of mixed-motive age discrimination in Federal employment claims. We have to fight back against these motivating factors that have nothing to do with a person's experience or ability.

It is important that when we pass legislation, we ensure that it has public data on the outcome in order to be transparent and accountable to the residents who we serve back home.

For the sake of our residents and to protect our older workforce, Congress must ensure that age is not again a motivating factor in employment decisions.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to support this amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX of North Carolina. Mr. Chair, I claim time in opposition to the amendment.

The CHAIR. The gentlewoman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. FOXX of North Carolina. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, as I understand it, this amendment requires the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights to produce a report on mixed-motive claims in age discrimination cases filed by Federal employees against their Federal agency employers. I have several concerns with this amendment.

First, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights is a small agency that is not well equipped to undertake such a study. This amendment requires "funds appropriated in advance," otherwise known as taxpayer dollars, to be spent to do the report, which means the agency doesn't have the resources to take on this mandate.

Second, while H.R. 1230 was only referred to the Committee on Education and Labor, this amendment involves the interests of two other committees that are not represented in this debate. The Judiciary Committee has jurisdiction over the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, which is tasked with doing the report directed by the amendment, and the Oversight and Reform Committee has jurisdiction over the employment relationships between Federal agencies and their employees.

Third, this report will be submitted to Congress no later than 5 years after the bill goes into effect. I am not sure what good a report published 5 years from now will do for us who are being asked to vote on H.R. 1230 now.

Fourth, perhaps most importantly, there is a lack of evidence that a report is needed on age discrimination claims in Federal agencies. The Committee on Education and Labor received no evidence on this matter.

With H.R. 1230, Democrats have chosen to further their pro-trial lawyer agenda with legislation that masquerades as a protection for workers.

H.R. 1230 is yet another one-size-fitsall approach that fails to address the purported problem, neglects the experience of workers and employers, and disregards decades of Supreme Court precedent. This amendment does nothing to address the fundamental flaws in H.R. 1230, and it directs a small agency to conduct a study without a clear basis of the need for that study.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to oppose the amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. TLAIB. Mr. Chairman, I think it is really important to note that this came about because the last report that we could find on age discrimination in this particular area is from the 1970s. It is about time that we bring this forward.

We could not find anything anywhere that specifically looked at this particular Federal mixed-motive age discrimination kind of study, again, since the 1970s.

The burden of proof is just too high on Federal employees. We need to go back and be very centered around making sure that there is equal access to proving a discrimination case of this type.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to support this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX of North Carolina. Mr. Chair, this is a solution in search of a problem.

We all know that it is almost impossible to fire a Federal employee. In fact, I think the number is less than 1 percent who are fired each year.

Maybe the reason we haven't had an updated report is because there hasn't been the need for an updated report. I think, again, this is a totally unnecessary amendment, and I am totally opposed to it.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. TLAIB).

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Ms. TLAIB) having assumed the chair, Mr. CUELLAR, Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1230) to amend the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 and other laws to clarify appropriate standards for Federal employment discrimination and retaliation claims, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 3 o'clock and 11 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

 \sqcap 1602

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. Brown of Maryland) at 4 o'clock and 2 minutes p.m.

PROTECTING OLDER WORKERS AGAINST DISCRIMINATION ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 790 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 1230.

Will the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CUELLAR) kindly resume the chair.

□ 1602

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 1230) to amend the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 and other laws to clarify appropriate standards for Federal employment discrimination and retaliation claims, and for other purposes, with Mr. CUELLAR in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, amendment No. 5 printed in House Report 116–377 offered by the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. TLAIB) had been disposed of.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MR. ALLEN

The CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, the unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 3 printed in House Report 116-790 offered by the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. Allen) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 163, noes 257, not voting 15, as follows:

[Roll No. 19] AYES—163

Abraham Bost Aderholt Brady Allen Brooks (AL) Amodei Buck Bucshon Armstrong Arrington Budd Babin Burchett Bacon Burgess Carter (GA) Baird Balderson Carter (TX) Banks Chabot Barr Chenev Bergman Cline Cloud Biggs Bilirakis Cole Collins (GA) Bishop (NC)

Comer

Bishop (UT)

Conaway Cook Crenshaw Curtis DesJarlais Diaz-Balart Duncan Dunn Emmer Estes Ferguson Fleischmann Flores Fortenberry Foxx (NC) Fulcher Gaetz

Thompson (MS)

Scanlon

Pavne

Gallagher LaMalfa. Lamborn Gianforte Gibbs Latta Gohmert Long Gonzalez (OH) Lucas González-Colón Luetkemeyer (PR.) Marshall Gooden Massie McCaul Gosar McHenry Granger Graves (GA) McKinley Graves (LA) Meuser Graves (MO) Miller Mitchell Green (TN) Guest Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Guthrie Hagedorn Mullin Harris Murphy (NC) Hartzler Newhouse Hern, Kevin Norman Herrera Beutler Nunes Hice (GA) Olson Higgins (LA) Palazzo Hill (AR) Palmer Holding Pence Hudson Posey Huizenga. Ratcliffe Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Rice (SC) Johnson (SD) Riggleman Jordan Roby Joyce (PA) Keller Roe, David P. Kelly (MS) Rogers (AL) Kelly (PA) Rogers (KY) King (IA) Rooney (FL) Kustoff (TN) Rose, John W. LaHood Rouzer

Rutherford Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Shimkus Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smucker Spano Steil Steube Stewart Stivers Taylor Thompson (PA) Thornberry Timmons Tipton Wagner Walberg Walker Walorski Waltz Watkins Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Reschenthaler Westerman Williams Wilson (SC) Rodgers (WA) Wittman

Womack Woodall Wright

Yoho

Young

Zeldin

NOES-257

DelBene

Delgado

Demings

Deutch

Dingell

Doggett

Engel

Eshoo

Evans

Escobar

Espaillat

Finkenauer

Fitzpatrick

Fletcher

Foster

Frankel

Gallego

Golden

Gomez

Griffith

Grijalva

Haaland

Hastings

Haves

Himes

Horsford

Houlahan

Huffman

Jayapal

Jeffries

Kaptur

Katko

Keating

Kelly (IL)

Kennedy

Khanna

Kildee

Hurd (TX)

Jackson Lee

Johnson (GA)

Johnson (TX)

Joyce (OH)

Hover

Grothman

Harder (CA)

Higgins (NY)

Hollingsworth

Horn, Kendra S.

Garamendi

García (IL)

Garcia (TX)

Gonzalez (TX)

Green, Al (TX)

Gottheimer

Fudge

DeSaulnier

Doyle, Michael

Adams Aguilar Allred Amash Axne Barragán Bass Beatty Bera Bever Bishop (GA) Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Bovle, Brendan F. Brindisi Brooks (IN) Brown (MD) Brownley (CA) Buchanan Bustos Butterfield Carbaial Cárdenas Carson (IN) Cartwright Case Casten (IL) Castor (FL) Castro (TX) Chu, Judy Cicilline Cisneros Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Cleaver Clyburn Cohen Connolly Cooper Correa Costa Courtney Cox (CA) Craig Crist Crow Cuellar Cunningham Davids (KS) Davidson (OH) Davis (CA) Davis, Danny K. Davis, Rodney Dean DeFazio DeGette DeLauro

Kilmer Kim Kind King (NY) Kinzinger Krishnamoorthi Kuster (NH) Lamb Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Lieu Ted Lipinski Loebsack Lofgren Lowenthal Lowey Luián Luria Lynch Malinowski Maloney, Carolyn B. Malonev, Sean Mast Matsui McAdams McBath McCollum McEachin McGovern McNerney Meadows Meeks Meng Moore Morelle Moulton Mucarsel-Powell Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Neal Neguse Norcross Norton O'Halleran Ocasio-Cortez Omar Pallone Panetta Pappas Pascrell

Perlmutter Schakowsky Titus Perry Schiff Tlaib Peters Schneider Tonko Peterson Schrader Torres (CA) Schrier Scott (VA) Phillips Torres Small Pingree (NM) Plaskett Scott, David Trahan Pocan Sensenbrenner Trone Porter Serrano Turner Sewell (AL) Pressley Underwood Price (NC) Shalala. Upton Quigley Sherman Van Drew Sherrill Raskin Vargas Reed Sires Rice (NY) Slotkin Veasey Richmond Smith (NJ) Vela Rose (NY) Smith (WA) Velázguez Visclosky Rouda Soto Roy Spanberger Walden Roybal-Allard Speier Wasserman Stanton Ruiz Schultz Ruppersberger Stauber Waters Rush Stefanik Watson Coleman Ryan Stevens Welch Sablan Suozzi Wexton San Nicolas Swalwell (CA) Wild Sánchez Takano Yarmuth Sarbanes Thompson (CA)

NOT VOTING-

Byrne Kirkpatrick McCarthy Calvert Lesko McClintock Clay Radewagen Lewis Crawford Loudermilk Simpson Wilson (FL) Gabbard Marchant

Ms. DEAN, Messrs. HECK, CUNNINGHAM, Ms. BASS, Mr. ROY, Ms. DELAURO, Messrs. GROTHMAN, MEADOWS. WALDEN, SUOZZI. PAYNE, and NADLER changed their vote from "aye" to "no." Mr. KUSTOFF of Tennessee changed

his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Mr. McCARTHY. Mr. Chair, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall No. 19.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. CICILLINE). There being no further amendments under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. CUELLAR) having assumed the chair, Mr. CICILLINE, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1230) to amend the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 and other laws to clarify appropriate standards for Federal employment discrimination and retaliation claims, and for other purposes, and, pursuant to House Resolution 790, he reported the bill, as amended by that resolution, back to the House with sundry further amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any further amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The

question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed

and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. SMUCKER. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. SMUCKER, Mr. Speaker, I am in its current form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Smucker moves to recommit the bill (H.R. 1230) to the Committee on Education and Labor, with instructions to report the bill back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

At the end of the bill, add the following:

. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

Nothing in this Act shall be construed to alter the status of a truck driver as an independent contractor if the truck driver is currently considered to be an independent contractor under Federal law.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Pennsylvania is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his motion.

Mr. SMUCKER. Mr. Speaker, this motion to recommit ensures that nothing in H.R. 1230 shall be construed to alter the status of a truck driver who is an independent contractor if the truck driver is currently considered to be an independent contractor under Federal law.

Mr. Speaker, Democrats in Congress and in State legislatures across the country are currently working to enact an unnecessary, backward-looking, and confusing legal standard for determining employee status. Their standard would deprive millions of Americans of the opportunity to work independently and to start their own businesses.

It seems like bad ideas like this often start off in California, and the Democrats' desire to all but eliminate independent contracting is no exception. A California law, known as Assembly Bill 5, is wreaking havoc on workers in industries ranging from freelance journalism to ride-sharing and many more.

The result is heart-wrenching stories from workers whose livelihoods have been turned upside down because Democrats have pushed through a radical leftwing policy.

Interestingly, California Democrats carved out some of their favorite friends, but truck drivers were not exempted, despite their opposition, despite their rally at the State Capitol, which included blaring their truck horns and all.

Fortunately for truck drivers, a district court has recently issued a temporary restraining order blocking enforcement of the law against independent truck drivers in the State.

But it doesn't end there. Democrats right here in Congress continue this assault on independent contractors.

Mr. Speaker, 215 House Democrats have cosponsored the PRO Act, legislation that would take the text of California's anti-independent contractor law and make it Federal law, with no exemptions for truck drivers, or anyone else, for that matter.

Let me say this again. The Democrats' legislation pending in the House, on which, by the way, the majority leader promised a vote by President's Day, would impose California's anti-independent contractor law on every business in America.

Independent contracting today allows millions of American families the opportunity to live their own American Dream.

I started my own career as an independent contractor operating my own drywall company at the age of 17. The flexibility this status offered allowed me to grow the business, building a team of hundreds of employees with family-sustaining jobs over the course of 25 years.

Independent truck drivers specifically are able to invest in their own careers and work for themselves on their own schedules while powering the American economy across the country.

Mr. Speaker, according to the Owner-Operator Independent Drivers Association, there are over 350,000 owner-operator independent truck drivers in the United States. Their average net income in 2018 was well over \$50,000, more than their professional employee-driver counterparts.

Last August, I participated in a ride with my constituent Randy Martin, who is owner-operator of Peachey Transport in Denver, Pennsylvania. Randy has grown a successful business hauling premier Lancaster County farm products.

He has been driving trucks since 1984 and shared with me that this career has allowed him to provide for his family while becoming a successful entrepreneur. It has allowed him and his family to achieve their own American Dream.

Randy isn't the only one. In 2017, a company collected stories from drivers on why they love trucking. One driver, Susan Couch, said:

I never knew a trucking job would become a passion and how much this career would change my life. It has allowed me to be independent and support my son alone. It has given me strength I never knew I had.

Tu Ngo told the San Francisco Chronicle that owning his own truck allows him to pursue the American Dream he was seeking when he fled Vietnam in 1982.

Eduardo Rangel values the flexibility he has to attend his young son's soccer games.

The stories are endless.

Independent truck driving provides a steady, stable, rewarding, and prosperous livelihood for thousands of American workers.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support these American workers. I urge them to support this motion to recommit. A "yes" vote is a vote to support prosperity and to support free enterprise.

Don't let hardworking Americans become victims of a misguided labor scheme cooked up by socialist Democrats in California and right here in the U.S. Congress. Rather, vote "yes" to allow workers all across the country to prosper and to live their own American Dream.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. WILD. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Pennsylvania is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WILD. Mr. Speaker, I am not sure that my colleague and friend from Pennsylvania has read the same bill that I did that we are voting on tonight.

This bill, the Protecting Older Workers Against Discrimination Act, has nothing to do with truck drivers, and it has nothing to do with independent contractors.

This motion to recommit is nothing more than a distraction from the very important legislation that is embodied in this bill. This MTR has nothing to do with discrimination against older workers, which is what we are seeking to protect against.

Why do my friends and colleagues across the aisle want to hurt older working Americans? This legislation, H.R. 1230, was drawn up to fix the damage that has been done by the Supreme Court decision in Gross v. FBL in 2009, which severely weakened age discrimination protections.

Since that decision was made, both the Supreme Court and the lower courts have relied on it and applied the Gross reasoning to the other civil rights laws so that it doesn't just hurt older working Americans who are the focus of this legislation, but other people who suffer from employment discrimination.

The bill we are considering today is the bare bones of what is needed to rectify the damage caused by that decision. This bill represents a bipartisan, bicameral effort that has been 10 years in the making.

H.R. 1230 amends the Nation's core civil rights laws to expressly allow for mixed-motive claims, meaning, when an employer claims multiple motives for terminating an employee, one of which is age discrimination, that that will not be permitted.

Since the Gross decision in 2009, age discrimination continues to be a significant barrier to job opportunities. When older workers lose their jobs, they are far more likely than other workers to join the ranks of the long-term or permanent unemployed.

Significantly, approximately 61 percent of older workers have either seen or experienced age discrimination in the workplace.

In 2018, the EEOC received nearly 17,000 age discrimination complaints, accounting for more than 20 percent of all discrimination charges filed. While most older workers say they have seen or experienced age discrimination, only 3 percent report having made a formal complaint. These trends have a profound impact on the economic security of older workers and their families.

This law has nothing to do with truckers and nothing to do with independent contractors. This motion to recommit is a mockery of this important legislation that is designed to protect older working Americans.

I urge my colleagues to stand up for older workers and the protections that they need and deserve.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Scott), the chairman of the committee.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, this is, as my colleague has indicated, a distraction. This has nothing to do with truck drivers or the PRO Act. We are talking about discrimination against older workers. We are talking about victims of discrimination, not who gets to discriminate against them.

We have a problem that older workers are facing discrimination, and everybody knows it. National organizations representing senior citizens, advocacy groups, and civil rights groups, including the AARP, have all written letters asking us to protect workers against discrimination.

We are correcting the Supreme Court case and the Gross decision, which makes it very difficult, if not impossible, for older workers to prove their cases. We need to defeat this distraction and pass the bill to protect older workers against discrimination.

Mr. Speaker, I hope we can defeat the motion and pass the bill.

Ms. WILD. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. SMUCKER. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this 5-minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by a 5-minute vote on the passage of the bill, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 196, noes 220, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 20] AYES—196

Brooks (IN) Abraham Buchanan Allen Amodei Buck Armstrong Bucshon Arrington Budd Burchett Babin Bacon Burgess Baird Calvert Balderson Carter (GA) Banks Carter (TX) Barr Chabot Bergman Cheney Biggs Cline Bilirakis Cloud Bishop (NC) Cole Collins (GA) Bishop (UT) Bost Comer Brindisi Conaway Brooks (AL) Cook

Costa Crenshaw Cunningham Curtis Davidson (OH) Davis, Rodney DesJarlais Diaz-Balart Duncan Dunn Emmer Estes Ferguson Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Flores Fortenberry Foxx (NC) Fulcher

NOES-220

DeGette

DeLauro

DelBene

Delgado

Demings

Deutch

Dingell

Doggett

Engel

Eshoo

Evans

Fletcher

Foster

Frankel

Fudge

Gallego

Golden

Gomez

Garamendi

García (IL)

Garcia (TX)

Gonzalez (TX)

Green, Al (TX)

Gottheimer

Harder (CA)

Higgins (NY)

Grijalva

Haaland

Hastings

Haves

Heck

Himes

Hover

Horsford

Houlahan

Huffman

Jayapal

Kaptur

Keating

Kelly (IL)

Jackson Lee

Johnson (GA)

Johnson (TX)

Escobar

Espaillat

Finkenauer

DeSaulnier

Doyle, Michael

oundary.	10, 2020
Gaetz	Lamborn
Gallagher	Latta
Gianforte	Long
Gibbs	Lucas
Gohmert	Luetkemeyer
Gonzalez (OH)	Marshall
Gooden	Massie
Gosar	Mast
Granger	McAdams
Graves (GA)	McCarthy
Graves (LA)	McCaul
Graves (MO)	McHenry
Green (TN)	McKinley
Griffith	Meadows
Grothman	Meuser
Guest	Miller
Guthrie	Mitchell
Hagedorn	Moolenaar
Harris	Mooney (WV)
Hartzler	Mullin
Hern, Kevin	Murphy (FL)
Herrera Beutler	Murphy (NC)
Hice (GA)	Newhouse
Higgins (LA)	Norman
Hill (AR)	Nunes
Holding	Olson
Hollingsworth	Palazzo
Horn, Kendra S.	Palmer
Hudson	Pence
Huizenga	Perry
Hurd (TX)	Peterson
Johnson (LA)	Posey
Johnson (OH)	Ratcliffe
Johnson (SD)	Reed
Jordan	Reschenthaler
Joyce (OH)	Rice (SC)
Joyce (PA)	Riggleman
Katko	Roby
Keller	Rodgers (WA)
Kelly (MS)	Roe, David P.
Kelly (PA)	Rogers (AL)
King (IA)	Rogers (KY)
King (NY)	Rooney (FL)
Kinzinger	Rose, John W.
Kustoff (TN)	Rouzer
LaHood	Roy
LaMalfa	Rutherford

Adams

Aguilar

Allred

Amash

Axne Barragán

Bass

Bera.

Beyer

Bishop (GA)

Blumenauer

Brown (MD)

Butterfield

Carson (IN)

Cartwright

Casten (IL)

Castor (FL)

Castro (TX)

Chu, Judy

Cicilline

Cisneros

Cleaver

Clyburn

Cohen Connolly

Cooper

Correa

Craig

Crist

Crow

Dean

DeFazio

Cuellar

Davids (KS)

Davis, Danny K.

Davis (CA)

Courtney

Cox (CA)

Clark (MA)

Clarke (NY)

Case

Carbajal

Cárdenas

Bustos

Brownley (CA)

Blunt Rochester

Boyle, Brendan

Beatty

Scalise Schrader Schweikert Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Shimkus Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smucker Spano Stauber Stefanik Steil Steube Stewart Stivers Taylor Thompson (PA) Thornberry Timmons Tipton Turner Upton Van Drew Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Waltz Watkins Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman

Williams

Wittman

Womack

Woodall

Wright

Yoho

Zeldin

Kennedy

Kildee

Kilmer

Krishnamoorthi

Kuster (NH)

Larsen (WA)

Lawson (FL)

Larson (CT)

Kind

Lamb

Langevin

Lawrence

Lee (CA)

Lee (NV)

Levin (CA)

Levin (MI)

Lieu, Ted

Lipinski

Loebsack

Lowenthal

Malinowski

Maloney, Carolyn B.

Maloney, Sean

Lofgren

Lowey

Luján

Luria

Lvnch

Matsui

McBath

McCollum

McEachin

McGovern

McNerney

Meeks

Meng

Moore

Morelle

Nadler

Neal Neguse

Napolitano

O'Halleran

Ocasio-Cortez

Moulton

Mucarsel-Powell

Wilson (SC)

Omar Pallone Panetta Pappas Pascrell Payne Perlmutter Phillips Pingree Pocan Porter Presslev Price (NC) Quigley Raskin Rice (NY) Richmond Rose (NY) Rouda Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Ryan Aderholt Brady Byrne

Sánchez Sarbanes Scanlon Schakowsky Schiff Schneider Schrier Scott (VA) Scott, David Serrano Sewell (AL) Shalala Sherman Sherrill Sires Slotkin Smith (WA) Soto Spanberger Stanton Stevens Suozzi Swalwell (CA) Takano Gabbard

Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres Small (NM) Trahan Trone Underwood Vargas Veasey Vela Velázquez Visclosky Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Coleman Welch Wexton Wild Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

Thompson (CA)

NOT VOTING-13

Kirkpatrick Lesko Clay Lewis Crawford Loudermilk

Marchant McClintock Simpson

□ 1654

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. FOXX of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 261, noes 155, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 21] AYES-261 Clarke (NY) Adams Evans Aguilar Finkenauer Allred Clyburn Fitzpatrick Cohen Fletcher Axne Bacon Connolly Fortenberry Balderson Cook Foster Barragán Cooper Frankel Fudge Gallego Correa Bass Beatty Costa Bera Courtney Garamendi Bever Cox (CA) García (IL) Garcia (TX) Bilirakis Craig Bishop (GA) Crist Golden Blumenauer Crow Gomez Cuellar Gonzalez (TX) Blunt Rochester Gottheimer Green, Al (TX) Grijalva Cunningham Bonamici Bost. Davids (KS) Boyle, Brendan Davis (CA) Davis, Danny K. Grothman Brindisi Davis, Rodney Haaland Harder (CA) Brooks (IN) Dean Brown (MD) DeFazio Hastings Brownley (CA) DeGette Haves Buchanan DeLauro Heck Bustos DelBene Herrera Beutler Butterfield Higgins (NY) Delgado Carbajal Demings Himes Cárdenas Carson (IN) DeSaulnier Hollingsworth Horn, Kendra S. Deutch Cartwright Diaz-Balart Horsford Case Casten (IL) Dingell Houlahan Doggett Hoyer Castor (FL) Doyle, Michael Huffman Castro (TX) F. Engel Hurd (TX) Chu, Judy Jackson Lee Cicilline Escobai Jayapal Cisneros Eshoo Jeffries Espaillat Clark (MA) Johnson (GA)

Moulton Jovce (OH) Kaptur Katko Keating Nadler Kelly (IL) Napolitano Kennedy Nea1 Khanna Neguse Kildee Norcross Kilmer O'Halleran Kim Kind Omar King (NY) Pallone Kinzinger Panetta Krishnamoorthi Pappas Kuster (NH) Pascrell Lamb Pavne Langevin Perlmutter Larsen (WA) Peters Larson (CT) Peterson Lawrence Phillips Lawson (FL) Pingree Lee (NV) Porter Levin (CA) Posev Levin (MI) Pressley Price (NC) Lieu, Ted Lipinski Quiglev Loebsack Raskin Lofgren Reed Lowenthal Rice (NY) Rice (SC) Lowey Luián Richmond Luria Rose (NY) Lvnch Ronda Malinowski Maloney, Ruiz Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Rush Ryan Mast Matsui Sánchez McAdams Sarbanes McBath Scanlon McCaul Schakowsky McCollum Schiff Schneider McEachin McGovern Schrader McNerney Schrier Scott (VA)

Meng

Moore

Abraham

Allen

Baird

Barr

Biggs

Brady

Buck Bucshon

Budd

Burchett

Burgess

Calvert

Chabot

Chenev

Cline

Cloud

Comer

Curtis

Conaway

Crenshaw

DesJarlais

Duncan

Emmer

Ferguson

Foxx (NC)

Fulcher

Gaetz

Fleischmann

Dunn

Estes

Flores

Cole

Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)

Collins (GA)

Davidson (OH)

Banks

Bergman

Bishop (NC)

Bishop (UT)

Brooks (AL)

Amash

Amodei

Armstrong

Arrington

Johnson (TX)

Morelle Serrano Sewell (AL) Mucarsel-Powell Shalala Murphy (FL) Sherman Sherrill Sires Slotkin Smith (NJ) Smith (WA) Soto Ocasio-Cortez Spanberger Speier Stanton Stauber Stefanik Stevens Suozzi Swalwell (CA) Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres Small (NM) Trahan Trone Turner Underwood Upton Van Drew Vargas Veasey Roybal-Allard Vela. Velázquez Ruppersberger Visclosky Wagner Walden Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Coleman Welch Wexton Wild Wilson (FL) Scott, David Varmuth Sensenbrenner Young

NOES-155

Gallagher Gianforte Gibbs Gohmert Gonzalez (OH) Gooden Gosar Granger Graves (GA) Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Green (TN) Griffith Guest Guthrie Hagedorn Harris Hartzler Hern, Kevin Hice (GA) Higgins (LA) Hill (AR) Holding Hudson Huizenga Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Johnson (SD) Jordan Joyce (PA) Keller Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) King (IA) Kustoff (TN) LaHood La.Ma.lfa Lamborn Latta Long Lucas Luetkemeyer Marshall Massie McCarthy

McHenry

McKinley Meadows Meuser Miller Mitchell Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Mullin Murphy (NC) Newhouse Norman Nunes Olson Palazzo Palmer Pence Perry Ratcliffe Reschenthaler Riggleman Roby Rodgers (WA) Roe, David P Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Roonev (FL) Rose, John W. Rouzer Rutherford Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Shimkus Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smucker Spano Steil Steube Stewart Stivers Taylor Thompson (PA)

Thornberry

Timmons Tipton

Walberg Webster (FL) Womack Walker Wenstrup Woodall Walorski Westerman Waltz Williams Yoho Watkins Wilson (SC) Zeldin Weber (TX) Wittman

Wright

NOT VOTING-13

Aderholt Kirkpatrick Byrne Lesko Clav Lewis Crawford Loudermilk Gabbard Marchant

McClintock Simpson

□ 1701

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. KIRKPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I was absent today due to a medical emergency. Had I been present, I would have voted: "yea" on rollcall No. 18, "no" on rollcall No. 19, "no" on rollcall No. 20, and "yea" on rollcall No. 21.

RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PHILLIPS) laid before the House the following resignation as a member of the Joint Economic Committee:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES House of Representatives. Washington, DC, January 8, 2020. Hon. NANCY PELOSI.

Speaker, House of Representatives,

Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER PELOSI: I am writing to submit my formal resignation as Vice Chair of the Joint Economic Committee, effective immediately. It has been an honor to serve in this position and I look forward to my new role as Chair of the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

> With my deepest appreciation, CAROLYN B. MALONEY, Member of Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the resignation is accepted. There was no objection.

HOUR OF MEETING ON TOMORROW

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 9 a.m. tomorrow.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

HONORING BRICK CITY LIONS

(Mr. PAYNE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a national champion from my district, the Brick City Lions. Brick City is the nickname of Newark, New Jersey, and the football team, the Brick City Lions, beat the Arizona Far West Jets 34-24 to win the 2019 Pop Warner Division I Junior Varsity national championship last month.

Lions coach Nasir Gains should be very proud of the work of his team. He founded the Lions, also known as the Newark Youth Athletic Foundation, in 2012. In that short time, his teams have succeeded at every level.

But Coach Gains wants them to excel in the classroom as well. He provides reading and math tutors plus standardized test classes to all his players. He wants to build teams with character, confidence, comradery, and brotherhood. Clearly, he is succeeding on and off the field and deserves this recogni-

Coach Gains and his teams represent the best of Newark, and they are a point of pride in our community.

HONORING HAROLD MEEK

(Mr. McCARTHY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. McCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life and legacy of Harold Meek, a community leader and devoted family man, who, sadly, passed away on December 24, 2019.

To many of us, Harold was more than a neighbor. We considered him family, and his legacy will live on through those who knew him.

Harold had a wonderful life with his wife, Kay, and recently celebrated 48 years of marriage. He will be dearly missed by his loving wife, Kay; children Mark, Joi, and Vivienne; and grandchildren Cody, Clint, Jordan, and

Harold moved to Bakersfield in 1958 to work with his brother, Leo, in what would be the beginning of a lifelong career in the automotive industry. After 17 years working at his brother's dealership, Harold joined Three-Way Chevrolet, which later became Three-Way Automotive Group, in 1973.

Under Harold's leadership, the Three-Way Automotive Group experienced years of prosperity, gaining national recognition and receiving multiple industry awards.

Known as one of the greats among Bakersfield car dealers, Harold led Three-Way to various accolades, including seven consecutive titles as the number one retail volume Chevrolet dealer in California, as well as being named the top retail Chevy dealer in the world in June and July of 2005.

Harold was also recognized with the 2003 Time Magazine Quality Dealer Award, a true testament to his commitment to exceptional sales performance and customer service.

This welcoming attitude extended to his personal life and philanthropic endeavors. He was involved in more than 250 civic and charitable organizations, including the American Cancer Society, the Bakersfield Women's Business Conference, and Make-A-Wish Foundation, just to name a few.

A dedicated Republican, Harold was also active in local, State, and national politics. He served on the steering committee of numerous Gubernatorial and Presidential campaigns in California and managed campaigns for city coun-

cil, county supervisor, and State assembly candidates.

After retiring, Harold enjoyed cheering on his Oklahoma Sooner football team, Friday night dinners with his close-knit family, afternoons with his granddaughter, and watching NASCAR races on television with his son.

Harold truly embodied what it means to be a community leader and, above all, a family man. On behalf of California's 23rd Congressional District, Judy and I extend our deepest condolences to the Meek family, and we join them in honoring the life of Harold Meek.

KEEPING ROE V. WADE THE LAW OF THE LAND

(Ms. PINGREE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. PINGREE. Mr. Speaker, on January 22, 1973, the Supreme Court ruled, 7-2, that women have the right to make their own healthcare decisions and to legally access abortion care. Forty-seven years later, our country has seen significant social and economic progress since Roe v. Wade.

Seventy-three percent of Americans support keeping Roe v. Wade the law of the land. They agree we can't go back. And yet, nearly 50 years after Roe became settled law, abortion is still under attack by extreme politicians who believe the government should dictate whether and when to have a child.

From 2011 to 2018, States across our country enacted 424 separate restrictions on abortion. In 2016, Donald Trump campaigned on a promise of appointing Justices who will overturn Roe v. Wade, and he has appointed more than one-quarter of all active judges to date.

His administration has pushed a domestic gag rule to prohibit doctors from telling women about their legal right to access abortion and slashed access to family planning funding in the process.

As we honor the anniversary of Roe v. Wade, we must expand abortion access for women across the country and stand up to the senseless attacks on women's health.

ADDRESSING THE TRAGEDY OF OUR NATIONAL DEBT

(Mr. MURPHY of North Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. MURPHY of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to address the tragedy of our national debt.

Out-of-control spending is the single greatest nonviolent threat to our Nation. This year alone, the Federal deficit increase exceeded \$1 trillion.

Just to give some perspective, a trillion \$1 bills stacked from the ground would reach 60,000 miles into space. The International Space Station is only at 200 miles. This stack would reach one-quarter of the distance to the Moon.