S. 1890, amends the, "Economic Espionage Act of 1996," to create a federal civil remedy for trade secret misappropriation, and expedite ex parte seizure of trade secrets to preserve evidence or prevent dissemination, without preempting state law.

"Trade secrets" are the form of intellectual property that protect confidential information, including: marketing data and strategies, manufacturing processes or techniques, confidential and chemical formulae, product design, customer lists, business leads, pricing schedules, and sales techniques.

Trade secret law offers protection from trade secret "misappropriation," which is the unauthorized acquisition, use, or disclosure of such secrets obtained by some improper means.

Under U.S. law, trade secrets consist of three parts: (i) information that is non-public; (2) the reasonable measures taken to protect that information; and (3) the fact that the information derives independent economic value from not being publicly known.

American companies are at the forefront of innovation and have some of the largest trade secret and patent portfolios in the world tied to numerous goods and services offered to governments, commercial enterprises, and consumers around the globe.

In fact, patent portfolios often grow as a result of the ideas and products that originated as trade secrets.

President Obama's Administration identified the importance of this legislation and, "strongly supports the Defend Trade Secrets Act," because he recognizes that as the United States continues to shift from a manufacturing, to a knowledge- and service-based economy, businesses increasingly depend on trade secrets to protect their confidential know-how.

A 2009 estimate placed the value of trade secrets owned by U.S. companies at five trillion dollars, demonstrating that trade secrets have become an increasingly important part of most companies' overall assets.

But, the global economy creates a competitive environment in which companies struggle to safeguard this information in light of innovative technologies, such as cell phones, which allow nearly anyone to photograph or otherwise record data and send information nearly instantaneously.

A 2013 report, by the Commission on the Theft of American Intellectual Property, estimated that the American economy loses more than \$300 billion annually as a result of theft of intellectual property, largely trade secrets, leading to a loss of up to 2.1 million jobs each year.

The same theft is slowing U.S. economic growth and diminishing the incentive to innovate that we celebrate today.

Our companies pour billions of dollars into research and development, creating products and services that ultimately become the backbone of their businesses.

And rightly so, those trade secrets produced through research and development increasingly have become the attractive envy of competitors in other countries.

In addition, advances in technology now make it easy to copy trade secret materials onto a jump drive or laptop computer that in a world of less advanced technology would have taken reams of paper to reproduce.

Modernization of trade secrets law is long overdue if our legislation is to keep pace with the rapid developments of premier American companies and the technologies and methodologies used by the criminals who target them.

The patchwork of state trade secrets laws, while effective for local theft, fail to meet the demands of the global nature of today's trade secret misappropriations.

In addition, trade secrets do not enjoy the same federal protections as other types of intellectual property. While it is a federal crime to steal a trade secret, unlike patents, copyrights and trademarks, there is no current federal civil remedy.

This confidential business information can be protected for an unlimited time, unlike patents, and requires no formal registration process

But unlike patents, once this information is disclosed it instantly loses its value and the property right itself ceases to exist, demonstrating a stark difference in the potential consequences of securing patent protections versus keeping an innovation as a trade secret.

When an inventor seeks patent protection, he or she agrees to disclose to the world their invention and how it works, furthering innovation and research, as well as securing a 20-year exclusive term of protection, and the right to prevent others from making, using, selling, importing, or distributing a patented invention without permission.

However, in contrast by maintaining it as a trade secret, an inventor could theoretically keep their invention secret indefinitely (ex: formula for Coca-Cola; the KFC Colonel's Secret Recipe); but, the downside is there is no protection if the trade secret is uncovered by others through reverse engineering or independent development.

Trade secrets must be valiantly guarded because discovery of a trade secret by fair, lawful methods, such as reverse engineering or independent development, is permitted.

As a result, the threat posed to American trade secrets has increased and theft of these secrets robs our economy of growth and innovation. S. 1890, provides a solution to these problematic gaps by making federal law more comprehensive and providing trade secrets owners with remedies that all forms of intellectual property should be afforded.

With both a federal criminal and a federal civil cause of action, large and small companies alike will have access to more of the tools that they need to effectively combat trade secret theft and help to ensure future innovation continues to occur within the United States.

While trade secret protection is important domestically, as American companies expand in the global marketplace, this protection is also paramount worldwide.

As we operate in other countries and work with them to encourage strong intellectual property protection within their own borders, the "Defend Trade Secrets Act" will serve as a model for effective protection.

S. 1890 will prevent the occurrence of (1) trade secret theft occurring in the United States and around the world; and (2) trade secret theft harming owner companies and their employees; while allowing the "Economic Espionage Act of 1996" to continue to apply broadly to protect trade secrets from theft.

I thank the House Judiciary Committee for quickly approving this legislation, and look forward to seeing this bill pass in the House to move to the President's desk to become law. Mr. Speaker, I thank our Leadership for its prowess on intellectual property protection and urge you and your colleagues to support S. 1800.

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Washington, DC, April 26, 2016

Washington, DC, April 26, 2016.
TO THE MEMBERS OF THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES: The U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the world's largest business federation representing the interests of more than three million businesses of all sizes, sectors, and regions, as well as state and local chambers and industry associations, and dedicated to promoting, protecting, and defending America's free enterprise system, strongly supports S. 1890, the "Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016," and urges the House to expeditiously pass this bill.

Intellectual property sector industries generate 35% of all U.S. Gross Domestic Product and are responsible for two-thirds of all exports and over forty million good-paying jobs. The threat of trade secrets theft is of increasing concern to U.S. economic security and domestic jobs, and S. 1890 would provide companies with an effective tool to combat this growing problem. Creating a federal civil cause of action to complement existing criminal remedies and providing a uniform system and legal framework would enable companies to better mitigate the commercial injury and loss of employment that often occur when trade secrets are stolen.

The Chamber appreciates the House's attention to this important issue that impacts companies that depend on intellectual property to spur innovation, create jobs, and bring new products to market that benefit consumers. By creating a federal civil remedy for trade secrets theft, this bill would help ensure the trade secrets of U.S. companies are given similar protections afforded to other forms of intellectual property including patents, trademarks, and copyrights.

The Chamber urges you to support S. 1890 and may consider votes on, or in relation to, this bill in our annual How They Voted scorecard.

Sincerely,

R. BRUCE JOSTEN.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 1890.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Motions to suspend the rules on H.R. 4923 and H.R. 699, each by the yeas and nays;

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 701; and

Adoption of House Resolution 701, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

AMERICAN MANUFACTURING COMPETITIVENESS ACT OF 2016

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4923) to establish a process for the submission and consideration of petitions for temporary duty suspensions and reductions, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BRADY) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 415, nays 2, not voting 16. as follows:

not voting 16, as follows: [Roll No. 166] YEAS-415 Clawson (FL) Fleischmann Abraham Adams Fleming Clay Aderholt Cleaver Flores Aguilar Clyburn Forbes Coffman Fortenberry Allen Amash Cohen Foster Amodei Cole Foxx Frankel (FL) Collins (GA) Ashford Bahin Collins (NY) Franks (AZ) Comstock Barletta Frelinghuysen Barr Conaway Fudge Gabbard Barton Connolly Gallego Bass Convers Beatty Garamendi Cook Becerra Cooper Garrett Benishek Gibbs Costa Costello (PA) Gibson Bera Beyer Courtney Goodlatte Bilirakis Cramer Gosar Bishop (GA) Crawford Gowdy Bishop (MI) Crenshaw Graham Bishop (UT) Crowley Granger Cuellar Graves (GA) Black Blackburn Culberson Graves (LA) Cummings Graves (MO) Blum Blumenauer Curbelo (FL) Grayson Green, Al Bonamici Davis (CA) Bost Davis, Danny Green, Gene Grijalva Boustany Davis, Rodney Bovle, Brendan DeFazio Grothman DeGette Guinta Brady (PA) Delaney Guthrie DeLauro Brady (TX) Hahn Brat DelBene Hardy Bridenstine Denham Harper Brooks (AL) Dent Harris DeSantis Brooks (IN) Hartzlei Brown (FL) DeSaulnier Hastings Heck (NV) Brownley (CA) DesJarlais Heck (WA) Buchanan Deutch Diaz-Balart Buck Hensarling Bucshon Dingell Herrera Beutler Burgess Doggett Hice, Jody B. Bustos Dold Higgins Butterfield Donovan Hill Doyle, Michael Himes Byrne Calvert Hinojosa. Duckworth Holding Capps Cárdenas Duffy Honda Duncan (SC) Carney Hover Carson (IN) Duncan (TN) Hudson Carter (GA) Edwards Huelskamp Carter (TX) Ellison Huffman Ellmers (NC) Huizenga (MI) Cartwright Castor (FL) Emmer (MN) Hultgren Castro (TX) Engel Hunter Chabot Hurd (TX) Chaffetz Esty Farenthold Hurt (VA) Chu, Judy Israel Jackson Lee Cicilline Farr Jeffries Clark (MA) Fincher Jenkins (KS) Fitzpatrick Clarke (NY)

Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Jenkins (WV) Scalise Johnson (GA) Schakowsky Johnson (OH) Moolenaar Schiff Johnson, E. B. Mooney (WV) Schrader Moore Johnson, Sam Schweikert Jolly Moulton Scott (VA) Jones Mullin Scott Austin Mulvaney Jordan Scott, David Joyce Murphy (FL) Sensenbrenner Kaptur Murphy (PA) Serrano Nadler Katko Sessions Keating Napolitano Sherman Kelly (IL) Nea1 Shimkus Neugebauer Kelly (MS) Shuster Kelly (PA) Newhouse Simpson Noem Kennedy Sinema Kildee Nolan Sires Kilmer Norcross Slaughter Kind Nugent Smith (MO) King (IA) Nunes Smith (NE) O'Bourke King (NY) Smith (NJ) Kinzinger (IL) Olson Smith (TX) Kirkpatrick Palazzo Smith (WA) Kline Pallone Speier Knight Palmer Stefanik Pascrell Kuster Stewart Labrador Paulsen Stivers LaHood Payne Stutzman LaMalfa Pearce Swalwell (CA) Perlmutter Lamborn Takai Lance Perry Takano Langevin Peters Thompson (CA) Larsen (WA) Peterson Thompson (MS) Larson (CT) Pingree Thornberry Latta Pitts Tiberi Pocan Lee Tipton Levin Poe (TX) Titus Lewis Poliquin Tonko Lieu, Ted Polis Torres Lipinski Pompeo Trott LoBiondo Posey Price (NC) Tsongas Loebsack Turner Lofgren Price, Tom Unton Long Quigley Valadao Loudermilk Rangel Vargas Ratcliffe Love Veasey Lowenthal Reed Vela Reichert Lowey Velázquez Lucas Renacci Visclosky Luetkemever Ribble Wagner Rice (NY) Lujan Grisham Walberg (NM) Rice (SC) Luján, Ben Ray Walden Richmond Walker (NM) Rigell Walorski Lummis Roby Roe (TN) Walters, Mimi Lynch Walz Malonev. Rogers (AL) Waters, Maxine Carolyn Rogers (KY) Watson Coleman Maloney, Sean Rohrabacher Rokita Weber (TX) Marchant

NAYS—2 Thompson (PA)

Rooney (FL)

Ros-Lehtinen

Roybal-Allard

Ruppersberger

Sánchez, Linda

Sanchez, Loretta

Roskam

Rothfus

Rouzer

Royce

Ruiz

Rush

Russell

Salmon

Sanford

Sarbanes

T.

Rvan (OH)

Ross

Marino

Massie

Matsui

McCarthy

McClintock

McCollum

McGovern

McHenry

McMorris

McNerney

McSally

Meadows

Meehan

Meeks

Meng

Mica.

Messer

Griffith

Rodgers

McDermott

Webster (FL)

Welch

Wenstrup

Whitfield

Westerman

Williams Wilson (FL)

Wilson (SC)

Wittman

Womack

Woodall

Yarmuth

Young (AK)

Young (IA)

Young (IN)

Yoder

Yoho

Zeldin

Zinke

NOT VOTING-16

Sewell (AL) Capuano Lawrence Fattah MacArthur Van Hollen Gohmert McCaul Wasserman Gutiérrez McKinley Schultz Hanna Pelosi Westmoreland Pittenger Issa.

\square 1530

Mr. CARNEY, Ms. KAPTUR, and Mr. BISHOP of Georgia changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for

Mr. HANNA. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 166 on H.R. 4923, I am not recorded because I was absent for personal reasons. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

EMAIL PRIVACY ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 699) to amend title 18, United States Code, to update the privacy protections for electronic communications information that is stored by third-party service providers in order to protect consumer privacy interests while meeting law enforcement needs, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 419, nays 0, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 167]

YEAS-419

DelBene Abraham Capuano Adams Cárdenas Denham Aderholt Carney Dent Carson (IN) Aguilar DeSantis Allen Carter (GA) DeSaulnier Amash Carter (TX) DesJarlais Amodei Cartwright Deutch Ashford Castor (FL) Diaz-Balart Babin Castro (TX) Dingell Barletta Chabot Doggett Chaffetz Barr Dold Barton Chu. Judy Donovan Cicilline Doyle, Michael Bass Beatty Clark (MA) F. Duckworth Becerra Clarke (NY) Benishek Clawson (FL) Duffv Duncan (SC) Bera Clay Cleaver Bever Duncan (TN) Bilirakis Clyburn Edwards Bishop (GA) Coffman Ellison Ellmers (NC) Bishop (MI) Cohen Bishop (UT) Emmer (MN) Cole Black Blackburn Collins (GA) Engel Collins (NY) Eshoo Blum Comstock Esty Blumenauer Conaway Farenthold Connolly Bonamici Farr Fincher Bost Conyers Boustany Cook Fitzpatrick Boyle, Brendan Cooper Fleischmann Costa Fleming Flores Brady (PA) Costello (PA) Brady (TX) Courtney Forbes Brat Cramer Fortenberry Bridenstine Crawford Foster Crenshaw Foxx Brooks (AL) Brooks (IN) Crowley Frankel (FL) Brown (FL) Cuellar Franks (AZ) Brownley (CA) Culberson Frelinghuysen Buchanan Cummings Fudge Buck Curbelo (FL) Gabbard Bucshon Davis (CA) Gallego Burgess Davis, Danny Garamendi Bustos Davis, Rodney Garrett Butterfield DeFazio Gibbs Byrne DeGette Gibson Calvert Delanev Goodlatte Capps DeLauro Gosar