

Mr. NEWHOUSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, don't believe me. I would say don't believe Mr. POLIS either. Believe the border security guard that I quoted earlier who said that the situation we have at the border happened under the previous administration as well as this one.

Mr. Trump, our President, did not manufacture this crisis, but this bill before us will solve that situation, which is why we need to pass this rule.

That whole issue takes away from one of the most pressing issues of our time, immigration reform. We will solve that, but we can also address immigration.

I am proud of the bill we have before us. I am proud that we have had so many speakers come and speak on its behalf. This is the only bill in front of us that has any potential chance of becoming law. The President will sign this bill because it addresses his four main pillars: it provides for border security, which the American people want. And, certainly, as we have talked a lot today, it provides for those 1.8 million DACA recipients and Dreamers. It is a good bill.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill because it is the right thing to do.

Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this closed rule and the sham underlying legislation.

As the Ranking Member on Homeland Security, one truism that I hear is that you do not negotiate with terrorists.

Yet, that is exactly what the House Leadership is asking us to do today.

When the President said, in September, that he has "a great heart" for Dreamers, we were hopeful that a deal could be reached.

However, since that time, the Trump Administration has executed a "campaign of terror" in furtherance of one objective—getting Congress to pay for a border wall.

On September 5th, the President announced the repeal of DACA.

Then, on September 18th, he announced the end of the TPS program to give safe haven to Sudanese nationals.

On November 6th, it was ended for Nicaraguans.

Two weeks later, it was canceled for Haitians.

In January, Salvadorans also lost these immigration protections.

Arguably the cruelest, most inhumane tactical maneuver of the Trump Administration came on April 6th, when the "Zero Tolerance policy" was announced.

The "DACA crisis", the "TPS crisis", and now the "Family Separation crisis" are all crises of the President's own making.

And it is people—it is children—who suffer. Make no mistake, the measure before us today will not end the suffering.

Instead of family separation, it offers family detention, an approach that DHS' own advisory committee has stated is "neither appropriate nor necessary for families" and is "never in the best interest of children."

For these reasons, I urge a "no" on this rule and H.R. 6136, an Anti-Family Values bill.

The text of the material previously referred to by Mr. POLIS is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 953 OFFERED BY
MR. POLIS

Strike all after the resolved clause and insert:

That immediately upon adoption of this resolution the Speaker shall, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 6135) to limit the separation of families at or near ports of entry. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided among and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary and the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Homeland Security. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions. If the Committee of the Whole rises and reports that it has come to no resolution on the bill, then on the next legislative day the House shall, immediately after the third daily order of business under clause 1 of rule XIV, resolve into the Committee of the Whole for further consideration of the bill.

SEC. 2. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 6135.

THE VOTE ON THE PREVIOUS QUESTION: WHAT
IT REALLY MEANS

This vote, the vote on whether to order the previous question on a special rule, is not merely a procedural vote. A vote against ordering the previous question is a vote against the Republican majority agenda and a vote to allow the Democratic minority to offer an alternative plan. It is a vote about what the House should be debating.

Mr. Clarence Cannon's *Precedents of the House of Representatives* (VI, 308-311), describes the vote on the previous question on the rule as "a motion to direct or control the consideration of the subject before the House being made by the Member in charge." To defeat the previous question is to give the opposition a chance to decide the subject before the House. Cannon cites the Speaker's ruling of January 13, 1920, to the effect that "the refusal of the House to sustain the demand for the previous question passes the control of the resolution to the opposition" in order to offer an amendment. On March 15, 1909, a member of the majority party offered a rule resolution. The House defeated the previous question and a member of the opposition rose to a parliamentary inquiry, asking who was entitled to recognition. Speaker Joseph G. Cannon (R-Illinois) said: "The previous question having been refused, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Fitzgerald, who had asked the gentleman to yield to him for an amendment, is entitled to the first recognition."

The Republican majority may say "the vote on the previous question is simply a vote on whether to proceed to an immediate vote on adopting the resolution . . . [and] has no substantive legislative or policy implications whatsoever." But that is not what they have always said. Listen to the *Republican Leadership Manual on the Legislative Process in the United States House of Representatives*, (6th edition, page 135). Here's

how the Republicans describe the previous question vote in their own manual: "Although it is generally not possible to amend the rule because the majority Member controlling the time will not yield for the purpose of offering an amendment, the same result may be achieved by voting down the previous question on the rule. . . . When the motion for the previous question is defeated, control of the time passes to the Member who led the opposition to ordering the previous question. That Member, because he then controls the time, may offer an amendment to the rule, or yield for the purpose of amendment."

In *Deschler's Procedure in the U.S. House of Representatives*, the subchapter titled "Amending Special Rules" states: "A refusal to order the previous question on such a rule [a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules] opens the resolution to amendment and further debate." (Chapter 21, section 21.2) Section 21.3 continues: "Upon rejection of the motion for the previous question on a resolution reported from the Committee on Rules, control shifts to the Member leading the opposition to the previous question, who may offer a proper amendment or motion and who controls the time for debate thereon."

Clearly, the vote on the previous question on a rule does have substantive policy implications. It is one of the only available tools for those who oppose the Republican majority's agenda and allows those with alternative views the opportunity to offer an alternative plan.

Mr. NEWHOUSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and House Resolution 905, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Motion to reconsider the question of passage of H.R. 2;

Passage of H.R. 2, if ordered;

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 953;

Adopting House Resolution 953, if ordered; and

Agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

AGRICULTURE AND NUTRITION ACT OF 2018

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on the

motion to reconsider the vote on the question of passage of the bill (H.R. 2) to provide for the reform and continuation of agricultural and other programs of the Department of Agriculture through fiscal year 2023, and for other purposes, on which a recorded vote was ordered.

The Clerk will redesignate the motion.

The Clerk redesignated the motion.

RECORDED VOTE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 233, noes 191, not voting 3, as follows:

[Roll No. 283]

AYES—233

Abraham	Gianforte	Messer
Aderholt	Gibbs	Mitchell
Allen	Gohmert	Moolenaar
Amash	Goodlatte	Mooney (WV)
Amodei	Gosar	Mullin
Arrington	Gowdy	Newhouse
Babin	Granger	Noem
Bacon	Graves (GA)	Norman
Banks (IN)	Graves (LA)	Nunes
Barletta	Graves (MO)	Olson
Barr	Griffith	Palazzo
Barton	Grothman	Palmer
Bergman	Guthrie	Paulsen
Biggs	Handel	Pearce
Billirakis	Harper	Perry
Bishop (MI)	Harris	Pittenger
Bishop (UT)	Hartzler	Poe (TX)
Black	Hensarling	Poliquin
Blackburn	Herrera Beutler	Posey
Blum	Hice, Jody B.	Ratcliffe
Bost	Higgins (LA)	Reed
Brady (TX)	Hill	Reichert
Brat	Holding	Renacci
Brooks (AL)	Hollingsworth	Rice (SC)
Brooks (IN)	Hudson	Roby
Buchanan	Huizenga	Roe (TN)
Buck	Hultgren	Rogers (AL)
Bucshon	Hunter	Rogers (KY)
Budd	Hurd	Rohrabacher
Burgess	Issa	Rokita
Byrne	Jenkins (KS)	Rooney, Francis
Calvert	Jenkins (WV)	Rooney, Thomas
Carter (GA)	Johnson (LA)	J.
Carter (TX)	Johnson (OH)	Ros-Lehtinen
Chabot	Johnson, Sam	Roskam
Cheney	Jones	Ross
Coffman	Jordan	Rothfus
Cole	Joyce (OH)	Rouzer
Collins (GA)	Katko	Royce (CA)
Collins (NY)	Kelly (MS)	Russell
Comer	Kelly (PA)	Rutherford
Comstock	King (IA)	Sanford
Conaway	King (NY)	Scalise
Cook	Kinzinger	Schweikert
Costello (PA)	Knight	Scott, Austin
Cramer	Kustoff (TN)	Sensenbrenner
Crawford	LaHood	Sessions
Culberson	LaMalfa	Shimkus
Curbelo (FL)	Lamborn	Shuster
Curtis	Lance	Simpson
Davidson	Latta	Smith (MO)
Davis, Rodney	Lesko	Smith (NE)
Denham	Lewis (MN)	Smith (NJ)
DeSantis	LoBiondo	Smith (TX)
DesJarlais	Long	Smucker
Diaz-Balart	Loudermilk	Stefanik
Donovan	Love	Stewart
Duffy	Lucas	Stivers
Duncan (SC)	Luetkemeyer	Taylor
Duncan (TN)	MacArthur	Tenney
Dunn	Marchant	Thompson (PA)
Emmer	Marino	Thornberry
Estes (KS)	Marshall	Tipton
Faso	Massie	Trott
Ferguson	Mast	Turner
Fitzpatrick	McCarthy	Upton
Fleischmann	McCaul	Valadao
Flores	McClintock	Wagner
Fortenberry	McHenry	Walberg
Fox	McKinley	Walden
Frelinghuysen	McMorris	Walker
Gaetz	Rodgers	Walorski
Gallagher	McSally	Walters, Mimi
Garrett	Meadows	Weber (TX)

Webster (FL)
Wenstrup
Westerman
Williams
Wilson (SC)

Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Yoho

Young (AK)
Young (IA)
Zeldin

NOES—191

Adams
Aguilar
Barragán
Bass
Beatty
Bera
Beyer
Bishop (GA)
Blumenauer
Blunt Rochester
Bonamici
Boyle, Brendan
F.

Brady (PA)
Brown (MD)
Brownley (CA)
Bustos
Butterfield
Capuano
Carbajal
Cárdenas
Carson (IN)
Cartwright
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Cooper
Correa
Costa
Courtney
Crist
Crowley
Cuellar
Cummings
Davis (CA)
Davis, Danny
DeFazio
DeGette
Delaney
DeLauro
DeBene
Demings
DeSaulnier
Deutsch
Dingell
Doggett
Doyle, Michael
F.
Ellison
Engel
Eshoo
Española
Esty (CT)
Evans
Foster
Frankel (FL)
Fudge
Gabbard

Jeffries

Gallego
Garamendi
Gomez
Gonzalez (TX)
Gottheimer
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Grijalva
Gutiérrez
Hanabusa
Hastings
Heck
Higgins (NY)
Himes
Hoyer
Huffman
Jackson Lee
Jayapal
Johnson (GA)
Johnson, E. B.
Kaptur
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kennedy
Khanna
Kihuen
Kildee
Kilmer
Kind
Krishnamoorthi
Kuster (NH)
Lamb
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Lawrence
Lawson (FL)
Lee
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lieu, Ted
Lipinski
Loeb sack
Loftgren
Lowenthal
Lowe
Lujan Grisham,
M.
Luján, Ben Ray
Lynch
Maloney,
Carolyn B.
Maloney, Sean
Matsui
McCollum
McEachin
McGovern
McNerney
Meeks
Meng
Moore
Moulton
Murphy (FL)
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal

NOT VOTING—3

Labrador

Nolan
Norcross
O'Halleran
O'Rourke
Pallone
Panetta
Pascrell
Pelosi
Perlmutter
Peters
Peterson
Pingree
Pocan
Polis
Price (NC)
Quigley
Raskin
Rice (NY)
Richmond
Rosen
Roybal-Allard
Ruiz
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan (OH)
Sánchez
Sarbanes
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schneider
Schradner
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell (AL)
Shea-Porter
Sherman
Sinema
Sires
Smith (WA)
Soto
Speier
Suozi
Swalwell (CA)
Takano
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tonko
Torres
Tsongas
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Walz
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters, Maxine
Watson Coleman
Welch
Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

Payne

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. PETERSON. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 213, nays 211, not voting 4, as follows:

[Roll No. 284]

YEAS—213

Abraham	Granger	Palazzo
Allen	Graves (GA)	Palmer
Amodei	Graves (LA)	Paulsen
Arrington	Graves (MO)	Pearce
Babin	Griffith	Pittenger
Bacon	Grothman	Poe (TX)
Banks (IN)	Guthrie	Poliquin
Barletta	Handel	Posey
Barr	Harper	Ratcliffe
Barton	Harris	Reed
Bergman	Hartzler	Reichert
Billirakis	Hensarling	Renacci
Bishop (MI)	Herrera Beutler	Rice (SC)
Bishop (UT)	Hice, Jody B.	Roby
Black	Higgins (LA)	Roe (TN)
Blackburn	Hill	Rogers (AL)
Blum	Holding	Rogers (KY)
Bost	Hollingsworth	Rokita
Brady (TX)	Hudson	Rooney, Francis
Brat	Huizenga	Rooney, Thomas
Brooks (AL)	Hultgren	J.
Brooks (IN)	Hunter	Roskam
Buchanan	Hurd	Ross
Buck	Issa	Rouzer
Bucshon	Jenkins (KS)	Royce (CA)
Budd	Jenkins (WV)	Russell
Burgess	Johnson (LA)	Rutherford
Byrne	Johnson (OH)	Ryan (WI)
Calvert	Johnson, Sam	Scalise
Carter (GA)	Jordan	Schweikert
Carter (TX)	Joyce (OH)	Scott, Austin
Chabot	Kelly (MS)	Sensenbrenner
Cheney	Kelly (PA)	Sessions
Coffman	King (IA)	Shimkus
Cole	Kinzing	Shuster
Collins (GA)	Knight	Simpson
Collins (NY)	Kustoff (TN)	Smith (MO)
Comer	Labrador	Smith (NE)
Comstock	LaHood	Smith (TX)
Conaway	LaMalfa	Smucker
Cook	Lamborn	Stefanik
Costello (PA)	Latta	Stewart
Cramer	Lesko	Stivers
Crawford	Lewis (MN)	Taylor
Culberson	Long	Tenney
Curbelo (FL)	Loudermilk	Thompson (PA)
Curtis	Love	Thornberry
Davidson	Lucas	Tipton
Davis, Rodney	Luetkemeyer	Trott
Denham	MacArthur	Turner
DeSantis	Marchant	Valadao
DesJarlais	Marino	Wagner
Diaz-Balart	Marshall	Walberg
Donovan	Mast	Walden
Duffy	McCarthy	Walden
Duncan (SC)	McCaul	Walker
Duncan (TN)	McHenry	Walorski
Dunn	McKinley	Walters, Mimi
Emmer	McMorris	Weber (TX)
Estes (KS)	Rodgers	Webster (FL)
Faso	McSally	Wenstrup
Ferguson	Meadows	Westerman
Flores	Fleischmann	Williams
Fortenberry	Messer	Wilson (SC)
Fox	Mitchell	Wittman
Frelinghuysen	Moolenaar	Womack
Gaetz	Mooney (WV)	Woodall
Gallagher	Mullin	Yoder
Garrett	Newhouse	Yoho
	Noem	Young (AK)
	Norman	Young (IA)
	Nunes	Zeldin
	Olson	

NAYS—211

Adams	Biggs	Brown (MD)
Aguilar	Bishop (GA)	Brownley (CA)
Amash	Blumenauer	Bustos
Barragán	Blunt Rochester	Butterfield
Bass	Bonamici	Capuano
Beatty	Boyle, Brendan	Carbajal
Bera	F.	Cárdenas
Beyer	Brady (PA)	Carson (IN)

Mr. GARAMENDI, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Messrs. CARSON of Indiana, MOULTON, TONKO, and GUTIERREZ changed their vote from “aye” to “no.”

Messrs. COLE, RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois, FASO, RUSSELL, WEBSTER of Florida, ROKITA, HOLLINGSWORTH, COSTELLO of Pennsylvania, DAVIDSON, HURD, GOWDY, and CRAMER changed their vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the motion to reconsider was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Cartwright
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Cooper
Correa
Costa
Courtney
Crist
Crowley
Cuellar
Cummings
Davis (CA)
Davis, Danny
DeFazio
DeGette
Delaney
DeLauro
DelBene
Demings
DeSaulnier
Deutch
Dingell
Doggett
Doyle, Michael
F.
Duncan (TN)
Ellison
Engel
Eshoo
Espallat
Esty (CT)
Evans
Fitzpatrick
Foster
Frankel (FL)
Frelinghuysen
Fudge
Gabbard
Gaetz
Galleo
Garamendi
Garrett
Gomez
Gonzalez (TX)
Gottheimer
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Grijalva
Gutiérrez
Hanabusa
Hastings
Heck
Higgins (NY)
Himes
Hoyer

Huffman
Jackson Lee
Jayapal
Johnson (GA)
Johnson, E. B.
Jones
Kaptur
Katko
Keating
Kelly (IL)
Kennedy
Khanna
Kihuen
Kildee
Kilmer
Kind
King (NY)
Krishnamoorthi
Kuster (NH)
Lamb
Lance
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Lawrence
Lawson (FL)
Lee
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lieu, Ted
Lipinski
LoBiondo
Loeb sack
Lofgren
Lowenthal
Lowe
Lujan Grisham,
M.
Luján, Ben Ray
Lynch
Maloney,
Carolyn B.
Maloney, Sean
Massie
Matsui
McClintock
McCollum
McEachin
McGovern
McNerney
Meeks
Meng
Moore
Moulton
Murphy (FL)
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Nolan
Norcross
O'Halleran
O'Rourke
Pallone
Panetta

Pascarell
Pelosi
Perlmutter
Peters
Peterson
Pingree
Pocan
Polis
Price (NC)
Quigley
Raskin
Rice (NY)
Richmond
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Rosen
Rothfus
Roybal-Allard
Ruiz
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan (OH)
Sanchez
Sanford
Sarbanes
Schakowsky
Schiff
Schneider
Schrader
Scott (VA)
Scott, David
Serrano
Sewell (AL)
Shea-Porter
Sherman
Sinema
Sires
Smith (NJ)
Smith (WA)
Soto
Speier
Suozi
Swalwell (CA)
Takano
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Titus
Tonko
Torres
Tsongas
Vargas
Veasey
Vela
Velázquez
Visclosky
Walz
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters, Maxine
Watson Coleman
Welch
Wilson (FL)
Yarmuth

NOT VOTING—4

Aderholt
Jeffries

Payne
Perry

□ 1559

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Speaker, the voting machine did not record my vote. Had I been present, I would have voted “nay” on rollcall No. 284.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 6136, BORDER SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION REFORM ACT OF 2018

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 953) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 6136) to amend the immigration laws and provide for border security, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 233, nays 191, not voting 3, as follows:

[Roll No. 285]

YEAS—233

Abraham
Aderholt
Allen
Amash
Amodei
Arrington
Babin
Bacon
Banks (IN)
Barletta
Barr
Barton
Bergman
Biggs
Bilirakis
Bishop (MI)
Bishop (UT)
Black
Blackburn
Blum
Bost
Brady (TX)
Brat
Brooks (AL)
Brooks (IN)
Buchanan
Buck
Bucshon
Budd
Burgess
Johnson, Sam
Jones
Jordan
Joyce (OH)
Katko
Kelly (MS)
Kelly (PA)
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kinzinger
Knight
Kustoff (TN)
Labrador
LaHood
LaMalfa
Lamborn
Lance
Cramer
Crawford
Culberson
Curbelo (FL)
Curtis
Davidson
Davis, Rodney
Denham
DeSantis
DesJarlais
Diaz-Balart
Donovan
Duffy
Duncan (SC)
Duncan (TN)
Dunn
Emmer
Estes (KS)
Faso
Ferguson
Fitzpatrick
Fleischmann
Flores
Fortenberry
Foxy
Frelinghuysen
Gaetz
Gallagher
Garrett
Gianforte
Gibbs
Gohmert
Goodlatte
Gosar

NAYS—191

Adams
Aguilar
Barragán

Bass
Beatty
Bera

Blunt
Bonamici
Boyle, Brendan
F.
Brady (PA)
Brown (MD)
Brownley (CA)
Bustos
Butterfield
Capuano
Carbajal
Cárdenas
Carson (IN)
Cartwright
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)
Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Cooper
Correa
Costa
Courtney
Crist
Crowley
Cuellar
Cummings
Davis (CA)
Davis, Danny
DeFazio
DeGette
Delaney
DeLauro
DelBene
Demings
DeSaulnier
Deutch
Dingell
Doggett
Doyle, Michael
F.
Ellison
Engel
Eshoo
Espallat
Esty (CT)
Evans
Foster
Frankel (FL)
Fudge
Gabbard
Galleo
Garamendi
Gomez
Gonzalez (TX)
Gottheimer
Green, Al

NOT VOTING—3

Jeffries
Payne
Rutherford

□ 1605

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 227, noes 195, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 286]

AYES—227

Abraham
Aderholt
Allen
Amodei
Arrington

Babin
Bacon
Banks (IN)
Barletta
Barr

Barton
Bergman
Biggs
Bilirakis
Bishop (MI)