

Instead, Federal agencies ordered lobster tail and tons of—get this—tater tots—tons of tater tots, as Washington amassed its largest shortfall since 2012.

Folks, we have to put an end to this madness. Seriously, someone has to be the Grinch on behalf of our taxpayers. That is why earlier this year I introduced the End-of-Year Fiscal Responsibility Act.

My bill would limit an agency's spending in the last 2 months of the year to no more than the average of the previous 10 months. This bill will not end all wasteful spending, but it will force agencies to put more thought into long-term planning and curtail the bad habit of out-of-control impulsive spending.

Folks, Washington spending is out of control. With our national debt now surpassing \$22 trillion, Washington should be looking for ways to save by canceling or delaying unnecessary expenses rather than splurging on end-of-the-year wish lists.

I would like to recognize the great work of the nonpartisan group OpenTheBooks, which is working to put every dime the government spends online in real time to hold Washington accountable. The group issued a report on this very subject in March.

I would also like to note that Iowans sent me to the Senate with a specific mission: Cut wasteful spending, and make Washington squeal. To prevent buyer's remorse, I am giving everyone in Washington fair warning. My office will be reviewing your last-minute purchases and asking you to justify them to the taxpayers.

It is time to put an end to this reckless behavior. Billion-dollar binge buying is no way to budget.

Thank you.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oregon.

NOMINATION OF DANIEL HABIB JORJANI

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, there is a job opening at the Interior Department, and that can mean only one thing: another Trump nominee who, incredibly, is already under investigation for misconduct, even before his first day on the job. This time, it is Daniel Jorjani, a long-serving Trump Interior official who is up for a powerful role as the Department's Solicitor.

I say to the Presiding Officer and colleagues, I have put a hold on this nominee. If anything, the case for withholding action on this nominee has gotten greater in the last few days. Just in the last few days, the Department's inspector general has made it clear that this is an individual he is going to investigate. I will tell my colleagues that, if you are putting somebody already under investigation on a fast track to the Interior Department corruption hall of fame, right up there with Ryan Zinke, I believe that is a mistake the Senate is going to regret.

It probably doesn't take an inspector general investigation to uncover why this is a mistake. I am going to explain it this morning, briefly.

First, I believe it is important to start with an honest assessment of what Donald Trump appointees have done at the Interior Department. Under this President, it is often difficult for one agency's corruption to stand out above the rest, but somehow Interior Department officials manage to do that again and again.

Mr. Jorjani, a former industry adviser for Koch Industries, is an example of just this type of behavior. The Office of the Interior Solicitor is in charge of legal issues and ethics for the Department. It is a big team with a lot of power. Mr. Jorjani has been a key member of the Solicitor's office.

His own words indicate that he doesn't believe that his primary function at Interior is to protect public lands and uphold ethical standards. We have already heard discussion earlier this morning that he wrote to agency colleagues—and we have been quoting it—saying “our job is to protect the Secretary.” Those are his words, not the words of anybody here in the Senate. What Senators may not know is that Mr. Jorjani was talking about Ryan Zinke, who brought on a category 5 ethical hurricane during his brief time as Interior Secretary.

In the same email, Mr. Jorjani boasted about having impeded inspector general investigations into the misuse of taxpayer funds for travel. It wasn't just talk. The record shows that covering up dirty ethics and potential lawbreaking is routine for Mr. Jorjani. By my count, there are at least four investigations into wrongdoing at the Interior Department that were closed or found inconclusive due to a lack of cooperation or records production on Mr. Jorjani's watch.

These investigations covered a multitude of issues, from the potential misuse of expensive chartered travel to a halted study on the crucial health impacts of potentially dangerous Interior Department energy policies.

Then there is the issue of the Interior Department's new policy under the Trump administration with respect to the Freedom of Information Act. The new policy—and again, this is a retreat from public interest standards—gives political appointees unprecedented control over the Department's response to Freedom of Information Act requests. In my view, it looks like an effort to conceal the fact that Trump Interior officials are spending their days doing the bidding of a host of special interests.

There is clear evidence that this new secretive Freedom of Information Act policy was implemented under the Trump administration, that Mr. Jorjani knew about it, and that he was up to his eyeballs in putting this in motion.

When I asked Mr. Jorjani about the Freedom of Information Act policy during an Energy and Natural Resources Committee hearing, Mr. Jorjani actually claimed it didn't exist. He later told one of our col-

leagues, the distinguished Senator from Maine, Mr. KING, that he had no involvement in Freedom of Information Act responses.

I want it understood that I believe Mr. Jorjani lied to the Energy and Natural Resources Committee and perjured himself to that body.

Colleagues, I know that Members on both sides are concerned about what has happened with the Freedom of Information Act under this administration. I want to commend the several Republican Senators who have said that they are troubled about what this administration is doing with the Freedom of Information Act—the so-called “awareness reviews” by appointees that really aren't hard to figure out. It is about secretive political interference.

What we are seeing with the Freedom of Information Act is inconsistent with the intent of Congress, and it is wrong. The importance of government openness and honesty with the American people ought to be a bipartisan proposition. It is in the interest of everyone—Democrats and Republicans—to protect the Freedom of Information Act from evasion and protect it from abuse. That is part of why this new Interior policy on the Freedom of Information Act is so troubling.

As I mentioned, on Friday, the Interior inspector general confirmed to me that Mr. Jorjani is currently under investigation for his role in this Freedom of Information Act policy. For colleagues who may be following this, let's just understand what is going on: We are getting ready to vote on whether to advance somebody who is under a formal inspector general investigation. The fact that the inspector general is investigating such a serious matter ought to be enough all by itself to stop this nomination from going forward.

Certainly, Mr. Jorjani's own words about how he views the job—not about protecting the public but about protecting someone like Ryan Zinke—ought to be disqualifying. If Mr. Jorjani is confirmed, the person who will be in charge of ethics at the Interior Department told colleagues his job was to protect a crook. That is what he said.

Colleagues, this administration in too many instances has made deceit and unethical conduct the norm at the Interior Department. Trump officials have sidelined the Department's core purpose, which is to protect our treasured public lands on behalf of all Americans. Too often, it seems, they side with special interests that will pollute America's air, poison the drinking water, fuel climate change, and destroy the treasures that Americans all love.

At some point the U.S. Senate ought to draw the line. I think the Jorjani nomination is such a place.

I urge my colleagues to oppose the nomination. I urge my colleagues to join me in voting no.

I yield the floor.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Joseph Cella, of Michigan, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Fiji, and to serve concurrently and without additional compensation as Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Kiribati, the Republic of Nauru, the Kingdom of Tonga, and Tuvalu.

Mitch McConnell, David Perdue, John Cornyn, John Barrasso, Mike Crapo, John Thune, Tim Scott, John Hoeven, Shelley Moore Capito, Kevin Cramer, John Boozman, Steve Daines, Richard Burr, James E. Risch, Roy Blunt, Thom Tillis, Martha McSally.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of Joseph Cella, of Michigan, to be Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Fiji, and to serve concurrently and without additional compensation as Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of the United States of America to the Republic of Kiribati, the Republic of Nauru, the Kingdom of Tonga, and Tuvalu, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM) and the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. BOOKER), the Senator from California (Ms. HARRIS), the Senator from Alabama (Mr. JONES), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN), and the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. WHITEHOUSE) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 55, nays 37, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 295 Ex.]

YEAS—55

Alexander	Crapo	Johnson
Barrasso	Cruz	Kennedy
Blackburn	Daines	King
Blunt	Enzi	Lankford
Boozman	Ernst	Lee
Braun	Fischer	Manchin
Burr	Gardner	McConnell
Capito	Grassley	McSally
Cassidy	Hawley	Moran
Collins	Hoeven	Murkowski
Cornyn	Hyde-Smith	Murphy
Cotton	Inhofe	Paul
Cramer	Isakson	Perdue

Portman
Risch
Roberts
Romney
Rounds
Rubio

Sasse
Scott (FL)
Scott (SC)
Shelby
Sinema
Sullivan

Thune
Toomey
Wicker
Young

NAYS—37

Baldwin
Bennet
Blumenthal
Brown
Cantwell
Cardin
Carper
Casey
Coons
Cortez Masto
Duckworth
Durbin
Feinstein

Gillibrand
Hassan
Heinrich
Hirono
Kaine
Klobuchar
Leahy
Markay
Menendez
Merkley
Murray
Peters
Reed

Rosen
Schatz
Schumer
Shaheen
Smith
Stabenow
Tester
Udall
Van Hollen
Warner
Wyden

Alexander
Barrasso
Blackburn
Blunt
Boozman
Braun
Burr
Capito
Cassidy
Cornyn
Cotton
Daines
Enzi
Ernst

Fischer
Gardner
Blackburn
Blunt
Boozman
Hoeven
Hyde-Smith
Inhofe
Isakson
Johnson
Kennedy
Lankford
Lee
Crapo
Cruz
McSally
Moran
Murkowski
Paul
Romney

NAYS—41

Booker
Graham
Harris

Jones
Sanders
Tillis

Warren
Whitehouse

Baldwin
Bennet
Blumenthal
Brown
Cantwell
Cardin
Carper
Casey
Collins
Coons
Cortez Masto
Duckworth
Durbin
Feinstein

Gillibrand
Hassan
Heinrich
Hirono
Kaine
King
Leahy
Manchin
Markey
Menendez
Merkley
Murphy
Murray

NOT VOTING—9

Booker
Graham
Harris

Jones
Sanders
Tillis

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 55, the nays are 37.

The motion is agreed to.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The bill clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Daniel Habib Jorjani, of Kentucky, to be Solicitor of the Department of the Interior.

Mitch McConnell, David Perdue, John Cornyn, John Barrasso, Mike Crapo, John Thune, Tim Scott, John Hoeven, Shelley Moore Capito, Kevin Cramer, John Boozman, Steve Daines, Richard Burr, James E. Risch, Roy Blunt, Thom Tillis, Martha McSally.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of Daniel Habib Jorjani, of Kentucky, to be Solicitor of the Department of the Interior, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM) and the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. BOOKER), the Senator from California (Ms. HARRIS), the Senator from Alabama (Mr. JONES), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), the Senator from Virginia (Mr. WARREN), the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN), and the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. WHITEHOUSE) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 50, nays 41, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 296 Ex.]

YEAS—50

Alexander	Fischer	Perdue
Barrasso	Gardner	Portman
Blackburn	Blackburn	Risch
Blunt	Blunt	Roberts
Boozman	Hoeven	Romney
Braun	Hyde-Smith	Rounds
Burr	Inhofe	Rubio
Capito	Isakson	Sasse
Cassidy	Johnson	Scott (FL)
Cornyn	Kennedy	Scott (SC)
Cotton	Lankford	Schumer
Cramer	Lee	Shaeen
Feinstein	Leahy	Sinema
Graham	Manchin	Smith
Harris	Markey	Stabenow
Booker	Menendez	Tester
Thune	Merkley	Udall
Casey	Murphy	Van Hollen
Coons	Murray	Wyden
Cortez Masto	Murphy	
Duckworth	Murphy	
Durbin	Murphy	
Feinstein	Murphy	
Booker	Perdue	
Thune	Perdue	
Casey	Perdue	
Coons	Perdue	
Cortez Masto	Perdue	
Duckworth	Perdue	
Durbin	Perdue	
Feinstein	Perdue	
Booker	Perdue	
Thune	Perdue	
Casey	Perdue	
Coons	Perdue	
Cortez Masto	Perdue	
Duckworth	Perdue	
Durbin	Perdue	
Feinstein	Perdue	

NAYS—41

Baldwin	Gillibrand	Peters
Bennet	Hassan	Reed
Blumenthal	Heinrich	Rosen
Brown	Hirono	Schatz
Cantwell	Kaine	Schumer
Cardin	King	Shaeen
Carper	Klobuchar	Sinema
Casey	Leahy	Smith
Collins	Manchin	Stabenow
Coons	Markey	Tester
Cortez Masto	Menendez	Udall
Duckworth	Merkley	Van Hollen
Durbin	Murphy	Wyden
Feinstein	Murphy	
Booker	Perdue	
Thune	Perdue	
Casey	Perdue	
Coons	Perdue	
Cortez Masto	Perdue	
Duckworth	Perdue	
Durbin	Perdue	
Feinstein	Perdue	

NOT VOTING—9

Booker
Graham
Harris

Jones
Sanders
Tillis

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 50, the nays are 41.

The motion is agreed to.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The bill clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of David Fabian Black, of North Dakota, to be Deputy Commissioner of Social Security for a term expiring January 19, 2025. (Reappointment)

Mitch McConnell, David Perdue, John Cornyn, John Barrasso, Mike Crapo, John Thune, Tim Scott, John Hoeven, Shelley Moore Capito, Kevin Cramer, John Boozman, Steve Daines, Richard Burr, James E. Risch, Roy Blunt, Thom Tillis, Martha McSally.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of David Fabian Black, of North Dakota, to be Deputy Commissioner of Social Security for a term expiring January 19, 2025, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant bill clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), and the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS).