

Suozi  
Swalwell (CA)  
Takano  
Thompson (CA)  
Titus  
Tonko  
Torres

Tsongas  
Vargas  
Veasey  
Velázquez  
Visclosky  
Wasserman  
Schultz

Waters, Maxine  
Watson Coleman  
Welch  
Wilson (FL)  
Yarmuth

Roe (TN)  
Rogers (AL)  
Rogers (KY)  
Rohrabacher  
Rokita  
Rooney, Francis  
Rooney, Thomas J.  
Ros-Lehtinen  
Roskam  
Ross  
Rothfus  
Rouzer  
Royce (CA)  
Russell  
Rutherford  
Sanford  
Scalise  
Schneider  
Schweikert  
Scott, Austin

Sensenbrenner  
Sessions  
Shimkus  
Shuster  
Simpson  
Smith (MO)  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (NJ)  
Smith (TX)  
Smucker  
Stefanik  
Stewart  
Stivers  
Suozi  
Taylor  
Tenney  
Thompson (PA)  
Tipton  
Trott  
Upton  
Valadao

Wagner  
Walberg  
Walden  
Walker  
Walorski  
Walters, Mimi  
Weber (TX)  
Webster (FL)  
Wenstrup  
Westerman  
Williams  
Wilson (SC)  
Wittman  
Womack  
Woodall  
Yoder  
Yoho  
Young (AK)  
Young (IA)  
Zeldin

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1204

So the resolution was agreed to.  
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. KINZINGER. Mr. Speaker, today, June 20, 2018, I was absent during the first vote series due to official business. Had I been present, I would have voted "Yea" on rollcall No. 272, "Yea" on rollcall No. 273, and "Yea" on rollcall No. 274.

#### NOT VOTING—21

Bishop (UT)  
Black  
Blum  
Cheney  
Collins (GA)  
Davidson  
Duncan (SC)

Ellison  
Frankel (FL)  
Gallagher  
Graves (MO)  
Johnson, E. B.  
Jordan  
Kinzinger

Pelosi  
Polis  
Thompson (MS)  
Thornberry  
Turner  
Vela  
Walz

□ 1157

So the previous question was ordered.  
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

#### RECORDED VOTE

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 225, noes 180, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 274]

AYES—225

Abraham  
Aderholt  
Allen  
Amodei  
Arrington  
Babin  
Bacon  
Banks (IN)  
Barletta  
Barr  
Barton  
Biggs  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (MI)  
Bishop (UT)  
Blackburn  
Bost  
Brady (TX)  
Brat  
Brooks (AL)  
Brooks (IN)  
Buchanan  
Buck  
Bucshon  
Budd  
Burgess  
Byrne  
Calvert  
Carter (GA)  
Carter (TX)  
Chabot  
Coffman  
Cole  
Collins (NY)  
Comer  
Comstock  
Conaway  
Cook  
Costello (PA)  
Cramer  
Crawford  
Culberson  
Curbelo (FL)  
Curtis  
Davidson  
Davis, Rodney  
Denham  
DeSantis  
DesJarlais  
Diaz-Balart  
Donovan  
Duffy  
Duncan (SC)  
Duncan (TN)  
Dunn

Emmer  
Estes (KS)  
Faso  
Ferguson  
Fitzpatrick  
Fleischmann  
Flores  
Fortenberry  
Foxy  
Frelinghuysen  
Gaetz  
Garrett  
Gianforte  
Gibbs  
Gohmert  
Goodlatte  
Gosar  
Gottheimer  
Gowdy  
Granger  
Graves (GA)  
Graves (LA)  
Griffith  
Grothman  
Guthrie  
Handel  
Harper  
Harris  
Hartzler  
Hensarling  
Herrera Beutler  
Hice, Jody B.  
Higgins (LA)  
Hill  
Holding  
Hollingsworth  
Hudson  
Huizenga  
Hultgren  
Hunter  
Hurd  
Issa  
Jenkins (KS)  
Jenkins (WV)  
Johnson (LA)  
Johnson (OH)  
Johnson, Sam  
Joyce (OH)  
Katko  
Kelly (MS)  
Kelly (PA)  
King (IA)  
King (NY)  
Knight  
Kustoff (TN)

Labrador  
LaHood  
LaMalfa  
Lamb  
Lamborn  
Lance  
Latta  
Lesko  
Lewis (MN)  
LoBiondo  
Long  
Loudermilk  
Love  
Lucas  
Luetkemeyer  
MacArthur  
Marchant  
Marino  
Marshall  
Mast  
McCarthy  
McCaul  
McClintock  
McHenry  
McKinley  
McMorris  
Rodgers  
McSally  
Meadows  
Messer  
Mitchell  
Moolenaar  
Mooney (WV)  
Mullin  
Murphy (FL)  
Newhouse  
Noem  
Norman  
Nunes  
Olson  
Palazzo  
Palmer  
Paulsen  
Pearce  
Perry  
Pittenger  
Poe (TX)  
Poliquin  
Posey  
Ratcliffe  
Reed  
Reichert  
Renacci  
Rice (SC)  
Roby

Adams  
Aguilar  
Amash  
Barragán  
Bass  
Beatty  
Bera  
Beyer  
Bishop (GA)  
Blumenauer  
Blunt Rochester  
Bonamici  
Boyle, Brendan F.  
Brady (PA)  
Brown (MD)  
Brownley (CA)  
Bustos  
Butterfield  
Capuano  
Carbajal  
Cárdenas  
Carson (IN)  
Cartwright  
Castor (FL)  
Castro (TX)  
Chu, Judy  
Ciilline  
Clark (MA)  
Clarke (NY)  
Clay  
Clever  
Clyburn  
Cohen  
Connolly  
Cooper  
Correa  
Costa  
Courtney  
Crist  
Crowley  
Cuellar  
Cummings  
Davis (CA)  
Davis, Danny  
DeFazio  
DeGette  
Delaney  
DeLauro  
DeBene  
Demings  
DeSaulnier  
Deutsch  
Dingell  
Doggett  
Doyle, Michael F.  
Engel  
Eshoo  
Españolat  
Esty (CT)  
Evans

#### NOES—180

Foster  
Fudge  
Gabbard  
Gallego  
Garamendi  
Gomez  
Gonzalez (TX)  
Green, Al  
Green, Gene  
Grijalva  
Gutiérrez  
Hanabusa  
Hastings  
Heck  
Higgins (NY)  
Himes  
Hoyer  
Huffman  
Jackson Lee  
Jayapal  
Jeffries  
Johnson (GA)  
Jones  
Kaptur  
Keating  
Kelly (IL)  
Kennedy  
Khanna  
Kihuen  
Kildee  
Kilmer  
Kind  
Krishnamoorthi  
Kuster (NH)  
Langevin  
Larsen (WA)  
Larson (CT)  
Lawrence  
Lawson (FL)  
Lee  
Levin  
Lewis (GA)  
Lieu, Ted  
Lipinski  
Loeb sack  
Lofgren  
Lowenthal  
Lowe  
Lujan Grisham, M.  
Luján, Ben Ray  
Lynch  
Maloney  
Carolyn B.  
Maloney, Sean  
Massie  
Matsui  
McCollum  
McEachin  
McGovern  
McNerney  
Meeks

Meng  
Moore  
Moulton  
Nadler  
Napolitano  
Neal  
Nolan  
Norcross  
O'Halleran  
O'Rourke  
Pallone  
Panetta  
Payne  
Perlmutter  
Peters  
Peterson  
Pingree  
Pocan  
Price (NC)  
Quigley  
Raskin  
Rice (NY)  
Richmond  
Rosen  
Roybal-Allard  
Ruiz  
Ruppersberger  
Ryan (OH)  
Sánchez  
Sarbanes  
Schakowsky  
Schiff  
Schrader  
Scott (VA)  
Scott, David  
Serrano  
Sewell (AL)  
Shea-Porter  
Sherman  
Sires  
Smith (WA)  
Soto  
Speier  
Swalwell (CA)  
Takano  
Thompson (CA)  
Titus  
Tonko  
Torres  
Tsongas  
Vargas  
Veasey  
Velázquez  
Visclosky  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Waters, Maxine  
Watson Coleman  
Welch  
Wilson (FL)  
Yarmuth

#### ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote of the yeas and nays are ordered, or votes objected to under clause 6 of rule XX.

The House will resume proceedings on postponed questions at a later time.

#### COORDINATED RESPONSE THROUGH INTERAGENCY STRATEGY AND INFORMATION SHARING ACT

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5925) to codify provisions relating to the Office of National Drug Control, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5925

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act" or the "CRISIS Act".

#### SEC. 2. OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL.

(a) REDESIGNATION.—The Office of National Drug Control Policy shall be known as the "Office of National Drug Control".

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in any other Federal law, Executive order, rule, regulation, or delegation of authority, or any document of or relating to the Office of National Drug Control Policy is deemed to refer to the Office of National Drug Control.

(c) CODIFICATION.—Subtitle I of title 31, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new chapter:

#### "CHAPTER 10—OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL

##### "SUBCHAPTER I—OFFICE

- "1001. Definitions.
- "1002. Office of National Drug Control.
- "1003. Administration of the Office.
- "1004. National drug control program budget.
- "1005. National drug control strategy.
- "1006. Development of an annual national drug control assessment.
- "1007. Monitoring and evaluation of national drug control program.
- "1008. Coordination and oversight of the national drug control program.

#### NOT VOTING—22

Bergman  
Black  
Blum  
Cheney  
Collins (GA)  
Ellison  
Frankel (FL)  
Gallagher

Graves (MO)  
Johnson, E. B.  
Jordan  
Kinzinger  
Pascrell  
Pelosi  
Polis  
Rush

Sinema  
Thompson (MS)  
Thornberry  
Turner  
Vela  
Walz

- “1009. Emerging threats task force, plan, campaign.
- “1010. National and international coordination.
- “1011. Interdiction.
- “1012. Treatment coordinator.
- “1013. Critical information coordination.
- “1014. Authorization of appropriations.

“SUBCHAPTER II—DRUG-FREE COMMUNITIES  
SUPPORT PROGRAM

- “1021. Establishment of drug-free communities support program.
- “1022. Program authorization.
- “1023. Information collection and dissemination with respect to grant recipients.
- “1024. Technical assistance and training.
- “1025. Supplemental grants for coalition mentoring activities.
- “1026. Authorization for National Community Antidrug Coalition Institute.
- “1027. Definitions.
- “1028. Drug-free communities reauthorization.

“SUBCHAPTER I—OFFICE

**“§ 1001. Definitions**

“In this chapter:

“(1) AGENCY.—The term ‘agency’ has the meaning given the term ‘executive agency’ in section 102.

“(2) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—

“(i) the Committee on the Judiciary, the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions, and the Caucus on International Narcotics Control of the Senate; and

“(ii) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, the Committee on the Judiciary, the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

“(B) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Any submission to Congress shall mean submission to the appropriate congressional committees.

“(3) DEMAND REDUCTION.—The term ‘demand reduction’ means any activity conducted by a National Drug Control Program Agency, other than an enforcement activity, that is intended to reduce or prevent the use of drugs or support or provide treatment and recovery efforts, including—

“(A) education about the dangers of illicit drug use;

“(B) services, programs, or strategies to prevent substance use disorder, including evidence-based education campaigns, community-based prevention programs, collection and disposal of unused prescription drugs, and services to at-risk populations to prevent or delay initial use of an illicit drug;

“(C) substance use disorder treatment;

“(D) illicit drug use research;

“(E) drug-free workplace programs;

“(F) drug testing, including the testing of employees;

“(G) interventions for illicit drug use and dependence;

“(H) expanding availability of access to health care services for the treatment of substance use disorders;

“(I) international drug control coordination and cooperation with respect to activities described in this paragraph;

“(J) pre- and post-arrest criminal justice interventions such as diversion programs, drug courts, and the provision of evidence-based treatment to individuals with substance use disorders who are arrested or under some form of criminal justice supervision, including medication assisted treatment;

“(K) other coordinated and joint initiatives among Federal, State, local, and Tribal agencies to promote comprehensive drug control strategies designed to reduce the demand for, and the availability of, illegal drugs;

“(L) international illicit drug use education, prevention, treatment, recovery, research, rehabilitation activities, and interventions for illicit drug use and dependence; and

“(M) research related to any of the activities described in this paragraph.

“(4) DIRECTOR.—The term ‘Director’ means the Director of the Office of National Drug Control.

“(5) DRUG.—The term ‘drug’ has the meaning given the term ‘controlled substance’ in section 102(6) of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802(6)).

“(6) DRUG CONTROL.—The term ‘drug control’ means any activity conducted by a National Drug Control Program Agency involving supply reduction or demand reduction.

“(7) EMERGING DRUG THREAT.—The term ‘emerging drug threat’ means the occurrence of a new and growing trend in the use of an illicit drug or class of drugs, including rapid expansion in the supply of or demand for such drug.

“(8) ILLICIT DRUG USE; ILLICIT DRUGS; ILLEGAL DRUGS.—The terms ‘illicit drug use’, ‘illicit drugs’, and ‘illegal drugs’ include the illegal or illicit use of prescription drugs.

“(9) LAW ENFORCEMENT.—The term ‘law enforcement’ or ‘drug law enforcement’ means all efforts by a Federal, State, local, or Tribal government agency to enforce the drug laws of the United States or any State, including investigation, arrest, prosecution, and incarceration or other punishments or penalties.

“(10) NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAM.—The term ‘National Drug Control Program’ means programs, policies, and activities undertaken by National Drug Control Program Agencies pursuant to the responsibilities of such agencies under the National Drug Control Strategy, including any activities involving supply reduction, demand reduction, or State, local, and Tribal affairs.

“(11) NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAM AGENCY.—The term ‘National Drug Control Program Agency’ means any agency (or bureau, office, independent agency, board, division, commission, subdivision, unit, or other component thereof) that is responsible for implementing any aspect of the National Drug Control Strategy, including any agency that receives Federal funds to implement any aspect of the National Drug Control Strategy, but does not include any agency that receives funds for drug control activity solely under the National Intelligence Program or the Military Intelligence Program.

“(12) NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL STRATEGY; STRATEGY.—The term ‘National Drug Control Strategy’ or ‘Strategy’ means the strategy developed and submitted to Congress under section 1005.

“(13) NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION.—The term ‘nonprofit organization’ means an organization that is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code.

“(14) OFFICE.—The term ‘Office’ means the Office of National Drug Control.

“(15) STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL AFFAIRS.—The term ‘State, local, and Tribal affairs’ means domestic activities conducted by a National Drug Control Program Agency that are intended to reduce the availability and use of illegal drugs, including—

“(A) coordination and enhancement of Federal, State, local, and Tribal law enforcement drug control efforts;

“(B) coordination and enhancement of efforts among National Drug Control Program Agencies and State, local, and Tribal demand reduction and supply reduction agencies;

“(C) coordination and enhancement of Federal, State, local, and Tribal law enforcement initiatives to gather, analyze, and disseminate information and law enforcement intelligence relating to drug control among domestic law enforcement agencies; and

“(D) other coordinated and joint initiatives among Federal, State, local, and Tribal agencies to promote comprehensive drug control strategies designed to reduce the demand for, and the availability of, illegal drugs.

“(16) SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER TREATMENT.—The term ‘substance use disorder treatment’ means an evidence-based, professionally directed, deliberate, and planned regimen including evaluation, observation, medical monitoring, and rehabilitative services and interventions such as pharmacotherapy, behavioral therapy, and individual and group counseling, on an inpatient or outpatient basis, to help patients with substance use disorder reach recovery.

“(17) SUPPLY REDUCTION.—The term ‘supply reduction’ means any activity or program conducted by a National Drug Control Program Agency that is intended to reduce the availability or use of illegal drugs in the United States or abroad, including—

“(A) law enforcement outside the United States;

“(B) domestic law enforcement;

“(C) source country programs, including economic development programs primarily intended to reduce the production or trafficking of illicit drugs;

“(D) activities to control international trafficking in, and availability of, illegal drugs, including—

“(i) accurate assessment and monitoring of international drug production and interdiction programs and policies; and

“(ii) coordination and promotion of compliance with international treaties relating to the production, transportation, or interdiction of illegal drugs;

“(E) activities to conduct and promote international law enforcement programs and policies to reduce the supply of drugs;

“(F) activities to facilitate and enhance the sharing of domestic and foreign intelligence information among National Drug Control Program Agencies, relating to the production and trafficking of drugs in the United States and in foreign countries;

“(G) activities to prevent the diversion of drugs for their illicit use; and

“(H) research related to any of the activities described in this paragraph.

**“§ 1002. Office of National Drug Control**

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF OFFICE.—There is established in the Executive Office of the President an Office of National Drug Control, which shall—

“(1) lead the national drug control effort, including coordinating with Nation Drug Control Program Agencies;

“(2) coordinate and oversee the implementation of the national drug control policy, including the National Drug Control Strategy;

“(3) assess and certify the adequacy of National Drug Control Programs and the budget for those programs;

“(4) monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of national drug control policy efforts, including the National Drug Control Program Agencies’ programs, by developing and applying specific goals and performance measurements and tracking program-level spending;

“(5) identify and respond to emerging drug threats related to illicit drug use;

“(6) administer and evaluate grant programs in furtherance of the National Drug Control Strategy; and

“(7) facilitate broad-scale information sharing and data standardization among Federal, State, and local entities to support the national drug control efforts.

“(b) DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL AND DEPUTY DIRECTOR.—

“(1) DIRECTOR.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be at the head of the Office a Director who shall hold the same rank and status as the head of an executive department listed in section 101 of title 5.

“(B) APPOINTMENT.—The Director shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, and shall serve at the pleasure of the President.

“(2) DEPUTY DIRECTOR.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—There shall be a Deputy Director who shall report directly to the Director, be appointed by the President, and serve at the pleasure of the President.

“(B) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The Deputy Director shall—

“(i) carry out the responsibilities delegated by the Director; and

“(ii) be responsible for effectively coordinating with the each Coordinator established under this chapter.

“(c) RESPONSIBILITIES.—

“(1) POLICIES, GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PRIORITIES.—The Director shall assist the President in directing national drug control efforts, including establishing policies, goals, objectives, and priorities for the National Drug Control Program that are based on evidence-based research.

“(2) CONSULTATION.—To formulate the National Drug Control policies, goals, objectives, and priorities, the Director—

“(A) shall consult with—

“(i) State and local governments;

“(ii) National Drug Control Program Agencies;

“(iii) each committee, working group, council, or other entity established under this chapter, as appropriate;

“(iv) the public;

“(v) appropriate congressional committees; and

“(vi) any other person in the discretion of the Director; and

“(B) may—

“(i) establish advisory councils;

“(ii) acquire data from agencies; and

“(iii) request data from any other entity.

### “§ 1003. Administration of the Office

“(a) EMPLOYMENT.—

“(1) AUTHORITY OF THE DIRECTOR.—The Director may select, appoint, employ, and fix compensation of such officers and employees of the Office as may be necessary to carry out the functions of the Office under this chapter.

“(2) PROHIBITIONS.—

“(A) GENERALLY.—No person shall serve as Director or Deputy Director while serving in any other position in the Federal Government.

“(B) PROHIBITION ON POLITICAL CAMPAIGNING.—Any officer or employee of the Office who is appointed to that position by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, may not participate in Federal election campaign activities, except that such officer or employee is not prohibited by this subparagraph from making contributions to individual candidates.

“(b) PROHIBITION ON THE USE OF FUNDS FOR POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS OR BALLOT INITIATIVES.—No funds authorized under this chapter may be obligated for the purpose of influencing any Federal, State, or local election or ballot initiative.

“(c) PERSONNEL DETAILED TO OFFICE.—

“(1) EVALUATIONS.—Notwithstanding any provision of chapter 43 of title 5, the Director shall perform the evaluation of the performance of any employee detailed to the Office for purposes of the applicable performance appraisal system established under such chapter for any rating period, or part thereof, that such employee is detailed to the Office.

“(2) COMPENSATION.—

“(A) BONUS PAYMENTS.—Subject to the availability of appropriations, the Director may provide periodic bonus payments to any employee detailed to the Office.

“(B) RESTRICTIONS.—An amount paid under this paragraph to an employee for any period—

“(i) shall not be greater than 20 percent of the basic pay paid or payable to such employee for such period; and

“(ii) shall be in addition to the basic pay of such employee.

“(C) AGGREGATE AMOUNT.—The aggregate amount paid during any fiscal year to an employee detailed to the Office as basic pay, awards, bonuses, and other compensation shall not exceed the annual rate payable at the end of such fiscal year for positions at level III of the Executive Schedule.

“(d) CONGRESSIONAL ACCESS TO INFORMATION.—The location of the Office in the Executive Office of the President shall not be construed as affecting access by Congress, or any committee of the House of Representatives or the Senate, to any—

“(1) information, document, or study in the possession of, or conducted by or at the direction of the Director; or

“(2) personnel of the Office.

“(e) OTHER AUTHORITIES OF THE DIRECTOR.—In carrying out this chapter, the Director may—

“(1) use for administrative purposes, on a reimbursable basis, the available services, equipment, personnel, and facilities of Federal, State, and local agencies;

“(2) procure the services of experts and consultants in accordance with section 3109 of title 5 relating to appointments in the Federal Service, at rates of compensation for individuals not to exceed the daily equivalent of the rate of pay payable under level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5311 of such title; and

“(3) use the mails in the same manner as any other agency.

“(f) GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION.—The Administrator of General Services shall provide to the Director, on a reimbursable basis, such administrative support services as the Director may request.

### “§ 1004. National drug control program budget

“(a) BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS.—Not later than July 1 of each year, the Director shall provide to the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency budget recommendations, including requests for specific initiatives that are consistent with the priorities of the President under the National Drug Control Strategy, which shall—

“(1) apply to the budget for the next fiscal year scheduled for formulation under chapter 11, and each of the 4 subsequent fiscal years; and

“(2) address funding priorities developed in the National Drug Control Strategy.

“(b) RESPONSIBILITIES OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAM AGENCIES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year, the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency shall transmit to the Director a copy of the proposed drug control budget request of such agency at the same time as that budget request is submitted to their superiors (and before submission to the Office of Management and Budget) in the preparation

of the budget of the President submitted to Congress under section 1105(a).

“(2) SUBMISSION OF DRUG CONTROL BUDGET REQUESTS.—The head of each National Drug Control Program Agency shall ensure timely development and submission to the Director of each proposed drug control budget request transmitted pursuant to this subsection, in such format as may be designated by the Director with the concurrence of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

“(3) CONTENT OF DRUG CONTROL BUDGET REQUESTS.—A drug control budget request submitted by the head of a National Drug Control Program Agency under this subsection shall include all requests for funds for any drug control activity undertaken by such agency, including demand reduction, supply reduction, and State, local, and Tribal affairs, including any drug law enforcement activities. If an activity has both drug control and nondrug control purposes or applications, such agency shall estimate by a documented calculation the total funds requested for that activity that would be used for drug control, and shall set forth in its request the basis and method for making the estimate.

“(c) REVIEW AND CERTIFICATION OF BUDGET REQUESTS AND BUDGET SUBMISSIONS OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAM AGENCIES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall review each drug control budget request submitted to the Director under subsection (b).

“(2) REVIEW OF BUDGET REQUESTS.—

“(A) INADEQUATE REQUESTS.—If the Director concludes that a budget request submitted under subsection (b) is inadequate, in whole or in part, to implement the objectives of the National Drug Control Strategy with respect to the agency or program at issue for the year for which the request is submitted, the Director shall submit to the head of the applicable National Drug Control Program Agency a written description identifying the funding levels and specific initiatives that would, in the determination of the Director, make the request adequate to implement those objectives.

“(B) ADEQUATE REQUESTS.—If the Director concludes that a budget request submitted under subsection (b) is adequate to implement the objectives of the National Drug Control Strategy with respect to the agency or program at issue for the year for which the request is submitted, the Director shall submit to the head of the applicable National Drug Control Program Agency a written statement confirming the adequacy of the request.

“(C) RECORD.—The Director shall maintain a record of each description submitted under subparagraph (A) and each statement submitted under subparagraph (B).

“(3) SPECIFIC REQUESTS.—The Director shall not confirm the adequacy of any budget request that requests a level of funding that will not enable achievement of the goals of the National Drug Control Strategy, including—

“(A) requests funding for Federal law enforcement activities that do not adequately compensate for transfers of drug enforcement resources and personnel to law enforcement and investigation activities;

“(B) requests funding for law enforcement activities on the borders of the United States that do not adequately direct resources to drug interdiction and enforcement;

“(C) requests funding for substance use disorder treatment activities that do not provide adequate results and accountability measures;

“(D) requests funding for substance use disorder treatment activities that do not adequately support and enhance Federal substance use disorder programs and capacity; and

“(E) requests funding for the operations and management of the Department of Homeland Security that does not include a specific request for funds for the Office of Counternarcotics Enforcement to carry out its responsibilities under section 878 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 458).

“(4) AGENCY RESPONSE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The head of a National Drug Control Program Agency that receives a description under paragraph (2)(A) shall include the funding levels and initiatives described by the Director in the budget submission for that agency to the Office of Management and Budget.

“(B) IMPACT STATEMENT.—The head of a National Drug Control Program Agency that has altered its budget submission under this paragraph shall include as an appendix to the budget submission for that agency to the Office of Management and Budget an impact statement that summarizes—

“(i) the changes made to the budget under this paragraph; and

“(ii) the impact of those changes on the ability of that agency to perform its other responsibilities, including any impact on specific missions or programs of the agency.

“(C) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—The head of a National Drug Control Program Agency shall submit a copy of any impact statement under subparagraph (B) to the Senate, the House of Representatives, and the appropriate congressional committees, at the time the budget for that agency is submitted to Congress under section 1105(a).

“(5) CERTIFICATION OF BUDGET SUBMISSIONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—At the time the head of a National Drug Control Program Agency submits its budget request to the Office of Management and Budget, the head of the National Drug Control Program Agency shall submit a copy of the budget request to the Director.

“(B) REVIEW AND CERTIFICATION OF SUBMISSIONS.—The Director shall review each budget submission submitted under subparagraph (A) and submit to the appropriate congressional committees one of the following:

“(i) A written certification of the budget submission for the agency indicating such request fully funds the National Drug Control Programs as necessary to achieve the goals of the National Drug Control Strategy, including a written statement explaining the basis for the determination that the budget submission provides sufficient resources for the agency to achieve the goals of the Strategy.

“(ii) A written certification of the budget submission for the agency indicating such request partially funds the National Drug Control Programs as necessary to achieve the goals of the Strategy, including a written statement explaining the basis for the determination to certify the budget submission and identifying the level of funding sufficient to achieve the goals of the Strategy.

“(iii) A written decertification of the budget submission for the agency indicating the Director is unable to determine whether such budget submission for the agency fully funds or partially funds the National Drug Control Programs as necessary to achieve the goals of the National Drug Control Strategy, including a written statement identifying the additional information necessary for the Director to make a determination on such budget submission and the level of funding sufficient to achieve the goals of the Strategy.

“(iv) A written decertification of the budget submission for the agency indicating that such budget is insufficient to fund the National Drug Control Programs as necessary to achieve the goals of the Strategy, including a written statement explaining the basis

for the determination that the budget is insufficient and identifying the level of funding sufficient to achieve the goals of the Strategy.

“(d) NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAM BUDGET PROPOSAL.—For each fiscal year, following the transmission of proposed drug control budget requests to the Director under subsection (b), the Director shall, in consultation with the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency and the head of each major national organization that represents law enforcement officers, agencies, or associations—

“(1) develop a consolidated National Drug Control Program budget proposal designed to implement the National Drug Control Strategy and to inform Congress and the public about the total amount proposed to be spent on all supply reduction, demand reduction, State, local, and Tribal affairs, including any drug law enforcement, and other drug control activities by the Federal Government, which shall conform to the content requirements set forth in subsection (b)(3) and include—

“(A) for each National Drug Control Program Agency, a list of whether the funding level is full, partial, or insufficient to achieve the goals of the National Drug Control Strategy or whether the Director is unable to make such determination;

“(B) a statement describing the extent to which any budget of a National Drug Control Program Agency with less than full funding hinders progress on achieving the goals of the National Drug Control Strategy; and

“(C) alternative funding structures that could improve progress on achieving the goals of the National Drug Control Strategy; and

“(2) submit the consolidated budget proposal to the President and Congress.

“(e) BUDGET ESTIMATE OR REQUEST SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Whenever the Director submits any budget estimate or request to the President or the Office of Management and Budget, the Director shall concurrently transmit to the appropriate congressional committees a detailed statement of the budgetary needs of the Office to execute its mission based on the good-faith assessment of the Director.

“(f) REPROGRAMMING AND TRANSFER REQUESTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—No National Drug Control Program Agency shall submit to Congress a reprogramming or transfer request with respect to any amount of appropriated funds in an amount exceeding \$1,000,000 that is included in the National Drug Control Program budget unless the request has been approved by the Director. If the Director has not responded to a request for reprogramming subject to this paragraph within 30 days after receiving notice of the request having been made, the request shall be deemed approved by the Director under this paragraph and forwarded to Congress.

“(2) APPEAL.—The head of any National Drug Control Program Agency may appeal to the President any disapproval by the Director of a reprogramming or transfer request under this subsection.

“§ 1005. National drug control strategy

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) STATEMENT OF DRUG POLICY PRIORITIES.—The Director shall release a statement of drug control policy priorities in the calendar year of a Presidential inauguration following the inauguration but not later than April 1.

“(2) NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL STRATEGY SUBMITTED BY THE PRESIDENT.—Not later than the first Monday in February following the year in which the term of the President commences, the President shall submit to Congress a National Drug Control Strategy.

“(b) DEVELOPMENT OF THE NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL STRATEGY.—

“(1) PROMULGATION.—The Director shall promulgate the National Drug Control Strategy, which shall set forth a comprehensive plan to reduce illicit drug use and the consequences of such illicit drug use in the United States by limiting the availability of and reducing the demand for illegal drugs and promoting prevention, early intervention, treatment, and recovery support for individuals with substance use disorders.

“(2) STATE AND LOCAL COMMITMENT.—The Director shall seek the support and commitment of State, local, and Tribal officials in the formulation and implementation of the National Drug Control Strategy.

“(3) STRATEGY BASED ON EVIDENCE.—The Director shall ensure the National Drug Control Strategy is based on the best available medical and scientific evidence regarding the policies that are most effective in reducing the demand for and supply of illegal drugs.

“(4) PROCESS FOR DEVELOPMENT AND SUBMISSION OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL STRATEGY.—In developing and effectively implementing the National Drug Control Strategy, the Director—

“(A) shall consult with—

“(i) the heads of the National Drug Control Program Agencies;

“(ii) each Coordinator established under this chapter;

“(iii) the Interdiction Committee, the Treatment Committee, and the Emerging Threats Task Force;

“(iv) the appropriate congressional committees and any other committee of jurisdiction;

“(v) State, local, and Tribal officials;

“(vi) private citizens and organizations, including community and faith-based organizations, with experience and expertise in demand reduction;

“(vii) private citizens and organizations with experience and expertise in supply reduction; and

“(viii) appropriate representatives of foreign governments; and

“(B) in satisfying the requirements of subparagraph (A), shall ensure, to the maximum extent possible, that State, local, and Tribal officials and relevant private organizations commit to support and take steps to achieve the goals and objectives of the National Drug Control Strategy.

“(c) CONTENTS OF THE NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL STRATEGY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The National Drug Control Strategy submitted under subsection (a)(2) shall include the following:

“(A) A description of the current prevalence of illicit drug use in the United States, including both the availability of illicit drugs and the prevalence of substance use disorders, which shall include the following:

“(i) Such description for the previous three years for any drug identified as an emerging threat under section 1009 and any other illicit drug identified by the Director as having a significant impact on the prevalence of illicit drug use.

“(ii) A summary of the data and trends presented in the Drug Control Data Dashboard required under section 1013.

“(B) A mission statement detailing the major functions of the National Drug Control Program.

“(C) A list of comprehensive, research-based, long-range, quantifiable goals for reducing illicit drug use, including—

“(i) the percentage of the total flow of illicit drugs to be interdicted during the time period covered by the Strategy; and

“(ii) the number of individuals to receive substance use disorder treatment.

“(D) A description of how each goal established under subparagraph (C) will be achieved, including for each goal—

“(i) a list of each relevant National Drug Control Program Agency and each such agency’s related programs, activities, and available assets and the role of each such program, activity, and asset in achieving such goal;

“(ii) a list of relevant stakeholders and each such stakeholder’s role in achieving such goal;

“(iii) an estimate of Federal funding and other resources needed to achieve such goal;

“(iv) a list of each existing or new coordinating mechanism needed to achieve such goal; and

“(v) a description of the Office’s role in facilitating the achievement of such goal.

“(E) For each year covered by the Strategy, a performance evaluation plan for each goal established under subparagraph (C) for each National Drug Control Program Agency, including—

“(i) specific performance measures for each National Drug Control Program Agency and each such agency’s related programs and activities;

“(ii) annual and, to the extent practicable, quarterly objectives and targets for each performance measure; and

“(iii) an estimate of Federal funding and other resources needed to achieve each performance objective and target.

“(F) A list identifying existing data sources or a description of data collection needed to evaluate performance, including a description of how the Director will obtain such data.

“(G) A list of any anticipated challenges to achieving the National Drug Control Strategy goals and planned actions to address such challenges.

“(H) A description of how each goal established under subparagraph (C) was determined, including—

“(i) a description of each required consultation and a description of how such consultation was incorporated;

“(ii) data, research, or other information used to inform the determination to establish the goal; and

“(iii) for any goal established under subparagraph (C)(i), a statement of whether the goal will be adequate to disrupt drug trafficking organizations that supply the majority of foreign-sourced illicit drugs trafficked into the United States.

“(I) A 5-year projection for program and budget priorities.

“(J) A review of international, State, local, and private sector drug control activities to ensure that the United States pursues coordinated and effective drug control at all levels of government.

“(K) Such statistical data and information as the Director considers appropriate to demonstrate and assess trends relating to illicit drug use, the effects and consequences of illicit drug use (including the effects on children), supply reduction, demand reduction, drug-related law enforcement, and the implementation of the National Drug Control Strategy.

#### “(2) ADDITIONAL STRATEGIES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall include in the National Drug Control Strategy the additional strategies described under this paragraph and shall comply with the following:

“(i) Provide a copy of the additional strategies to the appropriate congressional committees and to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

“(ii) Issue the additional strategies in consultation with the head of each relevant National Drug Control Program Agency, any relevant official of a State, local, or Tribal government, and the government of other relevant countries.

“(iii) Not change any existing agency authority or construe any strategy described under this paragraph to amend or modify any law governing interagency relationship but may include recommendations about changes to such authority or law.

“(iv) Present separately from the rest of any strategy described under this paragraph any information classified under criteria established by an Executive order, or whose public disclosure, as determined by the Director or the head of any relevant National Drug Control Program Agency, would be detrimental to the law enforcement or national security activities of any Federal, State, local, or Tribal agency.

#### “(B) REQUIREMENT FOR SOUTHWEST BORDER COUNTERNARCOTICS.—

“(i) PURPOSES.—The Southwest Border Counternarcotics Strategy shall—

“(I) set forth the Government’s strategy for preventing the illegal trafficking of drugs across the international border between the United States and Mexico, including through ports of entry and between ports of entry on that border;

“(II) state the specific roles and responsibilities of the relevant National Drug Control Program Agencies for implementing that strategy; and

“(III) identify the specific resources required to enable the relevant National Drug Control Program Agencies to implement that strategy.

“(ii) SPECIFIC CONTENT RELATED TO DRUG TUNNELS BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND MEXICO.—The Southwest Border Counternarcotics Strategy shall include—

“(I) a strategy to end the construction and use of tunnels and subterranean passages that cross the international border between the United States and Mexico for the purpose of illegal trafficking of drugs across such border; and

“(II) recommendations for criminal penalties for persons who construct or use such a tunnel or subterranean passage for such a purpose.

#### “(C) REQUIREMENT FOR NORTHERN BORDER COUNTERNARCOTICS STRATEGY.—

“(i) PURPOSES.—The Northern Border Counternarcotics Strategy shall—

“(I) set forth the strategy of the Federal Government for preventing the illegal trafficking of drugs across the international border between the United States and Canada, including through ports of entry and between ports of entry on the border;

“(II) state the specific roles and responsibilities of each relevant National Drug Control Program Agency for implementing the strategy;

“(III) identify the specific resources required to enable the relevant National Drug Control Program Agencies to implement the strategy;

“(IV) be designed to promote, and not hinder, legitimate trade and travel; and

“(V) reflect the unique nature of small communities along the international border between the United States and Canada, ongoing cooperation and coordination with Canadian law, enforcement authorities, and variations in the volumes of vehicles and pedestrians crossing through ports of entry along the international border between the United States and Canada.

“(ii) SPECIFIC CONTENT RELATED TO CROSS-BORDER INDIAN RESERVATIONS.—The Northern Border Counternarcotics Strategy shall include—

“(I) a strategy to end the illegal trafficking of drugs to or through Indian reservations on or near the international border between the United States and Canada; and

“(II) recommendations for additional assistance, if any, needed by Tribal law enforcement agencies relating to the strategy, including an evaluation of Federal technical and financial assistance, infrastructure capacity building, and interoperability deficiencies.

“(3) CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—Any contents of the National Drug Control Strategy that involve information properly classified under criteria established by an Executive order shall be presented to Congress separately from the rest of the National Drug Control Strategy.

“(4) SELECTION OF DATA AND INFORMATION.—In selecting data and information for inclusion in the Strategy, the Director shall ensure—

“(A) the inclusion of data and information that will permit analysis of current trends against previously compiled data and information where the Director believes such analysis enhances long-term assessment of the National Drug Control Strategy; and

“(B) the inclusion of data and information to permit a standardized and uniform assessment of the effectiveness of drug treatment programs in the United States.

“(d) ANNUAL PERFORMANCE SUPPLEMENT.—Not later than the first Monday in February of each year following the year in which the National Drug Control Strategy is submitted pursuant to subsection (a)(2), the Director shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a supplement to the Strategy that shall include—

“(1) annual and, to the extent practicable, quarterly quantifiable and measurable objectives and specific targets to accomplish long-term quantifiable goals specified in the Strategy; and

“(2) for each year covered by the Strategy, a performance evaluation plan for each goal listed in the Strategy for each National Drug Control Program Agency, including—

“(A) specific performance measures for each National Drug Control Program Agency and each such agency’s related programs and activities;

“(B) annual and, to the extent practicable, quarterly objectives and targets for each performance measure; and

“(C) an estimate of Federal funding and other resources needed to achieve each performance objective and target.

#### “(e) SUBMISSION OF REVISED STRATEGY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The President may submit to Congress a revised National Drug Control Strategy that meets the requirements of this section—

“(A) at any time, upon a determination of the President, in consultation with the Director, that the National Drug Control Strategy in effect is not sufficiently effective; or

“(B) if a new President or Director takes office.

“(2) NO SUBMISSION.—In each year the President does not submit a National Drug Control Strategy or a revised National Drug Control Strategy, the Director shall evaluate the efficacy and appropriateness of the goals of the National Drug Control Strategy and include a statement affirming the adequacy of the goals in the performance supplement under subsection (d).

“(f) FAILURE OF PRESIDENT TO SUBMIT NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL STRATEGY.—If the President does not submit a National Drug Control Strategy to Congress in accordance with subsection (a)(2), not later than five days after the first Monday in February following the year in which the term of the President commences, the President shall

send a notification to the appropriate congressional committees—

“(1) explaining why the Strategy was not submitted; and

“(2) specifying the date by which the Strategy will be submitted.

**“§ 1006. Development of an annual national drug control assessment**

“(a) **TIMING.**—Not later than the first Monday in February of each year, the Director shall submit to the President, Congress, and the appropriate congressional committees, a report assessing the progress of each National Drug Control Program Agency toward achieving each goal, objective, and target contained in the National Drug Control Strategy applicable to the prior fiscal year.

“(b) **PROCESS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT.**—Not later than November 1 of each year, the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency shall submit, in accordance with guidance issued by the Director, to the Director an evaluation of progress by the agency with respect to the National Drug Control Strategy goals using the performance measures for the agency developed under this chapter, including progress with respect to—

“(1) success in achieving the goals of the National Drug Control Strategy;

“(2) success in reducing domestic and foreign sources of illegal drugs;

“(3) success in expanding access to and increasing the effectiveness of substance use disorder treatment;

“(4) success in protecting the borders of the United States (and in particular the Southwestern border of the United States) from penetration by illegal narcotics;

“(5) success in reducing crime associated with drug use in the United States;

“(6) success in reducing the negative health and social consequences of drug use in the United States; and

“(7) implementation of substance use disorder treatment and prevention programs in the United States and improvements in the adequacy and effectiveness of such programs.

“(c) **CONTENTS OF THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT.**—The Director shall include in the annual assessment required under subsection (a)—

“(1) a summary of each evaluation received by the Director under subsection (b);

“(2) a summary of the progress of each National Drug Control Program Agency toward the National Drug Control Strategy goals of the agency using the performance measures for the agency developed under this chapter;

“(3) an assessment of the effectiveness of each National Drug Control Program Agency and program in achieving the National Drug Control Strategy for the previous year, including a specific evaluation of whether the applicable goals, measures, objectives, and targets for the previous year were met;

“(4) for each National Drug Control Program Agency that administers grant programs, an evaluation of the effectiveness of each grant program, including an accounting of the funds disbursed by the program in the prior year and a summary of how those funds were used by the grantees and sub-grantees during that period;

“(5) a detailed accounting of the amount of funds obligated by each National Drug Control Program Agency in carrying out the responsibilities of that agency under the Strategy;

“(6) an assessment of the effectiveness of any Emerging Threat Response Plan in effect for the previous year, including a specific evaluation of whether the objectives and targets were met and reasons for the success or failure of the previous year's plan;

“(7) a detailed accounting of the amount of funds obligated during the previous fiscal

year for carrying out the campaign under section 1009(d), including each recipient of funds, the purpose of each expenditure, the amount of each expenditure, any available outcome information, and any other information necessary to provide a complete accounting of the funds expended; and

“(8) the assessments required under this subsection shall be based on the Performance Measurement System describe in subsection (d).

“(d) **PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM.**—The Director shall include in the annual assessment required under subsection (a) a national drug control performance measurement system, that—

“(1) develops annual, 2-year, and 5-year performance measures, objectives, and targets for each National Drug Control Strategy goal and objective established for reducing drug use, availability, and the consequences of drug use;

“(2) describes the sources of information and data that will be used for each performance measure incorporated into the performance measurement system;

“(3) identifies major programs and activities of the National Drug Control Program Agencies that support the goals and annual objectives of the National Drug Control Strategy;

“(4) evaluates the contribution of demand reduction and supply reduction activities implemented by each National Drug Control Program Agency in support of the National Drug Control Strategy;

“(5) monitors consistency between the drug-related goals, measures, targets, and objectives of the National Drug Control Program Agencies and ensures that each agency's goals and budgets support, and are fully consistent with, the National Drug Control Strategy; and

“(6) coordinates the development and implementation of national drug control data collection and reporting systems to support policy formulation and performance measurement, including an assessment of—

“(A) the quality of current drug use measurement instruments and techniques to measure supply reduction and demand reduction activities;

“(B) the adequacy of the coverage of existing national drug use measurement instruments and techniques to measure the illicit drug user population and groups that are at risk for illicit drug use;

“(C) the adequacy of the coverage of existing national treatment outcome monitoring systems to measure the effectiveness of substance use disorder treatment in reducing illicit drug use and criminal behavior during and after the completion of substance use disorder treatment; and

“(D) the actions the Director shall take to correct any deficiencies and limitations identified pursuant to subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C).

“(e) **MODIFICATIONS.**—A description of any modifications made during the preceding year to the national drug performance measurement system described in subsection (d) shall be included in each report submitted under subsection (a).

“(f) **ANNUAL REPORT ON CONSULTATION.**—The Director shall include in the annual assessment required under subsection (a)—

“(1) a detailed description of how the Office has consulted with and assisted State, local, and Tribal governments with respect to the formulation and implementation of the National Drug Control Strategy and other relevant issues; and

“(2) a general review of the status of, and trends in, demand reduction activities by private sector entities and community-based organizations, including faith-based organizations, to determine their effectiveness and

the extent of cooperation, coordination, and mutual support between such entities and organizations and Federal, State, local, and Tribal government agencies.

“(g) **PERFORMANCE-BUDGET COORDINATOR.**—“(1) **DESIGNATION.**—The Director shall designate or appoint a United States Performance-Budget Coordinator to—

“(A) ensure the Director has sufficient information necessary to analyze the performance of each National Drug Control Program Agency, the impact Federal funding has had on the goals in the Strategy, and the likely contributions to the goals of the Strategy based on funding levels of each National Drug Control Program Agency, to make an independent assessment of the budget request of each agency under section 1004;

“(B) advise the Director on agency budgets, performance measures and targets, and additional data and research needed to make informed policy decisions under sections 1004 and 1005; and

“(C) other duties as may be determined by the Director with respect to measuring or assessing performance or agency budgets.

“(2) **DETERMINATION OF POSITION.**—The Director shall determine whether the coordinator position is a noncareer appointee in the Senior Executive Service or a career appointee at the GS-15 level (or equivalent) or above.

**“§ 1007. Monitoring and evaluation of national drug control program**

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director shall monitor implementation of the National Drug Control Program and the activities of the National Drug Control Program Agencies in carrying out the goals and objectives of the National Drug Control Strategy including—

“(1) conducting program and performance audits and evaluations; and

“(2) requesting assistance from the Inspector General of the relevant agency in such audits and evaluations.

“(b) **ACCOUNTING OF FUNDS EXPENDED.**—(1) Not later than February 1 of each year, in accordance with guidance issued by the Director, the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency shall submit to the Director a detailed accounting of all funds expended by the agency for National Drug Control Program activities during the previous fiscal year and shall ensure such detailed accounting is authenticated for the previous fiscal year by the Inspector General for such agency prior to the submission to the Director as frequently as determined by the Inspector General but not less frequently than every three years.

“(2) The Director shall submit to Congress not later than April 1 of each year the information submitted to the Director under paragraph (1).

“(c) **NOTIFICATION.**—The Director shall notify any National Drug Control Program Agency if its activities are not in compliance with the responsibilities of the agency under the National Drug Control Strategy, transmit a copy of each such notification to the President and the appropriate congressional committees, and maintain a copy of each such notification.

“(d) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—The Director shall make such recommendations to the President and the appropriate congressional committees as the Director determines are appropriate regarding changes in the organization, management, and budgets of the National Drug Control Program Agencies, and changes in the allocation of personnel to and within those agencies, to implement the policies, goals, objectives, and priorities established under section 1002(c)(1) and the National Drug Control Strategy.

“(e) **AUTHORIZATION, DEVELOPMENT, AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A COORDINATED TRACKING SYSTEM.**—



“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Director shall establish a coordinated tracking system of federally-funded initiatives and grant programs which shall—

“(A) be the central repository of all drug control grants;

“(B) identify duplication, overlap, or gaps in funding to provide increased accountability of federally-funded grants for substance use disorder treatment, prevention, and enforcement;

“(C) identify impediments that applicants currently have in the grant application process with applicable agencies; and

“(D) be developed and maintained by the Office with the support of designated National Drug Control Program Agencies and any other agency determined by the Director.

“(2) PERFORMANCE METRICS.—The Director shall identify metrics and achievable goals for grant recipients in furtherance of the Strategy. Such metrics shall be used to measure how effective each federally funded initiative is in achieving the objectives of the Strategy and to enable comparisons of federally funded initiatives to identify those that are the most cost effective.

“(3) GRANT APPLICATION STANDARDIZATION.—To reduce the administrative burden on grant applicants and improve oversight of Federal funds, the Director, in consultation with the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency, shall develop a plan for coordinating and standardizing drug control grant application processes and develop a joint application to be used by all National Drug Control Program Agencies.

“(4) CENTRAL PORTAL.—The Director shall maintain on the public, electronic portal of the Office a list all drug control grant programs available in a central location. The head of each National Drug Control Program Agency shall provide a complete list of all drug control program grant programs to the Director and annually update such list.

“(5) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Director shall include in the assessment submitted to Congress under section 1006 an assessment on progress under this section.

#### “§ 1008. Coordination and oversight of the national drug control program

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall coordinate and oversee the implementation by the National Drug Control Program Agencies of the policies, goals, objectives, and priorities established under section 1002(c)(1) and the fulfillment of the responsibilities of such agencies under the National Drug Control Strategy and make recommendations to National Drug Control Program Agency heads with respect to implementation of National Drug Control Programs.

“(b) DETAILING EMPLOYEES TO OTHER AGENCIES.—

“(1) REQUEST.—The Director may request the head of an agency or program of the Federal Government to place agency personnel who are engaged in drug control activities on temporary detail to another agency in order to implement the National Drug Control Strategy.

“(2) AGENCY COMPLIANCE.—The head of the agency shall comply with any request made under paragraph (1).

“(3) MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DETAILEES.—The maximum number of personnel who may be detailed to another agency (including the Office) under this subsection during any fiscal year is—

“(A) for the Department of Defense, 50; and

“(B) for any other agency, 10.

“(c) DIRECTING FEDERAL FUNDING.—The Director may transfer funds made available to a National Drug Control Program Agency for National Drug Control Strategy programs and activities to another account within

such agency or to another National Drug Control Program Agency for National Drug Control Strategy programs and activities, except that—

“(1) the authority under this subsection may be limited in an annual appropriations Act or other provision of Federal law;

“(2) the Director may exercise the authority under this subsection only with the concurrence of the head of each affected agency;

“(3) in the case of an interagency transfer, the total amount of transfers under this subsection may not exceed 3 percent of the total amount of funds made available for National Drug Control Strategy programs and activities to the agency from which those funds are to be transferred;

“(4) funds transferred to an agency under this subsection may only be used to increase the funding for programs or activities authorized by law;

“(5) the Director shall—

“(A) submit to the appropriate congressional committees and any other applicable committee of jurisdiction, a reprogramming or transfer request in advance of any transfer under this subsection in accordance with the regulations of each affected agency; and

“(B) annually submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing the effect of all transfers of funds made pursuant to this subsection or section 1004(f) during the 12-month period preceding the date on which the report is submitted; and

“(6) funds may only be used for—

“(A) expansion of demand reduction activities;

“(B) interdiction of illicit drugs on the high seas, in United States territorial waters, and at United States ports of entry by officers and employees of National Drug Control Program Agencies and domestic and foreign law enforcement officers;

“(C) accurate assessment and monitoring of international drug production and interdiction programs and policies;

“(D) activities to facilitate and enhance the sharing of domestic and foreign intelligence information among National Drug Control Program Agencies related to the production and trafficking of drugs in the United States and foreign countries;

“(E) activities to prevent the diversion of prescription drugs for illicit use; and

“(F) research related to any of these activities.

“(d) DIRECTING FEDERAL FUNDING TO RESPOND TO EMERGING THREATS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director may transfer funds made available to a National Drug Control Program Agency for National Drug Control Strategy programs and activities to another account within such agency or to another National Drug Control Program Agency for National Drug Control Strategy programs and activities to implement the provisions of a plan developed under section 1009, except that—

“(A) the authority under this subsection may be limited in an annual appropriations Act or other provision of Federal law;

“(B) the Director may exercise the authority under this subsection only with the concurrence of the head of each affected agency;

“(C) in the case of an interagency transfer, the total amount of transfers under this subsection may not exceed 10 percent of the total amount of funds made available for National Drug Control Strategy programs and activities to the agency from which those funds are to be transferred;

“(D) funds transferred to an agency under this subsection may only be used to increase the funding for programs or activities authorized by law;

“(E) no transfer of funds under this subsection may result in a reduction in total

Federal expenditures for substance use disorder treatment;

“(F) the Director shall—

“(i) submit to the appropriate congressional committees and any other applicable committee of jurisdiction, a reprogramming or transfer request in advance of any transfer under this subsection in accordance with the regulations of each affected agency; and

“(ii) annually submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing the effect of all transfers of funds made pursuant to this subsection or section 1004(f) during the 12-month period preceding the date on which the report is submitted; and

“(G) funds may only be used for—

“(i) expansion of demand reduction activities;

“(ii) interdiction of illicit drugs on the high seas, in United States territorial waters, and at United States ports of entry by officers and employees of National Drug Control Program Agencies and domestic and foreign law enforcement officers;

“(iii) accurate assessment and monitoring of international drug production and interdiction programs and policies;

“(iv) activities to facilitate and enhance the sharing of domestic and foreign intelligence information among National Drug Control Program Agencies related to the production and trafficking of drugs in the United States and foreign countries;

“(v) activities to prevent the diversion of prescription drugs for illicit use; and

“(vi) research related to any of these activities.

“(2) INADEQUACY OF TRANSFER.—In the event the authority under this subsection is inadequate to implement the provisions of a plan developed under section 1009, the Director shall submit a request for funding to the appropriate congressional committees within 30 days after the date on which the Director determines there is a need for additional funding.

“(e) FUND CONTROL NOTICES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director may issue to the head of a National Drug Control Program Agency a fund control notice to ensure compliance with the National Drug Control Program Strategy. A fund control notice may direct that all or part of an amount appropriated to the National Drug Control Program Agency account be obligated by—

“(A) months, fiscal year quarters, or other time periods; and

“(B) activities, functions, projects, or object classes.

“(2) UNAUTHORIZED OBLIGATION OR EXPENDITURE PROHIBITED.—An officer or employee of a National Drug Control Program Agency shall not make or authorize an expenditure or obligation contrary to a fund control notice issued by the Director.

“(3) DISCIPLINARY ACTION FOR VIOLATION.—In the case of a violation of paragraph (2) by an officer or employee of a National Drug Control Program Agency, the head of the agency, upon the request of and in consultation with the Director, may subject the officer or employee to appropriate administrative discipline, including, when circumstances warrant, suspension from duty without pay or removal from office.

“(4) CONGRESSIONAL NOTICE.—Not later than 5 days after issuance of a fund control notice, the Director shall submit a copy of such fund control notice to the appropriate congressional committees and make such notice publicly available.

“(5) RESTRICTIONS.—The Director may not issue a fund control notice to direct that all or part of an amount appropriated to the National Drug Control Program Agency account be obligated, modified, or altered in any manner contrary, in whole or in part, to a specific appropriation or statute.

“(f) EXCLUSIONS.—The authorities described under subsections (c), (d), and (e) do not apply to any program under subchapter II or III.

“(g) FOREIGN ASSISTANCE ACT PARTICIPATION.—The Director may participate in the drug certification process pursuant to section 490 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2291j) and section 706 of the Department of State Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (22 U.S.C. 229j–1).

“(h) CERTIFICATIONS OF POLICY CHANGES TO DIRECTOR.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the head of a National Drug Control Program Agency shall, unless exigent circumstances require otherwise, notify the Director in writing regarding any proposed change in policies relating to the activities of that agency under the National Drug Control Program prior to implementation of such change. The Director shall promptly review such proposed change and certify to the head of that agency in writing whether such change is consistent with the National Drug Control Strategy.

“(2) EXCEPTION.—If prior notice of a proposed change under paragraph (1) is not practicable—

“(A) the head of the National Drug Control Program Agency shall notify the Director of the proposed change as soon as practicable; and

“(B) upon such notification, the Director shall review the change and certify to the head of that agency in writing whether the change is consistent with the National Drug Control Strategy.

“(i) WORK IN CONJUNCTION WITH ASSISTANT FOR NATIONAL SECURITY AFFAIRS.—The Director shall, in any matter affecting national security interests, work in conjunction with the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs.

“(j) AUTHORITIES NOT DEROGATED.—Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as derogating the authorities and responsibilities of the head of any agency, the Director of National Intelligence, or the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency contained in the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401 et seq.), the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403a et seq.), or any other law.

#### “§ 1009. Emerging threats task force, plan, campaign

“(a) EMERGING THREATS TASK FORCE.—

“(1) EMERGING AND CONTINUING THREATS COORDINATOR.—The Director shall designate or appoint a United States Emerging and Continuing Threats Coordinator to perform the duties of that position described in this section and such other duties as may be determined by the Director. The Director shall determine whether the coordinator position is a noncareer appointee in the Senior Executive Service or a career appointee at the GS–15 level (or equivalent) or above.

“(2) ESTABLISHMENT AND MONITORING.—The Emerging and Continuing Threats Coordinator (referred to in this section as the ‘Coordinator’) shall monitor evolving and emerging drug threats in the United States and shall serve as Chair of an Emerging Threats Task Force (in this section, referred to as the ‘task force’). The Director shall appoint other members of the task force, which shall include—

“(A) representatives from National Drug Control Program Agencies or other agencies;

“(B) representatives from State, local, and Tribal governments;

“(C) the Director of the National Drug Control Fusion Center established in section 1013; and

“(D) representatives from other entities as determined to be necessary by the Director.

“(3) INFORMATION REVIEW AND SHARING.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The task force shall disseminate and facilitate the sharing with Federal, State, local, and Tribal officials and other entities as determined by the Director of pertinent information and data relating to the following:

“(i) Recent trends in drug supply and demand.

“(ii) Fatal and nonfatal overdoses.

“(iii) Demand for and availability of evidence-based substance use disorder treatment, including the extent of the unmet treatment need, and treatment admission trends.

“(iv) Recent trends in drug interdiction, supply, and demand from State, local, and Tribal law enforcement agencies.

“(v) Other subject matter as determined necessary by the Director.

“(B) CONTRACT, AGREEMENT, AND OTHER AUTHORITY.—The Director may award contracts, enter into interagency agreements, manage individual projects, and conduct other activities in support of the identification of emerging drug threats and in support of the development, implementation, and assessment of any Emerging Threat Response Plan.

“(C) DATA ANALYSIS ACTIVITIES.—In support of the task force, the National Drug Control Fusion Center is authorized to conduct and provide to the task force the results of data analysis activities that the task force requests to aid in their review of recent trends in the data disseminated under subparagraph (A).

“(4) CRITERIA TO IDENTIFY EMERGING DRUG THREATS.—Not later than 60 days after the date on which a task force first meets, the task force shall develop and recommend to the Director criteria to be used to identify an emerging drug threat or the termination of an emerging drug threat designation based on information gathered by the task force in paragraph (2), statistical data, and other evidence.

“(5) MEETINGS.—The task force shall meet in person not less frequently than quarterly and at additional meetings if determined to be necessary by and at the call of the Chair to—

“(A) identify and discuss evolving and emerging drug trends in the United States using the criteria established in paragraph (3);

“(B) assist in the formulation of any plan described in subsection (c);

“(C) oversee implementation of the plan described in subsection (c); and

“(D) provide such other advice to the Coordinator and Director concerning strategy and policies for emerging drug threats and trends as the task force determines to be appropriate.

“(b) DESIGNATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director, in consultation with the Coordinator, the task force, and the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency, may designate an emerging drug threat in the United States.

“(2) STANDARDS FOR DESIGNATION.—The Director, in consultation with the Coordinator, shall promulgate and make publicly available standards by which a designation under paragraph (1) and the termination of such designation may be made. In developing such standards, the Director shall consider the recommendations of the task force and other criteria the Director considers to be appropriate.

“(3) PUBLIC STATEMENT REQUIRED.—The Director shall publish a public written statement on the portal of the Office explaining the designation of an emerging drug threat or the termination of such designation and shall notify the appropriate congressional committees of the availability of such state-

ment when a designation or termination of such designation has been made.

“(c) PLAN.—

“(1) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF PLAN.—Not later than 60 days after making a designation under subsection (b), the Director shall publish and make publicly available an Emerging Threat Response Plan and notify the President and the appropriate congressional committees of such plan's availability.

“(2) TIMING.—Not less frequently than every 90 days after the date on which the plan is published under paragraph (1), the Director shall update the plan and report on implementation of the plan, until the Director issues the public statement required under subsection (b)(3) to terminate the emerging drug threat designation.

“(3) CONTENTS OF AN EMERGING THREAT RESPONSE PLAN.—The Director shall include in the plan—

“(A) a comprehensive strategic assessment of the emerging drug threat, including the current availability of, demand for, and effectiveness of evidence-based prevention, treatment, and enforcement programs and efforts to respond to the emerging drug threat;

“(B) comprehensive, research-based, long-range, quantifiable goals for addressing the emerging drug threat, including for reducing the supply of the drug designated as the emerging drug threat and for expanding the availability and effectiveness of evidence-based substance use disorder treatment and prevention programs to reduce the demand for the emerging drug threat;

“(C) performance measures pertaining to the plan's goals, including quantifiable and measurable objectives and specific targets;

“(D) the level of funding needed to implement the plan, including whether funding is available to be reprogrammed or transferred to support implementation of the plan or whether additional appropriations are necessary to implement the plan;

“(E) an implementation strategy for the education and public awareness campaign under subsection (d), including goals as described under subparagraph (B) and performance measures, objectives, and targets, as described under subparagraph (C); and

“(F) any other information necessary to inform the public of the status, progress, or response of an emerging drug threat.

“(4) IMPLEMENTATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date on which a designation is made under subsection (b), the Director, in consultation with the President, the appropriate congressional committees, and the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency, shall issue guidance on implementation of the plan described in this subsection to the National Drug Control Program Agencies and any other relevant agency determined to be necessary by the Director.

“(B) COORDINATOR'S RESPONSIBILITIES.—The Coordinator shall—

“(i) direct the implementation of the plan among the agencies identified in the plan, State, local, and Tribal governments, and other relevant entities;

“(ii) facilitate information-sharing between agencies identified in the plan, State, local, and Tribal governments, and other relevant entities; and

“(iii) monitor implementation of the plan by coordinating the development and implementation of collection and reporting systems to support performance measurement and adherence to the plan by agencies identified in plan, where appropriate.

“(C) REPORTING.—Not later than 180 days after the date on which a designation is made under subsection (b) and in accordance



with paragraph (2)(C), the head of each agency identified in the plan shall submit to the Coordinator a report on implementation of the plan.

**“(d) EDUCATION AND PUBLIC AWARENESS CAMPAIGN FOR EMERGING DRUG THREATS.—**

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date on which a designation is made under subsection (b), the Director shall, to the extent feasible and appropriate, establish and implement an evidence-based substance use prevention education and public awareness campaign to inform the public about the dangers of any drug designated as an emerging drug threat. Such campaign shall—

“(A) educate the public about the dangers of such drug, including patient and family education about the characteristics and hazards of such drug and methods to safeguard against such dangers, including the safe disposal of such drug;

“(B) support evidence-based prevention programs targeting audiences’ attitudes, perceptions, and beliefs concerning substance use and intentions to initiate or continue such use;

“(C) increase awareness of the negative consequences of drug use;

“(D) encourage individuals affected by substance use disorders to seek treatment and provide such individuals with information on how to recognize addiction issues, what forms of evidence-based treatment options are available, and how to access such treatment; and

“(E) combat the stigma of addiction and substance use disorders, including the stigma of treating such disorders with medication-assisted treatment therapies.

“(2) CONSULTATION.—For the planning of the campaign under paragraph (1), the Director shall consult with—

“(A) the head of any appropriate National Drug Control Program Agency to obtain advice on evidence-based scientific information for policy, program development, and evaluation;

“(B) experts in evidence-based media campaigns, education, evaluation, and communication;

“(C) experts on the designated drug;

“(D) State, local, and Tribal government officials and relevant agencies;

“(E) the public;

“(F) appropriate congressional committees; and

“(G) any other affected person, as determined by the Director.

**“(3) GIFTS AND DONATIONS.—**

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Director may accept gifts and donations (in cash or in kind, including voluntary and uncompensated services or property), which shall be available until expended, for the purpose of supporting the education and public awareness campaign authorized in this section, including the media campaign.

“(B) ETHICS GUIDELINES.—The Director shall establish written guidelines setting forth the criteria to be used in determining whether a gift or donation should be declined under this section because the acceptance of the gift or donation would—

“(i) reflect unfavorably upon the ability of the Director or the Office, or any employee of the Office, to carry out responsibilities or official duties under this chapter in a fair and objective manner; or

“(ii) compromise the integrity or the appearance of integrity of programs or services provided under this chapter or of any official involved in those programs or services.

**“(4) IMPLEMENTATION.—**

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For any campaign established under this subsection, the Director shall ensure the following:

“(i) Implementation is evidence-based, meets accepted standards for public awareness campaigns, and uses available resources in a manner to make the most progress toward achieving the goals identified in the Emerging Threats Response Plan and the requirements of paragraph (1).

“(ii) Information disseminated through the campaign is accurate.

“(iii) The Director approves the strategy of the campaign, all material distributed through the campaign, and the use of any Federal funds used for the campaign.

“(iv) The campaign is designed using strategies found to be most effective at achieving such goals and requirements of paragraph (1), which may include—

“(I) a media campaign, as described in subparagraph (B);

“(II) local, regional, or population specific messaging;

“(III) establishing partnerships and promoting coordination among community stakeholders, including public, nonprofit organizations, and for profit entities;

“(IV) providing support, training, and technical assistance to establish and expand school and community prevention programs;

“(V) creating websites to publicize and disseminate information;

“(VI) conducting outreach and providing educational resources for parents;

“(VII) establishing State or regional advisory councils to provide input and recommendations to raise awareness regarding the drug designated as an emerging drug threat;

“(VIII) collaborating with law enforcement; and

“(IX) support for school-based public health education classes to improve teen knowledge about the effects of such designated drug.

“(B) MEDIA CAMPAIGN.—Any campaign implemented under this subsection may include a media component, which—

“(i) shall be designed to prevent the use of the drug designated as an emerging drug threat and to achieve the goals and requirements of paragraph (1);

“(ii) shall be carried out through competitively awarded contracts to entities providing for the professional production and design of such campaign; and

“(iii) may include the use of television, radio, Internet, social media, and other commercial marketing venues and may be targeted to specific age groups based on peer-reviewed social research.

“(C) REQUIRED NOTICE FOR COMMUNICATION FROM THE OFFICE.—Any communication, including an advertisement, paid for or otherwise disseminated by the Office directly or through a contract awarded by the Office shall include a prominent notice informing the audience that the communication was paid for by the Office.

**“(5) EVALUATION.—**

“(A) PERFORMANCE EVALUATION.—The Director shall include an evaluation of the campaign in the annual assessment under section 1006, which shall include the following:

“(i) A performance evaluation of the campaign, including progress toward meeting the goals, objectives, measures, and targets identified in the Emerging Threats Response Plan.

“(ii) A description of all policies and practices to eliminate the potential for waste, fraud, abuse, and to ensure Federal funds are used responsibly.

“(iii) A list of all contracts or other agreements entered into to implement the campaign.

“(iv) The results of any financial audit of the campaign.

“(v) A description of any evidence used to develop the campaign.

“(vi) The sources and amount of each gift or donation accepted by the Office, and the source and amount of each gift or donation accepted by a contractor to be used in its performance of a contract for the campaign.

“(B) INDEPENDENT EVALUATION.—Not later than 180 days after establishing a campaign under paragraph (1) and not less than frequently than every two years thereafter, the Director shall—

“(i) designate an independent entity to evaluate the effectiveness of the campaign with meeting the goals established in the Emerging Threat Response Plan and the requirements of paragraph (1); and

“(ii) submit the results of the independent evaluation to the appropriate congressional committees.

“(6) FUNDING PROHIBITIONS.—None of the amounts made available under this subsection may be obligated for any of the following:

“(A) To supplant current anti-drug community-based coalitions.

“(B) To supplant pro bono public service time donated by national and local broadcasting network for other public services campaigns.

“(C) For partisan political purposes, or express advocacy in support of or to defeat any clearly identified candidate, clearly identified ballot initiative, or clearly identified legislative or regulatory proposal.

“(D) For any advocacy in support of any particular company, industry association, or advocacy group or the explicit policy positions held by such groups.

“(E) To direct any individuals to a specific type of substance use disorder treatment, treatment facility, medical provider, or form of medication assisted treatment.

“(F) To fund any advertising that features any elected officials, persons seeking elected office, cabinet level officials, or other Federal officials employed pursuant to section 213 of Schedule C of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations.

“(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Office to carry out this section, \$25,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 through 2023.

**“§ 1010. National and international coordination**

“(a) DISSEMINATION OF RESEARCH AND INFORMATION TO STATES.—The Director shall ensure that drug control research and information is effectively disseminated by National Drug Control Program Agencies to State and local governments and nongovernmental entities involved in demand reduction by—

“(1) encouraging formal consultation between any such agency that conducts or sponsors research, and any such agency that disseminates information in developing research and information product development agendas;

“(2) encouraging such agencies (as appropriate) to develop and implement dissemination plans that specifically target State and local governments and nongovernmental entities involved in demand reduction; and

“(3) supporting the substance abuse information clearinghouse administered by the Assistant Secretary for Mental Health and Substance Use and established in section 501(d)(16) of the Public Health Service Act by—

“(A) encouraging all National Drug Control Program Agencies to provide all appropriate and relevant information; and

“(B) supporting the dissemination of information to all interested entities.

**“(b) STANDARDS.—**

“(1) DEVELOPMENT.—The Director shall coordinate the development of evidence-based

standards developed by National Drug Control Program Agencies and other relevant agencies and non-Federal entities to State, local, and Tribal governments and non-governmental entities related to drug control policies, practices, and procedures, such as the investigation of drug-related deaths, by—

“(A) encouraging appropriate agencies and State, local, and Tribal governments to develop data standards for drug control practices and procedures and related statistical data;

“(B) encouraging information sharing between appropriate agencies and State, local, and Tribal governments of relevant drug control information and data;

“(C) establishing a working group of agencies, State, local, and Tribal governments, and other relevant stakeholders to discuss and develop such standards; and

“(D) facilitating collaboration among agencies, non-Federal entities, States, local, and Tribal governments, and nongovernmental agencies.

“(2) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Director shall promote the implementation of the standards described in paragraph (1) by—

“(A) encouraging adoption by providing the standards to State and local governments through the internet, annual publications or periodicals, and other widely-disseminated means; and

“(B) facilitating the use and dissemination of such standards among State and local governments by—

“(i) providing technical assistance to State, local, and Tribal governments seeking to adopt or implement such standards; and

“(ii) coordinating seminars and training sessions for State, local, and Tribal governments seeking to adopt or implement such standards.

“(c) PRIVATE SECTOR.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director or the head of a National Drug Control Program (as designated by the Director) shall coordinate with the private sector to promote private research and development of medications to treat or prevent addiction, including research and development for non-addictive pain management medication, abuse deterrent formulations, medication-assisted treatment, and other addiction research determined to be necessary by the Director by—

“(A) encouraging the sharing of information regarding evidence-based treatment addiction findings and related data between agencies and the private sector, as appropriate;

“(B) encouraging collaboration between appropriate agencies and the private sector; and

“(C) providing private sector entities with relevant statistical data and information to enhance research as permissible.

“(2) WORKING GROUP.—The Director may establish a working group of National Drug Control Program Agencies, State, local, and Tribal governments, and the private sector stakeholders to discuss and disseminate best practices, research and development, and other related issues, as appropriate.

“(d) MODEL ACTS PROGRAM.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall provide for or shall enter into an agreement with a nonprofit organization to—

“(A) advise States on establishing laws and policies to address illicit drug use issues; and

“(B) revise such model State drug laws and draft supplementary model State laws to take into consideration changes in illicit drug use issues in the State involved.

“(2) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subsection \$1,250,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 through 2023.

“(e) DRUG COURT TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.—

“(1) GRANTS AUTHORIZED.—The Director may make a grant to a nonprofit organization for the purpose of providing training and technical assistance to drug courts.

“(2) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subsection \$2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 through 2023.

“(f) INTERNATIONAL COORDINATION.—The Director may facilitate international drug control coordination efforts.

“(g) STATE, LOCAL, AND TRIBAL AFFAIRS COORDINATOR.—The Director shall designate or appoint a United States State, Local, and Tribal Affairs Coordinator to perform the duties of the Office outlined in this section and section 1005 and such other duties as may be determined by the Director with respect to coordination of drug control efforts between agencies and State, local, and Tribal governments. The Director shall determine whether the coordinator position is a noncareer appointee in the Senior Executive Service or a career appointee at the GS-15 level (or equivalent) or above.

#### “§ 1011. Interdiction

“(a) UNITED STATES INTERDICTION COORDINATOR.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall designate or appoint a noncareer appointee in the Senior Executive Service or a career appointee at the GS-15 level (or equivalent) or above as the United States Interdiction Coordinator to perform the duties of that position described in paragraph (2) and such other duties as may be determined by the Director with respect to coordination of efforts to interdict illicit drugs from entering the United States.

“(2) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The United States Interdiction Coordinator shall be responsible to the Director for—

“(A) coordinating the interdiction activities of the National Drug Control Program Agencies to ensure consistency with the National Drug Control Strategy;

“(B) on behalf of the Director, developing and issuing, on or before September 1 of each year and in accordance with paragraph (4), a National Interdiction Command and Control Plan to ensure the coordination and consistency described in subparagraph (A);

“(C) assessing the sufficiency of assets committed to illicit drug interdiction by the relevant National Drug Control Program Agencies; and

“(D) advising the Director on the efforts of each National Drug Control Program Agency to implement the National Interdiction Command and Control Plan.

“(3) STAFF.—The Director shall assign such permanent staff of the Office as he considers appropriate to assist the United States Interdiction Coordinator to carry out the responsibilities described in paragraph (2), and may request that appropriate National Drug Control Program Agencies detail or assign staff to assist in carrying out such activities.

“(4) NATIONAL INTERDICTION COMMAND AND CONTROL PLAN.—

“(A) PURPOSES.—The National Interdiction Command and Control Plan—

“(i) shall set forth the Government’s strategy for drug interdiction;

“(ii) shall state the specific roles and responsibilities of the relevant National Drug Control Program Agencies for implementing that strategy; and

“(iii) shall identify the specific resources required to enable the relevant National Drug Control Program Agencies to implement that strategy.

“(B) CONSULTATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES.—Before the submission of the National Drug Control Strategy or annual supplement re-

quired under section 1005(d), as applicable, the United States Interdiction Coordinator shall issue the National Interdiction Command and Control Plan, in consultation with the other members of the Interdiction Committee described in subsection (b).

“(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—On or before September 1 of each year, the Director, through the United States Interdiction Coordinator, shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees, to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives, and to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate, a report that—

“(i) includes—

“(I) a copy of that year’s National Interdiction Command and Control Plan;

“(II) information for the previous 10 years regarding the number and type of seizures of drugs by each National Drug Control Program Agency conducting drug interdiction activities and statistical information on the geographic areas of such seizures; and

“(III) information for the previous 10 years regarding the number of air and maritime patrol hours undertaken by each National Drug Control Program Agency conducting drug interdiction activities and statistical information on the geographic areas in which such patrol hours took place; and

“(ii) may include recommendations about changes to existing agency authorities or laws governing interagency relationships.

“(D) CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—The report submitted pursuant to subparagraph (C) may include a classified annex.

“(b) INTERDICTION COMMITTEE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Interdiction Committee shall meet to—

“(A) discuss and resolve issues related to the coordination, oversight, and integration of international, border, and domestic drug interdiction efforts in support of the National Drug Control Strategy;

“(B) review the annual National Interdiction Command and Control Plan, and provide advice to the Director and the United States Interdiction Coordinator concerning that plan; and

“(C) provide such other advice to the Director concerning drug interdiction strategy and policies as the committee determines is appropriate.

“(2) CHAIR.—The Director shall designate one of the members of the Interdiction Committee to serve as Chair.

“(3) MEETINGS.—The members of the Interdiction Committee shall meet, in person and not through any delegate or representative, at least once per calendar year, before June 1. At the call of the Director or the Chair, the Interdiction Committee may hold additional meetings, which shall be attended by the members in person, or through such delegates or representatives as the members may choose.

“(4) REPORT.—Not later than September 30 of each year, the Chair of the Interdiction Committee shall submit to the Director and to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing the results of the meetings and any significant findings of the committee during the previous 12 months. Such report may include a classified annex.

#### “§ 1012. Treatment coordinator

“(a) UNITED STATES TREATMENT COORDINATOR.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall designate or appoint a noncareer appointee in the Senior Executive Service or a career appointee at the GS-15 level (or equivalent) or above as the United States Treatment Coordinator to perform the responsibilities of that position described in paragraph (2) and

such other duties as may be determined by the Director with respect to coordination of efforts to expand the availability of substance use disorder treatment with the goal of eliminating the unmet treatment need.

“(2) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The United States Treatment Coordinator shall be responsible to the Director for—

“(A) coordinating the activities of the National Drug Control Program Agencies undertaken to expand the availability of evidence-based substance use disorder treatment to ensure consistency with the National Drug Control Strategy;

“(B) on behalf of the Director, developing and issuing, on or before September 1 of each year and in accordance with paragraph (4), a National Treatment Plan to ensure the coordination and consistency described in subparagraph (A);

“(C) assessing the sufficiency of Federal resources directed to substance use disorder treatment by the relevant National Drug Control Program Agencies;

“(D) encouraging the adoption by all substance use disorder treatment providers of evidence-based standards to guide all aspects of treatment provided; and

“(E) advising the Director on the efforts of each National Drug Control Program Agency to implement the National Treatment Plan.

“(3) STAFF.—The Director shall assign such permanent staff of the Office of the United States Treatment Coordinator as the Director determines to be appropriate to assist the United States Treatment Coordinator to carry out the responsibilities described in paragraph (2), and may request that appropriate National Drug Control Program Agencies detail or assign staff to assist in carrying out such responsibilities.

“(4) NATIONAL TREATMENT PLAN.—

“(A) PURPOSES.—The National Treatment Plan—

“(i) shall identify the unmet need for treatment for evidence-based substance use disorders and set forth the Government's strategy for closing the gap between available and needed treatment through all sources;

“(ii) shall describe the specific roles and responsibilities of the relevant National Drug Control Program Agencies for implementing that strategy;

“(iii) shall identify the specific resources required to enable the relevant National Drug Control Program Agencies to implement that strategy;

“(iv) shall identify the resources, including private sources, required to eliminate the unmet need for evidence-based substance use disorder treatment; and

“(v) may include recommendations about changes to existing agency authorities or laws governing interagency relationships.

“(B) CONSULTATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES.—Before the submission of the National Treatment Strategy or annual supplement required under section 1005(d), as applicable, the United States Treatment Coordinator shall issue the National Treatment Plan, in consultation with the other members of the Treatment Committee described in subsection (b).

“(C) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—On or before September 1 of each year, the Director, through the United States Treatment Coordinator, shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees a report that includes a copy of that year's National Treatment Plan.

“(b) TREATMENT COMMITTEE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Treatment Committee shall meet to—

“(A) review and discuss the adequacy of evidence-based substance use disorder treatment as well as the unmet need for treatment;

“(B) review and discuss the status of the implementation of the National Treatment Plan; and

“(C) provide such other advice to the Director concerning substance use disorder treatment initiatives as the committee determines is appropriate.

“(2) CHAIR.—The Director shall designate one of the members of the Treatment Committee to serve as Chair.

“(3) MEETINGS.—The members of the Treatment Committee shall meet, in person and not through any delegate or representative, at least once per calendar year, before June 1. At the call of the Director or the Chair, the Treatment Committee may hold additional meetings, which shall be attended by the members in person, or through such delegates or representatives as the members may choose.

“(4) REPORT.—Not later than September 30 of each year, the Chair of the Treatment Committee shall submit to the Director and to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing the results of the meetings and any significant findings of the committee during the previous 12 months. Such report may include a classified annex.

### “§ 1013. Critical information coordination

“(a) NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL FUSION CENTER.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Director shall, in consultation with the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency, designate an agency to establish a National Drug Control Fusion Center (referred to in this section as the ‘Center’). The Center shall operate under the authority of the Director and shall work with the National Drug Control Program Agencies to collect, compile, analyze, and facilitate the sharing of data on the use of illicit drugs, treatment for substance use disorder, and interdiction of illicit drugs. The Center shall be considered a ‘statistical agency or unit’, as that term is defined in section 502 of the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2002 (44 U.S.C. 3501 note) and shall have the necessary independence to ensure any data or information acquired by an agency under a pledge of confidentiality and for exclusively statistical purposes is used exclusively for statistical purposes.

“(2) CENTER DIRECTOR.—There shall be at the head of the Center a Center Director who shall be appointed by the Director from among individuals qualified and distinguished in data governance and statistical analysis.

“(3) DATA COMPILATION.—The Director, acting through the Center Director, shall do the following:

“(A) Coordinate data collection activities among the National Drug Control Program Agencies.

“(B) Collect information not otherwise collected by National Drug Control Program Agencies as necessary to inform the National Drug Control Strategy.

“(C) Compile and analyze any data required to be collected under this chapter.

“(D) Disseminate technology, as appropriate, to States and local jurisdictions to enable or improve the collection of data on drug use, including the recordation of the occurrence of fatal and non-fatal drug overdoses.

“(E) Compile information collected by National Drug Control Program Agencies on grants issued through any National Drug Control Program, including for any grant the following:

“(i) The recipient.

“(ii) The amount.

“(iii) The intended purpose.

“(iv) Any evidence of the efficacy of the outcomes achieved by the program funded through the grant.

“(v) Any assessments of how the grant met its intended purpose.

“(4) TOXICOLOGY SCREENING.—

“(A) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Center Director may establish a toxicology screening program that engages in—

“(i) secondary analysis of urine samples that would otherwise be discarded by—

“(I) hospitals and substance use disorder treatment programs;

“(II) correctional facilities, booking sites, probation programs, drug courts, and related facilities; and

“(III) coroners and medical examiners; and

“(ii) analysis of other physical samples, as determined by the Center Director to be valuable for understanding the prevalence of any illicit drug.

“(B) DE-IDENTIFICATION OF INFORMATION.—The Center Director shall ensure that no samples have any personally identifiable information prior to collection.

“(C) LIMITATION ON USE.—No data obtained from analysis conducted under this paragraph may be used as evidence in any proceeding.

“(D) STATE PROGRAM.—The Center Director may establish a program that enables States and local jurisdictions to submit up to 20 urine samples per year for toxicology analysis for the purposes of identifying substances present in individuals who have suffered fatal drug overdoses.

“(5) AUTHORITY TO CONTRACT.—The Director may award contracts, enter into interagency agreements, manage individual projects, and conduct other operational activities under this subsection.

“(b) CRITICAL DRUG CONTROL INFORMATION AND EVIDENCE PLAN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than the first Monday in February of each year, the Director shall submit to Congress a systematic plan for increasing data collection to enable real-time surveillance of drug control threats, developing analysis and monitoring capabilities, and identifying and addressing policy questions relevant to the National Drug Control Policy, Strategy, and Program. Such plan shall be made available on the public online portal of the Office, shall cover at least a 4-year period beginning with the first fiscal year following the fiscal year in which the plan is submitted and published, and contain the following:

“(A) A list of policy-relevant questions for which the Director and each National Drug Control Program Agency intends to develop evidence to support the National Drug Control Program and Strategy.

“(B) A list of data the Director and each National Drug Control Program Agency intends to collect, use, or acquire to facilitate the use of evidence in drug control policymaking and monitoring.

“(C) A list of methods and analytical approaches that may be used to develop evidence to support the National Drug Control Program and Strategy and related policy.

“(D) A list of any challenges to developing evidence to support policymaking, including any barriers to accessing, collecting, or using relevant data.

“(E) A description of the steps the Director and the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency will take to effectuate the plan.

“(F) Any other relevant information as determined by the Director.

“(2) CONSULTATION.—In developing the plan required under paragraph (1), the Director shall consult with the following:

“(A) The public.

“(B) Any evaluation or analysis units and personnel of the Office.

“(C) Office officials responsible for implementing privacy policy.

“(D) Office officials responsible for data governance.

“(E) The appropriate congressional committees.

“(F) Any other individual or entity as determined by the Director.

“(C) EVIDENCE-BASED POLICY.—

“(1) HARM REDUCTION PROGRAMS.—When developing the national drug control policy, any policy of the Director, including policies relating to syringe exchange programs for intravenous drug users, shall be based on the best available medical and scientific evidence regarding the effectiveness of such policy in promoting individual health, preventing the spread of infectious disease and the impact of such policy on drug addiction and use. In making any policy relating to harm reduction programs, the Director shall consult with the National Institutes of Health and the National Academy of Sciences.

“(2) FUND RESTRICTION FOR THE LEGALIZATION OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES.—The Director shall ensure that no Federal funds appropriated to the Office shall be expended for any study or contract relating to the legalization (for a medical use or any other use) for which a listing in schedule I is in effect under section 202 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812).

“(d) DRUG CONTROL DATA DASHBOARD.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Director, in consultation with the Center Director, shall establish and maintain a data dashboard on the online portal of the Office to be known as the ‘Drug Control Data Dashboard’. The Director shall ensure the user interface of the dashboard is constructed with modern design standards. To the extent practicable, the data made available on the dashboard shall be publicly available in a machine-readable format and searchable by year, agency, drug, and location.

“(2) DATA.—The data included in the Drug Control Data Dashboard shall be updated quarterly to the extent practicable, but not less frequently than annually and shall include, at a minimum, the following:

“(A) For each substance identified under section 1005(c)(1)(A)(i)—

“(i) the total amount seized and disrupted in the calendar year and each of the previous 3 calendar years, including to the extent practicable the amount seized by State, local, and Tribal governments;

“(ii) the known and estimated flows into the United States from all sources in the calendar year and each of the previous 3 calendar years;

“(iii) the total amount of known flows that could not be interdicted or disrupted in the calendar year and each of the previous 3 calendar years;

“(iv) the known and estimated levels of domestic production in the calendar year and each of the previous three calendar years, including the levels of domestic production if the drug is a prescription drug, as determined under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, for which a listing is in effect under section 202 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812);

“(v) the average street price for the calendar year and the highest known street price during the preceding 10-year period; and

“(vi) to the extent practicable, related prosecutions by State, local, and Tribal governments.

“(B) For the calendar year and each of the previous three years data sufficient to show, disaggregated by State and, to the extent feasible, by region within a State, county, or city, the following:

“(i) The number of fatal and non-fatal overdoses caused by each drug identified under subparagraph (A)(i).

“(ii) The prevalence of substance use disorders.

“(iii) The number of individuals who have received substance use disorder treatment, including medication assisted treatment, for a substance use disorder, including treatment provided through publicly-financed health care programs.

“(iv) The extent of the unmet need for substance use disorder treatment, including the unmet need for medication-assisted treatment.

“(C) Data sufficient to show the extent of prescription drug diversion, trafficking, and misuse in the calendar year and each of the previous 3 calendar years.

“(D) Any quantifiable measures the Director determines to be appropriate to detail progress toward the achievement of the goals of the National Drug Control Strategy.

“(e) ACCESS TO INFORMATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Upon the request of the Director, the head of any National Drug Control Program Agency shall cooperate with and provide to the Director any statistics, studies, reports, and other information prepared or collected by the agency concerning the responsibilities of the agency under the National Drug Control Strategy that relate to—

“(A) drug control; or

“(B) the manner in which amounts made available to that agency for drug control are being used by that agency.

“(2) PROTECTION OF INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The authorities conferred on the Office and the Director by this chapter shall be exercised in a manner consistent with provisions of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401 et seq.). The Director of National Intelligence shall prescribe such regulations as may be necessary to protect information provided pursuant to this chapter regarding intelligence sources and methods.

“(B) DUTIES OF DIRECTOR.—The Director of National Intelligence and the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency shall, to the maximum extent practicable in accordance with subparagraph (A), render full assistance and support to the Office and the Director.

“(3) REQUIRED REPORTS FROM NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAM AGENCIES.—The head of each National Drug Control Program Agency shall submit to the Director such information and reports as requested from such National Drug Control Program Agency by the Director, which shall include from the appropriate National Drug Control Program Agencies:

“(A) Not later than July 1 of each year, the head of a National Drug Control Program Agency designated by the Director shall submit to the Director and the appropriate congressional committees an assessment of the quantity of illegal drug cultivation and manufacturing in the United States on lands owned or under the jurisdiction of their respective agencies that was seized or eradicated by their personnel during the preceding calendar year.

“(B) Not later than July 1 of each year, the head of a designated National Drug Control Program Agency shall submit to the Director and the appropriate congressional committees information for the preceding year regarding—

“(i) the number and type of seizures of drugs by each component of the agency seizing drugs, as well as statistical information on the geographic areas of such seizures; and

“(ii) the number of air and maritime patrol hours primarily dedicated to drug supply re-

duction missions undertaken by each component of the agency.

“(C) Not later than July 1 of each year, the head of a designated National Drug Control Program Agency shall submit to the Director and the appropriate congressional committees information for the preceding year regarding the number of air and maritime patrol hours primarily dedicated to drug supply reduction missions undertaken by each component of the agency.

“(D) Not later than July 1 of each year, the head of a designated National Drug Control Program Agency shall submit to the Director and the appropriate congressional committees information for the preceding year regarding the number and type of—

“(i) arrests for drug violations;

“(ii) prosecutions by United States Attorneys for drug violations; and

“(iii) seizures of drugs by each component of the Department of Justice seizing drugs, as well as statistical information on the geographic areas of such seizures.

“(f) DATA EXCHANGE STANDARDS FOR IMPROVED INTEROPERABILITY.—

“(1) INTERAGENCY AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL DESIGNATION AND USE OF DATA EXCHANGE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP.—The Director shall establish a working group of National Drug Control Program Agencies, State, local and Tribal government health and law enforcement agencies, and data governance experts to develop consensus data exchange standards for necessary categories of information that allow effective electronic exchange of information between States, between State agencies, between States and National Drug Control Program Agencies, and any other drug control relevant data exchange.

“(2) DATA EXCHANGE STANDARDS MUST BE NONPROPRIETARY AND INTEROPERABLE.—The data exchange standards developed under paragraph (1) shall, to the extent practicable, be nonproprietary and interoperable.

“(3) OTHER REQUIREMENTS.—In developing data exchange standards under this subsection, the working group shall, to the extent practicable, incorporate—

“(A) interoperable standards developed and maintained by an international voluntary consensus standards body, as defined by the Office of Management and Budget;

“(B) interoperable standards developed and maintained by intergovernmental partnerships; and

“(C) interoperable standards developed and maintained by Federal entities with authority over contracting and financial assistance.

“(4) DATA EXCHANGE STANDARDS FOR FEDERAL REPORTING.—

“(A) DESIGNATION.—The Director may, in consultation with the working group established under this subsection, National Drug Control Program Agencies, and State, local, and Tribal governments, designate data exchange standards to govern Federal reporting and exchange requirements for National Drug Control Programs, as appropriate.

“(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The data exchange reporting standards designated under subparagraph (A) shall, to the extent practicable—

“(i) incorporate a widely accepted, nonproprietary, searchable, machine-readable format;

“(ii) be consistent with and implement applicable accounting principles;

“(iii) be implemented in a manner that is cost-effective and improves program efficiency and effectiveness; and

“(iv) be capable of being continually upgraded as necessary.

“(C) INCORPORATION OF NONPROPRIETARY STANDARDS.—In designating data exchange standards under this paragraph, the Director

shall, to the extent practicable, incorporate existing nonproprietary standards.

“(D) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to require a change to existing data exchange standards for Federal reporting about a program referred to in this section, if the head of the agency responsible for administering the program finds the standards to be effective and efficient.

“(5) **TERMINATION.**—The working group established under paragraph (1) shall terminate not earlier than 60 days after the public notification of termination by the Director.

“(g) **ANNUAL DATA COLLECTION AND DISSEMINATION REQUIREMENTS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director shall collect and disseminate, as appropriate, such information as the Director determines is appropriate, but not less than the information described in this subsection. To the extent practicable, the data shall be publicly available in a machine-readable format on the Drug Control Data Dashboard, be searchable by year, agency, drug, and location, and cover not less than the previous 10-year period.

“(2) **PREPARATION AND DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION.**—The Director shall prepare and disseminate the following:

“(A) An assessment of current illicit drug use (including inhalants and steroids) and availability, impact of illicit drug use, and treatment availability, which assessment shall include—

“(i) estimates of drug prevalence and frequency of use as measured by national, State, and local surveys of illicit drug use and by other special studies of nondependent and dependent illicit drug use;

“(ii) illicit drug use in the workplace and the productivity lost by such use; and

“(iii) illicit drug use by arrestees, probationers, and parolees.

“(B) An assessment of the reduction of illicit drug availability, for each drug identified under section 1005(c)(1)(A)(i), as measured by—

“(i) the quantities of such drug available for consumption in the United States;

“(ii) the amount of such drug entering the United States;

“(iii) the number of illicit drug manufacturing laboratories seized and destroyed of each such drug and the number of hectares cultivated and destroyed domestically and in other countries of such drug;

“(iv) the number of metric tons of such drug seized; and

“(v) changes in the price and purity of such drug.

“(C) An assessment of the reduction of the consequences of illicit drug use and availability, which shall include—

“(i) the cost of treating substance use disorder in the United States, such as the quantity of illicit drug-related services provided;

“(ii) the annual national health care cost of illicit drug use; and

“(iii) the extent of illicit drug-related crime and criminal activity.

“(D) A determination of the status of substance use disorder treatment in the United States, by assessing—

“(i) public and private treatment utilization; and

“(ii) the number of illicit drug users the Director estimates meet diagnostic criteria for treatment.

#### “§ 1014. Authorization of appropriations

“There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this chapter, except as otherwise specified, to remain available until expended, \$18,400,000 for each of fiscal years 2019 through 2023.

### “SUBCHAPTER II—DRUG-FREE COMMUNITIES SUPPORT PROGRAM

#### “§ 1021. Establishment of drug-free communities support program

“(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—The Director shall establish a program to support communities in the development and implementation of comprehensive, long-term plans and programs to prevent and treat substance use and misuse among youth.

“(b) **PROGRAM.**—In carrying out the Program, the Director shall—

“(1) make and track grants to grant recipients;

“(2) provide for technical assistance and training, data collection, and dissemination of information on state-of-the-art practices that the Director determines to be effective in reducing substance use; and

“(3) provide for the general administration of the Program.

“(c) **ADMINISTRATION.**—The Director shall appoint an Administrator to carry out the Program.

“(d) **CONTRACTING.**—The Director may employ any necessary staff and may enter into contracts or agreements with National Drug Control Program Agencies, including inter-agency agreements, to delegate authority for the execution of grants and for such other activities necessary to carry out this chapter.

#### “§ 1022. Program authorization

“(a) **GRANT ELIGIBILITY.**—To be eligible to receive an initial grant or a renewal grant under this subchapter, a coalition shall meet each of the following criteria:

“(1) **APPLICATION.**—The coalition shall submit an application to the Administrator in accordance with section 1023(a)(2).

“(2) **MAJOR SECTOR INVOLVEMENT.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The coalition shall consist of 1 or more representatives of each of the following categories:

“(i) Youth.

“(ii) Parents.

“(iii) Businesses.

“(iv) The media.

“(v) Schools.

“(vi) Organizations serving youth.

“(vii) Law enforcement.

“(viii) Religious or fraternal organizations.

“(ix) Civic and volunteer groups.

“(x) Health care professionals.

“(xi) State, local, or Tribal governmental agencies with expertise in the field of substance use prevention or substance use disorders (including, if applicable, the State authority with primary authority for substance use and misuse).

“(xii) Other organizations involved in reducing the prevalence of substance use and misuse or substance use disorders.

“(B) **ELECTED OFFICIALS.**—If feasible, in addition to representatives from the categories listed in subparagraph (A), the coalition shall have an elected official (or a representative of an elected official) from—

“(i) the Federal Government; and

“(ii) the government of the appropriate State and political subdivision thereof or the governing body or an Indian tribe (as that term is defined in section 4(e) of the Indian Self-Determination Act (25 U.S.C. 5304)).

“(C) **REPRESENTATION.**—An individual who is a member of the coalition may serve on the coalition as a representative of not more than 1 category listed under subparagraph (A).

“(3) **COMMITMENT.**—The coalition shall demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the Administrator—

“(A) that the representatives of the coalition have worked together on substance use and misuse reduction initiatives, which, at a minimum, includes initiatives that target drugs described in section 1027(6)(A), for a pe-

riod of not less than 6 months, acting through entities such as task forces, subcommittees, or community boards; and

“(B) substantial participation from volunteer leaders in the community involved (especially in cooperation with individuals involved with youth such as parents, teachers, coaches, youth workers, and members of the clergy).

“(4) **MISSION AND STRATEGIES.**—The coalition shall, with respect to the community involved—

“(A) have as its principal mission the reduction of illegal drug use, which, at a minimum, includes the use of illegal drugs described in section 1027(6)(A), in a comprehensive and long-term manner, with a primary focus on youth in the community;

“(B) describe and document the nature and extent of the substance use and misuse problem, which, at a minimum, includes the use and misuse of drugs described in section 1027(6)(A), in the community;

“(C)(i) provide a description of substance use and misuse prevention and treatment programs and activities, which, at a minimum, includes programs and activities relating to the use and misuse of drugs described in section 1027(6)(A), in existence at the time of the grant application; and

“(ii) identify substance use and misuse programs and service gaps, which, at a minimum, includes programs and gaps relating to the use and misuse of drugs described in section 1027(6)(A), in the community;

“(D) develop a strategic plan to reduce substance use and misuse among youth, which, at a minimum, includes the use and misuse of drugs described in section 1027(6)(A), in a comprehensive and long-term fashion; and

“(E) work to develop a consensus regarding the priorities of the community to combat substance use and misuse among youth, which, at a minimum, includes the use and misuse of drugs described in section 1027(6)(A).

“(5) **SUSTAINABILITY.**—The coalition shall demonstrate that the coalition is an ongoing concern by demonstrating that the coalition—

“(A) is—

“(i)(I) a nonprofit organization; or

“(II) an entity that the Administrator determines to be appropriate; or

“(ii) part of, or is associated with, an established legal entity;

“(B) receives financial support (including, in the discretion of the Administrator, in-kind contributions) from non-Federal sources; and

“(C) has a strategy to solicit substantial financial support from non-Federal sources to ensure that the coalition and the programs operated by the coalition are self-sustaining.

“(6) **ACCOUNTABILITY.**—The coalition shall—

“(A) establish a system to measure and report outcomes—

“(i) consistent with common indicators and evaluation protocols established by the Administrator; and

“(ii) approved by the Administrator;

“(B) conduct—

“(i) for an initial grant under this subchapter, an initial benchmark survey of drug use among youth (or use local surveys or performance measures available or accessible in the community at the time of the grant application); and

“(ii) biennial surveys (or incorporate local surveys in existence at the time of the evaluation) to measure the progress and effectiveness of the coalition; and

“(C) provide assurances that the entity conducting an evaluation under this paragraph, or from which the coalition receives information, has experience—

“(i) in gathering data related to substance use and misuse among youth; or

“(ii) in evaluating the effectiveness of community anti-drug coalitions.

“(7) ADDITIONAL CRITERIA.—The Director shall not impose any eligibility criteria on new applicants or renewal grantees not provided in this chapter.

“(b) GRANT AMOUNTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) GRANTS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (iv), for a fiscal year, the Administrator may grant to an eligible coalition under this paragraph, an amount not to exceed the amount of non-Federal funds raised by the coalition, including in-kind contributions, for that fiscal year.

“(ii) SUSPENSION OF GRANTS.—If such grant recipient fails to continue to meet the criteria specified in subsection (a), the Administrator may suspend the grant, after providing written notice to the grant recipient and an opportunity to appeal.

“(iii) RENEWAL GRANTS.—Subject to clause (iv), the Administrator may award a renewal grant to a grant recipient under this subparagraph for each fiscal year following the fiscal year for which an initial grant is awarded, in an amount not to exceed the amount of non-Federal funds raised by the coalition, including in-kind contributions, for that fiscal year, during the 4-year period following the period of the initial grant.

“(iv) LIMITATION.—The amount of a grant award under this subparagraph may not exceed \$125,000 for a fiscal year.

“(B) COALITION AWARDS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (ii), the Administrator may, with respect to a community, make a grant to 1 eligible coalition that represents that community.

“(ii) EXCEPTION.—The Administrator may make a grant to more than 1 eligible coalition that represents a community if—

“(I) the eligible coalitions demonstrate that the coalitions are collaborating with one another; and

“(II) each of the coalitions has independently met the requirements set forth in subsection (a).

“(2) RURAL COALITION GRANTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—In addition to awarding grants under paragraph (1), to stimulate the development of coalitions in sparsely populated and rural areas, the Administrator may award a grant in accordance with this section to a coalition that represents a county with a population that does not exceed 30,000 individuals. In awarding a grant under this paragraph, the Administrator may waive any requirement under subsection (a) if the Administrator considers that waiver to be appropriate.

“(ii) MATCHING REQUIREMENT.—Subject to subparagraph (C), for a fiscal year, the Administrator may grant to an eligible coalition under this paragraph, an amount not to exceed the amount of non-Federal funds raised by the coalition, including in-kind contributions, for that fiscal year.

“(iii) SUSPENSION OF GRANTS.—If such grant recipient fails to continue to meet any criteria specified in subsection (a) that has not been waived by the Administrator pursuant to clause (i), the Administrator may suspend the grant, after providing written notice to the grant recipient and an opportunity to appeal.

“(B) RENEWAL GRANTS.—The Administrator may award a renewal grant to an eligible coalition that is a grant recipient under this paragraph for each fiscal year following the fiscal year for which an initial grant is awarded, in an amount not to exceed the amount of non-Federal funds raised by the

coalition, including in-kind contributions, during the 4-year period following the period of the initial grant.

“(C) LIMITATIONS.—

“(i) AMOUNT.—The amount of a grant award under this paragraph shall not exceed \$125,000 for a fiscal year.

“(ii) AWARDS.—With respect to a county referred to in subparagraph (A), the Administrator may award a grant under this section to not more than 1 eligible coalition that represents the county.

“(3) ADDITIONAL GRANTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (F), the Administrator may award an additional grant under this paragraph to an eligible coalition awarded a grant under paragraph (1) or (2) for any first fiscal year after the end of the 4-year period following the period of the initial grant under paragraph (1) or (2), as the case may be.

“(B) SCOPE OF GRANTS.—A coalition awarded a grant under paragraph (1) or (2), including a renewal grant under such paragraph, may not be awarded another grant under such paragraph, and is eligible for an additional grant under this section only under this paragraph.

“(C) NO PRIORITY FOR APPLICATIONS.—The Administrator may not afford a higher priority in the award of an additional grant under this paragraph than the Administrator would afford the applicant for the grant if the applicant were submitting an application for an initial grant under paragraph (1) or (2) rather than an application for a grant under this paragraph.

“(D) RENEWAL GRANTS.—Subject to subparagraph (F), the Administrator may award a renewal grant to a grant recipient under this paragraph for each of the fiscal years of the 4-fiscal-year period following the fiscal year for which the initial additional grant under subparagraph (A) is awarded in an amount not to exceed amounts as follows:

“(i) For the first and second fiscal years of that 4-fiscal-year period, the amount of the non-Federal funds, including in-kind contributions, raised by the coalition for the applicable fiscal year is not less than 125 percent of the amount awarded.

“(ii) For the third and fourth fiscal years of that 4-fiscal-year period, the amount of the non-Federal funds, including in-kind contributions, raised by the coalition for the applicable fiscal year is not less than 150 percent of the amount awarded.

“(E) SUSPENSION.—If a grant recipient under this paragraph fails to continue to meet the criteria specified in subsection (a), the Administrator may suspend the grant, after providing written notice to the grant recipient and an opportunity to appeal.

“(F) LIMITATION.—The amount of a grant award under this paragraph may not exceed \$125,000 for a fiscal year.

“(4) PROCESS FOR SUSPENSION.—A grantee shall not be suspended or terminated under paragraph (1)(A)(ii), (2)(A)(iii), or (3)(E) unless that grantee is afforded a fair, timely, and independent appeal prior to such suspension or termination.

“(c) TREATMENT OF FUNDS FOR COALITIONS REPRESENTING CERTAIN ORGANIZATIONS.—Funds appropriated for the substance use and misuse activities of a coalition that includes a representative of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the Indian Health Service, or a Tribal government agency with expertise in the field of substance use prevention may be counted as non-Federal funds raised by the coalition for purposes of this section.

“(d) PRIORITY IN AWARDING GRANTS.—In awarding grants under subsection (b)(1)(A)(i), priority shall be given to a coalition serving economically disadvantaged areas.

## “§ 1023. Information collection and dissemination with respect to grant recipients

“(a) COALITION INFORMATION.—

“(1) GENERAL AUDITING AUTHORITY.—For the purpose of audit and examination, the Administrator—

“(A) shall have access to any books, documents, papers, and records that are pertinent to any grant or grant renewal request under this subchapter; and

“(B) may periodically request information from a grant recipient to ensure that the grant recipient meets the applicable criteria under section 1022(a).

“(2) APPLICATION PROCESS.—The Administrator shall issue a request for proposal regarding, with respect to the grants awarded under section 1022, the application process, grant renewal, and suspension or withholding of renewal grants. Each application under this paragraph shall be in writing and shall be subject to review by the Administrator.

“(3) REPORTING.—The Administrator shall, to the maximum extent practicable and in a manner consistent with applicable law, minimize reporting requirements by a grant recipient and expedite any application for a renewal grant made under this subchapter.

“(b) DATA COLLECTION AND DISSEMINATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator may collect data from—

“(A) national substance use and misuse organizations that work with eligible coalitions, community anti-drug coalitions, departments or agencies of the Federal Government, or State or local governments and the governing bodies of Indian Tribes; and

“(B) any other entity or organization that carries out activities that relate to the purposes of the Program.

“(2) ACTIVITIES OF ADMINISTRATOR.—The Administrator may—

“(A) evaluate the utility of specific initiatives relating to the purposes of the Program;

“(B) conduct an evaluation of the Program; and

“(C) disseminate information described in this subsection to—

“(i) eligible coalitions and other substance use prevention organizations; and

“(ii) the general public.

“(3) CONSULTATION.—The Administrator shall carry out activities under this subsection in consultation with the National Community Antidrug Coalition Institute.

“(4) LIMITATION ON USE OF CERTAIN FUNDS FOR EVALUATION OF PROGRAM.—Amounts for activities under paragraph (2)(B) may not be derived from amounts under section 1028(a) except for amounts that are available under section 1028(b) for administrative costs.

## “§ 1024. Technical assistance and training

“(a) IN GENERAL.—

“(1) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND AGREEMENTS.—With respect to any grant recipient or other organization, the Administrator may—

“(A) offer technical assistance and training; and

“(B) enter into contracts and cooperative agreements.

“(2) COORDINATION OF PROGRAMS.—The Administrator may facilitate the coordination of programs between a grant recipient and other organizations and entities.

“(b) TRAINING.—The Administrator may provide training to any representative designated by a grant recipient in—

“(1) coalition building;

“(2) task force development;

“(3) mediation and facilitation, direct service, assessment and evaluation; or

“(4) any other activity related to the purposes of the Program.



### “§ 1025. Supplemental grants for coalition mentoring activities

“(a) **AUTHORITY TO MAKE GRANTS.**—As part of the Program, the Director may award an initial grant under this subsection, and renewal grants under subsection (f), to any coalition awarded a grant under section 1022 that meets the criteria specified in subsection (d) in order to fund coalition mentoring activities by such coalition in support of the program.

“(b) **TREATMENT WITH OTHER GRANTS.**—

“(1) **SUPPLEMENT.**—A grant awarded to a coalition under this section is in addition to any grant awarded to the coalition under section 1022.

“(2) **REQUIREMENT FOR BASIC GRANT.**—A coalition may not be awarded a grant under this section for a fiscal year unless the coalition was awarded a grant or renewal grant under section 1022(b) for that fiscal year.

“(c) **APPLICATION.**—A coalition seeking a grant under this section shall submit to the Administrator an application for the grant in such form and manner as the Administrator may require.

“(d) **CRITERIA.**—A coalition meets the criteria specified in this subsection if the coalition—

“(1) has been in existence for at least 5 years;

“(2) has achieved, by or through its own efforts, measurable results in the prevention and treatment of substance use and misuse among youth;

“(3) has staff or members willing to serve as mentors for persons seeking to start or expand the activities of other coalitions in the prevention and treatment of substance use and misuse;

“(4) has demonstrable support from some members of the community in which the coalition mentoring activities to be supported by the grant under this section are to be carried out; and

“(5) submits to the Administrator a detailed plan for the coalition mentoring activities to be supported by the grant under this section.

“(e) **USE OF GRANT FUNDS.**—A coalition awarded a grant under this section shall use the grant amount for mentoring activities to support and encourage the development of new, self-supporting community coalitions that are focused on the prevention and treatment of substance use and misuse in such new coalitions' communities. The mentoring coalition shall encourage such development in accordance with the plan submitted by the mentoring coalition under subsection (d)(5).

“(f) **RENEWAL GRANTS.**—The Administrator may make a renewal grant to any coalition awarded a grant under subsection (a), or a previous renewal grant under this subsection, if the coalition, at the time of application for such renewal grant—

“(1) continues to meet the criteria specified in subsection (d); and

“(2) has made demonstrable progress in the development of one or more new, self-supporting community coalitions that are focused on the prevention and treatment of substance use and misuse.

“(g) **GRANT AMOUNTS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3), the total amount of grants awarded to a coalition under this section for a fiscal year may not exceed the amount of non-Federal funds raised by the coalition, including in-kind contributions, for that fiscal year. Funds appropriated for the substance use and misuse activities of a coalition that includes a representative of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the Indian Health Service, or a Tribal government agency with expertise in the field of substance use prevention may be

counted as non-Federal funds raised by the coalition.

“(2) **INITIAL GRANTS.**—The amount of the initial grant awarded to a coalition under subsection (a) may not exceed \$75,000.

“(3) **RENEWAL GRANTS.**—The total amount of renewal grants awarded to a coalition under subsection (f) for any fiscal year may not exceed \$75,000.

“(h) **FISCAL YEAR LIMITATION ON AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR GRANTS.**—The total amount available for grants under this section, including renewal grants under subsection (f), in any fiscal year may not exceed the amount equal to five percent of the amount authorized to be appropriated by section 1028 for that fiscal year.

“(i) **PRIORITY IN AWARDING INITIAL GRANTS.**—In awarding initial grants under this section, priority shall be given to a coalition that expressly proposes to provide mentorship to a coalition or aspiring coalition serving economically disadvantaged areas.

### “§ 1026. Authorization for National Community Antidrug Coalition Institute

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director shall, using amounts authorized to be appropriated by subsection (d), make a competitive grant to provide for the continuation of the National Community Anti-drug Coalition Institute.

“(b) **ELIGIBLE ORGANIZATIONS.**—An organization eligible for the grant under subsection (a) is any national nonprofit organization that represents, provides technical assistance and training to, and has special expertise and broad, national-level experience in community antidrug coalitions under this subchapter.

“(c) **USE OF GRANT AMOUNT.**—The organization that receives the grant under subsection (a) shall continue a National Community Anti-Drug Coalition Institute to—

“(1) provide education, training, and technical assistance for coalition leaders and community teams, with emphasis on the development of coalitions serving economically disadvantaged areas;

“(2) develop and disseminate evaluation tools, mechanisms, and measures to better assess and document coalition performance measures and outcomes; and

“(3) bridge the gap between research and practice by translating knowledge from research into practical information.

“(d) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—The Director shall, using amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 1028, make a grant of \$2,000,000 under subsection (a), for each of the fiscal years 2019 through 2023.

### “§ 1027. Definitions

“In this subchapter:

“(1) **ADMINISTRATOR.**—The term ‘Administrator’ means the Administrator appointed by the Director under section 1021(c).

“(2) **COMMUNITY.**—The term ‘community’ shall have the meaning provided that term by the Administrator.

“(3) **ELIGIBLE COALITION.**—The term ‘eligible coalition’ means a coalition that meets the applicable criteria under section 1022(a).

“(4) **GRANT RECIPIENT.**—The term ‘grant recipient’ means the recipient of a grant award under section 1022.

“(5) **PROGRAM.**—The term ‘Program’ means the program established under section 1021(a).

“(6) **SUBSTANCE USE AND MISUSE.**—The term ‘substance use and misuse’ means—

“(A) the illegal use or misuse of drugs, including substances for which a listing is in effect under any of schedules I through V under section 202 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812);

“(B) the misuse of inhalants or over the counter drugs; or

“(C) the use of alcohol, tobacco, or other related product as such use is prohibited by State or local law.

“(7) **YOUTH.**—The term ‘youth’ shall have the meaning provided that term by the Administrator.

### “§ 1028. Drug-free communities reauthorization

“(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Office to carry out this subchapter \$99,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2019 through 2023.

“(b) **ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.**—Not more than 8 percent of the funds appropriated for this subchapter may be used by the Office or, in the discretion of the Director, an agency delegated to carry out the program under section 1021(d) to pay for administrative costs associated with carrying out the program.”

“(d) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of chapters for subtitle I of title 31, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“10. Office of National Drug Control 1001”.

### SEC. 3. HIGH INTENSITY DRUG TRAFFICKING AREAS PROGRAM.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—There is established in the Office a program to be known as the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program (in this section referred to as the “Program”).

(2) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of the Program is to reduce drug trafficking and drug production in the United States by—

(A) facilitating cooperation among Federal, State, local, and Tribal law enforcement agencies to share information and implement coordinated enforcement activities;

(B) enhancing law enforcement intelligence sharing among Federal, State, local, and Tribal law enforcement agencies;

(C) providing reliable law enforcement intelligence to law enforcement agencies needed to design effective enforcement strategies and operations; and

(D) supporting coordinated law enforcement strategies which maximize use of available resources to reduce the supply of illegal drugs in designated areas and in the United States as a whole.

(b) **DESIGNATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director, in consultation with the Attorney General, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the head of each National Drug Control Program Agency, and the Governor of each applicable State, may designate any specified area of the United States as a high intensity drug trafficking area.

(2) **ACTIVITIES.**—After making a designation under paragraph (1) and in order to provide Federal assistance to the area so designated, the Director may—

(A) obligate such sums as are appropriated for the Program;

(B) direct the temporary reassignment of Federal personnel to such area, subject to the approval of the head of the agency that employs such personnel;

(C) take any other action authorized under this section or chapter 10 of title 31, United States Code, as added by section 2(c), to provide increased Federal assistance to those areas; and

(D) coordinate activities under this section (specifically administrative, recordkeeping, and funds management activities) with State, local, and Tribal officials.

(c) **PETITIONS FOR DESIGNATION.**—The Director shall establish and maintain regulations under which a coalition of interested law enforcement agencies from an area may petition for designation as a high intensity drug trafficking area (in this section referred

to as the "HIDTA"). Such regulations shall provide for a regular review by the Director of the petition, including a recommendation regarding the merit of the petition to the Director by a panel of qualified, independent experts.

(d) **FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION.**—In considering whether to designate an area under this section as a high intensity drug trafficking area, the Director shall consider, in addition to such other criteria as the Director considers to be appropriate, the extent to which—

(1) the area is a significant center of illegal drug production, manufacturing, importation, or distribution;

(2) State, local, and Tribal law enforcement agencies have committed resources to respond to the drug trafficking problem in the area, thereby indicating a determination to respond aggressively to the problem;

(3) drug-related activities in the area are having a significant harmful impact in the area, and in other areas of the country; and

(4) a significant increase in allocation of Federal resources is necessary to respond adequately to drug-related activities in the area.

(e) **ORGANIZATION OF HIGH INTENSITY DRUG TRAFFICKING AREAS.**—

(1) **EXECUTIVE BOARD AND OFFICERS.**—To be eligible for funds appropriated under this section, each high intensity drug trafficking area shall be governed by an Executive Board. The Executive Board shall designate a chairman, vice chairman, and any other officers to the Executive Board that it determines are necessary.

(2) **RESPONSIBILITIES.**—The Executive Board of a high intensity drug trafficking area shall be responsible for—

(A) providing direction and oversight in establishing and achieving the goals of the high intensity drug trafficking area;

(B) managing the funds of the high intensity drug trafficking area;

(C) reviewing and approving all funding proposals consistent with the overall objective of the high intensity drug trafficking area; and

(D) reviewing and approving all reports to the Director on the activities of the high intensity drug trafficking area.

(3) **BOARD REPRESENTATION.**—None of the funds appropriated under this section may be expended for any high intensity drug trafficking area, or for a partnership or region of a high intensity drug trafficking area, if the Executive Board for such area, region, or partnership, does not apportion an equal number of votes between representatives of participating agencies and representatives of participating State, local, and Tribal agencies. Where it is impractical for an equal number of representatives of agencies and State, local, and Tribal agencies to attend a meeting of an Executive Board in person, the Executive Board may use a system of proxy votes or weighted votes to achieve the voting balance required by this paragraph.

(4) **NO AGENCY RELATIONSHIP.**—The eligibility requirements of this section are intended to ensure the responsible use of Federal funds. Nothing in this section is intended to create an agency relationship between individual high intensity drug trafficking areas and the Federal Government.

(f) **USE OF FUNDS.**—The Director shall ensure that not more than 5 percent of Federal funds appropriated for the Program are expended for substance use disorder treatment programs and not more than 5 percent of the Federal funds appropriated for the Program are expended for drug prevention programs.

(g) **COUNTERTERRORISM ACTIVITIES.**—

(1) **ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED.**—The Director may authorize use of resources available for the Program to assist Federal, State, local,

and Tribal law enforcement agencies in investigations and activities related to terrorism and prevention of terrorism, especially but not exclusively with respect to such investigations and activities that are also related to drug trafficking.

(2) **LIMITATION.**—The Director shall ensure—

(A) that assistance provided under paragraph (1) remains incidental to the purpose of the Program to reduce drug availability and carry out drug-related law enforcement activities; and

(B) that significant resources of the Program are not redirected to activities exclusively related to terrorism, except on a temporary basis under extraordinary circumstances, as determined by the Director.

(h) **ROLE OF DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION.**—The Director, in consultation with the Attorney General, shall ensure that a representative of the Drug Enforcement Administration is included in the Intelligence Support Center for each high intensity drug trafficking area.

(i) **EMERGING THREAT RESPONSE FUND.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to the availability of appropriations, the Director may expend up to 10 percent of the amounts appropriated under this section on a discretionary basis, in accordance with the criteria established under paragraph (2)—

(A) to respond to any emerging drug trafficking threat in an existing high intensity drug trafficking area;

(B) to establish a new high intensity drug trafficking area; or

(C) to expand an existing high intensity drug trafficking area.

(2) **CONSIDERATION OF IMPACT.**—In allocating funds under this subsection, the Director shall consider—

(A) the impact of activities funded on reducing overall drug traffic in the United States, or minimizing the probability that an emerging drug trafficking threat will spread to other areas of the United States; and

(B) such other criteria as the Director considers appropriate.

(j) **ANNUAL HIDTA PROGRAM BUDGET SUBMISSIONS.**—As part of the documentation that supports the President's annual budget request for the Office, the Director shall submit to Congress a budget justification that includes—

(1) the amount proposed for each HIDTA, conditional upon a review by the Office of the request submitted by such HIDTA and the performance of such HIDTA, with supporting narrative descriptions and rationale for each request;

(2) a detailed justification that explains—

(A) the reasons for the proposed funding level and how such funding level was determined based on a current assessment of the drug trafficking threat in each high intensity drug trafficking area;

(B) how such funding will ensure that the goals and objectives of each such area will be achieved; and

(C) how such funding supports the National Drug Control Strategy; and

(3) the amount of HIDTA funds used to investigate and prosecute organizations and individuals trafficking in each major illicit drug, as identified by the Director, in the prior calendar year, and a description of how those funds were used.

(k) **HIDTA ANNUAL EVALUATION REPORT.**—As part of each report submitted pursuant to section 1006(a) of title 31, United States Code, as added by section 2(c), the Director shall include, for each designated high intensity drug trafficking area, a report that—

(1) describes—

(A) the specific purposes for the high intensity drug trafficking area; and

(B) the specific long-term and short-term goals and objectives for the high intensity drug trafficking area;

(2) includes an evaluation of the performance of the high intensity drug trafficking area in accomplishing the specific long-term and short-term goals and objectives identified under subparagraph (1)(B);

(3) assesses the number and operation of all federally funded drug enforcement task forces within such high intensity drug trafficking area;

(4) describes—

(A) each Federal, State, local, and Tribal drug enforcement task force operating in such high intensity drug trafficking area;

(B) how such task forces coordinate with each other, with any high intensity drug trafficking area task force, and with investigations receiving funds from the Organized Crime and Drug Enforcement Task Force;

(C) what steps, if any, each such task force takes to share information regarding drug trafficking and drug production with other federally funded drug enforcement task forces in the high intensity drug trafficking area;

(D) the role of the high intensity drug trafficking area in coordinating the sharing of such information among task forces;

(E) the nature and extent of cooperation by each Federal, State, local, and Tribal participant in ensuring that such information is shared among law enforcement agencies and with the high intensity drug trafficking area;

(F) the nature and extent to which information sharing and enforcement activities are coordinated with joint terrorism task forces in the high intensity drug trafficking area; and

(G) any recommendations for measures needed to ensure that task force resources are utilized efficiently and effectively to reduce the availability of illegal drugs in the high intensity drug trafficking areas; and

(5) in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence—

(A) evaluates existing and planned law enforcement intelligence systems supported by such high intensity drug trafficking area, or utilized by task forces receiving any funding under the Program, including the extent to which such systems ensure access and availability of law enforcement intelligence to Federal, State, local, and Tribal law enforcement agencies within the high intensity drug trafficking area and outside of such area;

(B) evaluates the extent to which Federal, State, local, and Tribal law enforcement agencies participating in each high intensity drug trafficking area are sharing law enforcement intelligence information to assess current drug trafficking threats and design appropriate enforcement strategies; and

(C) identifies the measures needed to improve effective sharing of information and law enforcement intelligence regarding drug trafficking and drug production among Federal, State, local, and Tribal law enforcement participating in a high intensity drug trafficking area, and between such agencies and similar agencies outside the high intensity drug trafficking area.

(l) **COORDINATION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT INTELLIGENCE SHARING WITH ORGANIZED CRIME DRUG ENFORCEMENT TASK FORCE PROGRAM.**—

(1) **DRUG ENFORCEMENT INTELLIGENCE SHARING.**—The Director, in consultation with the Attorney General, shall ensure that any drug enforcement intelligence obtained by the Intelligence Support Center for each high intensity drug trafficking area is shared, on a timely basis, with the drug intelligence fusion center operated by the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force of the Department of Justice.

(2) **CERTIFICATION.**—Before the Director awards any funds to a high intensity drug trafficking area, the Director shall certify that the law enforcement entities participating in that HIDTA are providing laboratory seizure data to the national clandestine laboratory database at the El Paso Intelligence Center.

(m) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Office to carry out this section \$280,000,000 for each fiscal years 2019 through 2023.

(n) **SPECIFIC PURPOSES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Director shall ensure that, of the amounts appropriated for a fiscal year for the Program, at least 2.5 percent is used in high intensity drug trafficking areas with severe neighborhood safety and illegal drug distribution problems.

(2) **REQUIRED USES.**—The funds used under paragraph (1) shall be used to ensure the safety of neighborhoods and the protection of communities, including the prevention of the intimidation of witnesses of illegal drug distribution and related activities and the establishment of or support for programs that provide protection or assistance to witnesses in court proceedings.

(3) **BEST PRACTICE MODELS.**—The Director shall work with the HDTAs to develop and maintain best practice models to assist State, local, and Tribal governments in addressing witness safety, relocation, financial and housing assistance, or any other services related to witness protection or assistance in cases of illegal drug distribution and related activities. The Director shall ensure dissemination of the best practice models to each HIDTA.

#### SEC. 4. OPIOID CRISIS RESPONSE.

(a) **EMERGING THREAT DESIGNATION.**—The Director shall designate opioids and opioid analogues as emerging drug threats, in accordance with section 1009 of title 31, United States Code, as added by section 2(c).

(b) **OPIOID RESPONSE PLAN.**—

(1) **ISSUANCE.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall publish, make publicly available, and notify the President and the appropriate congressional committees of, the plan required under section 1009 of title 31, United States Code, as added by section 2(c), to be designated as the “National Opioid Crisis Response Plan”.

(2) **CONTENTS.**—The Director shall ensure the plan establishes measurable goals, including reducing fatal and non-fatal overdoses, and includes the following:

(A) An initiative to ensure the United States mail is effectively screened to prevent illicit drugs from entering the United States, including—

(i) designating the United States Postal Service as a National Drug Control Program Agency;

(ii) directing the United States Postal Service and any other related National Drug Control Program Agency to take any appropriate actions necessary to reduce the amount of illicit drugs entering the country; and

(iii) developing an international coordination plan, in consultation with the National Drug Control Program Agencies and in accordance with section 1010 of such title 31, United States Code, as added by section 2(c), to include efforts to address international drug control initiatives and strengthen bilateral and multilateral strategies to reduce illicit drugs and precursor chemicals from entering the United States through international mail or across land borders or ports of entry.

(B) Support for universal adoption of evidence-based prescribing guidelines, including—

(i) establishing a task force to supplement existing prescribing guidelines with evidence-based standards and to facilitate, coordinate, and, as appropriate, conduct research to inform such guidelines;

(ii) encouraging the adoption of evidence-based prescribing guidelines by each relevant agency, State and local governments, and private sector organizations;

(iii) issuing guidance to National Drug Control Program Agencies to, as appropriate, revise regulations to ensure professionals have effective continuing education requirements; and

(iv) disseminating and encouraging the adoption of best practices and evidence-based guidelines for effective prescribing practices.

(C) A program to monitor the prescription drug market and illicit drug market for changes in trends relevant to reducing the supply or demand of such drugs.

(D) An initiative to facilitate and coordinate Federal, State and local government initiatives, studies, and pilot or demonstration programs designed to evaluate the benefits of drug courts and related programs that reduce substance use prevalence.

(E) A program, developed in coordination with the private sector, to—

(i) facilitate the development of treatment and abuse-deterrent products, in accordance with section 1010(c) of title 31, United States Code, as added by section 2(c); and

(ii) encourage the expansion of medication disposal programs and technology.

(F) Initiatives to—

(i) encourage the National Drug Control Program Agencies and the program established under section 1010(d) of title 31, United States Code, as added by section 2(c), to prioritize the development of sentencing standards or model codes for trafficking opioids and opioid analogues; and

(ii) to advise States on establishing laws and policies to address opioid issues based on the recommendations developed and set forth by the President's Commission on Combating Drug Addiction and the Opioid Crisis.

(G) A program to identify successful college recovery programs, including sober housing programs that provide a shared living residence free of alcohol or illicit drug use for individuals recovering from drug or alcohol addiction and substance use disorders, on college campuses and disseminate best practices to Colleges and Universities to increase the number and capacity of such programs.

(H) Convening working groups, consisting of the appropriate National Drug Control Program Agencies, State, local and Tribal governments, and other appropriate stakeholders, established in accordance with section 1010 of title 31, United States Code, as added by section 2(c)—

(i) to support Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs by—

(I) facilitating the sharing and interoperability of program data among States and Federal prescription drug monitoring programs;

(II) assisting States in increasing utilization of such programs;

(III) facilitating efforts to incorporate available overdose and naloxone deployment data into such programs;

(IV) evaluating barriers to integrating program data with electronic health records; and

(V) offering recommendations to address identified barriers; and

(ii) to develop standards, and encourage the use of such standards, for the collection of data necessary to understand and monitor the opioid crisis, including—

(I) State medical examiner reports on deaths caused by overdoses and related statistical data; and

(II) first responder opioid intoxication incidents.

(I) Research initiatives, to be initiated not later than 30 days after the issuance of the plan, to evaluate the uses and barriers to use of and the effects of improving the following programs:

(i) Medication Assisted Treatment.

(ii) Data collection systems used to confirm opioid use by individuals who have been arrested or hospitalized.

(J) A requirement for an Advisory Committee on Substance Use Disorder Treatment Standards, to be established not later than 120 days after the issuance of the plan, to promulgate model evidence-based standards for substance use disorder treatment and recovery facilities which—

(i) shall be chaired by the Director;

(ii) shall include as members of the advisory committee representatives of the relevant National Drug Control Program Agencies;

(iii) may include as members of the advisory committee government regulators, State representatives, consumer representatives, substance use disorder treatment providers, recovery residence owners and operators, and purchasers of substance use disorder treatments; and

(iv) shall ensure such model standards are promulgated no later than 2 years after the date of the issuance of the plan.

(c) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the results of the initiatives conducted under subsection (b)(2)(I) and may include recommendations based on such results.

(d) **GRANT REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees an assessment on the feasibility of block grants of Federal funding to States.

#### SEC. 5. EXCEPTIONS AND RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.

(a) **INAPPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN PROGRAMS.**—This Act, and the amendments made by this Act, shall not apply to the National Intelligence Program and the Military Intelligence Program, unless such program or an element of such program is designated as a National Drug Control Program—

(1) by the President; or

(2) jointly by—

(A) in the case of the National Intelligence Program, the Director and the Director of National Intelligence; or

(B) in the case of the Military Intelligence Program, the Director, the Director of National Intelligence, and the Secretary of Defense.

(b) **CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.**—Any contents of any report required under this Act, or the amendments made by this Act, that involve information properly classified under criteria established by an Executive order shall be presented to Congress separately from the rest of such report.

(c) **USE OF EXISTING RESOURCES.**—To the extent practicable, the Director and the head of each agency shall use existing procedures and systems to carry out agency requirements under this Act, and the amendments made by this Act.

#### SEC. 6. GAO AUDIT AND REPORTS.

Not later than three and six years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall—

(1) conduct an audit relating to the programs and operations of—

(A) the Office; and

(B) certain programs within the Office, including—

(i) the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program;

(ii) the Drug-Free Communities Program; and

(iii) the campaign under section 1009(d) of title 31, as added by section 2(c); and

(2) submit to the Director and the appropriate congressional committees a report containing an evaluation of and recommendations on the—

(A) policies and activities of the programs and operations subject to the audit;

(B) economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in the administration of the reviewed programs and operations; and

(C) policy or management changes needed to prevent and detect fraud and abuse in such programs and operations.

#### SEC. 7. REPEALS.

(a) REPEALS TO THE LAW.—The following provisions are repealed:

(1) The Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 1998 (Public Law 105–277; 21 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.).

(2) Chapter 2 of the National Narcotics Leadership Act of 1988 (Public Law 100–690; 21 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.).

(3) Section 203 of the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 2006 (Public Law 109–469; 21 U.S.C. 1708a).

(4) Section 1105 of the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 2006 (Public Law 109–469; 21 U.S.C. 1701 note).

(5) Section 1110 of the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 2006 (Public Law 109–469; 21 U.S.C. 1705 note).

(6) Section 1110A of the Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 2006 (Public Law 109–469; 21 U.S.C. 1705 note).

(7) Section 4 of Public Law 107–82 (21 U.S.C. 1521 note).

(b) EFFECT ON THE CODE.—The Law Revision Counsel shall ensure that the website and any other publication issued after the date of the enactment of this Act for the Office of the Law Revision Counsel shows that the laws reflected in subchapter II of chapter 20 and chapter 22 of nonpositive law title 21 of the United States Code have been repealed.

#### SEC. 8. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act, the terms “agency”, “appropriate congressional committees”, “Director”, “drug”, “emerging drug threat”, “illicit drug use”, “illicit drugs”, “National Drug Control Program Agencies”, and “Office” have the meaning given those terms in section 1001 of title 31, United States Code, as added by section 2(c).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. MITCHELL) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 5925, introduced by the gentleman from South Carolina, Chairman GOWDY.

The Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing, or CRISIS, Act is a bill to reauthorize the Office of National Drug Control. This relatively small office plays an important role in coordinating the Nation's drug control efforts. The office has become increasingly important as we look to engage governmentwide initiatives to combat the opioid epidemic.

Over the past 2 weeks, we have passed many good bills to help combat the opioid epidemic. Each will move us closer to ending the opioid crisis.

This bill is a critical piece of the puzzle. It ensures Federal, State, and local governments work with each other and other nongovernmental entities to achieve the results we are seeking. Congress needs to provide the Office of National Drug Control the authorities it needs to lead the effort to combat the opioid crisis. The CRISIS Act does just that.

The CRISIS Act updates and reaffirms the office's important role. That includes strengthening certain authorities to empower the office in the midst of this devastating epidemic.

The opioid epidemic has impacted nearly every community across the Nation. One person dies about every 4 hours from an opioid overdose. One of the most important aspects of this bill is a comprehensive response plan. It is not enough to simply have a plan. We need action and follow-through to end the opioid crisis.

The CRISIS Act requires measurable objectives so we know whether the programs we are funding are working.

Accountability is at the heart of this bill. The CRISIS Act requires the Office of National Drug Control to develop a national strategy to be carried out by a wide array of agencies. It then requires the office to oversee and coordinate implementation of that strategy each year. It requires the office to measure whether the agencies are meeting the specific goals of that strategy.

Our colleagues in the House and Senate are advancing a number of bills to address the opioid epidemic, and new initiatives are being announced daily. I offered an amendment in committee markup, with the support of Congressman RASKIN, which brings in requirements from the CODE RED Act, sponsored by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. ROTHFUS).

The CODE RED Act and the amendment require a coordinated tracking system of the Federal funding to be put toward drug control efforts throughout the country. This system includes a central repository of grants related to substance abuse treatment, prevention, and enforcement, and to identify those which are duplicative.

The government needs to know exactly what it is spending, where it is going, and if it is working. This is not the time to invest in ineffective strategies. We need to identify resources that work and apply Federal resources accordingly.

I would like to thank my fellow committee members for accepting the amendment, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. RASKIN) for offering it with me, and, of course, Mr. ROTHFUS for all the work he has done in finding an effective approach to tackle the opioid crisis.

There are many bills and proposals that seek to end the opioid crisis, but it will only be possible with commitment to a coordinated strategy and a unified approach. This bill, through the reauthorization of the Office of National Drug Control, will provide the coordination, strategy, and unified approach we need.

This is an important and timely bill. I urge my colleagues to support it, and I reserve the balance of my time.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM,

Washington, DC, June 5, 2018.

Hon. EDWARD ROYCE,

Chairman, Committee on Foreign Affairs, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: On May 23, 2018, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform ordered reported H.R. 5925, the “Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act,” with an amendment, by voice vote. The bill was referred primarily to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, with additional referrals to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, Foreign Affairs, the Judiciary, Intelligence, and Appropriations.

I ask you allow the Committee on Foreign Affairs to be discharged from further consideration of the bill so it may be scheduled for floor consideration by the Majority Leader. This discharge in no way affects your jurisdiction over the subject matter of the bill, and it will not serve as precedent for future referrals. In addition, should a conference on the bill be necessary, I would support your request to have the Committee on Foreign Affairs represented on the conference committee. Finally, I would be pleased to include this letter and any response in the bill report filed by the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, as well as in the Congressional Record during floor consideration, to memorialize our understanding.

Thank you for your consideration of my request.

Sincerely,

TREY GOWDY.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS, Washington, DC, June 5, 2018.

Hon. TREY GOWDY,

Chairman, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN GOWDY: Thank you for consulting with the Committee on Foreign Affairs on H.R. 5925, the Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act, and for accommodating appropriate edits in the amended text of the bill.

I agree that the Foreign Affairs Committee may be discharged from further action on this bill, subject to the understanding that this waiver does not in any way diminish or alter the jurisdiction of the Foreign Affairs Committee, or prejudice its jurisdictional prerogatives on this bill or similar legislation in the future. The Committee also reserves the right to seek an appropriate number of conferees to any House-Senate conference involving this bill, and would appreciate your support for any such request.

I ask that you place our exchange of letters into the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. I appreciate your cooperation, and look forward to continuing to work with you as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

EDWARD R. ROYCE,  
*Chairman.*

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM,  
*Washington, DC, June 8, 2018.*

Hon. DEVIN NUNES,  
*Chairman, Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: On May 23, 2018, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform ordered reported H.R. 5925, the "Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act," with an amendment, by voice vote. The bill was referred primarily to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, with additional referrals to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, Foreign Affairs, the Judiciary, Intelligence, and Appropriations.

I ask you allow the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence to be discharged from further consideration of the bill so it may be scheduled for floor consideration by the Majority Leader. This discharge in no way affects your jurisdiction over the subject matter of the bill, and it will not serve as precedent for future referrals. In addition, should a conference on the bill be necessary, I would support your request to have the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence represented on the conference committee. Finally, I would be pleased to include this letter and any response in the bill report filed by the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, as well as in the Congressional Record during floor consideration, to memorialize our understanding.

Thank you for your consideration of my request.

Sincerely,

TREY GOWDY.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE,  
*Washington, DC, June 11, 2018.*

Hon. TREY GOWDY,  
*Chairman, Committee on Government and Oversight Reform, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: On May 23, 2018, H.R. 5925, the "Coordinate Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act" was additionally referred to the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

In order to expedite the House's consideration of the measure, and in response to your letter dated June 8, 2018, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence will forgo consideration of the measure. This courtesy is conditioned on our mutual understanding and agreement that it will in no way diminish or alter the jurisdiction of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence with respect to any future jurisdictional claim over the subject matter contained in the resolution or any similar measure. I appreciate your support to the appointment of Members from the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence to any House-Senate conference on this legislation.

I would appreciate you including our exchange of letters in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of H.R. 5925. Thank you for the cooperative spirit in which you have worked regarding this and

other matters between our respective committees.

Sincerely,

DEVIN NUNES,  
*Chairman.*

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM,  
*Washington, DC, June 18, 2018.*

Hon. RODNEY FRELINGHUYSEN,  
*Chairman, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: On May 23, 2018, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform ordered reported H.R. 5925, the Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act, with an amendment, by voice vote. The bill was referred primarily to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, with additional referrals to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, Foreign Affairs, the Judiciary, Intelligence, and Appropriations.

I ask you allow the Committee on Appropriations to be discharged from further consideration of the bill so it may be scheduled for floor consideration by the Majority Leader. This discharge in no way affects your jurisdiction over the subject matter of the bill, and it will not serve as precedent for future referrals. In addition, should a conference on the bill be necessary, I would support your request to have the Committee on Appropriations represented on the conference committee. Finally, I would be pleased to include this letter and any response in the bill report filed by the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, as well as in the Congressional Record during floor consideration, to memorialize our understanding.

Thank you for your consideration of my request.

Sincerely,

TREY GOWDY.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS,  
*Washington, DC, June 19, 2018.*

Hon. TREY GOWDY,  
*Chairman, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your letter regarding H.R. 5925, the Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act. As you know, certain provisions of the bill fall within the jurisdiction of Committee on Appropriations.

So that H.R. 5925 may proceed expeditiously to the House Floor, I agree to discharging the Committee on Appropriations from further consideration thereof, subject to the understanding that forgoing formal consideration of the bill will not prejudice the Committee on Appropriations with respect to any future jurisdictional claim. The Committee on Appropriations also reserves the right to seek an appropriate number of conferees to any House-Senate conference on this or related legislation.

I request you include our exchange of letters in the bill report filed by your Committee, as well as in the Congressional Record during consideration of the bill on the floor.

Sincerely,

RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM,  
*Washington, DC, June 19, 2018.*

Hon. BOB GOODLATTE,  
*Chairman, Committee on the Judiciary, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: On May 23, 2018, the Committee on Oversight and Government

Reform ordered reported H.R. 5925, the Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act, with an amendment, by voice vote. The bill was referred primarily to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, with additional referrals to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, Foreign Affairs, the Judiciary, Intelligence, and Appropriations.

I ask you allow the Committee on the Judiciary to be discharged from further consideration of the bill so it may be scheduled for floor consideration by the Majority Leader. This discharge in no way affects your jurisdiction over the subject matter of the bill, and it will not serve as precedent for future referrals. In addition, should a conference on the bill be necessary, I would support your request to have the Committee on the Judiciary represented on the conference committee. Finally, I would be pleased to include this letter and any response in the bill report filed by the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, as well as in the Congressional Record during floor consideration, to memorialize our understanding.

Thank you for your consideration of my request.

Sincerely,

TREY GOWDY.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM,  
*Washington, DC, June 19, 2018.*

Hon. GREG WALDEN,  
*Chairman, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: On May 23, 2018, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform ordered reported H.R. 5925, the Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act, with an amendment, by voice vote. The bill was referred primarily to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, with additional referrals to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, Foreign Affairs, the Judiciary, Intelligence, and Appropriations.

I ask you allow the Committee on Energy and Commerce to be discharged from further consideration of the bill so it may be scheduled for floor consideration by the Majority Leader. This discharge in no way affects your jurisdiction over the subject matter of the bill, and it will not serve as precedent for future referrals. In addition, should a conference on the bill be necessary, I would support your request to have the Committee on Energy and Commerce represented on the conference committee. Finally, I would be pleased to include this letter and any response in the bill report filed by the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, as well as in the Congressional Record during floor consideration, to memorialize our understanding.

Thank you for your consideration of my request.

Sincerely,

TREY GOWDY.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Chairman GOWDY for his leadership and for working together to craft this legislation. I thank Chairman MEADOWS and Ranking Member CONNOLLY for helping us reach the compromises that made this legislation possible.

In 1988, Mr. Speaker, Congress created the Office of National Drug Control Policy. This is the office that should be coordinating our Nation's drug control efforts and leading our response to the drug crisis, which is now,

by the way, killing 175 people per day. Let me repeat that: killing 175 people per day.

However, ONDCP is failing, just when we need it the most. In fact, an article published this week described the office this way: “empty desks, squabbles, inexperienced staff.”

The failure is glaring. For example, the office is required to produce a national drug control strategy by February 1 of each year. Two February 1sts have now come and two have gone since President Trump took office, but the Trump administration still has not come up with a solution to this most glaring and painful problem. This is simply unacceptable.

Life expectancy in this Nation is falling because we are failing to respond appropriately to this drug crisis. We urgently need to revitalize and strengthen ONDCP.

H.R. 5925, the CRISIS Act, would make changes we need and would improve our drug control efforts if it is fully funded and implemented—fully funded and implemented.

□ 1215

It would expand the office’s authority to direct resources where they are most needed. It would strengthen data collection and analysis to help us develop the real-time monitoring we need to understand the rapidly changing dimensions of the opioid crisis.

The bill incorporates several proposals I have offered to give ONDCP new authorities to coordinate critical aspects of our response to the crisis.

I have often said that we must go about the business of being effective and efficient in what we do. These are examples of things that will make ONDCP more effective and efficient in addressing this problem.

For example, for the first time ever, it would create a treatment coordinator within the office responsible for coordinating efforts to expand the availability and quality of evidence-based treatment.

It would also require the office to develop and promulgate model standards for treatment facilities. Right now, too many so-called treatment facilities are taking advantage of desperate families, charging them outlandish prices, bilking insurance companies, but failing to help those in need. As a matter of fact, many people are going into these places seeking to get treatment and come out worse off because they are not being properly treated.

Remember what I said: We want to be effective and efficient in what we do, and we want to make sure that taxpayers’ dollars are spent appropriately.

I believe that if H.R. 5925 is enacted and fully implemented, it will improve our drug control efforts, and, for that reason, I am supporting the measure.

However, I want to be real clear about something. Even if this bill is enacted and fully implemented, the drug crisis we are facing will likely get worse. That is because this bill does

not provide the resources we need to treat millions of Americans who have the disease of addiction. According to the President’s own commission on opioids, only 10 percent of individuals who need treatment for substance abuse disorders are getting it.

No one believes that we can fight cancer, or heart disease, or Alzheimer’s if we don’t treat people who have these diseases. The same is true here.

Imagine someone going into a doctor’s office and the doctor says: Well, you are the 10th person, and you are lucky to get treatment. But the other nine who came before you won’t get any treatment.

We will not stand for that. If we don’t treat people who are addicted, we will not solve the drug crisis.

We may pass this bill today, celebrate the passage, and say we did a great job. We may work with the Senate to send it to the President. The President might even sign it. But then, next year’s overdose fatality numbers will come out. They will show that deaths are continuing to rise. They will show emergency room visits increasing again. They will show the economic effects of a crisis that is already costing us \$500 billion a year continuing to grow.

It doesn’t have to be this way. No, it doesn’t have to be this way. We don’t have to just nibble at the edges or rearrange the deck chairs on the Titanic.

I have introduced legislation called the CARE Act with Senator ELIZABETH WARREN, modeled directly on the highly successful Ryan White Act, which Congress passed with bipartisan support in 1990 to address the AIDS crisis.

The CARE Act would provide \$10 billion a year in stable, predictable Federal funding to States, counties, and other frontline responders. The CARE Act would provide funds for research to train health professionals to diagnose and treat addiction. It would also provide half a billion dollars per year to purchase the lifesaving drug naloxone at discounted prices and distribute it to first responders, public health agencies, and the public.

I offered the CARE Act as an amendment to this measure considered this week. My amendment was paid for by rolling back just a portion of the tax cuts given by the Republican-controlled Congress to the Nation’s largest corporations, including the drug companies who have used their tax breaks to buy back billions of dollars’ worth of stock rather than lower drug prices. By the way, there is something wrong with that picture.

But the Republican leadership did not make my amendment in order. The House never considered it.

I support H.R. 5925 and our critical efforts to ensure that we have an office that will effectively and efficiently coordinate our drug control efforts. However, what our Nation truly needs is for us to show the political courage to choose to save the lives of our fellow Americans by adequately funding treatment.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the support of my colleague for this bipartisan effort to address the opioid crisis in this country. I also appreciate his emphasis on effectively and efficiently addressing that crisis.

I will note that in the last appropriation cycle, we increased funding for opioid treatment by almost \$4 billion in this year alone. There is much work to be done; it is a crisis; and we will work together to address that crisis.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. MEADOWS), the cosponsor of the bill.

Mr. MEADOWS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his leadership on this particular initiative in managing this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 5925, the CRISIS Act, a bill I cosponsored with Chairman GOWDY; my good friend, the ranking member, Mr. CUMMINGS; as well as the ranking member of the Government Operations Subcommittee and good friend, Mr. CONNOLLY.

I want to begin by thanking my colleagues for coming together on this bipartisan bill. H.R. 5925 reauthorizes the Office of National Drug Control Policy and gives the office greater responsibility by enhancing the office’s authority to coordinate and oversee the national drug control program at the national, State, and local levels.

It provides communities with a process for sharing information and best practices, and implements recommendations from the President’s opioid commission.

It requires an opioid response plan to coordinate with the private sector the implementation of the commission’s recommendations and to facilitate the development of treatment and abuse-deterrent products.

Finally, this bill designates the United States Postal Service as a national drug control program agency and requires the office to coordinate actions to reduce the flow of illicit drugs entering the country through the mail.

The ongoing opioid epidemic has taken countless lives, touching literally every community in the country. The national response to this epidemic involves Federal, State, and local governments. It involves the treatment community, the medical community, the law enforcement community, and places of worship.

As we mobilize a national response, we must ensure that every effort to combat this epidemic works and works well. We have all heard too many tragic, life-changing, and, far too often, life-ending stories of opioid addiction.

There is no easy way to end this epidemic. By establishing an effective national response to this epidemic, this bill will support the people and the communities struggling with this addiction.



Mr. Speaker, it is the very lives of our friends, our neighbors, and our family members that depend on us.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

I would also like to go a little bit further, though, because so many times, when we come together in a bipartisan way, it is Members of Congress who are up here taking the credit for the hard work of a group that actually, behind the scenes, are doing the work. I thank all of the majority staff—Katy Rother, Richard Burkard, Betsy Ferguson, and Sarah Vance; and to Ranking Member CUMMINGS' staff, for all of their work and dedication as well. And I also thank Sally Walker from the Office of Legislative Counsel. Many times, they do the work on the bills behind the scene and nobody ever sees them or thanks them. So, on this day, I want to make sure that we acknowledge their effort, that it doesn't go unnoticed.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to take a moment, before I yield to my distinguished colleague, Mr. CONNOLLY, to echo what my good friend just said about our staffs.

I, too, thank our staffs for all that they have done. So often they are unseen, unnoticed, and feel, I am sure, unappreciated and unapplauded. But our staffs worked very, very hard on this, and I, too, give the ultimate applause to them. I want to thank you for recognizing them.

Mr. Speaker, I also thank Mr. MEADOWS for working so hard to bring all this together.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 6 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CONNOLLY), the ranking member of the Oversight and Government Reform Committee, a man who has just been tireless on this issue and so many others, but who has done such a phenomenal job.

Mr. CONNOLLY. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend from Michigan (Mr. MITCHELL) and my good friend from North Carolina (Mr. MEADOWS) for their leadership. But I particularly want to thank my good friend from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS).

Mr. CUMMINGS is not only a legislative expert, but he is also a moral voice. He speaks with clarity and eloquence, as he did yesterday, about innocent children being detained at the southern border as an un-American activity, something that does not reflect our values. And today, he is lending that same moral voice to the crisis that afflicts so many communities in America: the opioid addiction crisis.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act, or the CRISIS Act, to reauthorize and revamp the Office of National Drug Control Policy.

The chairman and ranking member of our full committee worked closely together and with committee members to produce a bipartisan bill that was

reported out of the committee unanimously.

I am proud to be an original cosponsor of the CRISIS Act, which not only reauthorizes ONDCP, but also strengthens that office so that it has the resources it needs to coordinate an effective response to the opioid crisis. And that is something Mr. CUMMINGS stressed. It is not good enough to do something symbolic. We have to ensure it is effective. ONDCP's responsibilities are to produce a national drug control strategy.

□ 1230

Congress created it in 1988 at the height of the crack cocaine epidemic to oversee Federal drug control efforts and to advise the President and the administration on drug control policies and strategies.

It was designed to oversee the National Drug Control Budget to carry out the goals and policies of that strategy, and to evaluate the effectiveness of programs across the Federal Government in implementing the strategy, and to oversee the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas and Drug Free Communities initiatives.

Congress last authorized the ONDCP in 2006. The authorization expired in 2010. That is 8 years ago. Since then, we have developed an opioid crisis the magnitude of which we have never seen in America.

While ONDCP has continued to receive annual appropriations, it is important that Congress reauthorize this program and reflect the crisis we are in.

The opioid epidemic that is currently ravaging communities has taken hundreds of thousands of lives and shows no signs of abating. Every day, 115 Americans die from an opioid overdose.

The epidemic is destroying families, overwhelming first responders, straining public health, criminal justice, and child welfare resources.

This epidemic doesn't care where you live or what political party you belong to. The crisis has touched every community and every corner of our country.

In my State, the Commonwealth of Virginia, opioid overdose deaths spiked by 40 percent to 1,133 from 2015 to 2016, and deaths from synthetic opioids rose from 263 to 692 during that time period.

Northern Virginia, where I represent the good people of Fairfax and Prince William Counties, Fairfax County, for example, reported an increase from 67 to 97 opioid-related deaths from 2015 to 2016. And Prince William County, the other county I represent, increased from 26 to 59 deaths in this time period.

Last month, Dr. Rahul Gupta, Commissioner of the West Virginia Bureau of Public Health, testified before our committee, and he said that the crisis will get worse before it gets better. That was not welcome news.

Yet despite the President's pledges and his own Commission on Combating Drug Addiction and the Opioid Crisis

recommendation that he declare an opioid crisis national emergency, the President, President Trump, took the lesser step of declaring a public health emergency last October.

Seventeen months into this administration, ONDCP is still without a confirmed director and the administration has failed to produce a National Drug Control Strategy.

Instead, the President, President Trump, proposed cutting ONDCP's budget by more than 90 percent. Thank goodness Congress, on a bipartisan basis, did not heed that recommendation.

Just earlier this week, the acting head of the Drug Enforcement Agency announced he is going to be retiring at the end of the month, stating that running that agency in an acting capacity for so long had become increasingly challenging.

As this administration continues to fail to address the opioid epidemic, it is imperative that we take immediate and decisive action on a bipartisan basis.

Reauthorizing the Office of National Drug Control Policy with enhanced authorities will improve the coordination and effectiveness of Federal Government drug control efforts. It is one of the many steps we can take to address the opioid epidemic. It won't solve everything, but it is a very important first step.

I hope the administration will join us in fighting this crisis with real solutions and not empty rhetoric.

Mr. Speaker, I hope my colleagues will join us in supporting this important bill.

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the support of my colleague from Virginia (Mr. CONNOLLY) for this bipartisan effort. I certainly hope the American people have the opportunity to see this effort as we address this crisis on a bipartisan basis. Far too frequently, they see conflict and disagreement put forth by media and other sources, but there is a great deal we work together on, and I think we need to stress that as we talk to people about this crisis.

Mr. Speaker, in a moment, I will yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. ROTHFUS), but first let me give him credit, because he is the sponsor of legislation on which my amendment was based, H.R. 5980, the CODE RED Act.

The CODE RED Act, like the amendment I offered with Mr. RASKIN in committee, requires a coordinated tracking system of Federal funding put towards drug control efforts throughout our country. It is a smart idea, especially given the opioid epidemic in our Nation and the costs of it, and I strongly supported it.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. ROTHFUS).

Mr. ROTHFUS. Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. MITCHELL for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 5925, the Coordinated Response through Interagency Strategy and Information Sharing Act, or the CRISIS Act.

This bill reauthorizes the Office of National Drug Control Policy, which has not been reauthorized in a very long time. It makes needed overhauls and updates to the office and even streamlines the name of the office to the Office of National Drug Control, or ONDC.

Mr. Speaker, I commend Chairman GOWDY and Ranking Member CUMMINGS for working in a bipartisan manner. I also thank Representative MITCHELL and Representative RASKIN for working with me to incorporate the first two recommendations of the President's opioid commission into the CRISIS Act.

I introduced a separate bill, the Coordinated Overdose and Drug Epidemic Response to the Emergency Declaration Act, or CODE RED Act, that authorizes ONDC to address those commission recommendations.

ONDC will now be authorized to implement a coordinated tracking system of all federally-funded initiatives and grants. This will help identify barriers and gaps in Federal efforts responding to the opioid crisis and it identifies places where efforts are being duplicated and potentially wasted. This legislation improves the grant application process by standardizing and streamlining it.

The mission here is to deploy Federal resources to localities that need them quickly and efficiently instead of localities wasting valuable time and resources filling out various agency applications.

More broadly, the CRISIS Act will foster better government coordination and strategic planning. ONDC has cross-agency jurisdiction to coordinate the efforts among different agencies, like HHS and DOJ. When agencies work together, the force-multiplying effect can make a huge difference.

We are making progress on the opioid crisis. Bipartisan bills like the CRISIS Act will help win this fight and help the people engage in the fight, like the North Hills of Pittsburgh's Tracy Lawless.

Tracy participated in the President's Commission on Combating Drug Addiction and continues to help find solutions back in Pennsylvania.

Mr. Speaker, I thank her and everyone else who is making a difference.

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, I want to make the gentleman from Maryland aware that I have no further speakers and I am prepared to close.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, I must point out that my Republican colleagues say they want to address the opioid crisis, yet they are standing silent as the Trump administration actively tries to destroy the Affordable Care Act protec-

tions for people with pre-existing conditions, which, by the way, includes substance use disorders.

If we aren't going to take available steps to expand access to addiction treatment, at least we should all agree that we shouldn't roll back protections that prevent insurance companies from discriminating against people with substance use disorders. Therefore, we should all be working to protect the Affordable Care Act from the Trump administration's effort to destroy the essential protections it provides.

Again, I remind all of us that ONDCP is a very important entity and it has a job to do, and it must be properly funded.

A lot of people, when they give statistics about opioids and drugs, Mr. Speaker, they find themselves speaking about the dead. Well, I am here to tell you that there are pipelines to death, and those are the people who are addicted now. Those are the ones who are thinking about it, about to start using those drugs. So we must address not only the deaths and the statistics, but we must address treatment that is effective and efficient.

Mr. Speaker, again, I am urging my colleagues to vote for this bill, but I want it to be clear that we should not dust our hands off and say it is done.

It is not done. There is so much more to do.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all Members to vote for this legislation, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate my colleague's support of the bill. In my brief time here, a year and a half, it has become abundantly clear to me that rarely do we get to dust off our hands and say we are done around here.

It has also become clear to me that the debate of the bill rarely stays on the topic of the bill or solely on the topic of the bill. You see, the ACA, the Affordable Care Act, is not the sole approach to addressing healthcare issues in this country, preexisting conditions, or the preexisting conditions that are affected by drug abuse.

I believe when we passed the American Health Care Act in this House, that that addressed preexisting conditions, treatment for substance abuse, and, using the words of my colleague, did so more effectively and efficiently than the Affordable Care Act does now.

We clearly disagree on that. I respect that, and will continue to work on it.

Today, we are dealing with this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support passage of this bill, because I believe that H.R. 5925 is an important step not only in reauthorizing the Office of National Drug Control, but also in providing additional resources to do so.

Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption the bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MEADOWS). The question is on the mo-

tion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. MITCHELL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 5925, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### OVERDOSE PREVENTION AND PATIENT SAFETY ACT

Mr. BURGESS. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 949, I call up the bill (H.R. 6082) to amend the Public Health Service Act to protect the confidentiality of substance use disorder patient records, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 949, an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 115-75 is adopted, and the bill, as amended, is considered read.

The text of the bill, as amended, is as follows:

H.R. 6082

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

*This Act may be cited as the "Overdose Prevention and Patient Safety Act".*

#### SEC. 2. CONFIDENTIALITY AND DISCLOSURE OF RECORDS RELATING TO SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER.

(a) CONFORMING CHANGES RELATING TO SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER.—Subsections (a) and (h) of section 543 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 290dd-2) are each amended by striking "substance abuse" and inserting "substance use disorder".

(b) DISCLOSURES TO COVERED ENTITIES CONSISTENT WITH HIPAA.—Paragraph (2) of section 543(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 290dd-2(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(D) To a covered entity or to a program or activity described in subsection (a), for the purposes of treatment, payment, and health care operations, so long as such disclosure is made in accordance with HIPAA privacy regulation. Any redisclosure of information so disclosed may only be made in accordance with this section."

(c) DISCLOSURES OF DE-IDENTIFIED HEALTH INFORMATION TO PUBLIC HEALTH AUTHORITIES.—Paragraph (2) of section 543(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 290dd-2(b)), as amended by subsection (b), is further amended by adding at the end the following:

"(E) To a public health authority, so long as such content meets the standards established in section 164.514(b) of title 45, Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations) for creating de-identified information."

(d) DEFINITIONS.—Subsection (b) of section 543 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 290dd-2) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(3) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection:

"(A) COVERED ENTITY.—The term 'covered entity' has the meaning given such term for purposes of HIPAA privacy regulation.

"(B) HEALTH CARE OPERATIONS.—The term 'health care operations' has the meaning given such term for purposes of HIPAA privacy regulation."