Act, a bill I introduced that saves jobs for citizens and legal workers. It requires U.S. employers to use the E-Verify system to check the work eligibility of all future hires.

A September 2017 Washington Post-ABC News poll shows that 82 percent of voters favor requiring business owners to check the immigration status of prospective employees.

E-Verify is the most popular immigration reform that reduces illegal immigration. E-Verify is a free and quick system that already is used voluntarily by employers to cover one-third of the workforce.

The Legal Workforce Act has the backing of both national business organizations and immigration enforcement groups. This bill deserves the enthusiastic support of all Members of Congress who want to put the interest of American workers first.

OPPOSING THE BILLIONAIRES-FIRST TAX PLAN

(Mrs. BEATTY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. BEATTY. Mr. Speaker, today, House Republicans will move one step closer to giving a budget-busting \$2 trillion tax cut to the superwealthy on the backs of hardworking Americans, one step closer to increasing taxes on vulnerable Americans, one step closer to gutting Medicaid by \$1 trillion and slashing Medicare by \$500 billion, one step closer to decimating programs that help veterans, seniors, students, and families.

Many families in my home district in Ohio are struggling—struggling because they haven't had a pay increase in over a decade. That is why, instead of pushing Donald Trump's "Billionaire's First Tax Cut Plan," we need to ensure that all Americans have a chance to achieve an American Dream by expanding the earned income tax credit, child care tax credit, and low housing income tax credit, not capping retirement contributions, and eliminating tax loopholes that allow U.S. companies to profit from shipping jobs overseas.

Mr. Speaker, it is time that we provide a level playing field for all families to truly help them have a better life.

C.J. RUDOLPH INSPIRES WITH GRIT AND PERSEVERANCE

(Mr. FITZPATRICK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to share the story of C.J. Rudolph of Sellersville, Pennsylvania. C.J. was born in December 2015, at Grand View hospital. Before he was born, he tested positive for the Trisomy-21 gene, meaning that he was going to be born with Down syndrome. But his parents, Chris and Donna, had faith he would be a fighter.

From day one, C.J. battled just to stay alive. He had two heart defects and was immediately put in the neonatal ICU. As the condition became more critical, he was transferred to the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and ultimately ended up at the University of Pennsylvania hospital.

After 5 weeks at the University of Pennsylvania hospital, at the age of 58 days, C.J. underwent heart surgery performed by a miracle worker named Dr. Spray. Four days later, C.J. was finally able to come home with his parents.

Mr. Speaker, at almost 2 years old, C.J. has overcome more obstacles than many of us will face in a lifetime. Today, C.J. serves as the inspiration of the Sellersville Fire Department and continues to inspire all those he encounters with his grit and perseverance.

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 580, I call up the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 71) establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2018 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2019 through 2027, with the Senate amendment thereto, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Jody B. Hice of Georgia). The Clerk will designate the Senate amendment.

Senate amendment:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018.

(a) DECLARATION.—Congress declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018 and that this resolution sets forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2019 through 2027.

(b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents for this concurrent resolution is as follows:

Sec. 1. Concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018.

$TITLE\ I{-\!\!\!\!\!--}RECOMMENDED\ LEVELS\ AND\\AMOUNTS$

Subtitle A—Budgetary Levels in Both Houses

Sec. 1101. Recommended levels and amounts.

Sec. 1102. Major functional categories.

Subtitle B—Levels and Amounts in the Senate

Sec. 1201. Social Security in the Senate.

Sec. 1202. Postal Service discretionary administrative expenses in the Senate.

$TITLE\ II-\!\!RECONCILIATION$

Sec. 2001. Reconciliation in the Senate. Sec. 2002. Reconciliation in the House of Representatives.

TITLE III—RESERVE FUNDS

- Sec. 3001. Deficit-neutral reserve fund to protect flexible and affordable health care for all.
- Sec. 3002. Revenue-neutral reserve fund to reform the American tax system.
- Sec. 3003. Reserve fund for reconciliation legislation.
- Sec. 3004. Deficit-neutral reserve fund for extending the State Children's Health Insurance Program.

- Sec. 3005. Deficit-neutral reserve fund strengthen American families.
- Sec. 3006. Deficit-neutral reserve fund to promote innovative educational and nutritional models and systems for American students.
- Sec. 3007. Deficit-neutral reserve fund to improve the American banking system.
- Sec. 3008. Deficit-neutral reserve fund to promote American agriculture, energy, transportation, and infrastructure improvements.
- Sec. 3009. Deficit-neutral reserve fund to restore American military power.
- Sec. 3010. Deficit-neutral reserve fund for veterans and service members.
- Sec. 3011. Deficit-neutral reserve fund for public lands and the environment.
- Sec. 3012. Deficit-neutral reserve fund to secure the American border.
- Sec. 3013. Deficit-neutral reserve fund to promote economic growth, the private sector, and to enhance job creation.
- Sec. 3014. Deficit-neutral reserve fund for legislation modifying statutory budgetary controls.
- Sec. 3015. Deficit-neutral reserve fund to prevent the taxpayer bailout of pension plans.
- Sec. 3016. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to implementing work requirements in all means-tested Federal welfare programs.
- Sec. 3017. Deficit-neutral reserve fund to protect Medicare and repeal the Independent Payment Advisory Board.
- Sec. 3018. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to affordable child and dependent care.
- Sec. 3019. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to worker training programs.
- Sec. 3020. Reserve fund for legislation to provide disaster funds for relief and recovery efforts to areas devastated by hurricanes and flooding in 2017.
- Sec. 3021. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to protecting Medicare and Medicaid
- Sec. 3022. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to the provision of tax relief for families with children.
- Sec. 3023. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to the provision of tax relief for small businesses.
- Sec. 3024. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to tax relief for hard-working middle-class Americans
- Sec. 3025. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to making the American tax system simpler and fairer for all Americans.
- Sec. 3026. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to tax cuts for working American families.
- Sec. 3027. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to the provision of incentives for businesses to invest in America and create jobs in America.
- Sec. 3028. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to eliminating tax breaks for companies that ship jobs to foreign countries
- Sec. 3029. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to providing full, permanent, and mandatory funding for the payment in lieu of taxes program.
- Sec. 3030. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to tax reform which maintains the progressivity of the tax system.
- Sec. 3031. Deficit-neutral reserve fund relating to significantly improving the budget process.

TITLE IV-BUDGET PROCESS

Subtitle A—Budget Enforcement

- Sec. 4101. Point of order against advance ap- $\begin{array}{cccc} propriations \ in \ the \ Senate. \\ Sec. \ 4102. \ Point \ of \ order \ against \ certain \end{array}$
- changes in mandatory programs.
- Sec. 4103. Point of order against provisions that constitute changes in mandatory programs affecting the Crime Victims Fund.
- Sec. 4104. Point of order against designation of funds for overseas contingency operations.
- Sec. 4105. Point of order against reconciliation amendments with unknown budgetary effects.
- Sec. 4106. Pay-As-You-Go point of order in the Senate.
- Sec. 4107. Honest accounting: cost estimates for major legislation to incorporate macroeconomic effects.
- Sec. 4108. Adjustment authority for amendments to statutory caps.
- Sec. 4109. Adjustment for wildfire suppression funding in the Senate.
- Sec. 4110. Adjustment for improved oversight of spending.
- Sec. 4111. Repeal of certain limitations.
- Sec. 4112. Emergency legislation.
- Sec. 4113. Enforcement filing in the Senate. Subtitle B—Other Provisions
- Sec. 4201. Oversight of Government performance.
- Sec. 4202. Budgetary treatment of certain discretionary administrativepenses.
- Sec. 4203. Application and effect of changes in allocations and aggregates.
- Sec. 4204. Adjustments to reflect changes in concepts and definitions.
- Sec. 4205. Adjustments to reflect legislation not included in the baseline.
- Sec. 4206. Exercise of rulemaking powers.
- TITLE V—BUDGET PROCESS IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Subtitle A—Budget Enforcement

- Sec. 5101. Point of order against increasing long-term direct spending.
- Sec. 5102. Allocation for Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terrorism.
- Sec. 5103. Limitation on changes in certain $mand at ory\ programs.$
- Sec. 5104. Limitation on advance appropriations.
- Sec. 5105. Estimates of debt service costs.
- Sec. 5106. Fair-value credit estimates.
- Sec. 5107. Estimates of macroeconomic effects of $major\ legislation.$
- Sec. 5108. Adjustments for improved control of budgetary resources.
- Sec. 5109. Scoring rule for Energy Savings Performance Contracts.
- Sec. 5110. Limitation on transfers from the general fund of the Treasury to the Highway Trust Fund.
- Sec. 5111. Prohibition on use of Federal Reserve surpluses as an offset.
- Sec. 5112. Prohibition on use of guarantee fees as an offset.
- Sec. 5113. Modification of reconciliation in the House of Representatives.

Subtitle B—Other Provisions

- Sec. 5201. Budgetary treatment of administrative expenses.
- Sec. 5202. Application and effect of changes in allocations and aggregates.
- Sec. 5203. Adjustments to reflect changes in concepts and definitions.
- Sec. 5204. Adjustment for changes in the baseline.
- Sec. 5205. Application of rule regarding limits on discretionary spending.
- Sec. 5206. Enforcement filing in the House.
- Sec. 5207. Exercise of rulemaking powers.

Subtitle C-Adjustment Authority

Sec. 5301. Adjustment authority for amendments to statutory caps.

Subtitle D—Reserve Funds

- Sec. 5401. Reserve fund for investments in national infrastructure.
- Sec. 5402. Reserve fund for comprehensive tax reform.
- Sec. 5403. Reserve fund for the State Children's Health Insurance Program.
- Sec. 5404. Reserve fund for the repeal or replacement of President Obama's health care laws.

TITLE I—RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

Subtitle A—Budgetary Levels in Both Houses RECOMMENDED LEVELS 1101. AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for each of fiscal years 2018 through 2027:

- (1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:
- (A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 2018: \$2,490,936,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2019: \$2,613,683,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2020: \$2,755,381,000,000. Fiscal year 2021: \$2,883,381,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2022: \$3,015,847,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2023: \$3,162,063,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2024: \$3,306,948,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2025: \$3,463,269,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2026: \$3,654,829,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2027: \$3,825,184,000,000.
- (B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 2018: -\$167,200,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2019: -\$169,500,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2020: -\$166,000,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2021: -\$165,200,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2022: -\$166,400,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2023: -\$167,700,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2024: -\$169,800,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2025: -\$172,200,000,000. Fiscal year 2026: -\$146,400,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2027: -\$145,000,000,000.
- (2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 2018: \$3,136,721,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2019: \$3,220,542,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2020: \$3,319,687,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2021: \$3,344,861,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2022: \$3,501,231,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2023: \$3,563,762,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2024: \$3,607,752,000,000. Fiscal year 2025: \$3,753,919,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2026: \$3,851,463,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2027: \$3.942.710.000.000.
- (3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 2018: \$3,131,688,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2019: \$3,233,119,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2020: \$3,310,579,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2021: \$3,370,283,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2022: \$3,486,230,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2023: \$3,532,290,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2024: \$3,561,834,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2025: \$3,710,120,000,000. Fiscal year 2026: \$3,810,435,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2027: \$3,903,041,000,000.
- (4) DEFICITS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 2018: \$640,752,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2019: \$619,436,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2020: \$555,198,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2021: \$486,902,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2022: \$470,383,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2023: \$370,227,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2024: \$254,886,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2025: \$246,851,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2026: \$155,606,000,000.

- Fiscal year 2027: \$77,857,000,000.
- PUBLIC DEBT.—Pursuant 301(a)(5) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 632(a)(5)), the appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 2018: \$21,278,691,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2019: \$22,063,363,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2020: \$22,760,763,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2021: \$23,396,024,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2022: \$23,992,408,000,000. Fiscal year 2023: \$24,508,029,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2024: \$24,953,195,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2025: \$25,375,994,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2026: \$25,777,513,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2027: \$25,999,469,000,000.
- (6) DEBT HELD BY THE PUBLIC.—The appropriate levels of debt held by the public are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 2018: \$15,595,294,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2019: \$16,281,015,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2020: \$16,933,381,000.000.
 - Fiscal year 2021: \$17,553,196,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 2022: \$18,188,386,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2023: \$18,765,097,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2024: \$19,269,019,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025: \$19,809,369,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2026: \$20,307,841,000,000. Fiscal year 2027: \$20,780,452,000,000.

SEC. 1102. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority and outlays for fiscal years 2018 through 2027 for each major functional category are:

- (1) National Defense (050):
- Fiscal year 2018:
- (A) New budget authority, \$557,253,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$569,287,000,000. Fiscal year 2019:
- (A) New budget authority, \$570,316,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$568,721,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2020: (A) New budget authority, \$584,504,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$574,347,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:
- (A) New budget authority, \$598,730,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$584,706,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2022: (A) New budget authority, \$613,707,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$601,894,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2023: (A) New budget authority, \$629,014,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$611,538,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2024:
- (A) New budget authority, \$644,732,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$621,649,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025:
- (A) New budget authority, \$660,854,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$641,891,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2026:
- (A) New budget authority, \$678,183,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$658,658,000,000. Fiscal year 2027:
- (A) New budget authority, \$695,076,000,000. (B) Outlans. \$675,108,000,000.
- (2) International Affairs (150): Fiscal year 2018:
- (A) New budget authority, \$45,157,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$44,985,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2019: (A) New budget authority, \$43,978,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$43,114,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2020:
- (A) New budget authority, \$44,042,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$42,992,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2021: (A) New budget authority, \$44,060,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$42,702,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2022:
- (A) New budget authority, \$43,161,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$42,743,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2023:
- (A) New budget authority, \$44,183,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$43,045,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2024:
- (A) New budget authority, \$45,222,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$43,511,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025:

- (A) New budget authority, \$46,283,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$44,062,000,000. Fiscal year 2026:
- (A) New budget authority, \$47,394,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$44,844,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2027:
- (A) New budget authority, \$48,467,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$45,676,000,000.
- (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):
 - Fiscal year 2018:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$32,565,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$31,909,000,000. Fiscal year 2019:
- (A) New budget authority, \$33,238,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$32,561,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2020:
- (A) New budget authority, \$33,908,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$33,191,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:
- (A) New budget authority, \$34,637,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$33,864,000,000. Fiscal year 2022:

- Fiscal year 2022:
 (A) New budget authority, \$35,401,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$34,666,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2023:
 (A) New budget authority, \$36,165,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$35,427,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2024:

- (A) New budget authority, \$36,940,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$36,167,000,000. Fiscal year 2025:
- (A) New budget authority, \$37,775,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$36,956,000,000. Fiscal year 2026:

- Fiscal year 2026.
 (A) New budget authority, \$38,617,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$37,773,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2027:
 (A) New budget authority, \$39,464,000,000.

- (A) New budget authority, \$39,464,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$38,597,000,000.
 (4) Energy (270):
 Fiscal year 2018:
 (A) New budget authority, -\$762,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,686,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2019:

- Fiscal year 2019:
 (A) New budget authority, \$4,392,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,869,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2020:
 (A) New budget authority, \$4,737,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$3,529,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, \$4,615,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$3,558,000,000. Fiscal year 2022:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,363,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,268,000,000. Fiscal year 2023:
- (A) New budget authority, \$3,069,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$1,994,000,000.

- E) Cuttays, \$1,994,000,000.

 Fiscal year 2024:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,090,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,085,000,000.

 Fiscal year 2025:

- Fiscal year 2025.
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,106,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$2,168,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2026:
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,153,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,264,000,000. Fiscal year 2027:
- (A) New budget authority, \$3,238,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,442,000,000. (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300): Fiscal year 2018:
- (A) New budget authority, \$40,489,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$40,597,000,000. Fiscal year 2019:
- (A) New budget authority, \$42,110,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$42,293,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2020:
- (A) New budget authority, \$43,533,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$43,420,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2021:
- (A) New budget authority, \$43,091,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$42,742,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2022:
- (A) New budget authority, \$45,022,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$44,194,000,000. Fiscal year 2023:

- (A) New budget authority, \$45,716,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$44,767,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2024:
- (A) New budget authority, \$46,080,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$45,125,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025:
- (A) New budget authority, \$47,575,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$46,581,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2026: (A) New budget authority, \$48,511,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$47,501,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2027: (A) New budget authority, \$49,280,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$48,326,000,000. (6) Agriculture (350):

- Fiscal year 2018:
 (A) New budget authority, \$22,063,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$21,979,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2019:
- (A) New budget authority, \$21,564,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$19,898,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2020:
- (A) New budget authority, \$20,372,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,450,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:

- Fiscal year 2021:
 (A) New budget authority, \$19,284,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$18,540,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2022:
 (A) New budget authority, \$18,743,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$18,135,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2023:
- (A) New budget authority, \$18,894,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$18,354,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2024:
 (A) New budget authority, \$19,311,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$18,638,000,000. Fiscal year 2025:
- Fiscal year 2025:
 (A) New budget authority, \$19,881,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$19,112,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2026:
 (A) New budget authority, \$20,173,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$19,439,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2027:

- (A) New budget authority, \$20,280,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$19,542,000,000. (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370): Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,379,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$4,060,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2019:
- (A) New budget authority, \$12,090,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$2,554,000,000. Fiscal year 2020:
- (A) New budget authority, \$7,997,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$646,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2021:
 (A) New budget authority, \$5,359,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,364,000,000. Fiscal year 2022:
- (A) New budget authority, \$7,393,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,715,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2023:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$3,254,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$14,163,000,000. Fiscal year 2024:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$4,648,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$16,202,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$4,817,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, -\$17,747,000,000. Fiscal year 2026: (A) New budget authority, -\$6,228,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$19,133,000,000. Fiscal year 2027: (A) New budget authority, -\$6,816,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$19,990,000,000.
- (8) Transportation (400):
- Fiscal year 2018:
- (A) New budget authority, \$89,125,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$92,875,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2019:
- (A) New budget authority, \$90,538,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$92,393,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2020: (A) New budget authority, \$84,687,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$93,064,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:
- (A) New budget authority, \$40,062,000,000.

- Fiscal year 2022:

- Fiscal year 2023:
- (A) New budget authority, \$71,930,000,000.
- (A) New budget authority, \$73,370,000,000.

- (A) New budget authority, \$76,345,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$76,895,000,000.
- (A) New budget authority, \$77,831,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$78,001,000,000.
- (450):

- Fiscal year 2019.
 (A) New budget authority, \$19,281,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$20,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2020:

- (A) New budget authority, \$20,669,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$18,831,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2026: (A) New budget authority, \$20,985,000,000.
- (A) New budget authority, \$21,304,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$19,932,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2018:
- Fiscal year 2019.

 (A) New budget authority, \$100,086,000,000.

 (B) Outlays, \$98,799,000,000.

 Fiscal year 2020:

 (A) New budget authority, \$101,018,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$101,064,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:

- (A) New budget authority, \$102,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$103,178,000,000. Fiscal year 2023:
- (A) New budget authority, \$102,725,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2024: (A) New budget authority, \$103,012,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$103,960,000,000.
- (A) New budget authority, \$103,798,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$104,747,000,000.
- (A) New budget authority, \$104,942,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$105,921,000,000. Fiscal year 2027:
- (11) Health (550):
- Fiscal year 2018:

- (B) Outlays, \$81,597,000,000.
- (A) New budget authority, \$71,003,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$69,791,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$74,521,000,000. Fiscal year 2024:
- (B) Outlays, \$76,450,000,000. Fiscal year 2025:
- (A) New budget authority, \$74,843,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$76,523,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2026:
- Fiscal year 2027:
- (9) Community and Regional Development
 - Fiscal year 2018:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$19,018,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$21,697,000,000. Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, \$19,435,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$19,518,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:

- riscal year 2021:
 (A) New budget authority, \$19,690,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$18,867,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2022:
 (A) New budget authority, \$19,778,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$18,506,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2023:
 (A) New budget authority (A) New budget authority (A) New budget authority (B) Outlays, \$18,506,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025.
 (A) New budget authority, \$20,061,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$18,041,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2024:
 (A) New budget authority, \$20,347,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,277,000,000. Fiscal year 2025:
- (B) Outlays, \$19,353,000,000. Fiscal year 2027:
- (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):
- (A) New budget authority, \$90,224,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$99,348,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, \$102,034,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$102,218,000,000. Fiscal year 2022:

 - (B) Outlays, \$103,653,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025:
- Fiscal year 2026:
- (A) New budget authority, \$106,473,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$107,433,000,000.
- (A) New budget authority, \$546,598,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$558,311,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2019:

- (A) New budget authority, \$560,622,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$563,293,000,000. Fiscal year 2020:
- (A) New budget authority, \$578,838,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$570,311,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, \$574,616,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$575,040,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022: (A) New budget authority, \$586,530,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$583,769,000,000. Fiscal year 2023:

(A) New budget authority, \$601,742,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$599,099,000,000. Fiscal year 2024:

(A) New budget authority, \$605,811,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$603,443,000,000. Fiscal year 2025:

(A) New budget authority, \$617,220,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$614,728,000,000.

- Fiscal year 2026: (A) New budget authority, \$633,890,000,000. (A) New budget authority, \$633,890,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$630,824,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2027:
 (A) New budget authority, \$652,230,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$653,552,000,000.
 (12) Medicare (570):
 Fiscal year 2018:
 (A) New budget authority, \$586,239,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$585,962,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2019:
 (4) New budget authority, \$643,592,000,000.

(A) New budget authority, \$643,592,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$643,374,000,000. Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, \$687,119,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$686,926,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:

Fiscal year 2021:
(A) New budget authority, \$734,446,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$734,241,000,000.
Fiscal year 2022:
(A) New budget authority, \$819,300,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$819,073,000,000.
Fiscal year 2023:

(A) New budget authority, \$833,885,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$833,669,000,000. Fiscal year 2024:

(A) New budget authority, \$845,578,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$845,355,000,000.
Fiscal year 2025:

- Fiscal year 2025:
 (A) New budget authority, \$934,429,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$934,186,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2026:
 (A) New budget authority, \$1,002,522,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$1,002,272,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2027:

- riscai year 2027:
 (A) New budget authority, \$1,066,566,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$1,066,321,000,000.
 (13) Income Security (600):
 Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, \$491,978,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$477,537,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, \$490,106,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$479,627,000,000. Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, \$493,118,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$482,945,000,000.
Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, \$494,706,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$485,536,000,000. Fiscal year 2022:

(A) New budget authority, \$497,021,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$494,507,000,000.

Fiscal year 2023:

 $(A)\ New\ budget\ authority,\ \$506,711,000,000.$

(B) Outlays, \$499,405,000,000.

Fiscal year 2024:

(A) New budget authority, \$515,692,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$502,742,000,000.

Fiscal year 2025:

(A) New budget authority, \$531,668,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$520,169,000,000.

Fiscal year 2026:

(A) New budget authority, \$544,483,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$538,620,000,000.

Fiscal year 2027:

- (A) New budget authority, \$557,641,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$548,723,000,000

(14) Social Security (650):

Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,683,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,683,000,000. Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, \$43,091,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$43,091,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, \$46,182,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$46,182,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021: (A) New budget authority, \$49,460,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$49,460,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022:

(A) New budget authority, \$52,915,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$52,915,000,000.

Fiscal year 2023:

(A) New budget authority, \$56,734,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$56,734,000,000. Fiscal year 2024:

(A) New budget authority, \$60,953,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$60,953,000,000.

Fiscal year 2025: Fiscal year 2025:
(A) New budget authority, \$65,424,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$65,424,000,000.
Fiscal year 2026:
(A) New budget authority, \$69,757,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$69,757,000,000.
Fiscal year 2027:

A) New budget authority, \$74,173,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$74,173,000,000. (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700): Fiscal year 2018:

Fiscal year 2018.

(A) New budget authority, \$176,446,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$177,393,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, \$191,376,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$189,441,000,000. Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, \$198,336,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$196,338,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, \$205,001,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$202,930,000,000. Fiscal year 2022:

(A) New budget authority, \$221,481,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$219,320,000,000.

Fiscal year 2023:

(A) New budget authority, \$219,424,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$216,903,000,000. Fiscal year 2024:

(A) New budget authority, \$216,519,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$214,343,000,000. Fiscal year 2025:

(A) New budget authority, \$234,741,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$232,535,000,000. Fiscal year 2026: (A) New budget authority, \$242,559,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$240,210,000,000.

Fiscal year 2027: (A) New budget authority, \$251,142,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$248,884,000,000. (16) Administration of Justice (750):

Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, \$65,038,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$61,006,000,000. Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, \$64,244,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$64,504,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, \$64,377,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$66,523,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021:
(A) New budget authority, \$65,866,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$69,272,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022:

(A) New budget authority, \$67,069,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$69,488,000,000. Fiscal year 2023:

(A) New budget authority, \$68,813,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$69,657,000,000.

Fiscal year 2024: (A) New budget authority, \$70,592,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$70,232,000,000.

Fiscal year 2025: (A) New budget authority, \$72,432,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$71,865,000,000.

Fiscal year 2026:

- (A) New budget authority, \$74,233,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$73,500,000,000.

Fiscal year 2027:

(A) New budget authority, \$76,093,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$75,382,000,000.

(17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, \$24,675,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$24,889,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, \$25,518,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$25,642,000,000. Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, \$25,989,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$25,994,000,000. Fiscal year 2021: (A) New budget authority, \$26,649,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$26,358,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022:

(A) New budget authority, \$27,311,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$26,973,000,000. Fiscal year 2023:

(A) New budget authority, \$27,972,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$27,608,000,000. Fiscal year 2024:

riscal year 2024:
(A) New budget authority, \$28,485,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$28,134,000,000.
Fiscal year 2025:
(A) New budget authority, \$29,255,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$28,830,000,000.
Fiscal year 2026:

(A) New budget authority, \$30,052,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$29,610,000,000.
Fiscal year 2027:

(A) New budget authority, \$30,827,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$30,382,000,000.

(18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 2018: (A) New budget authority, \$388,767,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$388,767,000,000. Fiscal year 2019:

Fiscal year 2019.

(A) New budget authority, \$441,158,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$441,158,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, \$497,893,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$497,893,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, \$546,206,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$546,206,000,000.
Fiscal year 2022:

(A) New budget authority, \$589,086,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$589,086,000,000. Fiscal year 2023: (A) New budget authority, \$630,179,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$630,179,000,000. Fiscal year 2024:

(A) New budget authority, \$664,060,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$664,060,000,000. Fiscal year 2025: (A) New budget authority, \$691,250,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$691,250,000,000. Fiscal year 2026.

(A) New budget authority, \$716,494,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$716,494,000,000. Fiscal year 2027:

(A) New budget authority, \$736,146,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$736,146,000,000.

(19) Allowances (920): Fiscal year 2018:

(A) New budget authority, -\$68,576,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$51,055,000,000. Fiscal year 2019:

(A) New budget authority, -\$133,357,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$96,088,000,000. Fiscal year 2020:

(A) New budget authority, -\$145,919,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$130,658,000,000. Fiscal year 2021:

(A) New budget authority, -\$176,695,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$166,918,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022: (A) New budget authority, -\$218,460,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$209,169,000,000. Fiscal year 2023:

(A) New budget authority, -\$247,892,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$238,885,000,000.

Fiscal year 2024: (A) New budget authority, -\$276,275,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, -\$266,915,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$307,701,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$297,489,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2026:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$366,270,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$356,035,000,000. Fiscal year 2027:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$415,402,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$404,286,000,000.
- (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950): Fiscal year 2018:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$95,229,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$95,229,000,000. Fiscal year 2019:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$93,401,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$93,401,000,000. Fiscal year 2020:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$95,479,000,000.
- $(B) \ Outlays, \ -\$95,\!479,\!000,\!000.$
- Fiscal year 2021:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$98,956,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$98,956,000,000. Fiscal year 2022:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$101,293,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$101,293,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2023:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$102,309,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$102,309,000,000. Fiscal year 2024:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$111,119,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$111,119,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$124,766,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$124,766,000,000. Fiscal year 2026:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$128,332,000,000.
- $(B) \ Outlays, -\$128,332,000,000.$
- Fiscal year 2027:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$141,303,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$141,303,000,000. (21) Overseas Contingency Operations (970): Fiscal year 2018:
- (A) New budget authority, \$76,591,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$43,121,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2019:
- (A) New budget authority, \$50,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$48,676,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2020:
- (A) New budget authority, \$25,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$34,675,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2021:
- (A) New budget authority, \$12,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$20,684,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2022: (A) New budget authority, \$0.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,901,000,000. Fiscal year 2023:
- (A) New budget authority, \$0.
- (B) Outlays, \$3,053,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2024:
- (A) New budget authority, \$0. (B) Outlays, \$946,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025: (A) New budget authority, \$0.
- (B) Outlays, \$264,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2026: (A) New budget authority, \$0.
- (B) Outlays, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2027:
- (A) New budget authority, \$0.
- (B) Outlays, \$0.

Subtitle B-Levels and Amounts in the Senate SEC. 1201. SOCIAL SECURITY IN THE SENATE.

(a) Social Security Revenues.-For purposes of Senate enforcement under sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633 and 642), the amounts of revenues of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund are as follows:

Fiscal year 2018: \$873,312,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019: \$903,381,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020: \$932,055,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021: \$962,698,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022: \$996,127,000,000.

Fiscal year 2023: \$1,031,653,000,000.

Fiscal year 2024: \$1,068,529,000,000.

Fiscal year 2025: \$1,106,862,000,000.

Fiscal year 2026: \$1,146,803,000,000.

Fiscal year 2027: \$1,188,060,000,000.

(b) Social Security Outlays.—For purposes of Senate enforcement under sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633 and 642), the amounts of outlays of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund are as follows:

Fiscal year 2018: \$849,609,000,000.

Fiscal year 2019: \$909,109,000,000.

Fiscal year 2020: \$972,776,000,000.

Fiscal year 2021: \$1,040,108,000,000.

Fiscal year 2022: \$1,111,446,000,000. Fiscal year 2023: \$1,188,081,000,000.

Fiscal year 2024: \$1,266,786,000,000.

Fiscal year 2025: \$1,349,334,000,000.

Fiscal year 2026: \$1,437,032,000,000. Fiscal year 2027: \$1,530,362,000,000.

(c) SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATIVE EX-PENSES.—In the Senate, the amounts of new

budget authority and budget outlays of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund for administrative expenses are as

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, \$5,553,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$5,584,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2019:
- (A) New budget authority, \$5,716,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$5,713,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2020:
- (A) New budget authority, \$5,888,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$5,856,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2021:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,062,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,029,000,000. Fiscal year 2022:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,241,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,207,000,000. Fiscal year 2023:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,426,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,392,000,000. Fiscal year 2024:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,617,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,581,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2025:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,816,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,779,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2026:
- (A) New budget authority, \$7,024,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,985,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2027:
- (A) New budget authority, \$7,233,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$7,194,000,000.

SEC. 1202. POSTAL SERVICE DISCRETIONARY AD-MINISTRATIVE EXPENSES IN THE SENATE.

In the Senate, the amounts of new budget authority and budget outlays of the Postal Service for discretionary administrative expenses are as follows:

Fiscal year 2018:

- (A) New budget authority, \$281,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$281,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2019:
- (A) New budget authority, \$290,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$290,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2020:
- (A) New budget authority, \$301,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$301,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2021:
- (A) New budget authority, \$311,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$311,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2022:
- (A) New budget authority, \$322,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$322,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2023:
- (A) New budget authority, \$333,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$333,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2024: (A) New budget authority, \$344,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$343,000,000. Fiscal year 2025:
- (A) New budget authority, \$356,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$355,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2026:
- (A) New budget authority, \$369,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$368,000,000. Fiscal year 2027:
- (A) New budget authority, \$380,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$379,000,000.

TITLE II—RECONCILIATION SEC. 2001. RECONCILIATION IN THE SENATE.

(a) COMMITTEE ON FINANCE.—The Committee on Finance of the Senate shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that increase the deficit by not more than \$1,500,000,000,000 for

the period of fiscal years 2018 through 2027. (b) COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RE-SOURCES .- The Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction to reduce the deficit by not less than \$1,000,000,000 for the

period of fiscal years 2018 through 2027. (c) SUBMISSIONS.—In the Senate, not later than November 13, 2017, the Committees named in subsections (a) and (b) shall submit their recommendations to the Committee on the Budget of the Senate. Upon receiving such recommendations, the Committee on the Budget of the Senate shall report to the Senate a reconciliation bill carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.

SEC. 2002. RECONCILIATION IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

- (a) COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS.—The Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives shall submit changes in laws within its jurisdiction that increase the deficit by not more than \$1,500,000,000,000 for the pe-
- riod of fiscal years 2018 through 2027. (b) COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES.—The Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives shall submit changes in laws within its jurisdiction to reduce the deficit by not less than \$1,000,000,000 for the period of fis-
- $cal\ years\ 2018\ through\ 2027.$ (c) SUBMISSIONS.—In the House of Representatives, not later than November 13, 2017, the committees named in subsections (a) and (b) shall $submit\ their\ recommendations\ to\ the\ Committee$ on the Budget of the House of Representatives

to carry out this section. TITLE III—RESERVE FUNDS

SEC. 3001. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO PROTECT FLEXIBLE AND AFFORD-ABLE HEALTH CARE FOR ALL.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to repealing or replacing the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law 111-148; 124 Stat. 119) and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-152; 124 Stat. 1029), by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of

the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027. SEC. 3002. REVENUE-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO REFORM THE AMERICAN TAX SYS-

TEM. The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to reforming the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, which may in-

- clude-(1) tax relief for middle-income working Americans:
- (2) lowering taxes on families with children; or
- (3) incentivizing companies to invest domestically and create jobs in the United States,

by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation is revenue neutral and would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3003. RESERVE FUND FOR RECONCILIATION LEGISLATION.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-asyou-go ledger, for any bill or joint resolution considered pursuant to section 2001 containing the recommendations of one or more committees, or for one or more amendments to, a conference report on, or an amendment between the Houses in relation to such a bill or joint resolution, by the amounts necessary to accommodate the budgetary effects of the legislation, if the budgetary effects of the legislation comply with the reconciliation instructions under this concurrent resolution.
- (b) DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE.—For purposes of this section, compliance with the reconciliation instructions under this concurrent resolution shall be determined by the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate.
- (c) EXCEPTION FOR LEGISLATION.—Section 404(a) of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010, shall not apply to legislation for which the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate has exercised the authority under subsection (a).

SEC. 3004. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND FOR EXTENDING THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAM.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to an extension of the State Children's Health Insurance Program by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3005. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO STRENGTHEN AMERICAN FAMILIES.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to—

- (1) addressing the opioid and substance abuse crisis;
- (2) protecting and assisting victims of domestic abuse;
- (3) foster care, child care, marriage, and fatherhood programs;
- (4) making it easier to save for retirement;
- (5) reforming the American public housing system;
- (6) the Community Development Block Grant Program; or
- (7) extending expiring health care provisions, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3006. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO PROMOTE INNOVATIVE EDUCATIONAL AND NUTRITIONAL MODELS AND SYSTEMS FOR AMERICAN STUDENTS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a

committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to—

- (1) amending the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.);
 - (2) ensuring State flexibility in education;
- (3) enhancing outcomes with Federal workforce development, job training, and reemployment programs;
- (4) the consolidation and streamlining of overlapping early learning and child care programs;
- (5) educational programs for individuals with disabilities: or
 - (6) child nutrition programs,

by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3007. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO IMPROVE THE AMERICAN BANKING SYSTEM.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to the American banking system by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3008. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO PROMOTE AMERICAN AGRICULTURE, ENERGY, TRANSPORTATION, AND IN-FRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to—

- (1) the Farm Bill;
- (2) American energy policies;
- (3) the Nuclear Regulatory Commission;
- (4) North American energy development;
- (5) infrastructure, transportation, and water development:
 - (6) the Federal Aviation Administration;
 - (7) the National Flood Insurance Program;
 - (8) State mineral royalty revenues; or
- (9) soda ash royalties,

by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3009. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO RESTORE AMERICAN MILITARY POWER.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to—

- (1) improving military readiness, including deferred Facilities Sustainment Restoration and Modernization:
 - (2) military technological superiority:
- (3) structural defense reforms; or
- (4) strengthening cybersecurity efforts,

by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3010. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND FOR VETERANS AND SERVICE MEMBERS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to improving the delivery of benefits and services to veterans and service members by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3011. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND FOR PUBLIC LANDS AND THE ENVIRON-MENT.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to—

- (1) the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.);
- (2) forest health and wildfire prevention and control;
- (3) resources for wildland firefighting for the Forest Service and Department of Interior;
- (4) the payments in lieu of taxes program; or (5) the secure rural schools and community self-determination program.

by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3012. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO SECURE THE AMERICAN BORDER.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to—

- (1) securing the border of the United States;
- (2) ending human trafficking; or
- (3) stopping the transportation of narcotics into the United States,

by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027

SEC. 3013. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO PROMOTE ECONOMIC GROWTH, THE PRIVATE SECTOR, AND TO ENHANCE JOB CREATION.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to—

- (1) reducing costs to businesses and individuals stemming from Federal regulations;
- (2) increasing commerce and economic growth; or
 - (3) enhancing job creation,

by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3014. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND FOR LEGISLATION MODIFYING STATU-TORY BUDGETARY CONTROLS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to modifying statutory budget controls, which may include adjustments to the discretionary spending limits and changes to the scope of sequestration as carried out by the Office of Management and Budget. such as for the Financial Accounting Standards Board, Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, Securities Investor Protection Corporation, and other similar entities, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3015. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO PREVENT THE TAXPAYER BAILOUT OF PENSION PLANS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to the prevention of taxpayer bailout of pension plans, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3016. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO IMPLEMENTING WORK REQUIREMENTS IN ALL MEANS-TESTED FEDERAL WELFARE PRO-GRAMS

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to implementing work requirements in all means-tested Federal welfare programs by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3017. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND TO PROTECT MEDICARE AND REPEAL THE INDEPENDENT PAYMENT ADVI-SORY BOARD.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budaet of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to protecting the Medicare program under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.), which may include repealing the Independent Payment Advisory Board established under section 1899A of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1395kkk), by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3018. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO AFFORDABLE CHILD AND DEPENDENT CARE.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to making the cost of child and dependent care more affordable and useful for American families by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through

SEC. 3019. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO WORKER TRAINING PRO-GRAMS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budaet of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to worker training programs, such as training programs that target workers that need advanced skills to progress in their current profession or apprenticeship or certificate programs that provide retraining for a new industry, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3020. RESERVE FUND FOR LEGISLATION TO PROVIDE DISASTER FUNDS FOR RE-LIEF AND RECOVERY EFFORTS TO AREAS DEVASTATED BY HURRI-CANES AND FLOODING IN 2017.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to providing disaster funds for relief and recovery to areas devastated by hurricanes and flooding in 2017, by the amounts necessary to accommodate the budgetary effects of the legislation.

SEC. 3021. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO PROTECTING MEDICARE AND MEDICAID.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to protecting the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.), which may include strengthening and improving Medicaid for the most vulnerable populations, and extending the life of the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3022. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO THE PROVISION OF TAX RELIEF FOR FAMILIES WITH CHIL-DREN.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one

or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to changes in Federal tax laws, which may include lowering taxes on families with children, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3023. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO THE PROVISION OF TAX RELIEF FOR SMALL BUSINESSES.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to changes in Federal tax laws, which may include the provision of tax relief for small businesses, along with provisions to prevent upper-income taxpayers from sheltering income from taxation at the appropriate rate, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3024. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO TAX RELIEF FOR HARD-WORKING MIDDLE-CLASS AMERI-CANS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to changes in Federal tax laws, which may include reducing federal deductions, such as the state and local tax deduction which disproportionally favors highincome individuals, to ensure relief for middleincome taxpayers, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3025. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO MAKING THE AMERICAN TAX SYSTEM SIMPLER AND FAIRER FOR ALL AMERICANS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to changes in Federal tax laws, which may include provisions to make the American tax system simpler and fairer for all Americans, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3026. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO TAX CUTS FOR WORKING AMERICAN FAMILIES.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to increasing perchild Federal tax relief, which may include amending the child tax credit, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3027. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO THE PROVISION OF IN-CENTIVES FOR BUSINESSES TO IN-VEST IN AMERICA AND CREATE JOBS IN AMERICA.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to changes in federal tax laws, which may include international tax provisions that provide or enhance incentives for businesses to invest in America, generate American jobs, retain American jobs, and return jobs to America, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3028. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO ELIMINATING TAX BREAKS FOR COMPANIES THAT SHIP JOBS TO FOREIGN COUNTRIES.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to eliminating tax breaks for companies that outsource jobs to foreign countries, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3029. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO PROVIDING FULL, PER-MANENT, AND MANDATORY FUND-ING FOR THE PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES PROGRAM.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budaet of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to providing full, permanent, and mandatory funding for the payment in lieu of taxes program by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes. provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027

SEC. 3030. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO TAX REFORM WHICH MAINTAINS THE PROGRESSIVITY OF THE TAX SYSTEM.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budaet of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to changes in Federal tax laws, which may include tax reform proposals to ensure that the reformed tax code parallels the existing tax code with respect to relative burdens and does not shift the tax burden from high-income to lower- and middle-income taxpayers, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 3031. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RE-LATING TO SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROV-ING THE BUDGET PROCESS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a

committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to significantly improving the budget process by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2022 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

TITLE IV—BUDGET PROCESS Subtitle A—Budget Enforcement

SEC. 4101. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS IN THE SENATE.

- (a) IN GENERAL.-
- (1) POINT OF ORDER.—Except as provided in subsection (b), it shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would provide an advance appropriation for a discretionary account.
- (2) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "advance appropriation" means any new budget authority provided in a bill or joint resolution making appropriations for fiscal year 2018 that first becomes available for any fiscal year after 2018, or any new budget authority provided in a bill or joint resolution making general appropriations or continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2019, that first becomes available for any fiscal year after 2019.
- (b) EXCEPTIONS.—Advance appropriations may be provided—
- (1) for fiscal years 2019 and 2020 for programs, projects, activities, or accounts identified in the joint explanatory statement of managers accompanying this concurrent resolution under the heading "Accounts Identified for Advance Appropriations" in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$28,852,000,000 in new budget authority in each fiscal year:
- (2) for the Corporation for Public Broad-casting and
- (3) for the Department of Veterans Affairs for the Medical Services, Medical Support and Compliance, Veterans Medical Community Care, and Medical Facilities accounts of the Veterans Health Administration
- (c) Supermajority Waiver and Appeal.-
- (1) WAIVER.—In the Senate, subsection (a) may be waived or suspended only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.
- (2) APPEAL.—An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).
- (d) FORM OF POINT OF ORDER.—A point of order under subsection (a) may be raised by a Senator as provided in section 313(e) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 644(e)).
- (e) Conference Reports.—When the Senate is considering a conference report on, or an amendment between the Houses in relation to, a bill or joint resolution, upon a point of order being made by any Senator pursuant to this section, and such point of order being sustained, such material contained in such conference report or House amendment shall be stricken, and the Senate shall proceed to consider the question of whether the Senate shall recede from its amendment and concur with a further amendment, or concur in the House amendment with a further amendment, as the case may be, which further amendment shall consist of only that portion of the conference report or House amendment, as the case may be, not so stricken. Any such motion in the Senate shall be debatable. In any case in which such point of order is sustained against a conference report (or Senate amendment derived from such conference report by operation of this subsection), no further amendment shall be in order.

SEC. 4102. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST CERTAIN CHANGES IN MANDATORY PRO-GRAMS.

- (a) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "CHIMP" means a provision that—
- (1) would have been estimated as affecting direct spending or receipts under section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 902) (as in effect prior to September 30, 2002) if the provision was included in legislation other than appropriation Acts: and
- (2) results in a net decrease in budget authority in the budget year, but does not result in a net decrease in outlays over the period of the total of the current year, the budget year, and all fiscal years covered under the most recently adopted concurrent resolution on the budget.
 - (b) POINT OF ORDER IN THE SENATE.—
- (1) In GENERAL.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider a bill or joint resolution making appropriations for a full fiscal year, or an amendment thereto, amendment between the Houses in relation thereto, conference report thereon, or motion thereon, that includes a CHIMP that, if enacted, would cause the absolute value of the total budget authority of all such CHIMPs enacted in relation to a full fiscal year to be more than the amount specified in paragraph (2).
- (2) AMOUNT.—The amount specified in this paragraph is—
 - (A) for fiscal year 2018, \$17,000,000,000;
 - (B) for fiscal year 2019, \$15,000,000,000; and
 - (C) for fiscal year 2020, \$15,000,000,000.
- (c) DETERMINATION.—For purposes of this section, budgetary levels shall be determined on the basis of estimates provided by the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate.
- (d) SUPERMAJORITY WAIVER AND APPEAL IN THE SENATE.—In the Senate, subsection (b) may be waived or suspended only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (b).
- (e) Senate Point of Order Against Provisions of Appropriations Legislation That Constitute Changes in Mandatory Programs With Net Costs.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Section 3103 of S. Con. Res. 11 (114th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016, is repealed.
- (2) APPLICABILITY.—In the Senate, section 314 of S. Con. Res. 70 (110th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2009, shall be applied and administered as if section 3103(e) of S. Con. Res. 11 (114th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016, had not been enacted.

SEC. 4103. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST PROVI-SIONS THAT CONSTITUTE CHANGES IN MANDATORY PROGRAMS AFFECT-ING THE CRIME VICTIMS FUND.

- (a) DEFINITION.—In this section—
- (1) the term "CHIMP" has the meaning given such term in section 4102(a); and
- (2) the term "Crime Victims Fund" means the Crime Victims Fund established under section 1402 of the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (34 U.S.C. 20101).
 - (b) Point of Order in the Senate.—
- (1) In General.—When the Senate is considering a bill or joint resolution making full-year appropriations for fiscal year 2018, or an amendment thereto, amendment between the Houses in relation thereto, conference report thereon, or motion thereon, if a point of order is made by a Senator against a provision containing a CHIMP affecting the Crime Victims Fund that, if enacted, would cause the absolute value of the total budget authority of all CHIMPs affecting the Crime Victims Fund in relation to fiscal year 2018 to be more than \$\$11,224,000,000, and the point of order is sustained by the Chair, that provision shall be

stricken from the measure and may not be offered as an amendment from the floor.

(2) FORM OF THE POINT OF ORDER.—A point of order under paragraph (1) may be raised by a Senator as provided in section 313(e) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 644(e)).

- (3) Conference reports.—When the Senate is considering a conference report on, or an amendment between the Houses in relation to, a bill or joint resolution, upon a point of order being made by any Senator pursuant to paragraph (1), and such point of order being sustained, such material contained in such conference report or House amendment shall be stricken, and the Senate shall proceed to consider the question of whether the Senate shall recede from its amendment and concur with a further amendment, or concur in the House amendment with a further amendment, as the case may be, which further amendment shall consist of only that portion of the conference report or House amendment, as the case may be, not so stricken. Any such motion in the Senate shall be debatable. In any case in which such point of order is sustained against a conference report (or Senate amendment derived from such conference report by operation of this subsection), no further amendment shall be in order.
- (4) SUPERMAJORITY WAIVER AND APPEAL.—In the Senate, this subsection may be waived or suspended only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under this subsection.
- (5) DETERMINATION.—For purposes of this subsection, budgetary levels shall be determined on the basis of estimates provided by the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate
- (c) REVIEW OF PROCEDURES REGARDING CHIMPS.—The Committee on the Budget and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate shall review existing budget enforcement procedures regarding CHIMPs included in appropriations legislation. These committees of jurisdiction should consult with other relevant committees of jurisdiction and other interested parties to review such procedures, including for Crime Victims Fund spending, and include any agreed upon recommendations in subsequent concurrent resolutions on the budget.

SEC. 4104. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST DESIGNA-TION OF FUNDS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

- (a) POINT OF ORDER.—When the Senate is considering a bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report, if a point of order is made by a Senator against a provision that designates funds for fiscal year 2018 for overseas contingency operations, in accordance with section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 901(b)(2)(A)), and the point of order is sustained by the Chair, that provision shall be stricken from the measure and may not be offered as an amendment from the floor.
- (b) FORM OF THE POINT OF ORDER.—A point of order under subsection (a) may be raised by a Senator as provided in section 313(e) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 644(e)).
- (c) CONFERENCE REPORTS.—When the Senate is considering a conference report on, or an amendment between the Houses in relation to, a bill or joint resolution, upon a point of order being made by any Senator pursuant to subsection (a), and such point of order being sustained, such material contained in such conference report or House amendment shall be stricken, and the Senate shall proceed to consider the question of whether the Senate shall recede from its amendment and concur with a further amendment, or concur in the House

amendment with a further amendment, as the case may be, which further amendment shall consist of only that portion of the conference report or House amendment, as the case may be, not so stricken. Any such motion in the Senate shall be debatable. In any case in which such point of order is sustained against a conference report (or Senate amendment derived from such conference report by operation of this subsection), no further amendment shall be in order.

- (d) SUPERMAJORITY WAIVER AND APPEAL.—In the Senate, this section may be waived or suspended only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chose and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under this section.
- (e) SUSPENSION OF POINT OF ORDER.—This section shall not apply if a declaration of war by Congress is in effect.

SEC. 4105. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST RECONCILI-ATION AMENDMENTS WITH UN-KNOWN BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—In the Senate, it shall not be in order to consider an amendment to or motion on a bill or joint resolution considered pursuant to section 2001 if the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget submits a written statement for the Congressional Record indicating that the Chairman, after consultation with the Ranking Member of the Committee on the Budget, is unable to determine the effect the amendment or motion would have on budget authority, outlays, direct spending, entitlement authority, revenues, deficits, or surpluses.
- (b) SUPERMAJORITY WAIVER AND APPEAL IN THE SENATE.—In the Senate, subsection (a) may be waived or suspended only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

SEC. 4106. PAY-AS-YOU-GO POINT OF ORDER IN THE SENATE.

- (a) POINT OF ORDER.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any direct spending or revenue legislation that would increase the onbudget deficit or cause an on-budget deficit for any of the applicable time periods as measured in paragraphs (5) and (6).
- (2) APPLICABLE TIME PERIODS.—For purposes of this subsection, the term "applicable time period" means any of—
 - (A) the period of the current fiscal year;
 - (B) the period of the budget year;
- (C) the period of the current fiscal year, the budget year, and the ensuing 4 fiscal years following the budget year; or
- (D) the period of the current fiscal year, the budget year, and the ensuing 9 fiscal years following the budget year.
- (3) DIRECT SPENDING LEGISLATION.—For purposes of this subsection and except as provided in paragraph (4), the term "direct spending legislation" means any bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report that affects direct spending as that term is defined by, and interpreted for purposes of, the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 900 et seq.).
- (4) EXCLUSION.—For purposes of this subsection, the terms "direct spending legislation" and "revenue legislation" do not include—
- (A) any concurrent resolution on the budget; or
- (B) any provision of legislation that affects the full funding of, and continuation of, the deposit insurance guarantee commitment in effect on November 5, 1990.
- (5) BASELINE.—Estimates prepared pursuant to this subsection shall—

- (A) use the baseline surplus or deficit used for the most recently adopted concurrent resolution on the budget: and
- (B) be calculated under the requirements of subsections (b) through (d) of section 257 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (as in effect prior to September 30, 2002) for fiscal years beyond those covered by that concurrent resolution on the budget.
- (6) PRIOR SURPLUS.—If direct spending or revenue legislation increases the on-budget deficit or causes an on-budget deficit when taken individually, it must also increase the on-budget deficit or cause an on-budget deficit when taken together with all direct spending and revenue legislation enacted since the beginning of the calendar year not accounted for in the baseline under paragraph (5)(A), except that direct spending or revenue effects resulting in net deficit reduction enacted in any bill pursuant to a reconciliation instruction since the beginning of that same calendar year shall never be made available on the pay-as-you-go ledger and shall be dedicated only for deficit reduction.
 - (b) SUPERMAJORITY WAIVER AND APPEALS.—
- (1) WAIVER.—This section may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by the affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.
- (2) APPEALS.—Appeals in the Senate from the decisions of the Chair relating to any provision of this section shall be limited to 1 hour, to be equally divided between, and controlled by, the appellant and the manager of the bill or joint resolution, as the case may be. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under this section.
- (c) DETERMINATION OF BUDGET LEVELS.—For purposes of this section, the levels of new budget authority, outlays, and revenues for a fiscal year shall be determined on the basis of estimates made by the Senate Committee on the Budget.
- (d) REPEAL.—In the Senate, section 201 of S. Con. Res. 21 (110th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2008, shall no longer apply.

SEC. 4107. HONEST ACCOUNTING: COST ESTI-MATES FOR MAJOR LEGISLATION TO INCORPORATE MACROECONOMIC EF-FECTS.

- (a) CBO AND JCT ESTIMATES.—During the 115th Congress, any estimate provided by the Congressional Budget Office under section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 653) or by the Joint Committee on Taxton to the Congressional Budget Office under section 201(f) of such Act (2 U.S.C. 601(f)) for major legislation considered in the Senate shall, to the greatest extent practicable, incorporate the budgetary effects of changes in economic output, employment, capital stock, and other macroeconomic variables resulting from such major legislation.
- (b) CONTENTS.—Any estimate referred to in subsection (a) shall, to the extent practicable, include—
- (1) a qualitative assessment of the budgetary effects (including macroeconomic variables described in subsection (a)) of the major legislation in the 20-fiscal year period beginning after the last fiscal year of the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget that sets forth budgetary levels required under section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 632); and
- (2) an identification of the critical assumptions and the source of data underlying that estimate
- (c) DISTRIBUTIONAL EFFECTS.—Any estimate referred to in subsection (a) shall, to the extent practicable, include the distributional effects across income categories resulting from major legislation.
 - (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

- (1) MAJOR LEGISLATION.—The term "major legislation" means a bill, joint resolution, conference report, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or treaty considered in the Senate—
- (A) for which an estimate is required to be prepared pursuant to section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 653) and that causes a gross budgetary effect (before incorporating macroeconomic effects and not including timing shifts) in a fiscal year in the period of years of the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget equal to or greater than—
- (i) 0.25 percent of the current projected gross domestic product of the United States for that fiscal year; or
- (ii) for a treaty, equal to or greater than \$15,000,000,000 for that fiscal year; or
 - (B) designated as such by-
- (i) the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate for all direct spending and revenue legislation; or
- (ii) the Senator who is Chairman or Vice Chairman of the Joint Committee on Taxation for revenue legislation.
- (2) BUDGETARY EFFECTS.—The term "budgetary effects" means changes in revenues, direct spending outlays, and deficits.
- (3) TIMING SHIFTS.—The term "timing shifts" means—
- (A) provisions that cause a delay of the date on which outlays flowing from direct spending would otherwise occur from one fiscal year to the next fiscal year; or
- (B) provisions that cause an acceleration of the date on which revenues would otherwise occur from one fiscal year to the prior fiscal year.

SEC. 4108. ADJUSTMENT AUTHORITY FOR AMEND-MENTS TO STATUTORY CAPS.

During the 115th Congress, if a measure becomes law that amends the discretionary spending limits established under section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 901(c)), such as a measure increasing the limit for the revised security category fiscal year 2018 for \$640,000,000,000, the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may adjust the allocation called for under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633(a)) to the appropriate committee or committees of the Senate, and may adjust all other budgetary aggregates, allocations, levels, and limits contained in this resolution, as necessary, consistent with such measure.

SEC. 4109. ADJUSTMENT FOR WILDFIRE SUPPRES-SION FUNDING IN THE SENATE.

During the 115th Congress, if a measure becomes law that amends the adjustments to discretionary spending limits established under section 251(b) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 901(b)) to provide for wildfire suppression funding, which may include criteria for making such an adjustment, the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may adjust the allocation called for in section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633(a)) to the appropriate committee or committees of the Senate, and may adjust all other budgetary aggregates, allocations, levels, and limits contained in this concurrent resolution, as necessary, consistent with such measure.

SEC. 4110. ADJUSTMENT FOR IMPROVED OVER-SIGHT OF SPENDING.

(a) ADJUSTMENTS OF DIRECT SPENDING LEV-ELS.—If a measure becomes law that decreases direct spending (budget authority and outlays flowing therefrom) for any fiscal year and provides for an authorization of appropriations for the same purpose, the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may decrease the allocation to the committee of the Senate with jurisdiction of the direct spending by an amount equal to the amount of the decrease in direct spending and may revise the aggregates and other appropriate levels in this resolution and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger in the amounts necessary to accommodate the decrease in direct spending.

(b) DETERMINATIONS.—For purposes of this section, the levels of budget authority and outlays shall be determined on the basis of estimates submitted by the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate.

SEC. 4111. REPEAL OF CERTAIN LIMITATIONS.

Sections 3205 and 3206 of S. Con. Res. 11 (114th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016, are repealed.

SEC. 4112. EMERGENCY LEGISLATION.

- (a) AUTHORITY TO DESIGNATE.—In the Senate, with respect to a provision of direct spending or receipts legislation or appropriations for discretionary accounts that Congress designates as an emergency requirement in such measure, the amounts of new budget authority, outlays, and receipts in all fiscal years resulting from that provision shall be treated as an emergency requirement for the purpose of this section.
- (b) Exemption of Emergency Provisions .-Any new budget authority, outlays, and receipts resulting from any provision designated as an emergency requirement, pursuant to this section, in any bill, joint resolution, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report shall not count for purposes of sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633 and 642), section 4106 of this resolution, section 3101 of S. Con. Res. 11 (114th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016, and sections 401 and 404 of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010. Designated emergency provisions shall not count for the purpose of revising allocations, aggregates, or other levels pursuant to procedures established under section 301(b)(7) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 632(b)(7)) for deficit-neutral reserve funds and revising discretionary spending limits set pursuant to section 301 of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010.
- (c) DESIGNATIONS.—If a provision of legislation is designated as an emergency requirement under this section, the committee report and any statement of managers accompanying that legislation shall include an explanation of the manner in which the provision meets the criteria in subsection (f).
- (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms "direct spending", "receipts", and "appropriations for discretionary accounts" mean any provision of a bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that affects direct spending, receipts, or appropriations as those terms have been defined and interpreted for purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 900 et sea.).
- (e) POINT OF ORDER.—
- (1) In General.—When the Senate is considering a bill, resolution, amendment, motion, amendment between the Houses, or conference report, if a point of order is made by a Senator against an emergency designation in that measure, that provision making such a designation shall be stricken from the measure and may not be offered as an amendment from the floor.
- (2) Supermajority waiver and appeals.—
- (A) WAIVER.—Paragraph (1) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.
- (B) APPEALS.—Appeals in the Senate from the decisions of the Chair relating to any provision of this subsection shall be limited to 1 hour, to be equally divided between, and controlled by, the appellant and the manager of the bill or joint resolution, as the case may be. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the

Chair on a point of order raised under this subsection.

(3) DEFINITION OF AN EMERGENCY DESIGNA-TION.—For purposes of paragraph (1), a provision shall be considered an emergency designation if it designates any item as an emergency requirement pursuant to this subsection.

(4) FORM OF THE POINT OF ORDER.—A point of order under paragraph (1) may be raised by a Senator as provided in section 313(e) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 644(e)).

(5) Conference reports.—When the Senate is considering a conference report on, or an amendment between the Houses in relation to, a bill, upon a point of order being made by any Senator pursuant to this section, and such point of order being sustained, such material contained in such conference report shall be stricken, and the Senate shall proceed to consider the question of whether the Senate shall recede from its amendment and concur with a further amendment, or concur in the House amendment with a further amendment, as the case may be, which further amendment shall consist of only that portion of the conference report or House amendment, as the case may be, not so stricken. Any such motion in the Senate shall be debatable. In any case in which such point of order is sustained against a conference report (or Senate amendment derived from such conference report by operation of this subsection), no further amendment shall be in order.

(f) CRITERIA.—

- (1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, any provision is an emergency requirement if the situation addressed by such provision is—
- (A) necessary, essential, or vital (not merely useful or beneficial);
- (B) sudden, quickly coming into being, and not building up over time;
- (C) an urgent, pressing, and compelling need requiring immediate action;
- (D) subject to paragraph (2), unforeseen, unpredictable, and unanticipated; and
- (E) not permanent, temporary in nature.
- (2) UNFORESEEN.—An emergency that is part of an aggregate level of anticipated emergencies, particularly when normally estimated in advance, is not unforeseen.
- (g) INAPPLICABILITY.—In the Senate, section 403 of S. Con. Res. 13 (111th Congress), the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010, shall no longer apply.

SEC. 4113. ENFORCEMENT FILING IN THE SENATE.

- If this concurrent resolution on the budget is agreed to by the Senate and House of Representatives without the appointment of a committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses, the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may submit a statement for publication in the Congressional Record containing—
- (1) for the Committee on Appropriations, committee allocations for fiscal year 2018 consistent with the levels in title I for the purpose of enforcing section 302 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633);
- (2) for all committees other than the Committee on Appropriations, committee allocations for fiscal years 2018, 2018 through 2022, and 2018 through 2027 consistent with the levels in title I for the purpose of enforcing section 302 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633); and
- (3) a list of programs, projects, activities, or accounts identified for advanced appropriations that would have been identified in the joint explanatory statement of managers accompanying this concurrent resolution.

Subtitle B—Other Provisions

SEC. 4201. OVERSIGHT OF GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE.

In the Senate, all committees are directed to review programs and tax expenditures within their jurisdiction to identify waste, fraud, abuse or duplication, and increase the use of performance data to inform committee work. Committees are also directed to review the matters for congressional consideration identified in the Office of Inspector General semiannual reports and the InspectorGeneral's ofunimplemented recommendations and on the Government Accountability Office's High Risk list and the annual report to reduce program duplication. Based on these oversight efforts and performance reviews of programs within their jurisdiction, committees are directed to include recommendations for improved governmental performance in their annual views and estimates reports required under section 301(d) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 632(d)) to the Committees on the Budget. SEC. 4202. BUDGETARY TREATMENT OF CERTAIN

DISCRETIONARY ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—In the Senate, notwithstanding section 302(a)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633(a)(1)), section 13301 of the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 632 note), and section 2009a of title 39, United States Code, the joint explanatory statement accompanying the conference report on any concurrent resolution on the budget shall include in its allocations under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633(a)) to the Committees on Appropriations amounts for the discretionary administrative expenses of the Social Security Administration and of the Postal Service.
- (b) SPECIAL RULE.—In the Senate, for purposes of enforcing sections 302(f) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633(f)), estimates of the level of total new budget authority and total outlays provided by a measure shall include any discretionary amounts described in subsection (a)

APPLICATION AND EFFECT OF CHANGES IN ALLOCATIONS AND AG-SEC. 4203. GREGATES.

- (a) APPLICATION.—Any adjustments of allocations and aggregates made pursuant to this resolution shall-
- (1) apply while that measure is under consideration:
- (2) take effect upon the enactment of that measure: and
- (3) be published in the Congressional Record

as soon as practicable.

- (b) Effect of Changed Allocations and Ag-GREGATES.—Revised allocations and aggregates resulting from these adjustments shall be considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 621 et seq.) as allocations and aggregates contained in this resolu-
- (c) Budget Committee Determinations.— For purposes of this resolution the levels of new budget authority, outlays, direct spending, new entitlement authority, revenues, deficits, and surpluses for a fiscal year or period of fiscal years shall be determined on the basis of estimates made by the Committee on the Budget of the Senate.

SEC. 4204. ADJUSTMENTS TO REFLECT CHANGES IN CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS.

Upon the enactment of a bill or joint resolution providing for a change in concepts or definitions, the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may make adjustments to the levels and allocations in this resolution in accordance with section 251(b) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 901(b)).

SEC. 4205. ADJUSTMENTS TO REFLECT LEGISLA-TION NOT INCLUDED IN THE BASE-LINE

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may make adjustments to the levels and allocations in this resolution to reflect legislation enacted before the date on which this resolution is agreed to by Congress that is not incorporated in the baseline underlying the Congressional Budget Office's June 2017 update to the Budget and Economic Outlook: 2017 to 2027.

SEC. 4206. EXERCISE OF RULEMAKING POWERS.

Congress adopts the provisions of this title-

- (1) as an exercise of the rulemaking power of the Senate, and as such they shall be considered as part of the rules of the Senate and such rules shall supersede other rules only to the extent that they are inconsistent with such other rules;
- (2) with full recognition of the constitutional right of the Senate to change those rules at any time, in the same manner, and to the same extent as is the case of any other rule of the Sen-

TITLE V—BUDGET PROCESS IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Subtitle A-Budget Enforcement

SEC. 5101. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST INCREAS-ING LONG-TERM DIRECT SPENDING.

- (a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the House of Representatives to consider any bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that would cause a net increase in direct spending in excess of \$2,500,000,000 in any of the 4 consecutive 10-fiscal year periods described in subsection (b).
- (b) Congressional Budget Office Analysis OF PROPOSALS.—The Director of the Congressional Budget Office shall, to the extent practicable, prepare an estimate of whether a bill or joint resolution reported by a committee (other than the Committee on Appropriations), or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, would cause, relative to current law, a net increase in direct spending in the House of Representatives, in excess of \$2,500,000,000 in any of the 4 consecutive 10-fiscal year periods beginning after the last fiscal year of this concurrent resolution.
- (c) LIMITATION.—In the House of Representatives, the provisions of this section shall not apply to any bills or joint resolutions, or amendments thereto or conference reports thereon, for which the chair of the Committee on the Budget has made adjustments to the allocations agaregates, or other budgetary levels in this concurrent resolution.
- (d) Determinations of Budget Levels.—For purposes of this section, the levels of net increases in direct spending shall be determined on the basis of estimates provided by the chair of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives.
- (e) SUNSET.—This section shall have no force or effect after September 30, 2018.

SEC. 5102. ALLOCATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTIN-GENCY OPERATIONS/GLOBAL WAR ON TERRORISM.

- (a) SEPARATE ALLOCATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS/GLOBAL WAR ON TER-RORISM.—In the House of Representatives, there shall be a separate allocation of new budget authority and outlays provided to the Committee on Appropriations for the purposes of Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terrorism, which shall be deemed to be an allocation under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. Section 302(a)(3) of such Act shall not apply to such separate allocation.
- (b) Section 302 Allocations.—The separate allocation referred to in subsection (a) shall be the exclusive allocation for Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terrorism under section 302(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. The Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives may provide suballocations of such separate allocation under such section 302(b).
- (c) APPLICATION.—For purposes of enforcing the separate allocation referred to in subsection (a) under section 302(f) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the "first fiscal year" and "total of fiscal years" shall be deemed to refer to fiscal year 2018. Section 302(c) of such Act shall not apply to such separate allocation.
- (d) DESIGNATIONS.—New budget authority or outlays shall only be counted toward the allocation referred to in subsection (a) if designated

pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(ii) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

(e) ADJUSTMENTS.—For purposes of subsection (a) for fiscal year 2018, no adjustment shall be made under section 314(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 if any adjustment would be made under section 251(b)(2)(A)(ii) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

SEC. 5103. LIMITATION ON CHANGES IN CERTAIN MANDATORY PROGRAMS.

- (a) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "change in mandatory programs" means a provision that-
- (1) would have been estimated as affecting direct spending or receipts under section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (as in effect prior to September 30, 2002) if the provision were included in legislation other than appropriation Acts; and
- (2) results in a net decrease in budget authority in the budget year, but does not result in a net decrease in outlays over the total of the current year, the budget year, and all fiscal years covered under the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget.
- (b) Point of Order in the House of Rep-RESENTATIVES .-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—A provision in a bill or joint resolution making appropriations for a full fiscal year that proposes a change in mandatory programs that, if enacted, would cause the absolute value of the total budget authority of all such changes in mandatory programs enacted in relation to a full fiscal year to be more than the amount specified in paragraph (3), shall not be in order in the House of Representatives.
- (2) AMENDMENTS AND CONFERENCE REPORTS.-It shall not be in order in the House of Representatives to consider an amendment to, or a conference report on, a bill or joint resolution making appropriations for a full fiscal year if such amendment thereto or conference report thereon proposes a change in mandatory programs that, if enacted, would cause the absolute value of the total budget authority of all such changes in mandatory programs enacted in relation to a full fiscal year to be more than the amount specified in paragraph (3).
- (3) AMOUNT.—The amount specified in this paragraph is-
 - (A) for fiscal year 2018, \$19,100,000,000;
 - (B) for fiscal year 2019, \$17,000,000,000; and (C) for fiscal year 2020, \$15,000,000,000.
 - (c) DETERMINATION.—For purposes of this sec-
- tion, budgetary levels shall be determined on the basis of estimates provided by the chair of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 5104. LIMITATION ON ADVANCE APPROPRIA-TIONS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—In the House of Representatives, except as provided for in subsection (b), any general appropriation bill or bill or joint resolution continuing appropriations, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, may not provide advance appropriations.
- (b) Exceptions.—An advance appropriation may be provided for programs, projects, activities, or accounts identified in the report or the joint explanatory statement of managers, as applicable, accompanying this concurrent resolution under the following headings:
- (1) GENERAL.—"Accounts Identified for Advance Appropriations".
- (2) VETERANS.—"Veterans Accounts Identified for Advance Appropriations".
- (c) LIMITATIONS.—The aggregate level of advance appropriations shall not exceed the following:
- (1) GENERAL.—\$28,852,000,000 in new budget authority for all programs identified pursuant to subsection (b)(1).
- (2) VETERANS.—\$70,699,313,000 in new budget authority for programs in the Department of Veterans Affairs identified pursuant to subsection (b)(2).

(d) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "advance appropriation" means any new discretionary budget authority provided in a general appropriation bill or joint resolution continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2018, or any amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that first becomes available for the first fiscal year following fiscal year 2018.

SEC. 5105. ESTIMATES OF DEBT SERVICE COSTS.

In the House of Representatives, the chair of the Committee on the Budget may direct the Congressional Budget Office to include, in any estimate prepared under section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 with respect to any bill or joint resolution, an estimate of any change in debt service costs resulting from carrying out such bill or resolution. Any estimate of debt service costs provided under this section shall be advisory and shall not be used for purposes of enforcement of such Act, the Rules of the House of Representatives, or this concurrent resolution. This section shall not apply to authorizations of programs funded by discretionary spending or to appropriation bills or joint resolutions, but shall apply to changes in the authorization level of appropriated entitlements

SEC. 5106. FAIR-VALUE CREDIT ESTIMATES.

- (a) ALL CREDIT PROGRAMS.—Whenever the Director of the Congressional Budget Office provides an estimate of any measure that establishes or modifies any program providing loans or loan guarantees, the Director shall also, to the extent practicable, provide a fair-value estimate of such loan or loan guarantee program if requested by the chair of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives.
- (b) STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AND HOUSING PROGRAMS.—The Director of the Congressional Budget Office shall provide, to the extent practicable, a fair-value estimate as part of any estimate for any measure that established or modifies a loan or loan guarantee program for student financial assistance or housing (including residential mortgage).
- (c) BASELINE ESTIMATES.—The Congressional Budget Office shall include estimates, on a fairvalue and credit reform basis, of loan and loan guarantee programs for student financial assistance, housing (including residential mortgage), and such other major loan and loan guarantee programs, as practicable, in its The Budget and Economic Outlook: 2018 to 2027.
- (d) ENFORCEMENT IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—If the Director of the Congressional Budget Office provides an estimate pursuant to subsection (a) or (b), the chair of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives may use such estimate to determine compliance with the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and other budget enforcement requirements

SEC. 5107. ESTIMATES OF MACROECONOMIC EF-FECTS OF MAJOR LEGISLATION.

- (a) CBO AND JCT ESTIMATES.—During the 115th Congress, any estimate of major legislation considered in the House of Representatives provided by the Congressional Budget Office under section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 or by the Joint Committee on Taxation to the Congressional Budget Office under section 201(f) of such Act shall, to the extent practicable, incorporate the budgetary effects of changes in economic output, employment, capital stock, and other macroeconomic variables resulting from such major legislation.
- (b) CONTENTS.—Any estimate referred to in subsection (a) shall, to the extent practicable, include—
- (1) a qualitative assessment of the budgetary effects (including macroeconomic variables described in subsection (a)) of the major legislation in the 20-fiscal year period beginning after the last fiscal year of the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget that sets forth budgetary levels required under section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974; and

- (2) an identification of the critical assumptions and the source of data underlying that estimate.
 - (c) Definitions.—In this section:
- (1) MAJOR LEGISLATION.—The term "major legislation" means a bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report there-on—
- (A) for which an estimate is required to be prepared pursuant to section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 653) and that causes a gross budgetary effect (before incorporating macroeconomic effects and not including timing shifts) in a fiscal year in the period of years of the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget equal to or greater than 0.25 percent of the current projected gross domestic product of the United States for that fiscal year; or
 - (B) designated as such by-
- (i) the chair of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives for all direct spending legislation; or
- (ii) the Member who is Chairman or Vice Chairman of the Joint Committee on Taxation for revenue legislation.
- (2) BUDGETARY EFFECTS.—The term "budgetary effects" means changes in revenues, direct spending outlaws, and deficits.
- (3) TIMING SHIFTS.—The term "timing shifts" means—
- (A) provisions that cause a delay of the date on which outlays flowing from direct spending would otherwise occur from one fiscal year to the next fiscal year; or
- (B) provisions that cause an acceleration of the date on which revenues would otherwise occur from one fiscal year to the prior fiscal year.

SEC. 5108. ADJUSTMENTS FOR IMPROVED CONTROL OF BUDGETARY RESOURCES.

- (a) Adjustments of Discretionary and Di-RECT SPENDING LEVELS.—In the House of Representatives, if a committee (other than the Committee on Appropriations) reports a hill or joint resolution, or an amendment thereto is offered or conference report thereon is submitted providing for a decrease in direct spending (budget authority and outlays flowing therefrom) for any fiscal year and also provides for an authorization of appropriations for the same purpose, upon the enactment of such measure, the chair of the Committee on the Budget may decrease the allocation to the applicable authorizing committee that reports such measure and increase the allocation of discretionary spending (budget authority and outlays flowing therefrom) to the Committee on Appropriations for fiscal year 2018 by an amount equal to the new budget authority (and outlays flowing therefrom) provided for in a bill or joint resolution making appropriations for the same purpose.
- (b) DETERMINATIONS.—In the House of Representatives, for purposes of enforcing this concurrent resolution, the allocations and aggregate levels of new budget authority, outlays, direct spending, revenues, deficits, and surpluses for fiscal year 2018 and the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027 shall be determined on the basis of estimates made by the chair of the Committee on the Budget and such chair may adjust the applicable levels in this concurrent resolution.

SEC. 5109. SCORING RULE FOR ENERGY SAVINGS PERFORMANCE CONTRACTS.

- (a) In General.—The Director of the Congressional Budget Office shall estimate provisions of any bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that provides the authority to enter into or modify any covered energy savings contract on a net present value basis (NPV).
- (b) NPV CALCULATIONS.—The net present value of any covered energy savings contract shall be calculated as follows:
- (1) The discount rate shall reflect market risk.
 (2) The cash flows shall include, whether classified as mandatory or discretionary, payments

- to contractors under the terms of their contracts, payments to contractors for other services, and direct savings in energy and energy-related costs.
- (3) The stream of payments shall cover the period covered by the contracts but not to exceed 25 years.
- (c) DEFINITION.—As used in this section, the term "covered energy savings contract" means—
- (1) an energy savings performance contract authorized under section 801 of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act; or
- (2) a utility energy service contract, as described in the Office of Management and Budget Memorandum on Federal Use of Energy Savings Performance Contracting, dated July 25, 1998 (M-98-13), and the Office of Management and Budget Memorandum on the Federal Use of Energy Saving Performance Contracts and Utility Energy Service Contracts, dated September 28, 2015 (M-12-21), or any successor to either memorandum.
- (d) ENFORCEMENT IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—In the House of Representatives, if any net present value of any covered energy savings contract calculated under subsection (b) results in a net savings, then the budgetary effects of such contract shall not be counted for purposes of titles III and IV of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, this concurrent resolution, or clause 10 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.
- (e) CLASSIFICATION OF SPENDING.—For purposes of budget enforcement, the estimated net present value of the budget authority provided by the measure, and outlays flowing therefrom, shall be classified as direct spending.
- (f) Sense of the House of Representa-Tives.—It is the sense of the House of Representatives that—
- (1) the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the Director of the Congressional Budget Office, should separately identify the cash flows under subsection (b)(2) and include such information in the President's annual budget submission under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code; and
- (2) the scoring method used in this section should not be used to score any contracts other than covered energy savings contracts.

SEC. 5110. LIMITATION ON TRANSFERS FROM THE GENERAL FUND OF THE TREASURY TO THE HIGHWAY TRUST FUND.

In the House of Representatives, for purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, and the rules or orders of the House of Representatives, a bill or joint resolution, or an amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that transfers funds from the general fund of the Treasury to the Highway Trust Fund shall be counted as new budget authority and outlays equal to the amount of the transfer in the fiscal year the transfer occurs.

SEC. 5111. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FEDERAL RE-SERVE SURPLUSES AS AN OFFSET.

In the House of Representatives, any provision of a bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that transfers any portion of the net surplus of the Federal Reserve System to the general fund of the Treasury shall not be counted for purposes of enforcing the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, this concurrent resolution, or clause 10 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 5112. PROHIBITION ON USE OF GUARANTEE FEES AS AN OFFSET.

In the House of Representatives, any provision of a bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that increases, or extends the increase of, any guarantee fees of the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) shall not be counted for purposes of enforcing the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, this concurrent resolution, or clause 10 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 5113. MODIFICATION OF RECONCILIATION IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTA-TIVES

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2002 shall have no force or effect.

(b) RECONCILIATION IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—Not later than November 13, 2017, the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives shall report to the House of Representatives changes in laws within its jurisdiction that increase the deficit by not more than \$1,500,000,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

Subtitle B—Other Provisions

SEC. 5201. BUDGETARY TREATMENT OF ADMINIS-TRATIVE EXPENSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—In the House of Representatives, notwithstanding section 302(a)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, section 13301 of the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990, and section 2009a of title 39, United States Code, the report or the joint explanatory statement, as applicable, accompanying this concurrent resolution shall include in its allocation to the Committee on Appropriations under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 amounts for the discretionary administrative expenses of the Social Security Administration and the United States Postal Service.

(b) SPECIAL RULE.—In the House of Representatives, for purposes of enforcing section 302(f) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, estimates of the levels of total new budget authority and total outlays provided by a measure shall include any discretionary amounts described in subsection (a).

SEC. 5202. APPLICATION AND EFFECT OF CHANGES IN ALLOCATIONS AND AG-GREGATES.

- (a) APPLICATION.—In the House of Representatives, any adjustments of the allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels made pursuant to this concurrent resolution shall—
- (1) apply while that measure is under consideration;
- (2) take effect upon the enactment of that measure; and
- (3) be published in the Congressional Record as soon as practicable.
- (b) EFFECT OF CHANGED ALLOCATIONS AND AG-GREGATES.—Revised allocations and aggregates resulting from these adjustments shall be considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as the allocations and aggregates contained in this concurrent resolution.
- (c) BUDGET COMMITTEE DETERMINATIONS.— For purposes of this concurrent resolution, the budgetary levels for a fiscal year or period of fiscal years shall be determined on the basis of estimates made by the chair of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives.
- (d) AGGREGATES, ALLOCATIONS AND APPLICATION.—In the House of Representatives, for purposes of this concurrent resolution and budget enforcement, the consideration of any bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, for which the chair of the Committee on the Budget makes adjustments or revisions in the allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels of this concurrent resolution shall not be subject to the points of order set forth in clause 10 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives or section 5101 of this concurrent resolution.
- (e) OTHER ADJUSTMENTS.—The chair of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives may adjust other appropriate levels in this concurrent resolution depending on congressional action on pending reconciliation legislation.

SEC. 5203. ADJUSTMENTS TO REFLECT CHANGES IN CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS.

In the House of Representatives, the chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the appropriate aggregates, allocations, and other budgetary levels in this concurrent resolution for any change in budgetary concepts and definitions consistent with section 251(b)(1) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

SEC. 5204. ADJUSTMENT FOR CHANGES IN THE BASELINE.

In the House of Representatives, the chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the allocations, aggregates, reconciliation targets, and other appropriate budgetary levels in this concurrent resolution to reflect changes resulting from the Congressional Budget Office's update to its baseline for fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 5205. APPLICATION OF RULE REGARDING LIMITS ON DISCRETIONARY SPEND-

Section 314(f) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 shall not apply in the House of Representatives to any bill, joint resolution, or amendment that provides new budget authority for a fiscal year or to any conference report on any such bill or resolution if—

(1) the enactment of that bill or resolution;

(2) the adoption and enactment of that amendment; or

(3) the enactment of that bill or resolution in the form recommended in that conference report, would not cause the 302(a) allocation to the Committee on Appropriations for fiscal year 2018 to be exceeded.

SEC. 5206. ENFORCEMENT FILING IN THE HOUSE.

In the House of Representatives, if a concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018 is adopted without the appointment of a committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses with respect to this concurrent resolution on the budget, for the purpose of enforcing the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and applicable rules and requirements set forth in the concurrent resolution on the budget, the allocations and list provided for in this section shall apply in the House of Representatives in the same manner as if such allocations and list were in a joint explanatory statement accompanying a conference report on the budget for fiscal year 2018. The chair of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives shall submit a statement for publication in the Congressional Record containing-

(1) for the Committee on Appropriations, committee allocations for fiscal year 2018 consistent with title I for the purpose of enforcing section 302 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633);

(2) for all committees other than the Committee on Appropriations, committee allocations consistent with title I for fiscal year 2018 and for the period of fiscal years 2018 through 2027 for the purpose of enforcing 302 of the Congres-

sional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633); and (3) a list of programs, projects, activities, or accounts identified for advance appropriations for the purpose of enforcing section 5104 of this concurrent resolution.

SEC. 5207. EXERCISE OF RULEMAKING POWERS.

The House of Representatives adopts the provisions of this title and section 2002—

(1) as an exercise of the rulemaking power of the House of Representatives, and as such they shall be considered as part of the rules of the House of Representatives, and such rules shall supersede other rules only to the extent that they are inconsistent with such other rules: and

(2) with full recognition of the constitutional right of the House of Representatives to change those rules at any time, in the same manner, and to the same extent as is the case of any other rule of the House of Representatives.

Subtitle C—Adjustment Authority SEC. 5301. ADJUSTMENT AUTHORITY FOR AMEND-MENTS TO STATUTORY CAPS.

During the 115th Congress, if a measure becomes law that amends the discretionary spending limits established under section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 901(c)), such as a measure increasing the limit for the revised security category for fiscal year 2018 to be

\$640,000,000,000, the chair of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives may adjust the allocation called for under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633(a)) to the appropriate committee or committees of the House of Representatives, and may adjust all other budgetary aggregates, allocations, levels, and limits contained in this resolution, as necessary, consistent with such measure

Subtitle D—Reserve Funds SEC. 5401. RESERVE FUND FOR INVESTMENTS IN NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE.

In the House of Representatives, the chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the allocations, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this concurrent resolution for any bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that invests in national infrastructure to the extent that such measure is deficit neutral for the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 5402. RESERVE FUND FOR COMPREHENSIVE TAX REFORM.

In the House of Representatives, if the Committee on Ways and Means reports a bill or joint resolution that provides for comprehensive tax reform, the chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the allocations, aggregates, and other appropriate budgetary levels in this concurrent resolution for the budgetary effects of any such bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, if such measure would not increase the deficit for the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 5403. RESERVE FUND FOR THE STATE CHIL-DREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PRO-GRAM.

In the House of Representatives, the chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the allocations, budget aggregates and other appropriate levels in this concurrent resolution for the budgetary effects of any bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that extends the State Children's Health Insurance Program allotments, if such measure would not increase the deficit for the total of fiscal years 2018 through 2027.

SEC. 5404. RESERVE FUND FOR THE REPEAL OR REPLACEMENT OF PRESIDENT OBAMA'S HEALTH CARE LAWS.

In the House of Representatives, the chair of the Committee on the Budget may revise the allocations, aggregates, and other appropriate budgetary levels in this concurrent resolution for the budgetary effects of any bill or joint resolution, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that repeals or replaces any provision of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act or title I or subtitle B of title II of the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 by the amount of budget authority and outlays flowing therefrom provided by such measure for such purpose.

MOTION TO CONCUR

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will designate the motion.

The text of the motion is as follows: Mrs. Black moves that the House concur in the Senate amendment to House Concurrent Resolution 71.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 580, the motion shall be debatable for 1 hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget.

The gentlewoman from Tennessee (Mrs. Black) and the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. Yarmuth) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Tennessee. Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the Senate amendment to H. Con. Res. 71, the budget resolution for fiscal year 2018.

Passing a budget is never easy, and it has, once again, been a challenge this year. But I am encouraged with where we are now, and I am pleased that the Senate did its work by approving a budget, one that we can support in order to unlock tax reform for the American people.

Without question, there are plenty of things that I wish were included in what the Senate passed, ideas that the House put forward earlier this month when we approved our budget. For example, I still feel strongly about addressing unsustainable mandatory spending, and that hasn't changed. The growing burden of debt caused by mandatory spending is a real problem that cannot be ignored.

We owe it to the American people to do something, to offer serious reforms that ensure government programs are financially sustainable and working well for generations to come, and I think we will tackle this important issue in the future. Really, we don't have a choice

But despite any shortcomings of the Senate-passed budget, I am encouraged that it does reflect the shared priorities of both Chambers. Moving forward with this budget is also supported by our President.

I want to remind my colleagues that before final passage last week, the Senate did include numerous provisions previously passed by the House, and I was proud to be involved in those negotiations with the leaders of the House, the Senate, and the White House.

For example, the Senate-passed budget creates a mechanism that would permit the Budget Committee chairman to adjust the budget allocations if there is future legislation signed into law that revises the BCA spending caps.

The Senate-passed budget also includes numerous improvements to the House budget's enforcement that are designed to strengthen fiscal discipline. Because we worked together to find a common ground, we can move ahead toward tax reform and expand upon the ideas in the conservative framework unveiled last month.

Throughout my nearly 7 years as a Member of the House, Republicans have talked about modernizing our outdated and overly complicated tax system, and today, we have the opportunity to take that next big step to unlock tax reform for the American people, fulfilling the promise that we made long ago to our constituents.

By advancing tax reform, we can help Americans keep more of their hardearned paychecks; we can make it possible for most Americans to file their taxes on a simple postcard; we can level the playing field for business and help them compete better globally; and we can empower entrepreneurs and small businesses, encouraging them to create more jobs.

This budget acknowledges that our economy is in desperate need of a jolt, and the tax cuts included in the Senate-passed budget hold that promise of doing just that. Put simply, we have the opportunity to make history by reforming our tax system for the first time in nearly three decades.

President Trump is with us on this, and I agree that we must move quickly, and that is why I urge my colleagues to pass this budget today.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 0915

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the bill we are debating today is not a real effort at responsible budgeting. It is a means to an end: a single-minded plan to make it easier to enact tax cuts for the wealthy and big corporations, regardless of the consequences for everyone else.

If approved by the House today, an irresponsible \$1.5 trillion tax bill will come to the floor in a matter of weeks. It is being rushed because Republicans don't want the American people to know what is in it. They don't want you to find out that it overwhelmingly benefits the wealthy while increasing taxes on millions of middle class families.

Rushing through legislation that impacts nearly every American family and business is reckless, and voting on a bill that rewrites our Nation's Tax Code a week or two after it is introduced without any real input from the people who will be impacted is negligent. But that is what you do when you can't defend your own policy.

And there are a lot of unjustifiable provisions in this budget. On top of massive tax cuts for the rich, it cuts vital national investments, threatening our economic progress and our national security. It cuts more than \$4 trillion in mandatory spending, including nearly \$2 trillion from Medicare and Medicaid alone.

The enormity of these cuts and the severity of the consequences for American families cannot be overstated. But more cuts will be coming once the Republican tax cuts blow an enormous hole in the budget. We will see a tax on Medicare, Medicaid, Social Security, nutrition assistance—on important benefits that help American families get ahead.

I know my Republican colleagues desperately want to believe that the tax cuts in their budget will pay for themselves and usher in a new era of economic growth—or at least they want the American people to believe that. But the record is clear, this approach has failed time and time again.

And now, even though the evidence and experts have concluded that these tax cuts will not create an economic boom but will, instead, lead to a higher concentration of wealth among the rich while dramatically increasing deficits and debt, my Republican colleagues are trying to do it again.

Everything we do in Congress should be about making the lives of American families better and more secure. We owe them a budget that invests in their future, a Tax Code that is fair, and a full and honest debate on both. This budget and the tax cuts that will follow are a failure on all fronts.

I, therefore, urge my Republican colleagues to abandon this dangerous budget and start addressing the needs and priorities of the American people.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the Senate amendment to H. Con. Res. 71.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentle-woman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, it is now my honor to yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. SMITH).

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, first of all, I want to thank the chairwoman of the Budget Committee for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, we need to pass this budget not only to rein in out-of-control spending, but also to give Congress the go-ahead on much-needed tax reform.

We need to reduce the tax burden on hardworking Americans. The typical household in the 21st Congressional District of Texas pays over \$15,700 in Federal taxes. Past experience shows that tax relief generates strong economic growth. It enables Americans to save, invest, create jobs, and spend more of their income.

Our vision of tax reform benefits families across America. For example, in my congressional district, one-sixth of households utilize the child tax credit. Increasing the child tax credit will help families keep more of their hard-earned money to use on child care or parental leave, school supplies, college savings, and other expenses associated with raising a child.

Let's help American families enjoy a more prosperous future rather than pay more of their hard-earned dollars to the Federal Government.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I am happy to yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. Delbene), a distinguished Member of the House Budget Committee and Ways and Means Committee.

Ms. Delbene. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this budget proposal.

With many working families and businesses still struggling to adapt to a rapidly changing economy, our top priority in Congress should be helping expand opportunities, opportunities to sustain long-term economic growth and security so no American is left behind.

Unfortunately, the bill we are voting on today is not a serious budget designed to help middle class families. Instead, this budget is simply a vehicle to rush through a partisan tax proposal using a process known as reconciliation.

And what is worse, the Ryan-McConnell tax plan would add trillions of dollars to the deficit, making our children foot the bill for tax cuts that disproportionately benefit the wealthiest. In fact, the Tax Policy Center has estimated that the Ryan-McConnell tax plan could raise taxes by an average of \$1,209 a year on families earning between \$50,000 and \$150,000 a year. This is moving in the wrong direction.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "no."

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, it is now my honor to yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. NORMAN).

Mr. NORMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of the fiscal year 2018 budget resolution, which is a critical first step to achieving comprehensive tax reform and making the American economy great again. I also applaud Chairwoman DIANE BLACK for her leadership in producing this budget.

Our Nation has not significantly reformed our Tax Code in more than three decades, which has allowed the Tax Code to explode in complexity and unnecessary burden on hardworking American families and businesses. Moreover, while the United States is a world leader in innovation and entrepreneurship, we have failed to reduce our corporate tax rate, which stands at 35 percent, the highest in the developed world. And I would add, corporations don't pay tax; the American people pay tax.

The unified framework unveiled earlier this year will simplify the Tax Code for everyone, eliminate wasteful tax loopholes, and reduce taxes on businesses. I am also pleased to see that the plan eliminates the death tax on farmers and moves to full expensing. Under this plan, the average family will see an increase in income between \$4,000 and \$9,000, annually.

While I believe this budget is necessary to spur economic growth and increase wages, I am extremely disappointed that the Senate removed the \$203 billion of mandatory spending cuts, given the challenge the national debt poses to our great United States. However, we should not make the perfect the enemy of the good, and I understand that issues as complex as the budget and tax reform require compromise.

I appreciate the leadership of Speaker Ryan, Chairman Brady, and the rest of the leadership team for their hard work on tax reform, and I look forward to working with my colleagues to moving tax reform over the finish line and to President Trump's desk.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I am happy to yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. YARMUTH for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to this fiscal year 2018 budget resolution for a number of reasons, not the least of which is that the underlying assumptions are grossly misleading. It assumes fictions like hundreds of billions of dollars from the repeal of the Affordable Care Act, and it assumes an economic growth rate of 3 percent, which most economists on both sides do not believe is possible.

This budget is merely a vehicle for Republicans to fast-track tax cuts for millionaires, billionaires, and large corporations. Any assertion of cuts for working families is debunked by experts like Leonard Burman, cofounder of the nonpartisan Tax Policy Center, who has called this "utterly implausible."

Mr. Speaker, the facts are these: 80 percent of the Republican tax cuts go to the top 1 percent by 2027; the average tax cut for the top 1 percent in 2027 will be \$207,000; and 42 million middle class households will face a tax increase, including those earning between \$50,000 and \$150,000, who will see a tax increase of one-third. That is what this budget lays the path for.

If we want to see where this will lead, let's just look at Kansas, a place where the Republican legislature has rolled back the tax cuts that they passed from several years ago because they simply didn't work and put Kansas' economy into a downward spiral.

We know who wins under this budget resolution. It simply paves the way for a huge tax cut for the wealthiest millionaires, billionaires, and corporations. That is wrong, and I urge a "no" vote on this budget.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, it is now my honor to yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. McCLINTOCK), a member of the Budget Committee.

Mr. McCLINTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, unsustainable government spending drives both taxes and debt.

The budget resolution sets the spending architecture for the fiscal year. The House version provided for \$200 billion of enforceable mandatory spending reductions over 10 years and balanced within the decade. The Senate amendments gut these provisions, squandering the one opportunity Congress has each year to bring mandatory spending under control, taking us another year closer to a sovereign debt crisis. This is tragic, and I condemn it in the strongest terms.

The Senate, though, has retained just one key provision from the House budget. It makes tax reform possible this year. Tax reform is essential to economic growth, and economic growth is essential to confront our debt.

Many are alarmed that it provides for \$1.5 trillion of additional debt, but this is due solely to the Senate's rules that require tax cuts to be scored only as revenue losses without taking into account economic expansion.

During the Obama years, our economy grew at an average of 1½ percent, annually. That is about half the average rate since World War II. Reagan averaged 3½ percent. Reagan did this by reducing the tax burdens that were crushing our economy. He slashed the top income tax rate from 70 percent down to 28 percent, and income tax receipts nearly doubled because of the economic expansion he unleashed.

Taxes driven by spending are the greatest threat to our economy today, and debt driven by spending is the greatest threat to our future. Controlling spending is currently impossible in the Senate. So it is obvious that we can't balance the budget and reduce our debt without significantly increasing economic growth; we can't increase economic growth without tax relief; and we can't get tax relief without the provisions in the Senate budget.

Arthur Laffer, the architect of the Reagan tax policy, forecasts that the corporate tax reform alone will increase GDP growth at a rate that should generate a temporary bump of 5 percent, settling down to an average of 2.6 percent over the decade. This will add \$5 trillion to the American economy and directly increase revenues to all levels of government between \$1.8 trillion and \$2 trillion.

We have tried a static approach to tax policy during the Obama years. The economy stagnated and the debt doubled.

I remember what it was like in the Reagan era. Wages were rising and opportunities for better jobs were everywhere. There was a sense of optimism that comes with prosperity and abundance. When we abandoned these policies, we lost that prosperity to a decade of despair.

I want my kids to know what that sense of relief and optimism was like, what it feels like when morning dawns again on the American economy. This resolution starts that transformation, and I urge its adoption.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I remind the prior speaker, my friend from California, that Arthur Laffer was also the architect of the Kansas plan, which was disastrous for that State. So citing him as a source, I would be a little bit careful.

Mr. Speaker, I am happy to yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. LEE), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee and the Appropriations Committee.

Ms. LEE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding and for his tremendous leadership.

And also, just very briefly, I want to mention to my colleague from California on the other side, I remember the Reagan-era tax cut period also, and there was a huge rise in homeless veterans as a result, unfortunately.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to the so-called budget plan.

I know that our budget shapes our national priorities and values, but the Republicans have put forward a budget that I think is downright sinister. This budget is morally bankrupt. It is a Trojan horse that steals healthcare from children and rips food from the hungry just to fast-track \$1.5 trillion in tax breaks to billionaires and corporations.

Budgets are moral documents. They should not be rigged in favor of special interests and the wealthy few, but the cruel and crooked Republican budget does just that. Our Nation's budget should prioritize working families and the middle class, too many of whom are making low wages and living below the poverty line.

□ 0930

It should assist those struggling to find a job. It should invest in workforce training, education, job creation and job training. Instead, this Republican budget creates tax cuts for billionaires, millionaires, and corporations.

Our budget should expand to protect healthcare for all. Instead, this budget steals nearly \$2 trillion from lifesaving Medicaid and Medicare.

With nearly 40 million Americans living in poverty, our budget should invest in communities of color and rural communities, which have higher rates of poverty.

Simply put, the House Republican budget would push more people into poverty. It slashes programs that help create good paying jobs for struggling families. It is a shame, it is immoral, it is un-American, and I hope we defeat it.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I just must make a comment on what went on in Kansas and the attribution that this was Mr. Laffer's idea.

I know Mr. Laffer personally and have had a conversation with him about his plan and suggestion. It was not followed. So I do want to lift up his good name and say that his plan was not followed.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. ALLEN).

Mr. ALLEN. Mr. Speaker, I want to congratulate Chairman BLACK on the markup of this important piece of legislation out of the Budget Committee.

As we all know, tax season is the worst. It evokes images of stress, accountants, lawyers, and American families sending hard-earned money to the Federal Government.

I, for one, have never been excited when it is tax season, and as a businessowner, it took on a whole new meaning.

Taxes affect all Americans, but tax season shouldn't include months and months of preparation, often required to hire tax professionals.

Our Tax Code is broken, and millions of Americans are looking to us to fix it. That is why Republicans have released the Unified Tax Reform Framework to provide relief for hardworking

Americans and jump-start our economy.

First, it lowers taxes at every income level, allowing Americans to keep more of their hard-earned paychecks. It delivers the lowest tax rates in modern history for job creators, allowing them to invest in growing their business. I will remind you that the small business community is responsible for 70 percent of all new jobs created.

The vast majority of taxpayers will no longer have to deal with the complexity of itemizing due to the increased standard deduction. Small businesses will no longer be taxed under the individual side of the code. Families will no longer be penalized for inheriting family property or businesses, when the death tax is removed. Finally, we will cut tax rates on personal savings and investment in half.

Americans should invest in their local economies and build towards a more financially secure future without exorbitant taxes.

Now is the time for tax reform, and today we take a big step towards action. We must pass this budget. Hardworking Americans across the Nation will have the same April 15 they always have if we don't, and that is unacceptable.

We cannot miss this opportunity. President Trump is with us on tax reform, and we must act for the American people. I urge all my colleagues to support this budget. It is critical to the American people.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the billionaires' budget. That is exactly what the Republican budget is. 79.7 percent of it goes to the richest 1 percent.

On top of that, who pays for it? The middle class and working class families of my district. Some 50 million Americans will be paying more in taxes, not less, as a result of this tax plan.

Now, I have nothing against the billionaires that my friends on the other side are so eager to help. I just don't think the working class and middle class families of my district should be paying for their tax cuts.

We should instead have a budget that focuses on building the middle class out, on lifting up those who have been working for the last 15 years and not getting a pay increase. This budget does absolutely nothing for those families zero.

On top of all of this, it adds \$1.5 trillion to our national debt. It is wrong. It does not do anything to help the great American middle class, and it must be rejected.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. Johnson), a member of the Budget Committee.

Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman BLACK for yielding time.

Mr. Speaker, I hear it all the time, and it is just a false narrative. This idea that the tax reform package presented by Republicans is only a tax relief for the wealthy and that the middle class and low-income families are not going to benefit from it is just absolutely untrue.

We are talking about doubling the standard deduction. Millions of Americans aren't even going to pay any taxes. That is particularly important in rural areas like I represent in Ohio.

So I would urge my colleagues, let's stop this false narrative that says that this is just a tax cut for the wealthy, because that is not true.

By the way, when you cut taxes on businesses and corporations, who pays those taxes, Mr. Speaker?

It is the American people who buy the products that pay those taxes. When they get a break, everybody wins.

Look, the adoption of the Senate amendment to the House-passed budget that we are going to vote on today paves the way for tax reform. It is going to establish a path of balance through restrained spending, reduced taxes, and economic growth. It is going to allow for higher defense spending contingent on future adjustments to discretionary spending caps for defense and national security, but it begins to address our national debt.

It reduces nondefense discretionary spending by over \$600 billion over 10 years. It assumes more than \$4 trillion in mandatory savings over 10 years. And it provides for budget enforcement in the House in order to strengthen fiscal discipline.

Mr. Speaker, this is a responsible path forward. The American people are screaming for a simpler, fairer, flatter Tax Code, one that makes American workers competitive, one that let's the American people keep more of what they earn in their pockets.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support today's vote, pass this budget amendment, and let's get on to tax reform.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield $1\frac{1}{2}$ minutes to the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. CLYBURN), the assistant Democratic leader.

Mr. CLYBURN. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend for yielding me the time.

Mr. Speaker, the document before us is a partisan exercise to deliver large tax cuts to the wealthy. Working Americans will see their taxes go up, and our children and grandchildren will have to pay back the debt Republicans will create to finance these tax cuts.

While they promise the American people revenue neutral tax reform that will simplify the Tax Code and close costly loopholes, the budget they are ramming through will borrow \$1.5 trillion to finance these cuts. It will precipitate cuts to Medicare, Medicaid, and other safety net programs upon which middle-income families depend.

It proposes to eliminate the deduction for State and local taxes, increasing the tax burden on over 500,000 people in my home State of South Carolina.

This document threatens the earned income tax credit; lowers the ceiling on middle-income savings; and eliminates the inheritance tax, which only affects those with estates valued over \$11 million.

It creates a pass-through for businesses that pay zero corporate taxes, effectively giving the owners of these companies a lower individual rate than the people they employ.

If the Republicans would engage us, we could produce a bipartisan tax plan that would expand the earned income tax credit for single individuals and the child tax credit for working families.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FLO-RES). The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentleman.

Mr. CLYBURN. Mr. Speaker, we could produce a bipartisan tax plan that would end the preferential treatment of investment income, which undermines working Americans while enriching wealthy investors.

We stand ready to engage with the other side. Until then, we will be resolute in our opposition to this unfair, immoral document.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART), a member of both our Budget Committee and the Appropriations Committee.

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Mr. Speaker, I want to first thank the chairwoman for a phenomenal job.

Look, our current fiscal environment, according to the CBO, they project that the growth of our economy will be 2 percent or less for the next decade.

I am reminded of what a good friend and Democratic colleague in the Budget Committee said one day: That 3 percent growth, that is just a dream, that is unrealistic.

Yet, before the storms hit, what did we see as far as our economic growth of the country: 3.1 percent economic growth, something that one of our colleagues, Democratic colleagues, said was a pipe dream.

This is, in large part, because excessive regulations have been curtailed by both the administration and by Congress; but to keep that momentum, we need to pass tax reform.

It will lead to a sustained strong economy. It will again lower the tax burden to our families. It will lead to increased wages for families, for the middle class, for individuals for the first time in such a long time, allowing the American people to keep more of their hard-earned money. It would make small-, mid-, and large-sized businesses more competitive so they can create millions of additional jobs here in the United States.

Mr. Speaker, this legislation will allow us to do real tax reform to keep the economy growing, to get the economy going, to get the American people working again, and this is an essential part.

Mr. Speaker, I urge everyone's support.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. CARBAJAL), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Mr. CARBAJAL. Mr. Speaker, I thank Ranking Member YARMUTH for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, snake oil is all that this Republican budget will give to the American middle class and working families.

This Republican budget before Congress is squarely aimed at ramming through a tax plan without bipartisan consensus or input. This proposed tax plan will increase our deficit, adding \$1.5 trillion over the next decade, and it leaves the middle class stuck footing the bill, with an increase in their annual Federal taxes.

In fact, 80 percent of the tax cuts in this plan benefits only the wealthiest 1 percent of Americans. That means those benefits are geared towards those earning \$900,000 a year or more.

One in three middle class families making between \$50,000 and \$150,000 will see their taxes go up.

One proposal that Republicans have put forth to pay for their plan is eliminating the State and local tax deduction. This will cost central coast homeowners and families in my district over \$15,000 a year on average.

As a member of the Budget Committee, I encourage my colleagues to reject this plan and to get to work on bipartisan negotiations for lasting tax reform that benefits middle class families.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, there is an old saying that the Devil is in the details. And those details have not been released yet, so it is difficult for me to understand how my colleagues on the other side of the aisle make assumptions on just what this tax plan will do, calling it things such as snake oil, when I can assure you that, as a member of the Ways and Means Committee, it is our goal and intent that the people in the middle- and low-income categories will see tax relief.

I also want to remind them that what we know was the Devil in the details is the details given to the American people a number of years ago on the Affordable Care Act—which is neither affordable nor caring, in my opinion—was that people would see a return of about \$2,500 on the average in their pocket as a result of the Affordable Care Act's policies, and what we saw and what we are seeing now is a big increase in those premiums. Certainly they have not received \$2,500 in their pocket.

They were told they could keep their doctor, which we knew wasn't true, and

the other kinds of things that were done that caused people to lose their insurance in my very own State, because we had a plan the people liked and people wanted to keep but could not because of the mandates that were put on by the Affordable Care Act.

□ 0945

I want to remind my friends from the other side of the aisle that maybe the thing to do is to wait and see what really is in the plan, because the devil is in the detail, and I think you may like it enough that you perhaps will even vote for this tax plan that does give a jolt to the economy and does help the American people, especially in the lower- and middle-income, to keep more of their hard-earned dollars in their pockets.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, to my friend on the other side of the aisle, the gentle-woman from Tennessee, the reality is that the truth hurts. This budget resolution totally abandons America's most cherished values and betrays its highest ideals.

This extreme budget not only threatens programs for our veterans and hungry children, it makes drastic cuts to the Medicare and Medicaid programs that our seniors count on for survival.

As it doles out that budgetary cruelty, this resolution hands massive tax cuts to millionaires and powerful corporations all while adding \$2.4 trillion to the deficit over the next decade.

It also fails to protect our environment, neglects our children's education, and once more targets women's healthcare for severe cuts.

In short, the wealthy win, the middle class is ignored, and we all get saddled with more debt. Those are not values that this House should stand for. It is certainly not what veterans, children, seniors, or hardworking Americans deserve. This irresponsible budget rewards the rich and powerful and punishes everyone else, and that is the best thing that I can say about it.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "no" vote.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, while House Democrats are focused on delivering better jobs, better wages, and a better future for the American people. House Republicans have once again presented a budget that is reckless, regressive, and reprehensible.

It is a "billionaire-first, middle classlast tax plan." It will not help the middle class. The House Republican budget and tax proposal will hurt the middle class by raising taxes on working families and middle-income Americans.

The House Republican budget and tax plan is nothing more than a wolf in sheep's clothing. It will benefit the wealthiest and the well-off here in this country. Eighty percent of the tax cuts proposed in the Ryan-McConnell plan will go to the wealthiest 1 percent in America, to millionaires and billionaires, to the privileged few, to special interest corporations. It will not lead to economic growth. It will saddle this country with trillions of dollars in additional debt and deficit.

It is based on a phony, fraudulent, and failed theory of trickle-down economics, which I finally figured out what it relates to in terms of the middle class. You may get a trickle, but you are guaranteed to stay down. Stay down because they are going to undermine your Medicare, stay down because they are going to undermine Social Security, and stay down because they are going to saddle your children with trillions of dollars of additional debt.

Mr. Speaker, reject this plan. It is a bad deal for the American people. They deserve a better deal.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Illinois (Mr. ROSKAM), a member of the Ways and Means Committee.

Mr. ROSKAM. Mr. Speaker, I am almost tempted to continue to yield time to my friend from the other side of the aisle. Just keep driving the expectations of any tax relief further and further into the ground, and I think people are going to be surprised and delighted with ultimately what the House ends up considering.

Mr. Speaker, this is why I am here. This is a prelude to tax reform. We vote on this. We then make it so that no single political party is able to deny a vote on tax reform, and both parties can come to the table and try and negotiate something that is thoughtful, because here is what we know: it is the current Tax Code that is benefiting people that everybody is scandalized that they are benefiting. It is the current Tax Code that allows corporations to lock trillions of dollars offshore. It is the current Tax Code that is really stifling and so difficult. And it is the current Tax Code that nobody can defend. There is not a single person on this floor that is going to say: Oh, the Internal Revenue Code? I love that, Mr. Speaker. Just leave it the way it is. It is a disaster, and nobody likes the IRS.

So rather than moaning and groaning and having posters and this and that, let's do this: let's dump the current Tax Code and let's have a transformational moment. Mr. Speaker, that is what our country and our constituents are yearning for, not old bumper stickers, not old shabby phrases from the past, but they are looking for us to lead and to bring people together, and that is what we are trying to do.

There is a meddlesome issue that affects my district as a high tax State,

and it affects a lot of other folks, and that is how we deal with State and local tax deductibility. I am of the view that tax reform does not mean simply the redistribution of a tax liability from one part of the country to another, but it means tax relief for everybody.

Mr. Speaker, I think what we are looking for is to create middle class tax relief. And if the gentleman's expectations are that low, I think he is going to be pleased with what we ultimately are able to come up with.

Mr. Speaker, I urge an "aye" vote. I thank the gentlewoman for the time, and I look forward to passing this resolution and moving forward to changing our Tax Code.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. LEVIN), a distinguished member of the Ways and Means Committee.

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. Speaker, the Republican budget politically paving the way for their tax reform proposals can best be described as an elixir of growth, a magic cure-all. Instead, it is a fake, indeed dangerous, potion.

History has shown that a huge tax cut, primarily for the very wealthy and large corporations, does not promote growth, and will make life harder for the middle class and everyone else.

This budget calls dangerously for raising our debt by \$1.5 trillion, creating a future deficit tax for middle-income families; cutting Medicare by nearly \$500 billion; cutting Medicaid and other health programs by \$1.3 trillion; and assuming \$4 trillion in cuts to a broad range of programs, which could include education and health research.

Mr. Speaker, vote "no" on this budget.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER), who is the chair of our RSC.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Speaker, I am torn as I rise today. First, there is no question I will vote in favor of the budget and encourage my colleagues to do so because there is no doubt this is the best way forward to achieve tax reform and unlock the promise of bigger paychecks, more jobs, and the return of investing in America.

However, I must also include that the Senate did not do its work. After months of hard work by Chairwoman BLACK and the Budget Committee, the House was able to get it done. Even acknowledging the difficult position of our country, they put us on a path to balance the budget in less than a decade.

The House's budget included reconciliation instructions to speed up the enactment of \$203 billion in mandatory savings, and the House budget included instructions that allowed us for the first time to stay on the path to repeal ObamaCare, to help those who continue to suffer with rising premiums in the individual market.

I would guess that nearly every Republican in the Chamber agrees that the House's budget is superior.

So why are we voting on the Senate's?

Because our Senate colleagues seem allergic sometimes to making tough choices.

But why will the Senate's budget pass?

Because the American people need tax relief. Families and small businesses wrestle with an outdated and complicated Tax Code every year. It is true, we do have a once-in-a-generation opportunity.

The specter of the IRS and devastating corporate rate mean that capital and resources are held outside of the United States and not invested here. Making our Tax Code fairer and simpler will bring this capital back to the market and jump-start investment and growth like we have rarely seen in the United States.

Despite my ongoing and deep frustration sometimes with the Senate, I encourage my colleagues to pass this budget and bring the promise of more jobs and bigger paychecks closer to reality.

I am pleased by the Speaker's commitment that the House will vote on important fiscal legislation in the form of balanced budget amendments, the Default Prevention Act, or some other deficit-reducing legislation.

Mr. Speaker, the bottom line? It is vital that the House fulfill its promise to the American people.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I now yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. Jackson Lee), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me

Mr. Speaker, the American people will look forward to a Thanksgiving and a Christmas of which families come together, but they will also see a Halloween.

Today, on the floor of the House, the Republicans will vote for the worst Halloween of hobgoblins and ghosts and monsters that you can ever imagine. Monsters scare children, so today we will be voting on that monster that will scare children.

Let me let you listen to Senator Sykes from Kansas, her State offering a Republican tax cut that was going to boost the economy. Her words are: "With the benefit of hindsight, we can say with certainty this promise was unfulfilled. In the following 5 years, Kansas experienced nine rounds of budget cuts, stress on State agencies, and the inability to effectively provide the core functions of government for our citizens."

Mr. Speaker, I include in the RECORD Senator Sykes' message to Congress.

A MESSAGE TO CONGRESS: DON'T MAKE THE SAME MISTAKE WE DID IN KANSAS (By Dinah Sykes, a Republican member of the Kansas State Senate)

Americans want efficient government, responsible spending and reasonable taxes. This is not difficult. Yet sometimes what

seems so simple becomes complicated when these concepts are turned into buzzwords and used as weapons for political gain.

In 2012, Republicans in Kansas enacted a "revolutionary" tax overhaul promised to be a "shot of adrenaline to the heart of the Kansas economy." With the benefit of hind-sight, we can say with certainty this promise was unfulfilled. In the following five years, Kansas experienced nine rounds of budget cuts, stress on state agencies and the inability to effectively provide the core functions of government for our citizens.

As Republicans in Congress begin working to modify the federal tax code, I worry that tax reform done poorly could lead to similar failure. I hope federal lawmakers learn from mistakes made at the state level.

This year, the Kansas legislature—including many Republicans like me—voted to partially restore income-tax rates and to repeal a provision that allowed independent business owners to pay almost no state taxes on their income. We also overrode our governor's veto, who opposed rolling back the tax cuts he championed.

Critics of our vote claim that Kansas didn't cut spending enough to accompany the tax cuts. In reality, we cut our budget through across-the-board cuts, targeted cuts, rescission bills and allotments. Roughly 3,000 state employee positions were cut, salaries were frozen, and road projects canceled. We delayed payments to the state employee retirement system and emptied our savings accounts. Even as we issued more than \$2 billion in new bonds to float our debt, Kansas received three credit downgrades, making that debt costlier

In Kansas, we understand the allure of taxcut promises. We want to believe promises of amazing growth or outcomes. In 2012, traditional budget forecast models accurately predicted the devastating effect the tax breaks would have on state revenue. Proponents of the plan used dynamic scoring predicting incredible economic growth and supporting their own preconceived ideas. Today, we know which forecasts were correct.

Across the state, citizens may have been paying less in income taxes, but those decreases were offset by increases in sales taxes, property taxes and fees. These changes alone were not enough to put the state on the right path. Education and infrastructure, key investments necessary for strong economic growth, were treated as the enemy. As we went through our 2017 legislative session, the "shot of economic adrenaline" still showed no signs of materializing. Our state functioned as though the Great Recession had never ended.

Kansas should serve as a cautionary tale illustrating the damage done when the normal order is shortchanged. America's founders and countless generations of leaders embedded deliberative procedures into our legislative process for a reason. But in 2012, the governor's tax proposal looked very different from the package he signed. A dispute between House and Senate versions should have gone to conference committee: however, the House cut short debate and rammed through a motion to concur with the Senate instead. I watch now as lawmakers in Congress use similar tactics, and I worry that backroom dealing and circumvention of process will lead to similar results.

I never anticipated entering public service. I was content raising my family, participating in the PTA and operating my business. However, I saw the impact that bad tax policy was having on the state. I felt the results of growing class sizes and shrinking programs in the schools my children attended. I witnessed a gradual erosion of the quality of life that makes Kansas such a great place to live.

There is a real temptation to let our frustration turn into anger. In our increasingly polarized world, we see what happens when we retreat to our ideological trenches. The antidote, it would seem to me, is listening carefully to those we disagree with and seeking common ground as a starting point. (We should also note that failing to listen to constituents while blindly holding to ideology can have consequences: About a third of Kansas legislators became ex-legislators in 2016.)

As our country looks at the key issues ahead of us, including tax policy and health-care reform, we face important questions: How can we as Americans work together to improve our tax policy? How can we work together to provide core government functions? Answering those questions requires having civil conversations, learning from our neighbors and sharing our experiences. We are better when we can work together to find compromise.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. What do you think will happen to this Nation if we vote for this budget plan, this Halloween of a plan?

The latest Republican budget mandates \$4.9 trillion in budget cuts.

Mr. Speaker, I cannot vote for this. I cannot vote for it because of the people in Texas after Hurricane Harvey; the people in Louisiana after Hurricane Nate; the people in Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Florida after Hurricanes Maria and Irma. I cannot vote for this. This will gut disaster relief, education, infrastructure, research, veterans benefits, and it will clearly provide tax cuts for the rich.

The Republican budget provides \$1.6 trillion in tax cuts to millionaires, billionaires, wealthy corporations. It doesn't give any money to the middle class

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MITCHELL). The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 15 seconds to the gentle-woman.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, it explodes the deficit.

How could this happen?

It demands higher cuts to Medicare, Social Security, and education. This is a Halloween that America will not tolerate.

My good friend from North Carolina, there will be over a million people that will lose benefits under this plan and the tax plan that they are planning. They will pay higher taxes. This is a bad bill. Vote it down.

Mr. Speaker, as a member of the Budget Committee, I rise in strong and unyielding opposition to the Senate Amendment to H. Con. Res. 71, the Congressional Budget Resolution for Fiscal Year 2018.

As senior member of the Homeland Security Committee, the Ranking Member of the Judiciary Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, Homeland Security, and Investigations, I oppose this phony budget resolution, which is in reality nothing more than a smoke screen designed to pave the way for massive tax cuts for the top 1 percent, while exploding the debt and deficit by \$1.5 trillion over ten years.

Here are five reasons why every Member of this House should vote against this Republican budget resolution:

- 1. This Republican budget cuts nearly \$1.3 trillion from Medicaid and nearly \$500 million from Medicaid:
- 2. This Republican budget includes massive spending cuts to the priorities of the American people:
- 3. This Republican budget guts investment in areas critical to expanding economic opportunity;
- 4. This latest Republican budget uses fast track procedures to increase the debt and deficits by \$1.5 trillion, while showering tax cuts on billionaires, millionaires, and the wealthiest corporations; and
- 5. As we have learned from bitter and painful experience, tax cuts do not pay for themselves, notwithstanding the supply-side fairy tale claims that they do.

This latest Republican budget mandates \$4.9 trillion in spending cuts to top priorities like disaster relief, education, infrastructure, research, veteran benefits, and programs that expand opportunities for American families.

This Republican budget provides \$1.6 trillion in tax cuts to millionaires, billionaires, and wealthy corporations, while raising taxes on working and middle class families by \$470 billion.

Mr. Speaker, let us be very clear and direct: the resolution before us is not intended to reconcile tax and spending priorities to reflect the priorities of the American people or to reduce the deficit and national debt or to put our fiscal house on a sustainable path to economic growth.

Rather the sole purpose of Republicans bringing this job-killing budget to the floor today is to fast-track their "Billionaires First" tax plan, which will cause significant harm to working and middle class families, especially to my constituents in the Eighteenth Congressional District of Texas.

The McConnell-Ryan tax plan, which this budget resolution is designed to grease the skids for, would raise taxes on about 1.5 million Texas households, or 12.4 percent of households next year.

On average, families earning up to \$86,000 annually would see a \$794 increase in their tax liability, a significant burden on families struggling to afford child care and balance their checkbook.

An estimated 2.8 million Texas households deduct state and local taxes with an average deduction of \$7,823 in 2015.

The Ryan-McConnell plan eliminates this deduction, which would lower home values and put pressure on states and towns to collect revenues they depend on to fund schools, roads, and vital public resources.

The proposed elimination of the personal exemption will harm millions of Texans by taking away the \$4,050 deduction for each tax-payer and claimed dependent; in 2015, roughly 9.3 million dependent exemptions were claimed in the Lone Star State.

Equally terrible is that the McConnell-Ryan tax plan drastically reduces the Earned Income Tax Credit, which encourages work for 2.7 million low-income individuals in Texas, helping them make ends meet with an average credit of \$2,689.

The EITC and the Child Tax Credit lift about 1.2 million Texans, including 663,000 children, out of poverty each year.

This reckless and irresponsible GOP tax plan is made all the more obscene by the fact that 80 percent of the GOP's tax cuts go to the wealthiest 1 percent.

To achieve this goal of giving more and more to the haves and the "have mores," the GOP budget betrays seniors, children, the most vulnerable, and needy, and working and middle-class families.

The steep reductions in program investments proposed in this Republican budget fall most heavily on low-income families, students struggling to afford college, seniors, and persons with disabilities.

This Republican budget immediately guts investment critical to expanding economic opportunity by lowering the already inadequate austerity-level spending caps by an additional \$5 billion in 2018 and by even more in subsequent years.

Republican budget adopts Trumpcare but does even more damage because in addition to depriving more than 20 million Americans of healthcare, denying protection to persons with preexisting conditions, and raising costs for older and low-income adults, cuts more than \$1.8 trillion from Medicaid and Medicare.

Republican budget ends the Medicare guarantee and calls for replacing Medicare's guaranteed benefits with fixed payments for the purchase of health insurance, shifting costs and financial risks onto seniors and disabled workers; this represents a \$500 billion cut to Medicare over ten years.

The Republican budget focuses too narrowly on the military, shortchanging American soft-power and other essential elements of national security by increasing defense spending by \$72 billion above the cap and hollowing out the State Department and foreign aid agencies with cuts of \$11 billion and environmental and natural resource protection by more than \$6 billion

Mr. Speaker, the federal budget is more than a financial document; it is an expression of our values and priorities as a nation.

Sadly, this latest Republican budget, just like the previous one and the President's "skinny budget," fails this moral test of government.

America will not be made great by stealing another \$1.8 trillion from Medicare and Medicaid, abandoning seniors and families in need, depriving students of realizing a dream to attend college without drowning in debt, or disinvesting in the working families just to give unwanted tax breaks to wealthy corporations and the top 1 percent.

America will not be positioned to compete and win in the global, interconnected, and digital economy by slashing funding for scientific research, the arts and humanities, job retraining, and clean energy.

Even a cursory review leaves the inescapable conclusion that this budget represents a betrayal—of our values as a nation, and of the promises made by the President during the election campaign.

This Republican budget is not a budget for the real world that real Americans live in but is as much a fantasy budget as the Trump "Skinny Budget" in that it pretends to achieve balance by assuming that painless spending cuts can and will be made by the Congress.

To put this reckless, irresponsible, and draconian budget in perspective, it is useful to examine what the proposed cuts mean when applied to the programs depended upon by Americans to rise up the economic ladder, plan for the future, provide for their families, and strive to achieve the American Dream.

The elimination of funding for Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) drains resources from communities, even in times of disaster because CDBG provides flexible grants to local communities for a wide range of unique needs, including Meals on Wheels, housing programs, and community infrastructure improvements.

The Republican budget targets disaster grants made by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, which help families and businesses when their disaster-related property losses are not covered by insurance.

The Republican budget makes higher education more expensive by cutting at least \$211 billion from student financial aid programs, like Pell Grants, over ten years.

The Republican budget also eliminates subsidized loans, making it difficult for students, particularly low-income students, to afford college and compounds the damage by making immore difficult to repay student loans by eliminating the Public Sector Loan Forgiveness and Teacher Loan Forgiveness programs.

The Republican budget's solution to the affordable housing crisis currently facing cities large and small all across the country is to convert all discretionary spending on affordable housing into a block grant, which means there will be even less assistance to help the 71 percent of extremely low income renter households who spend more than half their income on housing.

The Republican budget cuts at least \$150 billion from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) over the next ten years by essentially converting it to a block grant, cutting off funding for eligible individuals and requiring cash-strapped states to either fill in the gap or take away food assistance from millions of working families, children, and seniors

Mr. Speaker, as economists and policy experts have documented time and again, immigration reform would expand the size of the U.S. workforce, and in turn would increase the size of the economy and reduce deficits.

The Republican budget, however, again rejects comprehensive immigration reform that would bring clear and just rules for those seeking citizenship and help secure the nation's borders.

In doing so, the Republican budget squanders an opportunity to reduce deficits by an estimated \$900 billion over the next two decades, boost the economy by 5.4 percent, and extend the solvency of Social Security.

The Republican budget continues to target federal employees by cutting their compensation and benefits by at least another \$163 billion over ten years, which comes on top of the \$182 billion in cuts federal employees have already absorbed in the form of higher retirement contributions, pay freezes, and furloughs.

The Republican budget cuts hurts veterans by cutting veterans benefits by nearly \$50 billion over the next ten years, with newly eligible veterans experiencing cuts in programs that pay for education benefits as well as loan quarantees.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, it must be pointed out that the Republican budget's pretension to balance is based on reliance on trillions of dollars in budget games and gimmicks to rig the numbers.

The Republican budget counts a dubious \$1.4 trillion "economic dividend" from cutting taxes and taking away consumer protections that is not backed up by any credible analysis or historical experience.

The Republican budget assumes, despite all precedent and evidence to the contrary, that tax reform will be revenue neutral, even though Republican tax plans are projected to lose between \$3 trillion and \$7 trillion.

Given these budgetary shenanigans, never could it more truly be said that "figures don't lie, but liars figure."

In evaluating the merits of a budget resolution, it is not enough to subject it only to the test of fiscal responsibility.

To keep faith with the nation's past, to be fair to the nation's present, and to safeguard the nation's future, the budget must also pass a "moral test."

The Republican budget resolution fails both of these standards.

I strongly oppose the Senate Amendment to H. Con. Res. 71 and urge all Members to join me in voting against this reckless, cruel, and heartless budget resolution that will do nothing to improve the lives or well-being of middle and working class families, and the poor and vulnerable 'caught in the tentacles of circumstance.'

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. FLORES).

Mr. FLORES. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairwoman BLACK and her committee for all the great work that they have done in putting forth a budget that moves America in the right direction.

Mr. Speaker, I have been astounded by the rhetoric that we have heard from the other side for a plan that they haven't really seen. They are making up their facts as they go along to suit their wishes.

Mr. Speaker, I support the fiscal year 2018 budget resolution. While I think the Senate's version falls far short of the great work we did in the House and our budget, it is still the key thing that we need to have to move forward with tax reform for the American people.

Our tax reform plan includes tax cuts for the working class Americans who have been struggling for the last several years under a broken Tax Code.

It also makes America's businesses the most competitive in the world instead of having to struggle with the world's least competitive tax system.

Mr. Speaker, in summary, this budget provides a way for a tax plan that provides for bigger paychecks, more jobs, a stronger economy, and a balanced budget. I strongly urge our colleagues to support this budget.

□ 1000

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time remains.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Kentucky has 12¾ minutes remaining.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ half minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. NEAL), the ranking member of the Ways and Means Committee.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, it is getting time for Halloween, so they have put on the disguise on the other side. So what we are hearing today is, from the last two Republican speakers: This is a bad budget. Let's vote "yes."

The gentleman from Ohio said a while ago, this is all about the middle class. The middle class does not pay an estate tax. The middle class, because of our efforts—and, I think, mine in particular—no longer pay the alternative minimum tax. And the people in the middle class are not locked into the 39.6 top rate in the Tax Code. This is a disguise.

They are adding \$1.5 trillion to the debt and, actually, over 10 years, when you borrow the money, they are adding \$2.3 trillion to the debt, all for a tax cut for people at the very top.

Now, let me say this: I am happy to negotiate a tax reform package that we can all live with. I am happy to sit down with the other side and acknowledge some parts of the Code that clearly don't work any longer for the American people.

This is being done by one party, exclusively. They have not negotiated with us. They have not given us the opening. They have not said to us: "Where do you want to proceed on this?"

Instead, if you pass this budget today, they suggest you are going to see their plan on November 1, and you are going to vote for it sometime around November 6. That is not negotiation.

The Congress I signed up for actually negotiated these agreements, and if you couldn't love the final passage, at least you could like it because you had sufficient input. That is not what has happened, Mr. Speaker, in this process.

This process is one-sided. It is one-dimensional. They interchangeably use the words "tax cut" and "tax reform." This is about a tax cut.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the Democratic whip.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I have been here—this is my 37th year. This is the most reckless and irresponsible budget that I have seen in the 37 years that I have been here.

Today, we are considering the Senate's budget resolution, not because the House supports it, but because it is just a vehicle to get partisan tax reform—strike that—tax cuts.

On an issue as consequential as tax reform, the Congress should not be rushing to meet self-imposed political deadlines without enough time to read and analyze the effects.

More importantly, we should not be considering a bill to cut taxes that is partisan and that is as terrible as we are hearing the majority's proposal will be. I say that because we still haven't seen the full details of a bill that this resolution provides for Republicans to jam through on an expedited process; one they will reportedly introduce, mark up, and bring to the floor in the few legislative days we have left before Thanksgiving.

Is the sunshine too bright for you?

Even my friends on the other side of the aisle don't know exactly how bad it will be for their constituents. When asked about the details of the Republican bill, Representative CHRIS COLLINS, a Republican from New York, said: "We don't know, we don't know, we don't know, we don't know,"

That is a Republican Member of Congress who is saying he has no idea what this bill is empowering.

But we do know that, based on a nonpartisan analysis of their framework, it will raise taxes on 47 million Americans.

We know that 80 percent of the tax cuts—80 percent of the tax cuts—will go to the top 1 percent.

And we know, as well, that nearly half of all taxpayers with children, 44.5 percent, will see their taxes go up. Those same children will be on the hook for a \$2.4 trillion cost. This is the biggest debt explosion of any bill that I have seen

Republican Representative MATT GAETZ of Florida summed up today's vote as being for a budget—hear this, my friends on both sides of the aisle. This is MATT GAETZ, Republican, Florida, summed up today's vote as being for a budget that "nobody believes in so that we have a chance to vote for a tax bill that nobody's read."

That is not what we should be doing. We ought to be working together to craft a bipartisan tax reform package that is revenue neutral.

It will be the height of hypocrisy to say that you are for fiscal discipline and to vote for this budget. Let's not risk our fiscal future and the economic security of our people. Defeat this resolution.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. FERGUSON), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Mr. FERGUSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the FY18 budget. I think that this is a very important step in doing something that this Nation needs, and that is for the United States Congress and the President to pass tax reform.

For way too long, our national economy has languished at a GDP growth that is far below historical averages. One of the most important things that we have got to do is to grow our economy because that leads to families being successful, rising wages, being able to have careers for themselves and their family members, and I believe that tax reform unlocks the American economy in a way that we haven't seen in decades.

For far too long, we have looked at our Tax Code only through a set of domestic lenses and only looked at the rates; and we get into these ridiculous debates about the top bracket versus the lowest bracket, and we divide our Nation. But, for the first time, we are approaching our Tax Code through a set of global lenses that really give our American economy a chance to be competitive on the world stage.

It is not simply about cutting rates. It is not simply about giving a break to one group or another and to get away from this rhetoric. It is about creating the most vibrant place in the world to do business by reforming the Code and creating fairness.

If we do that and our American families succeed, and we see people moving from poverty into the middle class, and from the middle class up, and we see entrepreneurs, and we see new businesses and innovation, we are going to see growth in our economy like we have not seen in a generation.

The importance of that is it will give us the tools that we need to address the single biggest driver of our debt, and that is mandatory spending. And this body must have the political courage and integrity, along with the Senate, to address mandatory spending, to have an honest conversation about Social Security, about Medicare, about Medicaid, and, most importantly, about welfare entitlement reform, because we can no longer trap generation after generation in poverty. We must create pathways out of poverty into the middle class for our fellow Americans.

We can do this. We can be committed to it.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. Schakowsky), a distinguished member of the Budget Committee.

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, regardless of what the Republicans say, their budget paves the way for trillions of dollars in tax cuts for millionaires, billionaires, and wealthy corporations.

And who would pay for it?

It would be the middle class families, children, seniors, and people with disabilities. It would slash Medicaid by \$1 trillion, threaten healthcare for one in four Americans. It would slash Medicare by \$470 billion. And this budget proposes, yet again, to repeal the Affordable Care Act.

Under the Republican tax plan, 1.9 million Illinoisans would no longer be able to claim State and local tax deductions; and nationwide, 47 million people in middle class households making between \$50,000 and \$150,000 a year would pay more in taxes.

So I ask my colleagues: Did you really come to Congress to take away healthcare and reduce income for middle class families?

If you care about anyone other than millionaires and billionaires, you need to vote "no."

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Vermont (Mr. WELCH), a distinguished member of the Energy and Commerce Committee.

Mr. WELCH. Mr. Speaker, I want to say three things about this budget:

First, deficits matter. Deficits matter. This budget explicitly raises the deficit. It admits to \$1.5 trillion, more likely \$2.5 trillion. That means that

our children and our grandchildren are going to be the ones paying for the deficit that is added.

Second, process matters. We are hearing a lot of talk about tax reform, but there has been no process. There is no bill, and we are about to vote on a so-called tax reform package that has not been explicitly printed to paper. This is no way to do any business. We are making it up as we go along, and it is the same process that was used on healthcare. We went into committee with no bill and came out, 27 hours later, with 24 million people losing healthcare. There has been no process on this.

And third, details matter, and the details that are leaking out are very punishing to the middle class. Anybody who is an income tax payer in a State, a property tax payer in a State, is going to lose that deduction.

It is very tough on middle class efforts to save for retirement. That is in play. Folks' deductions on their Keogh plans, their 401(k) plans, are very much a part of the process that is going to lower this.

Reject this plan.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I almost can't sit here and not make a comment on my colleagues' budget on the other side of the aisle. All of a sudden, they seem to be very concerned about deficits when, as a matter of fact, their budget assumed almost \$1 trillion worth of deficits in their budget.

I want to also say that our committee did a really good job in having a balanced budget, and we are, obviously, looking at a budget from the Senate that we are going to be taking up so that we can do tax reform.

But they also, in addition to that, had \$2 trillion worth of new taxes that they placed on the American people. So all of a sudden, this purity of worrying about these deficits just makes me scratch my head, and about raising taxes on the people when their own plan did the very opposite of what we are trying to do is cut taxes. They added \$2 trillion worth of taxes.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire how much time we have remaining.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Kentucky has $5^{3/4}$ minutes remaining. The gentlewoman from Tennessee has $5^{1/4}$ minutes remaining.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

As we wind down our arguments here, once again, we have had a very fascinating discussion and, once again, we seem to disagree on virtually everything.

For instance, I have heard from the other side many times over the last few days and today that we really can't say what the impact is, the claims that we are making about whether this bill will help the rich or help the middle class or help lower income individuals, be-

cause we don't have the details. Well, that is absolutely correct; we don't have the details.

But then, if we don't have the details, how can the other side talk about the huge benefits that this proposal, this tax proposal that is yet unwritten, will provide for the middle class? And how can they deny that it will benefit the wealthy disproportionately?

We know from the outline that was released by the other side in recent weeks that they intend to eliminate the estate tax. The estate tax only benefits wealthy Americans, people with estates over \$11 million for a couple.

They want to eliminate the alternative minimum tax. We know the alternative minimum tax only affects wealthy individuals. There was one estimate that the one year of President Trump's tax return that we have, that in that year alone, the alternative minimum tax, if it were repealed, would have saved him \$30 million. So we know that affects very wealthy people.

□ 1015

We know that if you reduce the top rate from 39.6 percent to 35 percent, that benefits very high-income people. So we do have enough information to draw some pretty definite conclusions about the impact that the released outline, at least, will have on wealthy Americans, and we can draw some of the same conclusions about how it will hurt middle class Americans.

If, in fact, the Republican tax bill repeals the deduction for State and local taxes. In my State, there will be half a million people who will lose an average of \$9,900 of deductions every year. So we absolutely know the impact that the proposal, as we know it now, will have, and I think it is fair—given that there will be no hearings on this bill—it is fair to raise the alarms about what the potential for this bill is.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, it is my extreme honor to yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. SCALISE), our majority whip, who is a wonderful member of our Conference.

Mr. SCALISE. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Chairwoman BLACK for her leadership on this budget. It is important that we do a budget—and it is always difficult to bring a budget forward because it represents the views that we have, and, of course, we in the House passed a budget that shows the country how we can get back to a balanced Federal budget, how we can get our economy moving again, and how we can finally rebuild the middle class.

Mr. Speaker, that is really what is at heart with this budget vote. This budget starts the process of actually going out and cutting taxes across the board so that middle class families can have a better opportunity for the American Dream. If you look over the last 10 years, we have seen our middle class evaporate in this country.

So many times, we have seen company after company move jobs over-

seas. And anybody who has complained about that—and I sure have been angry about it—the first thing you do is you go ask them: Why did you move the jobs overseas? And they say: Because America is not competitive again.

We have the highest corporate tax rate in the world, in the entire industrial world. And what it means is, middle class jobs are being shipped to other countries. We can complain about it all day, Mr. Speaker, but how about we actually do something about it? This bill starts that process—working with President Trump who wants to bring those middle class jobs back to America.

We are talking about high-paying jobs, \$60,000- to \$150,000-a-year jobs that left our country. We can bring those jobs back. That is what this vote is about. That is what this budget is about: starting the process to finally rebuild our middle class, to finally bring those jobs back, and to finally give a tax break to families who have been struggling for so long under slow economic growth.

Let's actually grow our economy. Growing our economy is not just good for rebuilding the middle class and for those hardworking taxpayers who will get real relief under this bill, but also to our ability to reduce the deficit and finally get back to balanced Federal budgets so that we can create a healthier economy and a healthier America.

Mr. Speaker, I urge everybody to vote "yes" on this budget.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I now have the distinct honor of yielding 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. Pelosi), the Democratic leader.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Kentucky for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I particularly want to recognize Mr. Yarmuth's extraordinary leadership as the ranking member on the Budget Committee. As we all know, budget should be a statement of our national values. What is important to us as a nation should be reflected in how we allocate our resources.

Again, it is a statement of values, and who better to manage all of that in this Congress of the United States than Mr. YARMUTH, who brings his values and his heartland priorities to the budget process, and I thank him for the leadership he has provided.

Sadly though, I rise in opposition to what the Republicans have proposed which is a devastating Republican budget. The first step in the GOP's dangerous plan to fast track their immoral tax framework is to hand trillions of dollars to the wealthy while raising taxes on working American families.

The Republican budget and tax plan cruelly rig an unfair system even further against hardworking Americans. It cuts a raw deal for families in every corner of our country. Democrats have a better deal, better jobs, better pay, better future.

But right here, before our eyes in this House, Republicans are replacing the great American ladders of opportunity with the silver spoons of plutocracy and aristocracy. Their agenda raises taxes on the middle class. That is a fact. Tens of millions of middle class families will pay higher taxes, including a heavier burden for State and local taxes.

It might be interesting to our distinguished colleague, Mr. SCALISE—and isn't it a joy to see him in debate on the floor—in his State of Louisiana, 458,000 people will pay an average of nearly \$7,000 more by losing their deduction

And Congresswoman BLACK, from the great State of Tennessee, in her State, 573,960 people will lose their deduction, around \$5,600 a filer.

Not only that, if that isn't bad enough for assaulting the dream of homeownership in our country by attacking the deduction, this plan that the Republicans propose has been estimated to reduce the value of people's homes by 10 percent. You not only are paying more money in order to give a tax break to the wealthy and to big corporations, you are reducing the value of your home, and, by the way, your neighbors' homes as well.

So with all of the unfairness in it, the one that is most understandable to people directly is how it affects them. And in that case, 44 million Americans will pay more because of what the Republicans have in their plan.

So it raises taxes on middle class, particularly with the State and local tax deduction removed. And by the way—another by the way—if you are a corporation, your deduction is not removed, just if you are an individual filer, so again, an advantage to corporate America at the expense of America's working families.

Next, it borrows trillions from the future to give tax cuts to the wealthiest. Eighty percent of the tax cuts in this Republican proposal goes to the wealthiest 1 percent; 80 percent goes to the wealthiest 1 percent at the expense of America's working families and children. The budget on the floor today reveals the true cruelty behind the Republican's tax plan. What words would be best to use it? It is looting the middle class, massive looting of the middle class; rip-off of the middle class pecause there are many middle class people.

So you take some money from all of those middle class people and those who aspire to it so that you can give a lot of money to the few. That is a big sucking up of assets from the middle class to the wealthy. That is what they are here for. It is in their DNA: trickledown economics. It is in their DNA. That is what the Republicans come here to do, and that causes a deep addition to the national debt.

They are supposed to be deficit hawks, but I think they have become an endangered species because they don't seem to care that, with the cuts that they are taking, the tax breaks they are giving to corporate and wealthy America will cost over \$2 trillion—not counting service on the national debt which would take it closer to \$3 trillion additional.

That is a very hard road to come back from. And as our distinguished ranking member has pointed out, the opportunity cost in the budget, whether it is a trillion dollars from Medicaid, half a trillion dollars from Medicare, funds taken from education, the seed corn of America's preeminence in the world. Why? To give a tax cut to the high end.

And they will say: Oh, well, the growth will come from this. We will pay for that.

It never has; never has. Don't take it from me. Bruce Bartlett, who was one of the orchestrators of the supply-side economics said: We never said it paid for itself. Anyone who says it does, it is not true. It is nonsense.

He went even further to call it BS.

As I said, it ransacks Medicare and Medicaid, adding trillions to the debt in tax breaks for corporations and the wealthy, looting the middle class, shaking down the middle class, ripping off the middle class, increasing the taxes of the middle class.

It devastates vital investments, as our distinguished ranking member said, in good-paying jobs with higher wages for working families, the education of our children, the health of our working families. It really is a good example of what they say that Medicare should wither on the vine. In keeping with their trickle-down economics, Medicare should wither on the vine because they will take half a trillion dollars from Medicare in their budget that will follow.

So Republicans will harm veterans, rural America, seniors, and children, again, all in the name of fast-tracking trillion-dollar tax breaks for the wealthiest 1 percent. What more do you need to know? Eighty percent goes to the wealthiest 1 percent.

Again and again on the floor, the Republicans have tried to tilt the playing field against hardworking families. This is really quite remarkable though. This is a great transformative moment for America where we can reject this assault on the middle class, this addition to the national debt, and instead, say: Let's go to the table and work in a bipartisan way to truly reform our Tax Code so that we can be competitive in the world; so that families can thrive, and that they can have the deductions that are fair for them and needed, and not taken away from them, but not taken away from corporate America. So we stand ready to go in a bipartisan way to work to do this.

Any tax cuts, because this isn't just tax cuts to the rich—that is not tax reform—any tax cuts, any agenda like that has to be bipartisan in order for it to be sustainable. So let's come to our senses here. Common sense says—well, mathematics says, if you take a lot of

money from many people to give it to a few, you are exacerbating the disparity of opportunity equity income in our country.

This is the wrong thing to do. It is not what our values are about. It is really a shame that they would even bring such a document to the floor. Anybody who lives in a district where their deductions, the tax deductions for State and local taxes, are taken away from individual filers, but not for corporations, as the bill determines, to the tune—and I can read you all of the statistics across the country about how devastating this is-as our own Governor said: How could they do that to our State or any State without the department of finance of our States saying: Wait a minute. Understand what this does to the economy of our State. Understand what this does to our individual filers in our State.

Who said that this document that came over from the Senate should have such a devastating impact on States and Members coming to the floor and endorsing it. Some say: Oh, I am just voting for the budget, but it really isn't what it—no. No. You are putting your name next to taking the deduction of homeownership and of State and local taxes away from your constituents. They are going to know that. I would rather you reject this. We don't want a political argument. We want to protect the American people.

That is why I hope everyone here would come down in favor of the middle class and reject this assault, this rip-off, this shakedown of the middle class that the Republicans have on the floor.

□ 1030

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, it is now my honor to yield 1 minute to the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. Mooney).

Mr. MOONEY of West Virginia. Mr. Speaker, we had a Democratic President, John F. Kennedy, who cut taxes when he was President. I think he would take issue with a lot of the things that have been said by his own party.

It has been 30 years since we addressed taxes in this country. President Ronald Reagan addressed it 30 years ago. We are way overdue for tax cuts in the United States of America.

Everybody knows that the 35 percent tax rate on corporations has driven companies overseas. West Virginia saw, just a couple of years ago, one of our largest remaining corporate head-quarters, Mylan Pharmaceuticals, relocate overseas to avoid the taxes that are too high in this country. We all know that is a problem. We have a plan we are putting forward to try to solve it.

I say to my friends on the other side of the aisle: Where is your plan?

You have no plan. This is all political. All you do is make political attacks. You have had meetings recently and said: Don't offer a plan. Let's just attack the Republicans for their plan.

Scott, Austin

Sensenbrenner

Sessions

At least we have a plan to address this because hardworking taxpayers in West Virginia and America need and expect us to deliver on these tax cuts. So I rise in strong support of the budget today so we can move forward with our tax cut plan.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, it is now my delight to yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Texas (Mr. BRADY), who is the chairman of the Ways and Means Committee.

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, with this budget, we have an opportunity to move forward on a major priority for the American people, which is delivering the first overhaul of America's broken Tax Code in more than three decades.

When you look, today, at the way America is taxed, it doesn't take long to recognize this is completely and utterly broken. You can see that it is so complex it forces millions of families and job creators to spend billions of hours and dollars each year just filing their taxes.

It is unfair. It gives wasteful Washington lobbyists loopholes and carveouts to special interests by giving hardworking Americans nothing but frustration.

You can see that our Tax Code is miserably uncompetitive. That is why more and more of our American businesses and good-paying jobs are going overseas to countries with more modern and more competitive tax systems.

By passing this budget today, we can send a clear message to the American people: real tax reform is on the way. A "no" vote, as we heard from our Democratic colleagues, is to block tax reform and defend the status quo.

We are all working closely with President Trump as he leads this charge. Together, we have bold ideas to deliver more jobs, fairer taxes, and bigger paychecks for all Americans this

I want to thank Chairman Black and the Budget Committee for all their hard work. I want to encourage my colleagues to vote "yes"—vote "yes"—on tax reform, and join me in taking an important historic step forward to deliver on our tax reform promise.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, I would just like to say that, for anyone watching this debate or watching any of our discussions out there in the country, I know it often appears that we don't get along, that we hate each other, and that we are at each other's throat, but nothing could be further from the truth.

It has been such an honor to work with Chairman BLACK as a member of the Budget Committee and all the Members. We do all respect each other and get along. We just have some very serious disagreements about policy. That is fair. That is what this country is about.

Once again, since I may not get to do it again as Chairman BLACK pursues another office and probably won't appear with me on the same program anymore, I just want to wish her the best and say what a joy it has been to work with her.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time is remain-

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Tennessee has 30 seconds remaining.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, in 30 seconds, I want to once again say thank you to my colleague from the other side. I have enjoyed working with him. This is history. We are going to make history.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in their support of the budget because doing so means that we can truly benefit the American people, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 580, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Tennessee (Mrs. Black).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. YARMUTH. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, this 15minute vote on the motion to concur will be followed by a 5-minute vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass H.R. 1698.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 212, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 589]

YEAS-216

Abraham	Cheney	Gallagher
Aderholt	Coffman	Garrett
Allen	Cole	Gianforte
Amodei	Collins (GA)	Gibbs
Arrington	Collins (NY)	Gohmert
Babin	Comer	Goodlatte
Bacon	Comstock	Gosar
Banks (IN)	Conaway	Gowdy
Barletta	Cook	Granger
Barr	Costello (PA)	Graves (GA)
Barton	Cramer	Graves (LA)
Bergman	Crawford	Graves (MO)
Biggs	Culberson	Griffith
Bilirakis	Curbelo (FL)	Grothman
Bishop (MI)	Davidson	Guthrie
Bishop (UT)	Davis, Rodney	Handel
Black	Denham	Harper
Blackburn	Dent	Harris
Blum	DeSantis	Hartzler
Bost	DesJarlais	Hensarling
Brady (TX)	Diaz-Balart	Herrera Beutl
Brat	Duffy	Hice, Jody B.
Bridenstine	Duncan (SC)	Higgins (LA)
Brooks (AL)	Dunn	Hill
Brooks (IN)	Emmer	Holding
Buchanan	Estes (KS)	Hollingsworth
Bucshon	Farenthold	Hudson
Budd	Ferguson	Huizenga
Burgess	Fleischmann	Hultgren
Byrne	Flores	Hunter
Calvert	Fortenberry	Hurd
		Issa
Carter (GA)	Foxx	
Carter (TX) Chabot	Franks (AZ)	Jenkins (WV)
Спавос	Frelinghuysen	Johnson (LA)

Johnson (OH) Newhouse Jordan Noem Joyce (OH) Norman Kelly (MS) Nunes Kelly (PA) Olson King (IA) Palazzo Kinzinger Palmer. Knight Paulsen Kustoff (TN) Pearce Labrador Perrv LaHood Pittenger Poe (TX) LaMalfa Lamborn Poliquin Latta Posey Ratcliffe Lewis (MN) Long Reed Loudermilk Reichert Love Renacci Rice (SC) Lucas Luetkemever Roby Roe (TN) Marchant Rogers (AL) Marino Marshall Rogers (KY) Mast Rohrabacher McCarthy Rokita McCaul Rooney, Francis McClintock Rooney, Thomas McHenry McKinley Ros-Lehtinen McMorris Roskam Rodgers Ross McSallv Rothfus Meadows Rouzer Royce (CA) Meehan Messer Russell Mitchell Rutherford Ryan (WI) Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Scalise Schweikert Mullin

Shimkus Shuster Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (TX) Smucker Stewart Stivers Taylor Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Trott Turner Upton Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX) Wenstrup Westerman Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Young (IA) Lee

Levin

Lewis (GA)

Lieu, Ted

Lipinski

LoBiondo

NAYS-212

J.

Adams Doyle, Michael Aguilar F. Amash Duncan (TN) Barragán Ellison Rass Engel Beatty Eshoo Bera Espaillat Beyer Esty (CT) Bishop (GA) Evans Blumenauer aso Blunt Rochester Fitzpatrick Bonamici Foster Boyle, Brendan Frankel (FL) F Fudge Brady (PA) Gabbard Brown (MD) Gaetz Brownley (CA) Gallego Buck Garamendi Bustos Gomez Gonzalez (TX) Butterfield Gottheimer Capuano Carbajal Green, Al Cárdenas Green, Gene Carson (IN) Grijalva Cartwright Gutiérrez Castor (FL) Hanabusa Castro (TX) Hastings Chu, Judy Heck Higgins (NY) Cicilline Clark (MA) Himes Clarke (NY) Hover Clav Huffman Cleaver Jackson Lee Clyburn Jayapal Cohen Jeffries Connolly Jenkins (KS) Convers Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Cooper Correa Jones Costa Kaptur Katko Courtney Crist Keating Kelly (IL) Crowley Cuellar Kennedy Cummings Khanna Davis (CA) Kihuen Davis, Danny Kildee DeFazio Kilmer DeGette Kind King (NY) Delanev Krishnamoorthi Kuster (NH) DeLauro DelBene Demings Lance DeSaulnier Langevin Deutch Larsen (WA) Dingell Larson (CT) Lawrence Doggett Lawson (FL) Donovan

Loebsack Lofgren Lowenthal Lowey Lujan Grisham. M. Luján, Ben Ray Lynch MacArthur Maloney, Carolyn B Maloney, Sean Massie Matsui McCollum McEachin McGovern McNerney Meeks Meng Moore Moulton Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Neal Nolan Norcross O'Halleran O'Rourke Pallone Panetta Pascrell Payne Pelosi Perlmutter Peters Peterson Pingree Pocan Polis Price (NC) Quigley Raskin Rice (NY) Richmond Rosen Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush

Ryan (OH)

Sánchez Sanford Sarbanes Schakowsky Soto Speier Schiff Schneider Schrader Suozzi Scott (VA) Scott, David Serrano Sewell (AL) Shea-Porter Titus Sherman Tonko Sinema Torres

Slaughter Smith (NJ) Smith (WA) Stefanik Swalwell (CA) Takano Tenney Thompson (MS) Vargas Veasey Vela Velázquez Visclosky Walz. Schultz Welch

Wasserman Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Yarmuth Zeldin

NOT VOTING-5

Tsongas

Johnson, Sam Smith (NE)

Sires

Thompson (CA) Wilson (FL) Webster (FL)

□ 1059

So the motion to concur was agreed

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

IRAN BALLISTIC MISSILES AND INTERNATIONAL SANCTIONS EN-FORCEMENT ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HULTGREN). The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1698) to expand sanctions against Iran with respect to the ballistic missile program of Iran, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

This is a 5-minute vote.

Brat

Buck

Budd

Bustos

Byrne

Calvert

Chabot

Cheney

Clay

Cleaver

Cohen

Cole

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 423, nays 2, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 590] YEAS-423

Abraham Adams Aderholt Aguilar Allen Amash Amodei Arrington Babin Bacon Banks (IN) Barletta Barr Barragán Barton Bass Beatty Bera. Bergman Beyer Biggs Bilirakis Bishop (GA) Bishop (MI) Bishop (UT) Black Blackburn Blum Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Bonamici Bost. Boyle, Brendan Brady (PA) Brady (TX)

Comer Brooks (AL) Comstock Brooks (IN) Conaway Brown (MD) Connolly Brownley (CA) Convers Buchanan Cook Cooper Bucshon Correa Costa Costello (PA) Burgess Courtney Butterfield Cramer Crawford Crist Capuano Crowley Carbajal Cuellar Cárdenas Culberson Carson (IN) Cummings Curbelo (FL) Carter (GA) Carter (TX) Davidson Davis (CA) Cartwright Castor (FL) Davis, Danny Castro (TX) Davis, Rodney DeFazio DeGette Chu, Judy Delaney Clark (MA) DeLauro Clarke (NY) DelBene Demings Denham Clvburn Dent Coffman DeSantis DeSaulnier DesJarlais Collins (GA) Deutch Diaz-Balart Collins (NY)

Dingell Doggett Donovan Doyle, Michael Duffy Duncan (SC) Dunn Ellison Emmer Engel Eshoo Espaillat Estes (KS) Esty (CT) Evans Farenthold Faso Ferguson Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Flores Fortenberry Foster Foxx Frankel (FL) Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Fudge Gabbard Gaetz Gallagher Gallego Garamendi Gianforte Gibbs Gohmert Gomez Gonzalez (TX) Goodlatte Gosar Gottheimer Gowdy Granger Graves (GA) Graves (LA) Graves (MO)

Lee

M.

Green, Al Green, Gene Griffith Grijalva Grothman Guthrie Gutiérrez Hanabusa Handel Harper Harris Hartzler Hastings Heck Hensarling Herrera Beutler Hice, Jody B. Higgins (LA) Higgins (NY) Hill Himes Holding

Hollingsworth

Nea1

Olson

Palazzo

Pallone

Palmer

Panetta

Pascrell

Paulsen

Payne

Pearce

Pelosi

Perry

Peters

Perlmutter

Tonko

Torres

Tsongas

Turner

Upton

Valadao

Vargas

Veasey

Velázquez

Visclosky

Wagner

Vela

Trott

Hover

Hudson

Huffman

Huizenga

Hultgren

Jackson Lee

Jenkins (KS)

Jenkins (WV)

Johnson (GA)

Johnson (LA)

Johnson (OH)

Johnson, E. B.

Hunter

Jayapal

Jeffries

Jones

Jordan

Kaptur

Keating

Kelly (IL) Kelly (MS)

Kelly (PA)

Kennedy

Khanna

Katko

Joyce (OH)

Hurd

Issa

Kihuen Peterson Kildee Pingree Kilmer Pittenger Kind Pocan Poe (TX) King (IA) Poliquin King (NY) Kinzinger Polis Knight Posey Krishnamoorthi Price (NC) Quigley Kuster (NH) Kustoff (TN) Raskin Labrador Ratcliffe LaHood Reed LaMalfa. Reichert Lamborn Renacci Rice (NY) Lance Langevin Rice (SC) Larsen (WA) Richmond Larson (CT) Roby Roe (TN) Latta Lawrence Rogers (AL) Lawson (FL) Rogers (KY) Rohrabacher Levin Rokita Lewis (GA) Rooney, Francis Lewis (MN) Rooney, Thomas Lieu, Ted J. Ros-Lehtinen Lipinski LoBiondo Rosen Loebsack Roskam Ross Lofgren Rothfus Long Loudermilk Rouzer Roybal-Allard Love Lowenthal Royce (CA) Lowey Ruiz Ruppersberger Lucas Luetkemeyer Rush Lujan Grisham. Russell Rutherford Luján, Ben Ray Ryan (OH) Lynch Sánchez MacArthur Sanford Sarbanes Maloney, Carolyn B. Scalise Schakowsky Maloney, Sean Marchant Schiff Marino Schneider Marshall Schrader Mast Schweikert Matsui Scott (VA) McCarthy Scott, Austin McCaul Scott, David McClintock Sensenbrenner McCollum Serrano McEachin Sessions McGovern Sewell (AL) McHenry Shea-Porter McKinley Sherman Shimkus McMorris Rodgers Shuster McNerney Simpson McSally Sinema Meadows Sires Slaughter Meehan Meeks Smith (MO) Meng Smith (NJ) Messer Smith (TX) Mitchell Smith (WA) Moolenaar Smucker Mooney (WV) Soto Moore Speier Stefanik Moulton Mullin Stewart Murphy (FL) Stivers Nadler Suozzi Napolitano Swalwell (CA) Takano Newhouse Taylor Noem Tenney Thompson (MS) Nolan Thompson (PA) Norcross Norman Thornberry Nunes Tiberi O'Halleran Tipton O'Rourke Titus

Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters, Maxine

Watson Coleman Weber (TX) Welch Wenstrup Westerman Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack

Woodall Yarmuth Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Young (IA) Zeldin

NAYS-2

Duncan (TN)

NOT VOTING-7

Massie

Bridenstine Cicilline Johnson, Sam Smith (NE) Wilson (FL) Thompson (CA) Webster (FL)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remain-

\sqcap 1106

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. WEBSTER of Florida, Mr. Speaker, due to a family medical emergency, I had to return to my district in the early afternoon on Wednesday October 25. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall No. 589 and "vea" on rollcall No. 590.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H. RES. 220

Ms. CLARKE of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to be removed from H. Res. 220.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from New York?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. McCarthy) for the purpose of inquiring of the majority leader the schedule for the week to come.

(Mr. McCARTHY asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. McCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, on Monday, no votes are expected in the House. On Tuesday, the House will meet at noon for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. Votes will be postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning hour and noon for legislative business. On Friday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business. Last votes of the week are expected no later than 3 p.m.

Mr. Speaker, the House will consider a number of suspensions next week, a complete list of which will be announced by close of business tomorrow.

In addition, the House will consider H.R. 2936, the Resilient Federal Forests