

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Louisiana will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 97 OFFERED BY MR. BANKS

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 97 printed in part A of House Report 116-111.

Mr. BANKS. I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of division E (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. 1. Each amount made available in division E, except those amounts made available to the Department of Defense, is hereby reduced by 14 percent.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 436, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BANKS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana.

Mr. BANKS. Madam Chairwoman, in total, the Energy and Water Development division cost the American taxpayers \$46.4 billion.

That is a 4 percent increase above the fiscal year 2019-enacted level. Specifically, the division includes \$23.3 billion for nondefense activities, which is an increase of \$1.1 billion above the fiscal year 2019-enacted level.

My amendment would apply a 14 percent reduction across the board to the nondefense activities included in this division. Without it, we are on track toward sequestration, which would have devastating effects on our national security.

This amendment is necessary because we are at a \$22 trillion national debt. That is trillion, with a T. Even before my friends across the aisle offered this reckless spending package, the Congressional Budget Office estimated that we were on track to spend \$1 trillion on interest payments in 2029. That means one-fifth of the entire budget would go to paying off previous years of irresponsible spending.

Madam Chairwoman, we simply cannot continue down this path. We must balance our books before writing new checks for this fiscal year.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. KAPTUR. Madam Chairwoman, I rise in opposition to this amendment.

The Acting Chair. The gentlewoman from Ohio is recognized for 5 Minutes.

Ms. KAPTUR. Madam Chairwoman, I rise in opposition to this amendment because really, it takes us backwards. The gentleman is from Indiana. I am from Ohio. I think both of us have seen individuals that we represent go off to war. How many wars have we gotten into over the issue of oil and the oil supply of the globe?

The Department of Energy has been inventing the future to a point where now, we are 90 percent self-sufficient inside the boundaries of this country.

This department helps to invent the future and helps America be more secure. Every one of us has some sense of what is happening with cyberattacks in our energy systems.

Over the weekend, a major retailer, Target, for whatever reason, all the cash registers went dead around the country. Was it just a satellite problem? Was it an attack by a foreign aggressor? I simply don't know. But I know this department isn't a place where we should be cutting.

Climate change, whether one wishes to admit it or not, is going to require a change in our way of life. This department is essential to help us move in that direction in a very organized manner. Every penny counts and every step we take to help the American people be more secure is needed.

This bill funds critical water resource projects and supports science and energy technology. It helps our businesses be more competitive. It funds a credible nuclear deterrent where we have commitments and also nonproliferation, which is important not just to our country, but to the world.

I think the gentleman's amendment will actually harm all of these fronts and reduce protections against what the American people are facing from coast to coast right now.

I think that the gentleman's objectives on balancing the budget are correct, but I don't think it should be taken out of the hide of these programs. There are other ways to do that—some of the giveaways to the billionaire class in this country who have had the privilege of living a good life and earning a great deal of money in this country. Everybody has got to pitch in. But I don't think where we are inventing the future and helping the American people become more secure in our way of life is the place to hack away.

I urge a continued investment in these areas for purposes of our national security and to remain a global leader in energy, water, and science. I urge my colleagues to join me in opposing this amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BANKS. Madam Chairwoman, I was proud this year to lead the Republican Study Committee's effort in creating and drafting our own budget as part of the Budget and Spending Task Force.

I gathered together with several of my colleagues, coming from different States and different views, and we worked tirelessly for months to produce a budget that would cut wasteful government spending by \$12.6 trillion over a 6-year time period.

This is not just the only budget offered in this body that balances. It is the only budget that has been offered at all. The fact that my friends on the other side of the aisle refuse to even offer a budget shows a stunning lack of leadership.

This is my third amendment to cut across the board 14 percent in each of the divisions of these minibuses.

□ 2115

My amendment reflects the values of the RSC budget and is a necessary first step toward eventually achieving a balanced budget.

Madam Chair, I will continue to come back to this floor and offer this amendment time and time again because I refuse to condemn my daughters to a less prosperous America than the one that every Member of this Chamber has been blessed to know.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. KAPTUR. Madam Chair, I urge opposition to this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BANKS).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. ROY. Madam Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Indiana will be postponed.

The Chair understands that amendment No. 103 will not be offered.

Ms. KAPTUR. Madam Chair, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Ms. DEGETTE) having assumed the chair, Ms. SHALALA, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2740) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2020, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

REQUEST TO CONSIDER H.R. 3056, BORDER CRISIS SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2019

Mr. ROY. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to consider a measure that I would like to speak about for 1 minute.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under guidelines consistently issued by successive Speakers, as recorded in section 956 of the House Rules and Manual, the Chair is constrained not to entertain the request unless it has been cleared by the bipartisan floor and committee leaderships.

Mr. ROY. Madam Speaker, to be clear, my request was to call up H.R. 3056, a measure to make sure we have the funding necessary to end the border security crisis that the President and his Office of Management and Budget sent to the Congress. It is Mr. ROGERS from Alabama's bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. As the Chair has noted, under guidelines consistently issued by successive Speakers, as recorded in section 956 of the

House Rules and Manual, the Chair is constrained not to entertain the request unless it has been cleared by the bipartisan floor and committee leaderships.

EMPOWERING BENEFICIARIES, EN-SURING ACCESS, AND STRENGTHENING ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF 2019

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3253) to provide for certain extensions with respect to the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. DINGELL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 371, nays 46, not voting 15, as follows:

[Roll No. 333]

YEAS—371

Adams	Cole	Fulcher	Kelly (IL)	Napolitano	Slotkin
Aguilar	Collins (GA)	Gabbard	Kelly (PA)	Neal	Smith (MO)
Allred	Collins (NY)	Gallagher	Kennedy	Neguse	Smith (NE)
Amodei	Comer	Garamendi	Khanna	Newhouse	Smith (NJ)
Armstrong	Conaway	Garcia (IL)	Kildee	Norcross	Smith (WA)
Axne	Connolly	Garcia (TX)	Kilmer	Nunes	Smucker
Bacon	Cook	Gianforte	Kim	O'Halleran	Soto
Baird	Cooper	Gibbs	Kind	Ocasio-Cortez	Spanberger
Balderson	Correa	Golden	King (NY)	Olson	Spano
Barr	Costa	Gomez	Kinzinger	Omar	Speier
Barragán	Courtney	Gonzalez (OH)	Kirkpatrick	Palazzo	Stanton
Bass	Cox (CA)	Gonzalez (TX)	Krishnamoorthi	Pallone	Stauber
Beatty	Craig	Gottheimer	Kuster (NH)	Panetta	Stefanik
Bera	Crawford	Granger	Kustoff (TN)	Pappas	Steil
Bergman	Crenshaw	Graves (LA)	LaMalfa	Pascarella	Stevens
Beyer	Crist	Graves (MO)	Lamb	Payne	Stewart
Bilirakis	Crow	Green (TX)	Langevin	Pence	Stivers
Bishop (GA)	Cuellar	Griffith	Larsen (WA)	Perlmutter	Suozzi
Bishop (UT)	Cummings	Grijalva	Larson (CT)	Perry	Swalwell (CA)
Blumenauer	Cunningham	Guest	Latta	Peters	Takano
Blunt Rochester	Davids (KS)	Guthrie	Lawrence	Peterson	Taylor
Bonamici	Davis (CA)	Haaland	Lawson (FL)	Phillips	Thompson (CA)
Bost	Davis, Danny K.	Hagedorn	Lee (CA)	Pingree	Thompson (MS)
Boyle, Brendan F.	Davis, Rodney	Harder (CA)	Lev (NV)	Pocan	Thompson (PA)
Brady	Dean	Hartzler	Levin (CA)	Porter	Thornberry
Brindisi	DeFazio	Hayes	Levin (MI)	Posey	Timmons
Brooks (IN)	DeGette	Heck	Lewis	Pressley	Tipton
Brown (MD)	DelBene	Hern, Kevin	Lieu, Ted	Price (NC)	Titus
Brownley (CA)	Delgado	Higgins (LA)	Lipinski	Quigley	Tlaib
Buchanan	Demings	Higgins (NY)	Loebback	Raskin	Tonko
Bucshon	DeSaulnier	Hill (AR)	Lofgren	Reed	Torres (CA)
Burgess	DesJarlais	Hill (CA)	Long	Reschenthaler	Torres Small
Bustos	Deutch	Himes	Lowenthal	Rice (NY)	(NM)
Butterfield	Diaz-Balart	Hollingsworth	Lowy	Richmond	Trahan
Calvert	Dingell	Horn, Kendra S.	Mast	Riggelman	Trone
Carbajal	Doyle, Michael F.	Horsford	Matsui	Rodgers (WA)	Turner
Cárdenas	Duffy	Houlihan	McAdams	Rutherford	Underwood
Carson (IN)	Duncan	Hoyer	McBath	Ryan	Roe, David P.
Carter (GA)	Dunn	Hudson	McKinley	Royal-Allard	Roe, David P.
Carter (TX)	Emmer	Huffman	McNerney	Ruiz	Royal-Allard
Cartwright	Engel	Huizenga	Meeks	Ruppersberger	Ruiz
Case	Escobar	Hunter	Meng	Scalise	Visclosky
Casten (IL)	Eshoo	Hurd (TX)	Mooney (WV)	McCollum	Wasserman
Castor (FL)	Espaiatl	Jackson Lee	Moore	McEachin	Schultz
Castro (TX)	Estes	Jayapal	Morello	Schakowsky	Waters
Chabot	Fitzpatrick	Jeffries	Mullin	Schiff	Watkins
Cheney	Ferguson	Johnson (GA)	Moolenaar	McGovern	Watson Coleman
Chu, Judy	Finkenauer	Johnson (LA)	Nadal	McKinley	Webster (FL)
Cicilline	Fleischmann	Johnson (OH)	Neasey	McNerney	Welch
Cisneros	Fletcher	Johnson (SD)	Neasey	Meeks	Wenstrup
Clark (MA)	Flores	Joyce (OH)	Neasey	McNerney	Wexton
Clarke (NY)	Fortenberry	Joyce (PA)	Neasey	McNerney	Wild
Clay	Foster	Kaptur	Neasey	McNerney	Wilson (FL)
Cleaver	Frankel	Katko	Neasey	McNerney	Wilson (SC)
Clyburn	Fudge	Keating	Neasey	McNerney	Wittman
Cohen		Keller	Neasey	McNerney	Womack

NAYS—46

NOT VOTING—15

Abraham	Gaetz	LaHood
Arrington	Gallego	Moulton
Curtis	Hastings	Waltz
DeLauro	Herrera Beutler	Westerman
Doggett	Holding	Yoho

□ 2148

Messrs. MEADOWS, WILLIAMS, BANKS, and Mrs. ROBY changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Messrs. CUELLAR, LIPINSKI, DUNCAN, TONKO, and GRIFFITH changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CONGRESSIONAL WOMEN'S SOFTBALL GAME

(Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Speaker, in the beginning of the 116th Congress, we elected more than 80 new Members; and so, in order to welcome you to the 116th Congress, the women of the Congressional Women's Softball team want to make sure that everyone knows that the game is finally here.

The 11th Annual Congressional Women's Softball Game is tomorrow night at 7 p.m. It is at Watkins Recreation Center, 420 12th Street, Southeast.

The reason I refer to the 80-plus new Members is that many of you may not know that we began playing this game 11 years ago, after I made an announcement, a public announcement that I had been through breast cancer at 41 years old. I was diagnosed, at 41 after doing a self-exam in the shower.

One day I was the picture of health; the next day I was a cancer patient. And, subsequently found that I was also BRCA2 positive, meaning that I had a genetic mutation that made it infinitely more likely that I would have a recurrence, and that I would very likely get ovarian cancer at some point.

So, after a year, 15 months really, of hell, and seven surgeries, not sharing it until I was all the way through the other side, I shared my story.

We introduced the EARLY Act, the Education and Awareness Requires Learning Young Act, which has been law since 2010, which is a law that now raises awareness in young women, an education and awareness campaign that has been funded every year with \$5 million, to make sure that we can help young women be aware of their breast health.

So many young women work for us on our staffs. We have a team that we play against, the common adversary of all of us, the press corps, the female press corps, and they generally are much younger.

So, Madam Speaker, we raise money for the Young Survival Coalition at this game. It is an organization that helps young women who deal with the challenges that they face when they have breast cancer.