

so much more uncertainty in the Tax Code now than there was prior to the passage of the 2017 tax bill.

Who is going to pick up the tab? Middle-income taxpayers are going to pick up the tab, and let me just give you some examples.

The 2017 bill included a limitation on State and local tax deductions, and let me just talk a little bit about the taxpayers of Maryland. Almost 50 percent of Maryland's taxpayers used the itemized deduction and took the advantage of taking off of their Federal taxes what they paid in State and local taxes so they didn't have a tax on a tax. As a result of the limitations that were imposed in 2017, these taxpayers are now no longer able to take the full amount of the State and local tax deductions. In fact, because of the full changes, Maryland's number is down to about 25 percent when we did have almost 50 percent taking advantage of itemized deductions. We have lost about half of those filers who today can't take any of those tax deductions.

This is an affront to federalism, and it also hurts middle-income taxpayers. It is philosophically wrong to have a tax on a tax. So the verdict is in with Maryland taxpayers, and the average refunds are down 6 percent. The refunds are what middle-income taxpayers depend on, and they are down in our State.

It has also affected the ability of State and local governments to provide essential services that are important for all citizens. Yet whether it is their support for public education, public safety, et cetera, these essential services are very much dependent on middle-income families. All of those are now being stressed because of the restrictions on State and local tax deductions.

Let me also talk about middle-income taxpayers. They don't benefit from the corporate tax cuts, which I already pointed out, but these tax cuts were made permanent. The individual tax changes were temporary in nature. Again, this hurts middle-income families.

Lastly, let me point out that it was advertised by this administration that it would strengthen our economy. When you take a look at the first six quarters since the passage of the 2017 tax giveaway to the wealthy families and corporations, the gross domestic product has grown about 2.5 percent, which is far less than what the administration predicted. If you take the six quarters before the passage of the bill, it had gone up by 2.6 percent. So there has actually been a slight decline, and we haven't seen a boost to the economy.

There is a better way to do this as this bill ignores small business. I have the opportunity of being the ranking Democrat on the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship, and we have had many discussions with small business leaders who tell us this tax bill actually hurts them—it doesn't

help them—because they don't pay the C rate but, rather, the individual rate, and the pass-throughs that were put in here don't benefit small companies. So, when we are talking about helping the driver of our economy—small business—the tax giveaway 2 years ago has made it even more difficult.

The better way is to work in a true bipartisan fashion and engage all Members of Congress on both sides of the aisle. Let us truly change our Tax Code so that middle-income families benefit and so that we don't burden future taxpayers by our making irresponsible changes that are not fully funded. Let's do it in a way in which it will help the growth of our economy. That is what we should be doing. There was a missed opportunity 2 years ago, and it is moving the Nation in the wrong direction.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. TESTER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SUICIDE PREVENTION COORDINATORS ACT

Mr. TESTER. Mr. President, I rise today because far too many of our veterans feel like they have run out of options when it comes to dealing with the physical and mental scars of war. These are folks who have served in defense of our freedoms and who often suffer tremendous invisible wounds of war.

I can't tell you the number of times I have heard from men and women in uniform, in Montana and across this country, who feel helpless, and they feel as though they have been abandoned by their own country. The facts tell us that we are not doing enough here in this body to help. The reality is that our country loses as many as 20 Active-Duty or veteran servicemembers each and every day due to suicide. Regardless of political party, we can all agree that one life lost to a suicide is too many.

That is why, as ranking member of the Senate Veterans' Affairs Committee, I have been working with my colleagues across the aisle—colleagues like Senator SULLIVAN—to make sure that our vets have the access to the help and the care they need.

The bill that we are going to consider here shortly—our Support for Suicide Prevention Coordinators Act—is a bipartisan effort to help tackle the suicide epidemic by ensuring that we take a comprehensive approach to connecting veterans with urgent, life-saving care. This bill starts by identifying and addressing staffing needs for VA employees and suicide prevention professionals who are our Nation's first line of defense when it comes to combating veteran suicide.

It is clear that we have much more to do to prevent this national health epidemic, and it starts with under-

standing the scope of the problem. If we don't have the tools in place to take care of these folks when they return home, then, we should think twice before we send them in the first place.

I urge the Senate to vote for this bill when it comes up and to get it passed out of this body quickly so the President can quickly sign it into law.

It is not something that can solve our suicide problems among our veterans by itself, but it is certainly one of the tools in the toolbox that can help folks when they need help and to ensure that no veteran slips through the cracks.

I want to thank the Senator from Alaska for everything he has done to make sure that this bill becomes a reality.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alaska.

Mr. SULLIVAN. Mr. President, I want to thank my friend from the great State of Montana, Senator TESTER. This is actually a bill that he and I have cosponsored out of the Veterans' Affairs Committee, and it is a companion bill that we are going to be bringing over from the House to vote on here in a couple of minutes to hopefully get this on the President's desk very soon to get him to sign it.

There is a lot of legislation that focuses on these kinds of issues: How do we address this growing problem of suicide in our country?

The real tragedy is that all suicides are tragic, but there are very high numbers of suicides that impact our veterans.

My State, the great State of Alaska, has more vets per capita than any State in the country. We are proud of that patriotic fact. Sadly, we also have some of the highest suicide rates in America.

So since I have come to this body, I have had the privilege to serve my fellow Alaskans, and focusing on suicide has been a very, very important issue for me. It is a very important issue for my constituents, and it is a very important issue for America. As a matter of fact, the first bill I cosponsored as a U.S. Senator was called the Clay Hunt Suicide Prevention Act, which was named after a young marine who had a number of deployments, and, unfortunately, when he was seeking help, he couldn't really get it, and this young, brave hero took his own life.

This should be a priority for the Senate. We have been prioritizing the veterans and the members of the military who are in crisis when we draft legislation that tries to address these challenges, but what we are doing today is also important.

This bill actually focuses on the people who help our veterans. These are suicide prevention coordinators. They are specially trained employees at the VA medical centers who identify and connect high-risk veterans with the care they need.

Across the Nation, these VA professionals conduct outreach, promote

awareness, and disseminate suicide prevention best practices. They are, literally, on the frontlines.

But, as you can imagine, this isn't an easy job. This is a hard job, and there are reports that many of these prevention coordinators throughout the VA system are overworked and unable to keep up with their many responsibilities. What we are focused on here is that we want to make sure that the people who are helping our veterans are also taken care of and adequately resourced so that they can do the best job in terms of helping our veterans.

The VA must have a skilled and resourced workforce available, trained to recognize the warning signs of a veteran in crisis, and then be able to work with that veteran, hopefully successfully, to connect them with lifesaving resources before it is too late.

That is what the Support for Suicide Prevention Coordinators Act requires. That is what Senator TESTER and I worked on together to bring this out of the Veterans' Affairs Committee, and, hopefully, if we get that right, then, it has a positive impact on lessening this high rate of suicide among our veterans.

Senator TESTER mentioned what is in this, but it is not just additional resources. It is also a comprehensive study by the GAO to make sure that our coordinators are resourced and have a strategy to make sure they can do their jobs most effectively to impact our veterans.

It is an overall look at the VA system of preventing veteran suicide with a focus on these frontline coordinators who do really, really important work. They are not always recognized.

For those who are doing that work, I commend you, the Senate commends you, and I think we are going to have an overwhelming vote here in a couple minutes that will make sure of your ability to do this really, really important job for our veterans and for our Nation and that you are going to be able to do it better.

I applaud the leadership on both sides of the aisle for bringing this bill to the floor, and I encourage my colleagues to vote in favor of this legislation. Let's get it on the President's desk for his signature soon, and we can take another step—another step—to make sure that we are taking care of our veterans and are trying to address this horribly tragic situation where far too many veterans in America are taking their own lives.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

SUPPORT FOR SUICIDE PREVENTION COORDINATORS ACT

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. LANKFORD). Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to legislative session to consider H.R. 2333, which the clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 2333) to direct the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct an assessment of the responsibilities, workload, and vacancy rates of Department of Veterans Affairs suicide prevention coordinators, and for other purposes.

Thereupon, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

The bill was ordered to a third reading and was read the third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall the bill pass?

Mr. SULLIVAN. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senator is necessarily absent: the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Colorado (Mr. BENNET), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. BOOKER), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 95, nays 0, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 390 Leg.]

YEAS—95

Alexander	Gillibrand	Peters
Baldwin	Graham	Portman
Barrasso	Grassley	Reed
Blackburn	Harris	Risch
Blumenthal	Hassan	Roberts
Blunt	Hawley	Romney
Boozman	Heinrich	Rosen
Braun	Hirono	Rounds
Brown	Hoeven	Rubio
Burr	Hyde-Smith	Sasse
Cantwell	Inhofe	Schatz
Capito	Isakson	Schumer
Cardin	Johnson	Scott (FL)
Carper	Jones	Scott (SC)
Casey	Kaine	Shaheen
Cassidy	Kennedy	Shelby
Collins	King	Sinema
Coons	Klobuchar	Smith
Cornyn	Lankford	Stabenow
Cortez Masto	Leahy	Sullivan
Cotton	Lee	Tester
Cramer	Manchin	Thune
Crapo	Markey	Tillis
Cruz	McConnell	Toomey
Daines	McSally	Udall
Duckworth	Menendez	Van Hollen
Durbin	Merkley	Warner
Enzi	Moran	Whitehouse
Ernst	Murkowski	Wicker
Feinstein	Murphy	Wyden
Fischer	Murray	Young
Gardner	Perdue	

NOT VOTING—5

Bennet	Paul	Warren
Booker	Sanders	

The bill (H.R. 2333) was passed.

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to executive session to resume consideration of the VanDyke nomination.

The Senator from Texas.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST—S. 1416

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, as all America knows, climbing healthcare

costs continue to keep the American people up at night. A Kaiser Foundation poll in September found that the No. 1 health concern of the American people is prescription drug pricing. A whopping 70 percent of those polled think lowering prescription drug costs should be a top priority—a top priority—for Congress, making it the No. 1 item on our to-do list, but our friend and colleague from New York, the minority leader, objected last time I offered unanimous consent to take up and pass a bill, which I will describe here momentarily.

I hope, given the intervening time and further reflection, he will not do so today, and we can get this bill passed and address this top priority of the American people.

The good news is, Republicans and Democrats both agree we need to do something about it. I have the honor of serving on both the Finance and Judiciary Committees, where we have been looking into this problem and some of the potential solutions.

There are pharmaceutical CEOs who earn big bonuses as sales go up. I am not opposed to them receiving compensation, but pharmacy benefit managers who negotiate backdoor rebates that drive up out-of-pocket costs are a problem because of the lack of transparency.

What I find very seriously concerning as well is anti-competitive behavior when it comes to patents by drug manufacturers. There are two practices, in particular, that the legislation I intend to offer a unanimous consent request on would address.

One is called product hopping, which occurs when a company develops a reformulation of a product that is about to lose exclusivity. Let me just stop a moment and say that one of the ways we protect the investment and the intellectual property of American innovators is to give them exclusivity over the right to sell and license that intellectual property, including drugs. That encourages people to make those investments. In turn, it benefits the American people and the world, literally, by creating new lifesaving drugs, and that is a good thing. There is a period of exclusivity, and after that expires—after that goes away—then it opens that particular formulation up to generic competition; meaning, the price will almost certainly be much lower and more affordable to the American people.

This issue of product hopping is gamesmanship, as I will explain. First of all, before the drug loses exclusivity, the manufacturer pulls the drug off the market. This is done not because the new formula is more effective, but it will block generic competitors.

The second issue is patent thicketing, which occurs when an innovator uses multiple, overlapping patents or patents with identical claims that make it nearly impossible for competitors to enter the market. This is nothing more and nothing less than