

Mr. COLE. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, in closing, I urge opposition to this rule. Once again, the majority is making the exact same mistake it made earlier this week. We have a bipartisan bill already approved by the Senate. The House should simply take it up and work its will on that bill.

Frankly, we all know, if that bill were allowed to come to the floor, it would pass overwhelmingly with a majority of each side voting in favor of it. Then it wouldn't have to go back to the Senate. It would go immediately to the President of the United States. He could sign it, and these resources would begin to flow.

Now, again, we have had a robust debate today, and I respect the passions on both sides and every point of view about this. Actually, I see a great deal of common agreement. We agree, which we did not 8 weeks ago, that there is an emergency on the southern border. We agree it is a humanitarian crisis. We agree there need to be resources that go there immediately. We agree that time is short.

We are also all elected officials who are privileged to be in this Chamber, and my experience with my friends on both sides of the aisle is that they are basically pretty practical people. They came here to solve problems. They have different viewpoints, but they are almost always very practical and try to get something done.

We know the Senate bill is not everything that my side would want. We certainly know it is not everything that my friend's side would want. But we know it is bipartisan. We know three-quarters of the Democrats in the other Chamber voted for it. We know it will pass.

With all due respect to my friends, they have clung so tightly to their bill, which I know they believe in. It will pass here, but it won't pass the Senate, and it certainly won't be signed by the President.

Where will we be if we continue down the road that they are laying out in front of us?

I know they are sincerely concerned about children on the border, but we are better off with a bill that passes so we have billions of dollars moving to where they are supposed to go, and a bill, by the way, that the entire Democratic leadership thought was appropriate and good enough.

Let's not sit here and make the perfect the enemy of the good. Let's be practical and deliver to the American people what they want, which is a solution, a solution that both parties will vote for and a solution that the President will sign.

How many times do we go home and hear that from our own constituents: Can't you guys get together and do anything? Can't you work together? Can't you put aside your differences and put the American people first?

It pains me as a House Member to admit it, I suppose, but the United

States Senate did that in this case before we did. We can accept that and move on, and my friends can continue to fight for the things they believe. It is not as if, for these things that are in this bill that the administration won't accept, they can't wrap them up again and put them back in another bill and start the process.

If we do not act, the resources will not get to the border where they are needed, and these conditions that concern us all will continue.

I urge us to step back a little bit, accept that in this case the Senate has a bipartisan solution that will work, and for goodness' sake, just put it on the floor to see what happens.

We know what will happen. My friends will vote for it in overwhelming numbers. My friends on my side of the aisle will vote for it in overwhelming numbers. It will go straight to the President of the United States.

That isn't going to solve the problem, but it is going to ease the problem, and that is going to move us in the right direction and provide our very hard-pressed people—who are working this problem by caring for the migrants, trying to protect our borders, and trying to provide justice—the resources they need to continue to work on this problem while, frankly, we continue to try to arrive at a legislative solution.

Madam Speaker, I want to end with a point I made just a little bit earlier. I thank the Chair for the patient and professional manner in which she has allowed us to conduct this debate. I thank her very much for making sure that when we had an outside disturbance, it was quickly dealt with.

I urge my friends to reconsider and, hopefully, come together around a bill that neither of us thinks is perfect but both of us could probably vote for and the President could sign.

Madam Speaker, I thank my good friend, the chairman of the Rules Committee, for his participation in debate. It is always helpful and always enlightening. He is a good friend and a person I admire a great deal, even when we differ on a particular issue.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I think what is so frustrating to so many of us is that there is controversy around language to guarantee the protection of these children. The reason we think that is important is because this administration has ignored all the warnings.

We have had whistleblowers talk about the abuse at the border and how these children were being mistreated, and they did nothing.

This administration oversaw a policy of literally tearing children away from their parents. As a dad, I can't imagine what that must be like for any of those parents, and yet this administration thought it was fine.

We have a crisis at the border largely as a result of this President's policies.

We need to deal with it, and we need to deal with it now. But we want to make sure we are actually dealing with the crisis and not giving him more money to create other crises.

I appreciate what the gentleman from Oklahoma said about the need for us to continue to work together, and while these negotiations are continuing.

Madam Speaker, I withdraw the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The resolution is withdrawn.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Ms. Byrd, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed without amendment a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 866. An act to provide a lactation room in public buildings.

The message also announced that the Senate has passed a bill of the following title in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 528. An act to amend title 40, United States Code, to provide a lactation room in public buildings, and for other purposes.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 22 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1530

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. CÁRDENAS) at 3 o'clock and 30 minutes p.m.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3401, EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE AND SECURITY AT THE SOUTHERN BORDER ACT, 2019

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 466 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 466

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 3401) making emergency supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, and for other purposes, with the Senate amendment thereto, and to consider in the House, without intervention of any point of order, a motion offered by the chair of the Committee on Appropriations or her designee that the House concur in the Senate amendment with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 116-21. The Senate amendment and the motion shall be

considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to its adoption without intervening motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. COLE), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, we had a robust debate here today surrounding the tragedy that is unfolding on our southern border where children are being ripped from their families; forced to sleep on cold concrete floors; denied soap, medicine, diapers—I could go on and on and on—all because of the President's failed policies.

That is what many of us believe, and that is what most national and international human rights organizations have also made clear.

Quite frankly, this should shake all of us to our core. I, for one, am very disappointed, and I will never forget the images and the stories. I will continue to fight for a better outcome and fight for these kids.

Having said all of that, it has been decided that we should move forward, so we are amending this rule so we can take up the Senate-passed bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I want to begin by thanking my friend. I think this is a wise decision. I know it was a difficult decision, but I think it is the right thing because I know we have a common objective here.

We know we need resources at the border right away. We know, by the action my friend is taking, we now have the possibility of making that happen and doing it in a very bipartisan way; that is, taking a bill that passed the Senate 87–8, moving it here with a very substantial bipartisan majority, and, frankly, getting resources to exactly where I know my friend and his colleagues want them to go, which is to help folks at the border deal with this ever-mounting crisis.

Mr. Speaker, I urge that we accept the amendment. I thank my friend. I thank our friends on the other side for making what I know is a tough but, I think, a very wise decision. I think the country will be better off for it.

I appreciate the fact that we will pass this legislation in a bipartisan

manner. As the Senate did, we will here. The President will sign it, and the resources that we all want to arrive and help alleviate the difficult situation at the border will start moving immediately.

Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman from Oklahoma working with us. We have spent many hours up in the Rules Committee and on the floor, and I know he is committed to trying to get this issue right.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. MCGOVERN

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I have an amendment at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 3401) making emergency supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, and for other purposes, with the Senate amendment thereto, and to consider in the House, without intervention of any point of order, a motion offered by the chair of the Committee on Appropriations or her designee that the House concur in the Senate amendment. The Senate amendment and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to its adoption without intervening motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts is recognized.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the amendment and on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution, as amended.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 322, nays 85, not voting 25, as follows:

[Roll No. 426]

YEAS—322

Adams	Bishop (GA)	Carson (IN)	Cooper	Johnson (OH)	Rice (SC)
Aguilar	Bishop (UT)	Carter (GA)	Correa	Johnson (SD)	Riggleman
Allen	Bost	Carter (TX)	Costa	Johnson (TX)	Roby
Allred	Boyle, Brendan	Cartwright	Courtney	Joyce (OH)	Rodgers (WA)
Amodei	F.	Case	Cox (CA)	Joyce (PA)	Roe, David P.
Armstrong	Brady	Casten (IL)	Craig	Katko	Rogers (KY)
Arrington	Brindisi	Castor (FL)	Crawford	Keller	Rose (NY)
Axne	Brooks (IN)	Chabot	Crenshaw	Kelly (MS)	Rose, John W.
Babin	Buchanan	Cheney	Crist	Kelly (PA)	Rouda
Bacon	Bucshon	Clay	Crow	Kildee	Rouzer
Baird	Budd	Cleaver	Cuellar	Kilmer	Roybal-Allard
Balderson	Burchett	Cline	Cummings	Kim	Ruppersberger
Banks	Burgess	Clyburn	Cunningham	Kind	Rush
Barr	Bustos	Cole	Curtis	King (IA)	Rutherford
Bass	Butterfield	Collins (GA)	Davids (KS)	King (NY)	Sánchez
Beatty	Byrne	Collins (NY)	Davidson (OH)	Kirkpatrick	Sarbanes
Bera	Calvert	Comer	Davis (CA)	Krishnamoorthi	Scalise
Bergman	Carbajal	Conaway	Davis, Danny K.	Kuster (NH)	Scanlon
Bilirakis	Cárdenas	Cook	Davis, Rodney	LaHood	Schiff
			Dean	LaMalfa	Schneider
			DeLauro	Lamb	Schrier
			DelBene	Lamborn	Schweikert
			Demings	Langevin	Scott (VA)
			DesJarlais	Larsen (WA)	Scott, Austin
			Deutch	Larson (CT)	Scott, David
			Diaz-Balart	Latta	Serrano
			Doyle, Michael	Lawson (FL)	Sewell (AL)
			F.	Lee (NV)	Shalala
			Duffy	Lesko	Sherman
			Duncan	Levin (CA)	Sherrill
			Dunn	Lieu, Ted	Shimkus
			Emmer	Lipinski	Simpson
			Engel	Loeb sack	Sires
			Eshoo	Long	Slotkin
			Estes	Loudermilk	Smith (MO)
			Evans	Lowey	Smith (NE)
			Ferguson	Luetkemeyer	Smith (NJ)
			Finkenauer	Luria	Smucker
			Fitzpatrick	Lynch	Spanberger
			Fleischmann	Maloney, Sean	Spano
			Fletcher	Marchant	Speier
			Flores	Marshall	Stanton
			Fortenberry	Mast	Staubert
			Foster	Matsui	Stefanik
			Foxx (NC)	McAdams	Steil
			Fudge	McBath	Stevens
			Fulcher	McCarthy	Stewart
			Gallagher	McCaul	Stivers
			Garamendi	McClintock	Suozzi
			Gianforte	McCollum	Thompson (CA)
			Gibbs	McEachin	Thompson (MS)
			Golden	McGovern	Thompson (PA)
			Gonzalez (OH)	McHenry	Timmons
			Gonzalez (TX)	McKinley	Tipton
			Gooden	McNerney	Torres Small
			Gottheimer	Meadows	(NM)
			Granger	Meuser	Trone
			Graves (GA)	Miller	Turner
			Graves (LA)	Mitchell	Underwood
			Graves (MO)	Moolenaar	Upton
			Green (TN)	Mooney (WV)	Van Drew
			Green, Al (TX)	Morelle	Vargas
			Guest	Murphy	Veasey
			Guthrie	Neal	Visclosky
			Hagedorn	Newhouse	Wagner
			Harder (CA)	Norman	Walberg
			Harris	Nunes	Walden
			Hartzer	O'Halleran	Walker
			Hayes	Olson	Waltz
			Heck	Palazzo	Wasserman
			Hern, Kevin	Pallone	Schultz
			Herrera Beutler	Palmer	Waters
			Hice (GA)	Panetta	Watkins
			Higgins (LA)	Pappas	Weber (TX)
			Hill (AR)	Pascrell	Webster (FL)
			Hill (CA)	Payne	Welch
			Himes	Pence	Wenstrup
			Holding	Perlmutter	Westerman
			Hollingsworth	Peters	Wexton
			Horn, Kendra S.	Peterson	Williams
			Horsford	Phillips	Wilson (SC)
			Houlahan	Pingree	Wittman
			Hoyer	Posey	Womack
			Hudson	Price (NC)	Woodall
			Huffman	Quigley	Wright
			Huizenga	Raskin	Yarmuth
			Hunter	Ratcliffe	Yoho
			Hurd (TX)	Reed	Young
			Jeffries	Reschenthaler	Zeldin
			Johnson (GA)	Rice (NY)	

NAYS—85

Amash	Beyer	Blumenauer
Barragán	Biggs	Blunt Rochester

Bonamici	Gomez	Moore
Brooks (AL)	Gosar	Mucarsel-Powell
Brown (MD)	Griffith	Nadler
Brownley (CA)	Grijalva	Napolitano
Buck	Grothman	Neguse
Chu, Judy	Haaland	Norcross
Ciциline	Higgins (NY)	Ocasio-Cortez
Cisneros	Jackson Lee	Omar
Clark (MA)	Jayapal	Perry
Clarke (NY)	Jordan	Pocan
Cloud	Keating	Porter
Cohen	Kelly (IL)	Pressley
Connolly	Kennedy	Roy
DeFazio	Khanna	Ruiz
DeGette	Lawrence	Schakowsky
Delgado	Lee (CA)	Smith (WA)
DeSaulnier	Levin (MI)	Soto
Dingell	Lewis	Takano
Doggett	Lofgren	Titus
Escobar	Lowenthal	Tlaib
Espallat	Lujan	Tonko
Frankel	Malinowski	Trahan
Gaetz	Maloney,	Vela
Galleo	Carolyn B.	Velázquez
Garcia (IL)	Massie	Watson Coleman
Garcia (TX)	Meeks	Wild
Gohmert	Meng	

NOT VOTING—25

Abraham	Lucas	Steube
Aderholt	Moulton	Swalwell (CA)
Castro (TX)	Mullin	Taylor
Gabbard	Richmond	Thornberry
Hastings	Rogers (AL)	Torres (CA)
Johnson (LA)	Rooney (FL)	Walorski
Kaptur	Ryan	Wilson (FL)
Kinzinger	Schrader	
Kustoff (TN)	Sensenbrenner	

□ 1609

Ms. WILD, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Ms. MOORE, Messrs. HIGGINS of New York, TONKO, ESPAILLAT, COHEN, KEATING, NADLER, GOHMERT, LEWIS, MALINOWSKI, NORCROSS, Ms. BARRAGÁN, and Mrs. DINGELL changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Messrs. GRAVES of Missouri, CARTER of Texas, CORREA, GOTTHEIMER, CARSON of Indiana, THOMPSON of Mississippi, JOHNSON of Ohio, DAVID SCOTT of Georgia, BISHOP of Utah, and Ms. FUDGE changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MOMENT OF SILENCE HONORING MIGRANTS WHO HAVE DIED AT- TEMPTING TO REACH THE SHORES OF THE UNITED STATES

(Ms. ESCOBAR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. ESCOBAR. Mr. Speaker, today I ask that we observe a moment of silence for the migrants who have died as they have tried to reach the shores of the America that they dream of.

The photograph that all of us saw this week should tear all of us up, for those of us who are parents, to see a toddler with her little arms wrapped around the neck of her father.

There is nothing that we wouldn't do for our children, nothing, to give them a better life.

Oscar and Valeria represent tens of thousands of migrants who have died

as they have tried to build a better life for themselves, only to find that they are demonized and locked out of the promise that those of us who are natural born citizens are so fortunate to enjoy.

In their name, let us never forget their sacrifice and the sacrifice that so many parents make for the most vulnerable among us.

□ 1615

SECURING AMERICA'S FEDERAL ELECTIONS ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2722) to protect elections for public office by providing financial support and enhanced security for the infrastructure used to carry out such elections, and for other purposes, will now resume.

The Clerk will report the title of the bill.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois. I am in its current form.

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, I reserve a point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. A point of order is reserved.

The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Rodney Davis of Illinois moves to recommit the bill H.R. 2722 to the Committee on House Administration with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

Page 72, insert after line 3 the following (and conform the succeeding provisions accordingly):

TITLE IV—DISCLOSURE OF FOREIGN NA- TIONAL ACCESS TO ELECTION INFRA- STRUCTURE

SEC. 401. DISCLOSURE OF ACCESS TO ELECTION INFRASTRUCTURE BY FOREIGN NA- TIONALS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title III of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (52 U.S.C. 21081 et seq.), as amended by section 121, is further amended by inserting after section 303A the following new section:

“SEC. 303B. ACCESS TO ELECTION INFRASTRUC- TURE BY FOREIGN NATIONALS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Each chief State election official shall disclose to the Commission the identity of any foreign national known by the chief State election official—

“(1) to have physically handled—

“(A) ballots used in an election for Federal office; or

“(B) voting machines; or

“(2) to have had unmonitored access to—

“(A) a storage facility or centralized vote tabulation location used to support the administration of an election for public office; or

“(B) election-related information or communications technology, including voter registration databases, voting machines, electronic mail and other communications systems (including electronic mail and other systems of vendors who have entered into

contracts with election agencies to support the administration of elections, manage the election process, and report and display election results), and other systems used to manage the election process and to report and display election results on behalf of an election agency.

“(b) TIMING.—The chief State election official shall make the disclosure under subsection (a) not later than 30 days after the date on which such official becomes aware of an activity described in such subsection.

“(c) FOREIGN NATIONAL DEFINED.—The term ‘foreign national’ has the meaning given that term in section 319 of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (52 U.S.C. 30121).”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents of such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 303A the following new item:

“Sec. 303B. Access to election infrastructure by foreign nationals.”

Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to waive the reading of the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Illinois is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his motion.

Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the motion to recommit.

For months, we have heard about the interference in our elections and the report of Special Counsel Robert Mueller, this report right here. But nothing in this bill that we are debating today and voting on today before this body now addresses the concerns that have been raised in this report.

Mr. Speaker, nothing in the bill we are debating today addresses the concerns of foreign interference raised in the special counsel's report that I am holding right now. What we know is that Russia attempted to interfere in our 2016 election through a misinformation campaign, email hacking, and by exploring vulnerabilities of registration databases. This is gravely concerning to every Republican and Democrat in this institution.

But what does the Federal Government telling States that they must replace their safe, new, and auditable machines have to do with addressing these concerns? What does a hand recount mandate have to do with these concerns? What does recycled paper have to do with these concerns?

The tremendous costs associated with these Federal mandates only serve to draw resources away from the real vulnerabilities our States face.

My colleagues on the other side of the aisle have also represented that Republicans have done nothing to address foreign interference in our elections, and that, Mr. Speaker, is simply not true.