignation as a member of the Science, Space and Technology Committee. It has been an honor to serve in this capacity.

Very respectfully,

PETE OLSON,
Member of Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the resignation is accepted.

There was no objection.

ELECTING MEMBERS TO CERTAIN STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND RANKING A CERTAIN MEMBER ON A CERTAIN STANDING COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Ms. CHENEY. Madam Speaker, by direction of the House Republican Conference, I send to the desk a privileged resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1037

Resolved, That the following named Members be, and are hereby, elected to the following standing committees of the House of Representatives:

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY: Mr. Tiffany.
COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND REFORM: Mr. Palmer, to rank immediately before Mr. Cloud.

COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, SPACE, AND TECHNOLOGY: Mr. Garcia of California, Mr. Tiffany.

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE: Mr. Garcia of California.

Resolved, That the following named Member be, and is hereby, ranked as follows on the following standing committee of the House of Representatives:

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND REFORM: Mr. Comer, to rank before Mr. Jordan.

Ms. CHENEY (during the reading). Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be considered as read.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wyoming?

There was no objection.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, July 1, 2020.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on July 1, 2020, at 1:25 p.m.:

That the Senate Passed S. 123.
That the Senate Passed S. 2864.
That the Senate Passed S. 3758.
That the Senate Passed S. 4104.
That the Senate Passed S. 4116.

Appointments:
Joint Congressional Committee on Inaugural Ceremonies.

With best wishes, I am,
Sincerely,

CHERYL L. JOHNSON.

HONG KONG AUTONOMY ACT

Mr. SHERMAN. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Financial Services, the Committee on the Judiciary, the Committee on Ways and Means, and the Committee on Rules be discharged from further consideration of the bill (H.R. 7440) to impose sanctions with respect to foreign persons involved in the erosion of certain obligations of China with respect to Hong Kong, and for other purposes, and I ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. FINKENAUER). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 7440

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Hong Kong Autonomy Act”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
Sec. 2. Definitions.
Sec. 3. Findings.