

energy emergency planning and preparedness efforts in a big-time way. This bill builds upon the Energy and Commerce Committee's impressive record of hearings and legislation focused on energy security, emergency preparedness, job creation, and infrastructure protection and resilience.

Across the country, States have to respond to a variety of hazards, including hurricanes, earthquakes, floods, fuel supply disruptions, physical and, yes, cyber threats, too. This legislation provides States with tools in the toolbox so that they have flexibility that they need to address local energy challenges.

It ensures that State energy security planning efforts address fuel supply issues, assess State energy profiles, address potential hazards to each energy sector. It mitigates risk to enhance reliability and incorporate regional planning efforts.

Let's face it, the consumer at home, businesses trying to put out a product, they want to make sure that the electricity is on. They don't care what the excuse is. They want it on.

This bill makes significant strides to protect our Nation's fuel and electric infrastructure from physical and cybersecurity threats and vulnerabilities. It makes sure that we are thinking ahead not just about the actual threat, but, in fact, how our energy and electric systems might be vulnerable in a broader sense.

The bill also encourages mutual assistance, an essential part of responding and restoring in the event of an energy emergency. Teamwork: prioritizing and elevating energy security planning and emergency preparedness is, yes, an important step in the face of increased threats, vulnerabilities, and interdependencies of energy infrastructure and end-use systems.

This bill is important and is going to get to the President's desk in a bipartisan way. Again, I thank my good friend and colleague for his help in getting this bill through our committee and here to the House floor.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RUSH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to also commend my friend and my colleague from the great State of Michigan, the chairman of the Energy Subcommittee, my long-standing friend, Mr. UPTON, for working with my office to bring H.R. 3050, the Enhancing State Energy Security Planning and Emergency Preparedness Act of 2017, to the floor today.

Mr. Speaker, this Upton-Rush bill represents bipartisan negotiation between Chairman UPTON and myself to much-needed Federal guidance and resources to the States that are on the front lines when it comes to protecting critical energy infrastructure and responding once disaster strikes.

Members on both side of the aisle, Mr. Speaker, support the State Energy Program, and this bill will help provide

resources to further develop and enhance the State energy security plans.

Since their inception in the 1970s, State Energy Programs, bolstered by Federal aid, have assisted States in developing these energy security plans in order to help prevent disasters from happening and to mitigate the damage once they do occur.

Mr. Speaker, funding provided in this bill will help States to implement, revise, and to review their energy security plans while also laying out criteria for the contents of these plans. These emergency plans have been instrumental in improving States' abilities to identify potential energy disruptions, quantify the effects of the disruptions, establish response plans, and limit the risk of further disturbances, whether they be natural or manmade.

Federal funding and leadership has also been critical in helping States and local stakeholders identify the roles and responsibilities of the various agencies in times of emergencies while also supporting training and response exercises.

Mr. Speaker, as the Trump administration weakens America's leadership role globally by signaling its intentions to withdraw from the Paris Agreement, it is even more vital that we provide the resources and funding needed for States to take more of a permanent role in advancing smart and sustainable energy policy.

Mr. Speaker, Federal leadership and investment must continue to play a vital role in developing State Energy Assurance Plans, and it is my hope and my expectation that this legislation will indeed bolster these State-led programs by enhancing Federal support.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BARTON), former chairman and now vice chairman of the influential and powerful Energy and Commerce Committee, coming from the good State of Texas versus the great State of Michigan.

(Mr. BARTON asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BARTON. Mr. Speaker, it is the great State of Texas. I want to set the RECORD straight on that.

I commend Chairman UPTON and Ranking Member RUSH for bringing this bipartisan bill the floor. All we hear is about how we don't get along, Mr. Speaker. Nobody is watching when we do get along. And all of the bills that are being debated and voted on on the House floor today are examples of bipartisanship at the Energy and Commerce Committee, and Mr. UPTON, Mr. RUSH, Mr. PALLONE, Mr. WALDEN, and the other ranking members and subcommittee chairmen are to be commended for that.

One of the bipartisan amendments, which was adopted in full committee on this bill, is a bipartisan amendment from Mr. MCNERNEY, a Democrat from

California, and myself, that deals with the cybersecurity and would suggest that, as these States provide these energy security plans to the Department of Energy, they include an assessment of cybersecurity.

So I can't tell you that this is the most important amendment that has ever been added to a bill in our committee, but it is a very good amendment, a very positive amendment. As plans are developed, including an assessment of cybersecurity threats and solutions to those threats, it should make the grid better, more reliable, and help make our country safer.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly support H.R. 3050. Again, I commend Mr. UPTON and Mr. RUSH for working together, and I hope the House very quickly passes this and sends it to the other body.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to conclude by saying that I thank the gentleman from Texas for his remarks. It was an important amendment. God help us if something happens in our country because of a physical or cyber attack. And if it does, we always wonder and ask the questions: What do we do?

This is a step in advance to make sure that, in fact, we do have, as I said earlier, the tools in the toolbox to try and prevent such a travesty from happening that would impact the Nation in a major way. So it is important that this legislation pass this afternoon; that the Senate take it up as quickly as they can; that the President sign it; and that it then gets implemented to protect all of our citizens from East to West, to North, to South. It is an important issue.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3050, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 451;

Adopting House Resolution 451, if ordered; and

Suspending the rules and passing H.R. 2786.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 806 OZONE STANDARDS IMPLEMENTATION ACT OF 2017

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 451) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 806) to facilitate efficient State implementation of ground-level ozone standards, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 231, nays 188, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 382]

YEAS—231

Abraham	Frelinghuysen	McKinley
Aderholt	Gaetz	McMorris
Allen	Gallagher	Rodgers
Amash	Garrett	McSally
Amodei	Gianforte	Meadows
Arrington	Gibbs	Meehan
Babin	Gohmert	Messer
Bacon	Goodlatte	Mitchell
Banks (IN)	Gosar	Moolenaar
Barletta	Gowdy	Mooney (WV)
Barr	Granger	Mullin
Barton	Graves (GA)	Murphy (PA)
Bergman	Graves (MO)	Newhouse
Biggs	Griffith	Noem
Bilirakis	Grothman	Norman
Bishop (MI)	Guthrie	Nunes
Bishop (UT)	Handel	Olson
Black	Harper	Palazzo
Blackburn	Harris	Paulsen
Blum	Hartzler	Pearce
Bost	Hensarling	Perry
Brady (TX)	Herrera Beutler	Pittenger
Brat	Hice, Jody B.	Poe (TX)
Bridenstine	Higgins (LA)	Poliquin
Brooks (IN)	Hill	Posey
Buchanan	Holding	Ratcliffe
Buck	Hollingsworth	Reed
Bucshon	Hudson	Reichert
Budd	Huizenga	Renacci
Burgess	Hultgren	Rice (SC)
Byrne	Hunter	Roby
Calvert	Hurd	Roe (TN)
Carter (GA)	Issa	Rogers (AL)
Carter (TX)	Jenkins (KS)	Rogers (KY)
Chabot	Jenkins (WV)	Rohrabacher
Cheney	Johnson (LA)	Rokita
Coffman	Johnson (OH)	Rooney, Francis
Cole	Jones	Rooney, Thomas
Collins (GA)	Jordan	J.
Collins (NY)	Joyce (OH)	Ros-Lehtinen
Comer	Katko	Roskam
Comstock	Kelly (MS)	Ross
Conaway	Kelly (PA)	Rothfus
Cook	King (IA)	Rouzer
Costello (PA)	King (NY)	Royce (CA)
Cramer	Kinzinger	Russell
Crawford	Knight	Rutherford
Curbelo (FL)	Kustoff (TN)	Sanford
Davidson	LaHood	Schweikert
Davis, Rodney	LaMalfa	Scott, Austin
Denham	Lamborn	Sensenbrenner
Dent	Lance	Sessions
DesJarlais	Latta	Shimkus
Diaz-Balart	Lewis (MN)	Shuster
Donovan	LoBiondo	Simpson
Duffy	Long	Smith (MO)
Duncan (SC)	Loudermilk	Smith (NE)
Duncan (TN)	Love	Smith (NJ)
Dunn	Lucas	Smith (TX)
Emmer	Luetkemeyer	Smucker
Estes (KS)	MacArthur	Stefanik
Farenthold	Marchant	Stewart
Faso	Marino	Stivers
Ferguson	Marshall	Taylor
Fitzpatrick	Massie	Tenney
Fleischmann	Mast	Thompson (PA)
Flores	McCarthy	Thornberry
Fortenberry	McCaul	Tiberi
Fox	McClintock	Tipton
Franks (AZ)	McHenry	Trott

Turner
Upton
Valadao
Wagner
Walberg
Walden
Walker
Walorski

Walters, Mimi
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Wenstrup
Westerman
Williams
Wilson (SC)
Wittman

Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Yoho
Young (AK)
Young (IA)
Zeldin

NAYS—188

Adams
Aguilar
Barragán
Bass
Beatty
Bera
Beyer
Bishop (GA)
Blumenauer
Blunt Rochester
Bonamici
Boyle, Brendan
F.

Brady (PA)
Brown (MD)
Brownley (CA)
Bustos
Butterfield
Capuano
Carbajal

Cárdenas
Carson (IN)
Cartwright
Castor (FL)
Castro (TX)
Chu, Judy
Cicilline
Clark (MA)
Clarke (NY)

Clay
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Conyers
Cooper

Correa
Costa
Courtney
Crist
Crowley
Cuellar

Davis (CA)
DeFazio
DeGette
DeLaney
DeLauro
DelBene

Demings
DeSaulnier
Deutch
Dingell
Doggett
Doyle, Michael
F.

Ellison
Engel
Español
Esty (CT)
Evans
Foster

Frankel (FL)
Fudge
Gabbard

Galleo
Garamendi
Gomez
Gonzalez (TX)
Gottheimer
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Grijalva
Gutiérrez

Hanabusa
Hastings
Heck
Higgins (NY)
Himes
Hoyer
Huffman
Jayapal

Jeffries
Johnson (GA)
Johnson, E. B.
Kaptur
Keating
Kelly (IL)

Kennedy
Khanna
Kihuen
Kildee
Kilmer
Kind
Krishnamoorthi

Kuster (NH)
Langevin
Larson (CT)
Lawrence
Lawson (FL)
Lee

Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lieu, Ted
Lipinski
Loebach
Lofgren
Lowenthal

Lowe
Lujan Grisham,
M.
Lujan, Ben Ray
Lynch
Maloney,
Carolyn B.

Maloney, Sean
Matsui
McCollum
McEachin
McGovern
McNerney

Meeks
Meng
Moore
Moulton
Murphy (FL)
Nadler
Neal
Nolan
Norcross

NOT VOTING—14

Brooks (AL)
Culberson
Cummings
Davis, Danny
DeSantis

Graves (LA)
Jackson Lee
Johnson, Sam
Labrador
Larsen (WA)

Napolitano
Palmer
Scalise
Shea-Porter

□ 1406

Messrs. CROWLEY, McNERNEY, HECK, BUTTERFIELD, and Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mr. WITTMAN changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been

present, I would have voted “yea” on rollcall No. 382.

(By unanimous consent, Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York was allowed to speak out of order.)

MOMENT OF SILENCE HONORING

SERVICEMEMBERS KILLED IN MISSISSIPPI

Mr. SEAN PATRICK MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, last week, we learned the terrible news that we had lost 16 of the very best and brightest members of the United States Marine Corps and the United States Navy when the plane they were traveling on crashed in Mississippi.

I stand here with my colleagues who lost citizens of their districts, in particular, the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. PALAZZO) and the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES). This is, of course, a national tragedy, though.

The gentleman from North Carolina represents Camp Lejeune, where six marines and one Navy corpsman were stationed. Those seven servicemembers include Staff Sergeant Robert Cox, Staff Sergeant William Kundrat, Sergeant Chad Jensen, Sergeant Talon Leach, Sergeant Joseph Murray, Sergeant Dietrich Schmieman, and Petty Officer 2nd Class Ryan Lohrey.

I, of course, represent Stewart Air National Guard Base, where nine marines who lost their lives in that terrible crash were stationed.

In my time in Congress, I have had many opportunities to visit with the brave men and women who serve our country and were based at Stewart Air National Guard Base. As you know, they are all truly American heroes.

Those we lost are as follows: Major Caine Goyette, Captain Sean Elliott, Gunnery Sergeant Mark Hopkins, Gunnery Sergeant Brendan Johnson, Staff Sergeant Joshua Snowden, Sergeant Julian Kevianne, Sergeant Owen Lennon, Corporal Daniel Baldassare, and Corporal Collin Schaaff.

Mr. Speaker, on behalf of my colleagues standing behind me, all of our communities, and our Nation, I ask for a moment of silence for these 16 brave servicemembers who made the ultimate sacrifice for our freedom.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, 5-minute voting will continue.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 235, noes 188, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 383]

AYES—235

Abraham	Allen	Amodei
Aderholt	Amash	Arrington