avoid our responsibility to fund American infrastructure and to rebuild and renew this great country.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BOUSTANY. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I appreciate the gentleman's passion and intellect, and we have had many conversations. We do agree that we have to fix our deplorable infrastructure, and he and I have worked on some of these things together; but I have to say this: When I was in medical school-and I am a heart surgeon and I have had years of medical trainingone of the things we learned a long time ago in medicine was to avoid iatrogenic treatment, which is a fancy, Greek-derived word which means to avoid a treatment that makes the problem worse. That is what this \$10.25 tax would do on a barrel of oil.

I have often referred to that plaque above the Speaker's desk. It is a quote from Daniel Webster. The very first line of that reads: "Let us develop the resources of our land." I think it goes beyond simple concepts of highway transportation. It is all the resources of our land.

We should be embracing the energy revolution that has been unleashed by American innovation, not taxing it into oblivion, not overregulating it into oblivion. This has offered tremendous hope not only for Americans, but for the world over, to offer a new view of energy security, taking us away from the Iranian approach or the OPEC approach or a Russian view by which they hoard resources and use this for their own political purposes. America can reshape it by embracing this energy revolution, and we can grow the economy, create jobs, improve wages, and have the revenues to take care of our infrastructure.

As the gentleman well knows, Ronald Reagan believed that a user fee was important, a specific user fee. I think he and I would both agree that a specific user fee is important for infrastructure. This is not a user fee. This is a detrimental tax on American competitiveness, on American jobs, on American wages, on American energy security, and it hits at the very foundation of our national security. It is the wrong way to go. It is an iatrogenic solution, a harmful solution. It is not pro-growth. We are not proud of the economic performance we have seen in recent months: 0.8 percent economic growth in the first quarter, only 38,000 non-farm jobs created last month, according to the U.S. Bureau of Labor. That is deplorable.

America must lead, and America can lead by embracing the energy revolution. Let's look at all of the impacts it will have across our entire economy, and then we can fashion specific solutions for transportation and infrastructure and for the other things we need to do

This is why I stand here. That is why I oppose this tax. That is why I think

this debate was important, and that is why I think it is very important to go on record as opposing this very detrimental tax.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise this morning in opposition to H. Con. Res. 112, expressing the sense of Congress opposing the President's proposed \$10 tax on every barrel of oil.

During my time in Congress, I have represented all five major refineries and countless energy production firms in East Harris County.

I know the importance of the domestically produced and refined oil to the U.S. economy.

I also know the importance of a well-funded transportation system. Houston is growing rapidly and our transportation system needs to expand with our population.

I stand in opposition to today's Sense of Congress because of this knowledge and experience.

But to clarify, we shouldn't make things tougher on American companies and domestically-produced crude.

I do not support a \$10 dollar tax on our natural resources.

I do not support a \$10 dollar tax on wildcatters in West Texas, North Dakota or any other areas in the U.S. that supply crude to the Texas Gulf Coast.

It is these companies that are responsible for the energy renaissance in the U.S.

These entrepreneurs lowered our gas prices, reduced our foreign dependence and made the U.S. the largest producer of oil in the world.

I do support a \$10 dollar tax on imported oil from foreign sources.

Imported oil from countries that may or may not be our friends does not benefit our national security or domestic economy.

We should sharpen our competitive edge and expand our 21st century transportation system by taxing imported oil.

I stand with our domestic companies, we should continue to produce and refine U.S. crude for the benefit of U.S. consumers and workers.

But I stand in opposition of this overly expansive Sense of Congress and I ask my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. MARCHANT. Mr. Speaker, putting a regressive tax on hardworking Americans is not the way to strengthen the economy, balance the budget, or create jobs.

The President's proposed \$10.25 per barrel tax on crude oil is an administrative grab to increase spending and tax a targeted industry.

Thousands of jobs have been lost in these uncertain times for the oil and gas industry and impacted communities.

Now is not the time to make matters worse for an important economic engine and slow an already weak economic recovery.

The Obama Administration knows this tax would be passed down to American families.

The non-partisan Congressional Research Service reported that this tax could increase the price of a gallon of gasoline by 25 cents—which is a 10 percent hike on today's prices.

That would increase the cost of a wide range of goods for all consumers.

The resolution before us takes a strong stand and makes perfectly clear that Congress will not allow the President's harmful tax to go forward.

It also pushes for a tough review of the effects of ill-conceived tax proposals that target

specific industries, as the President's tax does

We must ensure that tax policy decisions are made in a reasoned way that protects working families—rather than harms them in a single-minded hunt for revenue.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues to join me in supporting House Concurrent Resolution 112 and voting for its passage.

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, today, the House of Representatives will consider H. Con. Res. 112—Expressing the sense of Congress opposing the President's proposed \$10 tax on every barrel of oil. This unserious, nonbinding resolution is simply nothing more than a cynical Republican political messaging bill. Indeed, the resolution purposely fails to include that the proposal was a serious attempt by the President to finance the critical infrastructure needs our country most certainly reguires. The energy industry is critical to the global economy. Unfortunately, the manner in which the majority has decided to have this discussion leaves little room for thought or earnest debate. For these reasons. I will vote Present, and will encourage my colleagues to continue to work in earnest to find a long-term. sustainable solution to move forward with putting Americans to work in building out our transportation needs.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WOODALL). All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 767, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the concurrent resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. BOUSTANY. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2017

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the further consideration of H.R. 5325 and that I may include tabular material on the same.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 771 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill. H.R. 5325.

Will the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. Foxx) kindly take the chair.

□ 1114

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole

House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5325) making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017, and for other purposes, with Ms. Foxx (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Thursday, June 9, 2016, the Chair had announced that it was in order to consider amendment No. 7, printed in House Report 114-611.

□ 1115

AMENDMENT NO. 8 OFFERED BY MR. GOSAR The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 8 printed in

Mr. GŌSAR. Madam Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

House Report 114-611.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ____. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to deliver a printed copy of the United States House of Representatives Telephone Directory to the office of any Member of the House of Representatives (including a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to the Congress).

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GOSAR) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. GOSAR. Madam Chairman, I rise today to offer a commonsense amendment that will prevent wasteful spending in this bill and the unsolicited delivery of printed copies of the House telephone directory to 435 House congressional offices.

I hold here the United States House of Representatives Telephone Directory for 2016. This book, printed by the Government Publishing Office, contains 378 pages of names, addresses, and the contact information for Members of Congress and their staffs. While the Clerk of the House does get a deal from the GPO on these printing costs, this directory is sold to the public online at a cost of \$52 per book. GPO stated that 14,080 copies of this directory were sent this year to the House Postal Operations for delivery.

This year, all 435 House Member offices received this stack—this whole stack right here—unsolicited from the Office of the Clerk, 20 copies, total, for each office.

Each year we get this directory and, to be frank, it is not needed. All the information contained within these pages is readily available online, both publicly and through House Web sites.

To make matters worse, often, the information contained is out of date by the time we receive these bound copies. For example, by the time I received my 20 copies of this directory, the information listed for my staff was no longer current.

According to a CRS report from 2011, approximately 97 percent of all government documents originate in digital form and are distributed electronically but are not printed. This same CRS report estimated that it costs Congress about \$134 per page for prepress costs for miscellaneous publications, of which this directory is one.

Madam Chairman, I don't think I need to remind anyone here that we are currently \$19 trillion-plus in debt as a result of excessive and unnecessary spending. I will be the first to admit that this amendment will not be saving millions of dollars this year alone, but in a time of such financial crisis, we should remain vigilant and save every penny we can.

This book is unnecessary, and its unsolicited distribution en masse is excessive. Why does each D.C. office get 20 unsolicited copies? My D.C. office only has eight employees, none of which utilize these wasteful directories

I ask my colleagues to support this commonsense amendment that will save precious taxpayer money and prevent future unsolicited deliveries of this directory in every single House office on the Hill.

I thank the distinguished chair and ranking member for their work on this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GOSAR).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 9 OFFERED BY MR. GOSAR

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 9 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. GOSAR. Madam Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ___. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to deliver a printed copy of the Budget of the United States Government; Analytical Perspectives, Budget of the United States Government, or the Appendix, Budget of the United States Government, to the office of any Member of the House of Representatives (including a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to the Congress).

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GOSAR) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. GOSAR. Madam Chairman, I rise today to offer another commonsense amendment that will prevent wasteful spending in this bill by preventing the delivery of this packet of nearly 2,000 pages containing the President's budget request to 435 House congressional offices.

In its 2017 budget justification, the Government Publishing Office states:

"Since 2012, GPO has made the annual Budget of the U.S. Government available as a mobile app. The FY 2016 Budget app, released in January of 2015, provided users with access to the text and images of the Budget, including the Budget Message of the President, information on the President's priorities, and budget overviews organized by agency. This app provides links to GPO's FDsys where summary tables and additional books of the Budget, including the Analytical Perspectives, Appendix, and Historical Tables, are available."

This package, which contains the President's budget, analytical perspectives of the budget, and the appendix of the budget are all available on an app for your phone for free. Furthermore, all three are available in their entirety online at www.whitehouse.gov/omb/, where they are more easily searchable.

While the Office of Management and Budget does get a great deal from GPO on printing costs, each individual copy sells online for \$38, \$56, and \$79, respectively. These documents comprise 170 pages, 409 pages, and 1,413 pages, respectively. OMB orders one copy of the budget for all 435 Members of the House, and this publication is then printed by the Government Publishing Office and delivered by House Postal Operations.

In a time when our Nation is facing a fiscal crisis and has a \$19 trillion-plus debt as a result of excessive and unnecessary spending, we should not be squandering more money printing nearly 2,000 pages of the President's budget that most Members throw in the trash, recycle, or don't even open.

Furthermore, this massive document is not even a serious proposal and has been routinely rejected with strong bipartisan support. The Senate defeated President Obama's budget by a vote of 97–0 for fiscal year 2011, 99–0 in fiscal year 2012, and 98–1 last year.

Again, I will be the first one to admit that this amendment will not save millions of dollars this year alone, but, in a time of such fiscal crisis, we should remember the old adage that a penny saved is a penny earned.

The printing and distribution of the President's budget to 435 House offices is excessive. I ask my colleagues to support this commonsense amendment, and we will save precious taxpayer money and prevent future mass deliveries. Again, all these publications are online in their entirely, where they are more easily searchable, and they are also on a free mobile app.

I thank the distinguished chair and ranking member for their work on this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GOSAR).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 10 OFFERED BY MR. GRAYSON

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 10 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. GRAYSON. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ____. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to enter into a contract with any offeror or any of its principals if the offeror certifies, as required by Federal Acquisition Regulation, that the offeror or

any of its principals—

(1) within a three-year period preceding the offer, has been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against it for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State, or local) contract or subcontract; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes relating to the submission of offers; or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, tax evasion, violating Federal criminal tax laws, or receiving stolen property;

(2) are presently indicted for, or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity with, commission of any of the offenses enumerated above in paragraph (1); or

(3) within a three-year period preceding the offer, has been notified of any delinquent Federal taxes in an amount that exceeds \$3,000 for which the liability remains unsatisfied

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. GRAYSON) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. GRAYSON. Madam Chair, this is an amendment that is identical to other amendments that have been inserted by voice vote into every appropriations bill considered under an open rule during the 113th and 114th Congresses. I extend my thanks to the Rules Committee for ruling this amendment in order.

My amendment expands the list of parties with whom the Federal Government is prohibited from contracting due to serious misconduct on the part of the contractors. I hope that this amendment remains noncontroversial, as it has been, and will again be passed unanimously by the House.

I yield to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. Wasserman Schultz).

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, I support the gentleman's amendment.

This is a commonsense amendment which would prohibit funding in this bill from being used to pay contractors engaged in fraud or tax evasion. As the gentleman said, similar amendments have been adopted on other appropriations hills

I urge Members to vote "aye."

Mr. GRAYSON. Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. GRAYSON).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 11 OFFERED BY MR. TAKANO

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 11 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ____. There is appropriated, for salaries and expenses of the Office of Technology Assessment as authorized by the Technology Assessment Act of 1972 (2 U.S.C. 471 et seq.) \$2,500,000, to be derived from a reduction of \$2,500,000 in the amount provided in this Act for the item for "Architect of the Capitol, Capital Construction and Operations".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from California (Mr. Takano) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of my amendment, which would restore funding to the Office of Technology Assessment, or OTA. The foundation for good policy is accurate and objective analysis; and for more than two decades, the OTA set that foundation by providing relevant, unbiased technical and scientific assessments for Members of Congress and staff

In 1995, the OTA was defunded, stripping Congress of a valuable resource to understand both emerging technologies as well as the nuances of the legislative process. In its absence, the need for OTA has only grown. Many of the issues OTA studied 20 years ago are even more pressing today: antibiotic-resistant bacteria, electronic surveillance in the digital age, and testing in America's schools. These are the complex challenges our Nation will continue to face, and Congress should have access to the thorough and insightful analysis OTA can provide.

Investing in the OTA now will actually save us money in the future. In the last year it operated, OTA's budget was \$23 million, but its studies on the Synthetics Fuels Corporation saved taxpayers tens of billions of dollars.

Our amendment restores a modest \$2.5 million to the OTA account for salaries and expenses to begin rebuilding the office. The cost is offset by a reduction of the same amount to the AOC's capital construction and operations account, which is an administrative account. So this will not take resources from specific construction projects.

Madam Chair, a great surgeon does not operate without modern tools, a master chef does not cook without fresh ingredients, and Members of Congress should not make policy decisions without relevant and unbiased information.

I urge Members to vote "yes" on this amendment to restore funding to the Office of Technology Assessment.

I reserve the balance of my time. Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Madam

Chair, I rise in opposition.
The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Madam Chair, I want to thank the gentleman from California. I know he has great intentions with this amendment.

As we discuss the Legislative Branch Appropriations bill, we are really discussing what is important to the House of Representatives, because that is what this bill reflects.

I know that this office was created in 1972 and was eliminated years later, but in 1972, I was 2 years old. Technology was very different. I see no need to re-create something that was started dealing with technology when I was 2 years old, almost two decades prior to the first Web site.

Currently, these tasks are being handled by GAO. They are being handled sufficiently. They are being handled with the \$2.5 million already, and we have yet to receive any complaints.

Now, if there is a more comprehensive need for technology assessment, I think that is a bigger discussion for cyber policy in general, and that is a conversation that should take place outside of the Legislative Branch Subcommittee's jurisdiction.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ).

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. I rise in support of the amendment to revive the Office of Technology Assessment.

When I was chair of the subcommittee, we tried to restart it within the Government Accountability Office. In fiscal years 2008 to 2010, I included \$2.5 million in this bill with GAO to support that initiative. However, the supporters of the amendment make an impassioned case that the Office of Technology Assessment should be a part of Congress itself, rather than GAO, in order to provide objective analysis of complex, scientific, and technical issues which certainly, I think we can all agree, actually exist today.

We are not trying to go back to 20th century technology. We have important issues that need to be reviewed, and we don't always have the expertise in Congress necessary to be able to make sure we can get that cogent analysis, particularly when we are still at funding levels back to 2010 in the Legislative Branch Appropriations bill.

This is a bill in which we are tackling copyright modernization, specifically dealing with technology challenges, and an OTA would add to the rigor of our analysis on that topic and others.

I urge support of the amendment.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Madam Chair, I will just point out that one of our focuses in the Legislative Branch Appropriations bill is to be very responsible with taxpayer dollars. During these lean times when we are \$19 trillion in debt, we have really led the

charge when it comes to reducing spending from our operations, down 13.2 percent. We have eliminated some agencies and programs and even, in this bill, eliminate the Open World Center

□ 1130

I don't see this as the time that we need to restart a new program that was eliminated 20 years ago.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, how much time is remaining on my side?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California has 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. FOSTER), a member of the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology and a respected physicist.

Mr. FOSTER. Madam Chair, thank you to the gentleman from California (Mr. Takano) and to my colleagues, the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. ESTY) and the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. Ben Ray Luján) for helping to bring this amendment to the floor.

This amendment would provide \$2.5 million to resurrect the Office of Technology Assessment to revive this crucial service of providing Congress with unbiased, nonpartisan reports on a wide range of issues in science and technology.

This office is no less necessary today than when it first started in 1972. As technology continues to advance at an increasingly rapid pace and our partisan divide seems to grow deeper, Congress needs this now more than ever.

I ask my colleagues to consider just one single one of the recommendations from the Office of Technology Assessment, that the United States rapidly adopt a standardized electronic medical record format. Had this been done, we would have been able to save hundreds of millions of dollars in medical costs over the last decades and hundreds of thousands of lives of Americans through prevention of preventable medical accidents.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this amendment to restore this vital source of credible and nonpartisan scientific expertise in Congress.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I reiterate my support for the Office of Technology Assessment. Congress does not suffer from a lack of information, but it suffers from a lack of trusted information to help make wise policy decisions. We need information that is not spun even by our own agencies, the FBI or other agencies. We need information that is not spun from particular sectors. This agency, this Office of Technology Assessment, will be overseen by a bipartisan group of lawmakers who will vet the experts that work for it.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Madam Chair, I will just again thank my colleague from California for his thoughtful and well-debated argument here for the need, as he sees it. I will again reiterate that the GAO provides a valuable service which I believe can continue doing the job that is necessary.

In these lean times, I would encourage our colleagues to oppose this amendment not because of the gentleman from California, but just because of the lean times and the concept in which it is just not the right time to adopt that. I will oppose the amendment.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 12 OFFERED BY MR. RUSSELL

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 12 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. RUSSELL. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. ___. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to deliver a printed copy of the Federal Register to a Member of the House of Representatives (including a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to the Congress) unless the Member requests a copy

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. RUSSELL) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oklahoma.

Mr. RUSSELL. Madam Chair, the fiscal year 2017 Legislative Branch Appropriations Act contains several excellent provisions to cut down on unnecessary printing of paper documents in the House of Representatives. Section 102 of the act, for example, prohibits printed copies of bills from being sent to Members of Congress unless they specifically request them. This amendment is very similar. It prohibits the Federal Register from being sent to Members unless they specifically request it. It uses the exact same terminology as section 102.

The Federal Register, while important because it contains rules, proposals, and various other publications released by Federal agencies, unfortunately every business day Members of Congress receive paper copies of this

Register, while it is available online and queryable. Sadly, most of these hundreds of pages in length end up in the waste bin.

The Federal Register, being available online, is a better way to go with this measure. The Government Printing Office sends 617 copies of the Register every single day to House Members alone. This includes subscriptions for personal offices, committees, archival offices, and others. Each annual subscription costs the Government Printing Office \$750 a year to produce in paper and ink alone. These costs are charged to Federal agencies that publish in the Federal Register.

Among all the Members of Congress and six nonvoting Members in the House, paying for an annual subscription for all of these costs and other estimated delivery costs exceeds \$400,000 annually. To put that into perspective, that could pay for the annual salaries of a dozen Special Forces sergeants who are defending our country abroad.

None of the funds made available by this act may be used to deliver a printed copy of the Federal Register to a Member of the House of Representatives, including a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to Congress, unless the Members request specifically a copy.

This simple amendment will build on the reforms of the congressional printing of sections 102, 103, and 105, allowing Federal agencies to better use precious taxpayer dollars. I encourage support for this amendment, Madam Chair, because, once again, we will never win the war on our national debt in some giant spending measure that will only divide us within our respective parties and within the Chamber. Instead, we will win it by combating waste one agency at a time.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. RUSSELL). The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 13 OFFERED BY MR. PEARCE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 13 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. PEARCE. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 2, line 12, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$190,970)".

Page 5, line 14, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$190,970)".

Page 6, line 1, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$190,970)".

Page 42, line 17, after the dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$190,970)".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. Pearce) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Mexico.

Mr. PEARCE. Madam Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Chair, despite what has been said about this amendment, it is very simple. There are two bodies that are funded through the appropriations process in the U.S. Congress. One is the House Committee on Ethics. That is the one that we all know as Members of Congress. But there is another body called the Office of Congressional Ethics that works pretty well outside of this body.

Now, my amendment is simply taking this year's increase away from that outside body. Again, no change to the ethical process inside the body, the one that we are all familiar with and feel accountable to. But we are deducting \$191,000 from this outside group because in this time of budget constraints, when I look at my office and all the other offices, our spending has been reduced. Our budgets have been reduced by approximately \$200,000 since 2008

Now, we have to deal with 750,000 to 900,000 constituents. I have five field offices. Generally we drive, as a staff, somewhere between 50,000 and 100,000 miles per year to deal with our constituents. Our budgets have gone down \$200,000, with a small increase this year of \$12,000.

Then, on the other hand, I see a \$191,000 increase on this outside group. I just feel like that is extraordinary and would suggest that the appropriations bill, H.R. 5325, be reduced in that amount in this budget area.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Florida is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, the Office of Congressional Ethics is crucial to ensuring accountability and transparency in this body. Any attempts to cut its budget would only serve to erode our constituents' trust and faith in Congress, which certainly has already suffered a significant amount of erosion.

As many of my colleagues will recall, the House created the Office of Congressional Ethics nearly a decade ago to improve the integrity of the ethics process in the House. The House was recovering from the Mark Foley scandal, and it was clear that we needed to do something to rebuild the American people's trust in their elected Representatives. That is why OCE's core "mission is to assist the U.S. House in upholding high ethical standards with an eye toward increasing transparency and providing information to the public."

I acknowledge that there are proposals to improve the operations of the Office of Congressional Ethics, and we should certainly take a look at those, Madam Chair, but it is common sense that these improvements can't be made by cutting funding for the office that we are actually seeking to improve.

Moreover, the issue of congressional ethics is far too important to reduce to a 10-minute debate on the House floor. For these reasons, I urge my colleagues to oppose this misguided amendment.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PEARCE. Madam Chair, I find it odd that we received the words today on the House floor that we are going to increase transparency through the Office of Congressional Ethics. That is exactly what they do not do.

The Sixth Amendment of the Constitution gives the accused the right to be confronted with the witnesses against him. I will quote from a letter, a legal letter that was given to the OCE:

This investigation has again revealed due process deficiencies within the OCE rules. While the Sixth Amendment of the United States provides for the fundamental right to confront one's accusers, the OCE rules do not allow to confront the accused with the accusers.

Secondly, the Sixth Amendment gives us the right to a lawyer. I will again quote from PAUL SOLIS, an employee of the OCE, in an email to my chief of staff:

I forgot to mention on our call that should you retain a lawyer for the office, that lawyer would most likely be prohibited under our rules from representing a subject of this review to the extent that subject is a current staff member.

So the OCE, in their email to our office, says you don't have the right to legal counsel, even though the Sixth Amendment of the Constitution says that you do.

The third thing that I see is that we should be able to find out the nature of the charges under the Sixth Amendment. Again, our experience and the experience of others who have confronted OCE realizes you do not know what the charges are, you are not going to get to get a lawyer, and you cannot know who is accusing you. This hardly meets the word "transparency" that my good friend alluded to.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, while I can appreciate the gentleman's concerns, he has listed a number of substantive differences of opinion with the way the Office of Congressional Ethics handles their work. This appropriations bill is not the appropriate place to address those.

The Office of Congressional Ethics was created through legislation. It is a substantive issue, and it is one that should be debated and discussed on an authorizing bill, not on the funding of the legislative branch. You don't just cut the budget of an office with whose decisions you disagree. We can debate and discuss these concerns, but cutting \$190,000 out of the OCE's budget is not the way to address that.

For those reasons and the fact that the public already has some pretty significant concerns with the way we do business here, this would send the wrong message. If we are going to have this discussion, we should do it in a forum that allows for more robust discussion and debate over how to address those challenges long term.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

\sqcap 1145

Mr. PEARCE. Madam Chair, I would remind my friend and colleague that this amendment only addresses the funding. I simply used my time in order to advertise for this agency and the way that they operate.

I would like to quote from an email that I got this morning:

I cried when I saw what your boss did last night on the Leg Branch. $\,$

This is referring to my amendment.

I was unfairly targeted by OCE in 2013, for an action in 2008, which had been approved by the Ethics Committee. OCE even admitted there was no evidence. I complied with every provision of the policy, without exception. One of the staffers that was being investigated in this same circumstance left the Hill early on. I considered doing the same thing. I certainly had to endure all the phases of the OCE process, including referral to the Ethics Committee.

The Ethics Committee dismissed the case against us, but it is, by far, the worst thing that has ever happened to me in my 21 years on the Hill. I am a strong person with resources, and was an emotional wreck over the thought of losing my credibility over an ethics investigation. I cried virtually every day for several months. And the prolonged process over many, many months took a toll on my life.

And we are asking to give this agency another \$191,000 to continue this kind of action? I think this debate is exactly called for at this moment on this bill and on this spending.

Madam Chair, I urge Members to support the amendment to give notice to the OCE that we are watching what they are doing.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Florida has 3 minutes remaining.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, I have tremendous respect for the gentleman from New Mexico and his concerns for the operation of the Office of Congressional Ethics. However, all that we would be doing here, if his amendment were to pass, is to send a \$190,000 message to the Office of Congressional Ethics. It would not achieve any of the gentleman's goals.

If we do need to take a look at the way the office functions, then there is a process for doing that. The only thing we achieve here by adopting this amendment is cutting their budget by \$190,000.

So, if the majority believes that it is important to take a look at the function of this office, then there is a process for doing that and to take up legislation to change the way they do business. That is certainly appropriate. But we don't accomplish any of the gentleman's goals by cutting \$190,000.

Rangel

Ruiz

Rice (NY)

Richmond

Ryan (OH)

Sarbanes

Schiff

Schakowsky

Scott (VA)

Serrano

Sherman

Slaughter

Smith (WA)

Swalwell (CA)

Thompson (CA)

Thompson (MS)

Sinema

Speier

Takai

Titus

Tonko

Torres

Tsongas

Vargas

Veasey

Velázguez

Visclosky

Wasserman

Schultz

Vela

Walz

Van Hollen

Takano

Scott, David

Sewell (AL)

Roybal-Allard

Ruppersberger

Sánchez, Linda

Sanchez, Loretta

Kennedy

In fact, the public has certainly already sent multiple messages to the United States Congress that they don't have a whole lot of confidence in the business that we are doing here. This would send the absolute wrong message back to them—that we don't get it.

So I urge Members to oppose the amendment because it would not achieve the gentleman's goals and because we have a more appropriate place to actually achieve those goals in the authorizing committee.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. Pearce).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to The clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico will be postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in House Report 114-611 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 2 by Mr. Ellison of Minnesota.

Amendment No. 6 by Mrs. BLACKBURN of Tennessee.

Amendment No. 11 by Mr. TAKANO of California.

Amendment No. 13 by Mr. Pearce of New Mexico.

The Chair will reduce to 2 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. ELLISON

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. Elli-SON) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 157, noes 241, not voting 36, as follows:

[Roll No. 289]

AVES_157

	AYES-157	
Ashford	Brady (PA)	Cartwright
Beatty	Brown (FL)	Castor (FL)
Becerra	Brownley (CA)	Castro (TX)
Bera	Bustos	Chu, Judy
Beyer	Butterfield	Cicilline
Bishop (GA)	Capps	Clark (MA)
Blumenauer	Capuano	Clarke (NY)
Bonamici	Cárdenas	Clay
Boyle, Brendan	Carney	Cleaver
F.	Carson (IN)	Connolly

Courtney	Kildee
Crowley	Kilmer
Cuellar	Kind
Cummings	Kirkpatrick
Davis (CA)	Kuster
DeFazio	Langevin
DeGette	Larsen (WA)
Delaney	Lawrence
DeLauro	Levin
DelBene	Loebsack
DeSaulnier	Lofgren
Deutch	Lowenthal
Dingell	Lowey
Doggett	Lujan Grisham
Doyle, Michael	(NM)
F.	Luján, Ben Ray
Duckworth	(NM)
Edwards	Lynch
Ellison	Maloney,
Eshoo	Carolyn
Esty	Maloney, Sean
Frankel (FL)	Matsui
Gabbard	McCollum
Gallego	McDermott
Garamendi	McGovern
Graham	McNerney
Grayson	Meng
Green, Al	Moore
Green, Gene	Moulton
Grijalva	Murphy (FL)
Gutiérrez	Nadler
Hahn	Napolitano
Hastings	Nolan
Heck (WA)	Norcross
Higgins	O'Rourke
Honda	Pallone
Hoyer	Pascrell
Huffman	Pelosi
Israel	Perlmutter
Johnson (GA)	Peters
Johnson, E. B.	Pingree
Kaptur	Pocan
Keating	Price (NC)
Kelly (IL)	Quigley

Convers

Abraham

Aderholt

Aguilar

Allen

Amash

Babin

Barton

Benishek

Bilirakis

Bishop (MI)

Bishop (UT)

Blackburn

Boustany

Brady (TX)

Bridenstine

Brooks (AL)

Buchanan

Bucshon

Burgess

Calvert

Chabot

Chaffetz

Coffman

Collins (GA)

Collins (NY)

Comstock

Conaway

Cook

Costa

Cooper

Cramer

Crawford

Crenshaw Culberson

Davidson

Denham

DeSantis

Des.Iarlais

Diaz-Balart

Dent

Cole

Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)

Byrne

Buck

Rl11m

Bost

NOES-241 Dold Donovan Duncan (SC) Duncan (TN) Ellmers (NC) Emmer (MN) Farenthold Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Fleming Flores Forbes Fortenberry Foster Foxx Frelinghuysen Garrett Gibbs Gibson Gohmert Goodlatte Gowdy Granger Graves (GA) Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Griffith Grothman Guinta Clawson (FL) Guthrie Hanna. Harper Harris Hartzler Heck (NV) Hensarling Hice, Jody B. Hill Himes Costello (PA) Holding Hudson Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) Hultgren Curbelo (FL) Hurd (TX) Hurt (VA) Davis, Rodney Issa Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam

Jolly

Watson Coleman Welch Wilson (FL) Jones Jordan Jovce Katko Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Kline Knight Labrador LaHood LaMalfa. Lamborn Lance Latta Lipinski LoBiondo Long Loudermilk Love Lucas Lummis MacArthur Marchant Marino Massie McCarthy McCaul McClintock McHenry McKinley McMorris Rodgers McSally Meadows Meehan Messer Mica Miller (FL) Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Mullin Mulvaney Murphy (PA) Neugebauer Newhouse Noem Nugent Nunes Olson

Palazzo Roskam Palmer Ross Paulsen Rothfus Pearce Rouzer Perry Royce Peterson Russell Pittenger Salmon Pitts Sanford Poe (TX) Scalise Poliquin Schrader Polis Schweikert Pompeo Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Posey Price, Tom Sessions Ratcliffe Shimkus Reed Shuster Reichert Simpson Smith (MO) Renacci Ribble Smith (NE) Rice (SC) Smith (NJ) Rigell. Smith (TX) Roby Stefanik Roe (TN) Stewart Rogers (AL) Stivers Rogers (KY) Stutzman Rohrabacher Rokita Thornberry Rooney (FL) Tiberi Ros-Lehtinen Tipton Adams Farr Amodei Fattah Barletta Fincher Bass Black Fudge Brat Gosar Brooks (IN) Hardy Clyburn

Trott Turner Upton Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Westmoreland Whitfield Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Thompson (PA) Young (IA) Young (IN) Zeldin Zinke Larson (CT) Lee

NOT VOTING-

Lewis Lieu, Ted Franks (AZ) Luetkemeyer Meeks Miller (MI) Herrera Beutler Neal Cohen Hinojosa Payne Davis, Danny Hunter Sires Duffv Jackson Lee Waters, Maxine Engel Jeffries Yarmuth

\sqcap 1208

Messrs. DIAZ-BALART, WITTMAN, and COLLINS of New York changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mrs. BLACK. Madam Chair, on rollcall No. 289 on agreeing to the Ellison Amendment for H.R. 5325, I am not recorded because I was unavoidable detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "nav."

AMENDMENT NO. 6 OFFERED BY MRS. BLACKBURN

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Tennessee (Mrs. further pro-BLACKBURN) on which ceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 165, noes 237, not voting 32, as follows:

[Roll No. 290] AYES-165

Abraham Bishop (MI) Brady (TX) Allen Amash Black Blackburn Brat Bridenstine Babin Blum Brooks (AL) Brooks (IN) Barton Rost. Boustany Bilirakis Buchanan

Lamborn

Rodgers

Pearce

Posey

Quigley

Buck Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) Bucshon Burgess Hultgren Byrne Hurd (TX) Carter (GA) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam Chabot Jones Kelly (MS) Chaffetz Clawson (FL) Coffman King (IA) Collins (GA) Kline Knight Collins (NY) Comstock Labrador Conaway LaMalfa Cook Cooper Lance Cramer Latta Crawford LoBiondo Culberson Long Loudermilk Davidson DeSantis Love DesJarlais Lucas Duncan (SC) Lummis Duncan (TN) McCarthy Ellmers (NC) McCaul McClintock Farenthold Fitzpatrick McHenry Fleming McMorris Flores Forbes McSally Foxx Meadows Franks (AZ) Messer Miller (FL) Garrett Gibbs Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Gohmert Goodlatte Mullin Gowdy Mulvanev Graves (GA) Murphy (PA) Graves (LA) Neugebauer Graves (MO) Noem Griffith Olson Grothman Palazzo Guinta. Palmer Guthrie Harris Perry Hartzler Pitts Hensarling Poliquin Hice, Jody B. Polis Hill Pompeo Holding Price, Tom Hudson

Ratcliffe Ribble Rice (SC) Roe (TN) Rohrabacher Rokita Rothfus Rouzer Royce Russell Salmon Sanford Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Sessions Shuster Sinema Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (TX) Stewart Stutzman Tipton Trott Upton Vela Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (IA) Young (IN) Zeldin

NOES-237

Curbelo (FL)

Davis, Rodney

Davis (CA)

DeFazio

DeGette

Delanev

DeLauro

DelBene

Denham

Deutch

Dingell

Doggett

Donovan

Duckworth

Emmer (MN)

Fleischmann

Frankel (FL)

Frelinghuysen

Fortenberry

Edwards

Ellison

Eshoo

Foster

Gabbard

Garamendi

Gallego

Gibson

Graham

Granger

Grayson

Green, Al

Grijalva

Gutiérrez

Hahn

Hanna

Harper

Hastings

Heck (NV) Heck (WA)

Green, Gene

Esty

Dovle, Michael

Dold

DeSaulnier

Diaz-Balart

Dent

Zinke

Aderholt Aguilar Ashford Barr Reatty Becerra Benishek Bera. Beyer Bishop (GA) Bishop (UT) Blumenauer Bonamici Bovle, Brendan Brady (PA) Brown (FL) Brownley (CA) Bustos Butterfield Calvert Capps Capuano Cárdenas Carney Carson (IN) Carter (TX) Cartwright Castor (FL) Castro (TX) Chu, Judy Cicilline Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Clay Cleaver Clyburn Cole Connolly Convers Costello (PA) Courtney Crenshaw

Crowley

Cuellar

Cummings

Higgins Hover Huffman Israel Tssa. Jeffries Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Jolly Joyce Kaptur Katko Keating Kelly (IL) Kelly (PA) Kennedy Kildee Kilmer Kind King (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Kirkpatrick Kuster LaHood Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Levin Lewis Lipinski Loebsack Lofgren Lowenthal Lowey Lujan Grisham (NM) Luján, Ben Ray (NM) Lynch MacArthur Maloney, Carolyn

Maloney, Sean

Marchant Marino Massie Matsui McCollum McDermott McGovern McKinley McNernev Meehan Meng Mica. Moore Moulton Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Newhouse Nolan Norcross Nugent Nunes O'Rourke Pallone Pascrell Paulsen Pelosi Perlmutter Peters Peterson Pingree Pittenger Pocan

Poe (TX)

Price (NC)

Rangel Speier Reed Stefanik Reichert Stivers Renacci Swalwell (CA) Rice (NY) Takai Richmond Takano Rigell Thompson (CA) Roby Thompson (MS) Rogers (AL) Thompson (PA) Rogers (KY) Thornberry Rooney (FL) Tiberi Ros-Lehtinen Titus Roskam Tonko Ross Roybal-Allard Torres Ruiz Tsongas Ruppersberger Turner Ryan (OH) Valadao Sánchez, Linda Van Hollen т Vargas Sanchez, Loretta Veasev Sarbanes Velázguez Schakowsky Visclosky Schiff Walz Schrader Wasserman Scott (VA) Schultz Scott, David Watson Coleman Serrano Sewell (AL) Welch Westmoreland Sherman Whitfield Shimkus Wilson (FL) Simpson Womack Slaughter Young (AK) Smith (NJ)

Smith (WA)

NOT VOTING-

Adams Fudge Lieu, Ted Amodei Barletta Gosar Hardy Luetkemeyer Meeks Herrera Beutler Cohen Miller (MI) Costa Davis, Danny Hinoiosa Neal Honda Payne Duffy Hunter Rush Engel Hurt (VA) Sires Jackson Lee Farr Waters, Maxine Fattah Jordan Varmuth Fincher Lee

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1212

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. HURT of Virginia. Madam Chair, I was not present for rollcall vote No. 290 on the Blackburn of Tennessee Amendment No. 6. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

AMENDMENT NO. 11 OFFERED BY MR. TAKANO

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the California gentleman from TAKANO) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 179, noes 223, not voting 32, as follows:

[Roll No. 291] AYES-179

Aguilar Bass Bera Beyer Bishop (GA) Amash Ashford Beatty Becerra

Blumenauer Bonamici Boyle, Brendan Brady (PA) Brown (FL) Brownley (CA) Bustos Butterfield Capps Capuano Cárdenas Carnev Carson (IN) Cartwright Castor (FL) Castro (TX) Chaffetz Chu, Judy Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Clay Cleaver Clyburn Connolly Conyers Cooper Costa Courtney Crowlev Cuellar Cummings Curbelo (FL) Davis (CA) DeFazio DeGette Delaney DeLauro DelBene DeSaulnier Deutch Dingell Doggett Dold Doyle, Michael Duckworth Edwards Ellison Eshoo Esty Farenthold Foster Frankel (FL) Gallego Garamendi Graham Grayson Green, Al

Pallone Grothman Hahn Pascrell Hastings Pelosi Heck (WA) Perlmutter Higgins Himes Honda Polis Hoyer Huffman Hultgren Israel Jeffries. Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Jones Kaptur Ruiz Kelly (IL) Rush Kennedy Kildee Kilmer Kind Kirkpatrick Kuster Lance Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Levin Lewis Lipinski LoBiondo Loebsack Lofgren Lowenthal Lowey Lujan Grisham (NM) Luján, Ben Ray (NM) Lynch Maloney Titus Carolyn Maloney, Sean Matsui McCollum McDermott McGovern McNerney Vela. Meng Moore Moulton Walz. Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano

Peters Pingree Pocan Price (NC) Quigley Rangel Rice (NY) Richmond Ros-Lehtinen Rovbal-Allard Ruppersberger Russell Ryan (OH) Salmon Sánchez, Linda Sanchez Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Scott (VA) Scott, David Serrano Sewell (AL) Sherman Sinema Slaughter Smith (WA) Speier Stefanik Swalwell (CA) Takai Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tonko Torres Tsongas Van Hollen Vargas Veasey Velázquez Visclosky Wasserman Schultz Watson Coleman Welch Norcross O'Rourke Wilson (FL) Zinke

NOES-223

Cramer Abraham Aderholt Crawford Crenshaw Rahin Culberson Barr Davidson Barton Davis, Rodney Benishek Denham Bilirakis Dent DeSantis Bishop (MI) Bishop (UT) Des Jarlais Black Diaz-Balart Blackburn Donovan Duncan (SC) Blum Duncan (TN) Boustany Ellmers (NC) Brady (TX) Emmer (MN) Brat Fitzpatrick Bridenstine Fleischmann Fleming Brooks (AL) Brooks (IN) Flores Buchanan Forbes Fortenberry Buck Bucshon Foxx Franks (AZ) Burgess Frelinghuysen Byrne Calvert Gabbard Carter (GA) Garrett Carter (TX) Gibbs Gibson Chabot Clawson (FL) Gohmert Coffman Goodlatte Gowdy Collins (GA) Granger Collins (NY) Graves (GA) Comstock Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Conaway Green, Gene Cook Costello (PA) Griffith

Bost

Cole

Guinta Guthrie Hanna Harper Harris Hartzler Heck (NV) Hensarling Hice, Jody B. Hill Holding Hudson Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) Hunter Hurd (TX) Hurt (VA) Issa Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam Jolly Jordan Jovce Katko Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Kline Knight Labrador LaHood La.Ma.lfa. Lamborn

Latta

Reichert

Renacci

Rice (SC)

Roe (TN)

Rokita

Roskam

Russell

Salmon

Sessions

Simpson

Smith (MO)

Smith (NE)

Smith (TX)

Stewart

Stivers

Upton

Walberg

Stutzman

Thornberry

Weber (TX)

Wenstrup

Whitfield

Williams

Womack

Woodall

Yoho

Wilson (SC)

Young (AK)

Westerman

Webster (FL)

Westmoreland

Sinema

Schweikert

Scott, Austin

Sensenbrenner

Ross

Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)

Rohrabacher

Ribble

Long Loudermilk Smith (MO) Peterson Pittenger Smith (NE) Love Pitts Smith (NJ) Lucas Poe (TX) Smith (TX) Lummis Poliquin Stewart MacArthur Pompeo Stutzman Marino Posey Thompson (PA) Price, Tom Massie Thornberry McCarthy Ratcliffe Tiberi McCaul Reed Tipton McClintock Reichert Trott McHenry Renacci Turner McKinley Ribble Upton Rice (SC) McMorris Valadao Rodgers Rigell Wagner McSally Roby Walberg Meadows Roe (TN) Walden Meehan Rogers (AL) Walker Rogers (KY) Messer Walorski Mica Rohrabacher Walters, Mimi Miller (FL) Rokita. Weber (TX) Rooney (FL) Moolenaar Webster (FL) Mooney (WV) Roskam Wenstrup Mullin Ross Westerman Mulvaney Rothfus Westmoreland Murphy (PA) Rouzer Whitfield Neugebauer Rovce Williams Newhouse Sanford Wilson (SC) Noem Scalise Womack Schrader Nugent Woodall Nunes Schweikert Olson Scott, Austin Yoder Yoho Palazzo Sensenbrenner Young (AK) Palmer Sessions Paulsen Shimkus Young (IA) Young (IN) Pearce Shuster Perry Simpson Zeldin

NOT VOTING-32

Fudge Marchant Adams Amodei Gosar Meeks Grijalva Barletta Miller (MI) Cicilline Gutiérrez Neal Cohen Hardy Payne Davis, Danny Herrera Beutler Sires Duffy Hinojosa. Stivers Engel Jackson Lee Waters, Maxine Wittman Lieu, Ted Fattah Yarmuth Luetkemeyer Fincher

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1216

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced

as above recorded. AMENDMENT NO. 13 OFFERED BY MR. PEARCE

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico PEARCE) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 137, noes 270, not voting 27, as follows:

[Roll No. 292]

AYES-137

Abraham Renishek Boustany Bilirakis Allen Brat Brooks (AL) Amash Bishop (UT) Black Babin Burgess Carter (GA) Blackburn Barton

Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Carter (TX) Chabot Chaffetz King (IA) Clawson (FL) Kinzinger (IL) Collins (GA) Kline Conaway Labrador Crawford Lamborn Crenshaw Lance Culberson Long Loudermilk Davidson DesJarlais Lucas Diaz-Balart Lummis Duncan (SC) MacArthur Duncan (TN) Marchant Farenthold Marino Fleischmann McCarthy Flores McCaul Foxx McHenry Franks (AZ) Meadows Frelinghuysen Messer Miller (FL) Gibbs Gohmert Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Goodlatte Gowdy Mullin Granger Mulvaney Graves (GA) Neugebauer Graves (MO) Newhouse Griffith Nugent Grothman Nunes Harper Olson Harris Palazzo Hastings Palmer Hensarling Pearce Hice, Jody B. Perry Hill Peterson Holding Pitts Poe (TX) Huizenga (MI) Hultgren Pompeo Posev Hunter Johnson, Sam Price, Tom Jordan Ratcliffe

Aderholt

Aguilar

Ashford

Barr

Bass

Beatty

Becerra Bera

Beyer

Rl11m

Bost.

Bishop (GA)

Bishop (MI)

Blumenauer

Brady (PA)

Bridenstine

Brooks (IN)

Brown (FL)

Buchanan

Bucshon

Bustos Butterfield

Buck

Byrne

Capps

Calvert

Capuano

Cárdenas

Carson (IN)

Cartwright

Castor (FL)

Castro (TX)

Chu, Judy

Clark (MA

Clarke (NY)

Cicilline

Clay

Cole

Cleaver

Clyburn

Coffman

Collins (NY)

Costello (PA)

Comstock

Connolly

Convers

Cooper

Courtney

Cramer

Costa

Cook

Carnev

Brownley (CA)

Boyle, Brendan

Bonamici

NOES-270

Crowlev Honda Cuellar Hoyer Cummings Hudson Curbelo (FL) Huelskamp Davis (CA) Huffman Davis, Rodney Hurd (TX) DeFazio Hurt (VA) DeGette Israel Delaney Issa. DeLauro Jeffries DelBene Jenkins (KS) Denham Jenkins (WV) Johnson (GA) Dent DeSantis Johnson (OH) DeSaulnier Johnson E B Deutch Jolly Dingell Jones Doggett Jovce Dold Kaptur Katko Donovan Dovle, Michael Keating Kelly (IL) Duckworth Kennedy Edwards Kildee Ellison Kilmer Ellmers (NC) Kind King (NY) Emmer (MN) Eshoo Kirkpatrick Esty Knight Fitzpatrick Kuster Fleming LaHood Forbes LaMalfa Fortenberry Langevin Foster Larsen (WA) Frankel (FL) Larson (CT) Gabbard Latta Gallego Lawrence Garamendi Levin Garrett Lewis Gibson Lipinski Graham LoBiondo Graves (LA) Loebsack Grayson Lofgren Green, Al Love Lowenthal Green, Gene Grijalva Lowey Lujan Grisham Guinta. Guthrie (NM) Luján, Ben Ray (NM) Gutiérrez Hahn Hanna Lynch Hartzler Maloney Heck (NV) Carolyn Heck (WA) Maloney, Sean Higgins Massie Matsui Himes

McClintock McCollum McDermott McGovern McKinley McMorris Rodgers McNerney McSallv Meehan Meng Mica. Moore Moulton Murphy (FL) Murphy (PA) Nadlei Napolitano Noem Nolan Norcross O'Rourke Pallone Pascrell Paulsen Pelosi Perlmutter Peters Pingree Pittenger Pocan Poliquin Polis Price (NC) Quigley Rangel Reed

Rice (NY) Takano Richmond Thompson (CA) Rigell Thompson (MS) Roby Thompson (PA) Rooney (FL) Tiberi Ros-Lehtinen Tipton Rothfus Titus Rouzer Tonko Rovbal-Allard Torres Royce TrottRuiz Tsongas Ruppersberger Turner Rush Valadao Ryan (OH) Van Hollen Sánchez, Linda Vargas T. Veasev Sanchez, Loretta Vela Sanford Velázquez Sarbanes Visclosky Scalise Wagner Schakowsky Walden Schiff Walker Schrader Walorski Scott (VA) Walters, Mimi Scott, David Walz Serrano Sewell (AL) Wasserman Schultz Sherman Watson Coleman Shimkus Welch Shuster Wilson (FL) Slaughter Wittman Smith (NJ) Yoder Smith (WA) Young (IA) Speier Stefanik Young (IN) Swalwell (CA) Zeldin

NOT VOTING-27

Adams Fattah Lieu. Ted Amodei Fincher Luetkemeyer Barletta Fudge Meeks Miller (MI) Brady (TX) Gosar Cohen Hardy Nea1 Davis, Danny Herrera Beutler Payne Duffv Hinoiosa Sires Jackson Lee Waters, Maxine Engel Farr Yarmuth

□ 1220

Mr. DELANEY changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The Acting CHAIR. There being no further amendments, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HULTGREN) having assumed the chair, Ms. Foxx, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 5325) making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017, and for other purposes, and, pursuant to House Resolution 771, she reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is or-

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. I am opposed to it in its current form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Castro of Texas moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5325 to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

In the "Capital Construction and Operations" account, on page 17, line 6, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$200,000)".

In the "Library of Congress—Salaries and Expenses" account, on page 25, line 24, after the first dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$200,000)".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, this is the final amendment to the bill, which will not kill the bill or send it back to committee. If adopted, the bill will immediately proceed to final passage, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, before I speak on this amendment, I yield to the gentle-woman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ), who has been a strong advocate and leader on this issue, for an opportunity to say a few words.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise to join my colleague, Congressman JOAQUIN CASTRO, to urge the majority to finally allow the House to strike a destructive political provision that has made its way into the Legislative Branch Appropriations bill.

If those listening are wondering why we are talking about the pejorative term "illegal aliens" on the bill that funds the legislative branch, then you are not alone. This legislation's accompanying report includes language that would have the Library continue to use the term "illegal aliens," "to the extent practicable"—even though the Library itself has said that there is no practicable means to continue to use the term "illegal aliens."

The Library changes thousands of subject headings each year without interference from Congress. Why this one? Why now?

The Library once used the subject heading "Negro," then moved to "Afro-American," and now "African American." They didn't wait until the entire U.S. Code was free of the pejorative term "Negro" before they changed their subject heading. As a matter of fact, Congress only recently removed the last vestiges of the terms "Negro" and "Oriental" from the U.S. Code in May of 2016.

That bill passed with a unanimous vote, including the "yes" vote of the chairman of the Legislative Branch Subcommittee. If we removed "Negro" and "Oriental" in the subject headings of the Library of Congress before we changed the U.S. Code, then we should do the same for the now-pejorative term. "illegal alien."

The Library of Congress is our Nation's first established cultural institution, and it is hard to fathom why my colleagues on the other side of the aisle would try to tie its hands to the slowmoving wheels of the U.S. Code.

Entering into an immigration debate on the Legislative Branch Appropriations bill is a terrible precedent. If the majority is really serious about debating the U.S. Code, then let's have the Republican Rules Committee bring up the Castro bill that would remove the hurtful and inaccurate term "illegal aliens" once and for all from the U.S. Code.

We are Members of Congress, not captains of the word police. Free the card catalog and depoliticize this bill.

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire how much time I have remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas has 2 minutes and 35 seconds remaining.

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, in 1922, the only grandparent I would come to know came from Mexico to the United States. She was not a rapist or a murderer or an alien. She was a 6-year-old girl whose parents had died around the time of the Mexican Revolution, and the closest relatives who could take her and her sister in were in Texas.

I bet if we went around this Chamber, I know there would be beautiful stories, similar stories, of ancestors who came from Italy, Germany, Ireland, Africa, Asia, and every corner of the world. They are the immigrants to this country. They are the strength of this country.

Language matters. Recently, the Library of Congress decided to retire the term "illegal alien" because it is dehumanizing. For the first time in American history, today, the Congress is ready to interfere with the business of the Library of Congress.

In the years of the Congress and the Library, language has evolved. That is why we have done away with terms like "Negro," "Oriental," "lunatic," and "retarded," because we understand that even words that start off as neutral descriptors can, over time, become used as verbal weapons and knives to inflict pain and disrespect and sow division. That is the case today.

There are times in our country's history where our politics have also been a race to the bottom. Those Irish ancestors were greeted by signs that read "no Irish need apply" in cities like New York and Boston. The Japanese, German, and Italian Americans even were interned during World War II. Chinese were excluded from this coun-

try for decades. During the Eisenhower administration, many Hispanics in this country were rounded up and deported to Mexico even if they were American.

\Box 1230

What I am asking is for us not to fuel the flames of this season and for us to take a better course and do the right thing. I am asking you to support this motion to recommit because the words "illegal alien" will be retired. This will change, whether it is now or 6 months from now or 10 years from now. The question for all of us is whether we, today, will do the right thing or whether a few years from now we apologize for doing the wrong thing.

Please support this motion to recommit and do the right thing.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Georgia is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I want to make this quick because I want to make sure the House knows what offensive language is in this bill. It is so offensive that I am going to read it.

To the extent practicable, the committee instructs the Library to maintain certain subject headings that reflect terminology used in title 8, United States Code.

That is what is so offensive to the minority party.

For 7½ years, we have had a President who wants to ignore the intent of the laws of our land. We will not allow this body, this House, to ignore the definitions nor the words of the laws that have been voted on in this body, passed by the Senate, and signed into law by the President.

I am asking this body to vote "no" on this motion to recommit, vote "yes" to uphold the laws of this land, vote "yes" for your constituents on final passage, and have a good weekend.

I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 and clause 9 of rule XX, this 5-minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by 5-minute votes on passage of the bill, adoption of House Concurrent Resolution 89, and adoption of House Concurrent Resolution 112.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 170, noes 237, not voting 27, as follows:

Newhouse

Noem

Nunes

Olson

Palazzo

Palmer

Nugent

[Roll No. 293]

AYES-170

Aguilar Ashford Bass Beatty Becerra Bera. Beyer Bishop (GA) Blumenauer Bonamici Hahn Boyle, Brendan Brady (PA) Brown (FL) Brownley (CA) Himes Honda Bustos Hoyer Butterfield Capps Capuano Cárdenas Carney Carson (IN) Cartwright Castor (FL) Castro (TX) Chu, Judy Cicilline Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Clay Cleaver Clyburn Connolly Conyers Cooper Costa Courtney Crowley Cuellar Cummings Davis (CA) DeFazio DeGette Delaney DeLauro Del Bene DeSaulnier Deutch Dingell Doggett Doyle, Michael Duckworth Edwards Ellison Eshoo Esty

Gabbard Nolan Gallego Norcross Garamendi O'Rourke Graham Pallone Grayson Pascrell Green, Al Pelosi Green, Gene Perlmutter Grijalva Peters Peterson Gutiérrez Pingree Hastings Heck (WA) Pocan Polis Price (NC) Higgins Quigley Rangel Rice (NY) Huffman

Richmond Roybal-Allard Israel Jeffries Ruppersberger Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Rush Ryan (OH) Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Sánchez, Linda Kennedy Sanchez, Loretta Kildee Sarbanes Kilmer Schakowsky Kind Schiff Kirkpatrick Schrader Kuster Scott (VA)

Langevin Scott, David Larsen (WA) Serrano Sewell (AL) Larson (CT) Lawrence Sherman Levin Sinema. Lewis Slaughter Lipinski Smith (WA) Loebsack Speier Swalwell (CA) Lofgren Lowenthal Takai Takano Lowey Thompson (CA) Lujan Grisham

Thompson (MS)

Luján, Ben Ray Titus (NM) Tonko Lynch Torres Maloney Tsongas Carolyn Van Hollen Maloney, Sean Vargas Matsui Veasey McCollum Vela McDermota Velázquez McGovern Visclosky McNerney Walz

Wasserman Meng Moore Schultz Watson Coleman Moulton Murphy (FL) Welch Wilson (FL) Nadler

Gibson

NOES-237

Collins (NY)

Comstock

Cook

Dent

Dold

Flores

Forbes

Foxx

Cramer

(NM)

Abraham Aderholt Allen Amash Babin Barr Barton Benishek Bilirakis Bishop (MI) Bishop (UT) Black Blackburn Blum Bost Boustany Brady (TX) Brat Bridenstine Brooks (AL) Brooks (IN) Buchanan Buck Bucshon Burgess Byrne Calvert Carter (GA) Carter (TX) Chabot Chaffetz Clawson (FL)

Coffman

Collins (GA)

Foster

Frankel (FL)

Gohmert Conaway Goodlatte Gowdy Costello (PA) Granger Graves (GA) Crawford Graves (LA) Crenshaw Graves (MO) Griffith Culberson Curbelo (FL) Grothman Davidson Guinta Davis, Rodney Guthrie Denham Hanna Harper DeSantis Harris DesJarlais Hartzlei Heck (NV) Diaz-Balart Hensarling Donovan Hice, Jody B. Duncan (SC) Hill Duncan (TN) Holding Ellmers (NC) Hudson Huelskamp Emmer (MN) Farenthold Huizenga (MI) Fitzpatrick Hultgren Fleischmann Hunter Hurd (TX) Fleming Hurt (VA) Fortenberry Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Johnson (OH) Franks (AZ) Frelinghuvsen Johnson, Sam Garrett Gibbs Jolly

Jones

Jordan Joyce Katko Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Kline Knight Labrador LaHood LaMalfa Lamborn Lance Latta LoBiondo Long Loudermilk Lucas

Mica.

Mullin

Mulvaney

Miller (FL)

Moolenaar Mooney (WV)

Murphy (PA)

Neugebauer

Paulsen Pearce Perry Pittenger Pitts Poe (TX) Poliquin Pompeo Posey Price, Tom Ratcliffe Reed Reichert Renacci Ribble Lummis MacArthur Rice (SC) Marchant Rigel1 Marino Roby Massie Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) McCarthy Rogers (KY) McCaul McClintock Rohrabacher McHenry Rokita Rooney (FL) McKinley McMorris Ros-Lehtinen Rodgers Roskam McSally Ross Meadows Rothfus Meehan Rouzer Messer Royce

Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Stefanik Stewart Stivers Stutzman Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Trott Turner Upton Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Westmoreland Whitfield Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Young (IA) Young (IN) Zeldin

Shimkus

Shuster

NOT VOTING-27

Russell

Salmon

Sanford

Sessions

Schweikert

Scott, Austin

Sensenbrenner

Scalise

Fincher Adams Luetkemeyer Amodei Fudge Meeks Miller (MI) Barletta Gosar Cohen Hardy Napolitano Davis, Danny Herrera Beutler Neal Duffy Hinojosa Payne Engel Jackson Lee Sires Waters, Maxine Farr Lee Fattah Lieu, Ted Yarmuth

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1237

So the motion to recommit was reiected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye" on rollcall No. 293.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 233, nays 175, not voting 26, as follows:

[Roll No. 294]

YEAS-233

Abraham Bishop (MI) Buck Aderholt Bucshon Bishop (UT) Aguilar Black Burgess Allen Blackburn Byrne Ashford Bost Calvert Babin Boustany Carter (GA) BarrBrady (TX) Carter (TX) Chabot Barton Brat Bridenstine Chaffetz Benishek Clawson (FL) Coffman Brooks (IN) Bilirakis Buchanan

Collins (GA) Collins (NY) Comstock Conaway Cook Cooper Costa Costello (PA) Cramer Crawford Crenshaw Cuellar Culberson Curbelo (FL) Davis, Rodney Dent DesJarlais Diaz-Balart Dold Donovan Duncan (SC) Duncan (TN) Emmer (MN) Farenthold Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Flores Forbes Fortenberry Foxx Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Garrett Gibbs Gibson Gohmert Goodlatte Gowdy Granger Graves (GA) Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Griffith Grothman Guthrie Hanna Harper Harris Hartzler Heck (NV) Hensarling Hice, Jody B. Hill Holding Hudson Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) Hultgren Hunter Hurd (TX) Hurt (VA) Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Johnson (OH)

Johnson, Sam Jolly Jordan Joyce Katko Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) King (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Kline Knight Labrador LaHood LaMalfa Lamborn Lance LoBiondo Long Loudermilk Love Lucas Lummis MacArthur Marchant Marino McCarthy McCaul McHenry McKinley McMorris Rodgers McSally Meadows Meehan Messer Mica Miller (FL) Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Mullin Mulvanev Murphy (PA) Neugebauer Newhouse Noem Nugent Nunes Olson Palazzo Palmer Paulsen Pearce Perry Peters Peterson Pittenger Pitts Poe (TX) Poliquin Pompeo Posev Price, Tom Ratcliffe Reed Reichert

Rice (SC) Rigell Roby Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rohrabacher Rokita Rooney (FL) Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross Rothfus Rouzer Rovce Ruiz Russell Salmon Sanford Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Sessions Shimkus Shuster Simpson Sinema Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Stefanik Stewart Stivers Stutzman Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Trott Turner Upton Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Westmoreland Whitfield Williams Wilson (SC) Womack Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Young (IA) Young (IN)

NAYS-175

Renacci

Cleaver Amash Clyburn Bass Beatty Connolly Becerra Convers Beyer Courtney Bishop (GA) Crowley Blum Cummings Blumenauer Davidson Davis (CA) Bonamici Boyle, Brendan DeFazio DeGette Brady (PA) Delanev Brooks (AL) DeLauro Brown (FL) DelBene Brownley (CA) DeSantis Bustos DeSaulnier Butterfield Deutch Capps Dingell Capuano Doggett Doyle, Michael Cárdenas Carney Carson (IN) Duckworth Edwards Ellison Cartwright Castor (FL) Castro (TX) Ellmers (NC) Chu, Judy Cicilline Eshoo Esty Clark (MA) Fleming Foster Frankel (FL) Clarke (NY) Clay

Gabbard Gallego Garamendi Graham Grayson Green, Al Green, Gene Grijalva Guinta Gutiérrez Hahn Hastings Heck (WA) Higgins Himes Honda Hoyer Huffman Israel Jeffries Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B Jones Kaptur Kelly (IL) Kennedy Kildee

Kilmer

Kind

Zinke

Reichert

Renacci

Rice (SC)

Roe (TN)

Rokita

Roskam

Rothfus

Rouzer

Royce

Russell

Salmon

Sanford

Scalise

Sessions

Shimkus

Shuster

Simpson

Sinema Smith (MO)

Smith (NE)

Smith (NJ)

Smith (TX)

Stefanik

Stewart

Stutzman

Thornberry

Thompson (PA)

Stivers

Tiberi

Tipton

Trott

Turner

Upton

Valadao

Wagner

Walberg

Walden

Walker

Walorski

Walters, Mimi

Weber (TX)

Wenstrup

Whitfield

Williams

Wittman

Womack

Woodall

Yoder

Yoho

Wilson (SC)

Young (AK)

Westerman

Webster (FL)

Westmoreland

Schweikert

Scott Austin

Sensenbrenner

Ross

Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)

Rohrabacher

Rooney (FL)

Ros-Lehtinen

Ribble

Rigell

Roby

Hurd (TX)

Issa

Bucshon

Burgess

King (IA)	Moulton	Scott, David
Kirkpatrick	Murphy (FL)	Serrano
Kuster	Nadler	Sewell (AL)
Langevin	Napolitano	Sherman
Larsen (WA)	Nolan	Slaughter
Larson (CT)	Norcross	Smith (WA)
Lawrence	O'Rourke	Speier
Levin	Pallone	Swalwell (CA)
Lewis	Pascrell	Takai
Lipinski	Pelosi	Takano
Loebsack	Perlmutter	Thompson (CA)
Lofgren	Pingree	Thompson (MS)
Lowenthal	Pocan	Titus
Lowey	Polis	11000
Lujan Grisham	Price (NC)	Tonko
(NM)	Quigley	Torres
Luján, Ben Ray	Rangel	Tsongas
(NM)	Rice (NY)	Van Hollen
Lynch	Richmond	Vargas
Maloney,	Roybal-Allard	Veasey
Carolyn	Ruppersberger	Vela
Maloney, Sean	Rush	Velázquez
Massie	Ryan (OH)	Visclosky
Matsui	Sánchez, Linda	Walz
McClintock	T.	Wasserman
McCollum	Sanchez, Loretta	Schultz
McDermott	Sarbanes	Watson Coleman
McGovern	Schakowsky	Weber (TX)
McNerney	Schiff	Welch
Meng	Schrader	Wilson (FL)
Moore	Scott (VA)	Wittman

NOT VOTING-26

Adams	Fincher	Luetkemeyer
Amodei	Fudge	Meeks
Barletta	Gosar	Miller (MI)
Cohen	Hardy	Neal
Davis, Danny	Herrera Beutler	Payne
Duffy	Hinojosa	Sires
Engel	Jackson Lee	Waters, Maxine
Farr	Lee	Yarmuth
Fattah	Lieu, Ted	I WI III WIII

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1244

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF CON-GRESS THAT A CARBON TAXBE DETRIMENTAL WOULD THE UNITED STATES ECONOMY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on adoption of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 89) expressing the sense of Congress that a carbon tax would be detrimental to the United States economy, on which the yeas and nays were

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the concurrent resolution.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 237, nays 163, answered "present" 2, not voting 32, as follows:

[Roll No. 295]

YEAS-237

Abraham	Benishek	Boustany
Aderholt	Bilirakis	Brady (TX)
Allen	Bishop (GA)	Brat
Amash	Bishop (MI)	Bridenstine
Ashford	Bishop (UT)	Brooks (AL)
Babin	Black	Brooks (IN)
Barr	Blum	Buchanan
Barton	Bost	Buck

Byrne Jenkins (KS) Calvert Jenkins (WV) Carter (GA) Johnson (OH) Carter (TX) Johnson, Sam Chabot Jones Chaffetz Jordan Coffman Joyce Cole Katko Collins (GA) Kelly (MS) Collins (NY) Kelly (PA) Comstock King (IA) King (NY) Conaway Cook Kinzinger (IL) Costello (PA) Kirkpatrick Cramer Kline Crawford Knight Crenshaw Labrador Cuellar LaHood Culberson LaMalfa Curbelo (FL) Lamborn Davidson Davis, Rodney Latta LoBiondo Denham Dent Long Loudermilk DeSantis DesJarlais Lucas Diaz-Balart Lummis Dold MacArthur Donovan Marchant Duncan (SC) Marino Duncan (TN) Massie Emmer (MN) McCarthy Farenthold McCaul McClintock Fitzpatrick Fleischmann McHenry Fleming McKinley Flores McMorris Forbes McSally Fortenberry Foxx Meadows Franks (AZ) Meehan Frelinghuysen Messer Garrett Mica Miller (FL) Gibson Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Gohmert Goodlatte Mullin Gowdy Mulvanev Murphy (PA) Granger Graves (GA) Neugebauer Graves (LA) Newhouse Graves (MO) Noem Nugent Griffith Grothman Nunes Guinta Olson Guthrie Palazzo Hanna Palmer Paulsen Harper Harris Pearce Perry Hartzler Heck (NV) Peterson Hensarling Pittenger Pitts Hice, Jody B. Poe (TX) Hill Holding Poliquin Pompeo Hudson Posey Price, Tom Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) Ratcliffe Hultgren

Chu, Judy Cicilline

Rodgers

NAYS-163

Aguilar	Clark (MA)	Ellison
Bass	Clarke (NY)	Eshoo
Beatty	Clay	Esty
Becerra	Cleaver	Foster
Bera	Connolly	Frankel (F
Beyer	Conyers	Gabbard
Blumenauer	Cooper	Gallego
Bonamici	Costa	Garamendi
Boyle, Brendan	Courtney	Graham
F.	Crowley	Grayson
Brady (PA)	Cummings	Green, Al
Brown (FL)	Davis (CA)	Green, Gen
Brownley (CA)	DeFazio	Grijalva
Bustos	DeGette	Gutiérrez
Butterfield	Delaney	Hahn
Capps	DeLauro	Hastings
Capuano	DelBene	Heck (WA)
Cárdenas	DeSaulnier	Higgins
Carney	Deutch	Himes
Carson (IN)	Dingell	Honda
Cartwright	Doggett	Hoyer
Castor (FL)	Doyle, Michael	Huffman
Castro (TX)	F.	Israel

Duckworth

Edwards

Young (IA) Young (IN) Zeldin Zinke L) .Teffries Johnson (GA)

Johnson, E. B. Moore Schrader Moulton Kaptur Scott (VA) Keating Murphy (FL) Scott, David Kelly (IL) Nadler Serrano Napolitano Kennedy Sewell (AL) Kildee Nolan Sherman Kilmer Norcross Slaughter O'Rourke Kind Smith (WA) Kuster Pallone Speier Langevin Pascrel1 Swalwell (CA) Larsen (WA) Pelosi Takai Perlmutter Larson (CT) Takano Lawrence Peters Thompson (CA) Pingree Levin Thompson (MS) Lewis Pocan Titus Lipinski Polis Loebsack Price (NC) Tonko Lofgren Quigley Torres Tsongas Lowenthal Rangel Lowey Rice (NY) Van Hollen Luján, Ben Ray Richmond Vargas (NM) Roybal-Allard Veasey Lynch Ruiz Vela. Ruppersberger Maloney Velázquez Carolyn Rush Visclosky Maloney, Sean Ryan (OH) Walz Matsui Sánchez, Linda Wasserman McCollum Т. Schultz McDermott Sanchez, Loretta Watson Coleman McGovern Sarbanes Welch McNerney Schakowsky Wilson (FL) Meng Schiff ANSWERED "PRESENT"-2 Lujan Grisham Jolly (NM) NOT VOTING-32 Fattah Fincher Fudge Gosar Nea1 Hardy

Adams Luetkemeyer Amodei Meeks Barletta Miller (MI) Blackburn Clawson (FL) Payne Clyburn Herrera Beutler Sires Cohen Hinojosa Waters, Maxine Davis Danny Hurt (VA) Yarmuth Duffy Jackson Lee Ellmers (NC) Lieu Ted Engel Farr

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

Love

□ 1250

So the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. HURT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I was not present for Roll Call vote No. 295 on H. Con. Res. 89. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF CON-GRESS OPPOSING THE PRESI-DENT'S PROPOSED \$10 TAX ON EVERY BARREL OF OIL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on adoption of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 112) expressing the sense of Congress opposing the President's proposed \$10 tax on every barrel of oil, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the concurrent resolution.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 253, nays