

Bass	Gottheimer	Omar	Gianforte	Lesko	Scalise
Beatty	Green, Al (TX)	Pallone	Gibbs	Long	Schweikert
Bera	Grijalva	Panetta	Gohmert	Loudermilk	Scott, Austin
Beyer	Haaland	Pappas	Gonzalez (OH)	Lucas	Sensenbrenner
Bishop (GA)	Harder (CA)	Pascarella	Gooden	Luetkemeyer	Shimkus
Blumenauer	Hastings	Payne	Gosar	Marchant	Simpson
Blunt Rochester	Hayes	Pelosi	Granger	Marshall	Smith (MO)
Bonamici	Heck	Perlmuter	Graves (GA)	Massie	Smith (NE)
Boyle, Brendan F.	Higgins (NY)	Peters	Graves (LA)	Mast	Smith (NJ)
Brindisi	Hill (CA)	Phillips	Graves (MO)	McCarthy	Smucker
Brown (MD)	Himes	Pingree	Green (TN)	McCaughan	Spano
Brownley (CA)	Horn, Kendra S.	Pocan	Griffith	McClintock	Stauber
Bustos	Horsford	Porter	Grothman	McHenry	Stefanik
Butterfield	Houlihan	Pressley	Guest	McKinley	
Carbajal	Hoyer	Price (NC)	Guthrie	Meadows	
Cárdenas	Huffman	Quigley	Hagedorn	Meuser	
Carson (IN)	Jackson Lee	Raskin	Harris	Miller	Stewart
Cartwright	Jayapal	Rice (NY)	Hartzler	Mitchell	Stivers
Case	Jeffries	Richmond	Hern, Kevin	Moolenaar	Taylor
Casten (IL)	Johnson (GA)	Rose (NY)	Herrera Beutler	Mooney (WV)	Thompson (PA)
Castor (FL)	Johnson (TX)	Rouda	Higgins (LA)	Mullin	Thornberry
Castro (TX)	Kaptur	Royal-Allard	Hill (AR)	Murphy (NC)	Tipton
Chu, Judy	Keating	Ruiz	Holding	Newhouse	Turner
Cicilline	Kelly (IL)	Ruppersberger	Hollingsworth	Norman	Upton
Cisneros	Kennedy	Rush	Hudson	Nunes	Van Drew
Clark (MA)	Khanna	Ryan	Huizenga	Olson	Wagner
Clarke (NY)	Kildee	Sánchez	Hunter	Palazzo	Walberg
Clay	Kilmer	Sarbanes	Hurd (TX)	Palmer	Walden
Cleaver	Kim	Scanlon	Johnson (LA)	Pence	Walker
Clyburn	Kind	Schakowsky	Johnson (OH)	Perry	Walorski
Cohen	Kirkpatrick	Schiff	Johnson (SD)	Peterson	Waltz
Connolly	Krishnamoorthi	Schneider	Jordan	Posey	Watkins
Cooper	Kuster (NH)	Schrader	Joyce (OH)	Ratcliffe	Weber (TX)
Correa	Lamb	Schrader	Joyce (PA)	Reed	Webster (FL)
Costa	Langevin	Scott (VA)	Katko	Reschenthaler	Wenstrup
Courtney	Larsen (WA)	Scott, David	Keller	Rice (SC)	Westerman
Cox (CA)	Larson (CT)	Serrano	Kelly (MS)	Riggleman	
Craig	Lawrence	Sewell (AL)	Kelly (PA)	Roby	Williams
Crist	Lawson (FL)	Shalala	King (IA)	Rodgers (WA)	Wilson (SC)
Crow	Lee (CA)	Sherman	King (NY)	Roe, David P.	Wittman
Cuellar	Lee (NV)	Sherrill	Kinzinger	Rogers (AL)	Womack
Cunningham	Levin (CA)	Sires	Kustoff (TN)	Rogers (KY)	Woodall
Davids (KS)	Levin (MI)	Slotkin	LaHood	Roohey (FL)	Wright
Davis (CA)	Lewis	Smith (WA)	LaMalfa	Rouzer	Yoho
Davis, Danny K.	Lieu, Ted	Soto	Lamborn	Roy	Young
Dean	Loebssack	Spanberger	Latta	Rutherford	Zeldin
DeFazio	Lofgren	Speier			
DeGette	Lowenthal	Stanton			
DeLauro	Lowey	Stevens			
DelBene	Luján	Suozzi			
Delgado	Luria	Swalwell (CA)			
Demings	Lynch	Takano			
DeSaulnier	Malinowski	Thompson (CA)			
Deutch	Maloney, Carolyn B.	Thompson (MS)			
Dingell	Maloney, Sean	Titus			
Doggett	McAdams	Tlaib			
Doyle, Michael F.	McBath	Tonko			
Engel	McCollum	Torres (CA)			
Escarbar	McGovern	Torres Small (NM)			
Eshoo	McNerney	Trahan			
Espaillat	Meeks	Trone			
Evans	Meng	Underwood			
Finkenauer	Moore	Vargas			
Fletcher	Morelle	Velázquez			
Foster	Moulton	Visclosky			
Frankel	Mucarsel-Powell	Wasserman			
Fudge	Murphy (FL)	Schultz			
Gabbard	Nadler	Waterson			
Gallego	Napolitano	Watson Coleman			
Garamendi	Neal	Welch			
García (IL)	Neguse	Wexton			
Garcia (TX)	Golden	Wild			
Gomez	O'Halleran	Wilson (FL)			
Gonzalez (TX)	Ocasio-Cortez	Yarmuth			

## NAYS—196

Abraham	Brooks (IN)	Crawford			
Aderholt	Buchanan	Crenshaw			
Allen	Buck	Curtis			
Amodei	Bucshon	Davidson (OH)			
Armstrong	Budd	Davis, Rodney			
Arrington	Burchett	DesJarlais			
Babin	Burgess	Diaz-Balart			
Bacon	Byrne	Duncan			
Baird	Calvert	Dunn			
Balderson	Carter (GA)	Emmer			
Banks	Carter (TX)	Estes			
Barr	Chabot	Ferguson			
Bergman	Cheney	Fitzpatrick			
Biggs	Cline	Fleischmann			
Bilirakis	Cloud	Flores			
Bishop (NC)	Cole	Fortenberry			
Bishop (UT)	Collins (GA)	Foxx (NC)			
Bost	Comer	Fulcher			
Brady	Conaway	Gaetz			
Brooks (AL)	Cook	Gallagher			

## COLORADO OUTDOOR RECREATION AND ECONOMY ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. DEGETTE). Pursuant to House Resolution 656 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 823.

Will the gentleman from California (Mr. AGUILAR) kindly take the chair.

□ 1130

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE  
Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the

further consideration of the bill (H.R. 823) to provide for the designation of certain wilderness areas, recreation management areas, and conservation areas in the State of Colorado, and for other purposes, with Mr. AGUILAR (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Wednesday October 30, 2019, a request for a recorded vote on amendment No. 6 printed in part B of House Report 116-264 offered by the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. CROW) had been postponed.

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in part B of House Report 116-264 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 1 by Mr. CURTIS of Utah.

Amendment No. 5 by Mr. TIPTON of Colorado.

Amendment No. 6 by Mr. CROW of Colorado.

## AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. CURTIS

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CURTIS) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

## RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 180, noes 240, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 605]

## AYES—180

Abraham	Cloud	Graves (LA)
Aderholt	Cole	Graves (MO)
Allen	Collins (GA)	Green (TN)
Amodei	Comer	Griffith
Armstrong	Conaway	Grothman
Arrington	Cook	Guest
Babin	Crawford	Guthrie
Bacon	Crenshaw	Hagedorn
Baird	Curtis	Harris
Balderson	Davidson (OH)	Hartzler
Banks	Davis, Rodney	Hern, Kevin
Barr	DesJarlais	Herrera Beutler
Bergman	Diaz-Balart	Higgins (LA)
Biggs	Duncan	Hill (AR)
Bilirakis	Dunn	Holding
Bishop (NC)	Emmer	Hollingsworth
Bishop (UT)	Estes	Huizenga
Bost	Ferguson	Hunter
Brady	Fleischmann	Hurd (TX)
Brooks (AL)	Flores	Johnson (LA)
Brooks (IN)	Fortenberry	Johnson (OH)
Buchanan	Foxx (NC)	Johnson (SD)
Buck	Fulcher	Jordan
Bucshon	Gaetz	Joyce (OH)
Burd	Gudd	Joyce (PA)
Burchett	Gianforte	Keller
Burgess	Gibbs	Kelly (MS)
Byrne	Gonzalez (OH)	Kelly (PA)
Carter (GA)	Gooden	King (IA)
Chabot	Gosar	Kinzinger
Cheney	Granger	Kustoff (TN)
Cline	Graves (GA)	LaHood

## NOES—240

Adams	Finkenauer	Maloney,
Aguilar	Fitzpatrick	Carolyn B.
Allred	Fletcher	Maloney, Sean
Amash	Foster	Matsui
Axne	Frankel	McAdams
Barragán	Fudge	McBath
Bass	Gabbard	McCollum
Bera	Gallego	McGovern
Beyer	Garamendi	McNerney
Bishop (GA)	García (IL)	Meeks
Blumenauer	García (TX)	Meng
Blunt Rochester	Golden	Moore
Bonamici	Gomez	Morelle
Boyle, Brendan F.	Gonzalez (TX)	Moulton
Brindisi	Gottheimer	Mucarzel-Powell
Brown (MD)	Green, Al (TX)	Murphy (FL)
Brownley (CA)	Grijalva	Nadler
Bustos	Haaland	Napolitano
Butterfield	Harder (CA)	Neal
Calvert	Hastings	Neguse
Carbajal	Hayes	Norcross
Cárdenas	Heck	Norton
Carson (IN)	Higgins (NY)	O'Halleran
Cartwright	Hill (CA)	Ocasio-Cortez
Case	Himes	Omar
Casten (IL)	Horn, Kendra S.	Pallone
Castor (FL)	Horsford	Panetta
Castro (TX)	Houlahan	Pappas
Chu, Judy	Hoyer	Pascrall
Cicilline	Huffman	Payne
Cisneros	Jackson Lee	Perlmutter
Clark (MA)	Jayapal	Peters
Clarke (NY)	Jeffries	Peterson
Clay	Johnson (GA)	Phillips
Cleaver	Johnson (TX)	Pingree
Clyburn	Kaptur	Pocan
Cohen	Katko	Porter
Connolly	Keating	Pressley
Cooper	Kelly (IL)	Price (NC)
Correa	Kennedy	Rogers (KY)
Costa	Khanna	Rose (NY)
Courtney	Kildee	Richmond
Cox (CA)	Kilmer	Rogers (KY)
Craig	Kim	Rouda
Crist	Kind	Royal-Allard
Crow	King (NY)	Ruiz
Cuellar	Kirkpatrick	Ruppersberger
Cunningham	Krishnamoorthi	Rush
Davids (KS)	Kuster (NH)	Ryan
Davis, Danny K.	Lamb	Sablan
Dean	Langevin	San Nicolas
DeFazio	Larsen (WA)	Sánchez
DeGette	Larson (CT)	Sarbanes
DeLauro	Lawrence	Scanlon
DelBene	Lawson (FL)	Schakowsky
Delgado	Lee (CA)	Schiff
Demings	Lee (NV)	DeSaulnier
DeSaulnier	Levin (CA)	Levin (MI)
Deutch	Levin (CA)	Schneider
Dingell	Lewis	Schrader
Doggett	Lieu, Ted	Schrirer
Doyle, Michael F.	Lipinski	Scott (VA)
Engel	Loebssack	Scott, David
Escobar	Lowenthal	Serrano
Eshoo	Luria	Sewell (AL)
Espauillat	Lynch	Shalala
Evans	Malinowski	Sherman

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MR. TIPTON  
The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. TIPTON) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2-minute vote.

[Roll No. 606]

AYES—185

Allen Davis, Rodney Hurd (TX)  
Amash DesJarlais Johnson (IA)

Murphy (NC)	Rutherford	Turner
Newhouse	Scalise	Upton
Norman	Schweikert	Walder
Nunes	Scott, Austin	Walker
Olson	Sensenbrenner	Walorski
Palazzo	Shimkus	Waltz
Palmer	Simpson	Watkins
Pence	Smith (MO)	Weber (TX)
Perry	Smith (NE)	Webster (FL)
Posey	Smith (NJ)	Wenstrup
Ratcliffe	Spano	Westerman
Reed	Stauber	Williams
Reschenthaler	Stefanik	Wilson (SC)
Rice (SC)	Steil	Wittman
Riggleman	Steube	Womack
Roby	Stewart	Woodall
Rodgers (WA)	Stivers	Wright
Roe, David P.	Taylor	Yoho
Rogers (KY)	Thompson (PA)	Young
Rouzer	Thornberry	Zeldin
Roy	Tipton	
NOES—231		
Adams	Garcia (IL)	Neguse
Aguilar	Garcia (TX)	Norcross
Allred	Golden	Norton
Axne	Gomez	O'Halleran
Barragán	Gonzalez (TX)	Ocasio-Cortez
Bass	Gottheimer	
Bera	Green, Al (TX)	Pallone
Beyer	Grijalva	Panetta
Bishop (GA)	Haaland	Pappas
Blumenauer	Harder (CA)	Pascrill
Blunt Rochester	Hastings	Payne
Bonamici	Hayes	Perlmutter
Boyle, Brendan F.	Heck	Peters
Brindisi	Higgins (NY)	Peterson
Brown (MD)	Hill (CA)	Phillips
Brownley (CA)	Himes	Pingree
Bustos	Horn, Kendra S.	Pocan
Butterfield	Horsford	Porter
Carbajal	Houlahan	Pressley
Cárdenas	Hoyer	Price (NC)
Carson (IN)	Huffman	Quigley
Cartwright	Jackson Lee	Raskin
Case	Jayapal	Rice (NY)
Casten (IL)	Jeffries	Richmond
Castor (FL)	Johnson (GA)	Rooney (FL)
Castro (TX)	Johnson (TX)	Rose (NY)
Chu, Judy	Kaptur	Rouda
Cicilline	Keating	Royal-Allard
Cisneros	Kelly (IL)	Ruiz
Clark (MA)	Kennedy	Ruppersberger
Clarke (NY)	Khanna	Rush
Clay	Kildee	Ryan
Cleaver	Kilmer	Sablan
Clyburn	Kim	San Nicolas
Cohen	Kind	Sánchez
Connolly	Kirkpatrick	Sarbanes
Cooper	Krishnamoorthi	Scanlon
Correa	Kuster (NH)	Schakowsky
Costa	Lamb	Schiff
Courtney	Langevin	Schneider
Cox (CA)	Larsen (WA)	Schrader
Craig	Larson (CT)	Schrier
Crist	Lawrence	Scott (VA)
Crow	Lawson (FL)	Scott, David
Cuellar	Lee (CA)	Serrano
Cunningham	Lee (NV)	Sewell (AL)
Davids (KS)	Levin (CA)	Shalala
Davis, Danny K.	Levin (MI)	Sherman
Doyle, Michael F.	Lewis	Sherrill
Doggett	Lieu, Ted	Slotkin
Dingell	Lipinski	Smith (WA)
DeFazio	Loebssack	Soto
DeGette	Lowenthal	Spanberger
DeLauro	Luján	Stanton
DeBene	Luria	Stevens
Delgado	Lynch	Suozzi
Demings	Malinowski	Swalwell (CA)
DeSaulnier	Maloney,	Takano
Deutch	Carolyn B.	Thompson (CA)
Dingell	Maloney, Sean	Titus
Doggett	Matsui	Tlaib
Doyle, Michael F.	McAdams	Tonko
Engel	McBath	Torres (CA)
Escobar	McCollum	Torres Small
Eshoo	McGovern	
Espauillat	McNerney	(NM)
Evans	Meeks	Trahan
Finkenauer	Meng	Trone
Fitzpatrick	Moore	Underwood
Fletcher	Morelle	Van Drew
Foster	Moulton	Vargas
Frankel	Mucarsel-Powell	Veasey
Fudge	Murphy (FL)	Vela
Gabbard	Nadler	Velázquez
Gallego	Napolitano	Visclosky
Garamendi	Neal	



whose discretion is it to recognize that standing Member making the objection?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is engaged in debate. The gentleman is not recognized.

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, that is a parliamentary inquiry. That is absolutely a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman seek a recorded vote?

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, I make a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, under the rules, when a Member of the minority party rises to object to the motion to lay on the table the reconsideration of a resolution, under the rules, whose obligation is it, or at whose discretion is that Member recognized, under the rules?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is not stating an inquiry about the pending proceedings.

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, I make a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman seek a recorded vote?

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, I make a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, at this stage of House deliberations, what options do we have to reconsider the amendments or to consider the vote on the amendments on this bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair finds that the gentleman is referencing a previous resolution that was adopted by the House.

Mr. HARRIS. No, Mr. Speaker. I am referencing the piece of business that is in front of the House right now.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman object to the amendments?

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, I object to the amendments.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman seek a recorded vote?

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry. What options are available to a Member standing asking for recognition to seek a vote?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman seek a recorded vote on these amendments? That is the pending matter before the House.

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, this is a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman seek a recorded vote?

Mr. HARRIS. No. I actually seek an answer to my first parliamentary inquiry, and you can have your choice which one the Chair wants to answer.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

#### MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. TIPTON. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. TIPTON. Yes, in its current form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman qualifies. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Tipton moves to recommit the bill H.R. 823 to the Committee on Natural Resources with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

At the end of the bill, add the following:

#### TITLE V—EFFECT OF ACT

##### SEC. 501. EFFECT OF ACT.

Nothing in this Act or an amendment made by this Act restricts or precludes—

(1) any low-level overflight of military aircraft over any area subject to this Act or an amendment made by this Act, including military overflights that can be seen, heard, or detected within such an area;

(2) flight testing or evaluation over an area described in paragraph (1);

(3) the use or establishment of—

(A) any new unit of special use airspace over an area described in paragraph (1); or

(B) any military flight training or transportation over such an area; or

(4) military aircraft from deviating from service level requirements if oxygen requirements, icing levels, engine power limitations, cloud clearances, or turbulence prevent such aircraft from safely transiting an area described in paragraph (1) while maintaining such service level requirements.

Mr. TIPTON (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with the reading.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Colorado is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his motion.

Mr. TIPTON. Mr. Speaker, my district is home to the High-Altitude Army National Guard Aviation Training Site, or HAATS. It is both a privilege and an honor representing the U.S. Department of Defense's lone training site where special aviators in the Nation's Armed Forces and the militaries of our foreign allies learn how to fly safely in mountainous, high-altitude environments. HAATS is a vital asset to our national security.

Proposed wilderness expansions in this bill around HAATS are creating concerns about the future of the site's ability to be able to ensure military readiness for the men and women who may be deployed in combat zones in the Middle East.

The sponsors of the CORE Act have indicated that their goal is to protect HAATS. The DOD looks to the Colorado-specific language of DOD's flight guidance, as published in the DOD AP/1 handbook, with regard to how to operate under the CORE Act.

While I appreciate the DOD's guidance, I know all too well that regulatory changes do not provide certainty. We cannot risk the guidance being overturned by future administrations.

So, in conversations with DOD, I asked if they had any objections to the Colorado language that is laid out in the AP/1 handbook being codified. Subsequently, in direct correspondence with my office on both May 13 and June 4 of this year, DOD both times stated no objection to the codification.

The only way to be able to provide certainty for HAATS is to ensure the implementation of the Colorado guidance by codifying that in this bill.

So, what is that guidance? Current law requires DOD aircraft to be able to fly at a minimum of 2,000 feet above designated wilderness areas. However, in Colorado, the terrain conditions make maintaining the 2,000-foot minimum altitude challenging and dangerous.

The Colorado guidance indicates that if oxygen requirements, icing levels, engine power limitations, cloud clearance, or turbulence prevent the DOD aircrews from meeting the 2,000-foot requirement, the aircraft are authorized to be able to deviate from the requirement to safely transit the wilderness areas.

You can see, clearly, why it is important that the CORE Act includes provisions to be able to codify the Colorado guidance. I do not understand the resistance of the majority to make this commonsense change to the bill.

Perhaps it is because some of my colleagues remain supportive of other pending wilderness legislation that, as drafted, would, among other things, have a direct and negative impact on HAATS, affecting aviators utilizing 71 landing zones amounting to 40 percent of the HAATS training area.

Perhaps the rejection of this commonsense idea thus far is yet another example of the need to be able to reach out to all stakeholders and hear the concerns and ideas from the district most impacted by it, not allowing important amendments even to be considered by this House. We let eight amendments, Mr. Speaker, sit in the Rules Committee, not allowing Members to be able to vote on them. These are voices from our communities in the Third District directly impacted by the bill.

It is important to be able to seek broad community support outreach. This is needed to be able to build true consensus for a successful public lands effort.

I will also note that calling up the ghosts of past failed public lands proposals that never had broad community consensus or got off the ground to begin with is not an adequate substitute for direct community outreach and consensus building, and also the compromise needed for a bipartisan success story. It is an unfortunate missed opportunity in the House to be able to get it right.

My good friend from Colorado's sense-of-Congress amendment that was just adopted is a good message on HAATS, but, after all, that is what a sense of Congress is: just a message with no legislative teeth.

Given wilderness legislation being considered by the House today concerning federally designated wilderness in Colorado, HAATS needs more than just a good message. It deserves something codified in law.

This MTR will do just that, at least in H.R. 823. We will be able to codify this and ensure that nothing in this bill will override the important Colorado guidance critical to the mission and operation of HAATS and the safety of our men and women in the United States military.

Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of this motion to recommit that promotes our national security, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Colorado is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, this bill that we are considering today, the Colorado Outdoor Recreation and Economy Act, is about more than partisan debate. This bill is about hiking; it is about hunting; it is about fishing; it is about skiing—some of you all might not think I ski, but I do—it is about camping; and it is about a Colorado way of life and preserving our public lands.

The experiences we have outdoors with our loved ones bond us together. And, for me, that was hiking with my father in Rocky Mountain National Park.

Yesterday, my wife called me to let me know that our 1-year-old daughter walked for the first time. I cannot wait to go back to Colorado and be able to hike with my daughter in the iconic public lands that are protected under this bill.

Ultimately, this bill is about ensuring that we protect our most pristine and treasured places for generations long after we are gone. That is the essence of our service: leaving a better world for those who come next.

As Teddy Roosevelt once said: ‘Here is your country. Cherish these national wonders, cherish the natural resources, cherish the history and the romance as a sacred heritage, for your children and your children’s children. Do not let selfish men or greedy interests skin your country of its beauty, its riches, or its romance.’”

At the end of the day, we have an obligation to protect these public lands, which is why I am so honored to lead this bill. And I will just say that we have worked incredibly hard to make this bill something that our State can be proud of.

I am proud that the House has voted to accept two of my colleagues’ amendments, because in Colorado we get things done by collaboration and consensus.

I am proud that every local jurisdiction impacted by a title of this bill supports those very same titles—Republican and Democrat.

I am proud that this bill has bipartisan support back in the State of Colorado.

It is unfortunate that this motion to recommit is not about any of those things: It is not about improving the bill; it is not about reaching consensus. It is purely political, and here is why.

Just a few moments ago, over 400 Members in this Chamber voted in favor of an amendment offered by my distinguished colleague from Colorado (Mr. CROW), who bravely served in our Armed Forces—fought for our country in Afghanistan and Iraq—and he offered an amendment to stress the importance of Colorado’s Army National Guard High Altitude Aviation Training Site.

That measure passed unanimously—or almost unanimously; I guess seven or eight folks voted against it—because they recognized that the HAATS program is something we must protect.

But the truth of the matter is this bill already addresses the concerns offered by my colleague.

I will quote from the bill on page 37, subparagraph (f): ‘Military Overflights. Nothing in this title or an amendment made by this title restricts or precludes any low-level overflight of military aircraft over any area subject to this title or an amendment made by this title. . . .’

I could go on, but you also are going to have to take my word for it.

I have a letter from the Colorado National Guard. As my colleague, Mr. CROW, said yesterday during floor debate, we ought to listen to our troops, to our commanders and what they have told us:

It is through the diligent efforts of staff within the Department, the offices of the bill sponsors, and the Department of Defense we have mitigated prior concerns related to military overflight over the potential wilderness areas identified in this bill, and I appreciate the efforts of Congressman NEGUSE and yourself.

The letter from the Colorado National Guard concludes by thanking the delegation for their effort to preserve Colorado’s natural beauty and looking forward to the passage of this important legislation. Those are not my words, those are the words of the Colorado National Guard.

It is important for us not to lose sight of the bigger picture. The CORE Act presents an opportunity for us to come together to show that we can still govern on issues that are so important to all of our constituents.

While I may be new to Congress, Mr. Speaker, the components of this bill that we are slated to vote on in just a few short minutes are not new to this Congress:

Title I of this bill has been introduced for five straight Congresses;

Title II of this bill dates back to 2009;

Local advocates have been asking for the withdrawal of the Thompson Divide since 2010;

Title IV of this bill was introduced in 2009.

Mr. Speaker, these bills have been around since George W. Bush was President. When those bills were being

drafted, the Washington Nationals had not yet played their first game in Nationals Park.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask that we all vote against this motion to recommit and support our public lands.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

#### RECORDED VOTE

Mr. TIPTON. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of passage. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 199, noes 210, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 608]

AYES—199

Abraham	Fortenberry	Luetkemeyer
Aderholt	Fox (NC)	Marchant
Allen	Fulcher	Marshall
Amash	Gaetz	Massie
Amodei	Gallagher	Mast
Armstrong	Gianforte	McAdams
Arrington	Gibbs	McCarthy
Axne	Gohmert	McCaul
Babin	Golden	McClintock
Bacon	Gonzalez (OH)	McHenry
Baird	Gooden	McKinley
Balderson	Gosar	Meadows
Banks	Gottheimer	Meuser
Barr	Granger	Miller
Bergman	Graves (GA)	Moolenaar
Biggs	Graves (LA)	Mooney (WV)
Bilirakis	Graves (MO)	Mullin
Bishop (NC)	Green (TN)	Murphy (NC)
Bishop (UT)	Griffith	Newhouse
Bost	Grothman	Nunes
Brooks (AL)	Guest	Olson
Brooks (IN)	Guthrie	Palazzo
Buchanan	Hagedorn	Palmer
Buck	Harris	Pence
Bucshon	Hartzler	Perry
Budd	Hern, Kevin	Porter
Burchett	Herrera Beutler	Posey
Burgess	Higgins (LA)	Ratcliffe
Byrne	Hill (AR)	Reed
Calvert	Holding	Reschenthaler
Carter (GA)	Hollingsworth	Rice (SC)
Carter (TX)	Horn, Kendra S.	Riggleman
Chabot	Houahan	Roby
Cheney	Huizenga	Rodgers (WA)
Cline	Hunter	Roe, David P.
Cloud	Hurd (TX)	Rogers (KY)
Cole	Johnson (LA)	Rooney (FL)
Collins (GA)	Johnson (OH)	Rose (NY)
Comer	Johnson (SD)	Rouzer
Conaway	Jordan	Roy
Cook	Joyce (OH)	Rutherford
Cox (CA)	Joyce (PA)	Scalise
Crawford	Katko	Schweikert
Crenshaw	Keller	Scott, Austin
Curtis	Kelly (MS)	Sensenbrenner
Davidson (OH)	Kelly (PA)	Shimkus
Davis, Rodney	King (IA)	Simpson
DesJarlais	King (NY)	Slotkin
Diaz-Balart	Kinzinger	Smith (MO)
Duncan	Kustoff (TN)	Smith (NE)
Dunn	LaHood	Smith (NJ)
Emmer	Lamb	Smucker
Estes	Lamborn	Spanberger
Ferguson	Latta	Spano
Finkenauer	Lesko	Stauber
Fitzpatrick	Long	Stefanik
Fleischmann	Lucas	Steil



## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. HICE of Georgia. Madam Speaker. I was not present for the following votes due to the passing of my father. Had I been present, I would have voted “nay” on rollcall No. 603, “nay” on rollcall No. 604, “yea” on rollcall No. 605, “yea” on rollcall No. 606, “yea” on rollcall No. 607, “yea” on rollcall No. 608, and “nay” on rollcall No. 609.

## PERMISSION TO INCLUDE AMENDMENT TEXT IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO VOTE ON PREVIOUS QUESTION ON H. RES. 660

Ms. SCANLON. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my colleague from the Rules Committee, Ranking Member COLE from Oklahoma, be permitted to insert the text of the amendment he would have offered had the House rejected the previous question on H. Res. 660, along with extraneous material, into the RECORD immediately prior to the vote on ordering the previous question on H. Res. 660.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. LEE of Nevada). Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

## COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,  
Washington, DC, October 31, 2019.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,  
*The Speaker, House of Representatives,*  
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on October 30, 2019, at 1:32 p.m.:

That the Senate passed S. 1678.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,

LLOYD HORWICH,  
*Legal Counsel.*

## REMOVAL OF NAMES OF MEMBERS AS COSPONSORS OF H.R. 2505

Mrs. RODGERS of Washington. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to remove Representatives WELCH, KIRKPATRICK, TORRES SMALL of New Mexico, and WESTERMAN from H.R. 2505.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Washington?

There was no objection.

## MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Miss Kaitlyn Roberts, on of his secretaries.

## HOUR OF MEETING ON TOMORROW

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 1 p.m. tomorrow.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Maryland?

There was no objection.

## CONGRATULATING WASHINGTON NATIONALS ON WINNING WORLD SERIES

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, today is a serious day. Last night was an extraordinary night.

I rise for the millions of people who live in the Washington metropolitan area to congratulate and to exalt with all of our region on the extraordinary achievement of the Washington Nationals.

This morning in Washington, the heavens are crying tears of joy. In other words, it is raining. But there is no rain in any of our hearts or minds today. It may be raining, but the faces of Nationals fans are shining with pride and happiness.

For the first time in 95 years—we have waited a long time, Madam Speaker—the Major League Baseball team from our Nation’s Capital is bringing home a World Series Championship. My colleague Ms. NORTON is here, being urged to put on a sweater, over her pride.

With the might of their bats and the lightness of their feet, the Nats pushed through to a hard-won victory in game seven last night against the Houston Astros—arguably, the best team in either league this year—who won the most games.

While I congratulate the Houston Astros on an extraordinary season, they just didn’t count on the Washington Nationals.

But, today, the Houston Astros and the Washington Nationals are in absolute agreement. It is my understanding that they are sending a joint letter to Major League Baseball, saying: “We want all of our games to be road games from now on.”

In case you didn’t get that, neither team won at home. They all won games on the road. The good news was, the Washington Nationals had four games in Houston, and the Astros had only three games in Washington.

So there is joy in Washington this day. It is sustained by a spirit of camaraderie and sportsmanship. Our Nats grew strength from their dedicated fans across this region, including, of course, my district.

We have been waiting a very long time for this day, so I hope my colleagues will join me in congratulating the 2019 Nationals, led by their extraordinary manager Dave Martinez, who played earlier in his career for the

team that moved from Montreal to become the Nats. How appropriate. How wonderful.

I hope my colleagues will also join me in congratulating this year’s most valuable player. Very frankly, there were a lot to choose from on the Washington Nats, who started out with a 19-wins-and-31-losses season.

What an extraordinary achievement to come that far that quickly, to meet, arguably, as I said at the beginning, the best team in baseball, the Houston Astros.

I also want to mention, as I said, the most valuable player. There were a lot to choose from. Stephen Strasburg was recruited and signed by the Washington Nationals some years ago as a very young man. He pitched extraordinarily, then got his elbow and had to be operated on, a Tommy John operation, they call it, and he has come back to be one of the best pitchers in the major leagues. He won three games. What an extraordinary achievement. So let’s congratulate, as well as Stephen Strasburg, all the members of the team on this victory.

To paraphrase the old poem: “Oh, somewhere in this favored land the sun is shining bright;

The band is playing somewhere, and somewhere hearts are light;

And somewhere men are laughing, and somewhere children shout.”

Today, Madam Speaker, that somewhere is the Nation’s Capital.

Congratulations, Nationals. We love you.

□ 1230

## SOVIET-STYLE IMPEACHMENT

(Mr. KELLER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. KELLER. Madam Speaker, last week I joined several dozen of my colleagues at the SCIF in protest of the secret impeachment inquiry.

Seventy-five percent of the elected Members of Congress have been shut out of this impeachment inquest. This whole inquiry is a sham led by the Speaker of this House and her impeachment czar, the chairman of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

Their attempt today to try and open these proceedings is nothing short of Soviet glasnost—a fake transparency that only leads to less participation, more secrecy, and less due process.

Madam Speaker, this is too little too late. You can’t unring the bell on this sham process that is your high watermark in seeking to undo the 2016 election at all costs.

Case in point: while saying there will be a resolution to try and bring transparency to these proceedings, this inquiry has continued to take depositions in secret. Democrats in this Chamber have been acting like bank robbers after they have tripped an alarm and they are trying to shove as much money in the bag as they can before the police get there.