

Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez

Visclosky
Waters, Maxine
Watson Coleman
Welch

Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

Díaz-Balart
Dingell
Doggett
Donovan
Doyle, Michael F.

Duffy
Duncan (SC)
Duncan (TN)
Dunn
Emmer
Engel
Español
Estes (KS)
Esty (CT)
Evans
Faso
Ferguson
Fitzpatrick
Fleischmann
Flores
Fortenberry
Foster
Foxy
Frankel (FL)
Frelinghuysen
Fudge
Gabbard
Gaetz
Gallagher
Gallego
Garamendi
Garrett
Gianforte
Gibbs
Gohmert
Gomez
Gonzalez (TX)
Goodlatte
Gosar
Gowdy
Granger
Graves (GA)
Graves (LA)
Graves (MO)
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Griffith
Grijalva
Grothman
Guthrie
Gutiérrez
Hanabusa
Handel
Harper
Harris
Hartzler
Hastings
Heck
Hensarling
Herrera Beutler
Higgins (LA)
Higgins (NY)
Hill
Himes
Hollingsworth
Hudson
Huffman
Huizenga
Hultgren
Hunter
Hurd
Issa
Jackson Lee
Jayapal
Jeffries
Jenkins (KS)
Johnson (GA)
Johnson (LA)
Johnson (OH)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnson, Sam
Jordan
Joyce (OH)
Kaptur
Katko
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
Kennedy
Khanna
Kihuen
Kildee
Kilmer
Kind
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kinzinger

Knight
Krishnamoorthi
Kuster (NH)
Kustoff (TN)
Labrador
LaHood
LaMalfa
Lamb
Lamborn
Lance
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latta
Lawrence
Lawson (FL)
Lee
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lewis (MN)
Lieu, Ted
Lipinski
LoBiondo
Loeb
Loeb
Long
Loudermilk
Love
Lowenthal
Lowe
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Lujan Grisham, M.
Luján, Ben Ray
Lynch
MacArthur
Maloney
Carolyn B.
Marchant
Marino
Marshall
Massie
Mast
Matsui
McCarthy
McCaul
McClintock
McCollum
McEachin
McGovern
McHenry
McKinley
McMorris
Rodgers
McSally
Meadows
Meeks
Meng
Messer
Mitchell
Moolenaar
Mooney (WV)
Moore
Moulton
Mullin
Murphy (FL)
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Newhouse
Noem
Norcross
Nunes
O'Halleran
O'Rourke
Olson
Palazzo
Pallone
Palmer
Panetta
Pascrell
Paulsen
Payne
Perlmutter
Perry
Peters
Peterson
Pingree
Pocan
Poe (TX)
Poliquin
Polis
Posey
Quigley
Raskin
Ratcliffe
Reed
Reichert
Rice (NY)

Roe (TN)
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rohrabacher
Rokita
Rooney, Francis
Ros-Lehtinen
Rosen
Roskam
Ross
Rothfus
Roybal-Allard
Royce (CA)
Ruiz
Ruppersberger
Rush
Russell
Rutherford
Ryan (OH)
Sánchez
Sarbanes
Scalise
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schneider
Schroeder
Schweikert
Scott (VA)
Scott, Austin
Scott, David
Sensenbrenner
Serrano
Sessions
Sewell (AL)
Shea-Porter
Sherman
Shimkus
Shuster
Simpson
Sinema
Sires
Smith (MO)
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Smucker
Soto
Stefanik
Stewart
Stivers
Suozi
Swalwell (CA)
Takano
Tenney
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tipton
Titus
Tonko
Torres
Trott
Tsongas
Turner
Upton
Valadao
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Wagner
Walberg
Walden
Walker
Walorski
Waters, Maxine
Watson Coleman
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Welch
Wenstrup
Westerman
Williams
Wilson (FL)
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yarmuth
Yoder
Yoho
Young (AK)
Young (IA)
Zeldin

NAYS—2

Amash

McNerney

NOT VOTING—33

Adams
Blackburn
Butterfield
Cárdenas
Cleaver
Ellison
Eshoo
Gottheimer
Hice, Jody B.
Holding
Hoyer
Jenkins (WV)
Jones
Lesko
Lofgren
Maloney, Sean
Nolan
Norman
Pearce
Pelosi
Pittenger
Price (NC)
Renacci
Rice (SC)
Richmond
Rooney, Thomas J.
Rouzer
Sanford
Speier
Taylor
Walters, Mimi
Walz
Wasserman
Schultz

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1308

Messrs. LEVIN and GARAMENDI changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Mr. MCNERNEY. Mr. Speaker, during rollcall Vote number 398 on H.R. 1911, I mistakenly recorded my vote as “nay” when I should have voted “yea”.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. LESKO. Mr. Speaker, I am not recorded because I was absent due to an unexpected appointment. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea” on rollcall No. 397 and “yea” on rollcall No. 398.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or votes objected to under clause 6 of rule XX.

The House will resume proceedings on postponed questions at a later time.

PERMISSION TO INSERT EXPLANATORY MATERIAL ON S. 3021, DIANA E. MURPHY UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that I may insert in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD not later than Thursday, September 13, such material as I may deem explanatory of S. 3021.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

DIANA E. MURPHY UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous materials on S. 3021.

NOT VOTING—35

Adams
Beatty
Blackburn
Butterfield
Cleaver
Cummings
Ellison
Eshoo
Gottheimer
Hice, Jody B.
Holding
Hoyer
Jenkins (WV)
Jones
Lesko
Lofgren
Maloney, Sean
Moolenaar
Nolan
Norman
Pearce
Pelosi
Pittenger
Price (NC)
Renacci
Rice (SC)
Richmond
Rooney, Thomas J.
Rouzer
Sanford
Speier
Taylor
Walters, Mimi
Walz
Wasserman
Schultz

□ 1300

Mr. KIHUEN changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SPECIAL ENVOY TO MONITOR AND COMBAT ANTI-SEMITISM ACT OF 2018

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1911) to amend the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 to monitor and combat anti-Semitism globally, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 393, nays 2, not voting 33, as follows:

[Roll No. 398]

YEAS—393

Abraham
Aderholt
Aguilar
Allen
Amodei
Arrington
Babin
Bacon
Balderson
Banks (IN)
Barletta
Barr
Barragán
Barton
Bass
Beatty
Bera
Bergman
Beyer
Biggs
Bilirakis
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (MI)
Bishop (UT)
Black
Blum
Blumenauer
Blunt Rochester
Bonamici
Bost
Boyle, Brendan F.
Brady (PA)
Brady (TX)
Brat
Brooks (AL)
Brooks (IN)
Brown (MD)
Brownley (CA)
Buchanan
Buck
Bucshon
Budd
Burgess
Bustos
Byrne
Calvert
Capuano
Carbajal
Carson (IN)
Carter (GA)
Carter (TX)
Cartwright
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chabot
Cheney
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cloud
Clyburn
Coffman
Cohen
Cole
Collins (GA)
Collins (NY)
Comer
Comstock
Conaway
Connolly
Cook
Cooper
Correa
Costa
Costello (PA)
Courtney
Cramer
Crawford
Crist
Crowley
Cuellar
Culberson
Cummings
Curbelo (FL)
Curtis
Davidson
Davis (CA)
Davis, Danny
Davis, Rodney
DeFazio
DeGette
Delaney
DeLauro
DeBene
Demings
Denham
DeSaulnier
DesJarlais
Deutch

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 3021) to designate the United States courthouse located at 300 South Fourth Street in Minneapolis, Minnesota, as the “Diana E. Murphy United States Courthouse”, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 3021

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as “America’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT

Sec. 101. Short title.

Sec. 102. Secretary defined.

Subtitle A—General Provisions

Sec. 1101. Sense of Congress regarding water resources development bills.

Sec. 1102. Study of the future of the United States Army Corps of Engineers.

Sec. 1103. Study on economic and budgetary analyses.

Sec. 1104. Dissemination of information.

Sec. 1105. Non-Federal engagement and review.

Sec. 1106. Lake Okeechobee regulation schedule review.

Sec. 1107. Access to real estate data.

Sec. 1108. Aquatic invasive species research.

Sec. 1109. Harmful algal bloom technology demonstration.

Sec. 1110. Bubbly Creek, Chicago ecosystem restoration.

Sec. 1111. Dredge pilot program.

Sec. 1112. Hurricane and storm damage protection program.

Sec. 1113. Operation and maintenance of existing infrastructure.

Sec. 1114. Assistance relating to water supply.

Sec. 1115. Property acquisition.

Sec. 1116. Dredged material management plans.

Sec. 1117. Inclusion of project or facility in Corps of Engineers workplan.

Sec. 1118. Geomatic data.

Sec. 1119. Local government reservoir permit review.

Sec. 1120. Transparency and accountability in cost sharing for water resources development projects.

Sec. 1121. Upper Missouri Mainstem Reservoir water withdrawal intake easement review.

Sec. 1122. Limitation on contract execution.

Sec. 1123. Certain levee improvements.

Sec. 1124. Cost-share payment for certain projects.

Sec. 1125. Locks on Allegheny River.

Sec. 1126. Purpose and need.

Sec. 1127. Prior project authorization.

Sec. 1128. Mississippi River and Tributaries Project.

Sec. 1129. Inclusion of Tribal interests in project consultations.

Sec. 1130. Beneficial use of dredged material.

Sec. 1131. Ice jam prevention and mitigation.

Sec. 1132. Rehabilitation of Corps of Engineers constructed dams.

Sec. 1133. Columbia River.

Sec. 1134. Missouri River Reservoir sediment management.

Sec. 1135. Reauthorization of lock operations pilot program.

Sec. 1136. Credit or reimbursement.

Sec. 1137. Non-Federal implementation pilot program.

Sec. 1138. Surplus water contracts and water storage agreements.

Sec. 1139. Post-disaster watershed assessments in territories of the United States.

Sec. 1140. Expedited consideration.

Sec. 1141. Project studies subject to independent peer review.

Sec. 1142. Feasibility of Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal Dispersal Barriers Project, Illinois.

Sec. 1143. Acknowledgment of credit.

Sec. 1144. Levee safety initiative reauthorization.

Sec. 1145. Funding to process permits.

Sec. 1146. Reservoir sediment.

Sec. 1147. Clarification for integral determination.

Sec. 1148. Beneficial use of dredged sediment.

Sec. 1149. Inclusion of alternative measures for aquatic ecosystem restoration.

Sec. 1150. Regional sediment management.

Sec. 1151. Operation and maintenance of navigation and hydroelectric facilities.

Sec. 1152. Study of water resources development projects by non-Federal interests.

Sec. 1153. Construction of water resources development projects by non-Federal interests.

Sec. 1154. Corps budgeting; project deauthorizations; comprehensive backlog report.

Sec. 1155. Indian Tribes.

Sec. 1156. Inflation adjustment of cost-sharing provisions for territories and Indian Tribes.

Sec. 1157. Corps of Engineers continuing authorities program.

Sec. 1158. Hurricane and storm damage reduction.

Sec. 1159. Regional coalitions and higher education.

Sec. 1160. Emergency response to natural disasters.

Sec. 1161. Cost and benefit feasibility assessment.

Sec. 1162. Extended community assistance by the Corps of Engineers.

Sec. 1163. Dam safety.

Sec. 1164. Local government water management plans.

Sec. 1165. Structures and facilities constructed by Secretary.

Sec. 1166. Advanced funds for water resources development studies and projects.

Sec. 1167. Costs in excess of Federal participation limit.

Sec. 1168. Disposition of projects.

Sec. 1169. Contributed funds for non-Federal reservoir operations.

Sec. 1170. Watercraft inspection stations.

Sec. 1171. Restricted areas at Corps of Engineers dams.

Sec. 1172. Coastal erosion.

Sec. 1173. Prohibition on surplus water fees, Lake Cumberland Watershed, Kentucky and Tennessee.

Sec. 1174. Middle Rio Grande peak flow restoration.

Sec. 1175. Prohibition of administrative fees in implementing Rough River Lake Flowage Easement Encroachment Resolution Plan.

Sec. 1176. Preconstruction engineering design demonstration program.

Subtitle B—Studies and Reports

Sec. 1201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.

Sec. 1202. Additional studies.

Sec. 1203. Expedited completion.

Sec. 1204. GAO study on benefit-cost analysis reforms.

Sec. 1205. Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund report.

Sec. 1206. Identification of nonpowered dams for hydropower development.

Sec. 1207. Study on innovative ports for offshore wind development.

Sec. 1208. Innovative materials and advanced technologies report.

Sec. 1209. Study and report on expediting certain waiver processes.

Sec. 1210. Report on debris removal.

Sec. 1211. Corps flood policy within urban areas.

Sec. 1212. Feasibility studies for mitigation of damage.

Sec. 1213. Applications of military leasing authorities.

Sec. 1214. Community engagement.

Sec. 1215. Transparency in administrative expenses.

Sec. 1216. Assessment of harbors and inland harbors.

Sec. 1217. Maintenance of high-risk flood control projects.

Sec. 1218. North Atlantic Division report on hurricane barriers and harbors of refuge.

Sec. 1219. Great Lakes coastal resiliency study.

Sec. 1220. McMicken Dam, Arizona, and Muddy River, Massachusetts.

Sec. 1221. Table Rock Lake, Arkansas and Missouri.

Sec. 1222. Forecast-informed reservoir operations.

Sec. 1223. Cedar River, Iowa.

Sec. 1224. Old River control structure, Louisiana.

Sec. 1225. Upper Mississippi River protection.

Sec. 1226. Missouri River.

Sec. 1227. Lower Missouri River bank stabilization and navigation.

Sec. 1228. Coastal Texas study.

Sec. 1229. Report on water supply contract, Wright Patman Lake, Texas.

Subtitle C—Deauthorizations, Modifications, and Related Provisions

Sec. 1301. Deauthorization of inactive projects.

Sec. 1302. Backlog prevention.

Sec. 1303. Project modifications.

Sec. 1304. Lytle and Cajon Creeks, California.

Sec. 1305. Yuba River Basin, California.

Sec. 1306. Bridgeport Harbor, Connecticut.

Sec. 1307. Delaware River navigation project.

Sec. 1308. Comprehensive Everglades restoration plan, Central and Southern Florida, Everglades Agricultural Area, Florida.

Sec. 1309. Kissimmee River restoration, Florida.

Sec. 1310. Levee L-212, Four River Basin, Ocklawaha River, Florida.

Sec. 1311. Green River and Barren River Locks and Dams, Kentucky.

Sec. 1312. Cape Arundel Disposal Site, Maine.

Sec. 1313. Penobscot River, Maine.

Sec. 1314. Boston harbor reserved channel deauthorizations.

Sec. 1315. Corps of Engineers bridge repair program for New England evacuation routes.

Sec. 1316. Plymouth Harbor, Massachusetts.

Sec. 1317. Portsmouth Harbor and Piscataqua River.

Sec. 1318. Missouri River and tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas.

Sec. 1319. Hampton Harbor, New Hampshire, navigation improvement project.

- Sec. 1320. Passaic River Federal Navigation Channel, New Jersey.
- Sec. 1321. Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area Diversion Project, North Dakota.
- Sec. 1322. Clatsop County, Oregon.
- Sec. 1323. Svensen Island, Oregon.
- Sec. 1324. West Tennessee Tributaries project, Tennessee.
- Sec. 1325. Puget Sound nearshore ecosystem restoration.
- Sec. 1326. Milwaukee Harbor, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.
- Sec. 1327. Project completion for disaster areas.
- Sec. 1328. Federal assistance.
- Sec. 1329. Expedited initiation.
- Sec. 1330. Project deauthorization and study extensions.
- Sec. 1331. Conveyances.
- Sec. 1332. Report on future water resources development.

Subtitle D—Water Resources Infrastructure

- Sec. 1401. Project authorizations.
- Sec. 1402. Special rules.
- Sec. 1403. Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Virginia.

TITLE II—DRINKING WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

- Sec. 2001. Indian reservation drinking water program.
- Sec. 2002. Clean, safe, reliable water infrastructure.
- Sec. 2003. Study on intractable water systems.
- Sec. 2004. Sense of Congress relating to access to nonpotable water.
- Sec. 2005. Drinking water infrastructure resilience and sustainability.
- Sec. 2006. Voluntary school and child care program lead testing grant program enhancement.
- Sec. 2007. Innovative water technology grant program.
- Sec. 2008. Improved consumer confidence reports.
- Sec. 2009. Contractual agreements.
- Sec. 2010. Additional considerations for compliance.
- Sec. 2011. Improved accuracy and availability of compliance monitoring data.
- Sec. 2012. Asset management.
- Sec. 2013. Community water system risk and resilience.
- Sec. 2014. Authorization for grants for State programs.
- Sec. 2015. State revolving loan funds.
- Sec. 2016. Authorization for source water petition programs.
- Sec. 2017. Review of technologies.
- Sec. 2018. Source water.
- Sec. 2019. Report on Federal cross-cutting requirements.
- Sec. 2020. Assistance for areas affected by natural disasters.
- Sec. 2021. Monitoring for unregulated contaminants.
- Sec. 2022. American iron and steel products.
- Sec. 2023. Authorization for capitalization grants to States for State drinking water treatment revolving loan funds.

TITLE III—ENERGY

- Sec. 3001. Modernizing authorizations for necessary hydropower approvals.
- Sec. 3002. Qualifying conduit hydropower facilities.
- Sec. 3003. Promoting hydropower development at existing nonpowered dams.
- Sec. 3004. Closed-Loop pumped storage projects.
- Sec. 3005. Considerations for relicensing terms.

- Sec. 3006. Fair ratepayer accountability, transparency, and efficiency standards.
- Sec. 3007. J. Bennett Johnston Waterway hydropower extension.
- Sec. 3008. Stay and Reinstatement of FERC License No. 11393 for the Mahoney Lake Hydroelectric Project.
- Sec. 3009. Strategic Petroleum Reserve drawdown.

TITLE IV—OTHER MATTERS Subtitle A—Clean Water

- Sec. 4101. Stormwater infrastructure funding task force.
- Sec. 4102. Wastewater technology clearinghouse.
- Sec. 4103. Technical assistance for treatment works.
- Sec. 4104. Amendments to Long Island Sound programs.
- Sec. 4105. Authorization of appropriations for Columbia river basin restoration.
- Sec. 4106. Sewer overflow control grants.
- Sec. 4107. Assistance for individual household decentralized wastewater systems of individuals with low or moderate income.

Subtitle B—WIFIA Reauthorization and Innovative Financing for State Loan Funds

- Sec. 4201. WIFIA reauthorization and innovative financing for State loan funds.

Subtitle C—Miscellaneous

- Sec. 4301. Agreement with Commissioner of Reclamation.
- Sec. 4302. Snake River Basin flood prevention action plan.
- Sec. 4303. GAO audit of contracts and Tainter gate repairs of Harlan County Dam.
- Sec. 4304. Water infrastructure and workforce investment.
- Sec. 4305. Regional liaisons for minority, Tribal, and low-income communities.
- Sec. 4306. WaterSense.
- Sec. 4307. Predatory and other wild animals.
- Sec. 4308. Klamath project water and power.
- Sec. 4309. Certain Bureau of Reclamation dikes.
- Sec. 4310. Authority to make entire active capacity of Fontenelle Reservoir available for use.
- Sec. 4311. Blackfeet water rights settlement.
- Sec. 4312. Indian irrigation fund reauthorization.
- Sec. 4313. Reauthorization of repair, replacement, and maintenance of certain Indian irrigation projects.
- Sec. 4314. Indian dam safety reauthorization.
- Sec. 4315. Diana E. Murphy United States Courthouse.

TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT

SEC. 101. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Water Resources Development Act of 2018”.

SEC. 102. SECRETARY DEFINED.

In this title, the term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Army.

Subtitle A—General Provisions

SEC. 1101. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT BILLS.

It is the sense of Congress that, because the missions of the Corps of Engineers for navigation, flood control, beach erosion control and shoreline protection, hydroelectric power, recreation, water supply, environmental protection, restoration, and enhancement, and fish and wildlife mitigation benefit all Americans, and because water re-

sources development projects are critical to maintaining the country's economic prosperity, national security, and environmental protection, Congress should consider a water resources development bill not less often than once every Congress.

SEC. 1102. STUDY OF THE FUTURE OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall enter into an agreement with the National Academy of Sciences to convene a committee of experts to carry out a comprehensive study on—

(1) the ability of the Corps of Engineers to carry out its statutory missions and responsibilities, and the potential effects of transferring the functions (including regulatory obligations), personnel, assets, and civilian staff responsibilities of the Secretary relating to civil works from the Department of Defense to a new or existing agency or sub-agency of the Federal Government, including how such a transfer might affect the Federal Government's ability to meet the current statutory missions and responsibilities of the Corps of Engineers; and

(2) improving the Corps of Engineers' project delivery processes, including recommendations for such improvements, taking into account factors including—

(A) the effect of the annual appropriations process on the ability of the Corps of Engineers to efficiently secure and carry out contracts for water resources development projects and perform regulatory obligations;

(B) the effect that the current Corps of Engineers leadership and geographic structure at the division and district levels has on its ability to carry out its missions in a cost-effective manner; and

(C) the effect of the frequency of rotations of senior leaders of the Corps of Engineers and how such frequency affects the function of the district.

(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—The study carried out under subsection (a) shall include consideration of—

(1) effects on the national security of the United States;

(2) the ability of the Corps of Engineers to maintain sufficient engineering capability and capacity to assist ongoing and future operations of the United States armed services;

(3) emergency and natural disaster response obligations of the Federal Government that are carried out by the Corps of Engineers; and

(4) the ability of the Corps of Engineers to increase efficiency, coordination, transparency, and cost savings of the project delivery process.

(c) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary shall submit the final report of the National Academy containing the findings of the study carried out under subsection (a) to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(d) CONGRESSIONAL APPROVAL.—The Secretary may not implement the findings of the study carried out under subsection (a) unless expressly authorized by Congress.

SEC. 1103. STUDY ON ECONOMIC AND BUDGETARY ANALYSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall enter into an agreement with the National Academy of Sciences to—

(1) carry out a study on the economic principles and analytical methodologies currently used by or applied to the Corps of Engineers to formulate, evaluate, and budget for water resources development projects; and

(2) make recommendations to Congress on potential changes to such principles and methodologies to improve transparency, return on Federal investment, cost savings, and prioritization, in the formulation, evaluation, and budgeting of such projects.

(b) **CONSIDERATIONS.**—The study under subsection (a) shall include—

(1) an analysis of the current economic principles and analytical methodologies used by or applied to the Corps of Engineers in determining the total benefits and total costs during the formulation of, and plan selection for, a water resources development project;

(2) an analysis of improvements or alternatives to how the Corps of Engineers utilizes the National Economic Development, Regional Economic Development, Environmental Quality, and Other Social Effects accounts developed by the Institute for Water Resources of the Corps of Engineers in the formulation of, and plan selection for, such projects;

(3) an analysis of whether such principles and methodologies fully account for all of the potential benefits of project alternatives, including any reasonably associated benefits of such alternatives that are not contrary to law, Federal policy, or sound water resources management;

(4) an analysis of whether such principles and methodologies fully account for all of the costs of project alternatives, including potential societal costs, such as lost ecosystem services, and full lifecycle costs for such alternatives;

(5) an analysis of the methodologies utilized by the Federal Government in setting and applying discount rates for benefit-cost analyses used in the formulation, evaluation, and budgeting of Corps of Engineers water resources development projects;

(6) an analysis of whether or not the Corps of Engineers—

(A) considers cumulative benefits of locally developed projects, including Master Plans approved by the Corps; and

(B) uses the benefits referred to in subparagraph (A) for purposes of benefit-cost analysis for project justification for potential projects within such Master Plans; and

(7) consideration of the report submitted under section 1204, if that report is submitted prior to completion of the study under this section.

(c) **PUBLICATION.**—The agreement entered into under subsection (a) shall require the National Academy of Sciences to, not later than 30 days after the completion of the study—

(1) submit a report containing the results of the study and the recommendations to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives; and

(2) make a copy of such report available on a publicly accessible website.

SEC. 1104. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds the following:

(1) Congress plays a central role in identifying, prioritizing, and authorizing vital water resources infrastructure activities throughout the United States.

(2) The Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–121) established a new and transparent process to review and prioritize the water resources development activities of the Corps of Engineers with strong congressional oversight.

(3) Section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) requires the Secretary to develop and submit to Congress each year a Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development and, as part of the annual report process, to—

(A) publish a notice in the Federal Register that requests from non-Federal interests proposed feasibility studies and proposed modifications to authorized water resources development projects and feasibility studies for inclusion in the report; and

(B) review the proposals submitted and include in the report those proposed feasibility studies and proposed modifications that meet the criteria for inclusion established under such section 7001.

(4) Congress will use the information provided in the annual Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development to determine authorization needs and priorities for purposes of water resources development legislation.

(5) To ensure that Congress can gain a thorough understanding of the water resources development needs and priorities of the United States, it is important that the Secretary take sufficient steps to ensure that non-Federal interests are made aware of the new annual report process, including the need for non-Federal interests to submit proposals during the Secretary's annual request for proposals in order for such proposals to be eligible for consideration by Congress.

(b) **DISSEMINATION OF PROCESS INFORMATION.**—The Secretary shall develop, support, and implement education and awareness efforts for non-Federal interests with respect to the annual Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development required under section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d), including efforts to—

(1) develop and disseminate technical assistance materials, seminars, and guidance on the annual process as it relates to non-Federal interests;

(2) provide written notice to local elected officials and previous and potential non-Federal interests on the annual process and on opportunities to address local water resources challenges through the missions and authorities of the Corps of Engineers;

(3) issue guidance for non-Federal interests to assist such interests in developing proposals for water resources development projects that satisfy the requirements of such section 7001; and

(4) provide, at the request of a non-Federal interest, assistance with researching and identifying existing project authorizations and Corps of Engineers decision documents.

SEC. 1105. NON-FEDERAL ENGAGEMENT AND REVIEW.

(a) **ISSUANCE.**—The Secretary shall expeditiously issue guidance to implement each covered provision of law in accordance with this section.

(b) **PUBLIC NOTICE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Prior to developing and issuing any new or revised implementation guidance for a covered water resources development law, the Secretary shall issue a public notice that—

(A) informs potentially interested non-Federal stakeholders of the Secretary's intent to develop and issue such guidance; and

(B) provides an opportunity for interested non-Federal stakeholders to engage with, and provide input and recommendations to, the Secretary on the development and issuance of such guidance.

(2) **ISSUANCE OF NOTICE.**—The Secretary shall issue the notice under paragraph (1) through a posting on a publicly accessible website dedicated to providing notice on the development and issuance of implementation guidance for a covered water resources development law.

(c) **STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT.**—

(1) **INPUT.**—The Secretary shall allow a minimum of 60 days after issuance of the public notice under subsection (b) for non-Federal stakeholders to provide input and

recommendations to the Secretary, prior to finalizing implementation guidance for a covered water resources development law.

(2) **OUTREACH.**—The Secretary may, as appropriate (as determined by the Secretary), reach out to non-Federal stakeholders and circulate drafts of implementation guidance for a covered water resources development law for informal input and recommendations.

(d) **SUBMISSION.**—The Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a copy of all input and recommendations received pursuant to subsection (c) and a description of any consideration of such input and recommendations.

(e) **DEVELOPMENT OF GUIDANCE.**—When developing implementation guidance for a covered water resources development law, the Secretary shall take into consideration the input and recommendations received from non-Federal stakeholders, and make the final guidance available to the public on the publicly accessible website described in subsection (b)(2).

(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **COVERED PROVISION OF LAW.**—The term “covered provision of law” means a provision of law under the jurisdiction of the Secretary contained in, or amended by, a covered water resources development law, with respect to which—

(A) the Secretary determines guidance is necessary in order to implement the provision; and

(B) no such guidance has been issued as of the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) **COVERED WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT LAW.**—The term “covered water resources development law” means—

(A) the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014;

(B) the Water Resources Development Act of 2016;

(C) this Act; and

(D) any Federal water resources development law enacted after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1106. LAKE OKEECHOBEE REGULATION SCHEDULE REVIEW.

The Secretary shall expedite completion of the Lake Okeechobee regulation schedule to coincide with the completion of the Herbert Hoover Dike project, and may consider all relevant aspects of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan described in section 601 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680).

SEC. 1107. ACCESS TO REAL ESTATE DATA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Using available funds, the Secretary shall make publicly available, including on a publicly accessible website, information on all Federal real estate assets in the United States that are owned, operated, or managed by, or in the custody of, the Corps of Engineers.

(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The real estate information made available under subsection (a) shall include—

(A) existing standardized real estate plat descriptions of assets described in subsection (a); and

(B) existing geographic information systems and geospatial information associated with such assets.

(2) **COLLABORATION.**—In making information available under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consult with the Administrator of General Services. Such information may be made available, in whole or in part, in the Federal real property database published under section 21 of the Federal Assets Sale and Transfer Act of 2016 (Public Law 114–287),

as determined appropriate by the Administrator of General Services. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed as requiring the Administrator of General Services to add additional data elements or features to such Federal real property database if such additions are impractical or would add additional costs to such database.

(c) **LIMITATION.**—Nothing in this section shall compel or authorize the disclosure of data or other information determined by the Secretary to be confidential, privileged, national security information, personal information, or information the disclosure of which is otherwise prohibited by law.

(d) **TIMING.**—The Secretary shall ensure that the implementation of subsection (a) occurs as soon as practicable.

(e) **EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed as modifying, or exempting the Corps of Engineers from, the requirements of the Federal real property database published under section 21 of the Federal Assets Sale and Transfer Act of 2016 (Public Law 114-287).

SEC. 1108. AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES RESEARCH.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—As part of the ongoing activities of the Engineer Research and Development Center to address the spread and impacts of aquatic invasive species, the Secretary shall undertake research on the management and eradication of aquatic invasive species, including Asian carp and zebra mussels.

(b) **LOCATIONS.**—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary shall work with Corps of Engineers district offices representing diverse geographical regions of the continental United States that are impacted by aquatic invasive species, such as the Atlantic, Pacific, and Gulf coasts and the Great Lakes.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report recommending a plan to address the spread and impacts of aquatic invasive species.

SEC. 1109. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary, acting through the Engineer Research and Development Center, shall implement a 5-year harmful algal bloom technology development demonstration program under the Aquatic Nuisance Research Program. To the extent practicable, the Secretary shall support research that will identify and develop improved strategies for early detection, prevention, and management techniques and procedures to reduce the occurrence and effects of harmful algal blooms in the Nation's water resources.

(b) **SCALABILITY REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary shall ensure that technologies identified, tested, and deployed under the harmful algal bloom technology development demonstration program have the ability to scale up to meet the needs of harmful-algal-bloom-related events.

SEC. 1110. BUBBLY CREEK, CHICAGO ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.

The Secretary shall enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to facilitate ecosystem restoration activities at the South Fork of the South Branch of the Chicago River (commonly known as Bubbly Creek).

SEC. 1111. DREDGE PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a pilot program to award contracts with a duration of up to five years for the operation and maintenance of har-

bors and inland harbors referred to in section 210(a)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(a)(2)).

(b) **SCOPE.**—In carrying out the pilot program under subsection (a), the Secretary may award a contract described in such subsection, which may address one or more harbors or inland harbors in a geographical region, if the Secretary determines that the contract provides cost savings compared to the awarding of such work on an annual basis or on a project-by-project basis.

(c) **REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than one year after the date on which the first contract is awarded pursuant to the pilot program carried out under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report evaluating, with respect to the pilot program and any contracts awarded under the pilot program—

- (1) cost effectiveness;
- (2) reliability and performance;
- (3) cost savings attributable to mobilization and demobilization of dredge equipment; and
- (4) response times to address navigational impediments.

(d) **SUNSET.**—The authority of the Secretary to enter into contracts pursuant to the pilot program carried out under subsection (a), shall expire on the date that is 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1112. HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE PROTECTION PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a pilot program to award single contracts for more than one authorized hurricane and storm damage reduction project in a geographical region, including projects across more than one Corps of Engineers district, if the Secretary determines that the contract provides cost savings compared to the awarding of such work on a project-by-project basis.

(b) **PROJECT SELECTION.**—In carrying out the pilot program under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consult with relevant State agencies in selecting projects.

(c) **CRITERIA.**—In carrying out the pilot program under subsection (a), the Secretary shall establish criteria and other considerations that—

- (1) foster Federal, State, and local collaboration;
- (2) evaluate the performance of projects being carried out under a single contract with respect to whether such projects yield any regional or multi-district benefits; and
- (3) include other criteria and considerations that the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(d) **REPORT.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report that includes findings and recommendations of the Secretary with respect to the projects completed under the pilot program carried out under subsection (a).

(e) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$75,000,000.

(f) **TERMINATION.**—The authority of the Secretary to enter into contracts pursuant to the pilot program carried out under subsection (a) shall expire on the date that is 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1113. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE.

The Secretary shall improve the reliability, and operation and maintenance of, existing infrastructure of the Corps of Engi-

neers, and, as necessary, improve its resilience to cyber-related threats.

SEC. 1114. ASSISTANCE RELATING TO WATER SUPPLY.

The Secretary may provide assistance to municipalities the water supply of which is adversely affected by construction carried out by the Corps of Engineers.

SEC. 1115. PROPERTY ACQUISITION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In acquiring an interest in land, or requiring a non-Federal interest to acquire an interest in land, the Secretary shall, in accordance with the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, first consider the minimum interest in real property necessary to support the water resources development project for which such interest is acquired.

(b) **DETERMINATION.**—In determining an interest in land under subsection (a), the Secretary shall first consider a temporary easement or other interest designed to reduce the overall cost of the water resources development project for which such interest is acquired, reduce the time to complete such project, and minimize conflict with property owners related to such project.

(c) **PROCEDURES USED IN STATE.**—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary shall consider, with respect to a State, the procedures that the State uses to acquire, or require the acquisition of, interests in land, to the extent that such procedures are generally consistent with the goals of a project or action.

SEC. 1116. DREDGED MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLANS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of dredged material management plans initiated after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall expedite the dredged material management plan process in order that such plans make maximum use of existing information, studies, and innovative dredged material management practices, and avoid any redundant information collection and studies.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on how the Corps of Engineers intends to meet the requirements of subsection (a).

SEC. 1117. INCLUSION OF PROJECT OR FACILITY IN CORPS OF ENGINEERS WORKPLAN.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, include in the future workplan of the Corps any authorized project or facility of the Corps of Engineers—

(1) that the Secretary has studied for disposition under an existing authority, including by carrying out a disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a); and

(2) for which a final report by the Director of Civil Works has been completed.

(b) **NOTIFICATION TO COMMITTEES.**—Upon completion of a final report referred to in subsection (a), the Secretary shall transmit a copy of the report to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

SEC. 1118. GEOMATIC DATA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall develop guidance for the acceptance and use of information obtained from a non-Federal interest through geomatic techniques, including remote sensing and land surveying, cartography, geographic information systems, global navigation satellite systems, photogrammetry, or other remote means, in carrying out any authority of the Secretary.

(b) **CONSIDERATIONS.**—In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall ensure that use

of information described in subsection (a) meets the data quality and operational requirements of the Secretary.

(c) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section—

(1) requires the Secretary to accept information that the Secretary determines does not meet the guidance developed under this section; or

(2) changes the current statutory or regulatory requirements of the Corps of Engineers.

SEC. 1119. LOCAL GOVERNMENT RESERVOIR PERMIT REVIEW.

(a) IN GENERAL.—During the 10-year period after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall expedite review of applications for covered permits, if the permit applicant is a local governmental entity with jurisdiction over an area for which—

(1) any portion of the water resources available to the area served by the local governmental entity is polluted by chemicals used at a formerly used defense site under the jurisdiction of the Department of Defense that is undergoing (or is scheduled to undergo) environmental restoration under chapter 160 of title 10, United States Code; and

(2) mitigation of the pollution described in paragraph (1) is ongoing.

(b) COVERED PERMIT DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered permit” means a permit to be issued by the Secretary to modify a reservoir owned or operated by the Secretary, with respect to which not less than 80 percent of the water rights are held for drinking water supplies, in order to accommodate projected water supply needs of an area with a population of less than 80,000.

(c) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this section affects any obligation to comply with the provisions of any Federal law, including—

(1) the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.); and

(2) the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.).

SEC. 1120. TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN COST SHARING FOR WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.

(a) DEFINITION OF BALANCE SHEET.—In this section, the term “balance sheet” means a document that describes—

(1) the funds provided by each Federal and non-Federal interest for a water resources development project; and

(2) the status of those funds.

(b) ESTABLISHMENT OF BALANCE SHEET.—Each district of the Corps of Engineers shall, using the authority of the Secretary under section 10 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1988 (33 U.S.C. 2315)—

(1) maintain a balance sheet for each water resources development project carried out by the Secretary for which a non-Federal cost share is required; and

(2) on request of a non-Federal interest that provided funds for the project, provide to the non-Federal interest a copy of the balance sheet.

(c) UNDER-BUDGET PROJECTS.—In the case of a water resources development project carried out by the Secretary that is completed at a cost less than the estimated cost, the Secretary shall transfer any excess non-Federal funds to the non-Federal interest in accordance with the cost-share requirement applicable to the project.

SEC. 1121. UPPER MISSOURI MAINSTEM RESERVOIR WATER WITHDRAWAL INTAKE EASEMENT REVIEW.

(a) IN GENERAL.—During the 10-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite the review of applications for a covered easement.

(b) PROCESS.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall develop an application to

obtain a covered easement that requires an applicant for a covered easement to submit information that includes—

(1) all permissible locations for the proposed easement;

(2) the corresponding dimensions of the proposed easement;

(3) the methods of installation of the water withdrawal intakes; and

(4) any other information that the Secretary may require to complete the review.

(c) RESPONSE.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary receives an application under subsection (b), the Secretary shall seek to provide to the applicant a written notification that states—

(1) whether the application is complete; and

(2) if the application is not complete, what information is needed for the application to be complete.

(d) DETERMINATION.—To the maximum extent practicable, not later than 120 days after the date on which the Secretary receives a complete application for a covered easement, the Secretary shall approve or deny the application for the covered easement.

(e) COVERED EASEMENT DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered easement” means an easement necessary to access Federal land under the control of the Secretary for the placement of water withdrawal intakes in the Upper Missouri Mainstem Reservoirs that does not otherwise involve the alteration or modification of any structures or facilities located on that Federal land, other than those owned by the non-Federal interest.

(f) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this section affects any obligation to comply with the provisions of any Federal law, including—

(1) the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.); and

(2) the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.).

SEC. 1122. LIMITATION ON CONTRACT EXECUTION.

(a) LIMITATION.—For any new covered contract entered into during the period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2020, any local governmental entity that is a party to a covered contract entered into before such period shall be required to pay not more than 110 percent of the contractual rate per acre-foot in effect under the most recent such covered contract.

(b) COVERED CONTRACT.—In this section, the term “covered contract” means a contract between a local governmental entity and the Secretary for water supply storage in a nonhydropower lake within the Verdigris River Basin.

SEC. 1123. CERTAIN LEVEE IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (31 U.S.C. 6505 note), the Secretary, at the request of a local government, is authorized to provide technical services, on a reimbursable basis, to the local government to assess the reasons a federally constructed levee owned or operated by the local government is not accredited by the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

(b) FEDERAL LEVEES.—In carrying out this section, in a case in which a levee owned and operated by the Secretary is hydraulically tied to a levee described in subsection (a), the Secretary is encouraged to cooperate, to the maximum extent practicable, with the relevant local governmental entities in assessing the reasons the levee described in subsection (a) is not accredited.

(c) LIMITATION.—Nothing in this section—

(1) affects the responsibilities of a local government to operate and maintain its flood control infrastructure; or

(2) obligates the Secretary to expend additional Federal resources on levees owned and operated by the Secretary.

SEC. 1124. COST-SHARE PAYMENT FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS.

The Secretary shall, subject to the availability of appropriations, pay the outstanding balance of the Federal cost share for any project carried out under section 593 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 380).

SEC. 1125. LOCKS ON ALLEGHENY RIVER.

The Corps of Engineers may consider, in making funding determinations with respect to the operation and maintenance of locks on the Allegheny River—

(1) recreational boat traffic levels; and

(2) related economic benefits.

SEC. 1126. PURPOSE AND NEED.

(a) PURPOSE AND NEED STATEMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of receipt of a complete application for a water storage project, the District Engineer shall develop and provide to the applicant a purpose and need statement that describes—

(A) whether the District Engineer concurs with the assessment of the purpose of and need for the water storage project proposed by the applicant; and

(B) in any case in which the District Engineer does not concur as described in subparagraph (A), an assessment by the District Engineer of the purpose of and need for the project.

(2) EFFECT ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS.—No environmental impact statement or environmental assessment required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) shall substantially commence with respect to a water storage project until the date on which the District Engineer provides to the applicant a purpose and need statement as required under paragraph (1).

(b) APPEALS REQUEST.—A non-Federal interest may use the administrative appeals process described in part 331 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations (or any succeeding regulation), in relation to a decision of the Secretary related to an application for a water storage project.

SEC. 1127. PRIOR PROJECT AUTHORIZATION.

In any case in which a project under the jurisdiction of the Secretary is budgeted under a different business line than the business line under which the project was originally authorized, the Secretary shall ensure that the project is carried out in accordance with any requirements that apply to the business line under which the project was originally authorized.

SEC. 1128. MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES PROJECT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—After any flood event requiring operation or activation of any floodway or backwater feature within the Mississippi River and Tributaries Project through natural overtopping of a Federal levee or artificial crevassing of a Federal levee to relieve pressure on the levees elsewhere in the system, the Secretary shall expeditiously reset and restore the damaged floodway's levees.

(b) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary shall provide an opportunity for consultation with affected communities.

(c) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES PROJECT.—The term “Mississippi River and Tributaries Project” means the Mississippi River and Tributaries project authorized by the Act of May 15, 1928 (Chap. 569; 45 Stat. 534).

SEC. 1129. INCLUSION OF TRIBAL INTERESTS IN PROJECT CONSULTATIONS.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—As soon as practicable following the date of enactment of

this Act, the Secretary shall submit the report required under section 1120(a)(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1643).

(b) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall ensure that all existing Tribal consultation policies, regulations, and guidance continue to be implemented, and that consultations with Federal and State agencies and Indian Tribes required for a water resources development project are carried out.

SEC. 1130. BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL.

Section 1122 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2326 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “10” and inserting “20”; and

(2) in subsection (g), by striking “10” and inserting “20”.

SEC. 1131. ICE JAM PREVENTION AND MITIGATION.

Section 1150(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 701s note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “During fiscal years 2017 through 2022, the Secretary” and inserting “The Secretary”; and

(B) by striking “10 projects” and inserting “20 projects”; and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “shall ensure” and inserting the following: “shall—

“(A) ensure”;

(B) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) select not fewer than 1 project to be carried out on a reservation (as defined in section 3 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974) that serves more than 1 Indian tribe (as defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act).”.

SEC. 1132. REHABILITATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS CONSTRUCTED DAMS.

Section 1177 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 467f–2 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (e), by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”; and

(2) in subsection (f), by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

SEC. 1133. COLUMBIA RIVER.

(a) BONNEVILLE DAM, OREGON.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior, shall examine and assess the extent to which Indians (as defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304)) have been displaced as a result of the construction of the Bonneville Dam, Oregon, as authorized by the first section of the Act of August 30, 1935 (49 Stat. 1032) and the first section and section 2(a) of the Act of August 20, 1937 (16 U.S.C. 832, 832a(a)).

(2) INCLUSION.—The examination and assessment under paragraph (1) may include assessments relating to housing and related facilities.

(3) ASSISTANCE.—If the Secretary determines, based on the examination and assessment under paragraph (1), that assistance is required or needed, the Secretary may use all existing authorities of the Secretary, including under this Act, to provide assistance to Indians who have been displaced as a result of the construction of the Bonneville Dam, Oregon.

(4) TRIBAL ASSISTANCE.—Section 1178(c)(1)(A) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1675) is amended by striking “Upon the request of the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary may provide assistance” and inserting “The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior, may provide assistance”.

(b) JOHN DAY DAM, WASHINGTON AND OREGON.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior, shall examine and assess the extent to which Indians (as defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304)) have been displaced as a result of the construction of the John Day Dam, Oregon, as authorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 179).

(2) INCLUSION.—The examination and assessment under paragraph (1) may include—

(A) assessments relating to housing and related facilities; and

(B) the study required by section 1178(c)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1675).

(3) ASSISTANCE.—If the Secretary determines, based on the examination and assessment under paragraph (1), that assistance is required or needed, the Secretary may use all existing authorities of the Secretary, including under this Act, to provide assistance to Indians who have been displaced as a result of the construction of the John Day Dam, Oregon.

(c) DALLES DAM, WASHINGTON AND OREGON.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior, shall complete and carry out a village development plan for any Indian village submerged as a result of the construction of the Dalles Dam, Columbia River, Washington and Oregon, as authorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 179).

(2) ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary may acquire land from willing land owners in carrying out a village development plan under paragraph (1).

(3) REQUIREMENTS.—A village development plan completed under paragraph (1) shall include, at a minimum, an estimated cost and tentative schedule for the construction of a replacement village.

SEC. 1134. MISSOURI RIVER RESERVOIR SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.

Section 1179(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1675) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (4) through (8) as paragraphs (5) through (9), respectively;

(2) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following:

“(4) PRIORITIZATION OF SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT PLANS.—In carrying out the pilot project under this subsection, the Secretary shall give priority to developing and implementing sediment management plans that affect reservoirs that cross State lines.”; and

(3) in paragraph (8) (as so redesignated)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (D); and

(B) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program established under this subsection in partnership with the Secretary of the Interior, and the program shall apply to reservoirs managed or owned by the Bureau of Reclamation.

“(B) MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT.—For sediment management plans that apply to a reservoir managed or owned by the Bureau of Reclamation under subparagraph (A), the Secretary and the Secretary of the Interior shall execute a memorandum of agreement establishing the framework for a partnership and the terms and conditions for sharing expertise and resources.

“(C) PAYMENTS.—The Secretary is authorized to accept and expend funds from the Secretary of the Interior to complete any work under this paragraph at a reservoir

managed or owned by the Bureau of Reclamation.”.

SEC. 1135. REAUTHORIZATION OF LOCK OPERATIONS PILOT PROGRAM.

Section 1017(f) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2212 note) is amended by striking “5 years” and inserting “10 years”.

SEC. 1136. CREDIT OR REIMBURSEMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1022 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2225) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 1022. CREDIT OR REIMBURSEMENT.

“(a) REQUESTS FOR CREDITS.—With respect to an authorized flood damage reduction project, or separable element thereof, that has been constructed by a non-Federal interest under section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 701b–13), or an authorized coastal navigation project that has been constructed by the Corps of Engineers pursuant to section 11 of the Act of March 3, 1925, before the date of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018, the Secretary may provide to the non-Federal interest, at the request of the non-Federal interest, a credit in an amount equal to the estimated Federal share of the cost of the project or separable element, in lieu of providing to the non-Federal interest a reimbursement in that amount or reimbursement of funds of an equivalent amount, subject to the availability of appropriations.

“(b) APPLICATION OF CREDITS.—At the request of the non-Federal interest, the Secretary may apply all or a portion of such credit to the share of the cost of the non-Federal interest of carrying out other flood damage reduction and coastal navigation projects or studies.

“(c) APPLICATION OF REIMBURSEMENT.—At the request of the non-Federal interest, the Secretary may apply such funds, subject to the availability of appropriations, equal to the share of the cost of the non-Federal interest of carrying out other flood damage reduction and coastal navigation projects or studies.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents contained in section 1(b) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1193) is amended by striking the item relating to section 1022 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 1022. Credit or reimbursement.”.

SEC. 1137. NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PROGRAM.

Section 1043(b) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)(A)(i)—

(A) in the matter preceding subclause (I)—

(i) by striking “15” and inserting “20”; and

(ii) by striking “prior to the date of enactment of this Act”;

(B) in subclause (I)—

(i) in the matter preceding item (aa), by inserting “that have been authorized for construction prior to the date of enactment of this Act and” after “not more than 12 projects”; and

(ii) in item (bb), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(C) in subclause (II)—

(i) by inserting “that have been authorized for construction prior to the date of enactment of this Act and” after “not more than 3 projects”; and

(ii) by striking the semicolon and inserting “; and”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

“(III) not more than 5 projects that have been authorized for construction, but did not receive the authorization prior to the date of enactment of this Act;”; and

(2) in paragraph (8), by striking “2015 through 2019” and inserting “2019 through 2023”.

SEC. 1138. SURPLUS WATER CONTRACTS AND WATER STORAGE AGREEMENTS.

Section 1046(c) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1254) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—
(A) by striking “shall not charge a fee” and inserting the following: “shall not—
“(A) charge a fee”;

(B) by striking “Reservoirs.” and inserting “Reservoirs; or”;

(C) by adding at the end the following:
“(B) assess a water storage fee with respect to any water storage in the Upper Missouri Mainstem Reservoirs.”; and

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking “10” and inserting “12”.

SEC. 1139. POST-DISASTER WATERSHED ASSESSMENTS IN TERRITORIES OF THE UNITED STATES.

Section 3025 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2267b) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) ASSESSMENTS IN TERRITORIES OF THE UNITED STATES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For any major disaster declared in a territory of the United States before the date of enactment of this subsection, all activities in the territory carried out or undertaken pursuant to the authorities described in this section shall be conducted at full Federal expense unless the President determines that the territory has the ability to pay the cost share for an assessment under this section without the use of loans.

“(2) TERRITORY DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term ‘territory of the United States’ means an insular area specified in section 1156(a)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2310(a)(1)).”.

SEC. 1140. EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.

Section 7004(b)(4) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1374) is amended by striking “December 31, 2018” and inserting “December 31, 2024”.

SEC. 1141. PROJECT STUDIES SUBJECT TO INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW.

Section 2034(h)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2343(h)(2)) is amended by striking “12 years” and inserting “17 years”.

SEC. 1142. FEASIBILITY OF CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIERS PROJECT, ILLINOIS.

Section 3061(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-114; 121 Stat. 1121) is amended—

(1) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and
(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—Operation and maintenance of any project authorized to be carried out pursuant to the feasibility study identified in paragraph (1) shall be carried out at 80 percent Federal expense and 20 percent non-Federal expense.

“(3) CONSULTATION.—After construction of any project authorized to be carried out pursuant to the feasibility study identified in paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consult with the Governor of the State in which the project is constructed before any control technologies not included in the Chief’s Report are implemented.”.

SEC. 1143. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF CREDIT.

Section 7007(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1277; 128 Stat. 1226) is amended by adding at the end the following: “Notwithstanding section 221(a)(4)(C)(i) of the Flood Control Act of 1970

(42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b(a)(4)(C)(i)), the Secretary may provide credit for work carried out during the period beginning on November 8, 2007, and ending on the date of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 by the non-Federal interest for a project under this title if the Secretary determines that the work is integral to the project and was carried out in accordance with the requirements of subchapter 4 of chapter 31, and chapter 37, of title 40, United States Code.”.

SEC. 1144. LEVEE SAFETY INITIATIVE REAUTHORIZATION.

Title IX of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3301 et seq.) is amended—

(1) in section 9005(g)(2)(E)(i), by striking “2015 through 2019” and inserting “2019 through 2023”; and

(2) in section 9008, by striking “2015 through 2019” each place it appears and inserting “2019 through 2023”.

SEC. 1145. FUNDING TO PROCESS PERMITS.

Section 214(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2352(a)) is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (3) and redesignating paragraphs (4) and (5) as paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively; and

(2) in paragraph (4), as so redesignated—
(A) by striking “4 years after the date of enactment of this paragraph” and inserting “December 31, 2022”; and

(B) by striking “carry out a study” and inserting “carry out a followup study”.

SEC. 1146. RESERVOIR SEDIMENT.

Section 215 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2326c) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—
(A) by striking “the date of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016” and inserting “the date of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018”; and
(B) by striking “shall establish, using available funds, a pilot program to accept” and inserting “shall, using available funds, accept”;

(2) in subsection (b)—
(A) in paragraph (2), by adding “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking “; and” at the end and inserting a period; and
(C) by striking paragraph (4); and

(3) by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report describing the results of the program under this section.”.

SEC. 1147. CLARIFICATION FOR INTEGRAL DETERMINATION.

Section 601(e)(5) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-541) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)(i)—
(A) in subclause (II), by striking “; or” and inserting a semicolon; and

(B) by inserting after subclause (III) the following:

“(IV) the credit is provided for work carried out by the non-Federal sponsor in the implementation of an authorized project implementation report, and such work was defined in an agreement between the Secretary and the non-Federal sponsor prior to the execution of such work; or
“(V) the credit is provided for any work carried out by the non-Federal sponsor, as agreed to by the District Commander and non-Federal sponsor in a written agreement

(which may include an electronic agreement) prior to such work being carried out by the non-Federal sponsor;”.

(2) in subparagraph (B), by amending clause (iii) to read as follows:

“(iii) the Secretary determines that the work performed by the non-Federal sponsor—

“(I) is integral to the project; and

“(II) was carried out in accordance with the requirements of subchapter 4 of chapter 31, and chapter 37, of title 40, United States Code.”;

(3) by redesignating subparagraphs (C) through (E) as subparagraphs (D) through (F), respectively; and

(4) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following:

“(C) TIMING.—In any case in which the Secretary approves credit under subparagraph (B), in a written agreement (which may include an electronic agreement) with the non-Federal sponsor, the Secretary shall provide such credit for work completed under an agreement that prescribes the terms and conditions for the in-kind contributions.”.

SEC. 1148. BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED SEDIMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out a project for the beneficial reuse of sediment to reduce storm damage to property under section 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326) that involves only a single application of sediment, the Secretary may grant a temporary easement necessary to facilitate the placement of sediment, if the Secretary determines that granting a temporary easement is in the interest of the United States.

(b) LIMITATION.—If the Secretary grants a temporary easement under subsection (a) with respect to a project, that project shall no longer be eligible for future placement of sediment under section 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326).

SEC. 1149. INCLUSION OF ALTERNATIVE MEASURES FOR AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.

(a) INCLUSION OF ALTERNATIVE MEASURES FOR AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—Section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2230) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the following:

“(e) USE OF NATURAL AND NATURE-BASED FEATURES.—In carrying out a project to restore and protect an aquatic ecosystem or estuary under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consider, and may include, with the consent of the non-Federal interest, a natural feature or nature-based feature, as such terms are defined in section 1184 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016, if the Secretary determines that inclusion of such features is consistent with the requirements of subsection (a).”.

(b) AMENDMENT TO DEFINITION.—Section 1184(a)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2289a(a)(2)) is amended by striking “in coastal areas”.

(c) NATURAL INFRASTRUCTURE.—In carrying out a feasibility report developed under section 905 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282) for a project for flood risk management or hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, the Secretary shall consider the use of both traditional and natural infrastructure alternatives, alone or in conjunction with each other, if those alternatives are practicable.

SEC. 1150. REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.

Section 204(a)(1)(A) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C.

2326(a)(1)(A)) is amended by inserting “including a project authorized for flood control,” after “an authorized Federal water resources project.”

SEC. 1151. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION AND HYDROELECTRIC FACILITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 314 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2321) is amended—

(1) in the heading by inserting “NAVIGATION AND” before “HYDROELECTRIC FACILITIES”;

(2) in the first sentence, by striking “Activities currently performed” and inserting the following:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Activities currently performed”;

(3) in subsection (a) (as designated by paragraph (2)), by inserting “navigation or” before “hydroelectric”;

(4) in the second sentence, by striking “This section” and inserting the following:

“(b) MAJOR MAINTENANCE CONTRACTS ALLOWED.—This section”;

(5) by adding at the end the following:

“(c) EXCLUSION.—This section does not—

“(1) apply to a navigation facility that was under contract on or before the date of enactment of this subsection with a non-Federal interest to perform operations or maintenance; and

“(2) prohibit the Secretary from contracting out commercial activities after the date of enactment of this subsection at a navigation facility.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents contained in section 1(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4604) is amended by striking the item relating to section 314 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 314. Operation and maintenance of navigation and hydroelectric facilities.”

SEC. 1152. STUDY OF WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.

Section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by inserting “federally authorized” before “feasibility study”;

(2) by amending subsection (c) to read as follows:

“(c) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—

“(1) REVIEW AND SUBMISSION OF STUDIES TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of receipt of a feasibility study of a project under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report that describes—

“(A) the results of the Secretary’s review of the study under subsection (b), including a determination of whether the project is feasible;

“(B) any recommendations the Secretary may have concerning the plan or design of the project; and

“(C) any conditions the Secretary may require for construction of the project.

“(2) LIMITATION.—The completion of the review by the Secretary of a feasibility study that has been submitted under subsection (a)(1) may not be delayed as a result of consideration being given to changes in policy or priority with respect to project consideration.”; and

(3) by amending subsection (e) to read as follows:

“(e) REVIEW AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—

“(1) REVIEW.—The Secretary may accept and expend funds provided by non-Federal interests to undertake reviews, inspections, certifications, and other activities that are

the responsibility of the Secretary in carrying out this section.

“(2) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—At the request of a non-Federal interest, the Secretary shall provide to the non-Federal interest technical assistance relating to any aspect of a feasibility study if the non-Federal interest contracts with the Secretary to pay all costs of providing such technical assistance.

“(3) LIMITATION.—Funds provided by non-Federal interests under this subsection shall not be eligible for credit under subsection (d) or reimbursement.

“(4) IMPARTIAL DECISIONMAKING.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall ensure that the use of funds accepted from a non-Federal interest will not affect the impartial decisionmaking of the Secretary, either substantively or procedurally.

“(5) SAVINGS PROVISION.—The provision of technical assistance by the Secretary under paragraph (2)—

“(A) shall not be considered to be an approval or endorsement of the feasibility study; and

“(B) shall not affect the responsibilities of the Secretary under subsections (b) and (c).”

SEC. 1153. CONSTRUCTION OF WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.

Section 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting “federally authorized” before “water resources development project”;

(B) in paragraph (2)(A), by inserting “, except as provided in paragraph (3)” before the semicolon; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) PERMIT EXCEPTION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For a project described in subsection (a)(1) or subsection (a)(3), or a separable element thereof, with respect to which a written agreement described in subparagraph (B) has been entered into, a non-Federal interest that carries out a project under this section shall not be required to obtain any Federal permits or approvals that would not be required if the Secretary carried out the project or separable element unless significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns or compliance have arisen since development of the project recommendation.

“(B) WRITTEN AGREEMENT.—For purposes of this paragraph, a written agreement shall provide that the non-Federal interest shall comply with the same legal and technical requirements that would apply if the project or separable element were carried out by the Secretary, including all mitigation required to offset environmental impacts of the project or separable element as determined by the Secretary.

“(C) CERTIFICATIONS.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), if a non-Federal interest carrying out a project under this section would, in the absence of a written agreement entered into under this paragraph, be required to obtain a certification from a State under Federal law to carry out the project, such certification shall still be required if a written agreement is entered into with respect to the project under this paragraph.

“(4) DATA SHARING.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If a non-Federal interest for a water resources development project begins to carry out that water resources development project under this section, the non-Federal interest may request that the Secretary transfer to the non-Federal interest all relevant data and documentation under the control of the Secretary with re-

spect to that water resources development project.

“(B) DEADLINE.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the Secretary shall transfer the data and documentation requested by a non-Federal interest under subparagraph (A) not later than the date that is 90 days after the date on which the non-Federal interest so requests such data and documentation.

“(C) LIMITATION.—Nothing in this paragraph obligates the Secretary to share any data or documentation that the Secretary considers to be proprietary information.”;

(2) by amending subsection (c) to read as follows:

“(c) STUDIES AND ENGINEERING.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—When requested by an appropriate non-Federal interest, the Secretary shall undertake all necessary studies, engineering, and technical assistance on construction for any project to be undertaken under subsection (b), and provide technical assistance in obtaining all necessary permits for the construction, if the non-Federal interest contracts with the Secretary to furnish the United States funds for the studies, engineering, or technical assistance on construction in the period during which the studies, engineering, or technical assistance on construction are being conducted.

“(2) NO WAIVER.—Nothing in this section may be construed to waive any requirement of section 3142 of title 40, United States Code.

“(3) LIMITATION.—Funds provided by non-Federal interests under this subsection shall not be eligible for credit or reimbursement under subsection (d).

“(4) IMPARTIAL DECISIONMAKING.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall ensure that the use of funds accepted from a non-Federal interest will not affect the impartial decisionmaking of the Secretary, either substantively or procedurally.”; and

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (3)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(ii) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) in the case of reimbursement, appropriations are provided by Congress for such purpose.”; and

(B) in paragraph (5)—

(i) by striking “flood damage reduction” each place it appears and inserting “water resources development”;

(ii) in subparagraph (A), by striking “for a discrete segment of a” and inserting “for carrying out a discrete segment of a federally authorized”;

(iii) in subparagraph (D), in the matter preceding clause (i), by inserting “to be carried out” after “project”.

SEC. 1154. CORPS BUDGETING; PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATIONS; COMPREHENSIVE BACKLOG REPORT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1001 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a) is amended—

(1) by striking the section designator and all that follows through “Any project” and inserting the following:

“SEC. 1001. CORPS BUDGETING; PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATIONS; COMPREHENSIVE BACKLOG REPORT.

“(a) Any project”;

(2) in subsection (b), by striking paragraphs (3) and (4) and inserting the following:

“(3) COMPREHENSIVE CONSTRUCTION BACKLOG AND OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, once every 2 years, shall compile and publish—

“(i) a complete list of all projects and separable elements of projects of the Corps of Engineers that are authorized for construction but have not been completed;

“(ii) a complete list of all feasibility studies of the Corps of Engineers that Congress has authorized the Secretary to carry out for which a Report of the Chief of Engineers has not been issued;

“(iii) a complete list of all environmental infrastructure projects authorized by Congress under section 219 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835); and

“(iv) a list of major Federal operation and maintenance needs of projects and properties under the control of the Corps of Engineers.

“(B) REQUIRED INFORMATION.—The Secretary shall include on each list developed under clause (i), (ii), or (iii) of subparagraph (A) for each feasibility study, project, and separable element on that list—

“(i) the date of authorization of the feasibility study, project, or separable element, including any subsequent modifications to the original authorization;

“(ii) the original budget authority for the feasibility study, project, or separable element;

“(iii) a brief description of the feasibility study, project, or separable element;

“(iv) the estimated date of completion of the feasibility study, project, or separable element, assuming all capability is fully funded;

“(v) the estimated total cost of completion of the feasibility study, project, or separable element;

“(vi) the amount of funds spent on the feasibility study, project, or separable element, including Federal and non-Federal funds;

“(vii) the amount of appropriations estimated to be required in each fiscal year during the period of construction to complete the project or separable element by the date specified under clause (iv);

“(viii) the location of the feasibility study, project, or separable element;

“(ix) a statement from the non-Federal interest for the project or separable element indicating the non-Federal interest's capability to provide the required local cooperation estimated to be required for the project or separable element in each fiscal year during the period of construction;

“(x) the benefit-cost ratio of the project or separable element, calculated using the discount rate specified by the Office of Management and Budget for purposes of preparing the President's budget pursuant to chapter 11 of title 31, United States Code;

“(xi) the benefit-cost ratio of the project or separable element, calculated using the discount rate utilized by the Corps of Engineers for water resources development project planning pursuant to section 80 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-17); and

“(xii) the last fiscal year in which the project or separable element incurred obligations.

“(C) REQUIRED OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE INFORMATION.—The Secretary shall include on the list developed under subparagraph (A)(iv), for each project and property under the control of the Corps of Engineers on that list—

“(i) the authority under which the project was authorized or the property was acquired by the Corps of Engineers;

“(ii) a brief description of the project or property;

“(iii) an estimate of the Federal costs to meet the major operation and maintenance needs at the project or property; and

“(iv) an estimate of unmet or deferred operation and maintenance needs at the project or property.

“(D) PUBLICATION.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—For fiscal year 2020, and once every 2 years thereafter, in conjunction with the President's annual budget submis-

sion to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary shall submit a copy of the lists developed under subparagraph (A) to—

“(I) the Committee on Environment and Public Works and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

“(II) the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

“(ii) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall make a copy of the lists available on a publicly accessible website site in a manner that is downloadable, searchable, and sortable.”

(b) BUDGETARY EVALUATION METRICS AND TRANSPARENCY.—Beginning in fiscal year 2020, in the formulation of the annual budget request for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Civil Works) pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the President shall ensure that such budget request—

(1) aligns the assessment of the potential benefit-cost ratio for budgeting water resources development projects with that used by the Corps of Engineers during project plan formulation and evaluation pursuant to section 80 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-17); and

(2) demonstrates the transparent criteria and metrics utilized by the President in the evaluation and selection of water resources development projects included in such budget request.

(c) PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.—In the development of, or any proposed major substantive modification to, a proposed budget for water resources development projects, the Secretary, through each District shall, not less frequently than annually—

(1) provide to non-Federal interests and other interested stakeholders information on the proposed budget for projects or substantive modifications to project budgets within each District's jurisdiction;

(2) hold multiple public meetings to discuss the budget for projects within each District's jurisdiction; and

(3) provide to non-Federal interests the opportunity to collaborate with District personnel for projects within each District's jurisdiction—

(A) to support information sharing; and

(B) to the maximum extent practicable, to share in concept development and decision-making to achieve complementary or integrated solutions to problems.

SEC. 1155. INDIAN TRIBES.

(a) COST SHARING PROVISIONS FOR TERRITORIES AND INDIAN TRIBES.—Section 1156(a)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2310(a)(2)) is amended by striking “(as defined)” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “or tribal organization (as those terms are defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304)).”

(b) WRITTEN AGREEMENT REQUIREMENT FOR WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS.—Section 221(b)(1) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b(b)(1)) is amended by striking “(including a)” and all that follows through “; or” at the end and inserting “(including an Indian tribe and a tribal organization (as those terms are defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304)); or”.

SEC. 1156. INFLATION ADJUSTMENT OF COST-SHARING PROVISIONS FOR TERRITORIES AND INDIAN TRIBES.

Section 1156(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2310(b)) is amended by striking “the date of enactment of this subsection” and inserting “the date

of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018”.

SEC. 1157. CORPS OF ENGINEERS CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAM.

(a) STORM AND HURRICANE RESTORATION AND IMPACT MINIMIZATION PROGRAM.—Section 3(c)(1) of the Act of August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g(c)(1)) is amended by striking “\$30,000,000” and inserting “\$37,500,000”.

(b) SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS.—Section 107(a) of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577(a)) is amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$62,500,000”.

(c) SHORE DAMAGE PREVENTION OR MITIGATION.—Section 111(c) of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i(c)) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$12,500,000”.

(d) REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.—Section 204(g) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326(g)) is amended in the first sentence by striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$62,500,000”.

(e) SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.—Section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s) is amended in the first sentence by striking “\$55,000,000” and inserting “\$68,750,000”.

(f) AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—Section 206(f) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (as redesignated by section 1149) is amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$62,500,000”.

(g) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF ENVIRONMENT.—Section 1135(h) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a(h)) is amended by striking “\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

(h) EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PROTECTION.—Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) is amended by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”.

(i) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—Section 203(b)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may carry out the design and construction of a water resources development project, or separable element of a project, described in paragraph (1) that the Secretary determines is feasible if the Federal share of the cost of the project or separable element is not more than \$12,500,000.

“(B) SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION.—If the Federal share of the cost of the project or separable element described in subparagraph (A) is more than \$12,500,000, the Secretary may only carry out the project or separable element if Congress enacts a law authorizing the Secretary to carry out the project or separable element.”

SEC. 1158. HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION.

Section 156 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1976 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5f) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “Notwithstanding” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) TIMING.—The 15 additional years provided under paragraph (1) shall begin on the date of initiation of construction of congressionally authorized nourishment.”; and

(2) in subsection (e), by striking “5 year-period” and inserting “10-year period”.

SEC. 1159. REGIONAL COALITIONS AND HIGHER EDUCATION.

Section 22(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-16(a)) is amended—

(1) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

“(1) COMPREHENSIVE PLANS.—The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized to cooperate with any State, group of States, non-Federal interest working with a State or group of States, or regional coalition of governmental entities in the preparation of comprehensive plans for the development, utilization, and conservation of the water and related resources of drainage basins, watersheds, or ecosystems located within the boundaries of such State, interest, or entity, including plans to comprehensively address water resources challenges, and to submit to Congress reports and recommendations with respect to appropriate Federal participation in carrying out such plans.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION.—Notwithstanding section 236 of title 10, United States Code, in carrying out this subsection, the Secretary may work with an institution of higher education, as determined appropriate by the Secretary.”.

SEC. 1160. EMERGENCY RESPONSE TO NATURAL DISASTERS.

Section 5(a)(1) of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n(a)(1)) is amended in the first sentence—

(1) by striking “strengthening, raising, extending, or other modification thereof” and inserting “strengthening, raising, extending, realigning, or other modification thereof”; and

(2) by striking “structure or project damaged or destroyed by wind, wave, or water action of other than an ordinary nature to the design level of protection when, in the discretion of the Chief of Engineers,” and inserting “structure or project damaged or destroyed by wind, wave, or water action of other than an ordinary nature to either the pre-storm level or the design level of protection, whichever provides greater protection, when, in the discretion of the Chief of Engineers.”.

SEC. 1161. COST AND BENEFIT FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT.

(a) COST BENEFIT AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS.—Section 5(a) of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n(a)), as amended by this Act, is further amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) COST AND BENEFIT FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT.—

“(A) CONSIDERATION OF BENEFITS.—In preparing a cost and benefit feasibility assessment for any emergency project described in paragraph (1), the Chief of Engineers shall consider the benefits to be gained by such project for the protection of—

“(i) residential establishments;

“(ii) commercial establishments, including the protection of inventory; and

“(iii) agricultural establishments, including the protection of crops.

“(B) SPECIAL CONDITIONS.—

“(i) AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT WORK.—The Chief of Engineers may carry out repair or restoration work described in paragraph (1) that does not produce benefits greater than the cost if—

“(I) the non-Federal sponsor agrees to pay an amount sufficient to make the remaining costs of the project equal to the estimated value of the benefits of the repair or restoration work; and

“(II) the Secretary determines that—

“(aa) the damage to the structure was not a result of negligent operation or maintenance; and

“(bb) repair of the project could benefit another Corps project.

“(ii) TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS.—Non-Federal payments pursuant to clause (i) shall be in addition to any non-Federal payments required by the Chief of Engineers that are ap-

plicable to the remaining costs of the repair or restoration work.”.

(b) CONTINUED ELIGIBILITY.—Notwithstanding a non-Federal flood control work's status in the Rehabilitation and Inspection Program carried out pursuant to section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n), any unconstructed emergency project for the non-Federal flood control work that was formulated during the three fiscal years preceding the fiscal year in which this Act was enacted but that was determined to not produce benefits greater than costs shall remain eligible for assistance under such section 5 until the last day of the third fiscal year following the fiscal year in which this Act was enacted if—

(1) the non-Federal sponsor agrees, in accordance with such section 5, as amended by this Act, to pay an amount sufficient to make the remaining costs of the project equal to the estimated value of the benefits of the repair or restoration work; and

(2) the Secretary determines that—

(A) the damage to the structure was not as a result of negligent operation or maintenance; and

(B) repair of the project could benefit another Corps project.

SEC. 1162. EXTENDED COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE BY THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS.

Section 5(a) of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n(a)), as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3) EXTENDED ASSISTANCE.—Upon request by a locality receiving assistance under the fourth sentence of paragraph (1), the Secretary shall, subject to the availability of appropriations, enter into an agreement with the locality to provide such assistance beyond the time period otherwise provided for by the Secretary under such sentence.”.

SEC. 1163. DAM SAFETY.

Section 14 of the National Dam Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C. 467j) is amended by striking “2015 through 2019” each place it appears and inserting “2019 through 2023”.

SEC. 1164. LOCAL GOVERNMENT WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS.

With the consent of the non-Federal interest for a feasibility study for a water resources development project, the Secretary may enter into a written agreement under section 221(a) of the Flood Control Act of 1970, with a unit of local government in the watershed that has adopted a local or regional water management plan, to allow the unit of local government to participate in the feasibility study to determine if there is an opportunity to include additional feasible elements in the project in order to help achieve the purposes identified in the local or regional water management plan.

SEC. 1165. STRUCTURES AND FACILITIES CONSTRUCTED BY SECRETARY.

Section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899 (33 U.S.C. 408) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) WORK DEFINED.—For the purposes of this section, the term ‘work’ shall not include unimproved real estate owned or operated by the Secretary as part of a water resources development project if the Secretary determines that modification of such real estate would not affect the function and usefulness of the project.”.

SEC. 1166. ADVANCED FUNDS FOR WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT STUDIES AND PROJECTS.

(a) CONTRIBUTIONS BY STATES AND POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS FOR IMMEDIATE USE ON AUTHORIZED FLOOD-CONTROL WORK; REPAYMENT.—The Act of October 15, 1940 (33 U.S.C. 701h-1) is amended—

(1) by striking “a flood-control project duly adopted and authorized by law” and inserting “a federally authorized water resources development project,”; and

(2) by striking “such work” and inserting “such project”;

(3) by striking “from appropriations which may be provided by Congress for flood-control work” and inserting “if appropriations are provided by Congress for such purpose”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following: “For purposes of this Act, the term ‘State’ means the several States, the District of Columbia, the commonwealths, territories, and possessions of the United States, and Indian tribes (as defined in section 4(e) of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304(e))).”.

(b) NO ADVERSE EFFECT ON PROCESSES.—In implementing any provision of law that authorizes a non-Federal interest to provide, advance, or contribute funds to the Secretary for the development or implementation of a water resources development project (including sections 203 and 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231, 2232), section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (33 U.S.C. 701h), and the Act of October 15, 1940 (33 U.S.C. 701h-1)), the Secretary shall ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that the use by a non-Federal interest of such authorities does not adversely affect—

(1) the process or timeline for development and implementation of other water resources development projects by other non-Federal entities that do not use such authorities; or

(2) the process for including such projects in the President's annual budget submission to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code.

(c) ADVANCES BY PRIVATE PARTIES; REPAYMENT.—Section 11 of the Act of March 3, 1925 (Chapter 467; 33 U.S.C. 561) is repealed.

SEC. 1167. COSTS IN EXCESS OF FEDERAL PARTICIPATION LIMIT.

Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r), as amended by this Act, is further amended by inserting “, and if such amount is not sufficient to cover the costs included in the Federal cost share for a project, as determined by the Secretary, the non-Federal interest shall be responsible for any such costs that exceed such amount” before the period at the end.

SEC. 1168. DISPOSITION OF PROJECTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out a disposition study for a project of the Corps of Engineers, or a separable element of such a project, including a disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a), the Secretary shall consider modifications that would improve the overall quality of the environment in the public interest, including removal of the project or separable element of a project.

(b) DISPOSITION STUDY TRANSPARENCY.—The Secretary shall carry out disposition studies described in subsection (a) in a transparent manner, including by—

(1) providing opportunities for public input; and

(2) publishing the final disposition studies.

(c) REMOVAL OF INFRASTRUCTURE.—For disposition studies described in subsection (a) in which the Secretary determines that a Federal interest no longer exists, and makes a recommendation of removal of the project or separable element of a project, the Secretary is authorized, using existing authorities, to pursue removal of the project or separable element of a project in partnership with other Federal agencies and non-Federal entities with appropriate capabilities to undertake infrastructure removal.

SEC. 1169. CONTRIBUTED FUNDS FOR NON-FEDERAL RESERVOIR OPERATIONS.

Section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (33 U.S.C. 701h), is amended by inserting after “authorized purposes of the project:” the following: “*Provided further*, That the Secretary is authorized to receive and expend funds from an owner of a non-Federal reservoir to formulate, review, or revise operational documents for any non-Federal reservoir for which the Secretary is authorized to prescribe regulations for the use of storage allocated for flood control or navigation pursuant to section 7 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 709):”.

SEC. 1170. WATERCRAFT INSPECTION STATIONS.

Section 104 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610) is amended—

(1) by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:

“(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$110,000,000 for each fiscal year, of which—

“(A) \$30,000,000 shall be made available to carry out subsection (d)(1)(A)(i);

“(B) \$30,000,000 shall be made available to carry out subsection (d)(1)(A)(ii); and

“(C) \$30,000,000 shall be made available to carry out subsection (d)(1)(A)(iii).

“(2) CONTROL OPERATIONS.—Any funds made available under paragraph (1) to be used for control operations shall be allocated by the Chief of Engineers on a priority basis, based on the urgency and need of each area and the availability of local funds.”; and

(2) in subsection (d)—

(A) by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) WATERCRAFT INSPECTION STATIONS.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall establish (as applicable), operate, and maintain new or existing watercraft inspection stations—

“(i) to protect the Columbia River Basin;

“(ii) to protect the Upper Missouri River Basin; and

“(iii) to protect the Upper Colorado River Basin and the South Platte and Arizona River Basins.

“(B) LOCATIONS.—The Secretary shall establish watercraft inspection stations under subparagraph (A) at locations with the highest likelihood of preventing the spread of aquatic invasive species at reservoirs operated and maintained by the Secretary, as determined by the Secretary in consultation with States within the areas described in subparagraph (A).

“(C) RAPID RESPONSE.—The Secretary shall assist States within the areas described in subparagraph (A) with rapid response to any aquatic invasive species, including quagga or zebra mussel, infestation.”; and

(B) by amending paragraph (3)(A) to read as follows:

“(A) the Governors of the States within the areas described in each of clauses (i) through (iii) of paragraph (1)(A), as applicable.”.

SEC. 1171. RESTRICTED AREAS AT CORPS OF ENGINEERS DAMS.

Section 2 of the Freedom to Fish Act (Public Law 113-13; 127 Stat. 449, 128 Stat. 1271) is amended by striking “4 years after the date of enactment of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014” each place it appears and inserting “5 years after the date of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018”.

SEC. 1172. COASTAL EROSION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Pursuant to section 111 of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i), the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, complete operation and maintenance renourishment to mitigate

coastal erosion attributed to Federal project structures in the upper northeast United States.

(b) PROJECT SELECTION.—In carrying out the work under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

(1) identify and carry out not more than 5 projects—

(A) located in any of the States of Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, or New York; and

(B) for which a feasibility study has been completed by December 31, 2019, that includes findings that a Federal project structure is interrupting the natural flow of sediment and causing coastal erosion; and

(2) consult with relevant State agencies in selecting projects.

SEC. 1173. PROHIBITION ON SURPLUS WATER FEES, LAKE CUMBERLAND WATERSHED, KENTUCKY AND TENNESSEE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall not charge a fee for surplus water under a contract entered into pursuant to section 6 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 708), if the contract is for surplus water stored in the Lake Cumberland Watershed, Kentucky and Tennessee.

(b) TERMINATION.—The limitation under subsection (a) shall expire on the date that is 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(c) APPLICABILITY.—Nothing in this section—

(1) affects the authority of the Secretary under section 2695 of title 10, United States Code, to accept funds or to cover the administrative expenses relating to certain real property transactions;

(2) affects the application of section 6 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 708) or section 301 of the Water Supply Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b) to surplus water stored outside of the Lake Cumberland Watershed, Kentucky and Tennessee; or

(3) affects the authority of the Secretary to accept funds under section 216(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2321a(c)).

SEC. 1174. MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PEAK FLOW RESTORATION.

(a) RESTARTING OF TEMPORARY DEVIATION.—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary shall restart the temporary deviation in the operation of Cochiti Lake and Jemez Canyon Dam, that was initiated in 2009 and terminated in 2013, to continue to evaluate the effects of the deviation.

(b) APPROVAL AND CONSULTATION.—Before restarting the temporary deviation under subsection (a), the Secretary shall, as required under the applicable water control manuals—

(1) first obtain approval from—

(A) Pueblo de Cochiti;

(B) Pueblo de Santa Ana; and

(C) the Rio Grande Compact Commission established by the compact approved by Congress under the Act of May 31, 1939 (53 Stat. 785, chapter 155); and

(2) to the maximum extent practicable, consult with the existing Cochiti Lake Environmental Resources Team, which includes other Federal agencies and landowners in the region.

(c) SUNSET.—The authority to conduct the temporary deviation described in subsection (a) shall terminate on the date that is 5 years after the date on which the Secretary restarts the temporary deviation under such subsection.

SEC. 1175. PROHIBITION OF ADMINISTRATIVE FEES IN IMPLEMENTING ROUGH RIVER LAKE FLOWAGE EASEMENT ENCROACHMENT RESOLUTION PLAN.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ELIGIBLE PROPERTY OWNER.—The term “eligible property owner” means the owner of a property—

(A)(i) described in Scenario A, B, C, or D in the Plan; or

(ii) that consists of vacant land located above 534 feet mean sea level that is encumbered by a Rough River Lake flowage easement; and

(B) for which the Rough River Lake flowage easement is not required to address backwater effects.

(2) PLAN.—The term “Plan” means the Rough River Lake Flowage Easement Encroachment Resolution Plan of the Corps of Engineers, dated January 2017.

(b) PROHIBITION ON ASSESSING ADMINISTRATIVE FEES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in carrying out the Plan, the Secretary may not impose on or collect from any eligible property owner any administrative fee, including—

(1) a fee to pay the costs to the Corps of Engineers of processing requests to resolve encroachments under the Plan;

(2) fees for deed drafting and surveying; and

(3) any other administrative cost incurred by the Corps of Engineers in implementing the Plan.

(c) REFUND OF ADMINISTRATIVE FEES.—In the case of an eligible property owner who has paid any administrative fees described in paragraphs (1) through (3) of subsection (b) to the Corps of Engineers, the Corps of Engineers shall refund those fees on request of the eligible property owner.

(d) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section affects the responsibility or authority of the Secretary to continue carrying out the Plan, including any work necessary to extinguish the flowage easement of the United States with respect to the property of any eligible property owner.

SEC. 1176. PRECONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING DESIGN DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.

(a) DEFINITION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT.—In this section, the term “environmental impact statement” means the detailed written statement required under section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

(b) DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.—The Secretary shall establish a demonstration program to allow a project authorized to execute pursuant to section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 701b-13) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1193)) to begin preconstruction engineering and design on a determination by the Secretary that the project is technically feasible, economically justified, and environmentally acceptable.

(c) REQUIREMENTS.—For each project authorized to begin preconstruction engineering and design under subsection (b)—

(1) the project shall conform to the feasibility study and the environmental impact statement approved by the Secretary; and

(2) the Secretary and the non-Federal sponsor shall jointly agree to the construction design of the project.

(d) SECRETARY REVIEW OF POTENTIAL ADVERSE IMPACTS.—When reviewing the feasibility study and the environmental impact statement for a project under subsection (b), the Secretary shall follow current USACE Policy, Regulations, and Guidance, to assess potential adverse downstream impacts to the Pearl River Basin. Upon completion of the Secretary's determination under subsection (b), the non-Federal sponsor shall design the project in a manner that addresses any potential adverse impacts or that provides mitigation in accordance with section 906 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283).

(e) SUNSET.—The authority to carry out the demonstration program under this section shall terminate on the date that is 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(f) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section supersedes, precludes, or affects any applicable requirements for a project under subsection (b) under—

(1) section 906 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283); or

(2) the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.).

Subtitle B—Studies and Reports

SEC. 1201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY STUDIES.

The Secretary is authorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for water resources development and conservation and other purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development” submitted to Congress on March 17, 2017, and February 5, 2018, respectively, pursuant to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed by Congress:

(1) CAVE BUTTES DAM, ARIZONA.—Project for flood risk management, Phoenix, Arizona.

(2) SAN DIEGO RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood risk management, navigation, and ecosystem restoration, San Diego, California.

(3) J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LOUISIANA.—Project for navigation, J. Bennett Johnston Waterway, Louisiana.

(4) NORTHSORE, LOUISIANA.—Project for flood risk management, St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana.

(5) OUACHITA-BLACK RIVERS, LOUISIANA.—Project for navigation, Little River, Louisiana.

(6) CHAUTAUQUA LAKE, NEW YORK.—Project for ecosystem restoration and flood risk management, Chautauqua, New York.

(7) TRINITY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TEXAS.—Project for navigation, Liberty, Texas.

(8) WEST CELL LEVEE, TEXAS.—Project for flood risk management, Irving, Texas.

(9) COASTAL VIRGINIA, VIRGINIA.—Project for flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and navigation, Coastal Virginia.

(10) TANGIER ISLAND, VIRGINIA.—Project for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration, Tangier Island, Virginia.

SEC. 1202. ADDITIONAL STUDIES.

(a) LOWER MISSISSIPPI RIVER; MISSOURI, KENTUCKY, TENNESSEE, ARKANSAS, MISSISSIPPI, AND LOUISIANA.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out studies to determine the feasibility of habitat restoration for each of the eight reaches identified as priorities in the report prepared by the Secretary pursuant to section 402 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000, titled “Lower Mississippi River Resource Assessment; Final Assessment In Response to Section 402 of WRDA 2000” and dated July 2015.

(2) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall consult with the Lower Mississippi River Conservation Committee during each feasibility study carried out under paragraph (1).

(b) ST. LOUIS RIVERFRONT, MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, MISSOURI AND ILLINOIS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out studies to determine the feasibility of a project for ecosystem restoration and flood risk management in Madison, St. Clair, and Monroe Counties, Illinois, St. Louis City, and St. Louis, Jefferson, Franklin, Gasconade, Maries, Phelps, Crawford, Dent, Washington, Iron, St. Francois, St. Genevieve, Osage, Reynolds, and Texas Counties, Missouri.

(2) CONTINUATION OF EXISTING STUDY.—Any study carried out under paragraph (1) shall be considered a continuation of the study being carried out under Committee Resolution 2642 of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, adopted June 21, 2000.

SEC. 1203. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.

(a) FEASIBILITY REPORTS.—The Secretary shall expedite the completion of a feasibility study for each of the following projects, and if the Secretary determines that the project is justified in a completed report, may proceed directly to preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of the project:

(1) Project for riverbank stabilization, Selma, Alabama.

(2) Project for ecosystem restoration, Three Mile Creek, Alabama.

(3) Project for navigation, Nome, Alaska.

(4) Project for flood diversion, Seward, Alaska.

(5) Project for flood control, water conservation, and related purposes, Coyote Valley Dam, California.

(6) Project for flood risk management, Lower Cache Creek, California.

(7) Project for flood risk management, Lower San Joaquin River, California, as described in section 1322(b)(2)(F) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1707) (second phase of feasibility study).

(8) Project for flood risk management, South San Francisco, California.

(9) Project for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration, Tijuana River, California.

(10) Project for flood damage reduction, Westminster-East Garden Grove, California.

(11) Project for flood risk management in East Hartford, Connecticut.

(12) Project for flood risk management in Hartford, Connecticut.

(13) Projects under the Comprehensive Flood Mitigation Study for the Delaware River Basin.

(14) Project for ecosystem restoration, Lake Apopka, Florida.

(15) Project for ecosystem restoration, Kansas River Weir, Kansas.

(16) Project for navigation and channel deepening, Baptiste Collette Bayou, Louisiana, under section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231).

(17) Project for navigation and channel deepening, Houma Navigation Canal, Louisiana, under section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231).

(18) Project for navigation and channel deepening, Bayou Lafourche, Louisiana, under section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231).

(19) Project for flood damage reduction and ecosystem restoration, St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana.

(20) Project for ecosystem restoration, Warren Glen Dam Removal, Musconetcong River, New Jersey.

(21) Project for flood risk management, Rahway River Basin, New Jersey.

(22) The Hudson-Raritan Estuary Comprehensive Restoration Project, New Jersey and New York.

(23) Project for flood control and water supply, Abiquiu Dam, New Mexico.

(24) Project for reformulation, East Rockaway Inlet to Rockaway Inlet and Jamaica Bay, Queens, New York.

(25) Project for navigation, New York-New Jersey Harbor and Tributaries Focus Area.

(26) Project for water resource improvements, Willamette River Basin, Fern Ridge, Oregon.

(27) Project for coastal storm risk management, Pawcatuck River, Rhode Island.

(28) Project for the Rhode Island historical structure flood hazard vulnerability assessment.

(29) Project for coastal storm risk management, Norfolk, Virginia.

(30) Project for navigation, Tacoma Harbor, Washington.

(b) LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—In expediting completion of the second phase of the Lower San Joaquin River feasibility study under subsection (a)(7), the Secretary shall review and give priority to any plans and designs requested by non-Federal interests and incorporate such plans and designs into the Federal study if the Secretary determines that such plans and designs are consistent with Federal standards.

(c) HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY COMPREHENSIVE RESTORATION PROJECT, NEW JERSEY AND NEW YORK.—In the case of a recommendation for restoration activities within the Jamaica Bay Unit of the Hudson-Raritan Estuary Comprehensive Restoration Project, New Jersey and New York, under subsection (a)(22), which are to protect property under the jurisdiction of the National Park Service, the Secretary may recommend to Congress that the Secretary accept and expend funds from the National Park Service to carry out such activities.

(d) POST-AUTHORIZATION CHANGE REPORT.—The Secretary shall expedite completion of a post-authorization change report for the project for flood risk management, San Luis Rey River Flood Control Protection Project, California.

(e) HUNTINGDON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite the updating of the master plan for the Juniata River and tributaries project, Huntingdon County, Pennsylvania, authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1962 (Public Law 87-874; 76 Stat. 1182).

(2) PROCESS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary shall update the master plan in accordance with section 1309(a)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (Public Law 114-322; 130 Stat. 1693).

(f) UPPER MISSOURI RIVER BASIN FLOOD AND DROUGHT MONITORING.—The Secretary shall expedite activities authorized under section 4003(a) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1311, 130 Stat. 1677).

(g) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF ENVIRONMENT.—For fiscal years 2019 and 2020, the Secretary shall give priority to projects that restore degraded ecosystems through modification of existing flood risk management projects for projects—

(1) authorized under section 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a); and

(2) located within the Upper Missouri River Basin.

(h) EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF CERTAIN PROJECTS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary should provide funding for, and expedite the completion of, the following projects:

(1) West Haven, Connecticut, as authorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1954 (68 Stat. 1254) and section 3 of the Act of August 13, 1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33 U.S.C. 426g).

(2) Providence River, Rhode Island, as authorized by the first section of the Act of August 26, 1937 (50 Stat. 845, chapter 832) and section 301 of the River and Harbor Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 1089).

(3) Morganza to the Gulf, Louisiana, as authorized by section 7002(3) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1368).

(4) Louisiana Coastal Area, Louisiana, as authorized by section 7002(5) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1369).

(5) Louisiana Coastal Area-Barataria Basin Barrier, Louisiana, as authorized by section 7002(5) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1370).

(6) West Shore Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana, as authorized by section 1401(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1712).

(7) Southwest Coastal Louisiana, Louisiana, as authorized by section 1401(8) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1715).

(8) West Thompson Lake, Connecticut, as authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1960 (74 Stat. 489).

SEC. 1204. GAO STUDY ON BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS REFORMS.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall—

(1) conduct a study on the benefit-cost procedures of the Secretary and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (referred to in this section as the “Director”), including—

(A) an examination of the benefits and costs that the Secretary and the Director do and do not include in the benefit-cost calculation, including, at a minimum, local and regional economic benefits; and

(B) a review of the calculation, if any, of navigation benefits used in a benefit-cost calculation for a non-commercial harbor that is used by a State maritime academy (as defined in section 51102 of title 46, United States Code) for military training purposes; and

(2) submit to Congress a report that—

(A) describes the results of the study under paragraph (1); and

(B) includes recommendations for legislative or regulatory changes to improve the benefit-cost analysis procedures of the Secretary and the Director.

SEC. 1205. HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND REPORT.

(a) **DEADLINE.**—Not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit reports under section 210(e)(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(e)(3)) and section 330 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (26 U.S.C. 9505 note; Public Law 102-580) to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

(b) **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.**—For each report described in subsection (a) that is submitted after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall include, on a project-by-project basis, additional information identifying—

(1) the most recent fiscal year for which operations and maintenance activities have been carried out and the cost of those activities; and

(2) the operations and maintenance activities that were performed through either a recommendation from Congress or unspecified funds made available for ongoing work.

(c) **AVAILABILITY.**—The Secretary shall make publicly available all reports described in subsection (a) submitted before, on, or after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1206. IDENTIFICATION OF NONPOWERED DAMS FOR HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall develop a list of existing nonpowered dams owned and operated by the Corps of Engineers that have the greatest potential for hydropower development.

(b) **CONSIDERATIONS.**—In developing the list under subsection (a), the Secretary may consider the following:

(1) The compatibility of hydropower generation with existing purposes of the dam.

(2) The proximity of the dam to existing transmission resources.

(3) The existence of studies to characterize environmental, cultural, and historic resources relating to the dam.

(4) Whether hydropower is an authorized purpose of the dam.

(c) **AVAILABILITY.**—The Secretary shall provide the list developed under subsection (a) to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, and make such list available to the public.

SEC. 1207. STUDY ON INNOVATIVE PORTS FOR OFFSHORE WIND DEVELOPMENT.

(a) **DEFINITION OF INNOVATIVE PORT FOR OFFSHORE WIND DEVELOPMENT.**—In this section, the term “innovative port for offshore wind development” includes any federally authorized port or harbor that can accommodate (including through retrofitting)—

(1) the upright assembly of the majority of an offshore wind facility, including the foundation, tower, turbine, blade, and electrical components;

(2) an assembly area, ground-bearing pressure, and overhead clearance for the assembly of offshore wind facility turbines, which each have a capacity of up to 20 megawatts;

(3) a heavy-lift quay and not less than 25 acres of port storage;

(4) innovative offshore wind facility and vessel technologies that allow for the rapid installation of an offshore wind facility; and

(5) any other innovative offshore wind facility technology, as determined by the Secretary.

(b) **STUDY AND REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—

(A) in consultation with the all appropriate Federal agencies, carry out a study of all federally authorized ports and harbors, including in the Mid-Atlantic, Gulf Coast, West Coast, Great Lakes, and New England regions of the United States, to identify—

(i) not less than 3 suitable federally authorized ports and harbors in those regions that could become innovative ports for offshore wind development;

(ii) barriers to the development of innovative ports for offshore wind development;

(iii) the Federal and State actions, including dredging and construction of supporting infrastructure, needed to facilitate the development of the federally authorized ports and harbors identified under clause (i) to become innovative ports for offshore wind development; and

(iv) recommendations on any further research needed to improve federally authorized ports and harbors in the United States for offshore wind facility development and deployment; and

(B) submit to Congress a report describing the results of the study under subparagraph (A).

(2) **CONSULTATION.**—In carrying out the study under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consult with, at a minimum—

(A) the Governor of each State in which a port or harbor was identified;

(B) affected port authorities;

(C) units of local government; and

(D) relevant experts in engineering, environment, and industry considerations.

SEC. 1208. INNOVATIVE MATERIALS AND ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES REPORT.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that—

(1) describes activities conducted by the Corps of Engineers at centers of expertise,

technology centers, technical centers, research and development centers, and similar facilities and organizations relating to the testing, research, development, identification, and recommended uses for innovative materials and advanced technologies, including construction management technologies, in water resources development projects; and

(2) provides recommendations for types of water resources development projects in which innovative materials and advanced technologies should be used.

SEC. 1209. STUDY AND REPORT ON EXPEDITING CERTAIN WAIVER PROCESSES.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall complete and submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report based on the results of a study on the best options available to the Secretary to implement the waiver process for the non-Federal cost share under section 116 of the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-85; 123 Stat. 2851).

SEC. 1210. REPORT ON DEBRIS REMOVAL.

Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress and make publicly available a report that describes—

(1) the extent to which the Secretary has carried out section 3 of the Act of March 2, 1945 (33 U.S.C. 603a); and

(2) how the Secretary has evaluated potential work to be carried out under that section.

SEC. 1211. CORPS FLOOD POLICY WITHIN URBAN AREAS.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall report to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate on—

(1) flooding within urban floodplains; and

(2) the Federal policy constraints on the ability of the Secretary to address urban flooding, including the regulations under part 238 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act) (including the limitation under section 238.7(a)(1) of that title that allows the Secretary to provide assistance only where the flood discharge of a stream or waterway within an urban area is greater than 800 cubic feet per second for the 10-percent flood).

SEC. 1212. FEASIBILITY STUDIES FOR MITIGATION OF DAMAGE.

Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report that identifies—

(1) feasibility studies that are incomplete as of the date of enactment of this Act for a project for mitigation of damage to an area affected by weather or other events for which—

(A) during the 8-year period ending on the date of enactment of this Act—

(i) the Secretary provided emergency response under section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n); or

(ii) the area received assistance under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.); and

(B) there is significant risk for future similar events (as determined by the Secretary); and

(2) for each feasibility study identified under paragraph (1), impediments to completing the study.

SEC. 1213. APPLICATIONS OF MILITARY LEASING AUTHORITIES.

Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—

(1) complete a study on the application of section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, enhanced use leasing authorities, and other military leasing authorities to the civil works program of the Secretary; and

(2) submit to Congress a report on the results of the study under paragraph (1), including a description of the obstacles that must be removed so that the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works may implement the authorities.

SEC. 1214. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than two years after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on any potential disproportionate and adverse health or environmental effects of programs, policies, and activities of the Corps of Engineers related to water resources development projects on minority communities, low-income communities, rural communities, and Indian Tribes.

(b) **CONSULTATION.**—In preparing the report under subsection (a), the Secretary shall provide public and private meetings with representatives of minority communities, low-income communities, rural communities, and Indian Tribes, as well as representatives of State and local governments, and shall ensure that sufficient meetings are held in different geographic regions of the United States to ensure that a diversity of views are obtained.

(c) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—The report submitted under subsection (a) shall include—

(1) the identification of any disproportionate and adverse health or environmental effects to the communities and Tribes; and

(2) any recommendations of the Secretary for addressing such effects, including recommended changes to the statutory or regulatory authorities of the Corps of Engineers, or changes to the policies or guidance of the Corps of Engineers.

SEC. 1215. TRANSPARENCY IN ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.

Section 1012(b)(1) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2315a(b)(1)) is amended by striking “The Secretary” and inserting “Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018, the Secretary”.

SEC. 1216. ASSESSMENT OF HARBORS AND INLAND HARBORS.

Section 210(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “shall assess the” and inserting “shall assess, and issue a report to Congress on, the”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by adding at the end the following:

“(C) **OPPORTUNITIES FOR BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIALS.**—In carrying out paragraph (1), the Secretary shall identify potential opportunities for the beneficial use of dredged materials obtained from harbors and inland harbors referred to in subsection (a)(2), including projects eligible under section 1122 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1645; 33 U.S.C. 2326 note).”.

SEC. 1217. MAINTENANCE OF HIGH-RISK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.

(a) **ASSESSMENT.**—With respect to each project classified as class III under the Dam Safety Action Classification of the Corps of Engineers for which the Secretary has assumed responsibility for maintenance as of

the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall assess—

(1) the anticipated effects of the Secretary continuing to be responsible for the maintenance of the project during the period that ends 15 years after the date of enactment of this Act, including the benefits to the State and local community; and

(2) the anticipated effects of the Secretary not continuing to be responsible for the maintenance of the project during such 15-year period, including the costs to the State and local community.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 90 days after completion of the assessment under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report summarizing the results of the assessment.

SEC. 1218. NORTH ATLANTIC DIVISION REPORT ON HURRICANE BARRIERS AND HARBORS OF REFUGE.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in consultation with State and local experts in the North Atlantic Division of the Corps of Engineers, shall submit to Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on the durability and resiliency of existing hurricane barriers and harbors of refuge in the North Atlantic Division, giving particular consideration as to how such barriers and harbors will survive and fully serve their planned levels of protection under current, near, and longer term future predicted sea levels, storm surges, and storm strengths.

SEC. 1219. GREAT LAKES COASTAL RESILIENCY STUDY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall carry out a comprehensive assessment of the water resources needs of the Great Lakes System under section 729 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a).

(b) **COOPERATION.**—In carrying out the assessment pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary shall cooperate with stakeholders and coordinate with all ongoing programs and projects of the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative under section 118(c)(7) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1268).

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—The term “Great Lakes System” has the meaning given such term in section 118(a) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1268(a)).

SEC. 1220. McMICKEN DAM, ARIZONA, AND MUDDY RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.

(a) **REPORT.**—The Secretary shall submit a report to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate on the status of—

(1) the project at McMicken Dam, Arizona, authorized by section 304 of the Act of August 7, 1953 (67 Stat. 450); and

(2) the project for flood damage reduction and environmental restoration, Muddy River, Brookline and Boston, Massachusetts, authorized by section 522 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2656).

(b) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include a description of the reasons of the Secretary for deauthorizing the projects described in subsection (a).

SEC. 1221. TABLE ROCK LAKE, ARKANSAS AND MISSOURI.

Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-

ment and Public Works of the Senate a report on the implementation of section 1185(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1680).

SEC. 1222. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS.

(a) **REPORT ON FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS.**—Not later than one year after the date of completion of the forecast-informed reservoir operations research study pilot program at Coyote Valley Dam, Russian River Basin, California (authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 177)), the Secretary shall issue a report to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate on the results of the study pilot program.

(b) **CONTENTS OF REPORT.**—The Secretary shall include in the report issued under subsection (a)—

(1) an analysis of the use of forecast-informed reservoir operations at Coyote Valley Dam, California;

(2) an assessment of the viability of using forecast-informed reservoir operations at other dams owned or operated by the Secretary;

(3) an identification of other dams owned or operated by the Secretary where forecast-informed reservoir operations may assist the Secretary in the optimization of future reservoir operations; and

(4) any additional areas for future study of forecast-informed reservoir operations.

SEC. 1223. CEDAR RIVER, IOWA.

Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall complete and submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report summarizing the path forward and timeline to implement the project for flood risk management at Cedar River, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, authorized by section 7002(2) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1366).

SEC. 1224. OLD RIVER CONTROL STRUCTURE, LOUISIANA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on the structure and operations plan for the Old River control structure authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1954 (68 Stat. 1258), based on the best available science, improved monitoring capabilities, and other factors as determined by the Secretary, including consideration of—

(1) flood control;

(2) navigational conditions;

(3) water supply;

(4) ecosystem restoration and ecological productivity; and

(5) hydroelectric production.

(b) **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.**—In developing the report required by subsection (a), the Secretary shall provide opportunity for public input and stakeholder engagement, including public meetings.

SEC. 1225. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER PROTECTION.

Section 210 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1270) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) **CONSIDERATIONS.**—In carrying out a disposition study with respect to the Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam, including a disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a), the Secretary shall expedite completion of such

study and shall produce a report on the Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam that is separate from any report on any other lock or dam included in such study that includes plans for—

“(1) carrying out modifications to the Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam to—

“(A) preserve and enhance recreational opportunities and the health of the ecosystem; and

“(B) maintain the benefits to the natural ecosystem and human environment;

“(2) a partial disposition of the Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam facility and surrounding real property that preserves any portion of the Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam necessary to maintain flood control; and

“(3) expediting the disposition described in this subsection.

“(e) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary shall accept and expend funds to carry out the study described in subsection (d) that are contributed by a State or a political subdivision of a State under the Act of October 15, 1940 (33 U.S.C. 701h-1).”.

SEC. 1226. MISSOURI RIVER.

(a) IRC REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report regarding the impacts of interception-rearing complex construction on the navigation, flood control, and other authorized purposes set forth in the Missouri River Master Manual, and on the population recovery of the pallid sturgeon.

(b) NO ADDITIONAL IRC CONSTRUCTION.—Until the report under subsection (a) is submitted, no additional interception-rearing complex construction is authorized.

SEC. 1227. LOWER MISSOURI RIVER BANK STABILIZATION AND NAVIGATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on the function and reliability of the Lower Missouri River bank stabilization and navigation project, authorized by the first section of the Act of July 25, 1912 (37 Stat. 219, chapter 253).

(b) CONSIDERATIONS AND COORDINATION.—In developing the report required under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

(1) consider recommended improvements to the project described in such subsection and current and future flood risks; and

(2) coordinate with State and local governments and affected stakeholders.

SEC. 1228. COASTAL TEXAS STUDY.

The Secretary shall expedite the completion of studies for flood damage reduction, hurricane and storm damage reduction, and ecosystem restoration in the coastal areas of Texas that are identified in the interim report due to be published in 2018 that describes the tentatively selected plan developed in accordance with section 4091 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1187).

SEC. 1229. REPORT ON WATER SUPPLY CONTRACT, WRIGHT PATMAN LAKE, TEXAS.

Not later than June 30, 2019, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on the status of the implementation of the water supply contract, Department of the Army, Civil Works Contract No. 29-68-A-0130, at Wright Patman Lake, Texas, that—

(1) describes the implementation of that contract at Wright Patman Lake; and

(2) identifies—

(A) the activities that the Secretary expects to be necessary to complete the execution of the contract;

(B) the expected completion date for each activity identified under subparagraph (A); and

(C) the expected date of completion of the execution of the contract.

Subtitle C—Deauthorizations, Modifications, and Related Provisions

SEC. 1301. DEAUTHORIZATION OF INACTIVE PROJECTS.

(a) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this section are—

(1) to identify \$4,000,000,000 in water resources development projects authorized by Congress that are no longer viable for construction due to—

(A) a lack of local support;

(B) a lack of available Federal or non-Federal resources; or

(C) an authorizing purpose that is no longer relevant or feasible;

(2) to create an expedited and definitive process for Congress to deauthorize water resources development projects that are no longer viable for construction; and

(3) to allow the continued authorization of water resources development projects that are viable for construction.

(b) INTERIM DEAUTHORIZATION LIST.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall develop an interim deauthorization list that identifies—

(A) each water resources development project, or separable element of a project, authorized for construction before November 8, 2007, for which—

(i) planning, design, or construction was not initiated before the date of enactment of this Act; or

(ii) planning, design, or construction was initiated before the date of enactment of this Act, but for which no funds, Federal or non-Federal, were obligated for planning, design, or construction of the project or separable element of the project during the current fiscal year or any of the 6 preceding fiscal years;

(B) each project or separable element of a project identified and included on a list to Congress for deauthorization pursuant to section 1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a(b)(2)); and

(C) any project or separable element of a project for which the non-Federal sponsor of such project or separable element submits a request for inclusion on the list.

(2) PUBLIC COMMENT AND CONSULTATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall solicit comments from the public and the Governors of each applicable State on the interim deauthorization list developed under paragraph (1).

(B) COMMENT PERIOD.—The public comment period shall be 90 days.

(3) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS; PUBLICATION.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the close of the comment period under paragraph (2), the Secretary shall—

(A) submit a revised interim deauthorization list to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives; and

(B) publish the revised interim deauthorization list in the Federal Register.

(c) FINAL DEAUTHORIZATION LIST.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall develop a final deauthorization list of water resources development projects, or separable elements of projects, from the revised in-

terim deauthorization list described in subsection (b)(3).

(2) DEAUTHORIZATION AMOUNT.—

(A) PROPOSED FINAL LIST.—The Secretary shall prepare a proposed final deauthorization list of projects and separable elements of projects that have, in the aggregate, an estimated Federal cost to complete that is at least \$4,000,000,000.

(B) DETERMINATION OF FEDERAL COST TO COMPLETE.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the Federal cost to complete shall take into account any allowances authorized by section 902 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280), as applied to the most recent project schedule and cost estimate.

(3) IDENTIFICATION OF PROJECTS.—

(A) SEQUENCING OF PROJECTS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall identify projects and separable elements of projects for inclusion on the proposed final deauthorization list according to the order in which the projects and separable elements of the projects were authorized, beginning with the earliest authorized projects and separable elements of projects and ending with the latest project or separable element of a project necessary to meet the aggregate amount under paragraph (2)(A).

(ii) FACTORS TO CONSIDER.—The Secretary may identify projects and separable elements of projects in an order other than that established by clause (i) if the Secretary determines, on a case-by-case basis, that a project or separable element of a project is critical for interests of the United States, based on the possible impact of the project or separable element of the project on public health and safety, the national economy, or the environment.

(iii) CONSIDERATION OF PUBLIC COMMENTS.—In making determinations under clause (ii), the Secretary shall consider any comments received under subsection (b)(2).

(B) APPENDIX.—The Secretary shall include as part of the proposed final deauthorization list an appendix that—

(i) identifies each project or separable element of a project on the interim deauthorization list developed under subsection (b) that is not included on the proposed final deauthorization list; and

(ii) describes the reasons why the project or separable element is not included on the proposed final list.

(4) PUBLIC COMMENT AND CONSULTATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall solicit comments from the public and the Governor of each applicable State on the proposed final deauthorization list and appendix developed under paragraphs (2) and (3).

(B) COMMENT PERIOD.—The public comment period shall be 90 days.

(5) SUBMISSION OF FINAL LIST TO CONGRESS; PUBLICATION.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the close of the comment period under paragraph (4), the Secretary shall—

(A) submit a final deauthorization list and an appendix to the final deauthorization list in a report to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives; and

(B) publish the final deauthorization list and the appendix to the final deauthorization list in the Federal Register.

(d) DEAUTHORIZATION; CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—After the expiration of the 180-day period beginning on the date of submission of the final deauthorization list and appendix under subsection (c), a project or separable element of a project identified in the final deauthorization list is hereby deauthorized, unless Congress passes a joint

resolution disapproving the final deauthorization list prior to the end of such period.

(2) NON-FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—A project or separable element of a project identified in the final deauthorization list under subsection (c) shall not be deauthorized under this subsection if, before the expiration of the 180-day period referred to in paragraph (1), the non-Federal interest for the project or separable element of the project provides sufficient funds to complete the project or separable element of the project.

(B) TREATMENT OF PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), each project and separable element of a project identified in the final deauthorization list shall be treated as deauthorized for purposes of the aggregate deauthorization amount specified in subsection (c)(2)(A).

(3) PROJECTS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX.—A project or separable element of a project identified in the appendix to the final deauthorization list shall remain subject to future deauthorization by Congress.

(e) SPECIAL RULE FOR PROJECTS RECEIVING FUNDS FOR POST-AUTHORIZATION STUDY.—A project or separable element of a project may not be identified on the interim deauthorization list developed under subsection (b), or the final deauthorization list developed under subsection (c), if the project or separable element received funding for a post-authorization study during the current fiscal year or any of the 6 preceding fiscal years.

(f) GENERAL PROVISIONS.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the following definitions apply:

(A) POST-AUTHORIZATION STUDY.—The term “post-authorization study” means—

(i) a feasibility report developed under section 905 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282);

(ii) a feasibility study, as defined in section 105(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215(d)); or

(iii) a review conducted under section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a), including an initial appraisal that—

(I) demonstrates a Federal interest; and

(II) requires additional analysis for the project or separable element.

(B) WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECT.—The term “water resources development project” includes an environmental infrastructure assistance project or program of the Corps of Engineers.

(2) TREATMENT OF PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—For purposes of this section, if an authorized water resources development project or separable element of the project has been modified by an Act of Congress, the date of the authorization of the project or separable element shall be deemed to be the date of the most recent modification.

SEC. 1302. BACKLOG PREVENTION.

(a) PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—A water resources development project authorized for construction by this Act shall not be authorized after the last day of the 10-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act unless—

(A) funds have been obligated for construction of, or a post-authorization study for, such project or such separable element during such period; or

(B) a subsequent Act of Congress modifies the authorization contained in this Act.

(2) IDENTIFICATION OF PROJECTS.—Not later than 60 days after the expiration of the 10-year period described in paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report that identifies the projects deauthorized under paragraph (1).

(b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 60 days after the expiration of the 12-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, and make available to the public, a report that contains—

(1) a list of any water resources development projects authorized by this Act for which construction has not been completed;

(2) a description of the reasons each project was not completed;

(3) a schedule for the completion of the projects based on expected levels of appropriations;

(4) a 5-year and 10-year projection of construction backlog; and

(5) any recommendations to Congress regarding how to mitigate the backlog.

SEC. 1303. PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.

(a) CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.—Congress finds that the project modifications described in this section are in accordance with the reports submitted to Congress by the Secretary under section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d), titled “Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development”, or have otherwise been reviewed by Congress.

(b) MODIFICATIONS.—

(1) HARBOR/SOUTH BAY, CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(43) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 337; 114 Stat. 2763A–220) is amended by striking “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$70,000,000”.

(2) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(25) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 336; 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 117 Stat. 1838; 130 Stat. 1677) is amended by striking “\$60,000,000” and inserting “\$89,550,000”.

SEC. 1304. LYTLE AND CAJON CREEKS, CALIFORNIA.

That portion of the channel improvement project, Lytle and Cajon Creeks, California, authorized to be carried out as a part of the project for the Santa Ana River Basin, California, by the Act of December 22, 1944 (Chapter 665; 58 Stat. 900) that consists of five earth-filled groins commonly referred to as “the Riverside Avenue groins” is no longer authorized as a Federal project beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1305. YUBA RIVER BASIN, CALIFORNIA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood damage reduction, Yuba River Basin, California, authorized by section 101(a)(10) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 275) is modified to allow a non-Federal interest to construct a new levee to connect the existing levee with high ground.

(b) PROJECT DESCRIPTION.—The levee to be constructed shall tie into the existing levee at a point N2186189.2438, E6703908.8657, thence running east and south along a path to be determined to a point N2187849.4328, E6719262.0164.

(c) COOPERATION AGREEMENT.—The Secretary shall execute a conforming amendment to the Memorandum of Understanding Respecting the Sacramento River Flood Control Project with the State of California dated November 30, 1953, that is limited to changing the description of the project to reflect the modification.

(d) NO FEDERAL COST.—

(1) REVIEW COSTS.—Before construction of the levee described in subsection (b), the Secretary may accept and expend funds received from a non-Federal interest to review the planning, engineering, and design of the levee described in subsection (b) to ensure that such planning, engineering, and design complies with Federal standards.

(2) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost of constructing the levee shall be 100 percent.

SEC. 1306. BRIDGEPORT HARBOR, CONNECTICUT.

That portion of the project for navigation, Bridgeport Harbor, Connecticut, authorized by the Act of June 18, 1878 (20 Stat. 158), and modified by the Act of August 11, 1888 (25 Stat. 401), the Act of March 3, 1899 (30 Stat. 1122), the Act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 633), and the Act of July 3, 1930 (46 Stat. 919), and lying upstream of a line commencing at point N627942.09, E879709.18 thence running southwesterly about 125 feet to a point N627832.03, E879649.91 is no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1307. DELAWARE RIVER NAVIGATION PROJECT.

Section 1131(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4246) is amended by striking “ten feet” and inserting “35 feet”.

SEC. 1308. COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PLAN, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, EVERGLADES AGRICULTURAL AREA, FLORIDA.

(a) AUTHORIZATION.—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary is authorized to carry out the project for ecosystem restoration, Central and Southern Florida, Everglades Agricultural Area, Florida, in accordance with section 601 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680), as recommended in the addendum to the Central Everglades Planning Project Post Authorization Change Report, Feasibility Study and Draft Environmental Impact Statement prepared by the South Florida Water Management District and dated May 2018, with such modifications as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(b) REQUIREMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The project authorized by subsection (a) may be constructed only after the Secretary prepares a report that addresses the concerns, recommendations, and conditions identified by the Secretary in the review assessment titled “Review Assessment of South Florida Water Management District’s Central Everglades Planning Project, Section 203 Post Authorization Change Report, Integrated Feasibility Study and DRAFT Environmental Impact Statement (March 2018, Amended May 2018)” and dated May 2018.

(2) EXPEDITED COMPLETION.—The Secretary shall expedite the completion of the report under paragraph (1) and shall complete such report not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this section.

(c) CONSULTATION.—In reviewing the report identified in subsection (a), and completing the report identified in subsection (b), the Secretary shall consult with the South Florida Water Management District on any project modifications.

(d) CONSIDERATION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to delay the design, construction, and implementation of components and features of the project for ecosystem restoration, Central Everglades, authorized by section 1401(4) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1713), that are not directly affected by the project authorized by subsection (a).

SEC. 1309. KISSIMMEE RIVER RESTORATION, FLORIDA.

The Secretary may credit work performed or to be performed by the non-Federal sponsor of the project for ecosystem restoration, Kissimmee River, Florida, authorized by section 101(8) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4802), as an in-kind contribution under section 221(a)(4) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(a)(4)), in accordance with the report

of the Director of Civil Works relating to the Central and Southern Florida Project, Kissimmee River Restoration Project, dated April 27, 2018, subject to the availability of appropriations for any payments due, if the Secretary determines that the work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of subchapter 4 of chapter 31, and chapter 37, of title 40, United States Code.

SEC. 1310. LEVEE L-212, FOUR RIVER BASIN, OCKLAWAHA RIVER, FLORIDA.

The portions of the project for flood control and other purposes, Four River Basins, Florida, authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1183), consisting of levee L-212 along the Ocklawaha River, Florida, are no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1311. GREEN RIVER AND BARREN RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KENTUCKY.

Section 1315 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1698) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—
(A) in paragraph (3)—
(i) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively, and adjusting the margins accordingly;

(ii) in the matter preceding clause (i) (as so redesignated), by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) USE OF FUNDS.—If the Secretary determines that removal of Lock and Dam 5 or a portion of Lock and Dam 5 is necessary before the conveyance under subparagraph (A), the Secretary—

“(i) shall proceed with that removal; and

“(ii) to carry out that removal—

“(I) may use appropriated funds or accept and use funds contributed by entities described in that subparagraph; and

“(II) may work with entities described in that subparagraph.”; and

(B) in paragraph (5)—

(i) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively, and adjusting the margins accordingly;

(ii) in the matter preceding clause (i) (as so redesignated), by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) USE OF FUNDS.—If the Secretary determines that removal of Lock and Dam 1 or a portion of Lock and Dam 1 is necessary before the conveyance under subparagraph (A), the Secretary—

“(i) shall proceed with that removal; and

“(ii) to carry out that removal—

“(I) may use appropriated funds or accept and use funds contributed by entities described in that subparagraph; and

“(II) may work with entities described in that subparagraph.”; and

(2) in subsection (c), by adding at the end the following:

“(5) REMOVAL COSTS.—In carrying out this section, if the Secretary determines that removal of a Lock and Dam (or a portion of a Lock and Dam) described in this section is necessary, any Federal costs of that removal shall be subject to the availability of appropriations.”.

SEC. 1312. CAPE ARUNDEL DISPOSAL SITE, MAINE.

The Cape Arundel Disposal Site selected by the Department of the Army as an alternative dredged material disposal site under section 103(b) of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (33 U.S.C. 1413(b)) shall remain available for use until December 31, 2021.

SEC. 1313. PENOBSCOT RIVER, MAINE.

Beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the project for navigation, Penobscot

River, Maine, authorized by the River and Harbor Appropriations Acts of July 5, 1884 (23 Stat. 133), August 11, 1888 (25 Stat. 408), July 31, 1892 (27 Stat. 96), and March 2, 1907 (Public Law 59-168; 34 Stat. 1074), is modified as follows:

(1) The portion of the 14-foot deep channel located between Bangor and Brewer, Maine, approximately 135,784 square feet in area, starting at a point with coordinates N410451.89, E913370.08, thence running N34°05'52.6"E about 815.4 feet to a point with coordinates N411127.11, E913827.20, thence running N52°41'55.33"E about 143.06 feet to a point with coordinates N411213.81, E913941.00, thence running N27°04'01"E about 1068.73 feet to a point with coordinates N412165.48, E914427.30, thence running S62°55'59.79"E about 450 feet to a point with coordinates N411960.72, E914828.01, thence running S27°04'01"W about 246.99 feet to a point with coordinates N411740.78, E914715.62, thence running N43°45'41.8"W about 444.66 feet to a point with coordinates N412061.92, E914408.07, thence running S27°04'01"W about 946.62 feet to a point with coordinates N411218.97, E913977.33, thence running S38°21'58.9"W about 978.35 feet to the point of origin, is no longer authorized.

(2) The portion of the 14-foot deep channel, approximately 121,875 square feet in area, starting at a point with coordinates N410670.99, E914168.96, thence running N62°55'59"W about 100 feet to a point with coordinates N410716.49, E914079.92, thence running N27°04'01"E about 1236.13 feet to a point with coordinates N411817.24, E914642.40, thence running S43°45'41.8"E about 105.87 feet to a point with coordinates N411740.78, E914715.62, thence running S27°04'01"W about 1201.37 feet to the point of origin, is redesignated as a 100-foot wide and 14-foot deep anchorage area.

(3) The portion of the 14-foot deep channel, approximately 304,058 square feet in area, starting at a point with coordinates N410761.99, E913990.87, thence running N62°55'59"W about 300.08 feet to a point with coordinates N410898.54, E913723.66, thence running N38°21'58.9"E about 408.69 feet to a point with coordinates N411218.97, E913977.33, thence running N27°04'01"E about 946.62 feet to a point with coordinates N412061.92, E914408.07, thence running S43°45'41.8"E about 232.92 feet to a point with coordinates N411893.70, E914569.17, thence running S27°04'01"W about 1270.9 feet to the point of origin, is redesignated as a 14-foot deep anchorage area of a width varying from 220 to 300.08 feet.

SEC. 1314. BOSTON HARBOR RESERVED CHANNEL DEAUTHORIZATIONS.

(a) 40-FOOT RESERVED CHANNEL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The portions of the project for navigation, Boston Harbor, Massachusetts, authorized by the first section of the Act of October 17, 1940 (54 Stat. 1198, chapter 895), and modified by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 297), section 101(a)(13) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4607), and section 7002(1) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1365), described in paragraph (2) are no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) AREAS DESCRIBED.—

(A) FIRST AREA.—The first areas described in this paragraph are—

(i) beginning at a point N2950154.45, E785995.64;

(ii) running southwesterly about 1451.63 feet to a point N2950113.83, E784544.58;

(iii) running southeasterly about 54.00 feet to a point N2950059.85, E784546.09;

(iv) running southwesterly about 1335.82 feet to a point N2950022.48, E783210.79;

(v) running northwesterly about 83.00 feet to a point N2950105.44, E783208.47;

(vi) running northeasterly about 2787.45 feet to a point N2950183.44, E785994.83; and

(vii) running southeasterly about 29.00 feet to the point described in clause (i).

(B) SECOND AREA.—The second areas described in this paragraph are—

(i) beginning at a point N2950502.86, E785540.84;

(ii) running northeasterly about 46.11 feet to a point N2950504.16, E785586.94;

(iii) running southwesterly about 25.67 feet to a point N2950480.84, E785576.18;

(iv) running southwesterly to a point N2950414.32, E783199.83;

(v) running northwesterly about 8.00 feet to a point N2950422.32, E783199.60;

(vi) running northeasterly about 2342.58 feet to a point N2950487.87, E785541.26; and

(vii) running northwesterly about 15.00 feet to the point described in clause (i).

(b) 35-FOOT RESERVED CHANNEL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The portions of the project for navigation, Boston Harbor, Massachusetts, authorized by the first section of the Act of October 17, 1940 (54 Stat. 1198, chapter 895), and modified by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 297), described in paragraph (2) are no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) AREAS DESCRIBED.—

(A) FIRST AREA.—The first areas described in this paragraph are—

(i) beginning at a point N2950143.44, E787532.14;

(ii) running southeasterly about 22.21 feet to a point N2950128.91, E787548.93;

(iii) running southwesterly about 4,339.42 feet to a point N2950007.48, E783211.21;

(iv) running northwesterly about 15.00 feet to a point N2950022.48, E783210.79; and

(v) running northeasterly about 4,323.05 feet to the point described in clause (i).

(B) SECOND AREA.—The second areas described in this paragraph are—

(i) beginning at a point N2950502.86, E785540.84;

(ii) running southeasterly about 15.00 feet to a point N2950487.87, E785541.26;

(iii) running southwesterly about 2342.58 feet to a point N2950422.32, E783199.60;

(iv) running southeasterly about 8.00 feet to a point N2950414.32, E783199.83;

(v) running southwesterly about 1339.12 feet to a point N2950376.85, E781861.23;

(vi) running northwesterly about 23.00 feet to a point N2950399.84, E781860.59; and

(vii) running northeasterly about 3681.70 feet to the point described in clause (i).

SEC. 1315. CORPS OF ENGINEERS BRIDGE REPAIR PROGRAM FOR NEW ENGLAND EVACUATION ROUTES.

Subject to the availability of appropriations, the Secretary may repair or replace, as necessary, any bridge owned and operated by the Secretary that is—

(1) located in any of the States of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, or Vermont; and

(2) necessary for evacuation during an extreme weather event, as determined by the Secretary.

SEC. 1316. PLYMOUTH HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.

The Secretary shall expedite and complete the dredging of Plymouth Harbor, Massachusetts, as authorized by the Act of March 4, 1913 (37 Stat. 802, chapter 144) and the Act of September 22, 1922 (42 Stat. 1038, chapter 427).

SEC. 1317. PORTSMOUTH HARBOR AND PISCATAQUA RIVER.

The Secretary shall expedite the project for navigation for Portsmouth Harbor and the Piscataqua River authorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1173).

SEC. 1318. MISSOURI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES AT KANSAS CITIES, MISSOURI AND KANSAS.

The Secretary shall align the schedules of, and maximize complimentary efforts, minimize duplicative practices, and ensure coordination and information sharing with respect to—

(1) the project for flood damage reduction, Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, and North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas, authorized by section 1001(28) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1054); and

(2) the project for flood risk management, Armourdale and Central Industrial District Levee Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Citys, Missouri and Kansas, authorized by section 1401(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1710).

SEC. 1319. HAMPTON HARBOR, NEW HAMPSHIRE, NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT.

In carrying out the project for navigation, Hampton Harbor, New Hampshire, under section 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), the Secretary shall use all existing authorities of the Secretary to mitigate severe shoaling.

SEC. 1320. PASSAIC RIVER FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHANNEL, NEW JERSEY.

(a) **DEFINITION OF PASSAIC RIVER NAVIGATION PROJECT.**—In this section, the term “Passaic River navigation project” means the project for the Passaic River Federal navigation channel, New Jersey, described in the document of the New York District of the Corps of Engineers numbered 207075, entitled “Lower Passaic River Commercial Navigation Analysis”, and dated March 2007, as revised in December 2008 and July 2010.

(b) **DEAUTHORIZATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The portion of the Passaic River navigation project described in paragraph (2) is deauthorized.

(2) **DESCRIPTION OF PORTION.**—The portion of the Passaic River navigation project referred to in paragraph (1) is the portion from river mile 1.7 to river mile 15.4, as bounded by—

(A) the coordinates of—

(i) West Longitude 074 10.33047° W;

(ii) North Latitude 40 51.99988° N;

(iii) East Longitude 074 06.05923° W; and

(iv) South Latitude 40 43.2217° N; and

(B) the New Jersey State Plane (US Survey Feet, NAD-83), as follows: Upper Left x731 592941.27 y731 739665.34; Upper Right x731 602477.94 y731 740791.62; Lower Left x731 582974.17 y731 692561.62; Lower Right x731 598345.10 y731 691219.09.

(c) **MODIFICATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The depth of the portion of the Passaic River navigation project described in paragraph (2) is modified from 30 feet to 20 feet (using the Mean Lower Low Water datum).

(2) **DESCRIPTION OF PORTION.**—The portion of the Passaic River navigation project referred to in paragraph (1) is the portion from river mile 0.6 to river mile 1.7, as bounded by—

(A) the coordinates of—

(i) West Longitude 074 07.43471° W;

(ii) North Latitude 40 44.32682° N;

(iii) East Longitude 074 06.61586° W; and

(iv) South Latitude 40 42.39342° N; and

(B) the New Jersey State Plane (US Survey Feet, NAD-83), as follows: Upper Left x731 597440.36 y731 691333.92; Upper Right x731 598345.10 y731 691219.09; Lower Left x731 596416.01 y731 685597.99; Lower Right x731 597351.18 y731 685596.08.

SEC. 1321. FARGO-MOORHEAD METROPOLITAN AREA DIVERSION PROJECT, NORTH DAKOTA.

(a) **EXEMPTION.**—Subject to subsections (b) and (c), notwithstanding section 404(b)(2)(B)(ii) of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170c(b)(2)(B)(ii)), and any regulations promulgated to carry out that section, beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, any property in the State of North Dakota that was acquired through hazard mitigation assistance provided under section 203 of that Act (42 U.S.C. 5133), section 404 of that Act (42 U.S.C. 5170c), or section 1366 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4104c), that was subject to any open space deed restriction is exempt from those restrictions to the extent necessary to complete the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area Diversion Project authorized by section 7002(2) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1366).

(b) **CONDITIONS.**—As a condition of the exemption under subsection (a)—

(1) no new or additional structure unrelated to the Project may be erected on the property unless the new or additional structure is in compliance with section 404(b)(2)(B)(ii) of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170c(b)(2)(B)(ii)); and

(2) any subsequent use of the land on the property that is unrelated to the Project shall comply with that section.

(c) **DISASTER ASSISTANCE PROHIBITED.**—After the date of enactment of this Act, no disaster assistance from any Federal source may be provided with respect to any improvements made on the property referred to in subsection (a).

(d) **SAVINGS PROVISION.**—Nothing in this section affects the responsibility of any entity to comply with all other applicable laws (including regulations) with respect to the properties described in subsection (a).

SEC. 1322. CLATSOP COUNTY, OREGON.

The portions of the project for raising and improving existing levees of Clatsop County Diking District No. 13, in Clatsop County, Oregon, authorized by section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (49 Stat. 1590), that are referred to as Christensen No. 1 Dike No. 42 and Christensen No. 2 Levee No. 43 are no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1323. SVENSEN ISLAND, OREGON.

The project for flood risk management, Svensen Island, Oregon, authorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 180), is no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1324. WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES PROJECT, TENNESSEE.

The unconstructed portions of the West Tennessee tributaries project along the Obion and Forked Deer Rivers, Tennessee, authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (62 Stat. 1178), and modified by section 207 of the Flood Control Act of 1966 (80 Stat. 1423), section 3(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 14), and section 183 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2940), are no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1325. PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.

Section 544(f) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-541; 114 Stat. 2675) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$60,000,000”; and

(2) by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

SEC. 1326. MILWAUKEE HARBOR, MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN.

The portion of the project for navigation, Milwaukee Harbor, Milwaukee, Wisconsin,

authorized by the first section of the Act of March 3, 1843 (5 Stat. 619; chapter 85), consisting of the navigation channel within the Menomonee River that extends from the 16th Street Bridge upstream to the upper limit of the authorized navigation channel and described as follows is no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act:

(1) Beginning at a point in the channel just downstream of the 16th Street Bridge, N383219.703, E2521152.527.

(2) Thence running westerly along the channel about 2,530.2 feet to a point, N383161.314, E2518620.712.

(3) Thence running westerly by southwest-erly along the channel about 591.7 feet to a point at the upstream limit of the existing project, N383080.126, E2518036.371.

(4) Thence running northerly along the up-stream limit of the existing project about 80.5 feet to a point, N383159.359, E2518025.363.

(5) Thence running easterly by northeast-erly along the channel about 551.2 feet to a point, N383235.185, E2518571.108.

(6) Thence running easterly along the channel about 2,578.9 feet to a point, N383294.677, E2521150.798.

(7) Thence running southerly across the channel about 74.3 feet to the point of origin.

SEC. 1327. PROJECT COMPLETION FOR DISASTER AREAS.

The Secretary shall expeditiously carry out any project for flood risk management or hurricane and storm damage risk reduction authorized as of the date of enactment of this Act to be carried out by the Secretary in Texas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, South Carolina, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or the United States Virgin Islands.

SEC. 1328. FEDERAL ASSISTANCE.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In accordance with the requirements of subsection (b), the Secretary is authorized to provide assistance for the operation and maintenance of a flood risk reduction project in the Red River Basin of the North that was constructed, prior to the date of enactment of this Act, under section 5(a) of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n(a)).

(b) **CONDITION.**—The Secretary may provide the assistance authorized by subsection (a) for a project that, as determined by the Secretary, becomes permanent due to the extended presence of assistance from the Secretary under section 5(a) of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n(a)).

(c) **TERMINATION.**—The authority to provide assistance under this section terminates on the date that is four years after the date of enactment of this section.

SEC. 1329. EXPEDITED INITIATION.

Section 1322(b)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1707) is amended, in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting “or, in a case in which a general reevaluation report for the project is required, if such report has been submitted for approval,” after “completed report.”.

SEC. 1330. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATION AND STUDY EXTENSIONS.

(a) **PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**—Section 6003(a) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 579c(a)) is amended—

(1) by striking “7-year period” each place it appears and inserting “10-year period”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) **CALCULATION.**—In calculating the time period under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall not include any period of time during which the project is being reviewed and awaiting determination by the Secretary to implement a locally preferred plan for that project under section 1036(a).

“(4) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary shall not deauthorize any project during the period described in paragraph (3).”.

(b) STUDY EXTENSIONS.—Section 1001(d)(4) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c(d)(4)) is amended by striking “7 years” and inserting “10 years”.

SEC. 1331. CONVEYANCES.

(a) CHEATHAM COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—

(1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary may convey to Cheatham County, Tennessee (in this subsection referred to as the “Grantee”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the real property in Cheatham County, Tennessee, consisting of approximately 9.19 acres, identified as portions of tracts E-514-1, E-514-2, E-518-1, E-518-2, E-519-1, E-537-1, and E-538, all being part of the Cheatham Lock and Dam project at CRM 158.5, including any improvements thereon.

(2) DEED.—The Secretary shall convey the property under this subsection by quitclaim deed under such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines appropriate to protect the interests of the United States, to include retaining the right to inundate with water any land transferred under this subsection.

(3) CONSIDERATION.—The Grantee shall pay to the Secretary an amount that is not less than the fair market value of the land conveyed under this subsection, as determined by the Secretary.

(4) SUBJECT TO EXISTING EASEMENTS AND OTHER INTERESTS.—The conveyance of property under this section shall be subject to all existing easements, rights-of-way, and leases that are in effect as of the date of the conveyance.

(b) NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.—

(1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary may convey, without consideration, to the City of Nashville, Tennessee (in this subsection referred to as the “City”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the real property covered by Lease No. DACW62-1-84-149, including any improvements thereon, at the Riverfront Park Recreational Development, consisting of approximately 5 acres, subject to the right of the Secretary to retain any required easements in the property.

(2) CONVEYANCE AGREEMENT.—The Secretary shall convey by quitclaim the real property described in paragraph (1) under the terms and conditions mutually satisfactory to the Secretary and the City. The deed shall provide that in the event that the City, its successors, or assigns cease to maintain improvements for recreation included in the conveyance or otherwise utilize the real property conveyed for purposes other than recreation and compatible flood risk management, the City, its successor, or assigns shall repay to the United States the Federal share of the cost of constructing the improvements for recreation under the agreement between the United States and the City dated December 8, 1981, increased as necessary to account for inflation.

(c) LOCKS AND DAMS 1 THROUGH 4, KENTUCKY RIVER, KENTUCKY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, commercial navigation at Locks and Dams 1 through 4, Kentucky River, Kentucky, shall no longer be authorized, and the land and improvements associated with the locks and dams shall be disposed of consistent with this subsection and in accordance with the report of the Director of Civil Works entitled “Kentucky River Locks and Dams 1, 2, 3, and 4, Disposition Study and Integrated Environmental Assessment” and dated April 20, 2018.

(2) DISPOSITION.—The Secretary shall convey to the State of Kentucky (referred to in

this section as the “State”), for the use and benefit of the Kentucky River Authority, all right, title, and interest of the United States, together with any improvements on the land, including improvements located in the Kentucky River, in and to—

(A) Lock and Dam 1, located in Carroll County, Kentucky;

(B) Lock and Dam 2, located in Owen and Henry counties, Kentucky;

(C) Lock and Dam 3, located in Owen and Henry counties, Kentucky; and

(D) Lock and Dam 4, located in Franklin County, Kentucky.

(3) CONDITIONS.—

(A) QUITCLAIM DEED.—The Secretary shall convey the property described in paragraph (2) by quitclaim deed to such State under such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(B) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary shall be responsible for all administrative costs associated with a conveyance under this subsection, including the costs of any surveys the Secretary determines to be necessary.

(C) IMPROVEMENTS PROHIBITED.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may not improve the locks and dams and land and improvements associated with the locks and dams described in this subsection on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

(ii) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in subparagraph (A) prohibits the State from improving the locks and dams and the land and improvements associated with the locks and dams described in this subsection on or after the date of conveyance under this subsection.

(4) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—If the State does not accept the conveyance under this subsection of the land and improvements associated with the locks and dams described in this subsection, the Secretary may dispose of the land and improvements under subchapter III of chapter 5 of title 40, United States Code.

(d) BAINBRIDGE, GEORGIA.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—On the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall convey to the City of Bainbridge, Georgia, without consideration and subject to subsection (b), all right, title, and interest in and to real property described in subsection (c).

(2) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The conveyance by the United States under this subsection shall be subject to—

(i) the condition that the City of Bainbridge agrees to operate, maintain, and manage the property for fish and wildlife, recreation, and environmental purposes at no cost or expense to the United States; and

(ii) such other terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to be in the interest of the United States.

(B) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines that the real property conveyed under paragraph (1) ceases to be held in public ownership or the city ceases to operate, maintain, and manage the real property in accordance with this subsection, all right, title, and interest in and to the property shall revert to the United States, at the option of the Secretary.

(3) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed is composed of the following 3 parcels of land:

(A) PARCEL 1.—All that tract or parcel of land lying and being in Land Lots 226, and 228, Fifteenth Land District, and Land Lots 319, 320, 321, 322, 323 and 358, Twentieth Land District, Decatur County, Georgia, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a concrete monument stamped “358” which is 950 feet, more or less, North of the South line and 600 feet, more or

less, West of the East line of said Land Lot 358, at a corner of a tract of land owned by the United States of America at Lake Seminole and at plane coordinate position North 318,698.72 feet and East 360,033.38 feet based on Transverse Mercator Projection, Georgia West Zone;

Thence Due West 75 feet, more or less, to the contour at elevation 77.0 feet above Mean Sea Level;

Thence Northeasterly along the meanders of said 77.0 foot contour a distance of 20,600 feet, more or less, to the mouth of the entrance channel to the arena and boat basin;

Thence N 75° E 150 feet, more or less, to another point on said 77.0 foot contour;

Thence Northeasterly along the meanders of said 77.0 foot contour a distance of 3,300 feet, more or less, to a point which is on the boundary of said United States tract and on the boundary of a tract of land now or formerly owned by the City of Bainbridge, Georgia;

Thence along the boundary of said United States tract the following courses:

S 10° 52' E along the boundary of said City of Bainbridge tract 830 feet, more or less, to a corner of said tract;

S 89° 45' E along the boundary of said City of Bainbridge tract 700 feet, more or less, to a concrete monument stamped “J1A”, coordinates of said monument being North 328,902.34 feet and East 369,302.33 feet;

S 22° 25' W 62 feet, more or less, to a corner of another tract of land owned by the City of Bainbridge, Georgia;

S 88° 07' W along the boundary of said City of Bainbridge tract 350 feet, more or less to a corner of said tract;

N 84° 00' W along the boundary of said City of Bainbridge tract 100.5 feet to a corner said tract;

S 88° 07' W along the boundary of said City of Bainbridge tract 300.0 feet to a corner of said tract;

S 14° 16' W along boundary of said City of Bainbridge tract 89.3 feet to a corner of said tract;

Southwesterly along the boundary of said City of Bainbridge tract which is along a curve to the right with a radius of 684.69 feet an arc distance of 361.8 feet to a corner of said tract;

S 30° 00' W along the boundary of said City of Bainbridge tract 294.0 feet to a corner of said tract;

S 10° 27' W along the boundary of said City of Bainbridge tract 385.0 feet to a corner of said tract;

N 73° 31' W 38 feet, more or less, to a concrete monument;

S 16° 25' W 563.7 feet to a concrete monument stamped “J7A”;

S 68° 28' W 719.5 feet to a concrete monument stamped “J9A”;

S 68° 28' W 831.3 feet to a concrete monument stamped “J12A”;

S 89° 39' E 746.7 feet to a concrete monument stamped “J11A”;

S 01° 22' W 80.0 feet to a concrete monument stamped “J11B”;

N 89° 39' W 980.9 feet to a concrete monument stamped “J13A”;

S 01° 21' W 560.0 feet to a concrete monument stamped “J15A”;

S 37° 14' W 1,213.0 feet;

N 52° 46' W 600.0 feet;

S 37° 14' W 1,000.0 feet;

S 52° 46' E 600.0 feet;

S 37° 14' W 117.0 feet to a concrete monument stamped “320/319”;

S 37° 13' W 1,403.8 feet to a concrete monument stamped “322/319”;

S 37° 13' W 2,771.4 feet to a concrete monument stamped “322/323”;

S 37° 13' W 1,459.2 feet;

N 89° 04' W 578.9 feet;

S 53° 42' W 367.7 feet;

S 43° 42' W 315.3 feet;

S 26° 13' W 654.9 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 550.00 acres, more or less, and being a part of Tracts L-1105 and L-1106 of Lake Seminole.

(B) PARCEL 2.—All that tract or parcel of land lying and being in Land Lot 226, Fifteenth Land District, Decatur County, Georgia, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point which is on the East right-of-way line of the Seaboard Airline Railroad, 215 feet North of the South end of the trestle over the Flint River, and at a corner of a tract of land owned by the United States of America at Lake Seminole;

Thence Southeasterly along the boundary of said United States tract which is along a curve to the right a distance of 485 feet, more or less, to a point which is 340 feet, more or less, S 67° 00' E from the South end of said trestle, and at a corner of said United States tract;

Thence N 70° 00' E along the boundary of said United States tract 60.0 feet to a corner of said tract;

Thence Northerly along the boundary of said United States tract which is along a curve to the right a distance of 525 feet, more or less, to a corner of said tract;

Thence S 05° 00' W along the boundary of said United States tract 500.0 feet to a corner of said tract;

Thence Due West along the boundary of said United States tract 370 feet, more or less, to a point which is on the East right-of-way line of said railroad and at a corner of said United States tract;

Thence N 13° 30' W along the boundary of said United States tract which is along the East right-of-way line of said railroad a distance of 310 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 3.67 acres, more or less, and being all of Tract L-1124 of Lake Seminole.

Parcels 1 and 2 contain in the aggregate 553.67 acres, more or less.

(C) PARCEL 3.—All that tract or panel of land lying and being in Land Lot 225, Fifteenth Land District, Decatur County, Georgia, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at an iron marker designated "225/226'", which is on the South line and 500 feet, more or less, West of the Southeast corner of said Land Lot 225 at a corner of a tract of land owned by the United States of America at Lake Seminole and at plane coordinate position North 330,475.82 feet and East 370,429.36 feet, based on Transverse Mercator Projection, Georgia West Zone;

Thence Due West along the boundary of said United States tract a distance of 53.0 feet to a monument stamped "225/226-A";

Thence continue Due West along the boundary of said United States tract a distance of 56 feet, more or less, to a point on the East bank of the Flint River;

Thence Northerly, upstream, along the meanders of the East bank of said river a distance of 1,200 feet, more or less, to a point which is on the Southern right-of-way line of U.S. Highway No. 84 and at a corner of said United States tract;

Thence Easterly and Southeasterly along the Southern right-of-way line of said highway, which is along the boundary of said United States tract a distance of 285 feet, more or less, to a monument stamped "L-23-1", the coordinates of said monument being North 331,410.90 and East 370,574.96;

Thence S 02° 25' E along the boundary of said United States tract a distance of 650.2 feet to a monument stamped "225-A";

Thence S 42° 13' E along the boundary of said United States tract a distance of 99.8 feet to a monument stamped "225";

Thence S 48° 37' W along the boundary of said United States tract a distance of 319.9 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 4.14 acres, more or less, and being all of Tract L-1123 of the Lake Seminole Project.

(e) PORT OF WHITMAN COUNTY, WASHINGTON.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) FEDERAL LAND.—The term "Federal land" means the approximately 288 acres of land situated in Whitman County, Washington, contained within Tract D of Little Goose Lock and Dam.

(B) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The term "non-Federal land" means a tract or tracts of land owned by the Port of Whitman County, Washington, that the Secretary determines, with approval of the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Secretary of the Interior acting through the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, equals or exceeds the value of the Federal land both as habitat for fish and wildlife and for recreational opportunities related to fish and wildlife.

(2) LAND EXCHANGE.—On conveyance by the Port of Whitman County to the United States of all right, title, and interest in and to the non-Federal land, the Secretary of the Army shall convey to the Port of Whitman County all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Federal land.

(3) DEEDS.—

(A) DEED TO NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The Secretary may only accept conveyance of the non-Federal land by warranty deed, as determined acceptable by the Secretary.

(B) DEED TO FEDERAL LAND.—The Secretary shall convey the Federal land to the Port of Whitman County by quitclaim deed and subject to any reservations, terms, and conditions the Secretary determines necessary to allow the United States to operate and maintain the Lower Snake River Project and to protect the interests of the United States.

(4) CASH PAYMENT.—If the appraised fair market value of the Federal land, as determined by the Secretary, exceeds the appraised fair market value of the non-Federal land, as determined by the Secretary, the Port of Whitman County shall make a cash payment to the United States reflecting the difference in the appraised fair market values.

(5) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—The Port of Whitman County shall be responsible for the administrative costs of the transaction in accordance with section 2695 of title 10, United States Code.

(f) FORT DUPONT, DELAWARE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall transfer—

(A) all right, title, and interest in and to a parcel of land known as that part of the Original Acquisition (OADE) Tract that includes the bed and banks of the Delaware Branch Channel on the north side of the Fifth Street Bridge, Delaware City, Delaware, containing approximately 31.6 acres of land, to the Fort DuPont Redevelopment and Preservation Corporation (herein referred to as "the Grantee"); and

(B) all right, title, and interest in and to the Fifth Street Bridge, together with the land known as that part of the Original Acquisition (OADE) Tract that includes the banks and bed of the Delaware Branch Channel, Delaware City, Delaware, containing approximately 0.27 acres of land, to the State of Delaware.

(2) CONDITIONS.—

(A) STATE APPROVAL.—Before making a transfer under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall ensure that the Governor of Delaware agrees to the transfer.

(B) TOLL-FREE BRIDGE.—Before making a transfer under subparagraph (1)(B), the Governor of Delaware shall agree to ensure that no toll is imposed for use of the bridge referred to in that subsection, in accordance with section 109 of the River and Harbor Act of 1950 (33 U.S.C. 534).

(C) SURVEY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the land to be transferred under paragraph (1) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary and the Governor of Delaware.

(D) COSTS.—Any administrative costs for the transfer under paragraph (1) shall be paid by Fort DuPont Redevelopment and Preservation Corporation, the State of Delaware, or a combination of those entities.

(3) CONSIDERATION.—The Grantee shall pay to the Secretary an amount that is not less than the fair market value of the land conveyed to the Grantee under this subsection, as determined by the Secretary.

(g) TUSCALOOSA, ALABAMA.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall convey by quitclaim deed to the City of Tuscaloosa, Alabama, at fair market value, the lands owned by the United States adjacent to the Black Warrior River on the south side below the U.S. Highway 43 bridge, including the south wall of the Old Oliver Lock, and extending to the Corps' current recreation area, that the Secretary determines are no longer required for operation and maintenance of the Oliver Lock and Dam.

(h) GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—

(1) SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—The exact acreage and the legal description of any real property to be conveyed under this section shall be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the Secretary.

(2) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING PROVISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United States Code, shall not apply to any conveyance under this section.

(3) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require that any conveyance under this section be subject to such additional terms and conditions as the Secretary considers necessary and appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(4) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—An entity to which a conveyance is made under this section shall be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs, including real estate transaction and environmental documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.

(5) LIABILITY.—An entity to which a conveyance is made under this section shall hold the United States harmless from any liability with respect to activities carried out, on or after the date of the conveyance, on real property conveyed. The United States shall remain responsible for any liability with respect to activities carried out, before such date, on the real property conveyed.

SEC. 1332. REPORT ON FUTURE WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT.

(a) PROGRAMMATIC MODIFICATION.—Section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d(a)) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a) by adding at the end the following:

"(4) PROGRAMMATIC MODIFICATIONS.—Any programmatic modification for an environmental infrastructure assistance program";

(2) in subsection (b)(1) by striking "studies and proposed modifications to authorized water resources development projects and feasibility studies" and inserting "studies, proposed modifications to authorized water resources development projects and feasibility studies, and proposed modifications for an environmental infrastructure program";

(3) by redesignating subsections (d), (e), and (f) as subsections (e), (f), and (g), respectively;

(4) by inserting after subsection (c) the following:

“(d) PROGRAMMATIC MODIFICATIONS IN ANNUAL REPORT.—The Secretary shall include in the annual report only proposed modifications for an environmental infrastructure assistance program that have not been included in any previous annual report. For each proposed modification, the Secretary shall include a letter or statement of support for the proposed modification from each associated non-Federal interest, description of assistance provided, and total Federal cost of assistance provided.”; and

(5) by striking subsection (c)(4) and inserting the following:

“(4) APPENDIX.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall include in the annual report an appendix listing the proposals submitted under subsection (b) that were not included in the annual report under paragraph (1)(A) and a description

of why the Secretary determined that those proposals did not meet the criteria for inclusion under such paragraph.

“(B) LIMITATION.—In carrying out the activities described in this section—

“(i) the Secretary shall not include proposals in the appendix of the annual report that otherwise meet the criteria for inclusion in the annual report solely on the basis of the Secretary’s determination that the proposal requires legislative changes to an authorized water resources development project, feasibility study, or environmental infrastructure program; and

“(ii) the Secretary shall not include proposals in the appendix of the annual report that otherwise meet the criteria for inclusion in the annual report solely on the basis of a policy of the Secretary.”.

(b) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Notwithstanding the third sentence of section 1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a(b)(2)), projects and separable elements of projects identified in the fiscal year 2017 report prepared in accordance with such

section and submitted to Congress on December 15, 2016, shall not be deauthorized unless such projects and separable elements meet the requirements of section 1301(b)(1)(A) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1687).

Subtitle D—Water Resources Infrastructure

SEC. 1401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.

The following projects for water resources development and conservation and other purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development” submitted to Congress on March 17, 2017, and February 5, 2018, respectively, pursuant to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed by Congress are authorized to be carried out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans, and subject to the conditions, described in the respective reports or decision documents designated in this section:

(1) NAVIGATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. AR	Three Rivers Southeast Arkansas	September 6, 2018	Total: \$184,395,000 (to be derived ½ from the general fund of the Treasury and ½ from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund)
2. PR	San Juan Harbor	August 23, 2018	Federal: \$41,345,840 Non-Federal: \$13,781,267 Total: \$55,127,107
3. TX	Galveston Harbor Channel Extension Project, Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels	Aug. 8, 2017	Federal: \$10,444,000 Non-Federal: \$3,481,000 Total: \$13,925,000
4. WA	Seattle Harbor Navigation Improvement Project	June 7, 2018	Federal: \$29,362,000 Non-Federal: \$31,880,000 Total: \$61,242,000

(2) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. CA	Lower San Joaquin River	July 31, 2018	Federal: \$712,169,000 Non-Federal: \$383,475,000 Total: \$1,095,644,000
2. HI	Ala Wai Canal	Dec. 21, 2017	Federal: \$212,754,000 Non-Federal: \$114,560,000 Total: \$327,313,000
3. NY	Mamaroneck-Sheldrake Rivers	Dec. 14, 2017	Federal: \$53,500,000 Non-Federal: \$28,750,000 Total: \$82,250,000

(3) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Initial Costs and Estimated Renourishment Costs
1. FL	St. Johns County	Aug. 8, 2017	Initial Federal: \$5,873,283 Initial Non-Federal: \$19,661,924 Initial Total: \$25,535,207 Renourishment Federal: \$9,751,788 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$45,344,169 Renourishment Total: \$55,095,957

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Initial Costs and Estimated Renourishment Costs
2. FL	St. Lucie County	Dec. 15, 2017	Initial Federal: \$7,239,497 Initial Non-Federal: \$13,443,614 Initial Total: \$20,683,110 Renourishment Federal: \$9,093,999 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$24,588,991 Renourishment Total: \$33,682,990
3. TX	Sabine Pass to Galveston Bay	Dec. 7, 2017	Federal: \$2,200,357,000 Non-Federal: \$1,184,807,000 Total: \$3,385,164,000

(4) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND ECO-SYSTEM RESTORATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. NM	Española Valley, Rio Grande	May 11, 2018	Federal: \$55,602,266 Non-Federal: \$7,637,764 Total: \$63,240,030

(5) ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. TX	Resacas, in the vicinity of the City of Brownsville	September 6, 2018	Federal: \$141,489,000 Non-Federal: \$65,675,000 Total: \$207,164,000

(6) MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. GA	Savannah Harbor Expansion Project	Dec. 5, 2016	Federal: \$731,700,000 Non-Federal: \$287,200,000 Total: \$1,018,900,000
2. MI	Soo Locks, Sault Ste. Marie	June 29, 2018	Federal: \$922,432,000 Non-Federal: \$0 Total: \$922,432,000
3. TN	Chickamauga Lock Replacement	July 19, 2018	Total: \$757,666,000 (to be derived ½ from the general fund of the Treasury and ½ from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund)

SEC. 1402. SPECIAL RULES.

(a) ST. PAUL HARBOR, ALASKA.—Notwithstanding section 2008(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (Public Law 110–114; 121 Stat. 1074), the project for navigation, St. Paul Harbor, authorized by section 101(b)(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3667), shall be cost-shared substantially in accordance with the costs established by section 105 of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2003 (117 Stat. 139).

(b) ESPAÑOLA VALLEY, NEW MEXICO.—The Secretary shall carry out the project for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration, Española Valley, Rio Grande and Tributaries, New Mexico, authorized by section 1401(4) of this Act substantially in accordance with terms and conditions described in the Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated May 11, 2018, including, notwithstanding section 2008(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (Public Law 110–114; 121 Stat. 1074), the recommended cost share.

SEC. 1403. NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, VIRGINIA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to further improve the project for navigation, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Virginia, authorized by section 201 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4090), substantially in accordance with the plans, and subject to the conditions, described in the Report of the Chief of Engineers dated June 29, 2018.

(b) THIMBLE SHOAL CHANNEL WIDENING.—The Secretary may carry out additional modifications to the project described in subsection (a) that are identified in the report titled “Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development” submitted to Congress on February 5, 2018, pursuant to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d).

(c) MAXIMUM AUTHORIZED COST.—Notwithstanding section 902(a)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280(a)(2)(B)), the maximum authorized cost for the project described in subsection (a)

shall not be modified for the improvements and modifications authorized by subsections (a) and (b).

TITLE II—DRINKING WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

SEC. 2001. INDIAN RESERVATION DRINKING WATER PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the availability of appropriations, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall carry out a program to implement—

(1) 10 eligible projects described in subsection (b) that are within the Upper Missouri River Basin; and

(2) 10 eligible projects described in subsection (b) that are within the Upper Rio Grande Basin.

(b) ELIGIBLE PROJECTS.—A project eligible to participate in the program under subsection (a) is a project—

(1) that is on a reservation (as defined in section 3 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1452)) that serves a federally recognized Indian Tribe; and

(2) the purpose of which is to connect, expand, or repair an existing public water system, as defined in section 1401(4) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300f(4)), in order to improve water quality, water pressure, or water services.

(c) **REQUIREMENT.**—In carrying out the program under subsection (a)(1), the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall select not less than 1 eligible project for a reservation that serves more than 1 federally recognized Indian Tribe.

(d) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out the program under subsection (a) \$20,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 through 2022.

SEC. 2002. CLEAN, SAFE, RELIABLE WATER INFRASTRUCTURE.

Section 1452(k) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(k)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(D), by inserting “and for the implementation of efforts (other than actions authorized under subparagraph (A)) to protect source water in areas delineated pursuant to section 1453” before the period at the end; and

(2) in paragraph (2)(E), by inserting “, and to implement efforts to protect source water,” after “wellhead protection programs”.

SEC. 2003. STUDY ON INTRACTABLE WATER SYSTEMS.

Part E of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 1459C. STUDY ON INTRACTABLE WATER SYSTEMS.

“(a) **DEFINITION OF INTRACTABLE WATER SYSTEM.**—In this section, the term ‘intractable water system’ means a community water system or a noncommunity water system—

“(1) that serves fewer than 1,000 individuals;

“(2) the owner or operator of which—

“(A) is unable or unwilling to provide safe and adequate service to those individuals;

“(B) has abandoned or effectively abandoned the community water system or noncommunity water system, as applicable;

“(C) has defaulted on a financial obligation relating to the community water system or noncommunity water system, as applicable; or

“(D) fails to maintain the facilities of the community water system or noncommunity water system, as applicable, in a manner so as to prevent a potential public health hazard; and

“(3) that is, as of the date of enactment of America’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018—

“(A) in significant noncompliance with this Act or any regulation promulgated pursuant to this Act; or

“(B) listed as having a history of significant noncompliance with this title pursuant to section 1420(b)(1).

“(b) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this section, the Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, shall complete a study that—

“(A) identifies intractable water systems; and

“(B) describes barriers to delivery of potable water to individuals served by an intractable water system.

“(2) **REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this section, the Administrator shall submit to Congress a report describing findings and recommendations based on the study under this subsection.”.

SEC. 2004. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO ACCESS TO NONPOTABLE WATER.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) access to nonpotable water sources for industry can relieve the supply and demand challenges for potable water in water-stressed regions throughout the United States; and

(2) water users are encouraged to continue implementing and incentivizing nonpotable water reuse programs that will achieve greater water savings and conservation needs.

SEC. 2005. DRINKING WATER INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCE AND SUSTAINABILITY.

Section 1459A of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-19a) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (k);

(2) in subsection (k), as redesignated by paragraph (1), by striking “this section” and inserting “subsections (a) through (j) of this section”;

(3) by inserting after subsection (i) the following:

“(j) **STATE RESPONSE TO CONTAMINANTS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Administrator may, subject to the terms and conditions of this section, issue a grant to a requesting State, on behalf of an underserved community, so the State may assist in, or otherwise carry out, necessary and appropriate activities related to a contaminant—

“(A) that is determined by the State to—

“(i) be present in, or likely to enter into, a public water system serving, or an underground source of drinking water for, such underserved community; and

“(ii) potentially present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the health of persons; and

“(B) with respect to which the State determines appropriate authorities have not acted sufficiently to protect the health of such persons.

“(2) **RECOVERY OF FUNDS.**—If, subsequent to the Administrator’s award of a grant to a State under this subsection, any person or entity (including an eligible entity), is found by the Administrator or a court of competent jurisdiction to have caused or contributed to contamination that was detected as a result of testing conducted, or treated, with funds provided under this subsection, and such contamination violated a law administered by the Administrator, such person or entity shall, upon issuance of a final judgment or settlement and the exhaustion of all appellate and administrative remedies—

“(A) notify the Administrator in writing not later than 30 days after such issuance of a final judgment or settlement and the exhaustion of all appellate and administrative remedies; and

“(B) promptly pay the Administrator an amount equal to the amount of such funds.”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following:

“(1) **DRINKING WATER INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCE AND SUSTAINABILITY.**—

“(1) **RESILIENCE AND NATURAL HAZARD.**—The terms ‘resilience’ and ‘natural hazard’ have the meaning given such terms in section 1433(h).

“(2) **IN GENERAL.**—The Administrator may establish and carry out a program, to be known as the Drinking Water System Infrastructure Resilience and Sustainability Program, under which the Administrator, subject to the availability of appropriations for such purpose, shall award grants in each of fiscal years 2019 and 2020 to eligible entities for the purpose of increasing resilience to natural hazards.

“(3) **USE OF FUNDS.**—An eligible entity may only use grant funds received under this subsection to assist in the planning, design, construction, implementation, operation, or maintenance of a program or project that in-

creases resilience to natural hazards through—

“(A) the conservation of water or the enhancement of water use efficiency;

“(B) the modification or relocation of existing drinking water system infrastructure made, or that is at risk of being, significantly impaired by natural hazards, including risks to drinking water from flooding;

“(C) the design or construction of desalination facilities to serve existing communities;

“(D) the enhancement of water supply through the use of watershed management and source water protection;

“(E) the enhancement of energy efficiency or the use and generation of renewable energy in the conveyance or treatment of drinking water; or

“(F) the development and implementation of measures to increase the resilience of the eligible entity to natural hazards.

“(4) **APPLICATION.**—To seek a grant under this subsection, the eligible entity shall submit to the Administrator an application that—

“(A) includes a proposal of the program or project to be planned, designed, constructed, implemented, operated, or maintained by the eligible entity;

“(B) identifies the natural hazard risk to be addressed by the proposed program or project;

“(C) provides documentation prepared by a Federal, State, regional, or local government agency of the natural hazard risk to the area where the proposed program or project is to be located;

“(D) includes a description of any recent natural hazard events that have affected the applicable water system;

“(E) includes a description of how the proposed program or project would improve the performance of the system under the anticipated natural hazards; and

“(F) explains how the proposed program or project is expected to enhance the resilience of the system to the anticipated natural hazards.

“(5) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subsection \$4,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 and 2020.”.

SEC. 2006. VOLUNTARY SCHOOL AND CHILD CARE PROGRAM LEAD TESTING GRANT PROGRAM ENHANCEMENT.

(a) **VOLUNTARY SCHOOL AND CHILD CARE PROGRAM LEAD TESTING GRANT PROGRAM ENHANCEMENT.**—Section 1464(d) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-24(d)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by adding at the end the following:

“(C) **TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.**—In carrying out the grant program under subparagraph (A), beginning not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of America’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018, the Administrator shall provide technical assistance to recipients of grants under this subsection—

“(i) to assist in identifying the source of lead contamination in drinking water at schools and child care programs under the jurisdiction of the grant recipient;

“(ii) to assist in identifying and applying for other Federal and State grant programs that may assist the grant recipient in eliminating lead contamination described in clause (i);

“(iii) to provide information on other financing options in eliminating lead contamination described in clause (i); and

“(iv) to connect grant recipients with non-profit and other organizations that may be able to assist with the elimination of lead contamination described in clause (i).”;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (4) through (7) as paragraphs (5) through (8), respectively;

(3) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following paragraph:

“(4) **PRIORITY.**—In making grants under this subsection, the Administrator shall give priority to States and local educational agencies that will assist in voluntary testing for lead contamination in drinking water at schools and child care programs that are in low-income areas.”; and

(4) in paragraph (8) (as redesignated by paragraph (2) of this section)—

(A) by striking “is authorized” and inserting “are authorized”; and

(B) by striking “2021” and inserting “2019, and \$25,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2020 and 2021”.

(b) **DRINKING WATER FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT FOR SCHOOLS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Part F of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-21 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following: “**SEC. 1465. DRINKING WATER FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT FOR SCHOOLS.**

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, the Administrator shall establish a grant program to provide assistance to local educational agencies for the replacement of drinking water fountains manufactured prior to 1988.

“(b) **USE OF FUNDS.**—Funds awarded under the grant program—

“(1) shall be used to pay the costs of replacement of drinking water fountains in schools; and

“(2) may be used to pay the costs of monitoring and reporting of lead levels in the drinking water of schools of a local educational agency receiving such funds, as determined appropriate by the Administrator.

“(c) **PRIORITY.**—In awarding funds under the grant program, the Administrator shall give priority to local educational agencies based on economic need.

“(d) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 through 2021.”.

(2) **DEFINITIONS.**—Section 1461(5) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-21(5)) is amended by inserting “or drinking water fountain” after “water cooler” each place it appears.

SEC. 2007. INNOVATIVE WATER TECHNOLOGY GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **ADMINISTRATOR.**—The term “Administrator” means the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

(2) **ELIGIBLE ENTITY.**—The term “eligible entity” means—

(A) a public water system (as defined under section 1401(4) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300f(4)));

(B) an institution of higher education;

(C) a research institution or foundation;

(D) a regional water organization; or

(E) a nonprofit organization described in section 1442(e)(8) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-1(e)(8)).

(b) **GRANT PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.**—The Administrator shall carry out a grant program for the purpose of accelerating the development and deployment of innovative water technologies that address pressing drinking water supply, quality, treatment, or security challenges of public water systems, areas served by private wells, or source waters.

(c) **GRANTS.**—In carrying out the program under subsection (b), the Administrator shall make grants to eligible entities—

(1) to develop, test, and deploy innovative water technologies; or

(2) to provide technical assistance to deploy demonstrated innovative water technologies.

(d) **SELECTION CRITERIA.**—In making grants under this section, the Administrator shall—

(1) award grants through a competitive process to eligible entities the Administrator determines are best able to carry out the purpose of the program; and

(2) give priority to projects that have the potential—

(A) to reduce ratepayer or community costs or costs of future capital investments;

(B) to significantly improve human health or the environment; or

(C) to provide additional drinking water supplies with minimal environmental impact.

(e) **COST-SHARING.**—The Federal share of the cost of activities carried out using a grant under this section shall be not more than 65 percent.

(f) **LIMITATION.**—The maximum amount of a grant under this section shall be \$5,000,000.

(g) **REPORT.**—Each year, the Administrator shall submit to Congress and make publicly available on the website of the Administrator a report that describes any advancements during the previous year in development of innovative water technologies made as a result of funding provided under this section.

(h) **PARTNERSHIPS.**—Grants awarded under this program may include projects that are carried out by an eligible entity in cooperation with a private entity, including a farmer, farmer cooperative, or manufacturer of water technologies.

(i) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$10,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 and 2020.

SEC. 2008. IMPROVED CONSUMER CONFIDENCE REPORTS.

Section 1414(c)(4) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300g-3(c)(4)) is amended—

(1) in the heading for subparagraph (A), by striking “ANNUAL REPORTS” and inserting “REPORTS”;

(2) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “, or provide by electronic means,” after “to mail”;

(3) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) in clause (iv), by striking “the Administrator, and” and inserting “the Administrator, including corrosion control efforts, and”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following clause:

“(vii) Identification of, if any—

“(I) exceedances described in paragraph (1)(D) for which corrective action has been required by the Administrator or the State (in the case of a State exercising primary enforcement responsibility for public water systems) during the monitoring period covered by the consumer confidence report; and

“(II) violations that occurred during the monitoring period covered by the consumer confidence report.”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(F) **REVISIONS.**—

“(i) **UNDERSTANDABILITY AND FREQUENCY.**—Not later than 24 months after the date of enactment of America’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018, the Administrator, in consultation with the parties identified in subparagraph (A), shall issue revisions to the regulations issued under subparagraph (A)—

“(I) to increase—

“(aa) the readability, clarity, and understandability of the information presented in consumer confidence reports; and

“(bb) the accuracy of information presented, and risk communication, in consumer confidence reports; and

“(II) with respect to community water systems that serve 10,000 or more persons, to require each such community water system to provide, by mail, electronic means, or other methods described in clause (ii), a consumer

confidence report to each customer of the system at least biannually.

“(ii) **ELECTRONIC DELIVERY.**—Any revision of regulations pursuant to clause (i) shall allow delivery of consumer confidence reports by methods consistent with methods described in the memorandum ‘Safe Drinking Water Act—Consumer Confidence Report Rule Delivery Options’ issued by the Environmental Protection Agency on January 3, 2013.”.

SEC. 2009. CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1414(h)(1) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300g-3(h)(1)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “or” after the semicolon;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) entering into a contractual agreement for significant management or administrative functions of the system to correct violations identified in the plan.”.

(b) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.**—Section 1414(i)(1) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300g-3(i)(1)) is amended by inserting a comma after “1417”.

SEC. 2010. ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR COMPLIANCE.

(a) **MANDATORY ASSESSMENT.**—Subsection (h) of section 1414 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300g-3) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(3) **AUTHORITY FOR MANDATORY ASSESSMENT.**—

“(A) **AUTHORITY.**—A State with primary enforcement responsibility or the Administrator (if the State does not have primary enforcement responsibility) may require the owner or operator of a public water system to assess options for consolidation, or transfer of ownership of the system, as described in paragraph (1), or other actions expected to achieve compliance with national primary drinking water regulations described in clause (i)(I), if—

“(i) the public water system—

“(I) has repeatedly violated one or more national primary drinking water regulations and such repeated violations are likely to adversely affect human health; and

“(II)(aa) is unable or unwilling to take feasible and affordable actions, as determined by the State with primary enforcement responsibility or the Administrator (if the State does not have primary enforcement responsibility), that will result in the public water system complying with the national primary drinking water regulations described in subclause (I), including accessing technical assistance and financial assistance through the State loan fund pursuant to section 1452; or

“(bb) has already undertaken actions described in item (aa) without achieving compliance;

“(ii) such consolidation, transfer, or other action is feasible; and

“(iii) such consolidation, transfer, or other action could result in greater compliance with national primary drinking water regulations.

“(B) **TAILORING OF ASSESSMENTS.**—Requirements for any assessment to be conducted pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be tailored with respect to the size, type, and characteristics, of the public water system to be assessed.

“(C) **APPROVED ENTITIES.**—An assessment conducted pursuant to subparagraph (A) may be conducted by an entity approved by the State requiring such assessment (or the Administrator, if the State does not have primary enforcement responsibility), which

may include such State (or the Administrator, as applicable), the public water system, or a third party.

“(D) BURDEN OF ASSESSMENTS.—It is the sense of Congress that any assessment required pursuant to subparagraph (A) should not be overly burdensome on the public water system that is assessed.

“(4) FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.—Notwithstanding section 1452(a)(3), a public water system undertaking consolidation or transfer of ownership or other actions pursuant to an assessment completed under paragraph (3) may receive a loan described in section 1452(a)(2)(A) to carry out such consolidation, transfer, or other action.

“(5) PROTECTION OF NONRESPONSIBLE SYSTEM.—

“(A) IDENTIFICATION OF LIABILITIES.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—An owner or operator of a public water system that submits a plan pursuant to paragraph (1) based on an assessment conducted with respect to such public water system under paragraph (3) shall identify as part of such plan—

“(I) any potential and existing liability for penalties and damages arising from each specific violation identified in the plan of which the owner or operator is aware; and

“(II) any funds or other assets that are available to satisfy such liability, as of the date of submission of such plan, to the public water system that committed such violation.

“(ii) INCLUSION.—In carrying out clause (i), the owner or operator shall take reasonable steps to ensure that all potential and existing liabilities for penalties and damages arising from each specific violation identified in the plan are identified.

“(B) RESERVATION OF FUNDS.—A public water system that, consistent with the findings of an assessment conducted pursuant to paragraph (3), has completed the actions under a plan submitted and approved pursuant to this subsection shall not be liable under this title for a violation of this title identified in the plan, except to the extent to which funds or other assets are identified pursuant to subparagraph (A)(i)(II) as available to satisfy such liability.

“(6) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018, the Administrator shall promulgate regulations to implement paragraphs (3), (4), and (5).”

(b) RETENTION OF PRIMARY ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1413(a) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300g-2(a)) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (5), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (7); and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (5) the following new paragraph:

“(6) has adopted and is implementing procedures for requiring public water systems to assess options for consolidation or transfer of ownership or other actions in accordance with the regulations issued by the Administrator under section 1414(h)(6); and”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1413(b)(1) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300g-2(b)(1)) is amended by striking “of paragraphs (1), (2), (3), and (4)”.

SEC. 2011. IMPROVED ACCURACY AND AVAILABILITY OF COMPLIANCE MONITORING DATA.

Section 1414 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300g-3) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(j) IMPROVED ACCURACY AND AVAILABILITY OF COMPLIANCE MONITORING DATA.—

“(1) STRATEGIC PLAN.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Administrator, in coordination with States (including States without pri-

mary enforcement responsibility under section 1413), public water systems, and other interested stakeholders, shall develop and provide to Congress a strategic plan for improving the accuracy and availability of monitoring data collected to demonstrate compliance with national primary drinking water regulations and submitted—

“(A) by public water systems to States; or

“(B) by States to the Administrator.

“(2) EVALUATION.—In developing the strategic plan under paragraph (1), the Administrator shall evaluate any challenges faced—

“(A) in ensuring the accuracy and integrity of submitted data described in paragraph (1);

“(B) by States and public water systems in implementing an electronic system for submitting such data, including the technical and economic feasibility of implementing such a system; and

“(C) by users of such electronic systems in being able to access such data.

“(3) FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—The Administrator shall include in the strategic plan provided to Congress under paragraph (1)—

“(A) a summary of the findings of the evaluation under paragraph (2); and

“(B) recommendations on practicable, cost-effective methods and means that can be employed to improve the accuracy and availability of submitted data described in paragraph (1).

“(4) CONSULTATION.—In developing the strategic plan under paragraph (1), the Administrator may, as appropriate, consult with States or other Federal agencies that have experience using practicable methods and means to improve the accuracy and availability of submitted data described in such paragraph.”.

SEC. 2012. ASSET MANAGEMENT.

Section 1420 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300g-9) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(2)—

(A) in subparagraph (D), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in subparagraph (E), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(F) a description of how the State will, as appropriate—

“(i) encourage development by public water systems of asset management plans that include best practices for asset management; and

“(ii) assist, including through the provision of technical assistance, public water systems in training operators or other relevant and appropriate persons in implementing such asset management plans.”;

(2) in subsection (c)(3), by inserting “, including efforts of the State to encourage development by public water systems of asset management plans and to assist public water systems in training relevant and appropriate persons in implementing such asset management plans” after “public water systems in the State”; and

(3) in subsection (d), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) INFORMATION ON ASSET MANAGEMENT PRACTICES.—Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this paragraph, and not less often than every 5 years thereafter, the Administrator shall review and, if appropriate, update educational materials, including handbooks, training materials, and technical information, made available by the Administrator to owners, managers, and operators of public water systems, local officials, technical assistance providers (including nonprofit water associations), and State personnel concerning best practices for asset management strategies that may be used by public water systems.”.

SEC. 2013. COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM RISK AND RESILIENCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1433 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300i-2) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 1433. COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM RISK AND RESILIENCE.

“(a) RISK AND RESILIENCE ASSESSMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each community water system serving a population of greater than 3,300 persons shall conduct an assessment of the risks to, and resilience of, its system. Such an assessment—

“(A) shall include an assessment of—

“(i) the risk to the system from malevolent acts and natural hazards;

“(ii) the resilience of the pipes and constructed conveyances, physical barriers, source water, water collection and intake, pretreatment, treatment, storage and distribution facilities, electronic, computer, or other automated systems (including the security of such systems) which are utilized by the system;

“(iii) the monitoring practices of the system;

“(iv) the financial infrastructure of the system;

“(v) the use, storage, or handling of various chemicals by the system; and

“(vi) the operation and maintenance of the system; and

“(B) may include an evaluation of capital and operational needs for risk and resilience management for the system.

“(2) BASELINE INFORMATION.—The Administrator, not later than August 1, 2019, after consultation with appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government and with State and local governments, shall provide baseline information on malevolent acts of relevance to community water systems, which shall include consideration of acts that may—

“(A) substantially disrupt the ability of the system to provide a safe and reliable supply of drinking water; or

“(B) otherwise present significant public health or economic concerns to the community served by the system.

“(3) CERTIFICATION.—

“(A) CERTIFICATION.—Each community water system described in paragraph (1) shall submit to the Administrator a certification that the system has conducted an assessment complying with paragraph (1). Such certification shall be made prior to—

“(i) March 31, 2020, in the case of systems serving a population of 100,000 or more;

“(ii) December 31, 2020, in the case of systems serving a population of 50,000 or more but less than 100,000; and

“(iii) June 30, 2021, in the case of systems serving a population greater than 3,300 but less than 50,000.

“(B) REVIEW AND REVISION.—Each community water system described in paragraph (1) shall review the assessment of such system conducted under such paragraph at least once every 5 years after the applicable deadline for submission of its certification under subparagraph (A) to determine whether such assessment should be revised. Upon completion of such a review, the community water system shall submit to the Administrator a certification that the system has reviewed its assessment and, if applicable, revised such assessment.

“(4) CONTENTS OF CERTIFICATIONS.—A certification required under paragraph (3) shall contain only—

“(A) information that identifies the community water system submitting the certification;

“(B) the date of the certification; and

“(C) a statement that the community water system has conducted, reviewed, or revised the assessment, as applicable.

“(5) PROVISION TO OTHER ENTITIES.—No community water system shall be required under State or local law to provide an assessment described in this section (or revision thereof) to any State, regional, or local governmental entity solely by reason of the requirement set forth in paragraph (3) that the system submit a certification to the Administrator.

“(b) EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN.—Each community water system serving a population greater than 3,300 shall prepare or revise, where necessary, an emergency response plan that incorporates findings of the assessment conducted under subsection (a) for such system (and any revisions thereto). Each community water system shall certify to the Administrator, as soon as reasonably possible after the date of enactment of America’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018, but not later than 6 months after completion of the assessment under subsection (a), that the system has completed such plan. The emergency response plan shall include—

“(1) strategies and resources to improve the resilience of the system, including the physical security and cybersecurity of the system;

“(2) plans and procedures that can be implemented, and identification of equipment that can be utilized, in the event of a malevolent act or natural hazard that threatens the ability of the community water system to deliver safe drinking water;

“(3) actions, procedures, and equipment which can obviate or significantly lessen the impact of a malevolent act or natural hazard on the public health and the safety and supply of drinking water provided to communities and individuals, including the development of alternative source water options, relocation of water intakes, and construction of flood protection barriers; and

“(4) strategies that can be used to aid in the detection of malevolent acts or natural hazards that threaten the security or resilience of the system.

“(c) COORDINATION.—Community water systems shall, to the extent possible, coordinate with existing local emergency planning committees established pursuant to the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. 11001 et seq.) when preparing or revising an assessment or emergency response plan under this section.

“(d) RECORD MAINTENANCE.—Each community water system shall maintain a copy of the assessment conducted under subsection (a) and the emergency response plan prepared under subsection (b) (including any revised assessment or plan) for 5 years after the date on which a certification of such assessment or plan is submitted to the Administrator under this section.

“(e) GUIDANCE TO SMALL PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS.—The Administrator shall provide guidance and technical assistance to community water systems serving a population of less than 3,300 persons on how to conduct resilience assessments, prepare emergency response plans, and address threats from malevolent acts and natural hazards that threaten to disrupt the provision of safe drinking water or significantly affect the public health or significantly affect the safety or supply of drinking water provided to communities and individuals.

“(f) ALTERNATIVE PREPAREDNESS AND OPERATIONAL RESILIENCE PROGRAMS.—

“(1) SATISFACTION OF REQUIREMENT.—A community water system that is required to comply with the requirements of subsections (a) and (b) may satisfy such requirements by—

“(A) using and complying with technical standards that the Administrator has recognized under paragraph (2); and

“(B) submitting to the Administrator a certification that the community water system is complying with subparagraph (A).

“(2) AUTHORITY TO RECOGNIZE.—Consistent with section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995, the Administrator shall recognize technical standards that are developed or adopted by third-party organizations or voluntary consensus standards bodies that carry out the objectives or activities required by this section as a means of satisfying the requirements under subsection (a) or (b).

“(g) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND GRANTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator shall establish and implement a program, to be known as the Drinking Water Infrastructure Risk and Resilience Program, under which the Administrator may award grants in each of fiscal years 2020 and 2021 to owners or operators of community water systems for the purpose of increasing the resilience of such community water systems.

“(2) USE OF FUNDS.—As a condition on receipt of a grant under this section, an owner or operator of a community water system shall agree to use the grant funds exclusively to assist in the planning, design, construction, or implementation of a program or project consistent with an emergency response plan prepared pursuant to subsection (b), which may include—

“(A) the purchase and installation of equipment for detection of drinking water contaminants or malevolent acts;

“(B) the purchase and installation of fencing, gating, lighting, or security cameras;

“(C) the tamper-proofing of manhole covers, fire hydrants, and valve boxes;

“(D) the purchase and installation of improved treatment technologies and equipment to improve the resilience of the system;

“(E) improvements to electronic, computer, financial, or other automated systems and remote systems;

“(F) participation in training programs, and the purchase of training manuals and guidance materials, relating to security and resilience;

“(G) improvements in the use, storage, or handling of chemicals by the community water system;

“(H) security screening of employees or contractor support services;

“(I) equipment necessary to support emergency power or water supply, including standby and mobile sources; and

“(J) the development of alternative source water options, relocation of water intakes, and construction of flood protection barriers.

“(3) EXCLUSIONS.—A grant under this subsection may not be used for personnel costs, or for monitoring, operation, or maintenance of facilities, equipment, or systems.

“(4) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—For each fiscal year, the Administrator may use not more than \$5,000,000 from the funds made available to carry out this subsection to provide technical assistance to community water systems to assist in responding to and alleviating a vulnerability that would substantially disrupt the ability of the system to provide a safe and reliable supply of drinking water (including sources of water for such systems) which the Administrator determines to present an immediate and urgent need.

“(5) GRANTS FOR SMALL SYSTEMS.—For each fiscal year, the Administrator may use not more than \$10,000,000 from the funds made available to carry out this subsection to make grants to community water systems serving a population of less than 3,300 persons, or nonprofit organizations receiving assistance under section 1442(e), for activities and projects undertaken in accordance with

the guidance provided to such systems under subsection (e) of this section.

“(6) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—To carry out this subsection, there are authorized to be appropriated \$25,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2020 and 2021.

“(h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

“(1) the term ‘resilience’ means the ability of a community water system or an asset of a community water system to adapt to or withstand the effects of a malevolent act or natural hazard without interruption to the asset’s or system’s function, or if the function is interrupted, to rapidly return to a normal operating condition; and

“(2) the term ‘natural hazard’ means a natural event that threatens the functioning of a community water system, including an earthquake, tornado, flood, hurricane, wildfire, and hydrologic changes.”.

(b) SENSITIVE INFORMATION.—

(1) PROTECTION FROM DISCLOSURE.—Information submitted to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to section 1433 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of America’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018, shall be protected from disclosure in accordance with the provisions of such section as in effect on such day.

(2) DISPOSAL.—The Administrator, in partnership with community water systems (as defined in section 1401 of the Safe Drinking Water Act), shall develop a strategy to, in a timeframe determined appropriate by the Administrator, securely and permanently dispose of, or return to the applicable community water system, any information described in paragraph (1).

SEC. 2014. AUTHORIZATION FOR GRANTS FOR STATE PROGRAMS.

Section 1443(a)(7) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-2(a)(7)) is amended by striking “\$100,000,000 for each of fiscal years 1997 through 2003” and inserting “\$125,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2020 and 2021”.

SEC. 2015. STATE REVOLVING LOAN FUNDS.

(a) USE OF FUNDS.—Section 1452(a)(2)(B) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(a)(2)(B)) is amended by striking “(including expenditures for planning, design, and associated preconstruction activities, including activities relating to the siting of the facility, but not” and inserting “(including expenditures for planning, design, siting, and associated preconstruction activities, or for replacing or rehabilitating aging treatment, storage, or distribution facilities of public water systems, but not”.

(b) PREVAILING WAGES.—Section 1452(a) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(a)) is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“(5) PREVAILING WAGES.—The requirements of section 1450(e) shall apply to any construction project carried out in whole or in part with assistance made available by a State loan fund.”.

(c) ASSISTANCE FOR DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES.—Section 1452(d)(2) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(d)(2)) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) TOTAL AMOUNT OF SUBSIDIES.—For each fiscal year, of the amount of the capitalization grant received by the State for the year, the total amount of loan subsidies made by a State pursuant to paragraph (1)—

“(A) may not exceed 35 percent; and

“(B) to the extent that there are sufficient applications for loans to communities described in paragraph (1), may not be less than 6 percent.”.

(d) TYPES OF ASSISTANCE.—Section 1452(f)(1) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(f)(1)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (C) and (D) as subparagraphs (D) and (E), respectively;

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph:

“(C) each loan will be fully amortized not later than 30 years after the completion of the project, except that in the case of a disadvantaged community (as defined in subsection (d)(3)) a State may provide an extended term for a loan, if the extended term—

“(i) terminates not later than the date that is 40 years after the date of project completion; and

“(ii) does not exceed the expected design life of the project;”;

(3) in subparagraph (B), by striking “1 year after completion of the project for which the loan was made” and all that follows through “design life of the project;” and inserting “18 months after completion of the project for which the loan was made;”.

(e) NEEDS SURVEY.—Section 1452(h) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(h)) is amended—

(1) by striking “The Administrator” and inserting “(1) The Administrator”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) Any assessment conducted under paragraph (1) after the date of enactment of America’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018 shall include an assessment of costs to replace all lead service lines (as defined in section 1459B(a)(4)) of all eligible public water systems in the United States, and such assessment shall describe separately the costs associated with replacing the portions of such lead service lines that are owned by an eligible public water system and the costs associated with replacing any remaining portions of such lead service lines, to the extent practicable.”.

(f) OTHER AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.—Section 1452(k)(1)(C) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(k)(1)(C)) is amended by striking “for fiscal years 1996 and 1997 to delineate and assess source water protection areas in accordance with section 1453” and inserting “to delineate, assess, and update assessments for source water protection areas in accordance with section 1453”.

(g) BEST PRACTICES FOR ADMINISTRATION OF STATE REVOLVING LOAN FUNDS.—Section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12) is amended by adding after subsection (r) the following:

“(s) BEST PRACTICES FOR STATE LOAN FUND ADMINISTRATION.—The Administrator shall—

“(1) collect information from States on administration of State loan funds established pursuant to subsection (a)(1), including—

“(A) efforts to streamline the process for applying for assistance through such State loan funds;

“(B) programs in place to assist with the completion of applications for assistance through such State loan funds;

“(C) incentives provided to public water systems that partner with small public water systems to assist with the application process for assistance through such State loan funds;

“(D) practices to ensure that amounts in such State loan funds are used to provide loans, loan guarantees, or other authorized assistance in a timely fashion;

“(E) practices that support effective management of such State loan funds;

“(F) practices and tools to enhance financial management of such State loan funds; and

“(G) key financial measures for use in evaluating State loan fund operations, including—

“(i) measures of lending capacity, such as current assets and current liabilities or undisbursed loan assistance liability; and

“(ii) measures of growth or sustainability, such as return on net interest;

“(2) not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of America’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018, disseminate to the States best practices for administration of such State loan funds, based on the information collected pursuant to this subsection; and

“(3) periodically update such best practices, as appropriate.”.

SEC. 2016. AUTHORIZATION FOR SOURCE WATER PETITION PROGRAMS.

Section 1454(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-14(e)) is amended by striking “1997 through 2003” and inserting “2020 through 2021”.

SEC. 2017. REVIEW OF TECHNOLOGIES.

Part E of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j et seq.) is further amended by adding at the end the following new section: “SEC. 1459D. REVIEW OF TECHNOLOGIES.

“(a) REVIEW.—The Administrator, after consultation with appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government and with State and local governments, shall review (or enter into contracts or cooperative agreements to provide for a review of) existing and potential methods, means, equipment, and technologies (including review of cost, availability, and efficacy of such methods, means, equipment, and technologies) that—

“(1) ensure the physical integrity of community water systems;

“(2) prevent, detect, and respond to any contaminant for which a national primary drinking water regulation has been promulgated in community water systems and source water for community water systems;

“(3) allow for use of alternate drinking water supplies from nontraditional sources; and

“(4) facilitate source water assessment and protection.

“(b) INCLUSIONS.—The review under subsection (a) shall include review of methods, means, equipment, and technologies—

“(1) that are used for corrosion protection, metering, leak detection, or protection against water loss;

“(2) that are intelligent systems, including hardware, software, or other technology, used to assist in protection and detection described in paragraph (1);

“(3) that are point-of-use devices or point-of-entry devices;

“(4) that are physical or electronic systems that monitor, or assist in monitoring, contaminants in drinking water in real-time; and

“(5) that allow for the use of nontraditional sources for drinking water, including physical separation and chemical and biological transformation technologies.

“(c) AVAILABILITY.—The Administrator shall make the results of the review under subsection (a) available to the public.

“(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Administrator to carry out this section \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 2019, which shall remain available until expended.”.

SEC. 2018. SOURCE WATER.

(a) ADDRESSING SOURCE WATER USED FOR DRINKING WATER.—Section 304 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. 11004) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “State emergency planning commission” and inserting “State emergency response commission”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) ADDRESSING SOURCE WATER USED FOR DRINKING WATER.—

“(1) APPLICABLE STATE AGENCY NOTIFICATION.—A State emergency response commission shall—

“(A) promptly notify the applicable State agency of any release that requires notice under subsection (a);

“(B) provide to the applicable State agency the information identified in subsection (b)(2); and

“(C) provide to the applicable State agency a written followup emergency notice in accordance with subsection (c).

“(2) COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM NOTIFICATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—An applicable State agency receiving notice of a release under paragraph (1) shall—

“(i) promptly forward such notice to any community water system the source waters of which are affected by the release;

“(ii) forward to the community water system the information provided under paragraph (1)(B); and

“(iii) forward to the community water system the written followup emergency notice provided under paragraph (1)(C).

“(B) DIRECT NOTIFICATION.—In the case of a State that does not have an applicable State agency, the State emergency response commission shall provide the notices and information described in paragraph (1) directly to any community water system the source waters of which are affected by a release that requires notice under subsection (a).

“(3) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

“(A) COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM.—The term ‘community water system’ has the meaning given such term in section 1401(15) of the Safe Drinking Water Act.

“(B) APPLICABLE STATE AGENCY.—The term ‘applicable State agency’ means the State agency that has primary responsibility to enforce the requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act in the State.”.

(b) AVAILABILITY TO COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEMS.—Section 312(e) of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. 11022(e)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “State emergency planning commission” and inserting “State emergency response commission”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) AVAILABILITY TO COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEMS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—An affected community water system may have access to tier II information by submitting a request to the State emergency response commission or the local emergency planning committee. Upon receipt of a request for tier II information, the State commission or local committee shall, pursuant to paragraph (1), request the facility owner or operator for the tier II information and make available such information to the affected community water system.

“(B) DEFINITION.—In this paragraph, the term ‘affected community water system’ means a community water system (as defined in section 1401(15) of the Safe Drinking Water Act) that receives supplies of drinking water from a source water area, delineated under section 1453 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, in which a facility that is required to prepare and submit an inventory form under subsection (a)(1) is located.”.

SEC. 2019. REPORT ON FEDERAL CROSS-CUTTING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of a study, to be conducted in consultation with the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, any State agency that has primary responsibility to enforce the requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300f et seq.) in a State, and public water systems, to identify demonstrations of compliance

with a State or local environmental law that may be substantially equivalent to any demonstration required by the Administrator for compliance with a Federal cross-cutting requirement.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(1) FEDERAL CROSS-CUTTING REQUIREMENT.—The term “Federal cross-cutting requirement” means a requirement of a Federal law or regulation, compliance with which is a condition on receipt of a loan or loan guarantee pursuant to section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12), that, if applied with respect to projects and activities for which a public water system receives such a loan or loan guarantee, would be substantially equivalent to a requirement of an applicable State or local law.

(2) PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM.—The term “public water system” has the meaning given that term in section 1401 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300f).

SEC. 2020. ASSISTANCE FOR AREAS AFFECTED BY NATURAL DISASTERS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM.—The term “community water system” has the meaning given such term in section 1401(15) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300f(15)).

(2) ELIGIBLE STATE.—The term “eligible State” means a State, as defined in section 1401(13)(B) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300f(13)(B)).

(3) ELIGIBLE SYSTEM.—The term “eligible system” means a community water system—

(A) that serves an area for which, after January 1, 2017, the President under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.)—

(i) has issued a major disaster declaration; and

(ii) provided disaster assistance; or

(B) that is capable of extending its potable drinking water service into an underserved area.

(4) NATIONAL PRIMARY DRINKING WATER REGULATION.—The term “national primary drinking water regulation” means a national primary drinking water regulation under section 1412 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300g-1).

(5) UNDERSERVED AREA.—The term “underserved area” means a geographic area in an eligible State that—

(A) is served by a community water system serving fewer than 50,000 persons where delivery of, or access to, potable water is or was disrupted; and

(B) received disaster assistance pursuant to a declaration described in paragraph (3)(A).

(b) STATE REVOLVING LOAN FUND ASSISTANCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—An eligible State may use funds provided pursuant to subsection (e)(1) to provide assistance to an eligible system within the eligible State for the purpose of restoring or increasing compliance with national primary drinking water regulations in an underserved area.

(2) INCLUSION.—

(A) ADDITIONAL SUBSIDIZATION.—With respect to assistance provided under paragraph (1), an eligible system shall be eligible to receive loans with additional subsidization (including forgiveness of principal, negative-interest loans, or grants (or any combination thereof)) for the purpose described in paragraph (1).

(B) NONDESIGNATION.—Assistance provided under paragraph (1) may include additional subsidization, as described in subparagraph (A), even if the service area of the eligible system has not been designated by the applicable eligible State as a disadvantaged community pursuant to section 1452(d)(3) of the

Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(d)(3)).

(c) EXCLUSION.—Assistance provided under this section shall not include assistance for a project that is financed (directly or indirectly), in whole or in part, with proceeds of any obligation issued after the date of enactment of this Act the interest of which is exempt from the tax imposed under chapter 1 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(d) NONDUPLICATION OF WORK.—An activity carried out pursuant to this section shall not duplicate the work or activity of any other Federal or State department or agency.

(e) ADDITIONAL DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND CAPITALIZATION GRANTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency \$100,000,000 to provide additional capitalization grants pursuant to section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12) to eligible States, to be available—

(A) for a period of 24 months beginning on the date on which the funds are made available for the purpose described in subsection (b)(1); and

(B) after the end of such 24-month period, until expended for the purpose described in paragraph (3) of this subsection.

(2) SUPPLEMENTED INTENDED USE PLANS.—

(A) OBLIGATION OF AMOUNTS.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which an eligible State submits to the Administrator a supplemental intended use plan under section 1452(b) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(b)), from funds made available under paragraph (1), the Administrator shall obligate to such eligible State such amounts as are appropriate to address the needs identified in such supplemental intended use plan for the purpose described in subsection (b)(1).

(B) PLANS.—A supplemental intended use plan described in subparagraph (A) shall include information regarding projects to be funded using the assistance provided under subsection (b)(1), including, with respect to each such project—

(i) a description of the project;

(ii) an explanation of the means by which the project will restore or improve compliance with national primary drinking water regulations in an underserved area;

(iii) the estimated cost of the project; and

(iv) the projected start date for the project.

(3) UNOBLIGATED AMOUNTS.—Any amounts made available to the Administrator under paragraph (1) that are unobligated on the date that is 24 months after the date on which the amounts are made available shall be available for the purpose of providing additional grants to States to capitalize State loan funds as provided under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12).

(4) APPLICABILITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, all requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300f et seq.) shall apply to funding provided under this section.

(B) INTENDED USE PLANS.—Section 1452(b)(1) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(b)(1)) shall not apply to a supplemental intended use plan under paragraph (2).

(C) STATE CONTRIBUTION.—For amounts authorized to be appropriated under paragraph (1), the matching requirements in section 1452(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(e)) shall not apply to any funds provided to the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico under this section.

SEC. 2021. MONITORING FOR UNREGULATED CONTAMINANTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1445 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-4) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) MONITORING BY CERTAIN SYSTEMS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding subsection (a)(2)(A), the Administrator shall, subject to the availability of appropriations for such purpose—

“(A) require public water systems serving between 3,300 and 10,000 persons to monitor for unregulated contaminants in accordance with this section; and

“(B) ensure that only a representative sample of public water systems serving fewer than 3,300 persons are required to monitor.

“(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Paragraph (1) shall take effect 3 years after the date of enactment of this subsection.

“(3) LIMITATION.—Paragraph (1) shall take effect unless the Administrator determines that there is not sufficient laboratory capacity to accommodate the analysis necessary to carry out monitoring required under such paragraph.

“(4) LIMITATION ON ENFORCEMENT.—The Administrator may not enforce a requirement to monitor pursuant to paragraph (1) with respect to any public water system serving fewer than 3,300 persons, including by subjecting such a public water system to any civil penalty.

“(5) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated \$15,000,000 in each fiscal year for which monitoring is required to be carried out under this subsection for the Administrator to pay the reasonable cost of such testing and laboratory analysis as are necessary to carry out monitoring required under this subsection.”.

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section 1445(a)(2)(H) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-4(a)(2)(H)) is amended by striking “1997 through 2003” and inserting “2019 through 2021”.

(c) INCLUSION IN DATA BASE.—Section 1445(g)(7) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-4(g)(7)) is amended by—

(1) striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (B);

(2) redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (D); and

(3) inserting after subparagraph (B) the following:

“(C) if applicable, monitoring information collected by public water systems pursuant to subsection (j) that is not duplicative of monitoring information included in the data base under subparagraph (B) or (D); and”.

SEC. 2022. AMERICAN IRON AND STEEL PRODUCTS.

Section 1452(a)(4)(A) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(a)(4)(A)) is amended by striking “fiscal year 2017” and inserting “fiscal years 2019 through 2023”.

SEC. 2023. AUTHORIZATION FOR CAPITALIZATION GRANTS TO STATES FOR STATE DRINKING WATER TREATMENT REVOLVING LOAN FUNDS.

Section 1452(m) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12(m)) is amended—

(1) by striking the first sentence and inserting the following:

“(1) There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out the purposes of this section—

“(A) \$1,174,000,000 for fiscal year 2019;

“(B) \$1,300,000,000 for fiscal year 2020; and

“(C) \$1,950,000,000 for fiscal year 2021.”;

(2) by striking “To the extent amounts authorized to be” and inserting the following:

“(2) To the extent amounts authorized to be”;

(3) by striking “(prior to the fiscal year 2004)”.

TITLE III—ENERGY**SEC. 3001. MODERNIZING AUTHORIZATIONS FOR NECESSARY HYDROPOWER APPROVALS.**

(a) **PRELIMINARY PERMITS.**—Section 5 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 798) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “three” and inserting “4”; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “Commission may extend the period of a preliminary permit once for not more than 2 additional years beyond the 3 years” and inserting the following: “Commission may—

“(1) extend the period of a preliminary permit once for not more than 4 additional years beyond the 4 years”;

(B) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) after the end of an extension period granted under paragraph (1), issue an additional permit to the permittee if the Commission determines that there are extraordinary circumstances that warrant the issuance of the additional permit.”.

(b) **TIME LIMIT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PROJECT WORKS.**—Section 13 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 806) is amended in the second sentence by striking “once but not longer than two additional years” and inserting “for not more than 8 additional years.”.

(c) **OBLIGATION FOR PAYMENT OF ANNUAL CHARGES.**—Any obligation of a licensee or exemptee for the payment of annual charges under section 10(e) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 803(e)) for a project that has not commenced construction as of the date of enactment of this Act shall commence not earlier than the latest of—

(1) the date by which the licensee or exemptee is required to commence construction; or

(2) the date of any extension of the deadline under paragraph (1).

SEC. 3002. QUALIFYING CONDUIT HYDROPOWER FACILITIES.

Section 30(a) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 823a(a)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)(C), by striking “45 days” and inserting “30 days”; and

(2) in paragraph (3)(C)(ii), by striking “5” and inserting “40”.

SEC. 3003. PROMOTING HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT AT EXISTING NONPOWERED DAMS.

Part I of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 792 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 34. PROMOTING HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT AT EXISTING NONPOWERED DAMS.

“(a) **EXPEDITED LICENSING PROCESS FOR NON-FEDERAL HYDROPOWER PROJECTS AT EXISTING NONPOWERED DAMS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—As provided in this section, the Commission may issue and amend licenses, as appropriate, for any facility the Commission determines is a qualifying facility.

“(2) **RULE.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall issue a rule establishing an expedited process for issuing and amending licenses for qualifying facilities under this section.

“(3) **INTERAGENCY TASK FORCE.**—

“(A) In establishing the expedited process under this section, the Commission shall convene an interagency task force, with appropriate Federal and State agencies and Indian tribes represented, to coordinate the regulatory processes associated with the authorizations required to construct and operate a qualifying facility.

“(B) The task force shall develop procedures that are consistent with subsection (e)(1)(E) to seek to ensure that, for projects licensed pursuant to this section, the Commission and appropriate Federal and State agencies and Indian tribes shall exercise their authorities in a manner that, to the extent practicable, will not result in any material change to the storage, release, or flow operations of the associated nonpowered dam existing at the time an applicant files its license application.

“(4) **LENGTH OF PROCESS.**—The Commission shall seek to ensure that the expedited process under this section will result in a final decision on an application for a license by not later than 2 years after receipt of a completed application for the license.

“(b) **DAM SAFETY.**—

“(1) **ASSESSMENT.**—Before issuing any license for a qualifying facility, the Commission shall assess the safety of existing non-Federal dams and other non-Federal structures related to the qualifying facility (including possible consequences associated with failure of such structures).

“(2) **REQUIREMENTS.**—In issuing any license for a qualifying facility at a non-Federal dam, the Commission shall ensure that the Commission’s dam safety requirements apply to such qualifying facility, and the associated qualifying nonpowered dam, over the term of such license.

“(c) **INTERAGENCY COMMUNICATIONS.**—Interagency cooperation in the preparation of environmental documents under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) with respect to an application for a license for a qualifying facility under this section, and interagency communications relating to licensing process coordination pursuant to this section, shall not—

“(1) be considered to be ex parte communications under Commission rules; or

“(2) preclude an agency from participating in a licensing proceeding under this part, providing that any agency participating as a party in a licensing proceeding under this part shall, to the extent practicable, demonstrate a separation of staff cooperating with the Commission under the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and staff participating in the applicable proceeding under this part.

“(d) **IDENTIFICATION OF NONPOWERED DAMS FOR HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 12 months after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission, with the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Interior, and the Secretary of Agriculture, shall jointly develop a list of existing nonpowered Federal dams that the Commission and the Secretaries agree have the greatest potential for non-Federal hydropower development.

“(2) **CONSIDERATIONS.**—In developing the list under paragraph (1), the Commission and the Secretaries may consider the following:

“(A) The compatibility of hydropower generation with existing purposes of the dam.

“(B) The proximity of the dam to existing transmission resources.

“(C) The existence of studies to characterize environmental, cultural, and historic resources relating to the dam.

“(D) The effects of hydropower development on release or flow operations of the dam.

“(3) **AVAILABILITY.**—The Commission shall—

“(A) provide the list developed under paragraph (1) to—

“(i) the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and the Committee on Natural Resources, of the House of Representatives; and

“(ii) the Committee on Environment and Public Works, and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, of the Senate; and

“(B) make such list available to the public.

“(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of this section:

“(1) **QUALIFYING CRITERIA.**—The term ‘qualifying criteria’ means, with respect to a facility—

“(A) as of the date of enactment of this section, the facility is not licensed under, or exempted from the license requirements contained in, this part;

“(B) the facility will be associated with a qualifying nonpowered dam;

“(C) the facility will be constructed, operated, and maintained for the generation of electric power;

“(D) the facility will use for such generation any withdrawals, diversions, releases, or flows from the associated qualifying nonpowered dam, including its associated impoundment or other infrastructure; and

“(E) the operation of the facility will not result in any material change to the storage, release, or flow operations of the associated qualifying nonpowered dam.

“(2) **QUALIFYING FACILITY.**—The term ‘qualifying facility’ means a facility that is determined under this section to meet the qualifying criteria.

“(3) **QUALIFYING NONPOWERED DAM.**—The term ‘qualifying nonpowered dam’ means any dam, dike, embankment, or other barrier—

“(A) the construction of which was completed on or before the date of enactment of this section;

“(B) that is or was operated for the control, release, or distribution of water for agricultural, municipal, navigational, industrial, commercial, environmental, recreational, aesthetic, drinking water, or flood control purposes; and

“(C) that, as of the date of enactment of this section, is not generating electricity with hydropower generating works that are licensed under, or exempted from the license requirements contained in, this part.

“(f) **SAVINGS CLAUSE.**—Nothing in this section affects—

“(1) any authority of the Commission to license a facility at a nonpowered dam under this part; and

“(2) any authority of the Commission to issue an exemption to a small hydroelectric power project under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978.”.

SEC. 3004. CLOSED-LOOP PUMPED STORAGE PROJECTS.

Part I of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 792 et seq.), as amended, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 35. CLOSED-LOOP PUMPED STORAGE PROJECTS.

“(a) **EXPEDITED LICENSING PROCESS FOR CLOSED-LOOP PUMPED STORAGE PROJECTS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—As provided in this section, the Commission may issue and amend licenses, as appropriate, for closed-loop pumped storage projects.

“(2) **RULE.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall issue a rule establishing an expedited process for issuing and amending licenses for closed-loop pumped storage projects under this section.

“(3) **INTERAGENCY TASK FORCE.**—In establishing the expedited process under this section, the Commission shall convene an interagency task force, with appropriate Federal and State agencies and Indian tribes represented, to coordinate the regulatory processes associated with the authorizations required to construct and operate closed-loop pumped storage projects.

“(4) LENGTH OF PROCESS.—The Commission shall seek to ensure that the expedited process under this section will result in final decision on an application for a license by not later than 2 years after receipt of a completed application for such license.

“(b) DAM SAFETY.—Before issuing any license for a closed-loop pumped storage project, the Commission shall assess the safety of existing dams and other structures related to the project (including possible consequences associated with failure of such structures).

“(c) EXCEPTIONS FROM OTHER REQUIREMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In issuing or amending a license for a closed-loop pumped storage project pursuant to the expedited process established under this section, the Commission may grant an exception from any other requirement of this part with respect to any part of the closed-loop pumped storage project (not including any dam or other impoundment).

“(2) CONSULTATION.—In granting an exception under paragraph (1), the Commission shall consult with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Marine Fisheries Service, and the State agency exercising administration over the fish and wildlife resources of the State in which the closed-loop pumped storage project is or will be located, in the manner provided by the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.).

“(3) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—In granting an exception under paragraph (1), the Commission shall include in any such exception—

“(A) such terms and conditions as the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Marine Fisheries Service, and the State agency described in paragraph (2) each determine are appropriate to prevent loss of, or damage to, fish and wildlife resources and to otherwise carry out the purposes of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act; and

“(B) such terms and conditions as the Commission deems appropriate to ensure that such closed-loop pumped storage project continues to comply with the provisions of this section and terms and conditions included in any such exception.

“(4) FEES.—The Commission, in addition to the requirements of section 10(e), shall establish fees which shall be paid by an applicant for a license for a closed-loop pumped storage project that is required to meet terms and conditions set by fish and wildlife agencies under paragraph (3). Such fees shall be adequate to reimburse the fish and wildlife agencies referred to in paragraph (3) for any reasonable costs incurred in connection with any studies or other reviews carried out by such agencies for purposes of compliance with this section. The fees shall, subject to annual appropriations Acts, be transferred to such agencies by the Commission for use solely for purposes of carrying out such studies and shall remain available until expended.

“(d) TRANSFERS.—Notwithstanding section 5, and regardless of whether the holder of a preliminary permit for a closed-loop pumped storage project claimed municipal preference under section 7(a) when obtaining the permit, on request by a municipality, the Commission may, to facilitate development of a closed-loop pumped storage project—

“(1) add entities as joint permittees following issuance of a preliminary permit; and

“(2) transfer a license in part to one or more nonmunicipal entities as co-licensees with a municipality, if the municipality retains majority ownership of the project for which the license was issued.

“(e) INTERAGENCY COMMUNICATIONS.—Interagency cooperation in the preparation of environmental documents under the National

Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) with respect to an application for a license for a closed-loop pumped storage project submitted pursuant to this section, and interagency communications relating to licensing process coordination pursuant to this section, shall not—

“(1) be considered to be ex parte communications under Commission rules; or

“(2) preclude an agency from participating in a licensing proceeding under this part, providing that any agency participating as a party in a licensing proceeding under this part shall, to the extent practicable, demonstrate a separation of staff cooperating with the Commission under the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and staff participating in the applicable proceeding under this part.

“(f) DEVELOPING ABANDONED MINES FOR PUMPED STORAGE.—

“(1) WORKSHOP.—Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall hold a workshop to explore potential opportunities for development of closed-loop pumped storage projects at abandoned mine sites.

“(2) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, the Commission shall issue guidance to assist applicants for licenses or preliminary permits for closed-loop pumped storage projects at abandoned mine sites.

“(g) QUALIFYING CRITERIA FOR CLOSED-LOOP PUMPED STORAGE PROJECTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall establish criteria that a pumped storage project shall meet in order to qualify as a closed-loop pumped storage project eligible for the expedited process established under this section.

“(2) INCLUSIONS.—In establishing the criteria under paragraph (1), the Commission shall include criteria requiring that the pumped storage project—

“(A) cause little to no change to existing surface and ground water flows and uses; and

“(B) is unlikely to adversely affect species listed as a threatened species or endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973.

“(h) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section affects any authority of the Commission to license a closed-loop pumped storage project under this part.”

SEC. 3005. CONSIDERATIONS FOR RELICENSING TERMS.

Part I of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 792 et seq.), as amended, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 36. CONSIDERATIONS FOR RELICENSING TERMS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—In determining the term of a new license issued when an existing license under this part expires, the Commission shall take into consideration, among other things—

“(1) project-related investments by the licensee under the new license; and

“(2) project-related investments by the licensee over the term of the existing license.

“(b) EQUAL WEIGHT.—The determination of the Commission under subsection (a) shall give equal weight to—

“(1) investments by the licensee to implement the new license under this part, including investments relating to redevelopment, new construction, new capacity, efficiency, modernization, rehabilitation or replacement of major equipment, safety improvements, or environmental, recreation, or other protection, mitigation, or enhancement measures required or authorized by the new license; and

“(2) investments by the licensee over the term of the existing license (including any terms under annual licenses) that—

“(A) resulted in redevelopment, new construction, new capacity, efficiency, modernization, rehabilitation or replacement of major equipment, safety improvements, or environmental, recreation, or other protection, mitigation, or enhancement measures conducted over the term of the existing license; and

“(B) were not expressly considered by the Commission as contributing to the length of the existing license term in any order establishing or extending the existing license term.

“(c) COMMISSION DETERMINATION.—At the request of the licensee, the Commission shall make a determination as to whether any planned, ongoing, or completed investment meets the criteria under subsection (b)(2). Any determination under this subsection shall be issued within 60 days following receipt of the licensee's request. When issuing its determination under this subsection, the Commission shall not assess the incremental number of years that the investment may add to the new license term. All such assessment shall occur only as provided in subsection (a).”

SEC. 3006. FAIR RATEPAYER ACCOUNTABILITY, TRANSPARENCY, AND EFFICIENCY STANDARDS.

Section 205 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824d) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(g) INACTION OF COMMISSIONERS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a change described in subsection (d), if the Commission permits the 60-day period established therein to expire without issuing an order accepting or denying the change because the Commissioners are divided two against two as to the lawfulness of the change, as a result of vacancy, incapacity, or recusal on the Commission, or if the Commission lacks a quorum—

“(A) the failure to issue an order accepting or denying the change by the Commission shall be considered to be an order issued by the Commission accepting the change for purposes of section 313(a); and

“(B) each Commissioner shall add to the record of the Commission a written statement explaining the views of the Commissioner with respect to the change.

“(2) APPEAL.—If, pursuant to this subsection, a person seeks a rehearing under section 313(a), and the Commission fails to act on the merits of the rehearing request by the date that is 30 days after the date of the rehearing request because the Commissioners are divided two against two, as a result of vacancy, incapacity, or recusal on the Commission, or if the Commission lacks a quorum, such person may appeal under section 313(b).”

SEC. 3007. J. BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY HYDROPOWER EXTENSION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the time period specified in section 13 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 806) that would otherwise apply to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission project numbers 12756, 12757, and 12758, the Commission may, at the request of the licensee for the applicable project, and after reasonable notice, in accordance with the good faith, due diligence, and public interest requirements of that section and the Commission's procedures under that section, extend the time period during which such license is required to commence the construction of its applicable project for up to 3 consecutive 2-year periods from the date of the expiration of the extension originally issued by the Commission under that section for such project.

(b) OBLIGATION FOR PAYMENT OF ANNUAL CHARGES.—Any obligation of a licensee for a project described in subsection (a) for the payment of annual charges under section

10(e) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 803(e)) shall commence when the construction of the project commences.

(C) REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE; EFFECTIVE DATE FOR EXTENSION.—

(1) REINSTATEMENT.—If the time period required for commencement of construction of a project described in subsection (a) has expired prior to the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commission may reinstate the license for such project, effective as of the date of the expiration of the license.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE FOR EXTENSION.—If the Commission reinstates a license under paragraph (1) for a project, the first extension authorized under subsection (a) with respect to such project shall take effect on the effective date of such reinstatement under paragraph (1).

SEC. 3008. STAY AND REINSTATEMENT OF FERC LICENSE NO. 11393 FOR THE MAHONEY LAKE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) COMMISSION.—The term “Commission” means the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

(2) LICENSE.—The term “license” means the license for the Commission project numbered 11393.

(3) LICENSEE.—The term “licensee” means the holder of the license.

(b) STAY OF LICENSE.—On the request of the licensee, the Commission shall issue an order continuing the stay of the license.

(c) LIFTING OF STAY.—On the request of the licensee, but not later than 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission shall—

(1) issue an order lifting the stay of the license under subsection (b); and

(2) make the effective date of the license the date on which the stay is lifted under paragraph (1).

(d) EXTENSION OF LICENSE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the time period specified in section 13 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 806) that would otherwise apply to the Commission project numbered 11393, the Commission may, at the request of the licensee, and after reasonable notice, in accordance with the good faith, due diligence, and public interest requirements of, and the procedures of the Commission under, that section, extend the time period during which the licensee is required to commence the construction of the project for not more than 3 consecutive 2-year periods from the date of the expiration of the extension originally issued by the Commission.

(2) REINSTATEMENT OF EXPIRED LICENSE.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—If the period required for the commencement of construction of the project described in paragraph (1) has expired prior to the date of enactment of this Act, the Commission may reinstate the license effective as of the date of the expiration of the license.

(B) EXTENSION.—If the Commission reinstates the license under subparagraph (A), the first extension authorized under paragraph (1) shall take effect on the date of that expiration.

(e) EFFECT.—Nothing in this Act prioritizes, or creates any advantage or disadvantage to, Commission project numbered 11393 under Federal law, including the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a et seq.) or the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 2601 et seq.), as compared to—

(1) any electric generating facility in existence on the date of enactment of this Act; or

(2) any electric generating facility that may be examined, proposed, or developed during the period of any stay or extension of the license under this Act.

SEC. 3009. STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE DRAWDOWN.

(a) DRAWDOWN AND SALE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 161 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6241), except as provided in subsection (b), the Secretary of Energy shall draw down and sell 5,000,000 barrels of crude oil from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve during fiscal year 2028.

(2) DEPOSIT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED FROM SALE.—Amounts received from a sale under paragraph (1) shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury during the fiscal year in which the sale occurs.

(b) EMERGENCY PROTECTION.—The Secretary of Energy may not draw down and sell crude oil under this section in quantities that would limit the authority to sell petroleum products under subsection (h) of section 161 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6241) in the full quantity authorized by that subsection.

TITLE IV—OTHER MATTERS

Subtitle A—Clean Water

SEC. 4101. STORMWATER INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING TASK FORCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall establish a stormwater infrastructure funding task force composed of representatives of Federal, State, and local governments and private (including nonprofit) entities to conduct a study on, and develop recommendations to improve, the availability of public and private sources of funding for the construction, rehabilitation, and operation and maintenance of stormwater infrastructure to meet the requirements of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.).

(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the task force shall—

(1) identify existing Federal, State, and local public sources and private sources of funding for stormwater infrastructure; and

(2) consider—

(A) how funding for stormwater infrastructure from such sources has been made available, and utilized, in each State to address stormwater infrastructure needs identified pursuant to section 516(b)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1375(b)(1));

(B) how the source of funding affects the affordability of the infrastructure (as determined based on the considerations used to assess the financial capability of municipalities under the integrated planning guidelines described in the Integrated Municipal Stormwater and Wastewater Planning Approach Framework, issued by the Environmental Protection Agency on June 5, 2012, and dated May, 2012), including consideration of the costs associated with financing the infrastructure; and

(C) whether such sources of funding are sufficient to support capital expenditures and long-term operation and maintenance costs necessary to meet the stormwater infrastructure needs of municipalities.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall submit to Congress a report that describes the results of the study conducted, and the recommendations developed, under subsection (a).

(d) STATE DEFINED.—In this section, the term “State” has the meaning given that term in section 502 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1362).

SEC. 4102. WASTEWATER TECHNOLOGY CLEARINGHOUSE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall—

(A) for each of the programs described in paragraph (2), update the information for those programs to include information on

cost-effective and alternative wastewater recycling and treatment technologies, including onsite and decentralized systems; and

(B) disseminate to units of local government and nonprofit organizations seeking Federal funds for wastewater technology information on the cost effectiveness of alternative wastewater treatment and recycling technologies, including onsite and decentralized systems.

(2) PROGRAMS DESCRIBED.—The programs referred to in paragraph (1)(A) are programs that provide technical assistance for wastewater management, including—

(A) programs for nonpoint source management under section 319 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1329); and

(B) the permit program for the disposal of sewer sludge under section 405 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1345).

(b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and not less frequently than every 3 years thereafter, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall submit to Congress a report that describes—

(1) the type and amount of information provided under subsection (a) to units of local government and nonprofit organizations regarding alternative wastewater treatment and recycling technologies;

(2) the States and regions that have made greatest use of alternative wastewater treatment and recycling technologies; and

(3) the actions taken by the Administrator to assist States in the deployment of alternative wastewater treatment and recycling technologies, including onsite and decentralized systems.

SEC. 4103. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR TREATMENT WORKS.

(a) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—Section 104 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1254) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (6);

(B) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (7) and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(8) make grants to nonprofit organizations—

“(A) to provide technical assistance to rural, small, and tribal municipalities for the purpose of assisting, in consultation with the State in which the assistance is provided, such municipalities and tribal governments in the planning, developing, and acquisition of financing for eligible projects and activities described in section 603(c);

“(B) to provide technical assistance and training for rural, small, and tribal publicly owned treatment works and decentralized wastewater treatment systems to enable such treatment works and systems to protect water quality and achieve and maintain compliance with the requirements of this Act; and

“(C) to disseminate information to rural, small, and tribal municipalities and municipalities that meet the affordability criteria established under section 603(i)(2) by the State in which the municipality is located with respect to planning, design, construction, and operation of publicly owned treatment works and decentralized wastewater treatment systems.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(w) NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION.—For purposes of subsection (b)(8), the term ‘nonprofit organization’ means a nonprofit organization that the Administrator determines, after consultation with the States regarding what small publicly owned treatments works in

the State find to be most beneficial and effective, is qualified and experienced in providing on-site training and technical assistance to small publicly owned treatment works.”.

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section 104(u) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1254(u)) is amended—

(1) by striking “and (6)” and inserting “(6)”;

(2) by inserting before the period at the end the following: “; and (7) not to exceed \$25,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 through 2023 for carrying out subsections (b)(3), (b)(8), and (g)”.

SEC. 4104. AMENDMENTS TO LONG ISLAND SOUND PROGRAMS.

(a) LONG ISLAND SOUND RESTORATION PROGRAM.—Section 119 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1269) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “Management Conference of the Long Island Sound Study” and inserting “conference study”;

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in each of subparagraphs (A) through (G), by striking the commas at the end of the subparagraphs and inserting semicolons;

(ii) in subparagraph (H), by striking “, and” and inserting a semicolon;

(iii) in subparagraph (I), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(iv) by adding at the end the following:

“(J) environmental vulnerabilities of the Long Island Sound watershed, including—

“(i) the identification and assessment of such vulnerabilities in the watershed;

“(ii) the development and implementation of adaptation strategies to reduce such vulnerabilities; and

“(iii) the identification and assessment of the effects of sea level rise on water quality, habitat, and infrastructure; and”;

(C) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the following:

“(4) develop and implement strategies to increase public education and awareness with respect to the ecological health and water quality conditions of Long Island Sound;”.

(D) in paragraph (5), by inserting “study” after “conference”;

(E) in paragraph (6)—

(i) by inserting “(including on a publicly accessible website)” after “the public”; and

(ii) by inserting “study” after “conference”; and

(F) by striking paragraph (7) and inserting the following:

“(7) monitor the progress made toward meeting the identified goals, actions, and schedules of the Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan, including through the implementation and support of a monitoring system for the ecological health and water quality conditions of Long Island Sound; and”;

(2) in subsection (d)(3), in the second sentence, by striking “50 per centum” and inserting “60 percent”;

(3) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (h); and

(4) by inserting after subsection (e) the following:

“(f) REPORT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, and biennially thereafter, the Director of the Office, in consultation with the Governor of each Long Island Sound State, shall submit to Congress a report that—

“(A) summarizes and assesses the progress made by the Office and the Long Island Sound States in implementing the Long Island Sound Comprehensive Conservation and

Management Plan, including an assessment of the progress made toward meeting the performance goals and milestones contained in the Plan;

“(B) assesses the key ecological attributes that reflect the health of the ecosystem of the Long Island Sound watershed;

“(C) describes any substantive modifications to the Long Island Sound Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan made during the 2-year period preceding the date of submission of the report;

“(D) provides specific recommendations to improve progress in restoring and protecting the Long Island Sound watershed, including, as appropriate, proposed modifications to the Long Island Sound Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan;

“(E) identifies priority actions for implementation of the Long Island Sound Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan for the 2-year period following the date of submission of the report; and

“(F) describes the means by which Federal funding and actions will be coordinated with the actions of the Long Island Sound States and other entities.

“(2) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Administrator shall make the report described in paragraph (1) available to the public, including on a publicly accessible website.

“(g) FEDERAL ENTITIES.—

“(1) COORDINATION.—The Administrator shall coordinate the actions of all Federal departments and agencies that affect water quality in the Long Island Sound watershed in order to improve the water quality and living resources of the watershed.

“(2) METHODS.—In carrying out this section, the Administrator, acting through the Director of the Office, may—

“(A) enter into interagency agreements; and

“(B) make intergovernmental personnel appointments.

“(4) CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT PLAN.—To the maximum extent practicable, the head of each Federal department or agency that owns or occupies real property, or carries out activities, within the Long Island Sound watershed shall ensure that the property and all activities carried out by the department or agency are consistent with the Long Island Sound Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan (including any related subsequent agreements and plans).”.

(b) LONG ISLAND SOUND STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM.—Section 8(g) of the Long Island Sound Stewardship Act of 2006 (33 U.S.C. 1269 note; Public Law 109-359) is amended by striking “2011” and inserting “2021”.

(c) REAUTHORIZATION OF LONG ISLAND SOUND PROGRAMS.—

(1) LONG ISLAND SOUND GRANTS.—Subsection (h) of section 119 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1269) (as redesignated by subsection (a)) is amended to read as follows:

“(h) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Administrator to carry out this section \$40,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 through 2023.”.

(2) LONG ISLAND SOUND STEWARDSHIP GRANTS.—Section 11(a) of the Long Island Sound Stewardship Act of 2006 (33 U.S.C. 1269 note; Public Law 109-359) is amended by striking “2007 through 2011” and inserting “2019 through 2023”.

SEC. 4105. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN RESTORATION.

Section 123(d) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1275(d)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(6) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to

carry out this subsection \$30,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2020 and 2021.”.

SEC. 4106. SEWER OVERFLOW CONTROL GRANTS.

Section 221 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1301) is amended—

(1) by amending the section heading to read as follows: “SEWER OVERFLOW AND STORMWATER REUSE MUNICIPAL GRANTS”;

(2) by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) GRANTS TO STATES.—The Administrator may make grants to States for the purpose of providing grants to a municipality or municipal entity for planning, design, and construction of—

“(A) treatment works to intercept, transport, control, treat, or reuse municipal combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or stormwater; and

“(B) any other measures to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water eligible for assistance under section 603(c).

“(2) DIRECT MUNICIPAL GRANTS.—Subject to subsection (g), the Administrator may make a direct grant to a municipality or municipal entity for the purposes described in paragraph (1).”;

(3) by amending subsection (e) to read as follows:

“(e) ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS.—A project that receives assistance under this section shall be carried out subject to the same requirements as a project that receives assistance from a State water pollution control revolving fund under title VI, except to the extent that the Governor of the State in which the project is located determines that a requirement of title VI is inconsistent with the purposes of this section. For the purposes of this subsection, a Governor may not determine that the requirements of title VI relating to the application of section 513 are inconsistent with the purposes of this section.”;

(4) by amending subsection (f) to read as follows:

“(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$225,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 through 2020.

“(2) MINIMUM ALLOCATIONS.—To the extent there are sufficient eligible project applications, the Administrator shall ensure that a State uses not less than 20 percent of the amount of the grants made to the State under subsection (a) in a fiscal year to carry out projects to intercept, transport, control, treat, or reuse municipal combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or stormwater through the use of green infrastructure, water and energy efficiency improvements, and other environmentally innovative activities.”; and

(5) by amending subsection (g) to read as follows:

“(g) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—

“(1) FISCAL YEAR 2019.—Subject to subsection (h), the Administrator shall use the amounts appropriated to carry out this section for fiscal year 2019 for making grants to municipalities and municipal entities under subsection (a)(2) in accordance with the criteria set forth in subsection (b).

“(2) FISCAL YEAR 2020 AND THEREAFTER.—Subject to subsection (h), the Administrator shall use the amounts appropriated to carry out this section for fiscal year 2020 and each fiscal year thereafter for making grants to States under subsection (a)(1) in accordance with a formula to be established by the Administrator, after providing notice and an opportunity for public comment, that allocates to each State a proportional share of such amounts based on the total needs of the

State for municipal combined sewer overflow controls, sanitary sewer overflow controls, and stormwater identified in the most recent detailed estimate and comprehensive study submitted pursuant to section 516 and any other information the Administrator considers appropriate.”.

SEC. 4107. ASSISTANCE FOR INDIVIDUAL HOUSEHOLD DECENTRALIZED WASTEWATER SYSTEMS OF INDIVIDUALS WITH LOW OR MODERATE INCOME.

(a) **PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES ELIGIBLE FOR ASSISTANCE.**—Section 603 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1383) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (10);

(B) by striking “Act,” at the end of paragraph (11) and inserting “Act; and”; and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (11) the following:

“(12) to any qualified nonprofit entity, as determined by the Administrator, to provide assistance to an eligible individual (as defined in subsection (j))—

“(A) for the repair or replacement of existing individual household decentralized wastewater treatment systems; or

“(B) in a case in which an eligible individual resides in a household that could be cost-effectively connected to an available publicly owned treatment works, for the connection of the applicable household to such treatment works.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(j) **DEFINITION OF ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUAL.**—In subsection (c)(12), the term ‘eligible individual’ means a member of a household, the members of which have a combined income (for the most recent 12-month period for which information is available) equal to not more than 50 percent of the median non-metropolitan household income for the State in which the household is located, according to the most recent decennial census.”.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this section, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report describing—

(1) the prevalence throughout the United States of low- and moderate-income households without access to a treatment works; and

(2) the use by States of assistance under section 603(c)(12) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.

Subtitle B—WIFIA Reauthorization and Innovative Financing for State Loan Funds
SEC. 4201. WIFIA REAUTHORIZATION AND INNOVATIVE FINANCING FOR STATE LOAN FUNDS.

(a) **WIFIA REAUTHORIZATION.**—

(1) **AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE.**—Section 5023 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3902) is amended—

(A) by striking “pilot” each place it appears; and

(B) in subsection (b)(1), by inserting “provide financial assistance to” before “carry out”.

(2) **DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY AND PROJECT SELECTION.**—Section 5028(a)(1)(E) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3907(a)(1)(E)) is amended to read as follows:

“(E) **SPECIAL RULE FOR CERTAIN COMBINED PROJECTS.**—The Administrator shall develop a credit evaluation process for a Federal credit instrument provided to—

“(i) a State infrastructure financing authority for a project under section 5026(9),

which may include requiring the provision of a final rating opinion letter from at least 1 rating agency; or

“(ii) an entity for a project under section 5026(10), which may include requiring the provision of a final rating opinion letter from at least 2 rating agencies.”.

(3) **REPAYMENTS.**—Section 5029(c)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3908(c)(2)(B)) is amended—

(A) by striking “Scheduled” and inserting the following:

“(i) **TIMING OF SCHEDULED LOAN REPAYMENTS.**—Scheduled”; and

(B) by adding at the end:

“(ii) **REPAYMENTS.**—None of the funds for repayment of a secured loan under this title from a State infrastructure financing authority may come from funds provided to a State revolving loan fund under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1381 et seq.) or section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12).”.

(4) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Section 5033 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3912) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (5) as subparagraphs (A) through (E), respectively, and indenting appropriately;

(ii) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) (as so redesignated), by striking “There is” and inserting the following:

“(1) **FISCAL YEARS 2015 THROUGH 2019.**—There are”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) **FISCAL YEARS 2020 AND 2021.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Administrator to carry out this subtitle \$50,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2020 and 2021, to remain available until expended.”; and

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) by striking “Of the funds” and inserting the following:

“(1) **FISCAL YEARS 2015 THROUGH 2019.**—Of the funds”; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) **FISCAL YEARS 2020 AND 2021.**—Of the funds made available to carry out this subtitle, the Administrator may use for the administration of this subtitle, including for the provision of technical assistance to aid project sponsors in obtaining the necessary approvals for the project, not more than \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2020 and 2021.”.

(b) **INNOVATIVE FINANCING FOR STATE LOAN FUNDS.**—

(1) **MAXIMUM FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT.**—Section 5029(b)(9) of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3908(b)(9)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(C) **EXCEPTION FOR PROJECTS FUNDED BY A STATE INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING AUTHORITY.**—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), a State infrastructure financing authority may finance up to 100 percent of the costs of a project using the proceeds of financial assistance authorized under section 5033(e), provided that, in the event of a default with respect to any such assistance, the State infrastructure financing authority is solely responsible for immediate repayment of such costs.”.

(2) **PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION.**—Section 5030 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3909) is amended—

(A) in subsection (b), by inserting after paragraph (1) the following:

“(2) **PROHIBITION ON PASS THROUGH FEES.**—The Administrator, in the case where a State infrastructure financing authority obtains financial assistance under section 5033(e), shall require as a condition of obtaining such assistance, that the State infrastructure fi-

nancing authority is prohibited from passing any portion of the fees required under section 5029(b)(7) to any party that utilizes any portion of such assistance for a project funded by such authority.”; and

(B) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (h) and inserting after subsection (d) the following:

“(e) **SPECIAL RULE FOR STATE REVIEWS OF PROJECTS FOR STATE INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING AUTHORITIES.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—A project described in section 5026(9) for which funding is provided under this title shall comply with any applicable State environmental or engineering review requirements pursuant to, as applicable—

“(A) title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1381 et seq.); and

“(B) section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12).

“(2) **NO NEW REVIEWS REQUIRED.**—Nothing in this title requires any additional or new environmental or engineering review for a project described in section 5026(9) for which funding is provided, other than any requirement otherwise applicable to the project.

“(f) **SPECIAL RULE FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS FROM STATE INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING AUTHORITIES.**—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the Administrator receives a complete application from a State infrastructure financing authority for a project under section 5026(9), the Administrator shall, through a written notice to the State infrastructure financing authority—

“(1) approve the application; or

“(2) provide detailed guidance and an explanation of any changes to the application necessary for approval of the application.”.

(3) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Section 5033 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3912) is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) **ASSISTANCE FOR STATE INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING AUTHORITIES.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—With respect to fiscal years 2020 and 2021, if the Administrator has available for obligation in a fiscal year at least \$50,000,000, there is authorized to be appropriated to the Administrator \$5,000,000 for that fiscal year to provide financial assistance for projects described in section 5026(9) to State infrastructure financing authorities.

“(2) **NO IMPACT ON OTHER FEDERAL FUNDING.**—No funds shall be made available in a fiscal year to the Administrator for purposes of this subsection if—

“(A) the total amount appropriated for the fiscal year for State loan funds under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act is less than either the amount made available for such purpose in fiscal year 2018, or 105 percent of the previous fiscal year's appropriation for such purpose, whichever is greater; and

“(B) the total amount appropriated for the fiscal year for water pollution control revolving funds under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act is less than either the amount made available for such purpose for fiscal year 2018, or 105 percent of the previous fiscal year's appropriation for such purpose, whichever is greater.

“(3) **INCLUSION IN AGREEMENT.**—If the Administrator provides financial assistance to a State infrastructure financing authority under section 5029 using funds made available pursuant to this subsection, the Administrator shall specify in the agreement under such section the amount of such assistance that is attributable to such funds.”.

(c) **ADMINISTRATION OF WIFIA PROGRAM.**—Section 5030 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3909),

as amended by subsection (b), is further amended by inserting after subsection (f) the following:

“(g) AGREEMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (3) and (4), the Administrator may enter into an agreement with another relevant Federal agency to provide assistance in administering and servicing Federal credit instruments that such agency is authorized to make available.

“(2) DUTIES.—The Administrator may act as an agent for the head of another Federal agency under paragraph (1), subject to the terms of any agreement entered into by the Administrator and the head of such other agency under such clause.

“(3) TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—The authority of the Administrator to provide assistance under paragraph (1) is subject to—

“(A) the availability of funds appropriated to the other Federal agency that may be transferred to the Administrator to carry out an agreement entered into under paragraph (1); and

“(B) the transfer of such funds to the Administrator to carry out such an agreement.

“(4) LIMITATION.—Nothing in this subsection affects the authority of the Administrator with respect to the selection of projects described in paragraphs (1), (8), or (10) of section 5026 to receive financial assistance under this subtitle.”.

(d) REPORTS ON PILOT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION.—Section 5034 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3913) is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “PILOT”; and

(2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “4 years after the date of enactment of this Act” and inserting “3 years after the date of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018”.

Subtitle C—Miscellaneous

SEC. 4301. AGREEMENT WITH COMMISSIONER OF RECLAMATION.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency and the Commissioner of Reclamation shall enter into an agreement under section 5030(g) of the Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (as added by this Act).

SEC. 4302. SNAKE RIVER BASIN FLOOD PREVENTION ACTION PLAN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commissioner of Reclamation, in consultation with the Secretary of the Army, shall develop a flood prevention action plan for each State or portion of a State within the Snake River Basin.

(b) REQUIREMENTS.—A flood prevention action plan developed under subsection (a) shall—

(1) focus on the areas most likely to experience flooding within the 2 years following the date of enactment of this Act;

(2) include steps to manage and reduce flood risks within the Snake River Basin; and

(3) include a description of the actions the Secretary and the Commissioner of Reclamation plan to take to improve coordination with local stakeholders to help manage and reduce flood risks in the areas described in paragraph (1).

(c) SUBMISSION.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, after coordinating with local stakeholders, the Commissioner of Reclamation shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate, and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the Committee on Natural Re-

sources of the House of Representatives, the flood prevention plans developed under subsection (a).

SEC. 4303. GAO AUDIT OF CONTRACTS AND TAINTER GATE REPAIRS OF HARLAN COUNTY DAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall—

(1) conduct an audit of the extraordinary maintenance repayment contracts No. 16XX630077 and No. 16XX630076 between the United States and the Bostwick Division for repairs to the Tainter gates and other features at Harlan County Dam, including—

(A) an examination of whether—

(i) the Corps of Engineers should have designated the Tainter gate rehabilitation as a “Dam Safety Modification”, subject to the cost-sharing requirements under section 1203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 467n), instead of an “extraordinary maintenance project”; and

(ii) a more appropriate cost share should have applied to the Bostwick Division;

(B) a review of—

(i) the amounts owed by the Bostwick Division to the Bureau of Reclamation; and

(ii) any reimbursements owed by the Corps of Engineers to the Bureau of Reclamation based on the actual costs of the project after completion; and

(C) a review of project designations and cost-share policies of the Bureau of Reclamation and other Federal agencies for similar spillway gate repairs; and

(2) submit to Congress a report on the results of the audit under paragraph (1).

(b) TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS.—Payments made after the date of enactment of this Act by the Bostwick Division to the Bureau of Reclamation under the contracts described in subsection (a)(1) shall be—

(1) deposited into a no-year account; and

(2) disbursed to the Bureau of Reclamation upon submission of the report under subsection (a)(2).

SEC. 4304. WATER INFRASTRUCTURE AND WORKFORCE INVESTMENT.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) water and wastewater utilities provide a unique opportunity for access to stable, high-quality careers;

(2) as water and wastewater utilities make critical investments in infrastructure, water and wastewater utilities can invest in the development of local workers and local small businesses to strengthen communities and ensure a strong pipeline of skilled and diverse workers for today and tomorrow; and

(3) to further the goal of ensuring a strong pipeline of skilled and diverse workers in the water and wastewater utilities sector, Congress urges—

(A) increased collaboration among Federal, State, and local governments; and

(B) institutions of higher education, apprentice programs, high schools, and other community-based organizations to align workforce training programs and community resources with water and wastewater utilities to accelerate career pipelines and provide access to workforce opportunities.

(b) INNOVATIVE WATER INFRASTRUCTURE WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.—

(1) GRANTS AUTHORIZED.—The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (referred to in this section as the “Administrator”), in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, shall establish a competitive grant program—

(A) to assist the development and utilization of innovative activities relating to workforce development and career opportunities in the water utility sector; and

(B) to expand public awareness about water utilities and connect individuals to careers in the water utility sector.

(2) SELECTION OF GRANT RECIPIENTS.—In awarding grants under paragraph (1), the Administrator shall, to the extent practicable, select nonprofit professional or service organizations, labor organizations, community colleges, institutions of higher education, or other training and educational institutions—

(A) that have qualifications and experience—

(i) in the development of training programs and curricula relevant to workforce needs of water utilities;

(ii) working in cooperation with water utilities; or

(iii) developing public education materials appropriate for communicating with groups of different ages and educational backgrounds; and

(B) that will address the human resources and workforce needs of water utilities that—

(i) are geographically diverse;

(ii) are of varying sizes; and

(iii) serve urban, suburban, and rural populations.

(3) USE OF FUNDS.—Grants awarded under paragraph (1) may be used for activities such as—

(A) targeted internship, apprenticeship, pre-apprenticeship, and post-secondary bridge programs for skilled water utility trades that provide—

(i) on-the-job training;

(ii) skills development;

(iii) test preparation for skilled trade apprenticeships;

(iv) advance training in the water utility sector relating to construction, utility operations, treatment and distribution, green infrastructure, customer service, maintenance, and engineering; or

(v) other support services to facilitate post-secondary success;

(B) education programs designed for elementary, secondary, and higher education students that—

(i) inform people about the role of water and wastewater utilities in their communities;

(ii) increase the awareness of career opportunities and exposure of students to water utility careers through various work-based learning opportunities inside and outside the classroom; and

(iii) connect students to career pathways related to water utilities;

(C) regional industry and workforce development collaborations to address water utility employment needs and coordinate candidate development, particularly in areas of high unemployment or for water utilities with a high proportion of retirement eligible employees;

(D) integrated learning laboratories in secondary educational institutions that provide students with—

(i) hands-on, contextualized learning opportunities;

(ii) dual enrollment credit for post-secondary education and training programs; and

(iii) direct connection to industry employers; and

(E) leadership development, occupational training, mentoring, or cross-training programs that ensure that incumbent water and waste water utilities workers are prepared for higher level supervisory or management-level positions.

(4) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subsection \$1,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 and 2020.

SEC. 4305. REGIONAL LIAISONS FOR MINORITY, TRIBAL, AND LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (referred to in this section as the “Administrator”) shall assign at least 1 employee in each regional office of the Environmental Protection Agency to serve as a liaison to minority, Tribal, and low-income communities in the relevant region.

(b) PUBLIC IDENTIFICATION.—The Administrator shall identify each regional liaison assigned under subsection (a) on the internet website of—

(1) the relevant regional office of the Environmental Protection Agency; and

(2) the Office of Environmental Justice of the Environmental Protection Agency.

SEC. 4306. WATERSENSE.

(a) WATERSENSE.—The Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6201 et seq.) is amended by adding after section 324A the following:

“SEC. 324B. WATERSENSE PROGRAM.

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF WATERSENSE PROGRAM.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There is established within the Environmental Protection Agency a voluntary program, to be known as the WaterSense program, to identify and promote water-efficient products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, and services in order to, through voluntary labeling of, or other forms of communications regarding, such products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, and services while meeting strict performance criteria, sensibly—

“(A) reduce water use;

“(B) reduce the strain on public water systems, community water systems, and wastewater and stormwater infrastructure;

“(C) conserve energy used to pump, heat, transport, and treat water; and

“(D) preserve water resources for future generations.

“(2) INCLUSIONS.—Categories of products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, and services that may be included under the program include—

“(A) irrigation technologies and services;

“(B) point-of-use water treatment devices;

“(C) plumbing products;

“(D) water reuse and recycling technologies;

“(E) landscaping and gardening products, including moisture control or water enhancing technologies;

“(F) xeriscaping and other landscape conversions that reduce water use;

“(G) whole house humidifiers; and

“(H) water-efficient buildings or facilities.

“(b) DUTIES.—The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in coordination with the Secretary of Energy as appropriate, shall—

“(1) establish—

“(A) a WaterSense label to be used for products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, and services meeting the certification criteria established pursuant to this section; and

“(B) the procedure, including the methods and means, and criteria by which products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, and services may be certified to display the WaterSense label;

“(2) enhance public awareness regarding the WaterSense label through outreach and public education;

“(3) preserve the integrity of the WaterSense label by—

“(A) establishing and maintaining feasible performance criteria so that products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, and services certified to display the WaterSense label perform as well or better than less water-efficient counterparts;

“(B) overseeing WaterSense certifications made by third parties, which shall be independent third-party product certification bodies accredited by an accreditation entity domiciled in the United States;

“(C) using testing protocols, from the appropriate, applicable, and relevant consensus standards, for the purpose of determining compliance with performance criteria; and

“(D) auditing the use of the WaterSense label in the marketplace and preventing cases of misuse;

“(4) not more frequently than every 6 years after adoption or major revision of any WaterSense performance criteria, review and, if appropriate, revise the performance criteria to achieve additional water savings;

“(5) in revising any WaterSense criteria—

“(A) provide reasonable notice to interested parties and the public of any changes, including effective dates, and an explanation of the changes;

“(B) solicit comments from interested parties and the public prior to any changes;

“(C) as appropriate, respond to comments submitted by interested parties and the public; and

“(D) provide an appropriate transition time prior to the applicable effective date of any changes, taking into account the timing necessary for the manufacture, marketing, training, and distribution of the specific product, building, landscape, process, or service category being addressed; and

“(6) not later than December 31, 2019, consider for review and revise, if necessary, any WaterSense performance criteria adopted before January 1, 2012.

“(c) TRANSPARENCY.—The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall, to the extent practicable and not less than annually, estimate and make available to the public the relative water and energy savings attributable to the use of WaterSense-labeled products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, and services.

“(d) DISTINCTION OF AUTHORITIES.—In setting or maintaining specifications and criteria for Energy Star pursuant to section 324A, and WaterSense under this section, the Secretary of Energy and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall coordinate to prevent duplicative or conflicting requirements among the respective programs.

“(e) NO WARRANTY.—A WaterSense label shall not create any express or implied warranty.

“(f) METHODS FOR ESTABLISHING PERFORMANCE CRITERIA.—In establishing performance criteria for products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, or services pursuant to this section, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall use technical specifications and testing protocols established by voluntary consensus standards organizations relevant to specific products, buildings, landscapes, facilities, processes, or services, as appropriate.

“(g) DEFINITION OF FEASIBLE.—The term ‘feasible’ means feasible with the use of the best technology, techniques, and other means that the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency finds, after examination for efficacy under field conditions and not solely under laboratory conditions, are available (taking cost into consideration).”

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for the Energy Policy and Conservation Act is amended by adding after the item relating to section 324A the following:

“Sec. 324B. WaterSense program.”.

SEC. 4307. PREDATORY AND OTHER WILD ANIMALS.

Section 1 of the Act of March 2, 1931 (46 Stat. 1468, chapter 370; 7 U.S.C. 8351) is amended—

(1) in the second sentence, by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following: “(b) ADMINISTRATION.—The Secretary”;

(2) in the first sentence, by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”;

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(c) ACTION BY FWS.—The Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service shall use the most expeditious procedure practicable to process and administer permits for take of—

“(1) a depredating eagle under the Act of June 8, 1940 (commonly known as the ‘Bald Eagle Protection Act’) (54 Stat. 250, chapter 278; 16 U.S.C. 668 et seq.), or sections 22.11 through 22.32 of title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations) (including depredation of livestock, wildlife, and species protected under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) or any other Federal management program); or

“(2) a migratory bird included on the list under section 10.13 of title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations) that is posing a conflict.”.

SEC. 4308. KLAMATH PROJECT WATER AND POWER.

(a) ADDRESSING WATER MANAGEMENT AND POWER COSTS FOR IRRIGATION.—The Klamath Basin Water Supply Enhancement Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-498; 114 Stat. 2221) is amended—

(1) by redesignating sections 4 through 6 as sections 5 through 7, respectively; and

(2) by inserting after section 3 the following:

“SEC. 4. POWER AND WATER MANAGEMENT.

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) COVERED POWER USE.—The term ‘covered power use’ means a use of power to develop or manage water from any source for irrigation, wildlife purposes, or drainage on land that is—

“(A) associated with the Klamath Project, including land within a unit of the National Wildlife Refuge System that receives water due to the operation of Klamath Project facilities; or

“(B) irrigated by the class of users covered by the agreement dated April 30, 1956, between the California Oregon Power Company and Klamath Basin Water Users Protective Association and within the Off Project Area (as defined in the Upper Basin Comprehensive Agreement entered into on April 18, 2014), only if each applicable owner and holder of a possessory interest of the land is a party to that agreement (or a successor agreement that the Secretary determines provides a comparable benefit to the United States).

“(2) KLAMATH PROJECT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘Klamath Project’ means the Bureau of Reclamation project in the States of California and Oregon.

“(B) INCLUSIONS.—The term ‘Klamath Project’ includes any dam, canal, or other works or interests for water diversion, storage, delivery, and drainage, flood control, or any similar function that is part of the project described in subparagraph (A).

“(3) POWER COST BENCHMARK.—The term ‘power cost benchmark’ means the average net delivered cost of power for irrigation and drainage at Reclamation projects in the area surrounding the Klamath Project that are similarly situated to the Klamath Project, including Reclamation projects that—

“(A) are located in the Pacific Northwest; and

“(B) receive project-use power.

“(b) WATER ACTIVITIES AND DROUGHT RESPONSE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Pursuant to the reclamation laws and subject to appropriations and

required environmental reviews, the Secretary may carry out activities, including entering into a contract or making financial assistance available through cooperative agreements or other methods—

“(A) to plan, implement, and administer programs to align water supplies and demand for irrigation water users associated with the Klamath Project, with a primary emphasis on programs developed or endorsed by local entities comprised of representatives of those water users;

“(B) Expenditures under this paragraph shall not exceed \$10 million on an average annual basis.

“(2) 2018 DROUGHT RESPONSE.—All disbursements made or to be made based on actions approved by the Secretary under Contract Numbers 18-WC-20-5322 and 18-WC-20-5323 are authorized.

“(3) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall ensure that the activities under this subsection—

“(A) do not foster groundwater use that results in groundwater level declines that, based on existing data from the United States Geological Survey, are more than appropriate in a critically dry year, taking into consideration the long-term sustainability of aquifers;

“(B) do not adversely affect compliance with applicable laws protecting fishery resources in Upper Klamath Lake and the Klamath River.

“(4) CONVEYANCE OF NON-PROJECT WATER.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraphs (B) and (C), any entity operating under a contract entered into with the United States for the operation and maintenance of any Klamath Project works or facility, and any entity operating any works or facility not owned by the United States that receives Klamath Project water, may use, without any additional Federal contract, permit, or other authorization, any Klamath Project works or facility to convey non-Klamath Project water for any authorized purpose of the Klamath Project.

“(B) PERMITS; MEASUREMENT.—A use of water pursuant to subparagraph (A) (including an addition or conveyance of water) shall be subject to the requirements that—

“(i) the applicable entity shall secure all permits required under State or local law; and

“(ii) as applicable—

“(I) all water delivered into and taken out of a Klamath Project works or facility pursuant to that subparagraph shall be measured; and

“(II) any irrigation district conveying water shall ensure that only the land authorized to receive water under applicable State law shall receive, and put to beneficial use, the water, in accordance with the applicable State law and any associated terms and conditions.

“(C) LIMITATION.—A use of non-Klamath Project water under this paragraph shall not—

“(i) adversely affect the delivery of water to any water user or land served by the Klamath Project; or

“(ii) result in any additional cost to the United States.

“(4) EFFECT OF SUBSECTION.—Nothing in this subsection authorizes the Secretary—

“(A) to develop or construct new facilities for the Klamath Project without appropriate approval from Congress under section 9 of the Reclamation Projects Act of 1939 (43 U.S.C. 485h); or

“(B) to carry out activities that have not otherwise been authorized.

“(c) REDUCING POWER COSTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018, the Sec-

retary, in consultation with interested irrigation interests that are eligible for covered power use and organizations representative of those interests, shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report that—

“(A) identifies the power cost benchmark; and

“(B) recommends actions (other than direct payments to persons making covered power uses or to other entities for the purposes of subsidizing power rates) that, in the judgment of the Secretary, are necessary and appropriate to ensure that the net delivered power cost for covered power use is equal to or less than the power cost benchmark, including a description of—

“(i) actions—

“(I) to immediately reduce power costs; and

“(II) to ensure that the net delivered power cost for covered power use is equal to, or less than, the power cost benchmark in the near term, while longer-term actions are being implemented;

“(ii) actions that prioritize—

“(I) water and power conservation and efficiency measures that could assist in achieving the power cost benchmark;

“(II) to the extent actions involving the development or acquisition of power generation are included, renewable energy technologies (including hydropower); and

“(III) regional economic development;

“(iii) the potential costs and timeline for the actions recommended under this subparagraph;

“(iv) provisions for modifying the actions and timeline to adapt to new information or circumstances; and

“(v) a description of public input regarding the proposed actions, including—

“(I) input from water users that have covered power use; and

“(II) the degree to which those water users concur with the recommendations.”.

(b) EFFECT.—None of the amendments made by this section—

(1) modify any authority or obligation of the United States with respect to any tribal trust or treaty obligation of the United States;

(2) create or determine any water right or affects any water right or water right claim in existence on the date of enactment of this Act; or

(3) authorize the use of Federal funds for the physical deconstruction of the Iron Gate, Copco 1, Copco 2, or John C. Boyle Dam located on the Klamath River in the States of California and Oregon.

SEC. 4309. CERTAIN BUREAU OF RECLAMATION DIKES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law (including regulations), effective beginning on the date of enactment of this section, the Federal share of the operations and maintenance costs of a dike described in subsection (b) shall be 100 percent.

(b) DESCRIPTION OF DIKES.—A dike referred to in subsection (a) is a dike—

(1) that is owned by the Bureau of Reclamation on the date of enactment of this section;

(2) the construction of which was completed not later than December 31, 1945;

(3) a corrective action study for which was completed not later than December 31, 2015; and

(4) the construction of which was authorized by the Act of June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1215, chapter 795).

SEC. 4310. AUTHORITY TO MAKE ENTIRE ACTIVE CAPACITY OF FONTENELLE RESERVOIR AVAILABLE FOR USE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Interior (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”), in cooperation with the State of Wyoming, may amend the Definite Plan Report for the Seedskaadee Project authorized under the first section of the Act of April 11, 1956 (commonly known as the “Colorado River Storage Project Act”) (43 U.S.C. 620), to provide for the study, design, planning, and construction activities that will enable the use of all active storage capacity (as may be defined or limited by legal, hydrologic, structural, engineering, economic, and environmental considerations) of Fontenelle Dam and Reservoir, including the placement of sufficient riprap on the upstream face of Fontenelle Dam to allow the active storage capacity of Fontenelle Reservoir to be used for those purposes for which the Seedskaadee Project was authorized.

(b) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may enter into any contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or other agreement that is necessary to carry out subsection (a).

(2) STATE OF WYOMING.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall enter into a cooperative agreement with the State of Wyoming to work in cooperation and collaboratively with the State of Wyoming for planning, design, related preconstruction activities, and construction of any modification of the Fontenelle Dam under subsection (a).

(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The cooperative agreement under subparagraph (A) shall, at a minimum, specify the responsibilities of the Secretary and the State of Wyoming with respect to—

(i) completing the planning and final design of the modification of the Fontenelle Dam under subsection (a);

(ii) any environmental and cultural resource compliance activities required for the modification of the Fontenelle Dam under subsection (a) including compliance with—

(I) the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.);

(II) the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.); and

(III) subdivision 2 of division A of subtitle III of title 54, United States Code; and

(iii) the construction of the modification of the Fontenelle Dam under subsection (a).

(c) FUNDING BY STATE OF WYOMING.—Pursuant to the Act of March 4, 1921 (41 Stat. 1404, chapter 161; 43 U.S.C. 395), and as a condition of providing any additional storage under subsection (a), the State of Wyoming shall provide to the Secretary funds for any work carried out under subsection (a).

(d) OTHER CONTRACTING AUTHORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may enter into contracts with the State of Wyoming, on such terms and conditions as the Secretary and the State of Wyoming may agree, for division of any additional active capacity made available under subsection (a).

(2) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—Unless otherwise agreed to by the Secretary and the State of Wyoming, a contract entered into under paragraph (1) shall be subject to the terms and conditions of Bureau of Reclamation Contract No. 14-06-400-2474 and Bureau of Reclamation Contract No. 14-06-400-6193.

(e) SAVINGS PROVISIONS.—Unless expressly provided in this section, nothing in this section modifies, conflicts with, preempts, or otherwise affects—

(1) the Boulder Canyon Project Act (43 U.S.C. 617 et seq.);

(2) the Colorado River Compact of 1922, as approved by the Presidential Proclamation of June 25, 1929 (46 Stat. 3000);

(3) the Boulder Canyon Project Adjustment Act (43 U.S.C. 618 et seq.);

(4) the Treaty between the United States of America and Mexico relating to the utilization of waters of the Colorado and Tijuana Rivers and of the Rio Grande, and supplementary protocol signed November 14, 1944, signed at Washington February 3, 1944 (59 Stat. 1219);

(5) the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact as consented to by the Act of April 6, 1949 (63 Stat. 31);

(6) the Act of April 11, 1956 (commonly known as the “Colorado River Storage Project Act”) (43 U.S.C. 620 et seq.);

(7) the Colorado River Basin Project Act (Public Law 90-537; 82 Stat. 885); or

(8) any State of Wyoming or other State water law.

SEC. 4311. BLACKFEET WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT.

(a) BLACKFEET SETTLEMENT TRUST FUND.—Section 3716(e) of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (130 Stat. 1835) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “appropriations,” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting the following: “appropriations, the following amounts shall be made available to the Tribe for implementation of this subtitle:

“(A) 50 percent of the amounts in the Administration and Energy Account.

“(B) 50 percent of the amounts in the OM&R Account.

“(C) 50 percent of the amounts in the St. Mary Account.

“(D) 50 percent of the amounts in the Blackfeet Water, Storage, and Development Projects Account.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) AVAILABILITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), none of the funds deposited in the Trust Fund in fiscal year 2018 shall be available for expenditure in accordance with this subsection until the enforceability date.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), of the funds in the Administration and Energy Account, \$4,800,000 shall be available to the Tribe for the implementation of this subtitle.”.

(b) BLACKFEET WATER SETTLEMENT IMPLEMENTATION FUND.—Section 3717(e) of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (130 Stat. 1837) is amended—

(1) by striking “Amounts in” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Amounts in”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) FUNDING FOR IMPLEMENTATION ACTIVITIES.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the following amounts shall be available to the Secretary for the implementation of this subtitle:

“(A) 50 percent of the amounts in the MR&I System, Irrigation, and Water Storage Account to carry out section 3711.

“(B) 50 percent of the amounts in the MR&I System, Irrigation, and Water Storage Account to carry out section 3712.

“(C) 50 percent of the amounts in the Blackfeet Irrigation Project Deferred Maintenance and Four Horns Dam Safety Improvements Account to carry out section 3710(c).

“(D) The amounts in the Blackfeet Irrigation Project Deferred Maintenance and Four Horns Dam Safety Improvements Account to carry out section 3710(d).

“(E) From the St. Mary/Water Milk Management and Activities Account:

“(i) 50 percent of the amount described in section 3707(g)(1) to carry out section 3707(c).

“(ii) 50 percent of the amount described in section 3707(g)(2) to carry out section 3707(d).

“(iii) The amount described in section 3707(g)(3) to carry out subsection (f).

“(iv) The amounts in the Account to carry out section 3705.

“(3) AVAILABILITY.—None of the funds made available under this section in fiscal year 2018 shall be available until the enforceability date.”.

(c) TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.—Section 3720 of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (130 Stat. 1839) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(3)(B), by striking “section 3706” and inserting “section 6”; and

(2) in subsection (h), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “January 21, 2026” and inserting “January 21, 2025”.

SEC. 4312. INDIAN IRRIGATION FUND REAUTHORIZATION.

(a) DEPOSITS TO FUNDS.—Section 3212(a) of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (130 Stat. 1750) is amended by striking “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2021” and inserting “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2028”.

(b) EXPENDITURES FROM FUND.—Section 3213(a) of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (130 Stat. 1750) is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2021” and inserting “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2028”.

(c) TERMINATION.—Section 3216 of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (130 Stat. 1750) is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “September 30, 2021” and inserting “September 30, 2028”.

SEC. 4313. REAUTHORIZATION OF REPAIR, REPLACEMENT, AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN INDIAN IRRIGATION PROJECTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3221(b) of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (130 Stat. 1751) is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2021” and inserting “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2028”.

(b) STATUS REPORT ON CERTAIN PROJECTS.—Section 3224(d) of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (130 Stat. 1753) is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “fiscal year 2021” and inserting “fiscal year 2028”.

(c) ALLOCATION AMONG PROJECTS.—Section 3226 of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (130 Stat. 1753) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2021” and inserting “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2028”; and

(2) in subsection (b), by striking “the day before the date of enactment of this Act” and inserting “the day before the date of enactment of America’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018”.

SEC. 4314. INDIAN DAM SAFETY REAUTHORIZATION.

Section 3101 of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (25 U.S.C. 3805) is amended—

(1) by striking “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2023” each place it appears and inserting “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2030”; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1)(F), in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “September 30, 2023” and inserting “September 30, 2030”; and

(B) in paragraph (2)(F), in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “September 30, 2023” and inserting “September 30, 2030”; and

(3) in subsection (f)—

(A) in paragraph (2), by striking “4 years” and inserting “11 years”; and

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking “each of fiscal years 2017, 2018, and 2019” and inserting “each of fiscal years 2017 through 2026”.

SEC. 4315. DIANA E. MURPHY UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The United States courthouse located at 300 South Fourth Street in Minneapolis, Minnesota, shall be known and designated as the “Diana E. Murphy United States Courthouse”.

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the United States courthouse referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the “Diana E. Murphy United States Courthouse”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SHUSTER) and the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of S. 3021, the America’s Water Infrastructure Act of 2018.

This bill is good for our infrastructure, good for jobs, and good for America. It is a bipartisan, bicameral comprehensive bill that delivers on a promise to regularly address water resources and infrastructure issues across the Nation.

Title I of this act is the Water Resources Development Act of 2018, or WRDA. I want to remind my colleagues that we overwhelmingly passed WRDA in June by a vote of 408-2.

WRDA invests in the ports, locks, and dams, inland waterways, flood protection, and other infrastructure that makes America more competitive and protects our communities. It also builds upon reforms to the Army Corps of Engineers to help improve project delivery.

This bill is fiscally responsible and fully offset. It cuts red tape, and it maintains congressional authority and oversight of Federal investment.

I want to thank Ranking Member DEFAZIO for working together on the WRDA title. However, today’s bill includes more than just WRDA, and I also want to thank the Energy and Commerce Committee, the Natural Resources Committee, and our Senate counterparts for helping put this package together.

I am proud to say today’s legislation continues a 2-year cycle of regular order for Congress considering these essential WRDA bills. This has been one of my top priorities since I became chairman over 6 years ago.

□ 1315

We need to pass this bill, continue providing direction to the Corps, and ensure all of these infrastructure improvements move forward.

This is simply good public policy, and it is good for our Nation.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly urge my colleagues to support this jobs and infrastructure bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY S. 3021, AMERICA'S WATER INFRASTRUCTURE ACT OF 2018

The America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018 will create jobs, grow the economy, promote fiscal responsibility, and protect lives, property, and the environment.

America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018 supports our nation's economic competitiveness by increasing water storage, providing protection from dangerous floodwaters, deepening nationally significant ports, and maintaining the navigability of inland waterways across the country. The legislation will also repair aging drinking water, wastewater and irrigation systems. It also promotes hydropower development and increases Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) transparency.

Title I of this bill, the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) of 2018, promotes the Federal commitment to our nation's competitiveness, prosperity, and economic growth by maintaining a strong transportation infrastructure, ensuring the efficient flow of domestic and international commerce, and protecting the lives and livelihoods of the American people in a sustainable and environmentally conscientious manner. In WRDA, Congress authorizes projects encompassing the key missions of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), including developing, maintaining, and supporting the nation's economically vital waterway infrastructure, supporting effective and targeted flood protection and environmental restoration needs while strengthening national security. Through WRDA, Congress exercises its oversight authority to make policy reforms that promote efficient, effective, and transparent project development and implementation. This legislation promotes innovation and stronger stakeholder partnerships that will improve water infrastructure development.

Since 2014, Congress has resumed the regular consideration of WRDAs, and WRDA 2018 continues the WRDA two-year cycle that provides appropriate oversight of and policy direction to the Administration and the Corps.

The transformative nature of the last two WRDA bills has provided the Corps and the non-Federal sponsors with new opportunities to advance projects more quickly. As these new authorities and processes are implemented, the Managers expect that project delivery will speed up. The Managers also expect that the provisions put forward in this bill, as well as the last two WRDA bills, will promote investment in worthwhile and beneficial water resources development projects, and enable these projects to move forward.

Water resources projects have historically been rejected through the use of benefit-cost analyses (BCAs) in the formulation, evaluation, and budgeting of water resources development projects. The Managers have been approached by members and non-Federal project sponsors who have projects that are authorized, but because of past practices regarding BCA calculations, non-Federal project sponsors are left without a clear path forward. This legislation makes clear the Managers' intent: authorized projects are worthy of funding.

Specifically, this bill contains provisions that require the careful study of and reporting on the use and application of current BCAs. The Managers expect this study to result in recommendations to improve project transparency and the taxpayers' return on Federal investment. The bill also provides additional transparency measures to help non-Federal project sponsors better understand where their projects are in the budgeting process, and the calculations used to

justify or reject federal investment in the project. Corps water resources development projects are long-term investments which are critically important to maintaining the nation's economic prosperity, national security, and environmental protection. The Managers expect the Corps to proactively work with non-Federal interests to transparently discuss the principles and analytical methodologies used in developing BCAs on these projects.

Title II and IV of this legislation builds on the existing Clean Water Act and the Safe Drinking Water Act programs to protect and restore water quality. This legislation provides Federal financial assistance to local drinking and wastewater systems to improve water quality and comply with both the Clean Water and Safe Drinking Water Act's requirements.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published its sixth national assessment, Drinking Water Infrastructure Needs Survey, in March 2018. That assessment estimated the nation's drinking water utilities require \$472.6 billion in infrastructure investments and \$271 billion in wastewater needs over the next 20 years to protect the public health and ensure the security and economic well-being of our cities, towns and communities. This bill reauthorizes the Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Loan Fund (SRF), growing the program over the next three fiscal years to \$1.95 billion annually in 2021, nearly doubling the amount currently authorized. Along with the SRFs, this bill reauthorizes the Water Infrastructure and Finance Innovation Act (WIFIA) and a program within WIFIA the Securing Required Funding for Water Infrastructure Now (SRF WIN). All of these mechanisms will substantially increase investments toward addressing this critical need.

Investments in water infrastructure are essential for protecting public health and the environment, but they also generate significant economic benefits. The Department of Commerce's Bureau of Economic Analysis estimates that for every dollar in revenue realized by the water and wastewater industry, \$2.62 in revenue are realized by all other industries. Adding one job in the water and wastewater industry creates an additional 3.68 jobs in the national economy.

The Managers understand that our nation's ability to provide clean water and safe drinking water is challenged by deteriorating wastewater infrastructure that is in urgent need of repair, replacement, or upgrade. This legislation takes steps to address these needs through financial support from grants, state revolving loan funds, innovative financing mechanisms, the use of funds for compliance assistance, and the use of technical assistance for disadvantaged communities.

Title III of this legislation includes several provisions that promote the development of hydropower through closed-loop pump storage, in-conduit projects, and at existing non-powered dams. This legislation also amends the Federal Power Act to authorize the FERC to issue preliminary permits to a hydropower construction license applicant for up to four years, and promote the timely rehabilitation and replacement of hydropower infrastructure.

Title IV of the bill also addresses the need in many states, particularly throughout the West, to increase water supplies necessary to sustain the livelihoods of farming, ranching, and tribal communities. This legislation also addresses flood protection concerns in rural and tribal communities.

Section 1116 directs the Corps to expedite the dredge material management plan process and make maximum use of existing information, studies, and innovative dredge material management practices. It is the Man-

ager's intent that dredged material management plans begun in 2018, but not completed shall also be expedited under this provision.

Managers ask that, when a non-Federal entity develops a reasonable alternative to the Federal standard for dredged material disposal facilities that meets relevant Federal environmental and dredged material placement and disposal standards, it should receive preferred consideration by the Secretary. Additionally, the Secretary is encouraged to consider entering into agreements with non-Federal sponsors for the acquisition, design, construction, management, or operation and maintenance of dredged material disposal facilities, including port facilities, through section 217 of WRDA of 1996.

Section 1126 addresses the concerns that Managers heard about the regulatory permitting process for water storage projects is extremely lengthy, in part due to issues associated with identifying and analyzing the purpose and need of the project. This section is intended to increase transparency earlier in the regulatory process by providing the permittee an opportunity to obtain a project's purpose and need statement.

For Section 1133, as much as is possible, if the determination is made that additional housing is warranted, the purchase of private land shall be avoided.

Section 1134 directs the Corps to implement these sediment removal provisions in an expeditious manner in order to restore these reservoirs to their intended storage capacity. The Managers recognize the importance of water storage capacity to rural and western communities. Federal reservoirs have gradually seen a reduction in water storage capacity associated with sediment buildup to the detriment of farmers, ranchers, and rural communities.

Managers expect that the final feasibility study for the project in section 1142 will be carried out expeditiously and be completed by the anticipated completion date of February 2019.

The Managers intend that section 1143 apply to all projects authorized by Title VII of WRDA 2007 so that the Secretary can acknowledge credit for the cost of work carried out in the coastal Louisiana ecosystem by the non-Federal interest for elements integral to the project prior to the date of enactment of WRDA 2018. For example, the "Multipurpose Operation of Houma Navigation Lock" is dependent upon the adjacent earthen levees (including Reach F and G of the Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico project) and other structures (including the Bubba Dove Floodgate and Bayou Grand Calliou Floodgate). Operating a lock complex without adjacent levees can significantly reduce the ability to reduce salinity and to manage and control the fresh water available via the Atchafalaya River, Gulf Intercoastal Waterway and the Houma Navigation Canal. In addition, the Bubba Dove Floodgate has reduced the original wetland impact of the Houma Navigation Lock and is integral to the lock's design and function.

Section 1203 (f) expedites activities under section 4003(a) of Water Resources Reform and Development Act (WRRDA) 2014. The Managers understand that these activities have not been implemented due to a lack of appropriated funds, and emphasize that the importance of such flood and drought monitoring to communities in the Upper Missouri River Basin necessitates that the Corps expedite activities for this monitoring in order to protect lives and properties in the region.

The Managers expect section 1229 to be carried out in an expeditious manner and in accordance with all applicable Federal and state water laws.

Section 1332 further amends the Annual Report process set up by section 7001 of

WRRDA 2014. WRDA 2016 changed the process to ensure individual existing environmental infrastructure projects may be included in the Annual Report Main Table so that they can be considered for inclusion in future WRDA bills, and the amendments in this section clarify that proposed modifications to existing regional environmental infrastructure programs are also able to be considered. Such projects that have previously ended up in the appendix of these reports, such as Charlotte County, Florida, are encouraged to reapply.

Similarly, this section amends the criteria by which the Secretary may select to include a local request in the appendix to the Annual Report, rather than the Report, itself. The Committees on Transportation and Infrastructure and Environment and Public Works have repeatedly criticized the Secretary for failure to follow the specific criteria outlined in section 7001(c)(1)(A), and including local requests in the appendix despite those requests meeting the statutory criteria. In response, this legislation clarifies that the Secretary may not include a request that meets the criteria in 7001(c)(1)(A) in the appendix solely on the basis that the local request requires legislative changes to an authorized project or study, or the request may be inconsistent with Corps or Administration policy. The Committee is aware of one particular request for a modification of the Abiquiu Reservoir, New Mexico, that was placed in the appendix to the 2017 Annual Report to Congress because of Administration policy. Project sponsors for similarly situated project or study requests are encouraged to reapply.

The Managers encourage the Secretary to consider the benefits of safety modifications for 2-way traffic and other improvements to commercial navigation when evaluating authorized navigation studies.

The Managers encourage the Secretary to provide technical assistance and other support to state emergency management agencies to assist in the development of handbooks for floodplain managers. These handbooks should include policies to help manage the risks of coastal and river flooding. In addition, these handbooks should consider coastal protection solutions that promote resilience, such as living shorelines, as well as regional sediment management. Additionally, non-Federal entities may provide resources for emergency repairs under section 1024 of WRRDA 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2325a), regardless of the cause of the emergency.

The Managers encourage the Corps to proceed with a sense of urgency when evaluating and programming the actions to proceed with the water resources projects necessary for flood control, dam repair, beach erosion control, and harbor navigation improvement in Puerto Rico, and the repair and mitigation necessary as a result of the hurricane and severe weather damages that occurred between September 2017 and March 2018. Additionally, the Managers encourage the Corps to advance the project for ecosystem restoration, Caño Martín Peña, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

The Managers believe the Secretary should simplify and expedite the process for including in-kind work in project partnership agreements, consistent with current law.

The Managers further encourage the Corps to improve communication with stakeholders and the public regarding the risk assessments conducted by the agency of the levees around the country.

The managers believe that the financing of project operations, maintenance, where the locals are not otherwise responsible for the project, or capital improvements by local non-Federal interests can result in savings to Federal taxpayers.

As the Secretary carries out the operations and maintenance of our nation's infrastructure, the Managers expect periodic maintenance dredging of the Kennebec River Federal Navigation Channel will be prioritized based on a joint plan that is being developed by the Secretary and the Secretary of the Navy. Additionally, the managers believe the Corps should prioritize annual dredging for the harbor in Wilmington, Delaware.

The Secretary may use his or her authority under section 9 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 643, chapter 596) to remedy the erosion issues on the Ohio River near Clarksville, Indiana.

Ongoing cooperation between the Louisiana Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority and the Lafitte Area Independent Levee District relating to flood protection projects in Jean Lafitte, Louisiana, and the vicinity should continue. To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary should support that cooperation in a manner that is consistent with Louisiana's Comprehensive Master Plan for a Sustainable Coast.

The amendment to section 203 of WRDA 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231) made by section 1126 of WIIN 2016 (130 Stat. 1648), which concerns study of water resources development projects by non-Federal interests, was intended to supersede any conflicting laws.

As water resources projects are vital to the nation's competitiveness, the Managers encourage robust annual funding. The Continuing Authorities Programs should receive full appropriations each fiscal year. The authorization of appropriations under section 595(i) of WRDA 1999, for water, wastewater, environmental restoration and surface water protection projects in certain rural states should be robustly maintained.

Section 2005 includes new grant opportunities for states to assist small and disadvantaged communities when contaminants are present or are likely to be present in drinking water provided by a public water system or underground source of drinking water. The Managers intend this legislation to assist states with small and disadvantaged communities to promptly respond to problems that potentially present an imminent and substantial endangerment.

Section 2010 establishes new authority for states and EPA to address the challenges of water systems that have repeatedly violated drinking water standards and pose a risk to human health. The section includes limited liability protection for outside entities that help the system come back into compliance. The Managers do not intend this legislation to limit enforcement of drinking water violations not identified in the plan or to diminish the availability of injunctive relief to address unresolved drinking water violations.

Section 2021 authorizes EPA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds carrying out the purposes of the section, to require drinking water systems serving between 3,300 and 10,000 persons to comply with mandatory unregulated contaminant testing for up to 30 unregulated contaminants. The legislation also authorizes \$15 million in the years the monitoring is required to pay costs traditionally associated with such testing. Systems serving fewer than 3,300 persons will remain subject to random sampling and have their testing and mailing costs covered by EPA. Such systems will not be subject to a civil penalty for their inability to comply with sampled monitoring.

Title II provides for Drinking Water Improvement. As Congress reauthorizes the Drinking Water SRF, the managers strongly support Congress providing robust funding of capitalization grants to States to fund SDWA SRFs established under section 1452 of the SDWA (42 U.S.C. 300j-12) and the CWA SRFs established under title VI of the CWA

(33 U.S.C. 1381 et seq.). The managers also encourage the Administrator to work with the States to realize the full benefits of the renewed DWSRF authorization amounts.

Section 3003 of this bill authorizes the Commissioner of the FERC to establish an expedite process for issuing and amending licenses under the Federal Power Act for qualifying facilities under that section. The Committee on Transportation is aware that certain projects owned or operated by the Corps of Engineers may fall within the scope of this section. However, nothing in section 3003 provides new authority to add hydropower to existing non-powered projects owned or operated by the Corps of Engineers where hydropower is not specifically an authorized purpose, established in law, for such projects. The decision and conditions to add hydropower to an existing, non-powered project of the Corps rests solely with the Secretary of the Army, through its existing regulatory authorities, and with the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure in the U.S. House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works in the U.S. Senate, through enactment of future water resources development legislation.

Section 4102 establishes a Wastewater Technology Clearinghouse at EPA. Providing communities with the knowledge and resources necessary to fully use decentralized wastewater systems can provide affordable wastewater recycling and treatment to millions of people in the United States.

Section 4103 provides Technical Assistance for Treatment Works in the CWA. The Managers recognize the importance of technical assistance for small, rural, and tribal water systems. It is the Managers' view that onsite technical assistance, provides the most effective means for communities that are struggling to implement the CWA. The Managers also acknowledge that the use of remote training does not always provide communities with sufficient assistance to comply with complicated and system-specific issues.

Section 4201 amends the Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA) by enhancing the process under WIFIA for financial assistance to those applying for state loan funds to carry out water and wastewater infrastructure projects, the Securing Required Funding for Water Infrastructure Now (SRF WIN). It allows states that combine projects and submit one application to the WIFIA program to receive additional considerations. This section provides specific selection criteria and expedites the evaluation of applications of these combined projects to 180 days, with feedback from the EPA on how to improve their application, if denied. This section also puts the application fee cost on the state financing authority and removes the burden from the bundled communities. It eliminates the need for these combined projects from having to do any duplicative environmental reviews and allows for the federal cost share of the project to cover up to 100% of the total project cost. These amendments utilize the leveraging power of WIFIA financing to enhance the ability of the state financing authorities to provide financial assistance to local communities. This will allow states to finance thousands of existing and vetted water and wastewater infrastructure projects expeditiously.

Section 4301 establishes a mandatory requirement that not later than one year after the date of enactment of the Act that the EPA will enter into a memorandum of agreement with the Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) that will establish a structure for how EPA can provide technical assistance for any credit instrument that Reclamation may provide now or in the future.

Section 4302 directs the BOR, working with the Corps to create a flood action plan. The Managers recognize that 2017 was a record year for runoff in the Upper Snake River Basin, causing communities in the region to experience significant flooding. The Managers further recognize that landowners and stakeholders have serious concerns for how the BOR and the Corps have managed the spring runoff out of Jackson Lake and down the Snake River. The Managers seek assurances that the BOR will work with the Corps to protect communities to minimize flooding in the region.

Section 4304 establishes a new workforce training program for workforce development in the water utility sector. The managers find that water and wastewater utilities provide a unique opportunity for access to stable, high-quality careers. This section also establishes a competitive grant program to promote the development of innovative activities relating to workforce development in the water utility sector.

Section 4306 authorizes EPA's WaterSense program, a voluntary program which identifies and promotes water efficient products through voluntary labeling, is authorized by this legislation. The bill requires EPA to review and revise the program's performance criteria to achieve additional water savings not more frequently than every 6 years. The Managers expect EPA to conduct these reviews as required by the section and to review the requirements of the program and update them as needed and appropriate.

Section 4312 recognizes the rehabilitation and restoration of Indian irrigation systems owned and operated by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) is essential to the success and livelihood of individuals and businesses across 17 reservations. Congress outlined these objectives in Public Law 114-322 and established the Indian Irrigation Fund for the BIA to carry out its trust responsibility for certain individual Indians and Tribes. This provision authorizes seven years of additional funding to complete this necessary rehabilitation, which will ensure the delivery of clean water, reduce the loss of water through seepage, and improve the safety of the systems. The Managers believe the Administration should prioritize the Indian Irrigation Fund in addressing restoration of these Indian irrigation systems. The Managers strongly encourage the Administration to fulfill the objectives outlined in Public Law 114-322.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I particularly want to thank the chairman for getting the Water Resources Development bills back on track. We had gone many years without an authorization, and the chairman made it a priority to do this every 2 years.

We also have created a new process through which we provide some direction to the Corps of Engineers on where to invest their scarce resources.

If I had my way, or maybe if we have different leadership in the next Congress, we would go back to a much simpler, explicit process where we would designate high-priority local infrastructure investment as needed in the certain areas around the United States of America to better facilitate commerce. But that is for another day.

This is a good bill. It is a bipartisan bill. There is, however, one problem. That problem is that Ronald Reagan worked with a Democratic Congress to

create a harbor maintenance trust fund funded by a miniscule ad valorem tax on the value of goods that come in from overseas and pass through our ports. Consistently, Congress has underspent those funds under both Democratic and Republican leadership.

Many years ago, I started to work with the chairman's father on this very issue. That is more than two decades ago now. We did make substantial progress in the last Congress and in this Congress.

An amendment that I offered to the water resources bill put us on a track toward spending all of the tax that is collected for harbor maintenance on harbor maintenance.

Now, I know that is kind of shocking in this town, that you would collect a tax from people and then spend it on the thing for which it is dedicated, but I think that would be right.

My proposal was adopted unanimously in the committee twice. Then Speaker RYAN, through his control of the Rules Committee, reached into the bill and extracted that amendment.

Why would they do that when we, on an average daily basis, have less than 40 percent of the authorized capacity at our 59 largest harbors?

Why would we cripple United States commerce and shipping interests? Why would we do that?

Well, so they can pretend that the deficit is a little tiny bit less. When it comes to taxes, a trillion dollars here, a trillion dollars there, we don't care about deficits. But when it comes to spending the harbor maintenance tax on harbor maintenance, we can skim off a few hundred million dollars every year and put it over here in an imaginary trust fund that we never spend.

So it is a bunch of BS that we are doing that. You should not be collecting taxes from the American people and then not spending money on the intended and needed purpose.

The Corps' estimate is that they need about \$20 million to bring all harbors up to authorized depths in the next 10 years. If we were spending the full harbor maintenance trust fund income on an annual basis and spending down the balance that has already accumulated, we could exceed that goal and deal with other issues, such as jetty problems and the like.

The White House has come up with a brilliant and different solution. They want to reduce the harbor maintenance tax so we will never, ever bring up our ports to authorized depths.

In any case, that is the major problem with this bill.

The chairman has already mentioned a number of the attributes of this bill. There is a provision that potentially will make the Corps more efficient on dredging projects and look at multiyear as opposed to annual contracts. The Corps is getting some tools to respond to climate change—I can't believe we got that in there—sea level rise, and natural disasters.

It requires the Corps to be more transparent in how it chooses projects.

As I mentioned earlier, I think Congress should be a little more direct there. Again, that is for another day.

It has provisions that are important to my district and my State, which are fully justified.

Mr. Speaker, again, it is a good, bipartisan project, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I just want to say the ranking member is 100 percent correct on his assessment of the harbor maintenance trust fund. I support it. He is absolutely right. I just want to make sure, when he is referring to BS, he is not referring to BILL SHUSTER.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WALDEN), the chairman of the Energy and Commerce Committee.

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member for his help on this legislation. I, too, agree in terms of the harbor maintenance trust fund.

I rise in support of America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018. This legislation includes really important language to assist irrigators in the Klamath Basin who are enduring another challenging drought year, and it helps ensure we are prepared if our farmers are hit again with severe drought conditions in the years ahead.

This bill provides the Bureau of Reclamation with the authority to use the funds we secured earlier this year, about \$10 million, to implement measures such as groundwater pumping and other priorities for our irrigators, and ensures that they have the authority to survive difficult water years we may face over the next 4 years.

I also want to express, as chairman of the Energy and Commerce Committee, our support for a couple other titles in this bill.

Title II of the legislation modernizes the Safe Drinking Water Act to address regulatory compliance and infrastructure challenges facing communities across our country. It brings greater investment to improve our aging drinking water systems and supports State-led efforts in large cities and rural communities alike.

For the first time in 22 years, Mr. Speaker, it authorizes capitalization grants for State drinking water revolving loan funds—\$4.4 billion over 3 years, to be exact.

This is a big infrastructure bill. These loans are a crucial tool to help communities address compliance issues and address drinking water threats. I urge EPA to help each State realize the promise of this new authorization.

As we brace for the horrible impacts of Hurricane Florence, I want to point out that title II also authorizes \$100 million for areas affected by natural disasters that need help repairing their drinking water systems to deliver clean, safe drinking water.

Our prayers are with those in the storm's path, Mr. Speaker. While this legislation won't make them whole, it will help them recover.

Title III of the legislation will make substantial regulatory improvements to the hydropower licensing process, with the goal to deliver more clean, renewable, carbon-free, and affordable energy to consumers. This title incorporates several important bills that passed out of the Energy and Commerce Committee, and I am proud to support their inclusion in this legislation before us today.

With that, I urge strong bipartisan support of the America's Water Infrastructure Act. I thank the gentlemen on both sides of the aisle and everyone involved for their work in getting this to the House floor, and I urge its passage.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Mrs. NAPOLITANO), the ranking member of the Subcommittee on Water Resources and Environment.

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of S. 3021, America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018. I thank Chairman SHUSTER, Ranking Member DEFAZIO, Chairman GRAVES, and our own respective staffs for their work on this legislation. It is encouraging to see the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee continue in a bipartisan fashion on this legislation every 2 years.

The bill authorizes Army Corps of Engineers' feasibility studies; chief's reports; and section 7001 water resource projects across the country for a diverse array of purposes, including flood damage reduction, ecosystem restoration, water supply, navigation, and other things.

The bill also includes important provisions that both the House and the Senate have worked on to improve EPA water programs.

I am pleased to have coauthored several provisions that will assist communities experiencing stormwater challenges and drought with additional water supply and water treatment options.

Section 4106 authorizes \$450 million in grants to cities over the next 2 years to plan, design, and construct stormwater, water recycling, and sewer overflow projects. This is incredibly important in my area in Los Angeles, which is addressing a new MS4 stormwater permit and is implementing water recycling projects as a way to address long-term drought and climate change.

Section 1164 requires the Corps to work with local governments on integrated water resources planning to incorporate locally developed plans for stormwater management, water quality improvement, and water recycling in Corps projects.

Section 1146 makes permanent my provision from WRDA 16 that allows public agencies or private companies to remove sediment from Army Corps dams in order for the dams to be more efficient for water supply and flood control operations. There was a particular problem of sediment buildup in

several of our dams, including the Santa Fe and San Gabriel Dams in my region.

Section 4304 creates an EPA water infrastructure and workforce investment program, which will provide grants to educational institutions, workforce development organizations, and water agencies to provide training in the water sector. The water agencies in my district have been strongly supportive of creating this program, because many employees in the water sector are retiring and workforce training is needed for new water technologies.

Section 4306 formally authorizes and improves the EPA WaterSense program, which creates a national standard for the labeling of water-efficient products. Local, State, and Federal agencies can then give rebates to individuals and businesses that purchase these WaterSense-approved products, in order to decrease overall water consumption demands.

Section 1222 requires the Corps to assess forecast-informed operations in water control manuals to ensure that dams are being used effectively to maximize local water supply. Some of them are more than 40 years old.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FRANCIS ROONEY of Florida). The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield the gentlewoman from California an additional 30 seconds.

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. I am confident that this bill, if enacted, will provide drought-prone regions like mine with the tools necessary to increase water supply through water recycling and capturing and treating stormwater.

I want to thank my constituent water agencies for their input throughout this process, including the Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District; the Three Valleys Municipal Water District; the San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District; the San Gabriel Valley WaterMaster; the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works; and my local Corps leadership in General Helmlinger, Colonel Gibbs, and David Van Dorpe.

Mr. Speaker, I ask all my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. GRAVES), chairman of the Subcommittee on Water Resources and Environment.

Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, we have Hurricane Florence bearing down on our Nation now. The Carolinas and Georgia are inevitably going to have disaster, destruction, and damages. What happens in the aftermath, as folks begin looking at it, they ask the question: What could we have done differently?

This bill, together with other legislation, is exactly what needs to be done. This begins the process of addressing this ridiculous backlog of \$100 billion in projects that we need to move for-

ward on, that we need to construct, and bringing efficiencies to the table, like allowing for States and local governments to advance these projects on their own, taking advantage of their capabilities of greater cost efficiencies, of streamlined permitting processes, and other efficiencies that they are capable of doing.

This bill brings all sorts of solutions to the table, including, Mr. Speaker, looking at whether the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers should even be within the Department of Defense.

When I call Secretary Mattis and talk to him about Russia, China, North Korea, Syria, and Iran, should I introduce a wetlands permit in that conversation?

I don't think I should. It is not compatible with the agency. So we are looking at where this mission can be a top priority, can be properly housed, and where we can accomplish these projects much faster than we are doing today.

Mr. Speaker, this bill also has some important things for us back home, including recognizing the hundreds of millions of dollars in work that our State has done to restore our eroding coastline and the ecological productivity that goes along with it; looking at carrying out a pilot dredge program, whereby we can issue dredging contracts over years over multiple channels, rather than doing one channel at a time, where we can benefit from economies of scale and, again, greater efficiency with taxpayer dollars; and, importantly, Mr. Speaker, ensuring that the old river control structure is not managed by a static 70-30 split that was determined decades ago, using updated science so we benefit navigation, the environment, and other important priorities.

I want to thank Chairman SHUSTER, Congressman DEFAZIO, Congresswoman NAPOLITANO, Congressman SANFORD, Congressman ROUZER, and all those involved for their hard work on this. I urge its adoption.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE).

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Mr. DEFAZIO, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, and all the leadership on both the Energy and Commerce Committee and the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee for putting this bill together.

Mr. Speaker, I wanted to talk about the Energy and Commerce jurisdiction, which is initially the Safe Drinking Water Act.

Our Nation's public drinking water system serves more than 300 million people, but aging and failing infrastructure threatens access to safe drinking water in communities large and small.

□ 1330

The Environmental Protection Agency estimates that our water systems will require \$472 billion in infrastructure repairs over the next 20 years, but

investment simply has not kept pace with the need.

This bill reauthorizes the Safe Drinking Water Act State Revolving Fund for the first time in 20 years and provides significant new funding to State and local governments in dire need.

The bill nearly doubles the authorized funding from \$1 billion to almost \$2 billion in 2021 and increases funding for public water system supervision grants to States.

Important provisions in the bill ensure that this money gets to the communities that need it most by raising the cap on special assistance to disadvantaged communities under the State Revolving Fund and setting a floor for such assistance to guarantee help for those communities.

I want to thank Representative TONKO, the ranking member of our House Energy Subcommittee on Environment, who led the effort to reauthorize and improve the State Revolving Fund for many years. Many of the provisions in this bill were incorporated from AQUA Act, which he authored.

The bill also contains a provision first introduced by myself and Representative RUSH establishing a grant program for replacing leaded drinking water fountains in schools and requiring, for the first time, a national inventory of lead service lines and the cost to replace them.

The bill contains Representative DINGELL's provision to make drinking water quality reports to consumers more frequent, comprehensive, and understandable.

Significantly, the bill incorporates Representative PETERS' provision to assist systems with resiliency to extreme weather, and it establishes new requirements to assess and address vulnerabilities to those threats.

It also provides \$100 million for grants to extend access to safe drinking water into areas impacted by natural disasters, responding to concerns raised by Representative GREEN.

Additionally, the bill contains language championed by Representative MCNERNEY authorizing a water sense program to encourage consumer product water efficiency, and it authorizes Buy America requirements for iron and steel products for 5 years.

Mr. Speaker, this bill won't solve all our drinking water challenges, but it makes important improvements and delivers real benefits.

I am also pleased that this final bipartisan bill includes important energy provisions that I pushed, to include among them provisions authored by Representatives KENNEDY, DEGETTE, and PETERS.

All in all, the drinking water and energy titles of this bill are critical, significant steps forward that will benefit America's families, industry, and the environment.

Mr. Speaker, America's Water Infrastructure Act is a victory for all of us, and I urge my colleagues to support the legislation.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. GRAVES).

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of America's Water Infrastructure Act, which includes the House-passed WRDA.

The chairman's commitment to passing bipartisan WRDA bills every 2 years has been an impactful item at better managing the bureaucracy at the Army Corps and more regularly approving vital water projects. This is good government and a policy that I would like to see the committee remain committed to in the future.

In my district, Mr. Speaker, this bill is extremely important to the agriculture economy and to everyone who relies on the Missouri and Mississippi Rivers. I am glad the final bill included my amendment to stop the Army Corps from building any new, unproven structures for endangered species along the Missouri, and, instead, they now are required to prove that it will actually work before spending millions of taxpayer dollars.

The Corps has to prove that these structures do not negatively impact the other management priorities on the Missouri River.

In closing, this is a good bill, and it is necessary to advance the important flood control projects and ensure our inland waterways remain reliable and efficient options for transporting goods.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote for S. 3021.

Mr. DeFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. DINGELL).

Mrs. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of S. 3021, America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018. Every Member in this House should be able to support it. It is how Congress should work, and it is what the American people expect.

Overall, the bill would authorize \$6.1 billion in Federal funds for the Army Corps of Engineers to carry out new and existing projects critical to our Nation's economy, environment, public health, and safety, including the Great Lakes region.

This legislation also includes the Drinking Water Systems Improvement Act, which the Energy and Commerce Committee approved last year, in bipartisan fashion. These provisions will improve drinking water systems and authorize \$4.4 billion for the State Drinking Water Revolving Loan Fund Program, which will provide much-needed Federal financing for States and utilities to strengthen drinking water infrastructure.

Everybody remembers Flint. Now we have PFAS. It is important that every American be able to trust the water they are drinking. This is why I am proud that this bill includes important provisions from legislation I introduced that would increase drinking water notifications and make consumer confidence reports on drinking water easier for every American to un-

derstand. It is an essential part of our response to drinking water crises in this country.

We have a crisis of confidence in our systems, and consumers deserve clear and immediate notifications and transparency when it comes to the quality of the water they are drinking. By requiring large water systems to provide consumer confidence reports biannually, the American people will have more frequent reports on water quality, which will help identify and mitigate risks sooner.

With 90 percent of Americans receiving their drinking water from a public drinking water system, it is our collective responsibility to provide strong funding authorizations for modernizing drinking water systems, and we must continue to find effective ways to keep the public informed.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. DENHAM).

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of America's Water Infrastructure Act. This bill revitalizes our waterways, bolsters flood protection, and ensures our channels and harbors can supply America and the world with U.S. goods.

Most importantly, this bill includes my New WATER Act, a provision that will help us to build new water storage in California.

The last time we built anything of substance in California for water storage was 1979. We have waited 50 years—50 years—because we don't have Federal financing and Federal authorization.

Finally, under this bill, the New WATER Act will set up a bank for financing for these water storage projects, and we can finally get some of these big reservoirs under way: Shasta, raising Shasta; building Sites Reservoir, which has been studied for decades; building Los Vaqueros; building Temperance Flat.

It is time to build water storage in California. We need to be solving our own problems, and the New WATER Act helps us with that financing.

Below-ground water storage projects, water recycling, and desalination projects are also eligible.

We want to look at all water in California, but this allows us a Federal financing plan to match State and local dollars as well.

Also, I included the lower San Joaquin County Army Corps chief's report in this bill to enhance flood protection for more than 50,000 valley residents in San Joaquin County. This will reduce annual property damage and enhance security at 262 critical infrastructure sites, including French Camp.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield the gentleman from California an additional 15 seconds.

Mr. DENHAM. French Camp will be the Army Corps' first VA project, building a megaclinic for our veterans

in our local community right in this area in between Lathrop and Manteca.

We look at revitalizing America's infrastructure. This is a monumental step forward in America's water infrastructure, and I ask my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. KENNEDY).

(Mr. KENNEDY asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the bill before us, S. 3021; and specifically, Mr. Speaker, the Fair Ratepayer Accountability, Transparency, and Efficiency Standards Act written into title III, culminating more than 4 years of work on this issue.

In 2014, due to an unintended loophole in the Federal Power Act, my constituents were locked out of challenging a nearly \$2 billion rate increase, because at the time the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission was deadlocked 2 to 2 on whether to approve or deny the change.

With this bill before us today, we can close that loophole and ensure that no ratepayer suffers the same consequence that continues to threaten the bank accounts and pocketbooks of my constituents. It is especially important today given that the commission currently has only four sitting commissioners.

While this is not the bill that I first introduced more than 3 years ago and it is not the one that the House unanimously passed each of the last two Congresses, it is a compromise with our Senate colleagues and is an important step in the right direction.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the bill before us, H.R. S. 2031.

Specifically I rise in support of the Fair Ratepayer Accountability, Transparency, and Efficiency Standards Act, written into Title III, culminating more than four years of work on this issue.

As my colleagues have heard time and time again, my constituents in New England pay among the highest retail electric rates across the country. And in 2014, due to an unintended loophole in the Federal Power Act, my constituents were locked out of challenging a nearly \$2 billion rate increase because at the time, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission was deadlocked two-to-two on whether to approve or deny the change.

As written, the Federal Power Act allows for rate changes to take effect by operation of law if FERC fails to act within the statutory 60-day time period. Due to a deadlock in 2014, no action was taken. And as we have since learned, the Federal Power Act only allows for appeals of official FERC Actions, not rates that take effect by operation of law, including deadlocks like the one that left my constituents voiceless.

With this bill before us today, we can ensure no ratepayer suffers the same consequence. It is especially important now given the current status of the Commission with only 4 sitting commissioners.

This bill represents a compromise with my Senate colleagues. The bill states that any rates that take effect by operation of law, due to a deadlock or a Commission that lacks sufficient members for a quorum, are appealable both at FERC and in the courts. It is intended to ensure that FERC and the courts consider the merits of a rate change and whether such a change is just and reasonable as required by the Federal Power Act. It would not allow FERC or the courts to simply dismiss a challenge because FERC failed to issue an order.

Additionally, I would like to highlight two concerns with this language and make clear congressional intent in the process. First, this bill would not apply to rates that the Commission either chooses, or inadvertently allows, to take effect upon expiration of the statutory clock. It has been my understanding and my experience that FERC can and will continue to address all rate filings with the due diligence and scrutiny each deserves, and I hope FERC will continue to do so even on the most contentious of cases. I expect FERC will abide by the requirements of this legislation and not take advantage of any loophole to avoid a contentious filing.

Second, while unusual, this bill would require each of the sitting commissioners to explain in writing their view on the rate change. To my knowledge, there is no other similar requirement in any part of the Federal Power Act or Natural Gas Act. However, it is my belief that if and when the time comes to address a deadlock, commissioners will use the opportunity to explain their views for purposes of transparency and good government.

While this is not the bill I first introduced more than three years ago, the one that passed the House unanimously in each of the last two Congresses, it is a good compromise and a significant step in the right direction.

I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. WOODALL), a hardworking member of the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee and a member of the Rules Committee.

Mr. WOODALL. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman for his leadership on the committee.

Mr. Speaker, this is probably the most important bill that most members of the United States of America citizenry will never have heard of.

When I go back home, folks ask me to make smart and prudent investments that will make a difference in people's lives. They ask me to repeal unnecessary regulations that stand in the way of making those improvements. They ask me to pay for those things rather than passing the bill on to our kids and our grandkids.

The bill that the chairman and the ranking member have brought before us today fulfills every single one of those challenges.

In my district, Mr. Speaker, we are talking about preserving water quality in Lake Lanier, the sole water source for most of the metro Atlanta area. We are talking about funding the Savannah Harbor Expansion Project, a project of economic significance for the entire southeastern United States. We are talking about offering local offi-

cials the financing tools they need to bring projects to bear faster and cheaper than ever before.

Mr. Speaker, median household income has never been higher. Small business optimism has never been higher. Unemployment is at its lowest point in decades. Job openings are at a record high. Manufacturing jobs are at a record high. This does not happen by accident. This happens when you create an environment in which success can breed.

I want to thank the ranking member from Oregon. I particularly want to thank my chairman from Pennsylvania. Chairman SHUSTER said he was going to bring three bills to the floor. He said we are going to get back in the business of doing the people's business, not sometimes but always; and here, at the end of his third term as chairman, we are bringing our third WRDA bill to the floor.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. MITCHELL), my good friend and another hardworking member of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee.

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the America's Water Infrastructure Act, a critical piece of legislation that authorizes water infrastructure projects across our Nation.

One particular project is near and dear to my heart, critical to the State of Michigan and our national economy and security: the Soo Locks. This lock is the only waterway, the only connection between Lake Superior and the rest of the Great Lakes and the Saint Lawrence Seaway.

Right now, that one lock accommodates almost all of the shipping of iron ore and many other goods from Minnesota through the Great Lakes. If that lock fails, the damage is instantaneous: economic damage—11 million people would lose their jobs within the first 90 days—and risks our national security.

That is why construction of a second lock is so vital. This upgrade was authorized over 30 years ago. I guess it shouldn't surprise us that it has languished a bit. We now have bipartisan support, both sides of the aisle supporting the need to get this lock, a second large lock, built. We have recognition by the administration that this lock is critical for our economy and our national security.

Mr. Speaker, I urge passage of this bill as the next step in building the 1,200-foot lock at the Soo Locks so we can ensure that our national security and our economy is not devastated by the failure of one lock.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time if the gentleman has more speakers.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I have three more speakers.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON).

□ 1345

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Speaker, safe drinking water for our families is something that we all should agree on, and this bill delivers on that promise. It makes certain that communities like Parchment, in my district, are able to deal with the PFAS contamination issue.

In Parchment, thanks to the proactive efforts of the State of Michigan, we discovered PFAS in the water, and we immediately sprang into action to solve the problem in the short term—local, State, and Federal folks all working in tandem to fix the problem. Specifically, the bill does that by increasing authorization for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund. It critically works to modernize our aging water infrastructure by providing more resources.

Drinking water quality remains high across the country, but improvements can and must be made now. This bipartisan bill is yet a very important step forward. I look forward to supporting it and would urge all of my colleagues on both sides to do the same.

I want to thank Chairman SHUSTER for getting this bill to the floor, and I look forward to ultimately getting it to the President for his signature.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SHUSTER. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Washington (Mrs. MCMORRIS RODGERS), our conference chair.

Mrs. MCMORRIS RODGERS. Madam Speaker, I thank the chairman for his leadership and yielding the time.

Today, I am proud to stand in support of the Water Resources Development Act. I appreciate all the work that has been done to help strengthen our Nation's ports, dams, and waterways. In this legislation, we are keeping our promise to the American people to rebuild our Nation's infrastructure.

I was proud to offer two significant provisions to help the people of eastern Washington. The first is the Port of Whitman Economic Expansion Act. This will allow the Port of Whitman to purchase land from the Army Corps of Engineers to continue their mission of economic development in our region. The other provision is from bipartisan legislation that passed this House earlier titled the Hydropower Modernization Act of 2017.

In Washington State, hydropower makes up nearly 70 percent of our electricity, and it is the Nation's largest source of clean, renewable, reliable, and affordable energy. We have some of the lowest electricity costs in the country because of clean hydropower, but there is still room for tremendous potential to increase the production of renewable energy as hydropower, but FERC doesn't incentivize investing in dams.

Capital intensive projects like updating turbines or improving fish ladders are only included in the lifespan of a dam's license during the relicensing window. My provision will require

FERC to include all protection, mitigation, and enhancement measures during the relicensing process.

I appreciate Chairman SHUSTER and Chairman WALDEN and the staff for all of their work.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Madam Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SHUSTER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BUCSHON).

Mr. BUCSHON. Madam Speaker, unnecessary government red tape is preventing us from taking advantage of clean, renewable hydropower generation at existing nonpowered dams. The current regulatory process simply takes too long, taking up to a decade for the project to be approved.

We can change this by supporting America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018, which includes the Promoting Hydropower Development at Existing Nonpowered Dams Act, which I authored. This legislation instructs the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, FERC, to create an expedited permitting process that will result in a final decision on an application in 2 years or less.

The legislation also requires FERC, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the Department of the Interior to develop a list of existing nonpowered dams that have the greatest potential for hydropower development. Streamlining the permitting process will incentivize investments in clean hydropower development and help modernize our existing infrastructure.

I ask my colleagues to join me today in supporting this legislation.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Again, I want to particularly congratulate the chairman on his accomplishment of resuscitating regular WRDA reauthorizations, and this, of course, will be the last time in which he will be on the floor on this particular issue.

I would also like to thank the staff, Ryan Seiger, Mike Brain; from Representative NAPOLITANO's office, Joe Sheehy; and a special thanks to legislative counsel, whose staff tells me was absolutely fabulous on this, Kakuti Lin. And then, of course, I believe the chairman will thank his staff, but I would also extend thanks to them but not name them individually if he is going to do that.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SHUSTER. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I thank my partner in this, Ranking Member DEFAZIO, for his efforts on the bill, but also Ranking Member NAPOLITANO and Subcommittee Chair GARRET GRAVES for their great work on this bill.

I thank the entire Republican staff on the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee.

The following staff spent hours working on behalf of the Members of Congress to produce this final product:

Ian Bennett, Elizabeth Fox, John Pawlow, Victor Sarmiento, Peter Como, Geoff Gosselin, Fred Miller, Chris Vieson, Kathy Dedrick, Ryann Seiger, Joe Sheehy, Alex Burkett, Mike Brian, Richard Russell, Brian Clifford, Lizzy Oslen, Pauline Thorndick, Andy Hardy, Craig Thomas, Marry Francis Repko.

John Drake, Andrew Rogers, Chris Baysinger, Mary Martin, Jerry Couri, Mike Bloomquist, Ryan Long, Karen Christian, Brandon Mooney, Annelise Rickerts, Jackie Cohen, Rick Kessler, Jean Fruci, Kakuti Lin, Allison Hartwich, Geoff Antell, Kiel Weaver, Cindy Herrie.

Madam Speaker, I thank the Democratic staff for their partnership in crafting this legislation and now passing on the floor, and, hopefully, ultimately, we get it to the President's desk and signed into law. Thanks to all the folks on the other side of the aisle, the staff, for their willingness and hard work and the effort they put into it.

I want to point out, though, Chris Vieson and Geoff Gosselin, the staff director and deputy staff director, for their great work and leadership on the committee. But also the Water Resources staff, Ian Bennett, Jon Pawlow, Elizabeth Fox, Victor Sarmiento, and Peter Como for their efforts and long hours that I know they took to put this all together.

And finally, I would just like to say, passing WRDA is personally very gratifying to me. When I became chairman, we had not passed a WRDA bill in 7 years. Prior to that, for over 20 years, WRDA bills were passed every Congress. It was regular order. Today, we will pass the third WRDA bill in three Congresses, and I want to thank the leadership on both sides of the aisle for working with us and helping us to get it to the floor.

I thank all of my colleagues in the House. As I think we maybe said earlier, when we passed it out of the House, it was 408-2, overwhelmingly. And again, I can't thank my colleagues enough for working with me and helping to bring back regular order to WRDA.

I ask all my colleagues to support this bill, and let's move on to the next bill.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. FOXX). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SHUSTER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 3021, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "An Act to provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to provide for water pollution control activities, and for other purposes."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

NATIONAL QUANTUM INITIATIVE ACT

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Madam Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 6227) to provide for a coordinated Federal program to accelerate quantum research and development for the economic and national security of the United States, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 6227

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the “National Quantum Initiative Act”.

(b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Definitions.

Sec. 3. Purposes.

TITLE I—NATIONAL QUANTUM INITIATIVE

Sec. 101. National Quantum Initiative Program.

Sec. 102. National Quantum Coordination Office.

Sec. 103. Subcommittee on Quantum Information Science.

Sec. 104. National Quantum Initiative Advisory Committee.

Sec. 105. Sunset.

TITLE II—NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY QUANTUM ACTIVITIES

Sec. 201. National Institute of Standards and Technology activities and quantum workshop.

TITLE III—NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY CENTERS FOR QUANTUM RESEARCH AND EDUCATION

Sec. 301. Quantum information science research and education program.

Sec. 302. Multidisciplinary Centers for Quantum Research and Education.

TITLE IV—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY RESEARCH AND NATIONAL QUANTUM INFORMATION SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTERS

Sec. 401. Quantum Information Science Research program.

Sec. 402. National Quantum Information Science Research Centers.

Sec. 403. Spending limitation.

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act, the following definitions apply:

(1) **ADVISORY COMMITTEE.**—The term “Advisory Committee” means the National Quantum Initiative Advisory Committee established under section 104(a).

(2) **COORDINATION OFFICE.**—The term “Coordination Office” means the National Quantum Coordination Office established under section 102(a).

(3) **INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION.**—The term “institutions of higher education” has the meaning given the term in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a)).

(4) **PROGRAM.**—The term “Program” means the National Quantum Initiative Program implemented under section 101(a).

(5) **QUANTUM INFORMATION SCIENCE.**—The term “quantum information science” means the storage, transmission, manipulation, or measurement of information that is encoded in systems that can only be described by the laws of quantum physics.

(6) **SUBCOMMITTEE.**—The term “Subcommittee” means the Subcommittee on Quantum Information Science of the National Science and Technology Council established under section 103(a).

SEC. 3. PURPOSES.

The purposes of this Act are to ensure the continued leadership of the United States in quantum information science and its technology applications by—

(1) supporting research, development, demonstration, and application of quantum information science and technology in order to—

(A) expand the number of researchers, educators, and students with training in quantum information science and technology to develop a workforce pipeline;

(B) promote the development and inclusion of multidisciplinary curriculum and research opportunities for quantum information science at the undergraduate, graduate, and postdoctoral level;

(C) address basic research knowledge gaps;

(D) promote the further development of facilities and centers available for quantum information science and technology research, testing and education; and

(E) stimulate research on and promote more rapid development of quantum-based technologies;

(2) improving the interagency planning and coordination of Federal research and development of quantum information science and technology and maximizing the effectiveness of the Federal Government’s quantum information science and technology research and development programs;

(3) promoting collaboration among government, Federal laboratories, industry, and universities; and

(4) promoting the development of standards for quantum information science and technology security.

TITLE I—NATIONAL QUANTUM INITIATIVE

SEC. 101. NATIONAL QUANTUM INITIATIVE PROGRAM.

The President shall implement a 10-year National Quantum Initiative Program. In carrying out the Program, the President shall, acting through appropriate Federal agencies, councils, working groups, subcommittees, and the Coordination Office—

(1) establish the goals, priorities, and metrics for a 10-year plan to accelerate development of quantum information science and technology applications in the United States;

(2) invest in fundamental Federal quantum information science and technology research, development, demonstration, and other activities to achieve the goals established in paragraph (1);

(3) invest in activities to develop a quantum information science and technology workforce pipeline;

(4) provide for interagency coordination of Federal quantum information science and technology research, development, demonstration, and other activities undertaken pursuant to the Program;

(5) partner with industry and academia to leverage knowledge and resources; and

(6) leverage existing Federal investments efficiently to advance Program goals and objectives.

SEC. 102. NATIONAL QUANTUM COORDINATION OFFICE.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The President shall establish a National Quantum Coordination Office, which shall have—

(1) a Director appointed by the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy, in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce, the Director of the National Science Foundation, and the Secretary of Energy; and

(2) staff that shall be comprised of employees detailed from the Federal agencies that are members of the Subcommittee.

(b) **RESPONSIBILITIES.**—The Coordination Office shall—

(1) provide technical and administrative support to—

(A) the Subcommittee; and

(B) the Advisory Committee;

(2) oversee interagency coordination of the Program, including encouraging and supporting joint agency solicitation and selection of applications for funding of projects under the Program;

(3) serve as the point of contact on Federal civilian quantum information science and technology activities for Government organizations, academia, industry, professional societies, State governments, and others to exchange technical and programmatic information;

(4) ensure coordination between the Multidisciplinary Centers for Quantum Research and Education established under section 302(a) and the National Quantum Information Science Research Centers established under section 402(a);

(5) conduct public outreach, including dissemination of findings and recommendations of the Advisory Committee, as appropriate;

(6) promote access to and early application of the technologies, innovations, and expertise derived from Program activities to agency missions and systems across the Federal Government, and to United States industry, including startup companies; and

(7) promote access, through appropriate Government agencies, to existing quantum computing and communication systems developed by industry, academia, and Federal laboratories to the general user community in pursuit of discovery of the new applications of such systems.

(c) **FUNDING.**—Funds necessary to carry out the activities of the Coordination Office shall be made available each fiscal year by the participating agencies of the Subcommittee, as determined by the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy.

SEC. 103. SUBCOMMITTEE ON QUANTUM INFORMATION SCIENCE.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The President shall establish, through the National Science and Technology Council, a Subcommittee on Quantum Information Science.

(b) **MEMBERSHIP.**—The Subcommittee shall include—

(1) the National Institute of Standards and Technology;

(2) the National Science Foundation;

(3) the Department of Energy;

(4) the National Aeronautics and Space Administration;

(5) the Department of Defense;

(6) the Office of the Director of National Intelligence;

(7) the Office of Management and Budget;

(8) the Office of Science and Technology Policy; and

(9) any other Federal agency as considered appropriate by the President.

(c) **CHAIRS.**—The Subcommittee shall be jointly chaired by the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the Director of the National Science Foundation, and the Secretary of Energy.

(d) **RESPONSIBILITIES.**—The Subcommittee shall—

(1) coordinate the quantum information science and technology research and education activities and programs of the Federal agencies;

(2) establish goals and priorities of the Program, based on identified knowledge and workforce gaps and other national needs;

(3) assess and recommend Federal infrastructure needs to support the Program; and

(4) evaluate opportunities for international cooperation with strategic allies on research and development in quantum information science and technology.

(e) **STRATEGIC PLAN.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Subcommittee shall develop a 5-year strategic plan, and 6 years after enactment of the Act develop an additional 5-year strategic plan, with periodic updates as appropriate to guide the activities of the Program, meet the goals, priorities,