

“(i) construction, including labor and materials;

“(ii) project applications; and

“(iii) other development activities, as determined by the Secretary.

“(B) ELIGIBILITY.—To be eligible for a grant under this section, the project that is the subject of the grant shall be carried out in a rural area.

“(C) MAXIMUM.—Except as provided in subparagraph (D), the amount of any grant made under this section shall not exceed 50 percent of the development costs of the project for which the grant is provided.

“(D) SECRETARIAL AUTHORITY TO ADJUST.—The Secretary may make grants of up to 75 percent of the development costs of the project for which the grant is provided to an eligible entity if the Secretary determines that the project serves—

“(i) an area of rural households described in paragraph (2)(A)(ii); and

“(ii) a rural community described in any of subclauses (I) through (IV) of paragraph (2)(B)(i).”;

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) in subparagraph (A)—

(I) in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “loan or” and inserting “grant, loan, or”;

(II) in clause (ii), by striking “a loan application” and inserting “an application”; and

(III) in clause (iii)—

(aa) by striking “service” and inserting “infrastructure”;

(bb) by striking “loan” the first place it appears;

(cc) by striking “3” and inserting “5”; and

(dd) by striking “proceeds from the loan made or guaranteed under this section are” and inserting “assistance under this section is”;

and

(ii) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) RELATION TO UNIVERSAL SERVICE HIGH-COST SUPPORT.—The Secretary shall coordinate with the Federal Communications Commission to ensure that any grants, loans, or loan guarantees made under this section complement and do not conflict with universal service high-cost support (as defined in section 54.5 of title 47, Code of Federal Regulations, or any successor regulation) provided by the Commission.”;

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in subparagraph (A)—

(I) in the matter preceding clause (i)—

(aa) by striking “the proceeds of a loan made or guaranteed” and inserting “assistance”; and

(bb) by striking “for the loan or loan guarantee” and inserting “of the eligible entity”;

(II) in clause (i)—

(aa) by striking “15” and inserting “90”;

and

(bb) by striking “level of broadband service” and inserting “level of fixed broadband service, whether terrestrial or wireless.”;

and

(III) in clause (ii), by striking “3” and inserting “2”;

(ii) in subparagraph (C), by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

“(ii) EXCEPTIONS.—Clause (i) shall not apply if the applicant is eligible for funding under another title of this Act.”;

(C) in paragraph (3), in subparagraph (A), by striking “loan or” and inserting “grant, loan, or”;

(D) in paragraph (4), by striking “loan or” and inserting “grant, loan, or”;

(E) in paragraph (5)(A), in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “loan or” and inserting “grant, loan, or”;

(F) in paragraph (6), by striking “loan or” and inserting “grant, loan, or”;

(G) by redesignating paragraph (7) as subparagraph (B) and indenting appropriately;

(H) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following:

“(7) APPLICATION PROCESS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide to an applicant of a grant, loan, or loan guarantee under this section feedback and decisions on funding in a timely manner.”;

(I) in paragraph (7)(B) (as so redesignated), by striking “may seek a determination of area eligibility prior to preparing a loan application under this section.” and inserting the following: “may, before preparing an application under this section—

“(i) seek a determination of area eligibility; and

“(ii) submit to the Secretary a proposal for a project, on which the Secretary shall provide feedback regarding how the proposal could be changed to improve the likelihood that the Secretary would approve the application.”;

(J) in paragraph (10)(A), by striking “15” and inserting “30”;

and

(K) by adding at the end the following:

“(11) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may provide eligible entities described in paragraph (1) that are applying for a grant, loan, or loan guarantee for a project described in subsection (c)(2)(A)(i) technical assistance and training—

“(i) to prepare reports and surveys necessary to request grants, loans, and loan guarantees under this section for broadband deployment;

“(ii) to improve management, including financial management, relating to the proposed broadband deployment;

“(iii) to prepare applications for grants, loans, and loan guarantees under this section; or

“(iv) to assist with other areas of need identified by the Secretary.

“(B) FUNDING.—Not less than 3 percent and not more than 5 percent of amounts appropriated to carry out this section for a fiscal year shall be used for technical assistance and training under this paragraph.”;

(4) in subsection (e)(1)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “4-Mbps” and inserting “25-Mbps”; and

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “1-Mbps” and inserting “3-Mbps”;

(5) in subsection (f), by striking “make a loan or loan guarantee” and inserting “provide assistance”;

(6) in subsection (j)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “loan and loan guarantee”;

(B) in paragraph (1), by inserting “grants and” after “number of”;

(C) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “loan”;

and

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking “loans and” and inserting “grants, loans, and”;

(D) in paragraph (3), by striking “loan”;

(7) by redesignating subsections (k) and (l) as subsections (m) and (n), respectively;

(8) by inserting after subsection (j) the following:

“(k) BROADBAND BUILDOUT DATA.—As a condition of receiving a grant, loan, or loan guarantee under this section, a recipient of assistance shall provide to the Secretary complete, reliable, and precise geolocation information that indicates the location of new broadband service that is being provided or upgraded within the service territory supported by the grant, loan, or loan guarantee not later than 30 days after the earlier of—

“(1) the date of completion of any project milestone established by the Secretary; or

“(2) the date of completion of the project.

“(l) ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS.—The Secretary may obligate, but not disperse, funds under this Act before the completion of oth-

erwise required environmental, historical, or other types of reviews if the Secretary determines that a subsequent site-specific review shall be adequate and easily accomplished for the location of towers, poles, or other broadband facilities in the service area of the borrower without compromising the project or the required reviews.”;

(9) in subsection (m) (as so redesignated)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting “\$150,000,000”; and

(ii) by striking “2008 through 2018” and inserting “2019 through 2023”; and

(B) in paragraph (2)(A)—

(i) in clause (i), by striking “and” at the end;

(ii) in clause (ii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(iii) set aside at least 1 percent to be used for—

“(I) conducting oversight under this section; and

“(II) implementing accountability measures and related activities authorized under this section.”;

(10) in subsection (n) (as so redesignated)—

(A) by striking “loan or” and inserting “grant, loan, or”; and

(B) by striking “2018” and inserting “2023”.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 610—URGING THE RELEASE OF INFORMATION REGARDING THE SEPTEMBER 11, 2001, TERRORIST ATTACKS UPON THE UNITED STATES

Mr. BLUMENTHAL (for himself, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. SCHUMER, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. MURPHY, and Mr. MENENDEZ) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs:

S. RES. 610

Whereas tens of thousands of pages of documents relating to the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks upon the United States remain classified by the Federal Government;

Whereas the Federal Government may properly classify and control access to information in order to protect sources and methods of collecting critical information in defense of the country and the people of the United States;

Whereas the contents of these documents are necessary for a full public understanding of the events and circumstances surrounding the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks;

Whereas the decision to maintain the classified status of many of these documents prevents the people of the United States from having access to information about the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, including the involvement of certain foreign governments in the attacks; and

Whereas the people of the United States and the families of the victims of the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks deserve full and public disclosure of the events surrounding the attacks: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) documents related to the events of September 11, 2001, should be declassified to the greatest extent possible; and

(2) the survivors, the families of the victims, and the people of the United States deserve answers about the events and circumstances surrounding the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks upon the United States.

SENATE RESOLUTION 611—OPPOSING THE TARGETED HARASSMENT OF U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES AND REAFFIRMING THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLE THAT PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES SHOULD BE PROVIDED WITHOUT DISCRIMINATION

Mr. CASSIDY (for himself and Mr. PERDUE) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs:

S. RES. 611

Whereas Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, a candidate for Congress from New York, welcomed the support of Occupy ICE LA, a group that called U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (in this preamble referred to as “ICE”) a “genocidal ethnic cleansing machine” and “the Gestapo”, and posted photos of ICE employees on the internet, encouraging activists to “[k]now their faces, never allow them to feel safe”;

Whereas Cynthia Nixon, a candidate Governor of New York, stated that ICE “is a terrorist organization”;

Whereas a mob of leftwing activists recently surrounded an ICE office in southwest Portland, Oregon, trapping ICE employees inside the building;

Whereas ICE employees were subjected to doxxing and violent threats after their social media profiles, phone numbers, and home addresses were posted on the internet by leftwing activists;

Whereas an ICE officer was reportedly followed and “confronted when he went to pick up his daughter from summer camp”, and another “had his name and photo plastered on flyers outside his home accusing him of being part of the ‘Gestapo’,” according to the Wall Street Journal;

Whereas the Mayor of Portland, Oregon, Ted Wheeler, barred the Portland Police Bureau from coming to the aid of ICE employees, stating, “I do not want the @PortlandPolice to be engaged or sucked into a conflict, particularly from a Federal agency that I believe is on the wrong track . . . If they are looking for a bailout from this mayor, they are looking in the wrong place.”;

Whereas the ICE office in southwest Portland was shut down for days due to threats and occupation;

Whereas leftwing activists have similarly harassed and threatened ICE employees and targeted ICE offices for closure around the country;

Whereas the National Immigration and Customs Enforcement Council’s representative stated in a letter to Mayor Ted Wheeler that “[y]our current policy forbidding Portland law enforcement agencies from assisting employees of the Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency who request law enforcement assistance while at or away from work” leaves “them vulnerable to violence, harassment and even death . . . Your policy has created a zone of terror and lawlessness. We ask that you end your policy of not responding to calls for police services from ICE employees immediately. Our membership has been the subjected to threats of physical violence and harassment since you announced your policy.”; and

Whereas the President of the Portland Police Association, Daryl Turner, stated, “There is no place for personal, political bias when it comes to providing public safety services to our communities. In that respect, our Mayor, who is also our Police Commis-

sioner, has failed miserably.”: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) expresses solidarity with the men and women of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement who bring human traffickers, drug traffickers, gang members, and violent criminals to justice;

(2) condemns the doxxing and targeted harassment of all officers and employees of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement and the violent threats they continue to endure from leftwing activists; and

(3) calls on the Mayor of Portland, Oregon, Ted Wheeler, to immediately resign so that a leader committed to protecting all law-abiding citizens and public servants from harm can assume the duties of Mayor of Portland.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 3796. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3797. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3798. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3799. Mr. MERKLEY (for himself, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, and Ms. DUCKWORTH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3800. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3801. Mr. DURBIN (for himself, Ms. WARREN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, and Mr. BLUMENTHAL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3802. Mr. DURBIN (for himself, Ms. WARREN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, and Mr. BLUMENTHAL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3803. Mrs. GILLIBRAND (for herself, Mr. ROUNDS, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. MANCHIN, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. GARDNER, Mr. BENNET, and Ms. WARREN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3804. Ms. CANTWELL (for herself and Mr. CASSIDY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3805. Mr. NELSON (for himself and Mr. CASSIDY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3806. Mr. NELSON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3807. Mr. WARNER (for himself and Mr. KAINE) submitted an amendment intended to

be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3808. Mr. GARDNER (for himself and Mr. RUBIO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3809. Mr. CRUZ (for himself, Mr. INHOFE, and Mr. BARRASSO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3810. Mr. HELLER (for himself and Ms. KLOBUCHAR) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3811. Mr. HELLER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3812. Mrs. HYDE-SMITH (for herself and Mr. REED) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3813. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3814. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3815. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3816. Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself and Mr. SASSE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3817. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3818. Ms. KLOBUCHAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3819. Mr. WHITEHOUSE (for himself, Mr. CRAPO, and Mr. COONS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3820. Mr. REED (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, and Mr. BROWN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3821. Mr. UDALL (for himself and Mr. HEINRICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3822. Mr. UDALL (for himself and Mr. HEINRICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3823. Mr. UDALL (for himself and Mr. HEINRICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3824. Mr. UDALL (for himself, Mrs. CAPITO, and Mr. HEINRICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6157, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3825. Ms. CORTEZ MASTO (for herself and Mrs. ERNST) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3695 proposed by Mr. SHELBY to the bill H.R.