

(1) Northeast Iowa Community College, North Iowa Area Community College, Northwest Iowa Community College, Iowa Central Community College, Southwestern Community College, and Indian Hills Community College on February 18, 1966;

(2) Hawkeye Community College, the Eastern Iowa Community Colleges, Kirkwood Community College, Des Moines Area Community College, and Iowa Western Community College on March 18, 1966;

(3) the Iowa Valley Community College District on April 29, 1966;

(4) Southeastern Community College on June 2, 1966;

(5) Western Iowa Tech Community College on August 19, 1966; and

(6) Iowa Lakes Community College on October 28, 1966;

Whereas, 50 years later, the community colleges of Iowa have grown to be the largest postsecondary institutions in the State, providing accessible and affordable education to a diverse range of students in Iowa and around the world;

Whereas, 50 years later, the community colleges of Iowa are leaders in delivering college parallel courses and career technical education programs to high schools students in Iowa;

Whereas, 50 years later, the community colleges of Iowa provide opportunities in adult literacy and basic education to low-skilled workers, immigrants, and refugees;

Whereas, 50 years later, the workforce of Iowa has nearly 25,000,000 credit hours and more than 138,000,000 contact hours of past and present community college training;

Whereas, 50 years later, the community colleges of Iowa lead the response to the specific workforce needs of communities in Iowa, including the ability for Iowa businesses to compete in global markets; and

Whereas, 50 years later, the community colleges of Iowa are the leaders in providing skills training for high-demand, high-paying, high-skilled occupations and career enhancement opportunities for Iowa workers: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) congratulates and commends the community colleges of Iowa for 50 years of—

(A) developing and sustaining accessible and quality higher education opportunities for all Iowans; and

(B) service to Iowa and the United States; and

(2) requests that the Secretary of the Senate transmit a copy of this resolution to—

(A) the Board Chair of the Iowa Association of Community College Trustees; and

(B) the Chair of the Iowa Association of Community College Presidents.

SENATE RESOLUTION 383—RECOGNIZING THE IMPORTANCE OF THE UNITED STATES-ISRAEL ECONOMIC RELATIONSHIP AND ENCOURAGING NEW AREAS OF COOPERATION

Mr. PERDUE (for himself, Mr. TESTER, and Mr. COONS) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 383

Whereas the deep bond between the United States and Israel is exemplified by its many facets, including the robust economic and commercial relationship;

Whereas, on April 22, 2015, the United States celebrated the 30th anniversary of its free trade agreement with Israel, which was the first free trade agreement entered into by the United States;

Whereas the United States-Israel Free Trade Agreement established the Joint Committee to facilitate the agreement and collaborate on efforts to increase bilateral cooperation and investment;

Whereas, since the signing of this agreement, two-way trade has multiplied tenfold to over \$40,000,000,000 annually;

Whereas Israel is the third largest importer of United States goods in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region after Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates, despite representing only 2 percent of the region's population;

Whereas nearly half of all investment in the United States from the MENA region comes from Israel;

Whereas Israel has more companies listed on the NASDAQ Stock Exchange than any other country except for the United States and China;

Whereas, in 1956, the United States-Israel Education Foundation was established to administer the Fulbright Program in Israel, and has facilitated the exchange of nearly 3,300 students between the United States and Israel since its inception;

Whereas, in 1972, the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) was established to promote scientific relations between the United States and Israel by supporting collaborative research projects in basic and applied scientific fields, and has generated investments of over \$480,000,000 to over 4,000 projects since its inception;

Whereas Binational Science Foundation grant recipients have included 43 Nobel Laureates, 19 winners of the Albert Lasker Medical Research Award, and 38 recipients of the Wolf Prize;

Whereas, in 1977, the United States-Israel Binational Industrial Research and Development Foundation (BIRD) was established to stimulate, promote, and support non-defense industrial research and development of mutual benefit to both countries in agriculture, communications, life sciences, electronics, electro-optics, energy, healthcare information technology, homeland security, software, water, and other technologies, and has provided over \$300,000,000 to over 700 joint projects since its inception;

Whereas recent successful BIRD projects include the ReWalk system that helps paraplegics walk, a medical teaching simulator for Laparoscopic Hysterectomies, and a new drug to treat chronic gout;

Whereas, in 1978, the United States-Israel Binational Agricultural Research and Development Fund was established as a competitive funding program for mutually beneficial, mission-oriented, strategic and applied research of agricultural problems conducted jointly by United States and Israeli scientists, and has provided over \$250,000,000 to over 1,000 projects since its inception;

Whereas an independent review of the United States-Israel Binational Agricultural Research and Development Fund (BARD) estimated that the dollar benefits of just 10 of its projects through 2010 came to \$440,000,000 in the United States and \$300,000,000 in Israel, far exceeding total investment in the program;

Whereas, in 1984, the United States and Israel began convening the Joint Economic Development Group (JEDG) to regularly discuss economic conditions and identify new opportunities for collaboration;

Whereas, in 1994, the United States-Israel Science and Technology Foundation (USISTF) was established to promote the advancement of science and technology for mutual economic benefit and has developed joint research and development programs that reach 12 States;

Whereas the United States-Israel Innovation Index (USI3), which was developed by

USISTF to track and benchmark innovation relationships, ranks the United States-Israel innovation relationship as top-tier;

Whereas, in 2007, the United States-Israel Binational Industrial Research and Development Foundation (BIRD) Energy program was established to provide support for joint United States-Israel research and development of renewable energy and energy efficiency, and has provided \$18,000,000 to 20 joint projects since its founding;

Whereas, since 2011, the United States Department of Energy and the Israeli Ministry of National Infrastructures, Energy and Water Resources have led an annual United States-Israel Energy Meeting with participants across government agencies to facilitate bilateral cooperation in that sector;

Whereas, in 2012, Congress passed and President Barack Obama signed into law the United States-Israel Enhanced Security Cooperation Act of 2012 (Public Law 112-150), which set United States policy to expand bilateral cooperation across the spectrum of civilian sectors, including high technology, agriculture, medicine, health, pharmaceuticals, and energy;

Whereas, in 2013, President Obama said in reference to Israel's contribution to the global economy, "That innovation is just as important to the relationship between the United States and Israel as our security cooperation.";

Whereas, in 2014, Secretary of the Treasury Jacob Lew said, "As one of the most technologically-advanced and innovative economies in the world, Israel is an important economic partner to the United States.";

Whereas the 2014 Global Venture Capital Confidence Survey ranked the United States and Israel as the two countries with the highest levels of investor confidence in the world;

Whereas, in 2014, Congress passed and President Obama signed into law the United States-Israel Strategic Partnership Act of 2014 (Public Law 113-296), which deepened cooperation on energy, water, agriculture, trade, and defense, and expressed the sense of Congress that Israel is a major strategic partner of the United States; and

Whereas economic cooperation between the United States and Israel has also thrived at the State and local levels through both formal agreements and bilateral organizations in over 30 States that have encouraged new forms of cooperation in fields such as water conservation, cybersecurity, and alternative energy and farming technologies: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) affirms that the United States-Israel economic partnership has achieved great tangible and intangible benefits to both countries and is a foundational component of the strong alliance;

(2) recognizes that science and technology innovation present promising new frontiers for United States-Israel economic cooperation, particularly in light of widespread drought, cybersecurity attacks, and other major challenges impacting the United States;

(3) encourages the President to regularize and expand existing forums of economic dialogue with Israel and foster both public and private sector participation; and

(4) expresses support for the President to explore new agreements with Israel, including in the fields of energy, water, agriculture, medicine, neurotechnology, and cybersecurity.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 3351. Mr. HELLER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him

to the bill S. 524, to authorize the Attorney General to award grants to address the national epidemics of prescription opioid abuse and heroin use; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3352. Mrs. CAPITO (for herself and Mr. KING) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3353. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mrs. CAPITO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3354. Mrs. GILLIBRAND (for herself and Mrs. CAPITO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3355. Mr. FLAKE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3356. Mr. FLAKE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3357. Mrs. SHAHEEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3358. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3359. Mr. CARDIN (for himself, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, and Mr. SANDERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3360. Mr. CARDIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3361. Mr. CARDIN (for himself and Mr. HELLER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3362. Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3363. Ms. COLLINS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3364. Ms. COLLINS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3365. Ms. COLLINS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3366. Mr. LANKFORD (for himself and Mr. HATCH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3367. Mr. TOOMEY (for himself, Mr. BROWN, Mr. KAINE, and Mr. PORTMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3368. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3369. Mr. CORNYN (for himself and Mr. ALEXANDER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3370. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3371. Mr. SCHATZ (for himself and Mr. HATCH) submitted an amendment intended to

be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3372. Mr. HEINRICH (for himself and Mr. ENZI) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3373. Mrs. ERNST submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3374. Mr. DONNELLY (for himself and Mrs. CAPITO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3375. Mr. REID (for Mrs. McCASKILL (for herself and Mr. BLUNT)) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by Mr. REID, of NV to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3376. Mr. KAINE (for himself and Mrs. CAPITO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3377. Mr. KING submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3378. Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. PORTMAN, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Ms. AYOTTE, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. COONS, Mr. CORNYN, and Mr. DURBIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3379. Ms. BALDWIN (for herself, Mr. MARKEY, and Mr. MENENDEZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3380. Mr. TESTER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3381. Mr. MARKEY (for himself and Mr. PAUL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3382. Mr. MARKEY (for himself and Mr. BLUMENTHAL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3383. Mr. MARKEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3384. Mr. MARKEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 3385. Mr. DAINES (for himself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 3351. Mr. HELLER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 524, to authorize the Attorney General to award grants to address the national epidemics of prescription opioid abuse and heroin use; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 48, line 19, insert after “community organizations” the following: “, and nonprofit organizations that demonstrate the capacity to provide recovery services to veterans.”.

SA 3352. Mrs. CAPITO (for herself and Mr. KING) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 524, to authorize the Attor-

ney General to award grants to address the national epidemics of prescription opioid abuse and heroin use; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title VII, add the following:

SEC. 705. MEDICAID PROVIDER PARTICIPATION CERTIFICATION FOR FACILITIES TREATING INFANTS UNDER 1 YEAR OF AGE WITH NEONATAL ABSTINENCE SYNDROME.

(a) GUIDELINES FOR CERTIFICATION FOR PARTICIPATION UNDER MEDICAID STATE PLANS OF CERTAIN FACILITIES TREATING INFANTS UNDER 1 YEAR OF AGE WITH NEONATAL ABSTINENCE SYNDROME.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 6 months after the date of the enactment of this section, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall establish guidelines, in accordance with paragraph (2), for State agencies and recognized national listing or accrediting bodies to follow for purposes of certifying a residential pediatric recovery center as qualifying for a provider agreement for participation under a State plan under the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.). Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a residential pediatric recovery center may satisfy the requirements set forth in such guidelines, in lieu of any comparable requirements otherwise applicable to such a center for purposes of certification for participation under such a State plan.

(2) GUIDELINES DESCRIBED.—The guidelines established under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) provide for physical environment requirements and other necessary requirements specifically applicable to treating individuals who are under 1 year of age with the diagnosis of neonatal abstinence syndrome without any other significant medical risk factors; and

(B) take into account that certain physical environment requirements, and any other requirements, needed for centers or facilities treating adults may not be necessary for centers or facilities treating individuals described in subparagraph (A).

(3) RESIDENTIAL PEDIATRIC RECOVERY CENTER.—For purposes of this section, the term “residential pediatric recovery center” means a center or facility that furnishes items and services to infants who are under 1 year of age with the diagnosis of neonatal abstinence syndrome without any other significant medical risk factors and mothers of such infants.

(b) STATE LAW LICENSURE OF CERTAIN FACILITIES SATISFIES CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the case of a State that recognizes and licenses residential pediatric recovery centers (as defined in subsection (a)(3)), such a center that is licensed, in accordance with such State law, shall be treated as satisfying any comparable requirements otherwise applicable to such a center for purposes of certification for participation under the State plan under the Medicaid program under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.).

(c) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that residential pediatric recovery centers (as defined in subsection (a)(3)) should offer counseling and other services to mothers (and other appropriate family members and caretakers) of infants receiving treatment at such centers. Such services may include the following:

- (1) Counseling or referrals for services.
- (2) Activities to encourage mother-infant bonding.
- (3) Training on caring for such infants.
- (4) Activities to encourage transparency of relevant State mandatory reporting requirements.