and to his only Republican boss, then-Sen. Chuck Hagel (Neb.).

He bounced from there into the office of Sen. Patrick J. Leahy (Vt.), the top Democrat on the Judiciary Committee, spending several months there in 2000 and again in 2004. There, he saw up close how senior senators have to focus on one significant policy arena at the expense of others.

"There's this sort of policy triage that senators have to engage in, which is: They can't possibly devote themselves equally to three major committee assignments," Baker said.

Several years later, Baker's Rutgers connection paid off.

Reid's longtime senior aide Susan McCue was a Rutgers alumna, connecting Baker with Reid, which led to tours with the majority leader in 2008 and 2012, as well as a brief stint during the 2014 lame-duck session. These past four months were Baker's first stint with Reid in the minority.

With his Reid partnership ending, Baker is returning to another semester of "American Government" this fall at Rutgers.

"I at least come out of it with fresh anecdotes for my undergraduates," Baker said. "I mean, I just don't want to ever be in a position of mentioning a name and they look at me blankly."

### BUDGET COMMITTEE COST ESTIMATE—S. 2844

Mr. ENZI. Mr. President, I offer for the RECORD the Budget Committee's cost estimate of S. 2844, the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2017

The reported measure provides \$56.5 billion in discretionary budget authority for fiscal year 2017, which will result in new outlays of \$46.3 billion. When outlays from prior-year budget authority are taken into account, non-emergency discretionary outlays for the bill will total \$120.5 billion.

The reported bill matches its section 302(b) allocation set forth in S. Rept. 114-238 for budget authority for both the security and nonsecurity categories and is below the 302(b) allocation for outlays by \$10 million.

The bill contains emergency-designated appropriations, which are effectively exempt from budget enforcement. The Budget Act provides a mechanism to prevent abuse of the emer-

gency designation in the form of the 314(e) point of order, which allows any Senator to challenge whether the appropriation is actually needed for an emergency. Specifically, the bill transfers to the community planning and development account previously appropriated emergency-designated Housing and Urban Development spending for administrative costs related to disaster relief efforts. This transfer does not change budget authority, but increases outlays by \$1 million. I will adjust the allocations by this amount unless a challenge to the use of the emergency designation is successful, which would occur if fewer than 60 Senators agree that the appropriation is needed for an emergency purpose.

I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD the table displaying the Budget Committee scoring of the bill.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 2844, 2017 TRANSPORTATION, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS—SPENDING COMPARISONS—SENATE-REPORTED BILL
(Fiscal Year 2017, \$ millions)

|  | Budget A                 | uthority                             | Outlays                              |   |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
|  | Security                 | Nonsecurity                          | Total                                | Total   |
| Senate-reported bill Senate 302(b) allocation 2016 Enacted President's request SENATE-REPORTED BILL COMPARED TO: | 275<br>275<br>210<br>211 | 56,199<br>56,199<br>57,391<br>51,781 | 56,474<br>56,474<br>57,601<br>51,992 | 120,461<br>120,471<br>120,469<br>118,800                                      |
| Senate 302(b) allocation 2016 Enacted President's request  | 0<br>65<br>64            | - 1,192<br>4,418                     | 0<br>- 1,127<br>4,482                | $     \begin{array}{r}       -10 \\       -8 \\       1,661     \end{array} $ |

Note: Details may not add to totals due to rounding. Includes emergency-designated spending

### BUDGET COMMITTEE COST ESTIMATE—S. 2806

Mr. ENZI. Mr. President, I offer for the RECORD the Budget Committee's cost estimate of S. 2806, the Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2017.

The reported measure provides \$75.1 billion in discretionary budget author-

ity for fiscal year 2017, which will result in discretionary outlays of \$83.1 billion.

The reported bill matches its section 302(b) allocation set forth in S. Rept. 114-238 for budget authority for both the security and nonsecurity categories, and is below the 302(b) allocation for outlays by \$10 million.

The bill is not subject to any budget-related points of order.

I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD the table displaying the Budget Committee scoring of the bill.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 2806, 2017 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, VETERANS AFFAIRS, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS—SPENDING COMPARISONS—SENATE-REPORTED BILL
(Fiscal Year 2017, \$ millions)

|  | Budget A  | uthority                             | Outlays                              |                                      |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|  | Security  | Nonsecurity                          | Total                                | Total                                |
| Senate-reported bill Senate 302(b) allocation 2016 Enacted President's request SENATE-REPORTED BILL COMPARED TO: | 7,930<br>7,930<br>8,171<br>7,443                | 75,100<br>75,100<br>71,698<br>75,254 | 83,030<br>83,030<br>79,869<br>82,697 | 83,141<br>83,151<br>79,813<br>83,778 |
| Senate 302(b) allocation 2016 Enacted  | $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -241 \\ 487 \end{array}$ | 3,402<br>- 154                       | 3,161<br>333                         | -10 3,328 $-637$                     |

Note: Details may not add to totals due to rounding. Excludes Overseas Contingency Operations funding in President's request.

# BUDGETARY REVISIONS

Mr. ENZI. Mr. President, section 251 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 establishes statutory limits on discretionary spending and allows for various adjustments to those limits, while sections 302 and 314(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 allow the chairman of the Budget Committee to establish and make revisions to allocations, aggregates, and levels consistent with

those adjustments. The Senate will soon consider Senate amendment No. 3896, filed by Senator Collins. This amendment includes the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, as reported by the Committee on Appropriations. The amendincludes a provision related to the Department of Housing and Urban Development's administrative costs for disaster relief activities that results in

\$1 million in outlays. This provision is designated as an emergency pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Deficit Control Act of 1985. The inclusion of this designation makes this spending eligible for an adjustment under the Congressional Budget Act.

As a result, I am increasing the budgetary aggregate for 2017 by \$1 million in outlays. I am also increasing the

2017 allocation to the Appropriations Committee by \$1 million in outlays.

I ask unanimous consent that the accompanying tables, which provide details about the adjustment, be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

#### REVISION TO BUDGETARY AGGREGATES

(Pursuant to Section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and Section 102 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015)

| \$s in millions  | 2017                   |  |  |
|--|------------------------|--|--|
| Current Spending Aggregates: Budget Authority Outlays Adjustments: | 3,212,350<br>3,219,191 |  |  |
| Budget Authority   | 0                      |  |  |

# REVISION TO BUDGETARY AGGREGATES—Continued (Pursuant to Section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and Section 102 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015)

| \$s in millions                      | 2017                   |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Outlays Revised Spending Aggregates: | 1                      |  |  |
| Budget Authority<br>Outlays          | 3,212,350<br>3,219,192 |  |  |

### REVISION TO SPENDING ALLOCATION TO THE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017

(Pursuant to Sections 302 and 314(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974)

| \$s in millions  |     |             |                   |                 |                                 | 2017   |
|--|-----|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Current Allocation: Revised Security Discretionary Budget Authority Revised Nonsecurity Category Discretionary Budget Authority General Purpose Outlays Adjustments:   |     |             |                   |                 | 551,068<br>518,531<br>1,181,800 |  |
| Revised Security Discretionary Budget Authority Revised Monsecurity Category Discretionary Budget Authority General Purpose Outlays Revised Allocation: Revised Security Discretionary Budget Authority Revised Monsecurity Discretionary Budget Authority Revised Monsecurity Category Discretionary Budget Authority General Purpose Outlays |     |             |                   |                 |                                 | 0<br>0<br>1<br>551,068<br>518,531<br>1 181 801 |
| Memorandum: Detail of Adjustments Made Above   | 000 |             | Program Integrity | Disaster Relief | Emergency                       | Total  |
| Revised Security Discretionary Budget Authority Revised Nonsecurity Category Discretionary Budget Authority General Purpose Outlays  |     | 0<br>0<br>0 | 0<br>0<br>0       | 0<br>0<br>0     |                                 | 0 0<br>0 0<br>1 1                              |

# SCHWARTZ

Mr. DONNELLY. Mr. President, today I rise to recognize and honor the extraordinary service of Col. Douglas J. Schwartz, 434th Air Refueling Wing commander, and to wish him well upon his retirement. A dedicated and loyal public servant, Colonel Schwartz has served the people of Indiana and the United States in the U.S. Air Force for approximately 35 years. A command pilot, Colonel Schwartz has more than 4,200 flying hours, and his service includes deployments in support of U.S. military efforts in the Balkans, Iraq, and Afghanistan.

A native of Fort Wayne, IN, Colonel Schwartz graduated from Purdue University and received his commission through the Air Force Officer Training School in 1981. Shortly thereafter, he entered pilot training at Williams Air Force Base, AZ, and was assigned to the 325th Bomb Squadron at Fairchild Air Force Base, WA. He transferred to the Air Force Reserve in 1992 and flew KC-135R Stratotankers with the 72nd Air Refueling Squadron at Grissom. From October 1992 until March 2007, he held numerous command and leadership assignments at Grissom, including assistant chief pilot, chief of standardization and evaluation, operations officer, flight commander, and detachment commander. Colonel Schwartz has also served as detachment commander and operations group commander of the 927th Air Refueling Wing at MacDill Air Force Base, FL; vice commander of the 932nd Airlift Wing at Scott Air Force Base, IL; director of staff for the 4th Air Force; and wing commander of the 927th Air Refueling Wing at McDill Airforce Base, FL, before returning to Grissom to assume command of the 434th Air Refueling Wing in June 2014.

Under the leadership of Colonel Schwartz, Grissom is home to one of our Nation's finest Air Force units

TRIBUTE TO COLONEL DOUGLAS J. with the dedicated men and women of the 434th Air Refueling Wing and the largest KC-135R Stratotanker unit in the Air Force Reserve Command, Colonel Schwartz has helped Grissom play a large and growing role in our national defense, and he has worked to ensure the Air Force recognizes the tremendous asset we have in Indiana.

> We thank Colonel Schwartz for his service, dedication, and commitment to protecting Hoosiers and our Nation. Indiana has a long and proud tradition of serving our country, and Colonel Schwartz's leadership has played a critical role in ensuring that our brave men and women at the 434th Refueling Wing have the training and support they need. On behalf of all Hoosiers, I wish Colonel Schwartz and his wife, Ann, the best in the years ahead.

## ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

### TRIBUTE TO DAVID C. QUAM

• Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, today I wish to honor David Quam, deputy director for policy with the National Governors Association, or NGA. Senator Manchin and Senator Rounds both join me in honoring David, who concluded his tenure with NGA after 13 years of service to the Nation's Governors on April 29.

As he begins a new chapter in his distinguished professional career, I would like to highlight the important work David led at NGA, the bipartisan organization of the Governors of the 55 territories, and States. Commonwealths. David has been a strong voice for the Nation's Governors in the areas of State taxation, telecommunications and technology, budget and appropriations, national security, veterans affairs, the National Guard, and the critical role of States in responding to the great recession and natural disasters. He testified before Congress 15 times,

principally on State taxation matters. David also helped launch NGA's international program, leading a series of subnational trade conferences in Salt Lake City and Beijing between U.S. and Chinese Governors under a bilateral agreement between the United States and the People's Republic of China.

Since 2003. David has helped frame and convey the bipartisan views of U.S. Governors to Members of Congress, Presidential administrations and Federal executive branch officials, private sector executives, and international leaders. He has represented the consensus positions of Governors through numerous television appearances and print interviews with publications, including: the New York Times, Wall Street Journal, USA Today, Washington Post, CNN, National Public Radio, Congressional Quarterly, Bureau of National Affairs, Politico, Boston Globe, Los Angeles Times, Chicago Tribune, Chicago Sun-Times, Atlanta Journal-Constitution, Denver Post, and the Bond Buyer.

David relished his role as chief advocate presenting the collective voice of the Nation's Governors, often describing it as the "best job in Washington, D.C." He executed it well.

Through David's leadership of the Office of Federal Relations, NGA holds a respected record in developing policy solutions and effectively advocating the Governors' collective policy priorities before Congress and the administration. David and his team of professionals have helped to maintain a seat at the table for the Nation's Governors on many critical national issues.

On behalf of all of my Senate colleagues who are former Governors, especially Senator MANCHIN and Senator ROUNDS, many of whom have worked directly with David Quam for years, I wish to express our thanks for his zealous bipartisan advocacy on behalf of the Nation's Governors, sound counsel,