not only do they want to duck that vote, but they also want to avoid even having a hearing on the nominee. And they are afraid to even meet with this nominee for fear that maybe they might think he or she is a good nominee.

Even more shockingly, the Republican leader and several Republican members of the Judiciary Committee said yesterday they would not even meet with the President's nominee. One of our colleagues in the Senate last night on television was asked pointedly or directly: If the President nominates someone from your State to the Supreme Court vacancy, are you saying you wouldn't meet with that person? My colleague on the other side of the aisle ducked the question. This is stunning.

Remember, the President is obligated by article II, section 2 of the Constitution to send a nominee to the Senate. That is the process the Founding Fathers established. That is the President's responsibility. How can Senate Republicans refuse to even meet with the person selected under this constitutional process? How is that being faithful to the terms of the Constitution? How are Senate Republicans upholding and defending this Constitution by this evasive, historically unprecedented action?

Sadly, it appears that Senate Republicans have calculated it is in their best political interests to keep the nominee out of the spotlight. They were hoping that, with this letter and by saying yesterday we will have nothing to do with it, they are going to turn out the lights on this issue. That is not what is going to happen. This issue is going to be there and remembered, and it is going to be recalled on the floor of the Senate repeatedly. They thought they could close down the government when Senator CRUZ of Texas sat here for, I don't know how many hours, reading Dr. Seuss while we shut down the government, and they thought people would forget Senator CRUZ shutting down the government: they didn't, and he is finding on this campaign trail that a lot of people have remembered that. The American people are not going to forget what Senate Republicans are trying to do with the Supreme Court.

I have served on the Judiciary Committee for the hearings and confirmation votes of four of the eight sitting Supreme Court Justices. Let me state clearly that this Senator is more than happy to meet with the President's Supreme Court nominee, as I have on all such nominees—Republican and Democrat alike—and I will consider that nominee on his or her merits, as I have always tried to do in the past.

Yesterday, Senate Republicans also tried to deflect attention from their unprecedented obstruction by pointing to quotes from some Democrats years ago. But the record is clear: Democrats have never, never blocked a Supreme Court nominee from having a hearing.

Republicans are breaking new ground with this obstructionism. The American people deserve better.

The bottom line is there is no excuse for the Senate to fail to do its job. Once the President has named his nominee, the Senate must give that nominee a fair hearing and a timely vote. If the Constitution means anything to my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, they understand that what they are doing is unprecedented. It has never happened once in American history. We are now finding the obstructionism of Senate Republicans reaching a new low. They are ignoring the clear wording of our Constitution, which they have sworn to uphold and defend, and they are obstructing in a way that we have never seen before in the history of the United States. That is the reality—a reality that will not be lost on the American people.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PRESCRIPTION DRUG ABUSE

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I come to the floor today to talk about a drug abuse problem that is literally hurting millions of Americans. There has been a dramatic rise in the use and misuse of prescription painkillers—and I tell you this as a doctor—are known as opioids.

Between 1999 and 2013, sales of prescription painkillers in the United States have quadrupled. It is no coincidence that over that same number of years overdose deaths from these drugs have also quadrupled. This is how we know there has been a huge shift from the appropriate use to abuse of these medications. People in rural areas like my own are almost twice as likely to overdose on prescription painkillers as people in large cities. Some people think these problems are only a problem in the big cities. That is not the case with these opioids.

I can tell you as a doctor who practiced medicine in Casper, WY, for 25 years, treating pain in our patients is one of the most difficult things we do. When we have a patient who is in pain. we want to help relieve that pain. Opioids are a very effective way to help patients with pain, and doctors use these medications through prescriptions to help manage the pain. It is important that we have the capacity to do that as long as it is done appropriately. This can be a very good option for someone suffering from chronic pain, such as pain from cancer. It can be appropriate for someone who is suffering from acute, temporary pain, such as someone who just had surgery.

The problem is that these are extremely powerful narcotics. Chemi-

cally, they are not that different from heroin, and they can become addictive. Some patients have no problem at all taking these painkillers for the proper amount of time, while other patients might develop a problem and actually have trouble getting off the pain pills. As they get accustomed to the drugs, sometimes they may seek out stronger and more addictive drugs to get the same pain relief. That is why doctors have to be very careful about prescribing the right medicine for each patient and each situation. They have to balance the risk of the drug with the reward of easing the patient's pain.

Not every doctor in this country has been as careful as they should be. We didn't get into this difficult situation because of a handful of doctors writing too many prescriptions. These prescriptions are being written by doctors in communities all across the country. It is happening in emergency rooms, with family doctors, with specialists, and even with dentists.

I believe Washington policies have inadvertently contributed to the problem. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid have made payments to hospitals partly based on how well the specific hospital has scored on surveys filled out by the patients—the patients who have been in those hospitals. Here are some examples of questions that are asked on these surveys: During this hospital stay, how often was your pain well controlled? Some patients are asked that. They are also asked: How often did the hospital staff do everything they could to help you with your pain?

Well, you can see how doctors might feel pressure to prescribe more and stronger opioid pain relievers to make sure their hospital doesn't get low scores and get penalized by the bureaucrats here in Washington. The Department of Health and Human Services is looking into whether these surveys are contributing to this rise in prescriptions and what can be done about it.

Earlier this month I was 1 of 26 Senators, Republicans and Democrats alike, who wrote to the Secretary of Health and Human Services to make sure she keeps us apprised on the effects these regulations might be having. If these pain relievers are being prescribed inappropriately, they can do more harm than good. That's the problem. Some of these people who get these prescriptions for all the right reasons end up being addicted. When the prescription runs out, they may actually experience withdrawal symptoms, and I have seen it happen.

So what do the people who become addicted to these opioids do? Well, they seek pills on the black market or they turn to other drugs, including heroin. Heroin is often cheaper than the actual prescription opioid and, of course, more deadly.

From 2002 to 2013, heroin use in the United States has nearly doubled. The deaths from heroin overdoses have quadrupled. Why? One of the reasons

seems to be that because heroin has become much cheaper on the street, it has also become a more attractive drug for addicts to buy and use. At the same time, the heroin today is believed to be much more powerful than it used to be, and so it may be that people who use it are much more likely to overdose.

When we see statistics like these—or just talk to people, such as those who work in the emergency room, who have to deal with the drug addictions, 911 calls, opioid abuse, heroin abuse, and see all these problems—it is time for Congress to act. We can't turn a blind eye to Americans who are suffering and dying. That is why I think it is important that the Senate needs to take up action to help stop the damage being done.

Recently the Senate Judiciary Committee passed the Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act. It has bipartisan support, and it is one more sign that the Senate has gotten back to work on behalf of the American people. Just as the name of the legislation says, it actually addresses both problems-addiction and recovery. It will increase education and prevention efforts to help keep people from becoming addicted to painkillers in the first place. It is also going to strengthen State programs to monitor prescription drugs and to track when these drugs end up in the wrong hands.

For the people who have already passed from use of the medications to abuse and addiction, this legislation will help to launch treatment programs that are based on actual evidence of what works. There are a lot of treatment programs out there and lots of different opportunities to seek treatment. We want to make sure we can identify the ones that are actually succeeding and helping people and then make sure these programs are available to more people. These are just a few of the positive ideas in the legislation.

Senator Kelly Ayotte, who is one of the main sponsors of this legislation, has said that we can't arrest our way out of this problem. She is exactly right. The misuse and abuse of these drugs is illegal. We must acknowledge that fact. We must still try to do everything in our power to keep this misuse from turning into addiction and even death. There are States and communities and families suffering because of the abuse of these drugs. We can all be part of the solution, and we must all be part of the solution.

I know that the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions is looking into another aspect of this subject, as is the Finance Committee. There are lots of ideas out there, and I am glad to see Members taking the issue so seriously. I am glad we are moving forward with bipartisan legislations and solutions.

Senator Ayotte has been a major force in talking about this problem. Senators Whitehouse, Kirk, Portman, and others have addressed this issue.

Another good, commonsense idea is looking into changing Medicare Part D and Medicare Advantage. This legislation has been introduced by Senator PAT TOOMEY of Pennsylvania. I am a cosponsor of that legislation. The bill is called the Stopping Medication Abuse and Protecting Seniors Act. That is it: Stopping Medication Abuse and Protecting Seniors. It allows Part D and Medicare Advantage plans to lock in patients to a single prescriber, a single pharmacy, for their opioid pain medicine. This is going to do a couple of things. It will deal with the issue of doctor shopping. That is when a patient goes to multiple providers to get duplicate prescriptions if they become addicted. Many private insurance companies already do this and so does Medicaid. So we should allow and encourage Medicare to do it as well.

These are all ideas with bipartisan support in the Senate. They are examples of ways that Democrats and Republicans are working together to help Americans who need and deserve help. The abuse of prescription drugs and heroin is happening everywhere in America. It is harming our Nation. Congress must do what it can to stop it.

I thank the Presiding Officer and yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Ms. HIRONO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

FILLING THE SUPREME COURT VACANCY

Ms. HIRONO. Mr. President, our Republican colleagues have decided that the Senate should not hold a hearing or vote on any Supreme Court nominee this year. The reason? It is an election year. That is a breathtakingly candid but utterly irresponsible reason for the Senate not to do its job. That decision may not surprise those who have followed the Senate in recent years, as our Republican colleagues have time and again chosen to obstruct President Obama's agenda.

We can disagree on legislation, we can disagree on policies, we can certainly disagree on judicial nominations, but the idea that the Senate should not take any action on a Supreme Court vacancy is unprecedented.

In the last 100 years, the Senate has taken action on every Supreme Court nominee whether it is an election year or not. The Senate has not only taken action, but the Senate has confirmed more than a dozen Supreme Court Justices in the final year of a Presidency. In fact, a Democratic Senate confirmed Justice Anthony Kennedy in the final year of President Reagan's term. Yet roughly 9 months before the next election, the Republican position is that the Senate should not do its job because 11 months from now, we will

have a new President. I ask you, what has that got to do with us doing our jobs?

Under the Republican timeline, the Supreme Court will be left with only eight Justices for over a year. The last time it took so long for the Senate to fill a vacancy on the Court was during the Civil War. The rationale that the Senate should not act because of an upcoming election is not only stunning, but I think most Americans would agree is absurd. In what other workplace can employees announce that they don't plan to fulfill their responsibilities for 9 months and still get paid? But that is exactly what Republicans are saying to the American people.

We work for the American people. The American people elect Senators, Representatives, and Presidents. Through elections, the people shape the direction of our country.

While Republicans may want to forget it, in 2012 the people elected President Obama to a full 4-year term. That term doesn't end for nearly a year. His responsibilities as President don't stop because a Republican Senate says so.

The Constitution requires a President to nominate someone to fill a vacancy on the Supreme Court. The Constitution requires the Senate to provide advice and consent on the President's nominee. That is our job as Senators.

The President hasn't nominated anyone to fill the current Supreme Court vacancy. When he does, no Senator is required to vote for that nominee, but what is required is for the Senate to fulfill its constitutional duties. The President's nominee deserves a hearing and a vote. No excuses. Let's do our job.

Mr. President, I wish to now turn to another subject.

(The remarks of Ms. HIRONO pertaining to the submission of S. Res. 373 are printed in today's RECORD under "Submitted Resolutions.")

Ms. HIRONO. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SUL-LIVAN). The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, yesterday it was my privilege to say a few words honoring Justice Antonin Scalia, known to his friends as "Nino," a man whose intellect, wit, and dedication to our Constitution have served our country for decades. I am pleased that others have said appropriate words honoring his memory and the many ways he helped strengthen our constitutional self-government and our democracy.

As we know, the Constitution gives the Senate an equal role in deciding who eventually is to serve on the Supreme Court of the United States.