

On page 6, strike lines 1 through 12 and insert the following:

“(ii) on-package disclosure options, in addition to those available under subparagraph (D), that may be selected by the small food manufacturer, that consist of—

“(I) a telephone number accompanied by the following language to indicate that the phone number provides access to additional bioengineered food information: ‘Call for more GE information’; and

“(II) an Internet website maintained by the small food manufacturer; and

On page 7, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 10, line 3.

On page 10, line 4, strike “(e)” and insert “(c)”.

On page 10, line 14, strike “(f)” and insert “(d)”.

On page 10, line 21, strike “(g)” and insert “(e)”.

SA 4966. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4935 proposed by Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. ROBERTS) to the bill S. 764, to reauthorize and amend the National Sea Grant College Program Act, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 13, strike line 20 and insert the following:

“SEC. 296. PRESERVATION OF CERTAIN STATE LAWS.

“Notwithstanding section 293(e) and section 295(b), nothing in this subtitle or subtitle E shall affect the authority of a State or political subdivision of a State to enforce any State or local law (including any action taken or requirement imposed pursuant to the authority of the State or local law) relating to food labeling or seed labeling that was enacted before January 1, 2016.

“SEC. 297. EXCLUSION FROM FEDERAL PREEMPTION.

SA 4967. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4935 proposed by Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. ROBERTS) to the bill S. 764, to reauthorize and amend the National Sea Grant College Program Act, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 5, strike lines 1 through 4 and insert the following:

“(B) require that a food that contains bioengineered substances in an amount greater than ½ of 1 percent of the total weight of the food shall be a bioengineered food;

SA 4968. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4935 proposed by Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. ROBERTS) to the bill S. 764, to reauthorize and amend the National Sea Grant College Program Act, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 9, line 17, insert “, including unique identifiers that are linked, or linkable, to consumers or the devices of consumers” before “; but”.

SA 4969. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4935 proposed by Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. ROBERTS) to the bill S. 764, to reauthorize and amend the National Sea Grant College Pro-

gram Act, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 8, line 24, strike “more” and insert “GMO and other”.

On page 9, line 6, strike “more” and insert “GMO and other”.

SA 4970. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4935 proposed by Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. ROBERTS) to the bill S. 764, to reauthorize and amend the National Sea Grant College Program Act, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 10, strike lines 22 through 24 and insert the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—

“(A) WARNINGS.—If the Secretary determines that a person is in violation of the national bioengineered food disclosure standard under this subtitle, the Secretary shall—

“(i) notify the person of the determination of the Secretary; and

“(ii) provide the person a 30-day period, beginning on the date on which the person receives the notice under clause (i) from the Secretary, during which the person may take necessary steps to comply with the standard.

“(B) FINES.—On completion of the 30-day period described in subparagraph (A)(ii) and after providing notice and an opportunity for a hearing before the Secretary, the Secretary may fine the person in an amount of not more than \$1,000 for each violation if the Secretary determines that the person—

“(i) has not made a good faith effort to comply with the national bioengineered food disclosure standard under this subtitle; and

“(ii) continues to willfully violate the standard with respect to the violation about which the person received notification under subparagraph (A)(i).

SA 4971. Mr. TESTER (for himself and Mr. MERKLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4935 proposed by Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. ROBERTS) to the bill S. 764, to reauthorize and amend the National Sea Grant College Program Act, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 2, strike lines 6 through 15 and insert the following:

“(1) BIOENGINEERING.—The term ‘bioengineering’, and any similar term, as determined by the Secretary, with respect to a food, refers to a food or food ingredient—

“(A) that is produced with genetic engineering techniques; and

“(B) for which the genetic material has been altered in a manner that does not occur naturally by mating or conventional breeding.

SA 4972. Mr. SASSE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 4935 proposed by Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. ROBERTS) to the bill S. 764, to reauthorize and amend the National Sea Grant College Program Act, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted, insert the following:

SECTION 1. LABELING OF CERTAIN FOOD.

The Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“Subtitle E—Labeling of Certain Food

“SEC. 291. FEDERAL PREEMPTION.

“(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this subtitle:

“(1) FOOD.—The term ‘food’ has the meaning given the term in section 201 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321).

“(2) GENETICALLY ENGINEERED.—The term ‘genetically engineered’ has the meaning given the term in the Coordinated Framework for the Regulation of Biotechnology, published June 26, 1986, and February 27, 1992 (51 Fed. Reg. 23302; 57 Fed. Reg. 6753).

“(b) FEDERAL PREEMPTION.—No State or a political subdivision of a State may directly or indirectly establish under any authority or continue in effect as to any food or seed in interstate commerce any requirement relating to the labeling of whether a food (including food served in a restaurant or similar establishment) or seed is genetically engineered or was developed or produced using genetic engineering, including any requirement for claims that a food or seed is or contains an ingredient that was developed or produced using genetic engineering.”.

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

Mr. SASSE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on July 6, 2016, at 2:30 p.m.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS

Mr. SASSE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on July 6, 2016, at 2 p.m., to conduct a hearing entitled, “ISIS Online: Countering Terrorist Radicalization and Recruitment on the Internet and Social Media.”

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PRIVILEGES OF THE FLOOR

Mr. MERKLEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that my intern, Olivia Woods, be granted privileges of the floor for the balance of the day.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

NOTICE: REGISTRATION OF MASS MAILINGS

The filing date for the 2016 second quarter Mass Mailing report is Monday, July 25, 2016. An electronic option is available on Webster that will allow forms to be submitted via a fillable pdf document. If your office did not mass mailings during this period, please submit a form that states “none.”

Mass mailing registrations or negative reports can be submitted electronically or delivered to the Senate Office of Public Records, 232 Hart Building, Washington, D.C. 20510-7116.

The Senate Office of Public Records is open from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. For

further information, please contact the Senate Office of Public Records at (202) 224-0322.

EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE IN SUPPORT OF THE NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION AND THE NATO SUMMIT TO BE HELD IN WARSAW, POLAND FROM JULY 8-9, 2016

Mr. TILLIS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 529, S. Res. 506.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 506) expressing the sense of the Senate in support of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and the NATO summit to be held in Warsaw, Poland from July 8-9, 2016, and in support of committing NATO to a security posture capable of deterring threats to the Alliance.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution, which had been reported from the Committee on Foreign Relations, with amendments and an amendment to the preamble, as follows:

(The parts intended to be stricken are shown in boldface brackets and the parts intended to be inserted are shown in italics.)

S. RES. 506

Whereas the North Atlantic Treaty, signed April 4, 1949, in Washington, District of Columbia, which created the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (“NATO”), proclaims: “[Members] are determined to safeguard the freedom, common heritage and civilisation of their peoples, founded on the principles of democracy, individual liberty and the rule of law. They seek to promote stability and well-being in the North Atlantic area. They are resolved to unite their efforts for collective defence and for the preservation of peace and security.”;

Whereas NATO has been the backbone of the European security architecture for 67 years, evolving to meet the changing transatlantic geopolitical and security environment;

Whereas NATO continues its mission in Afghanistan following the September 11, 2001, attacks on the United States;

Whereas NATO, through its contributions to the common defense, including its invocation of Article 5 after the attacks of September 11, 2001, has significantly contributed to the security of the United States and has served as a force multiplier for the United States;

Whereas at the NATO Wales Summit in September 2014, NATO reaffirmed the Alliance’s role in transatlantic security and its ability to respond to emerging security threats and challenges;

Whereas Alliance members at the NATO Wales Summit defined the new security paradigm when they stated, “Russia’s aggressive actions against Ukraine have fundamentally challenged our vision of a Europe whole, free, and at peace. Growing instability in our southern neighborhood, from the Middle East to North Africa, as well as transnational and multi-dimensional threats, are also challenging our security. These can all have long-term consequences for peace and security in the Euro-Atlantic region and stability across the globe.”;

Whereas at the 2014 NATO Wales Summit, Alliance members addressed this changed security environment by committing to enhancing readiness and collective defense; increasing defense spending and boosting military capabilities; and improving NATO support for partner countries through the Defense Capacity Building Initiative;

Whereas although Article 14 of the Wales Declaration calls on all members of the alliance to spend a minimum of 2 percent of their Gross Domestic Product (GDP) on defense within a decade, currently only five members are achieving that target;

Whereas, after the 2014 Wales Summit, the Russian military invaded Ukraine, adding Crimea to the list of areas illegally controlled by Moscow, including Georgia’s Abkhazia and South Ossetia regions;

Whereas Russian-backed separatists in Eastern Ukraine continue to destabilize the region with support from the Government of the Russian Federation;

Whereas the Government of the Russian Federation continues to undertake provocative, unprofessional, and dangerous actions towards NATO air and naval forces and continues to exercise hybrid warfare capabilities against member and nonmember states along its western borders;

Whereas Poland and the Baltic States of Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania are on the frontlines of renewed Russian aggression and hybrid warfare, including disinformation campaigns, cyber threats, and snap military exercises along the Alliance’s eastern flank;

Whereas President Barack Obama proposed a quadrupling of the European Reassurance Initiative in fiscal year 2017 to \$3,400,000,000 in order to enhance the United States commitment to NATO, to support Europe’s defense, and to deter further Russian aggression;

Whereas the cornerstone of NATO’s collective defense initiative is the Readiness Action Plan, intended to enable a continuous NATO military presence on the Alliance’s periphery, especially its easternmost states, which includes enhanced troop rotations, military exercises, and the establishment of a Very High Readiness Task Force;

Whereas, in follow-up to commitments made at the NATO Wales Summit, NATO and the Government of Georgia agreed on a “Substantial Package” of cooperation and defense reform initiatives to strengthen Georgia’s resilience and self-defense capabilities and develop closer security cooperation and interoperability with NATO members, including through the establishment of the Joint Training and Evaluation Center, which was inaugurated in 2015;

Whereas the threat of transnational terrorism has resulted in attacks in Turkey, France, Belgium, and the United States, and the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) continues to pose a real and evolving threat to member states, other countries in Europe, and the broader international community;

Whereas the migration crisis from the Syrian civil war, the conflict in Afghanistan, and economic and humanitarian crises in Africa have placed a great strain on member states;

Whereas the NATO summit in Warsaw, Poland, is an opportunity to enhance and more deeply entrench those principles and build on our collective security, which continue to bind the Alliance together and guide our efforts today; and

Whereas, on May 19, 2016, Foreign Ministers of NATO member states signed an Accession Protocol to officially endorse and legally move forward Montenegro’s membership in the Alliance, which, consistent with NATO’s “Open Door policy”, would indeed further the principles of the North Atlantic

Treaty and contribute to the security of the North Atlantic area: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) recognizes the service of the brave men and women who have served to safeguard the freedom and security of the United States and the whole of the transatlantic alliance;

(2) encourages Alliance members at the NATO Warsaw Summit to promote unity and solidarity, and to ensure a robust security posture capable of deterring any potential adversary, in the face of the complex and changing security environment confronting the Alliance on its eastern, northern, and southern fronts;

(3) urges all NATO members to invest at least two percent of GDP in defense spending and carry an equitable burden in supporting the resource requirements and [defense capabilities of the Alliance;] *defense capabilities of the Alliance, including an increased forward defense posture in NATO frontline states;*

(4) reaffirms its commitment to NATO’s collective security as guaranteed by Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty;

(5) *welcomes the progress of NATO’s ballistic missile defense mission, adopted at the 2010 Lisbon Summit, and the achievement of recent United States milestones in this area through the partnership of allies, including Romania and Poland;*

[(5)](6) recognizes Georgia’s troop contributions to missions abroad, its robust defense spending, and its ongoing efforts to strengthen its democratic and military institutions for NATO accession; and

[(6)](7) recognizes the ongoing work of NATO’s Resolute Support Mission in Afghanistan, with 12,000 troops advising and assisting Afghanistan’s security ministries, and army and police [commands across the country] *commands across the country, and the significant commitment NATO allies and coalition partners have dedicated to Afghanistan since 2001, including at least 1,134 troops from NATO allies and coalition partners of the United States who lost their lives in that conflict.*

Mr. TILLIS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the committee-reported amendments be agreed to, the resolution, as amended, be agreed to, the committee-reported amendment to the preamble be agreed to, the preamble, as amended, be agreed to, and the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The committee-reported amendments were agreed to.

The resolution (S. Res. 506), as amended, was agreed to.

The committee-reported amendment to the preamble was agreed to.

The preamble, as amended, was agreed to.

The resolution, as amended, with its preamble, as amended, reads as follows:

S. RES. 506

Whereas the North Atlantic Treaty, signed April 4, 1949, in Washington, District of Columbia, which created the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (“NATO”), proclaims: “[Members] are determined to safeguard the freedom, common heritage and civilisation of their peoples, founded on the principles of democracy, individual liberty and the rule of law. They seek to promote stability and well-being in the North Atlantic area. They are resolved to unite their efforts for collective defence and for the preservation of peace and security.”;