

Donnelly, Ms. Hirono, Mr. Kaine, Mr. King, Mr. Heinrich, Ms. Warren, Mr. Peters.

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS: Mr. Brown, Mr. Reed, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Tester, Mr. Warner, Ms. Warren, Ms. Heitkamp, Mr. Donnelly, Mr. Schatz, Mr. Van Hollen, Ms. Cortez Masto.

COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION: Mr. Nelson, Ms. Cantwell, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Schatz, Mr. Markey, Mr. Booker, Mr. Udall, Mr. Peters, Ms. Baldwin, Ms. Duckworth, Ms. Hassan, Ms. Cortez Masto.

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES: Ms. Cantwell, Mr. Wyden, Mr. Sanders, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Franken, Mr. Manchin, Mr. Heinrich, Ms. Hirono, Mr. King, Ms. Duckworth, Ms. Cortez Masto.

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS: Mr. Carper, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Merkley, Mrs. Gillibrand, Mr. Booker, Mr. Markey, Ms. Duckworth, Ms. Harris.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE: Mr. Wyden, Ms. Stabenow, Ms. Cantwell, Mr. Nelson, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Carper, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Brown, Mr. Bennet, Mr. Casey, Mr. Warner, Mrs. McCaskill.

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS: Mr. Cardin, Mr. Menendez, Mrs. Shaheen, Mr. Coons, Mr. Udall, Mr. Murphy, Mr. Kaine, Mr. Markey, Mr. Merkley, Mr. Booker.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH, EDUCATION, LABOR, AND PENSIONS: Mrs. Murray, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Casey, Mr. Franken, Mr. Bennet, Mr. Whitehouse, Ms. Baldwin, Mr. Murphy, Ms. Warren, Mr. Kaine, Ms. Hassan.

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS: Mrs. McCaskill, Mr. Carper, Mr. Tester, Ms. Heitkamp, Mr. Peters, Ms. Hassan, Ms. Harris.

SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE: Mr. Warner (Vice Chairman), Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Wyden, Mr. Heinrich, Mr. King, Mr. Manchin, Ms. Harris and Mr. Reed (ex officio).

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY: Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Durbin, Mr. Whitehouse, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. Franken, Mr. Coons, Mr. Blumenthal, Ms. Hirono.

COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET: Mr. Sanders, Mrs. Murray, Mr. Wyden, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Warner, Mr. Merkley, Mr. Kaine, Mr. King, Mr. Van Hollen, Ms. Harris.

COMMITTEE ON RULES AND ADMINISTRATION: Mr. Schumer, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Durbin, Mr. Udall, Mr. Warner, Mr. Leahy, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. King, Ms. Cortez Masto.

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP: Mrs. Shaheen, Ms. Cantwell, Mr. Cardin, Ms. Heitkamp, Mr. Markey, Mr. Booker, Mr. Coons, Ms. Hirono, Ms. Duckworth.

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS' AFFAIRS: Mr. Tester, Mrs. Murray, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Brown, Mr. Blumenthal, Ms. Hirono, Mr. Manchin.

SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON AGING: Mr. Casey, Mr. Nelson, Mr. Whitehouse, Mrs. Gillibrand, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Donnelly, Ms. Warren, Ms. Cortez Masto.

JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE: Mr. Heinrich, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. Peters, Ms. Hassan.

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ETHICS: Mr. Coons (Vice Chairman), Mr. Schatz, Mrs. Shaheen.

COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS: Mr. Udall (Vice Chairman), Ms. Cantwell, Mr. Tester, Mr. Franken, Mr. Schatz, Ms. Heitkamp, Ms. Cortez Masto.

## AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 8. Mr. Kaine (for himself, Mr. Murphy, Mr. Durbin, Mr. Carper, Mr. Udall, Mr. Booker, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Brown, Mrs. Shaheen, Mr. Markey, Ms. Baldwin, Mr. Van Hollen, Mr. Hassan, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Casey, Ms. Stabenow, Ms. Warren, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. Franken, Mrs. Murray, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Coons, Mr. Sanders, Ms. Hirono, Mr. King, Mr. Heinrich, Mr. Wyden, and Mr. Merkley) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026.

SA 9. Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself, Mr. Franken, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Udall, Mr. Durbin, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Van Hollen, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. King, Mr. Brown, Ms. Baldwin, and Mrs. Shaheen) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 10. Mr. MENENDEZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 11. Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself and Mr. VAN HOLLEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 12. Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. Carper, Mr. Casey, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Markey, Ms. Hassan, Mr. Durbin, Mr. Booker, Mr. Brown, Mr. Coons, Mrs. Gillibrand, Mr. Heinrich, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Murphy, Mr. Reed, Mr. Whitehouse, Mrs. Feinstein, Ms. Duckworth, and Mr. Franken) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 13. Mr. NELSON (for himself, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Van Hollen, Mr. Udall, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Casey, Mr. Leahy, Mr. King, and Ms. Klobuchar) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 14. Mr. VAN HOLLEN (for himself, Mr. Warner, and Mr. Bennet) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 15. Mr. VAN HOLLEN (for himself and Mr. Blumenthal) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 16. Mr. VAN HOLLEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 17. Mr. BLUMENTHAL (for himself, Mr. Udall, Mr. Coons, Mr. Markey, Mr. Van Hollen, Mrs. Gillibrand, Mrs. Murray, Mrs. Feinstein, Ms. Klobuchar, and Ms. Warren) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 18. Ms. BALDWIN (for herself, Mr. Warner, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Kaine, Mr. Coons, Mrs. McCaskill, Mr. Van Hollen, Mr. King, and Mr. Wyden) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 19. Mr. SANDERS (for himself, Mr. Brown, Mr. Booker, Mrs. Gillibrand, Ms. Stabenow, Mrs. Shaheen, Mr. Udall, Mr.

WHITEHOUSE, Ms. Baldwin, Mr. Markey, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Van Hollen, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Reed, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Merkley, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Casey, Mrs. Feinstein, Ms. Hassan, Mr. Coons, and Ms. Klobuchar) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra.

SA 20. Ms. HIRONO (for herself, Mr. Donnelly, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Cardin, and Mr. Van Hollen) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra.

SA 21. Mr. PETERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

## TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 8. Mr. Kaine (for himself, Mr. Murphy, Mr. Durbin, Mr. Carper, Mr. Udall, Mr. Booker, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Brown, Mrs. Shaheen, Mr. Markey, Ms. Baldwin, Mr. Van Hollen, Ms. Hassan, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Casey, Ms. Stabenow, Ms. Warren, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. Franken, Mrs. Murray, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Coons, Mr. Sanders, Ms. Hirono, Mr. King, Mr. Heinrich, Mr. Wyden, and Mr. Merkley) proposed an amendment to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

### SEC. 4. DON'T MAKE AMERICA SICK AGAIN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any legislation that makes America sick again, as described in subsection (b).

(b) LEGISLATION MAKING AMERICA SICK AGAIN.—For purposes of subsection (a), legislation that makes America sick again refers to any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that the Congressional Budget Office determines would—

(1) reduce the number of Americans enrolled in public or private health insurance coverage, as determined based on the March 2016 updated baseline budget projections by the Congressional Budget Office;

(2) increase health insurance premiums or total out-of-pocket health care costs for Americans with private health insurance; or

(3) reduce the scope and scale of benefits covered by private health insurance, as compared to the benefits Americans would have received pursuant to the requirements under title I of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148; 124 Stat. 130) and the amendments made by that title.

(c) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—This section may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under this section.

SA 9. Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself, Mr. Franken, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Udall, Mr. Durbin, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Van Hollen, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. King, Mr. Brown, Ms. Baldwin, and Mrs. Shaheen) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed

by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following:

**SEC. 3. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RELATING TO THE REPEAL OF THE MEDICARE PART D NONINTERFERENCE CLAUSE.**

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to the repeal of the noninterference clause under the Medicare part D prescription drug program in order to allow the Secretary of Health and Human Services to negotiate for the best possible price for prescription drugs by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2017 through 2021 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2017 through 2026.

**SA 10.** Mr. MENENDEZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

**SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LEGISLATION THAT WOULD AFFECT MEDICAID ENROLLMENT, BENEFITS, OR STATE SPENDING.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would affect the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.) unless such legislation receives certification from the Congressional Budget Office and the Chief Actuary of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services that the legislation would not result in—

- (1) a decrease in enrollment in such program;
- (2) a reduction in the benefits offered under such program, including benefits offered by States as optional additional services; or
- (3) an increase in State spending under such program.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**SA 11.** Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself and Mr. VAN HOLLEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary

levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

**SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LEGISLATION THAT WOULD REDUCE MEDICAID BENEFITS.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would affect the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.) unless such legislation receives certification from the Congressional Budget Office and the Chief Actuary of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services that the legislation would not result in a reduction of the benefits provided under such program, including benefits that are offered by a State as an optional additional service.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**SA 12.** Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. CARPER, Mr. CASEY, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. MARKEY, Ms. HASSAN, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. BROWN, Mr. COONS, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. HEINRICH, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. REED, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Ms. DUCKWORTH, and Mr. FRANKEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

**SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LEGISLATION THAT WOULD PENALIZE MEDICAID EXPANSION STATES.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would affect the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.) unless such legislation receives certification from the Congressional Budget Office that the legislation would not result in—

- (1) decreased enrollment in such program in States which have opted to expand eligibility for medical assistance under such program for low-income, non-elderly individuals under the eligibility option established by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act under section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.); or
- (2) increased State spending on such program in such States.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**SA 13.** Mr. NELSON (for himself, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. UDALL, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. CASEY, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. KING, and Ms. KLOBUCHAR) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

**SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LEGISLATION THAT WOULD REPEAL THE HEALTH REFORMS THAT CLOSED THE PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERAGE GAP UNDER MEDICARE.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would repeal health reform legislation that closed the coverage gap in the Medicare prescription drug program under part D of title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w-101 et seq.).

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**SA 14.** Mr. VAN HOLLEN (for himself, Mr. WARNER, and Mr. BENNET) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 49, strike lines 4 through 11.

**SA 15.** Mr. VAN HOLLEN (for himself and Mr. BLUMENTHAL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

**SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LEGISLATION THAT WOULD REDUCE THE PREMIUM TAX CREDITS PROVIDED BY THE PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would reduce the premium tax credits provided by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling

of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**SA 16.** Mr. VAN HOLLEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Strike title II.

**SA 17.** Mr. BLUMENTHAL (for himself, Mr. UDALL, Mr. COONS, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mrs. MURRAY, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, and Ms. WARREN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

**SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST REDUCING FUNDING FOR DISEASE PREVENTION EFFORTS.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would—

(1) result in a reduction or elimination of funding under section 4002 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. 300u–11);

(2) reduce the Federal resources provided to communities to invest in effective, proven prevention efforts; or

(3) increase the prevalence of disease amongst children.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**SA 18.** Ms. BALDWIN (for herself, Mr. WARNER, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. KAINE, Mr. COONS, Mrs. McCASKILL, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. KING, and Mr. WYDEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

**SEC. 4. SENATE POINT OF ORDER AGAINST RECONCILIATION LEGISLATION THAT WOULD INCREASE THE DEFICIT OR REDUCE A SURPLUS.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any reconciliation bill or reconciliation resolution, or an amendment to, motion on, conference report on, or amendment between the Houses in relation to a reconciliation bill or reconciliation resolution that would cause or increase a deficit or reduce a surplus in either of the following periods:

(1) The period of the current fiscal year, the budget year, and the ensuing 4 fiscal years following the budget year.

(2) The period of the current fiscal year, the budget year, and the ensuing 9 fiscal years following the budget year.

(b) SUPERMAJORITY WAIVER AND APPEAL IN THE SENATE.—

(1) WAIVER.—This section may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.

(2) APPEAL.—An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required in the Senate to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under this section.

(c) DETERMINATION OF BUDGET LEVELS.—For purposes of this section, the levels of deficit increases and reductions in a surplus shall be determined on the basis of estimates provided by the Committee on the Budget of the Senate.

**SA 19.** Mr. SANDERS (for himself, Mr. BROWN, Mr. BOOKER, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Ms. STABENOW, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. UDALL, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. REED, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. CASEY, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Ms. HASSAN, Mr. COONS, and Ms. KLOBUCHAR) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

**SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LEGISLATION THAT WOULD BREAK DONALD TRUMP'S PROMISE NOT TO CUT SOCIAL SECURITY, MEDICARE, OR MEDICAID.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would—

(1) result in a reduction of guaranteed benefits scheduled under title II of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 401 et seq.);

(2) increase either the early or full retirement age for the benefits described in paragraph (1);

(3) privatize Social Security;

(4) result in a reduction of guaranteed benefits for individuals entitled to, or enrolled for, benefits under the Medicare program under title XVIII of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.); or

(5) result in a reduction of benefits or eligibility for individuals enrolled in, or eligible to receive medical assistance through, a State Medicaid plan or waiver under title XIX of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.).

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**SA 20.** Ms. HIRONO (for herself, Mr. DONNELLY, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. CARDIN, and Mr. VAN HOLLEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent reso-

lution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

**SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LEGISLATION THAT WOULD PRIVATIZE MEDICARE OR LIMIT FEDERAL FUNDING FOR MEDICAID.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would—

(1) privatize the Medicare program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.) or turn the program into a voucher system;

(2) increase the eligibility age under the Medicare program; or

(3) block grant the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.), impose per capita spending caps on State Medicaid programs, or decrease coverage under such program from current levels.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**SA 21.** Mr. PETERS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 3, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2017 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2018 through 2026; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title IV, add the following:

**SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LEGISLATION THAT WOULD CAUSE VETERANS AND THEIR DEPENDENTS TO LOSE HEALTH CARE COVERAGE.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would repeal any provision in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148) prior to the enactment of a law to ensure that no veteran or dependent that gained health care coverage through such Act's Exchanges or Medicaid expansion will lose coverage.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET**

Mr. BLUNT. Mr. President, I have five requests for committees to meet during today's session of the Senate. They have the approval of the Majority and Minority leaders.