than we do the children of our own species.

It is my hope that on this anniversary we can pray for the souls of those children lost and work towards a future where all children are allowed to decide their own future in this blessed Nation of ours. Our Founding Fathers were grounded in the Christian principles this Nation was founded on.

2 Chronicles 7:14: "If my people, who are called by my name, will humble themselves and pray and seek my face and turn from their wicked ways; then I will hear from heaven, and I will forgive their sin, and will heal their land."

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, again I point out to my colleagues that there are so many medical doctors who are part of this pro-life effort. Hopefully, their views, like all of ours, but theirs especially, will be weighed and, hopefully, people will agree to the great work that Dr. Joyce is doing.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. JOYCE).

Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding. And I want to acknowledge Mr. SMITH from New Jersey for taking such courageous leadership on this very important issue. We as a body thank the gentleman.

Mr. Speaker, 47 years of the amoral destruction of life is 47 years too long. As a physician, my pro-life conviction is rooted in the Hippocratic oath's commitment to protecting human life. In the medical community, each of us is called to do everything in our power to protect the patients to whom we are assigned. Each of us pledges to do no harm.

Mr. Speaker, Roe v. Wade directly contradicts this oath. Each life is a precious gift that is truly worthy of our protection.

As our Nation marks another tragic anniversary of Roe v. Wade, I remain committed to serving as a steadfast voice for the voiceless. As we continue this fight, I remain eternally hopeful that our Nation will someday value all human life for its inherent worth and its dignity.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. WATKINS), who represents the Second Congressional District.

Mr. WATKINS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from New Jersey for his leadership on this. This Congress is better because of it, and this Nation is better because of the gentleman's efforts.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to be a voice for the voiceless. There is no effort more important than our protecting the sanctity of life. As a true political freshman, new to Congress and new to politics, I was so proud that the first bill I put my name on was to defund Planned Parenthood.

Most recently I introduced H.R. 4800, the Pro-LIFE Act. My bill would close the "valuable consideration" loophole

by prohibiting the sale of human fetal issues. These are unborn babies' hearts, livers, bones, and brains that are used in experiments. I urge my colleagues to please sign on to my Pro-LIFE Act, H.R. 4800.

May God bless the unborn, and may God have mercy on us all.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CLOUD), who is my friend and colleague.

Mr. CLOUD. Mr. Speaker, January 22 marks the 47th anniversary of the United States Supreme Court decision, Roe v. Wade. On that day, in a historic moment of judicial overreach and without legislative action, the Supreme Court declared abortion legal in our country. Since then 61 million babies have been robbed of their right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

Today we know much more than we did when Roe v. Wade was handed down. Decades of scientific advancements have revealed much about the development and vitality of the unborn child. We know, for example, beyond any shadow of a doubt that unborn babies feel and react to pain at just 20 weeks, which means, yes, they do feel the pain of an abortion.

Fetal heart rate monitors and 3D ultrasounds are commonly used by expectant mothers today. The use of this technology was very limited in 1973, but now we know that the sounds of heartbeats and images of a moving baby reveal one thing to us, and that is life. Continued scientific discovery and the technological advancements have only strengthened the case that the life of a child yet to be born is precious.

I do believe that everyone has a right to life and equal protection under the law. Thomas Jefferson once said: "Indeed I tremble for my country when I reflect that God is just, that His justice cannot sleep forever."

I pray for God's mercy on this Nation. And I pray that we in this Chamber and those across our Nation will continue to work together to end this injustice.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I yield to another gentleman from Texas (Mr. WRIGHT), and I thank him for sponsoring the Teleabortion Prevention Act and the Child Custody Protection Act.

Mr. WRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Congressman SMITH for his incredible leadership on the life issue in the Congress.

Mr. Speaker, 47 years ago the moral and religious fabric of the United States was tragically torn. As a result of the Roe v. Wade decision, 61 million unborn children have lost their lives, and millions of women and families have been torn apart by abortion. I promised my constituents that I would be a voice for the voiceless in Washington, and I have no intention of breaking that promise.

Over the past year my colleagues on the other side of the aisle have time and time again blocked legislation that

protects the unborn and women's health. Last year we saw lawmakers block the Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act over 80 times, explicitly endorsing infanticide.

A number of important pro-life bills have been introduced in this Congress, including, as Mr. SMITH mentioned, my Teleabortion Prevention Act and the Child Custody Protection Act that protects young women who are being taken across State lines for an abortion. Both have been stalled by partisan politics.

We also saw lawmakers in New York and Virginia cheer legislation that would allow abortions in the ninth month of pregnancy when most babies are viable. This is an outrage. Protecting the voiceless unborn is one of the most significant contributions we can make in our lives, and we, as a nation, need to get back to protecting it.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. GUEST), who represents the Third Congressional District.

Mr. GUEST. Mr. Speaker, Jeremiah 1:5 states: "Before I formed you in the womb I knew you, before you were born I set you apart."

In the 47 years that have passed since Roe v. Wade, over 45 million Americans have tragically been robbed of their lives through abortion. The fight to protect the lives of our unborn children, however, has grown stronger since Roe v. Wade.

As we have developed a better understanding of the immense consequences that abortion inflicts on the mother, our society, and, most importantly, the child in the womb, individual States, including my home State of Mississippi, have enacted more than 1,000 preventive laws to protect the unborn.

As a pro-life Member I am proud to join the bipartisan group of Representatives and Senators who have filed an amicus brief that outlines the arguments of why Roe v. Wade should be overturned.

I am grateful for the tremendous leadership of President Trump and his administration to ensure the Federal Government's regulatory efforts to preserve life.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage every American to join the movement to protect our unborn children and support efforts to defend the right to life.

THE 47TH ANNUAL MARCH FOR LIFE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2019, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. GROTHMAN) for 30 minutes.

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, as I understand it, some of my friends up here should have more interesting things to say, so I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH), who is my good friend.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Wisconsin controls the time

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. WILSON).

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I thank Congressman CHRIS SMITH for his dedication and leadership, and I thank Congressman GROTHMAN from Wisconsin for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, on January 22, 1973, the Supreme Court struck down State and Federal right-to-life laws in their decision on Roe v. Wade. Since then over 61 million unborn children have been stripped of their right to life, and our country has denied itself future teachers, doctors, farmers, neighbors, friends, and loved ones.

I am grateful to stand with my colleagues in Congress to defend the right to life for the unborn. In the House we have worked to pass commonsense prolife legislation such as H.R. 962, the Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act. This bill would give a child the right to live if he or she is born alive following an abortion or attempted abortion. With over 191 cosponsors, this bill has been shamefully dropped by House Democrats for a vote 80 different times.

Last weekend I attended the Right to Life Dinner featuring Governor Henry McMaster and First Lady Peggy McMaster and appreciated participating with thousands of citizens across the State for a program emceed by Attorney General Alan Wilson at the Statehouse in Columbia.

South Carolina Citizens for Life work tirelessly to protect innocent human lives, and I appreciate the leadership of Lisa Van Riper, Holly Gatling, Alexia Newman, Karen Iacovelli Forster, Brenda Hucks, and Sally Zaleski for their hard work and dedication.

I look forward to welcoming next weekend the participants for the March for Life in Washington. Each year tens of thousands of students from across America will show their appreciation of life.

This year is special in that my oldest grandson and namesake, Addison Wilson III, will attend with a delegation from Holy Trinity Classical Christian School of Beaufort, South Carolina.

□ 1915

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. LAMALFA).

Mr. Lamalfa. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. Grothman), my colleague, and also Chris Smith for his tireless leadership on this.

In the 47 years since Roe v. Wade, more than 60 million unborn children's lives have ended too soon. Life is the most fundamental human right, and I believe that life begins at conception.

Science continues to build a case for this, and as technology develops, women are getting a clearer view of the lives of their babies when they go to the doctor's office. At just 6 weeks, an ultrasound can detect a child's heartbeat. At 20 weeks, a baby, we know, can

feel pain in the womb. Unfortunately, many States still allow abortions after this stage.

There is no fooling anyone anymore on this. These are true lives inside the womb, not just clumps of cells. Science and medicine make clear that life exists in the womb. If this is true, as I believe it is, those 60 million unborn babies deserved to have the most basic right, the right to live.

Mr. Speaker, I thank all those prolife freedom fighters who will be here this month at the March for Life and those who are doing it at home in their communities, at those clinics, at those pro-life centers. They have to endure a lot of harsh rhetoric from people on the other side of this. They, indeed, are doing the Lord's work. May God bless them, and I thank them for being part of this.

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. BIGGS), my friend.

Mr. BIGGS. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman for yielding and appreciate him sharing his time with us, and Representative SMITH for his tireless work on this issue.

Mr. Speaker, I am immensely grateful to live in Arizona, a State that prioritizes the protection of the unborn. During my tenure in the Arizona State Legislature, we passed dozens of pro-life bills. In fact, Arizona was recently named one of the top three prolife States in the Union by Americans United for Life.

I appreciate the efforts of pro-life advocates across my district who have worked tirelessly to help countless women choose life for their unborn babies. The Arizona March for Life is taking place this weekend in Phoenix, and I wish them great success.

You see, pro-abortion advocates are the Luddites of our time. They deny the reality of what science has repeatedly demonstrated about the unborn. There is nothing that we do in Congress that will have efficacy if we choose to and fail to protect the most innocent among us.

This Congress, I introduced H.R. 2742, the Abortion Is Not Health Care Act, to prevent abortions from being considered a tax-deductible medical expense. I have also cosponsored nearly two dozen bills seeking to protect the unborn, including the Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act, Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act, the Defund Planned Parenthood Act, and the Heartbeat Protection Act.

As we are here tonight, I can't help but think of when my wife was pregnant and we expected each of our children. Even without today's technology, we knew that each of those children was special, a unique individual, alive and helpless, dependent upon us, and that we had a responsibility for their safety and well-being.

How can this Nation, founded under the principles and understanding of God's direction and hand in the formation of this country, how can we expect the affirming and continued blessings of the Deity, whom we all revere, if we continue to sacrifice on the altar of selfishness these unborn children?

Mr. Speaker, I call on my colleagues to end this practice.

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT).

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, I am grateful to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. GROTHMAN) and the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH) for their work in this area.

Mr. Speaker, there was a time not long ago when STEVE KING at the Conservative Opportunity Society had a young lady named Ramona Trevino who came and spoke to us. An extraordinary woman, she was top in her class, as I recall, and became pregnant at 16. She was encouraged by some to abort the child, but she didn't. She didn't go on to college, and eventually, there was an opening for director at a Planned Parenthood facility in Sherman, Texas, for which she would apply.

She was thrilled when she had the chance to be director. But she had that daughter who meant and means so much to her, and she was surprised at the monthly meetings that the thing that was pushed most was not to get the number of abortions up, but if they would push taking birth control pills to the younger girls, then that would ensure that they would have revenue up from abortions, that the key was getting birth control pills to the youngest girls. They were more likely to miss days and get pregnant, and they would come back in for an abortion.

That was the way they were trained. They were trained to encourage the young girls that came in: We will keep this between us. Your mom can't be trusted, but we can. We will keep your secrets, and you can have a very open lifestyle and enjoy yourself. If there are problems, you come back to me. You don't have to tell your parents.

That is so destructive to the family. It is destructive to the mother-child relationship. It has led to the millions of abortions that have been performed, as sanctioned by Planned Parenthood.

One other thing I have to mention that struck me so harshly, in the Committee on the Judiciary, we had a doctor that did late-term abortion. He said he did over a thousand of them and never thought about it. The mom's cervix was not dilated so for a late-term abortion—and he was very graphic about the manner in which you went

You would find something that seemed linear, and it was either an arm or a leg. You had to pull it off. Once you had done that four times, you had to feel for something bulbous, he said, and then that had to be crushed. Then, you could remove the child.

After his daughter was tragically killed in an accident, he couldn't do it anymore. The thought of pulling a little child apart was more than he could bear

Mr. Speaker, it ought to be more than Americans could bear, coming between a mother and daughter, the mother who wants the best for her child. It should be unthinkable for a loving, caring, nonabusive mother. That ought to be unthinkable, but that is what is going on. That is what Roe v. Wade has led to

You may say: Well, you are just a guy. What do you know?

I have defended what some people said were indefensible people, and it is an honor to stand up and defend a child that can't speak.

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. DAVIDSON)

Mr. DAVIDSON of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding. I thank my colleagues who have taken the time tonight to speak in defense of life.

Next week, a beautiful, peaceful protest will take place here in Washington, D.C., the March for Life, in which not tens but hundreds of thousands of Americans will gather, including many from the Eighth District of Ohio.

When I have looked across that vast crowd in the past on the National Mall, I have been filled with hope for our future because the faces in that crowd are overwhelmingly young people. The younger generations are increasingly pro-life. They feel the effects of more than 60 million elective abortions carried out in America since 1973.

These 60 million lives had so much potential. They could have been someone's spouse, brother, sister. They would have been mothers and fathers in their own right, but their lives were snuffed out by abortion.

We should, of course, have compassion for the women whose babies' lives have ended through abortion. Too often, they lacked support, been pressured or deceived into a fateful choice to end their baby's life.

Mr. Speaker, I especially want to honor and encourage women like Mya, the mother of Ohio State running back J.K. Dobbins, who chose life courageously.

Next week is different. We celebrate a different vision, a hopeful, optimistic vision. The youth will rise up, and by the grace of God, I will be there with them to say: It is time to end the abortion culture in America and defend the right to life.

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH).

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, in a Florida abortion clinic, Sycloria Williams delivered a live baby girl at 23 weeks. The clinic owner took the baby, who was gasping for air, cut her umbilical cord, threw her into a biohazard bag, and put the bag in the trash. Heartbroken, Sycloria later had a funeral for her baby girl, who she named Shanice.

In Sycloria's home State of Florida, in just 1 year, in 2017, 11 babies were

born alive during abortions. Shockingly, only 6 States—Florida, Arizona, Michigan, Minnesota, Oklahoma, and Texas—currently require reporting of children born alive who survive abortion

Why the coverup? Dr. Willard Cates, the former head of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Abortion Surveillance Unit, said live births "are little known because organized medicine, from fear of public clamor and legal action, treats them more as an embarrassment to be hushed up than a problem to be solved. It is like turning yourself in to the IRS," he goes on, "for an audit. What is there to gain? The tendency is not to report because there are only negative incentives."

Mr. Speaker, I would remind my colleagues that Philadelphia abortionist Kermit Gosnell, one of the few who got caught, was convicted for murder, for killing children who were born alive after attempting abortions.

The grand jury described it this way: "Gosnell had a simple solution for unwanted babies he delivered: He killed them. He didn't call it that. He called it 'ensuring fetal demise.' The way he ensured fetal demise was by sticking scissors into the back of the baby's neck and cutting the spinal cord. He called that 'snipping.'"

Mr. Speaker, we have tried the whole year to bring the Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act introduced by ANN WAGNER and 191 of us to a vote here in this House. I, again, with my colleagues, appeal to the leadership: These children need protection. The unborn children need protection.

Congressmen ANDY BIGGS and DOUG LAMALFA said it so well a few moments ago, that we have such a clearer picture of the unborn as well. Andy said, even without today's technology, he and his wife knew there was a baby. Ultrasound shatters the myth that an unborn child is anything but human, alive, and extremely vulnerable.

Mr. Speaker, let's protect the children

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, I will address a few topics tonight, but before I start on these other topics, I, as well, will address the March for Life.

As has been mentioned, we are dealing with the 47th anniversary of Roe v. Wade. Hopefully, over 200,000 people will be here in Washington next week, and I feel bad it is a week where we are doing our work back in the district so I won't be able to be here for it.

It is still kind of amazing that, in 1973, I think it was seven out of nine judges, which is kind of a bad testimony to the law schools of this Nation, felt that when our forefathers put together the Constitution, they felt that it, of necessity, made abortion legal in this country. I am sure they were not talking about it at all at the time, and throughout much of our history, abortion has been legal in the vast majority of States.

At the time that Roe v. Wade came down, I believe abortion was illegal in

48 of the 50 States. If not, it was almost 48 States, and it was just an outlandish decision.

Since the decision has come down, we have had over 45 million lives cut short because of abortion in this country. When you think about 45 million, think how large that is. If they were alive today, it would be something on the order of about one-seventh of the current population of this country. But our people have voluntarily snuffed out that many lives.

I remember when ultrasounds came out and became common. I had assumed that when people began to look at ultrasounds, they would see what they were dealing with here and abortion would have quickly become illegal.

□ 1930

It says something about the callousness of our country and the ineffectiveness of our clergy that, despite the gift of ultrasound—so, unlike 60 years ago, we all know exactly what is going on—our country continues to allow this slaughter to continue.

Even worse, America, which I do believe should be a shining light on the hill, is one of only seven countries which allow late-term abortions: ourselves, Singapore, Canada, Holland, Red China, Vietnam, and North Korea—largely, a pretty embarrassing set of countries to be with.

I hope all Americans stop and ponder how America still winds up on such a ridiculous list, particularly such a wealthy country and a country in which so many people have access to see exactly what is going on.

As a matter of fact, recently, things have even gotten worse. I am sure my colleagues have talked about the bill recently signed into law in New York, which, in essence, a goal appears to be to allow you to snuff out the life of a newborn baby right before it is born. There is danger that a similar law is soon going to pass in Virginia.

I challenge the clergy in this country. And I know some of them don't like to say uncomfortable truths, apparently, but I challenge them to do something here.

Somebody just mentioned the movie "Gosnell," and there is another movie, "Unplanned." I think these are movies that would be wise for the clergy in this country to make sure people were aware of so they saw exactly what was going on.

Obviously, what we have here is kind of a silent ending of millions of lives. Most people do not know it is going on. It doesn't affect them on a daily basis. But it is time for the clergy and churches of this country to step up to the plate.

I wish well for all the people who are going to come from all over the country and attend the March for Life.

KNIGHTS OF COLUMBUS

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, there are other things I am going to address right now, one a little bit related. I

would like to talk a little bit about the Knights of Columbus.

We live in a society that claims to value diversity and tolerance; however, sometimes things bubble up in this town. It is apparent that some people are hated and the intolerance applies to them.

I am speaking, of course, of the fact that, about 2 years ago, a little over 2 years ago now, a U.S. Senator from California, in part of a confirmation hearing on a Federal judge, decided to weigh in and felt that, if you were a member of the Knights of Columbus, perhaps you shouldn't become a Federal judge.

Recently, rereading the statements made there and the lack of outrage at what, apparently, was a religious test, or close to a religious test, to become a Federal judge, I thought I would like to talk a little bit about the Knights of Columbus, which is very active in my district.

The Knights were founded as a Catholic group in 1882, in Connecticut, and, currently, there are about 2 million Knights, almost exclusive to the United States, but there are also some in Canada, New Zealand, England—or Britain—and a few other countries.

They have been active during that time, and they do a lot to help people. They seem almost ubiquitous in my district with all the fundraisers they have.

A few weeks ago, I attended a meat raffle for the Princeton Knights of Columbus in which they were helping out a Vietnam veteran who had cancer.

I want to point out that the Knights will help out not just Catholic people, but people who aren't Catholic as well.

The Princeton Knights were also doing a chili fundraiser to help a gentleman with prostate cancer.

Other groups I see around, can be seen: the Cedarburg Knights selling Tootsie Rolls for Special Olympics or pregnancy health centers; the Fond du Lac Knights having fish fries for youth hockey and handicapped children.

You see the Neenah Knights running concession stands at the Packers games, the Mayville Knights doing a calendar fundraiser for the local food pantry, the Oshkosh Knights doing a bowling fundraiser for coats for kids, or Men for Christ. I mean, you see the good works that the Knights are doing everywhere.

I wish I had time to go through all the other organizations and list exactly the fundraisers they have. The Two Rivers, Manitowoc, Sheboygan, Fredonia, Horicon, wherever I go, I see the Knights are active helping people out.

I always kind of consider the Knights of Columbus kind of like mom and apple pie. You know, you could stand here in Congress, and Congressmen would go out of their way to praise groups like the Knights of Columbus and be seen with the Knights of Columbus

But, apparently, they are not so much like mom and apple pie anymore.

We have had Members of this body, and not from small, insignificant States, Members of this body apparently now feeling that that is something to be attacked for. And, of course, it is not.

They are helping out at the Special Olympics or helping out at youth hockey that has caused them to be hated or feel that they shouldn't be part of the public square. It is, of course, that they are active in the Catholic church, a church that is pro-life, that apparently some Members around here feel that the Knights of Columbus, therefore, should step aside and can't be trusted to make decisions as far as where we are going in the United States of America.

Recently, Joe Biden came out, actually, and even said that the Senator from California would make a great Vice President. I mean, can you imagine that?

Can you imagine, 40 years ago, in this country, somebody saying that the Knights of Columbus, that a member of the Knights maybe shouldn't be a Federal judge?

That is a great thing she says. Let's make her a Vice President. I think that is a great thing.

In any event, speaking on behalf of myself, I would like to thank the Knights for all they do around the Sixth Congressional District. I hope they continue to speak out on Christian issues, on Catholic issues.

I hope the other clergy, clergy who may not be Catholic themselves, realize that they could be next to be targeted by these Members of Congress. But, again, I thank the Knights of Columbus for all they do.

Mr. Speaker, I guess I have a few minutes more here. I have, what, 5 more minutes?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Wisconsin has 5 minutes remaining.

IMPEACHMENT AND IMMIGRATION

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, we spent a lot of time up here, too much time on this impeachment thing. I think the reason people want to talk about impeachment is they don't like to talk about all the things that ought to be getting done that Congress isn't doing when they are talking about impeachment.

I want to, one more time, talk about what I think is the number one issue that is going to destroy America, and that is the immigration situation. We continue to have people come across the border.

President Trump, on his own, has dropped the number of people coming in this country from over 50,000 allowed in this country and placed in this country last May to under 1,000 by the Border Patrol in December.

Nevertheless, laws should be changed quickly to make sure that this does not continue, or should be changed quickly before some of these Federal judges decide to stop President Trump from trying to do what he is doing.

The Congress should be brought in to change the credible fear standard to

make sure everybody under the Sun can't say that they should be a refugee.

We want to change the laws with regard to the Traffic Victim Protection Reparations Act. Right now, so people understand, if we get somebody under age 18 from Canada or Mexico—from Canada or Mexico—we can send them back; from other countries, we are forced to keep families apart.

I know President Trump would desperately like to return a minor from Honduras or Venezuela or Cuba back to their parents, but right now he is forbidden from doing that because Congress refuses to act.

We should be cracking down on welfare for illegal immigrants right now, which serves as an inducement for the most irresponsible of people to come to the United States, but Congress doesn't act.

We have built, or we are soon going to build, 100 miles toward the wall, but we still need more money. We hope we have enough money to get to 450 miles by the end of the year. But that is not going to be enough, particularly when you consider that, right now, the DEA thinks that 95 percent of the fentanyl, cocaine, heroin, or meth in this country is coming across our borders.

I will guarantee you there are people who are going back to their districts next week and, at their townhalls, are claiming that they care about the over 60,000 people who died last year from these drugs, but they aren't doing anything to enforce that border where 95 percent of these drugs—fentanyl, cocaine, heroin, and meth—are coming are cross.

It is time we act there, as well. We need more money for what we call non-intrusive technology so we can determine when these drugs are coming across in cars or otherwise, as well as it would be a good thing to get more dogs.

I have been down at the border myself and seen how effective they are at preventing these drugs from coming across that are killing so many people.

In any event, I hope the rest of the public and our mainstream media, as well as our conservative media, don't fall apart and don't fall into the trap of being all impeachment all the time over the next 3 or 4 months.

Remember all the people who are dying because of the drugs coming across the border. Remember the huge burden on the United States as more people come across the border for things such as welfare-type benefits, and imagine what type of future America has if we don't begin to enforce our laws.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Ms. Byrd, one of its clerks, announced that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives that the Senate is ready to receive the Managers appointed by