

factors that have complicated this pandemic as well. Critical water, sanitation, and broadband projects throughout the Navajo Nation remain unfunded and firmly on Congress' back burner.

Since coming to Washington in 2017, I have tried to raise the alarm about these long-overlooked issues. I have worked with Tribal governments, shareholders in my district, and my colleagues here on Capitol Hill to find solutions to the problems faced by Indian Country.

This public health crisis continues to shine a brighter light on the hurdles that many Tribal families face every day, not just during a global pandemic. I hope I can count on the many who have joined me in speaking up for Tribal communities to continue to do so after this crisis because lives are at stake here.

Tribal communities are tired of partisan games and political spin. They need the resources they were promised so each sovereign Nation can care for their people.

The Dine people are resilient and they will win this fight.

In a report yesterday from my friend and Navajo Nation President Jonathan Nez, he noted that the Navajo Nation's own aggressive public health measures have helped flatten the curve of COVID-19 significantly.

The situation could have been much worse without his leadership, and the region remains at a high risk if the Federal Government does not step up and do its part.

#### ECONOMIC AND INTELLECTUAL COMPETITION WITH CHINA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognize the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. JOYCE) for 5 minutes.

Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, for far too long, the Chinese Communist Party has taken advantage of innocent people in its own country and around the world.

Now, America is engaged in an economic and intellectual competition with China that existed long before the coronavirus crossed our borders. The current pandemic merely has exposed the Chinese Communist Party's sinister lies, and the depths to which it will reach.

There is no question that we must hold this regime accountable for all of its actions. As a member of the China Task Force, I am committed to combating the Chinese Government's threats to our great Nation. We must be focused on strengthening and protecting our supply chains to ensure that we are never beholden to this regime for critical medicines and critical supplies.

Americans must once again lead in innovation. We must, and we will.

#### SURVEILLANCE OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) for 5 minutes.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, October 2001, under the shadow of 9/11, with the House office buildings evacuated because of the threat of anthrax, a bill authored by Chairman JIM SENSENBRENNER and the Bush White House was brought before the House. It was called the USA PATRIOT Act.

Now, who could, in the shadow of 9/11, vote against anything called the USA PATRIOT Act? Well, I did, as did 66 other Members; 3 Republicans, 62 Democrats, and 1 Independent, because of the unbelievably, unconstitutionally broad powers that would be granted for surveillance of all the American people in myriad ways.

Now, there wasn't even a copy of the bill available. I came to the floor, and I said: Can I have a copy of the bill? They said: Sorry, there is only one. It is on the Republican side. I said: Well, it is not the Senate. I can't filibuster, but I will make it a long day with the adjournment votes. Get me a copy. They printed out a copy, it was hot off the Xerox. I got rushed on this side by Members of the Judiciary Committee who ostensibly authored the bill to try and find out what the heck was in it, but people still voted for it. The abuses that have come under this are myriad and well-documented.

Now, I credit ZOE LOFGREN for trying to amend the most egregious section, 215, and my colleague, RON WYDEN from Oregon. Senator WYDEN almost succeeded in the Senate, short one vote. And ZOE tried on the last reauthorization and this one to amend that. Unfortunately, she was pressured by and forced to, since otherwise they would block her amendment, to water down her revisions to section 215.

Now, Senator WYDEN is opposed, as are others. What is section 215? Unbelievably broad, warrantless, intrusive, internet searches of everything you look at, browse online. For what purpose? Who knows? What are they going to do with that information? Well, maybe they are going to apply an algorithm and find something. They gather so much data, they don't know what to do with it.

□ 1030

What is the legal standard? A presumption of relevance to an investigation. Isn't that a laughable standard? You could presume relevance to virtually anything in the world at any time.

So this bill, even if that amendment should pass, even if the bill comes up today—it is questionable whether it will. We now have government by tweet on that side of the aisle.

Trump says jump; they jump. And last night, Trump said he is against this, even though it has a special provision in the bill for President Trump because of the Carter Page abuses.

It says the "Attorney General," in quotes—by the way, that means any senior official in the Justice Department—would have to sign off on targeting Federal officials or candidates for office.

First off, why should those people be exempt if they are engaged in terrorist activities or presumptive relevance of terrorist activities?

But, again, "Attorney General," with this laughable clown in the Attorney General's Office who jumps even higher than they do when the President tweets, I don't think so. Just think of how they could use that politically, not for intelligence purposes.

It does nothing to reform section 702, which is incidental backdoor accumulation of data. There are many, many documented abuses of section 202.

It does finally do away with what was revealed by Mr. Snowden, the massive gathering of all phone records.

Again, what are they going to do with it? Hundreds of millions of records, no effective algorithms, no way to figure out what it was about. It was useless, operationally, as analyzed by numerous commissions and others, but there was still massive compliance and errors.

Even the NSA said: No, we don't want that anymore; we can't do anything with it. But the administration asked that it be continued. This bill doesn't continue it, one of the few merits of this so-called reform bill.

This bill does not deserve passage. It does not undo the damage that was created in the shadow of 9/11, to the ignorance of most Members of Congress who voted for it.

#### PROTECT FARMERS' WATER AND PROPERTY RIGHTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. LAMALFA) for 5 minutes.

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, in that we do have other things going on in this country besides the virus, we do have a crisis up in the Klamath Basin on the border of California and Oregon right now.

The Klamath farmers up there are the owners of the Klamath Project water. It was created approximately 100 years ago to allow the ability to farm crops to returning World War I and World War II veterans at that point. Those growers owned the right to approximately 350,000 acre-feet from the Upper Klamath Lake, water created by the project which would not exist without the creation of the project.

This year, after many years of having their water pirated away from them, their allocation during a lesser water rainfall and snowfall season was 140,000 acre-feet, they were told on April 1, the second-worst allocation they have ever had, rivaled only by 2001 when they got zero acre-feet allocated to them. 140,000 acre-feet, they were told.

So as farmers do—I am a farmer; I get it—we go out and start the process of planting; tilling the ground; ordering up your fertilizer and your seed; applying the fertilizer; and then, finally, seeding the ground.

Well, lo and behold, a few weeks later, it was decided to release 50,000 acre-feet from that lake in order to help suppress a virus farther down the Klamath River called the C. shasta, which is supposed to be harmful to the coho salmon, a fish that is deemed endangered on the Klamath, yet not endangered in other areas of the country.

Right on the heels of the end of that 50,000 acre-foot release for C. shasta virus for coho salmon, it was decided that there is now not enough water in the lake. The incoming water supply was misestimated.

They were told they were going to have to cut back from the original 140,000 acre-feet. They were going to cut back approximately 60,000 acre-feet of that, leaving them with about 80,000 acre-feet for the entire season. This is crops already spent, the cost already incurred to be put in the ground.

The water supply is estimated to last until approximately June 15. From June 16 to September, they are going to be in a very dire way. They are going to be out of water, with the investment in the ground.

As devastating as 2001 was, this will break many farms up in the Klamath Basin. Unique crops they grow up there—mint, radishes, potatoes, many others—as well as the refuge that sits at the far end of that system that needs the water to flow through those irrigation districts so we will have a duck population, so we will have other wildlife that is extremely important not only for the area but for the entire State of California and the West Coast.

This duck population is going to be devastated. Just recently, when they had good water, they had a huge number of ducks hatch, and we had a good population. That is going to be devastated.

Farming is the only major economic industry, really, in the region, other than some tourism. There are about 12,000 farms in that Klamath Basin. Approximately \$75 million has been spent putting those crops in. It is thought, as it is being estimated right now, the total effect on the region, if this water is taken away and not restored by somewhere around June 15, \$200 to \$300 million more is coming out of that area. We have created a crisis up there.

This water, by law, belongs to the irrigators, not to the Endangered Species Act, not to a Federal agency. The irrigators themselves spend \$30 million a year to maintain and operate, if it is actually operating, the project. They still have to pay that bill.

But the Endangered Species Act is being interpreted to require water that doesn't belong to the government to be taken and given either to keep the lake fuller for the sucker fish or run downstream in order to allegedly help the

coho salmon. There are science and arguments out there that this doesn't help those two species, yet we continue down this blind path, doing it year after year after year, for at least 20 years.

At this point, with the uncertainty of our Nation's food supply, from the farm gate to the markets, this is what we have going on with farmers up there, having their property rights taken. We must do better. We must take immediate action.

#### GIVE STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FUNDS TO CONDUCT TESTING, CONTACT TRACING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. MALINOWSKI) for 5 minutes.

Mr. MALINOWSKI. Mr. Speaker, for the last 3 months, tens of millions of our fellow Americans have chosen to make painful sacrifices to keep one another safe.

They made that choice before any Governor of any State told them they had to. They did what they thought was right, what was decent, what was responsible. Overwhelmingly, the American people still believe that social distancing to protect our neighbors is the right thing to do even where States have lifted stay-at-home orders.

You wouldn't know this by watching the news because the news dwells on conflict, not consensus. The loudest voices say: "Reopen everything now. Yes, people will die, but people die of car crashes, of cancer, of heart disease. We don't stay home for that." The angriest voices say: "Go to the grocery store. Throw off your mask. Make them call the police." They pretend to be brave. They shout childish slogans about liberty, as if liberty meant the right to endanger the lives of others for our own convenience.

On social media, there is an effort to make everything about this pandemic partisan; as if whether you are a Democrat or Republican should determine what medicines you should take or whether you should wear a mask.

I have been to plenty of countries where everything is made to be political, where everything is made to be tribal. I never thought I would see people in the United States of America trying to make our country like that.

But, Mr. Speaker, America is not like that. The vast majority of Republicans and Democrats still say that of course we should do what is needed to protect others. We are still a country that cares whether people live or die more than we care about the stock market.

In New Jersey this year, we have had 177 road fatalities. Thus far, we have lost more than 11,000 people to the coronavirus, and that number would be vastly higher if not for the lockdowns.

Now, nobody is rushing to our State capital with guns, screaming to keep the economy closed. No one ever loudly clamors for shared sacrifice. But the quiet majority still say that we should

put public health first. The vast majority still want to be sure that we have to do this only once, and therefore, we have to do it right.

So, yes, I get a lot of questions back home about when things are going to reopen. But the most urgent question I get is not when do we reopen, but: How are you going to help us safely reopen? What are you doing about that?

Right now, the only responsible answer is that we must vastly expand contact tracing and testing for the coronavirus so that people can go back to normal life, knowing it is safe.

Unfortunately, the administration's plan for testing, which we just received, basically states to the States around our country: We will give you some supplies, but otherwise, you are on your own.

It is a shameful abdication of responsibility. At this point, I am done with expecting better from our President. We are on our own in New Jersey, in Michigan, in Ohio, in California.

So, let's at least give our State and local governments the resources they need to bear this burden that our national government will not.

The HEROES Act would provide \$75 billion to help our States conduct the testing and contact tracing that we need if we want to go back to work and school safely. The HEROES Act also provides the funding our State and local governments have been pleading for to make up for revenues lost because of coronavirus.

Mr. Speaker, in my district, I represent 75 small towns. We have more Republican mayors in those towns than Democrats, but this is not partisan in my district. Every one of them would rather spend money to pay our cops, our firefighters, and our teachers to do their jobs than spend money to pay for their unemployment.

My message to those who are stepping down from responsibility is at least help us to help those who are stepping up. You want to go to the beach, to a ball game? You want to hold political rallies? Fine, so do I. Help the people who are risking their lives to make it safer for us to do those things. Help the people who are working, who never stopped working, to give us liberty without giving us death.

That is what the HEROES Act does. If the Senate has a better plan, then let's hear it; let's negotiate; let's find our common ground. Otherwise, let's send it to the President and get this job done.

#### HIGHLIGHTING THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. CUNNINGHAM) for 5 minutes.

Mr. CUNNINGHAM. Mr. Speaker, today, I rise to bring attention to the Land and Water Conservation Fund, otherwise known as the LWCF.

Families across the Lowcountry are turning to green spaces to cope during