

Margaret Kostelnik;
Andres Duran;
Rocky Jones; and
Michael Grubbs.

These are just 50 names of Americans whose lives have been lost to illegal immigration. They no longer have their voice, but we can and must be their voice today. And if these names sound familiar, it is because we heard them on the news.

I would like to reflect on others who certainly weren't celebrating the *status quo*.

□ 1300

The names may sound familiar because these are the same individuals who then-Leader PELOSI set a record on this floor just a year ago in February for more than 8 hours defending the passion of DACA and shutting the government down: Vanessa Rodriguez; Ana Sanchez; Juan Escalante; Cesar Vargas; Nicole Robles; Jacqueline Romo; Andrea Sibra; Cesar Espinoza; Denise Rojas; Ray Pineta; Kelly; Crystal; Carlos; Marian; Brittany; Hugo; Fernando; Javier Noras; Marco Dorado; Mayra; Fernanda Herrera; Emily; Claudia; Bruna; Cynthia Sanchez; Jose Castillo; Hugo Alexander Acosta; Denia Candela; Luis Galvin; Hector Rivera Suarez; Dalia Medina; Juan Carlos Navarro; Patricia Yulowa; Maria Praley; Jose Manuel Santobo; Carlos Emilio Diaz; Luis Roberto Ucerra; Sofia De La Varga; Novella Vladimar; Gloria Riconni; Alonzo Rivarola; Yuri Hernandez; Oscar Canajoe, Jr.; Ashley Lamadrid; Gladys Clompka; Denaya Joseph; Miriam Ochoa Garbay; Han Yoon Li.

Mr. Speaker, as this House adjourns for the week today—after just one rule bill—I implore my colleagues to take some time and think deeply about these individuals, their families, and what our country stands for. It certainly isn't this political stunt by this majority, and it certainly isn't the *status quo* they are so proud to protect.

As Members of the U.S. House of Representatives, we are a very small group with a very large responsibility. The burden on us 435 Americans is to represent 325 million Americans faithfully and to work together so tomorrow is better than today. Let us not let these political distractions get in the way of our duty. Instead, let's actually work together to give a voice to the voiceless.

Mr. MEADOWS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, these are powerful words by our Republican leader. There has been a lot of debate. I don't think a more somber moment have I experienced this week on the House floor than when the 50 names were read out, where their loved ones will never be able to welcome them home. There will be ball games that are missed. There will be calls that are no longer made of, "Welcome home, Daddy," or "welcome home" to a son or a daughter.

Mr. Speaker, we have talked a lot about the pain and anguish of Federal

employees. Indeed, there are real hardships there that are undeniable. But, Mr. Speaker, they are getting their pay back. For the lives of the 50 people who were just named on this House floor, there is no returning. Their lives were extinguished, Mr. Speaker, and we must do something about that as well.

I am committed to my colleagues opposite to work with them to protect Federal workers, as long as they are willing to work with us to protect the communities and the safety of moms and dads from coast to coast.

On that, it is important that we have no more show votes. This resolution is meaningless other than to provide cover for some on the other side of the aisle who voted against giving pay to those who were essential employees.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" vote, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CLAY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, let me thank all of the body for engaging in this debate and for the minority leader bringing forth those names. But let me say that H. Res. 79 is not about the undocumented, nor is it a political stunt. It is not messaging for some political purpose. This resolution is about compassion and respect for fellow Americans who happen to be Federal workers who deserve to stay on the job and deserve to get a paycheck, and for the work and the service that they give to the rest of the country.

My friends on the other side know better. They know better, that shutdowns are harmful to our economy as well as our national security. They know that. The Federal Government should always be open for business, and Federal employees should not be held as hostages.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a favorable vote on the passage of H. Res. 79, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. LEE of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H. Res. 79, a resolution expressing the sense of the House that shutdowns are detrimental to the Nation and should not occur.

And as a Member of the Appropriations Committee, I know firsthand how terrible this shutdown has been. This past weekend I met with Coast Guard families impacted by the 35-day government shutdown.

Families told me they were skipping medications and couldn't afford to put gas in the car because of the shutdown.

Mr. Speaker, these families did nothing wrong.

In fact, they have gone Above and Beyond the call of duty by signing up to serve in the Coast Guard.

And how did President Trump reward their sacrifices?

By inflicting a needless, 35-day government shutdown on these families. By using these families as political pawns.

Let me be clear: these Coast Guard families deserve far better than this. And so do all our federal workers—who bore the brunt of this shutdown through no fault of their own.

The CBO estimates that this shutdown cost our economy 11 billion dollars—including 3 billion dollars that will never be recovered.

It also caused immeasurable fear and anxiety for families.

So, while we can never fully repair the damage that was caused by this dangerous, irresponsible shutdown, I hope that President Trump and Congressional Republicans will take these stories to heart and learn from the damage they caused.

Simply put, families can't afford another shutdown.

So, let's pass this resolution and get back to work for the American people. I urge my colleagues to vote yes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. CLAY) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 79, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. MEADOWS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

FEDERAL CIVILIAN WORKFORCE PAY RAISE FAIRNESS ACT OF 2019

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 87 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 790.

Will the gentleman from the Northern Mariana Islands (Mr. SABLAN) kindly resume the chair.

□ 1306

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 790) to provide for a pay increase in 2019 for certain civilian employees of the Federal Government, and for other purposes, with Mr. SABLAN in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, a request for a recorded vote on amendment No. 3 printed in part B of House Report 116-5 offered by the gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Mrs. TRAHAN) had been postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MRS. TRAHAN

The CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, the unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 3 printed in part B of House Report 116-5 offered by the gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Mrs. TRAHAN) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 243, noes 183, not voting 12, as follows:

[Roll No. 62]

AYES—243

Adams	Golden	Ocasio-Cortez	Brooks (AL)	Harris	Ratcliffe
Aguilar	Gomez	Omar	Brooks (IN)	Hartzler	Reed
Allred	Gonzalez (TX)	Pallone	Buchanan	Hern, Kevin	Reschenthaler
Axne	Gottheimer	Panetta	Buck	Herrera Beutler	Rice (SC)
Barragán	Green (TX)	Pappas	Bucshon	Hice (GA)	Riggleman
Bass	Grijalva	Pascrell	Budd	Higgins (LA)	Roby
Beatty	Haaland	Perlmutter	Burchett	Hill (AR)	Rodgers (WA)
Bera	Harder (CA)	Peters	Burgess	Holding	Roe, David P.
Beyer	Hastings	Peterson	Byrne	Hollingsworth	Rogers (AL)
Bishop (GA)	Hayes	Phillips	Calvert	Hudson	Rogers (KY)
Blumenauer	Heck	Pingree	Carter (GA)	Huizenga	Rooney (FL)
Blunt Rochester	Higgins (NY)	Plaskett	Carter (TX)	Hunter	Rose, John W.
Bonamici	Hill (CA)	Pocan	Chabot	Johnson (LA)	Rouzer
Boyle, Brendan F.	Himes	Porter	Cheney	Johnson (OH)	Roy
Brindisi	Horn, Kendra S.	Pressley	Cline	Johnson (SD)	Rutherford
Brown (MD)	Horsford	Price (NC)	Cloud	Jordan	Scalise
Brownley (CA)	Houlahan	Quigley	Collins (GA)	Joyce (OH)	Schweikert
Bustos	Hoyer	Raskin	Collins (NY)	Joyce (PA)	Scott, Austin
Butterfield	Huffman	Rice (NY)	Conaway	Katko	Simpson
Carbajal	Hurd (TX)	Richmond	Davidson (OH)	DesJarlais	Smith (MO)
Cárdenas	Jackson Lee	Rose (NY)	Diaz-Balart	Kustoff (TN)	Smith (NE)
Carson (IN)	Jayapal	Rouda	Duffy	LaMalfa	Smucker
Cartwright	Jeffries	Royal-Allard	Duncan	Long	Spano
Case	Johnson (GA)	Ruiz	Duffy	Lamborn	Stefanik
Casten (IL)	Johnson (TX)	Ruppersberger	Fortenberry	Marchant	Steil
Castor (FL)	Kaptur	Rush	Foxx (NC)	Marshall	Steube
Castro (TX)	Keating	Ryan	Fulcher	Mast	Stewart
Chu, Judy	Kennedy	Sablan	Gaetz	McCarthy	Stivers
Cicilline	Khanna	Sánchez	Gallagher	McCaul	Taylor
Cisneros	Kildee	Sarbanes	Gianforte	McClintock	Thompson (PA)
Clark (MA)	Kilmer	Scanlon	Gibbs	McHenry	Thornberry
Clarke (NY)	Kim	Schakowsky	Gohmert	McKinley	Timmons
Clay	Kind	Schiff	Gonzalez (OH)	Meadows	Tipton
Cleaver	Kinzinger	Schneider	González-Colón	Lucas	Turner
Clyburn	Kirkpatrick	Schrader	(PR)	Luethemeyer	Upton
Cohen	Krishnamoorthi	Schrier	Flores	Fortenberry	Walberg
Cole	Kuster (NH)	Scott (VA)	Moorlacaar	Massie	Walden
Connolly	Lamb	Scott, David	Mooney (WV)	Mast	Walker
Cook	Langevin	Serrano	Newhouse	McClintock	Walorski
Cooper	Larsen (WA)	Sewell (AL)	Graves (LA)	Norman	Williams
Correa	Larson (CT)	Shalala	Graves (MO)	Graves (LA)	Waltz
Costa	Lawrence	Sherman	Green (TN)	Nunes	Watkins
Courtney	Lawson (FL)	Sherrill	Granger	Mitchell	Weber (TX)
Cox (CA)	Lee (CA)	Sires	Graves (GA)	Moorlacaar	Webster (FL)
Craig	Lee (NV)	Slotkin	Graves (LA)	Mooney (WV)	Wenstrup
Crist	Levin (CA)	Smith (NJ)	Grothman	Newhouse	Westerman
Crow	Levin (MI)	Smith (WA)	Guest	Norman	Williams
Cuellar	Lewis	Soto	Guthrie	Olson	Wilson (SC)
Cummings	Lieu, Ted	Spanberger	Hagedorn	Palazzo	Womack
Cunningham	Lipinski	Speier	NOT VOTING—12	Palmer	Woodall
Davids (KS)	Loebelack	Stanton	Bost	Pence	Wright
Davis (CA)	Lofgren	Stauber	Comer	Guest	Yoho
Davis, Danny K.	Lowenthal	Stevens	Davis, Rodney	Perry	Young
Dean	Lowey	Suozzi	Jones	Posey	Zeldin
DeFazio	Luján	Swalwell (CA)			
DeGette	Luria	Takano			
DeLauro	Lynch	Thompson (CA)			
DelBene	Malinowski	Thompson (MS)			
Delgado	Maloney	Titus			
Demings	Carolyn B.	Tlaib			
DeSaulnier	Maloney, Sean	Tonko			
Deutch	Matsui	Torres (CA)			
Dingell	McAdams	Torres Small (NM)			
Doggett	McBath	Trahan			
Doyle, Michael F.	McCollum	Trone			
Engel	McEachin	Underwood			
Escarobar	McGovern	Van Drew			
Eshoo	McNerney	Vargas			
Espalliat	Meeks	Veasey			
Evans	Meng	Vela			
Finkenauer	Morelle	Velázquez			
Fitzpatrick	Moulton	Visclosky			
Fletcher	Mucarsel-Powell	Wasserman			
Foster	Murphy	Schultz			
Frankel	Nadler	Waters			
Fudge	Napolitano	Watson Coleman			
Gabbard	Neal	Welch			
Gallego	Neguse	Wexton			
Garamendi	Norcross	Wild			
Garcia (IL)	Norton	Wittman			
Garcia (TX)	O'Halleran	Yarmuth			

NOES—183

Abraham	Arrington	Barr
Aderholt	Babin	Bergman
Allen	Bacon	Biggs
Amash	Baird	Bilirakis
Amodei	Balderson	Bishop (UT)
Armstrong	Banks	Brady

ments adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any further amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentlewoman opposed to the bill?

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. I am, Mr. Speaker, in its present form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mrs. Brooks of Indiana moves to recommit the bill H.R. 790 to the Committee on Oversight and Reform with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

At the end of the bill, add the following:

SEC. 3. PROHIBITION ON PAY ADJUSTMENT FOR EMPLOYEES DISCIPLINED FOR SEXUAL MISCONDUCT.

During calendar year 2019, no increase in pay as authorized under this Act may be provided to any Federal employee who has been disciplined for sexual misconduct under chapter 75 of title 5, United States Code, or any other provision of law.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Indiana is recognized for 5 minutes in support of her motion.

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, my motion to recommit amends the Democratic bill by prohibiting taxpayer dollars from being used to give raises to Federal employees who have been disciplined for sexual misconduct. Without this change, Federal employees who have engaged in sexual misconduct in the workplace would be rewarded for their bad actions.

The Republican motion to recommit amends the bill and reports it back forthwith with an amendment. If it passes, the Republican motion to recommit will allow an immediate vote on final passage of the bill.

The underlying premise of the Democratic bill is that our Federal civilian workforce should be treated the same as members of our armed services, who received a 2.6 percent pay raise in last year's NDAA.

The Federal Government is blessed with amazing Federal employees. As a former United States attorney, I led an office of those amazing Federal employees. I worked with countless other dedicated Federal employees.

Our dedicated civil servants work day in and day out to protect and serve the American public. We are most grateful for their service.

The vast majority of Federal employees are hardworking. They don't engage in conduct unbefitting their civil

service. However, those who have been disciplined for sexual misconduct should not be rewarded with a 2.6 percent pay increase.

The bill before the House today treats every Federal employee the same. Good, bad, competent, or not, they all get a pay raise on top of automatic step increases and promotions.

Earlier today, my colleagues have asked: Doesn't everyone deserve a pay raise? The answer is no. A broken disciplinary process in our Federal agencies make it nearly impossible to fire anyone. This Democratic bill is nothing short of a handout to individuals who engage in sexual misconduct in the workplace, and that is wrong.

How can we reward anyone who harms Federal employees in this manner? How can we reward people who abuse the public's trust in this way?

Let me give you a few past examples.

A 2018 PBS report included interviews with 34 current and former female U.S. Forest Service employees who alleged discrimination, harassment, and sexual assault at the agency. Do these employees who perpetrated this type of behavior deserve automatic raises? No.

In 2017, an NBC affiliate identified almost 100 cases of Federal employees viewing pornography on government computers. Should these employees be rewarded for this behavior with automatic pay raises? No.

In 2015, DOJ's Office of Inspector General found that DEA agents participated in sex parties in Colombia involving strippers and prostitutes paid for by drug cartels. Does this type of conduct warrant automatic pay raises? I don't think so.

A recent study—and this is horrible—shows that sexual harassment is commonplace in Federal offices. One in five women have experienced harassment in the workplace, and nearly 9 percent of male employees report the same. It took 7 years for a former trial lawyer from the Justice Department to win a ruling from the EEOC confirming she was harassed by two male supervisors.

In 2018, five ICE officials told The Washington Post the agency hadn't yet responded to sexual harassment claims they filed more than a year ago against a manager who they said pressured them to view nude photos.

Our disciplinary process is confidential and murky. It varies agency by agency. We don't know how many employees have been disciplined for sexual misconduct and still remain on the job. We have serious faults we must address before we give across-the-board pay raises.

During consideration of this bill in Rules, there was bipartisan sentiment to look at longstanding issues that face our civil servants. Retention, private-sector wage comparison, and millennial recruitment were some of those issues. But this was a hastily drafted bill, and this is not how the process should work.

□ 1345

The American people deserve a Federal workforce with high standards for appropriate conduct. Federal employees should feel safe and protected doing the people's business.

Mr. Speaker, we have problems in our Federal workforce that we must deal with before we give across-the-board pay raises.

The Republican motion to recommit protects due process rights of all Federal employees by ensuring that only those substantiated claims for which an employee has been disciplined will result in an employee being ineligible for a pay raise.

It is inexcusable that the Democrat bill, as drafted, would treat victims of sexual harassment the same as the perpetrators who may still be drawing Federal paychecks. Taxpayer dollars should not be used to give a pay raise to these bad actors.

I urge my colleagues to vote for this motion to recommit; and if it fails, I urge my colleagues to vote against this flawed bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CONNOLLY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to the slander we have just heard.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Virginia is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CONNOLLY. Mr. Speaker, I have rarely heard such cynicism on the floor of the House of Representatives. Oh, no. My friends on the other side are right to kind of cabal because there is so much cynicism; but this one takes the cake.

We are here to honor the Federal workforce, not slander them with insinuation.

To listen to the gentlewoman from Indiana, one might infer that the Federal Government is riddled with people who are guilty of all kinds of nasty, near crimes and offenses, and should not be rewarded for it.

I wonder if we would use the same standard ourselves here in the House of Representatives. How many in the last Congress, especially on a particular side of the aisle, have resigned over sexual harassment charges? And that wasn't insinuation, that was real. So let's not have a double standard.

And by the way, I say to my friends, especially on this side of the aisle, let us not be distracted by what is really going on.

We are simply trying, after the worst shutdown in American history, to say to our own employees, 2.1 million: "You are valued. You are respected."

To actually vote for this is not only to say the opposite and deny them a simple cost of living increase that we have already given the military; it is, in fact, to say: By the way, we buy into this cynicism. We think you are riddled with guilt by association and insinuation.

So we have an opportunity, in defeating this MTR, one of the most cynical

I have ever heard, to actually make a positive statement to our Federal employees.

Stand up and be heard. Say "no" to shutdowns. Say "yes" to our Federal employees, and restore their sense of respect with our dignity.

Defeat this MTR.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this 5-minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by 5-minute votes on:

Passage of the bill, if ordered;

The motion to suspend the rules and agree to H. Res. 79; and

Agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 206, nays 216, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 63]

YEAS—206

Abraham	Emmer	Kelly (MS)
Aderholt	Estes	Kelly (PA)
Allen	Ferguson	Kim
Amodei	Finkenauer	King (IA)
Armstrong	Fitzpatrick	King (NY)
Arrington	Fleischmann	Kinzinger
Axne	Flores	Kustoff (TN)
Babin	Fortenberry	LaMalfa
Bacon	Foxx (NC)	Lamborn
Baird	Fulcher	Latta
Balderson	Gaetz	Lesko
Banks	Gallagher	Long
Barr	Gianforte	Loudermilk
Bergman	Gibbs	Lucas
Biggs	Gohmert	Luetkemeyer
Bilirakis	Gonzalez (OH)	Luria
Bishop (UT)	Gooden	Marchant
Brady	Gosar	Marshall
Brindisi	Gottheimer	Massie
Brooks (AL)	Granger	Mast
Brooks (IN)	Graves (GA)	McAdams
Buchanan	Graves (LA)	McCarthy
Buck	Graves (MO)	McCaul
Bucshon	Green (TN)	McClintock
Budd	Griffith	McHenry
Burchett	Grothman	McKinley
Burgess	Guest	Meadows
Byrne	Guthrie	Meuser
Calvert	Hagedorn	Miller
Carter (GA)	Harris	Mitchell
Carter (TX)	Hartzler	Moolenaar
Chabot	Hern, Kevin	Mooney (WV)
Cheney	Herrera Beutler	Newhouse
Cline	Hice (GA)	Norman
Cloud	Higgins (LA)	Nunes
Cole	Hill (AR)	O'Halleran
Collins (GA)	Holding	Olson
Collins (NY)	Hollingsworth	Palazzo
Conaway	Horn, Kendra S.	Palmer
Cook	Houlahan	Pence
Crawford	Hudson	Perry
Crenshaw	Huizenga	Porter
Cunningham	Hunter	Posey
Curtis	Hurd (TX)	Ratcliffe
Davidson (OH)	Johnson (LA)	Reed
Delgado	Johnson (OH)	Reschenthaler
DesJarlais	Johnson (SD)	Rice (SC)
Diaz-Balart	Jordan	Riggleman
Duffy	Joyce (OH)	Roby
Duncan	Joyce (PA)	Rodgers (WA)
Dunn	Katko	Roe, David P.

Stated for:

Ms. TLAIB. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained, if I would have been here, I would have voted yes.

Ms. HILL of California. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained, if I would have been here, I would have voted yes.

**EXPRESSING SENSE OF HOUSE
THAT GOVERNMENT SHUTDOWNS
ARE DETRIMENTAL TO NATION
AND SHOULD NOT OCCUR**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 79) expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that Government shutdowns are detrimental to the Nation and should not occur, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. CLAY) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, as amended.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 249, nays 163, not voting 20, as follows:

[Roll No. 65]

YEAS—249

Adams	Davis (CA)	Huffman
Aguilar	Davis, Danny K.	Hurd (TX)
Allred	Dean	Jackson Lee
Axne	DeFazio	Jayapal
Bacon	DeGette	Jeffries
Barragán	DeLauro	Johnson (GA)
Bass	DelBene	Johnson (TX)
Beatty	DelGado	Joyce (OH)
Bera	Demings	Kaptur
Beyer	DeSaulnier	Katko
Bishop (GA)	Deutch	Keating
Blumenauer	Dingell	Kelly (IL)
Bonamici	Doggett	Kennedy
Boyle, Brendan F.	Doyle, Michael F.	Khanhna
Brindisi	Engel	Kildee
Brooks (IN)	Escarobar	Kilmer
Brown (MD)	Eshoo	Kind
Brownley (CA)	Espaillat	Kinzinger
Bustos	Evans	Kirkpatrick
Butterfield	Finkenauer	Krishnamoorthi
Carbajal	Fitzpatrick	Kuster (NH)
Cárdenas	Fletcher	Lamb
Carson (IN)	Flores	Langevin
Cartwright	Fortenberry	Larsen (WA)
Case	Foster	Larson (CT)
Casten (IL)	Frankel	Lawrence
Castor (FL)	Fudge	Lawson (FL)
Castro (TX)	Gabbard	Lee (CA)
Chu, Judy	Gallego	Lee (NV)
Cicilline	Garamendi	Diaz-Balart
Cisneros	Garcia (IL)	Levin (CA)
Clark (MA)	Garcia (TX)	Levin (MI)
Clarke (NY)	Golden	Lewis
Clay	Gomez	Lieu, Ted
Cleaver	Gonzalez (OH)	Lipinski
Clyburn	Gonzalez (TX)	Loebssack
Cohen	Gottheimer	Lofgren
Connolly	Green (TX)	Lowenthal
Cooper	Grijalva	Lowey
Correa	Haaland	Luján
Costa	Hastings	Luria
Courtney	Hayes	Lynch
Cox (CA)	Heck	Malinowski
Craig	Higgins (NY)	Maloney, Carolyn B.
Crist	Hill (CA)	Maloney, Sean
Crow	Himes	Matsui
Cuellar	Horn, Kendra S.	McAdams
Cummings	Horsford	McBath
Cunningham	Houlahan	McCaull
Davids (KS)	Hoyer	McCollum

McEachin	Richmond	Stevens
McGovern	Riggieiman	Suozzi
McNerney	Rose (NY)	Swalwell (CA)
Meeks	Rouda	Takano
Meng	Royal-Ballard	Thompson (CA)
Moore	Ruiz	Thompson (MS)
Morelle	Rush	Titus
Moulton	Ryan	Tlaib
Mucarsel-Powell	Sánchez	Tonko
Murphy	Barbanes	Torres (CA)
Nadler	Scanlon	Torres Small (NM)
Napolitano	Schakowsky	Trahan
Neal	Schiff	Trone
Neguse	Schneider	Underwood
Norcross	Schrader	Upton
O'Halleran	Schrier	Van Drew
Ocasio-Cortez	Scott (VA)	Vargas
Omar	Scott, David	Veasey
Pallone	Serrano	Vela
Panetta	Sewell (AL)	Velázquez
Pappas	Shalala	Visclosky
Pascrill	Sherman	Wagner
Perlmuter	Sherrill	Walden
Peters	Sires	Wasserman
Peterson	Slotkin	Schultz
Phillips	Smith (NJ)	Waters
Pingree	Smith (WA)	Watson Coleman
Pocan	Soto	Welch
Porter	Spanberger	Wexton
Posey	Speier	Wild
Pressley	Stanton	Wittman
Price (NC)	Stauber	Yarmuth
Quigley	Stefanik	
Rice (NY)	Steil	

NAYS—163

Abraham	Gooden	Newhouse
Aderholt	Gosar	Norman
Allen	Granger	Nunes
Amash	Graves (GA)	Olson
Amodei	Graves (LA)	Palazzo
Armstrong	Graves (MO)	Palmer
Arrington	Green (TN)	Pence
Babin	Griffith	Perry
Baird	Grothman	Ratcliffe
Balderson	Guest	Reed
Banks	Guthrie	Reschenthaler
Barr	Hagedorn	Rice (SC)
Bergman	Harris	Roby
Biggs	Hartzler	Rodgers (WA)
Bilirakis	Hern, Kevin	Roe, David P.
Bishop (UT)	Herrera Beutler	Rogers (AL)
Brady	Hice (GA)	Rogers (KY)
Brooks (AL)	Higgins (LA)	Rooney (FL)
Buchanan	Hill (AR)	Rose, John W.
Buck	Holding	Rouzer
Bucshon	Hollingsworth	Roy
Budd	Hudson	Rutherford
Burchett	Huizenga	Scalise
Burgess	Hunter	Schweikert
Byrne	Johnson (LA)	Scott, Austin
Calvert	Johnson (OH)	Simpson
Carter (GA)	Johnson (SD)	Smith (MO)
Cole	Jordan	Smith (NE)
Chabot	Joyce (PA)	Smith (NE)
Cheney	Kelly (MS)	Smucker
Cline	Kelly (PA)	Spano
Cloud	King (IA)	Steube
Cole	King (NY)	Stewart
Collins (NY)	Kustoff (TN)	Stivers
Conaway	LaMalfa	Taylor
Cook	Lamborn	Thompson (PA)
Crawford	Latta	Thornberry
Crenshaw	Lesko	Timmons
Curtis	Loudermilk	Tipton
Davidson (OH)	Lucas	Turner
DeSarbo	DesJarlais	Walberg
Deutch	Luetkemeyer	Marchant
Deutch	Levitan	Marshall
Deutch	Levin	Massie
Deutch	Duffy	Mast
Deutch	Duncan	McCarthy
Deutch	Dunn	McClintock
Deutch	Estes	McFadden
Deutch	Ferguson	McGovern
Deutch	Fleischmann	McHenry
Deutch	Foxx (NC)	McKinley
Deutch	Fulcher	Meadows
Deutch	Gaetz	Meuser
Deutch	Gallagher	Miller
Deutch	Gianforo	Mitchell
Deutch	Gibbs	Moolenaar
Deutch	Gohmert	Mooney (WV)

NOT VOTING—20

Blunt Rochester	Emmer	Mullin
Bost	Harder (CA)	Payne
Collins (GA)	Jones	Raskin
Comer	LaHood	Ruppersberger
Davis, Rodney	Long	

Sensenbrenner
Shimkus
Walorski
Webster (FL)
Wilson (FL)
Wilson (SC)

□ 1419

Mr. GROTHMAN changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So (two-thirds not being in the affirmative) the motion was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mrs. WALORSKI. Madam Speaker, on Wednesday, January 30, I missed a vote on H. Res. 79. Had I been present for the vote on H. Res. 79, I would have voted “no.”

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. LURIA). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker’s approval of the Journal, which the Chair will put de novo.

The question is on the Speaker’s approval of the Journal.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. SCALISE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCALISE. Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the majority leader, for the purpose of inquiring as to the schedule for the week to come.

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I thank my friend for yielding.

On Tuesday, the House will meet at 12 p.m. for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business following 1 minutes. The House will recess to allow for a security sweep of the House Chamber prior to the President’s State of the Union Address. The House will meet again at approximately 8:35 p.m. in a joint session with the Senate for the purpose of receiving an address from the President of the United States. Members are advised that there will be no votes in the House on Tuesday.

On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate and noon for legislative business.

On Friday, Madam Speaker, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business, with last votes no later than 3 p.m. We will consider several bills under suspension of the rules. The complete list of those suspensions will be announced by close of business Friday.

In addition, Madam Speaker, the House will consider H.R. 840, the Veterans’ Access to Child Care Act, introduced by Representatives BROWNLEY and HIGGINS. This bill would make permanent the VA’s childcare pilot program and expand it so that veterans across the Nation who are parents or grandparents have a convenient, cost-free option for childcare when they have VA medical appointments.