

(4) take into consideration other alternatives for preservation, protection, and interpretation of the legacy of Julius Rosenwald and the Rosenwald Schools by—

(A) Federal, State, or local governmental entities; or

(B) private and nonprofit organizations;

(5) consult with, as determined appropriate by the Secretary, relevant—

(A) Federal, State, and local governmental entities;

(B) private and nonprofit organizations; or

(C) any other interested individuals; and

(6) identify costs associated with any potential Federal acquisition, development, interpretation, operation, and maintenance associated with the alternatives described in paragraph (4).

(c) APPLICABLE LAW.—The study under subsection (a) shall be conducted in accordance with section 100507 of title 54, United States Code.

(d) RESULTS.—Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are first made available for the study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate a report describing—

(1) the results of the study; and
(2) any conclusions and recommendations of the Secretary relating to the study.

By Mr. DAINES (for himself, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. TOOMEY, Mr. BARRASSO, and Mr. CRAMER):

S.J. Res. 49. A joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States authorizing the Congress to prohibit the physical desecration of the flag of the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. DAINES. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S.J. RES. 49

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled (two-thirds of each House concurring therein), That the following article is proposed as an amendment to the Constitution of the United States, which shall be valid to all intents and purposes as part of the Constitution when ratified by the legislatures of three-fourths of the several States within 7 years after the date of its submission by the Congress:

“ARTICLE—

“The Congress shall have power to prohibit the physical desecration of the flag of the United States.”.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 250—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR HAS BROKEN A COMMITMENT TO THE BLACKFEET TRIBE TO DEFEND THE CANCELLATION OF ALL LEASES IN THE BADGER-TWO MEDICINE AREA AND URGING THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR TO WORK CLOSELY WITH THE BLACKFEET TRIBE TO DEFEND THE BADGER-TWO MEDICINE AREA FROM OIL AND GAS DEVELOPMENT

Mr. TESTER submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources:

S. RES. 250

Whereas the Badger-Two Medicine area is sacred to the Blackfeet Tribe and holds critical and unique importance in the culture and history of the Blackfeet Tribe;

Whereas the Department of the Interior issued leases for the development of oil and gas resources in the Badger-Two Medicine area without proper Tribal consultation;

Whereas the Department of the Interior has sought to cancel all remaining leases in the Badger-Two Medicine area, citing violations of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and division A of subtitle III of title 54, United States Code (formerly known as the “National Historic Preservation Act” (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.)), before the leases were issued;

Whereas the 2 remaining leaseholders in the Badger-Two Medicine area, Solenex LLC and W. A. Moncrief, challenged the cancellation of their leases in a district court of the United States;

Whereas former Secretary of the Interior Ryan Zinke committed to the Blackfeet Tribe that the Department of the Interior would continue to defend the lease cancellations in court after the district court ruled against the Department;

Whereas the Department of the Interior appealed the decision in the Solenex LLC case, but did not appeal the decision in the W. A. Moncrief case, instead moving to dismiss the W. A. Moncrief case and reissuing the W. A. Moncrief lease;

Whereas the Department of the Interior argued that the court of appeals does not have jurisdiction to consider an appeal taken by the intervenors in the W. A. Moncrief case, an argument that would deny the Tribal leaders who intervened in that case the ability to defend the Badger-Two Medicine area on appeal;

Whereas the Federal Government has the duty to honor the trust responsibilities of the Federal Government to the Blackfeet Tribe and the promises made by the Secretary of the Interior to the leadership of the Blackfeet Tribe, and the development of the Badger-Two Medicine area would be a complete abandonment of that duty; and

Whereas the Forest Service and the Department of the Interior have publicly and repeatedly acknowledged the importance of protecting the landscape of the Badger-Two Medicine area from further development through—

- (1) moratoriums on new leases;
- (2) suspensions on drilling activity;
- (3) management plans focused on preserving the landscape;
- (4) the voluntary retirement of leases; and

(5) the cancellation of active leases: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That—

(1) it is the sense of the Senate that the Department of the Interior—

(A) has broken the commitment made by the Department to the Blackfeet Tribe;

(B) has failed—

(i) to honor the trust responsibilities of the Department to the Blackfeet Tribe; and

(ii) to regain the credibility of the Department; and

(C) must actively pursue and defend, in and out of the courtroom, the cancellation of all leases in the Badger-Two Medicine area; and

(2) the Senate urges the Department of the Interior—

(A) to work closely with the Blackfeet Tribe to protect the Badger-Two Medicine area from oil and gas leases; and

(B) to remedy the mistakes of the Department that led to the leases being issued without—

(i) proper consultation with the Blackfeet Tribe; and

(ii) compliance with environmental and historic preservation laws.

SENATE RESOLUTION 251—RECOGNIZING 2019 AS THE INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF THE SALMON, A FRAMEWORK OF COLLABORATION ACROSS THE NORTHERN HEMISPHERE TO SUSTAIN AND RECOVER SALMON STOCKS THROUGH RESEARCH, PARTNERSHIPS, AND PUBLIC ACTION

Ms. MURKOWSKI (for herself, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. SULLIVAN, Mr. KING, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. MERKLEY, Mrs. MURRAY, and Ms. CANTWELL) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation:

S. RES. 251

Whereas salmon are a vital resource, providing communities with cultural and social value, food security, and economic opportunity;

Whereas salmon are critically important to marine and aquatic ecosystems and indicators of the health of rivers and oceans that people, fish, and wildlife depend on;

Whereas salmon can be vulnerable to impacts from human interference, including development pressures and climate change;

Whereas drawing on science, Indigenous knowledge, and the experience of fishers, policy makers, resource managers, and others is essential to conserve salmon;

Whereas people from all walks of life can learn about the value of salmon and support salmon conservation; and

Whereas salmon migrations span national boundaries, and collaborating and sharing knowledge across borders is critical to sustaining salmon stocks: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate recognizes 2019 as the International Year of the Salmon, a unique, hemispheric-level collaboration bringing people together in order to ensure that healthy wild salmon populations persist into the future.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 392. Mr. ROUNDS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2020 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the

SA 454. Mr. UDALL (for himself, Mr. ROUNDS, Mr. PETERS, Mr. MORAN, Mr. HEINRICH, Mrs. CAPITO, Ms. BALDWIN, Ms. ERNST, Mr. TESTER, Mr. ROBERTS, and Mrs. MURRAY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 455. Mr. WHITEHOUSE (for himself, Mr. COTTON, Mr. BRAUN, Mr. JONES, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. MERKLEY, and Mr. TILLIS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 456. Mr. TESTER (for himself and Mr. MORAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 457. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 458. Mr. SCOTT, of Florida submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 459. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 460. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 461. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 462. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 463. Mr. SULLIVAN (for himself, Ms. BALDWIN, and Ms. MURKOWSKI) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 464. Mr. CORNYN (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. CASSIDY, and Mr. CRUZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 465. Ms. MCSALLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 466. Ms. MCSALLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 467. Ms. MCSALLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 468. Ms. MCSALLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 469. Mr. HAWLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 470. Mr. BRAUN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 471. Mr. BRAUN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 472. Mr. BRAUN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 473. Mr. BRAUN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 474. Mr. KENNEDY (for himself and Mr. VAN HOLLEN) submitted an amendment in-

tended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 475. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 476. Mr. REED (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 477. Mr. SANDERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 478. Mr. ENZI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 479. Mr. CRUZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 480. Mr. JOHNSON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 481. Mr. JOHNSON (for himself, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. CORNYN, and Mr. CRUZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 482. Mr. BRAUN (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, and Mr. CRUZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 483. Ms. COLLINS (for herself and Ms. CANTWELL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 484. Mr. DAINES (for himself, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. CRAPO, Ms. BALDWIN, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. TESTER, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. MORAN, Mr. JONES, Mr. COONS, Ms. SINEMA, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. LEAHY, Ms. HASSAN, Ms. ROSEN, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. HOEVEN, Mr. UDALL, Ms. WARREN, Mr. ROUNDS, and Mr. LANKFORD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 485. Mr. LANKFORD (for himself, Mr. LEE, and Mr. ROMNEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 486. Mr. LANKFORD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 487. Mr. LANKFORD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 488. Mr. CRAPO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 489. Mr. CRAPO (for himself, Mr. WARNER, Mr. DAINES, and Mrs. FEINSTEIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 490. Mr. CRAPO (for himself, Mr. WARNER, Mr. DAINES, and Mrs. FEINSTEIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 491. Mr. CRAPO (for himself, Ms. STABENOW, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. RISCH, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. GARDNER, and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 492. Mr. CRAPO (for himself, Ms. STABENOW, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. RISCH, Ms. ROSEN,

Mr. GARDNER, and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 493. Mr. CRAPO (for himself, Ms. STABENOW, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. RISCH, Ms. ROSEN, and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 494. Mr. CRAPO (for himself, Ms. STABENOW, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. RISCH, Ms. ROSEN, Mr. GARDNER, and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 495. Mr. ENZI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 496. Mr. CRUZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 497. Mr. CRUZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 498. Mr. CRUZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 499. Mr. CRUZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 500. Mr. CRUZ (for himself and Mr. TESTER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 501. Mr. CRUZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 502. Mr. CRUZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 503. Mr. CRUZ (for himself, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. THUNE, and Mr. ROUNDS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 504. Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. KING, and Ms. HASSAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 505. Mr. WICKER (for himself and Mr. CASEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 506. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 507. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 508. Mr. TOOMEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 509. Mr. TOOMEY (for himself, Mr. BRAUN, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. CORNYN, and Mr. PERDUE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 510. Ms. STABENOW submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 511. Ms. ROSEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 512. Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him

intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 573. Ms. STABENOW (for herself, Mr. ROUND, Mr. PETERS, Mr. TILLIS, Ms. BALDWIN, and Mr. BURR) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 574. Ms. STABENOW (for herself, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. PETERS, Mr. BURR, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Ms. CANTWELL, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. MANCHIN, and Ms. HASSAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 575. Mr. KAINES submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 576. Mr. UDALL (for himself, Mr. PAUL, Mr. KAINES, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. MERKLEY, and Mr. MURPHY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 577. Mr. MENENDEZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 578. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 579. Mr. GRASSLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 580. Mr. YOUNG submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 581. Mr. COTTON (for himself, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. BROWN, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. PETERS, Mr. TOOMEY, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. CORNIN, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, and Mr. RUBIO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 582. Mr. JOHNSON (for himself and Ms. BALDWIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 583. Mr. JOHNSON (for himself and Ms. BALDWIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 584. Mr. JOHNSON (for himself, Mr. BARRASSO, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. CORNIN, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. TOOMEY, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. THUNE, and Mr. MORAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 585. Mr. SCHUMER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 586. Mr. MARKEY (for himself and Mr. RUBIO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 587. Mr. MARKEY (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. KAINES, and Mr. YOUNG) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 588. Mr. MARKEY (for himself, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, and Mrs. GILLIBRAND) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 589. Mr. MARKEY (for himself and Mr. CRUZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 590. Mr. MARKEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 591. Mr. CORNIN (for himself and Ms. DUCKWORTH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 592. Mr. CORNIN (for himself and Mr. YOUNG) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 593. Mr. CORNIN (for himself and Mr. YOUNG) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 594. Mr. MURPHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 595. Mr. REED (for himself, Mr. TESTER, and Mr. WHITEHOUSE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 596. Mr. PETERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 597. Mr. MENENDEZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 598. Mr. CRUZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 599. Mr. LEE (for himself, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. CRUZ, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, and Ms. COLLINS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 600. Mr. LEE (for himself, Mr. PAUL, and Mr. BRAUN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 601. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 602. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 603. Mr. CRUZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 604. Mr. BENNET (for himself and Mr. PORTMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 605. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 606. Mr. GRAHAM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 607. Mr. GRAHAM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 608. Mr. GRAHAM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 609. Mr. GRAHAM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 610. Mr. GRAHAM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 611. Mr. MENENDEZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 612. Mr. VAN HOLLEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 613. Mr. SULLIVAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 614. Mr. SULLIVAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 615. Mr. SULLIVAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 616. Mr. SASSE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 617. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 618. Mr. PORTMAN (for himself, Mr. HEINRICH, Ms. ERNST, and Mr. SCHATZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 619. Mr. GARDNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 620. Mr. BROWN (for himself and Mr. PORTMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 621. Mr. BROWN (for himself and Mr. PORTMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 622. Mr. COONS (for himself, Mr. TILLIS, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Ms. SINEMA, Mr. YOUNG, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. JONES, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. KAINES, Ms. WARREN, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. LANKFORD, and Mr. MORAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 623. Ms. DUCKWORTH (for herself and Mr. INHOFE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 624. Mrs. GILLIBRAND (for herself, Mr. TILLIS, and Mr. COONS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 625. Mr. WICKER (for himself and Ms. CANTWELL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 626. Mr. MORAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 627. Mr. MORAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 628. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 629. Mr. WARNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 630. Mr. CASSIDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 631. Mr. MURPHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 632. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 633. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 634. Mr. CASSIDY (for himself and Mr. TESTER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 635. Mr. KENNEDY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 392. Mr. ROUNDS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2020 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle A of title VII, add the following:

SEC. ____. **PROHIBITION ON INCREASE IN COST-SHARING REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE TRICARE PHARMACY BENEFITS PROGRAM FOR CERTAIN BENEFICIARIES UNTIL THE COMMENCEMENT OF A PILOT PROGRAM ON PRESCRIPTION DRUG ACQUISITION COST PARITY.**

Section 1074g(a)(6) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), the cost-sharing amounts under this subsection for an eligible covered beneficiary who resides more than 40 miles from the nearest military medical treatment facility shall be equal to the cost-sharing amounts, if any, for 2017 until the date on which the Secretary of Defense commences the conduct of the pilot program on prescription drug acquisition cost parity in the TRICARE Pharmacy Benefits Program authorized by section 743 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114-328; 10 U.S.C. 1074g note).”.

SA 393. Mr. ROUNDS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2020 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle A of title VII, add the following:

SEC. ____. **PROHIBITION ON INCREASE IN COST-SHARING REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE TRICARE PHARMACY BENEFITS PROGRAM FOR CERTAIN BENEFICIARIES.**

Section 1074g(a)(6)(C) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “or a dependent” and inserting “a dependent”; and

(2) by inserting “, or an eligible covered beneficiary who resides more than 40 miles

from the nearest military medical treatment facility” after “such chapter”.

SA 394. Mr. ROUNDS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2020 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle C of title V, add the following:

SEC. ____. **PERSONNEL TEMPO OF THE ARMED FORCES AND THE UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND DURING PERIODS OF INAPPLICABILITY OF HIGH-DEPLOYMENT LIMITATIONS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 991(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” before “The Secretary”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2)(A) Whenever a waiver is in effect under paragraph (1), the member or group of members covered by the waiver shall be subject to specific and measurable deployment thresholds established and maintained for purposes of this subsection.

“(B) Thresholds under this paragraph may be applicable—

“(i) uniformly, Department of Defense-wide; or

“(ii) separately, with respect to each armed force and the United States Special Operations Command.

“(C) If thresholds under this paragraph are applicable Department-wide, such thresholds shall be established and maintained by the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness. If such thresholds are applicable only to a separate armed force or the United States Special Operations Command, such thresholds shall be established and maintained by the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Navy (other than with respect to the Marine Corps), the Secretary of the Air Force, the Commandant of the Marine Corps (with respect to the Marine Corps), and the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command, as applicable.

“(D) In undertaking recordkeeping for purposes of subsection (c), the Under Secretary shall, in conjunction with the other officials and officers referred to in subparagraph (C), collect complete and reliable personnel tempo data of members described in subparagraph (A) in order to ensure that the Department, the armed forces, and the United States Special Operations Command fully and completely monitor personnel tempo under a waiver under paragraph (1) and its impact on the armed forces.”.

(b) **DEADLINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION.**—Paragraph (2) of section 991(d) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall be fully implemented by not later than March 1, 2020.

SA 395. Mr. ROUNDS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2020 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle C of title VIII, add the following:

SEC. 835. ESTABLISHMENT OF NATIONAL TECHNOLOGY INDUSTRIAL BASE QUADRILATERAL COUNCIL.

Section 2502 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) **NATIONAL TECHNOLOGY INDUSTRIAL BASE QUADRILATERAL COUNCIL.**—(1) The chairman of the National Defense Technology and Industrial Base Council shall work with the equivalent designees in the countries that comprise the national technology industrial base to form the National Technology Industrial Base Quadrilateral Council.

“(2) The National Technology Industrial Base Quadrilateral Council shall meet biannually to harmonize respective policies and regulations, and to propose new legislation that increases the seamless integration between the persons and organizations comprising the national technology and industrial base.

“(3) The National Technology Industrial Base Quadrilateral Council shall—

“(A) address and review issues related to industrial security, supply-chain security, cybersecurity, regulating foreign direct investment and foreign ownership, control and influence mitigation, market research, technology assessment, and research cooperation within public and private research and development organizations and universities, technology and export control measures, acquisition processes and oversight, and management best practices; and

“(B) establish a mechanism for National Technology Industrial Base Quadrilateral Council members to raise disputes that arise within the national technology industrial base at a government-to-government level.”.

SA 396. Mr. HAWLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1790, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2020 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle D of title XII, add the following:

SEC. 12 ____. **REPORT ON IMPROVEMENTS TO DETERRENCE EFFORTS WITH RESPECT TO THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commander of the United States European Command shall submit to Congress a report detailing efforts to improve the ability of the Armed Forces and North Atlantic Treaty Organization forces to deny the ability of the Russian Federation to execute a fait accompli against one or more Baltic allies.

(b) **MATTER TO BE INCLUDED.**—The report under subsection (a) shall identify prioritized requirements for further improving the ability of the Armed Forces and North Atlantic Treaty Organization forces to deny the ability of the Russian Federation to execute a fait accompli against one or more Baltic allies.

(c) **FORM.**—The report under subsection (a) shall—

(1) be submitted in classified form; and
(2) include an unclassified summary appropriate for release to the public.

(d) **FAIT ACCOMPLI DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “fait accompli” means a scenario in which the Russian Federation uses