

employees until the agency comes up with a customer service strategy approved by the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration.

Customer service is critical. But how can you come here and complain about customer service when you have cut the IRS budget \$1 billion in the last 5 years? That is 13,000 fewer full-time employees.

At the same time—listen to this, Mr. Chairman—there have been 9 million more tax returns being filed. Think about that just for a second: 9 million more tax returns, 13,000 fewer employees, \$1 billion less in appropriations. I mean, that is not rocket science. It is simply arithmetic.

Your budgets have consistently starved the IRS of the resources it needs to do its job, and, true to form, this bill expressly forbids any additional appropriations to carry out this mandate.

Here is the reality. The IRS customer service didn't decline because of lazy employees. It declined because of significant budget cuts. This year, thanks to an extra \$290 million in funding, it has rebounded to about mediocre, still a disservice to many Americans who need help.

So I agree with the ranking member when he says to look in the mirror and you will see who is responsible.

Instead of helping the struggling people of Puerto Rico or Flint, Michigan, or passing a budget—tax day passed a few days ago—this Congress is fiddling with weakening the IRS. You can't deny that all these attempts to harm the IRS are really harming taxpayers.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MEEHAN. Mr. Chairman, let me take a moment just to be responsive to a couple of things.

In point of fact, I don't think that I could have had a better setup for the real issues here than the very arguments that have been made by my colleagues because, in fact, when you look behind what is actually going on, you see the scheme that is taking place here, which has put the IRS and the service that it gives to taxpayers right in the middle of the conflict.

What they have done is created a circumstance in which, if you purposely starve the very thing that will relate to the taxpayers, you can get the taxpayers worked up to come back to scream for more money for the Service. Let's blame this on Congress.

But let's talk about what is actually going on here, Mr. Chairman. There may have been budget cuts, as there have been budget cuts all across the government.

One of the budget cuts related to the \$50 million that the IRS has used for conferences. And so, just like every other agency in government, just like the 14 percent cut we have taken in our own offices, there have been cuts at a time in which our government doesn't have money.

But that is not the issue. Because what has happened here has been the

diverting of funding. What nobody is saying is that this same agency has been hit with \$1.7 billion of diverted expenditure to service the Affordable Care Act, the healthcare law that was pushed on us and pushed on all America; \$1.7 billion has been diverted, will be dedicated this year, but never accounted for when that program was created.

They put this responsibility, another unfunded mandate put on the agency by this law. What they have done is divert the attention. Take the resources away and then use it as a way to compel to see if we can force Congress to get pulled into this debate.

Our thing is very, very simple. Again, it is not a funding issue. It is a service issue. We are not getting into that with this particular bill. It is a very simple thing that says: Create a plan for how you do it.

I am glad that the gentleman from New Jersey, who I respect enormously, has been able, Mr. Chair, to touch on the very point that was also made, this idea that somehow we have been unresponsive and starved this agency. Mr. Chairman, \$290 million just sent purposely for this issue, \$290 million.

So in addition to saying to give us a plan, we are saying: Here is \$290 million of focused funding to say this is behind the plan. Tell us how you are going to use it.

This whole thing is a smokescreen on the part of the other side to create the tension when, in fact, we are asking for a very simple thing that we have already funded.

Mr. Chairman, I have no other speakers at this time.

I reserve the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. KELLY of Mississippi). The Committee will rise informally.

The Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HOLDING) assumed the chair.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Ms. Curtis, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed bills of the following titles in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 1252. An act to authorize a comprehensive strategic approach for United States foreign assistance to developing countries to reduce global poverty and hunger, achieve food and nutrition security, promote inclusive, sustainable, agricultural-led economic growth, improve nutritional outcomes, especially for women and children, build resilience among vulnerable populations, and for other purpose.

S. 2012. An act to provide for the modernization of the energy policy of the United States, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Committee will resume its sitting.

#### BAN ON IRS BONUSES UNTIL SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY DEVELOPS COMPREHENSIVE CUSTOMER SERVICE STRATEGY

The Committee resumed its sitting.

□ 1030

Mr. PASCARELL. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, just in response to my friend from the Keystone State, the implementation of the Affordable Care Act is not an IRS slush fund. There are mandates within the Affordable Care Act which necessitate, obviously, the involvement of the IRS agency. So any attempts to make it or create a slush fund in people's minds is totally, totally inaccurate.

That is not the issue. The issue is we have cut \$5 billion. This year we've restored \$290 million. Again, do the math. We have 13,000 less employees. So that means a lot of those 13,000 less employees came to the end, perhaps, of their career, but were never replaced. It had nothing to do with the budget. It was beyond the budget, even, or within the budget.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MEEHAN. Mr. Chairman, I have no other speakers at this time, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PASCARELL. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Seven former IRS Commissioners wrote, and we need to take a look at it because obviously they weren't all Democrats and they weren't all Republicans, but seven former IRS Commissioners have said: "Over the last 50 years, none of us has ever witnessed anything like what has happened to the IRS appropriations over the last 5 years and impact these appropriations reductions are having on our tax system."

The percentage of callers able to reach a live person at the IRS in the 2015 filing season was just 43 percent. The average wait time was 28 minutes. At one point during the filing season, the Taxpayer Protection Program line, which answers calls for victims of identity theft—a growing issue day by day—was not answering 90 percent of the calls.

That is not acceptable to your side. It is certainly not acceptable to our side, but your solution is, by no means, the solution. If you were truly concerned about improving customer service at the IRS, you would fully fund the agency. And we would support that. Penalizing the IRS is misguided and, in the long run, the consequence hurts the taxpayer.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. KELLY of Mississippi). Members are again reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Mr. MEEHAN. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

In closing, I want to once again actually appreciate the comments that were made by the other side in the entirety of this debate because they really speak to, in essence, what they are trying to do.

And I start again with this effectively unfunded mandate that was put on the IRS by the other side. They talk about funding. They gave them \$1.7 billion of responsibility under the Affordable Care Act, but never a penny to pay for it. As my parents used to say when I was a kid: You should have thought about that when you did it.

Where was the recognition that these responsibilities that you are putting on them, you have got to pay for them?

We have seen costs rise exponentially in so many different factors, but that is the essence of what is being done here. So we are not going to pay for it, but let's create tension and anxiety at the one place where the taxpayers will uprise, because we will stop talking to them. That is the essence of what is being done here.

Mr. Chairman, once again, we are not asking for anything radical in response. In fact, we have already responded quite appropriately by putting \$290 million more into the very issue that is at stake here.

All we are saying is: Come up with a plan. Show us how you are going to do it. Show us how, when 48 million people call you and ask for help with their taxes at a time when they don't have 34 minutes to wait on a phone, to be one of the lucky 30 percent that even get their phone call answered. Do what a number of other agencies already do, give us a plan on how you are going to improve that.

It is that simple. Our purpose isn't to punish diligent IRS employees, but rather to compel management to finally put the taxpayers first and take the need to improve the customer service experience seriously.

Upon learning that this legislation was in development, the IRS reported to the GAO that they have established a team to consider its customer service recommendations. How about that? After 3 years, no response.

The IRS Commissioner himself says service is abysmal. And they say it has been satisfactory up to this point in time. But as soon as this legislation is introduced, we have customer service recommendations and a team being established. I don't think that is a coincidence. Passing the bill into law will ensure that the process continues in good faith.

Mr. Chairman, Congress has a duty to oversee the IRS and ensure that it is meeting the needs of American taxpayers. When the IRS fails to meet those needs, it is up to Congress to act.

I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. All time for general debate has expired.

Pursuant to the rule, the bill shall be considered for amendment under the 5-minute rule.

In lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Ways and Means, printed in the bill, it shall be in order

to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the 5-minute rule an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 114-49. That amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read.

The text of the amendment in the nature of a substitute is as follows:

H.R. 4890

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

**SECTION 1. BAN ON IRS BONUSES UNTIL IRS DEVELOPS COMPREHENSIVE CUSTOMER SERVICE STRATEGY.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Treasury, and the Secretary's delegate, may not pay a bonus, award, or similar cash payment to any employee of the Internal Revenue Service until the Secretary, or the Secretary's delegate, develops and submits to Congress a comprehensive customer service strategy that has been reviewed and approved by the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration. Such strategy shall include—

(1) appropriate telephone and correspondence levels of service, which shall be based on service provided by the best in business and customer expectations;

(2) a thorough assessment of which services the Internal Revenue Service can shift to self-service options; and

(3) proposals to improve customer service in the short term (the current and following fiscal year), medium term (approximately three to five fiscal years), and long term (approximately ten fiscal years).

(b) *PROGRESS REPORTS.*—The Secretary of the Treasury, or the Secretary's delegate, shall submit reports to the Congress on the status of its customer service strategy and actions taken to improve customer service. Such reports shall be submitted on a semiannual basis until the comprehensive customer service strategy under subsection (a) is fully implemented.

**SEC. 2. NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS AUTHORIZED.**

No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to carry out the requirements of this Act. Such requirements shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated or made available.

The Acting CHAIR. No amendment to that amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except those printed in House Report 114-503. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, by a member designated in the report, shall be considered read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall be not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. MEEHAN

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 1 printed in House Report 114-503.

Mr. MEEHAN. Mr. Chairman, as the designee of the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BRADY), I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 2, line 23, strike "or made available".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 688, the gentleman

from Pennsylvania (Mr. MEEHAN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. MEEHAN. Mr. Chairman, this amendment is a technical amendment to clarify section 2 of the bill. This section states that no additional funds are authorized or otherwise made available to carry out the requirement of this bill. The language in the amendment makes it abundantly clear that we are not authorizing a new appropriation here.

The IRS needs to create a customer service agency. If they want to claim that they don't have enough money in the budget to be serving the taxpayers with an appropriate topnotch customer service strategy, then we are saying: Give us a plan to do so, and withhold the bonuses until you do so. It is very, very simple.

This amendment makes a technical correction to make our intentions here crystal clear. The IRS doesn't need additional funding to make customer service the top priority when, in fact, it has already been given \$290 million to do just this.

I urge my colleagues to support this amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from New Jersey is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Chairman, once again, my friends on the other side of the aisle are cutting off their nose to spite their face: more mandates on the IRS with fewer resources and somehow expecting them to improve services.

As I have said, the IRS is servicing 9 million more people with \$1 billion less. This amendment would only exacerbate that problem. The words of the seven former IRS Commissioners ring out here.

Why don't we just cut congressional office budgets by 17 percent, as we did with the IRS, and then mandate that we improve constituent services or increase our workloads?

That doesn't make any sense either.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. MEEHAN).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. SANFORD

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 2 printed in House Report 114-503.

Mr. SANFORD. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 2, after line 17, insert the following:

(C) CONSULTATION WITH TAXPAYER ADVOCATE.—In developing the comprehensive customer service strategy pursuant to this section, the Secretary, or the Secretary's delegate, shall consult with the National Taxpayer Advocate.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 688, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. SANFORD) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from South Carolina.

Mr. SANFORD. Mr. Chairman, I have a small but, I think, perfecting amendment that I think, whether Republican or Democrat, we can agree on. Because I think as Republicans and Democrats, we may have different perspectives on this equation, but we would agree that money is power and that the IRS has an immense amount of power, given the amount of money that it is, in essence, steward to and controls as money is moved from individuals across this country to the Federal Government.

I think that we would agree that money without a plan is chaos. That is why in the military they have a five-paragraph order. That is why if you think about the business rule, you have a business plan. If you think about sports, you have got a game plan. You need to go through a planning process to effectively use money.

I think we would agree that the government's role is to serve. I think it disturbed a lot of us that the Lincoln Memorial was closed back during the government shutdown. Some people saw that as a way of maximizing inconvenience for folks, as a way of highlighting some rule we agreed or disagreed on as opposed to, again, staying focused on this larger notion of service.

So I applaud the overall work of this bill and what it is about. I think that there is a problem when wait times move up threefold over the last 5 years. I think that there is a problem with dropped calls and infinity holds and all the other things that people have seen come their way as a result of dysfunction at the IRS. We might see different remedies as to how we get there, but I think we would agree on those things.

And so I applaud what is being done with this notion of saying: Let's hold on bonuses. Let's actually come up with a plan as we deal with how this additional \$20 million is dispensed and used within the IRS.

This amendment simply says that as you go in consultation with the Treasury, as you go in consultation with the IG, let's also include the National Taxpayer Advocate there at the IRS. Because I think it is important. You may deal with technology experts, you may deal with phone call experts, you may deal with taxation specialists, but to keep the bull's-eye the ultimate customer out there—and that is the taxpayer.

Too often the taxpayer is indeed the forgotten man or forgotten women in this equation. The idea of consulting

with the National Taxpayers Union as you formulate those plans, again, I think make this a simply perfecting amendment, as you listen to the different constituencies that will be dealt with in coming up with this plan.

I think that perspective is key in holding the taxpayers' viewpoint to be vital in the creation of this plan. That is all the amendment does.

I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. MEEHAN).

□ 1045

Mr. MEEHAN. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Chair, let me just take a moment to say that the National Taxpayer Advocate has a long history of not only working on behalf of taxpayers, but working with the IRS to improve customer service. I think having the National Taxpayer Advocate involved in this process of creating comprehensive service strategy will actually improve the final outcome.

I thank the gentleman for taking his time not only to look at the totality of this bill, but to find a way to improve its implementation with that support.

I support the addition, and I urge others to vote "yes" on the amendment.

Mr. SANFORD. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong opposition to this amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from New Jersey is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Chairman, so let's get this amendment straight. This bill would have the IRS work with the National Taxpayer Advocate, in addition to the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration, as I read it correctly, in coming up with a customer service plan. Sounds good.

However, you forget to mention one thing, Mr. Chairman, because the National Taxpayer Advocate and the Treasury Inspector General Tax Administrator have publicly stated, for the record, that the severe budget cuts enacted by the other side, Mr. Chairman, in Congress have forced the IRS to reduce its workforce, reduce training, reduce technology, and that these steps have weakened the ability to enforce the Nation's tax laws—is that what you are looking for?—and serve taxpayers effectively.

They said it, I didn't. You can't make this stuff up.

So, instead of forcing the IRS to work with the National Taxpayer Advocate, why don't we, in Congress, listen to them, and fund the IRS so it can do its job?

This is the height of misdirection. I am only going by the words you have in this amendment. And I will tell you, they have made a statement very loud and clear to all of us.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gen-

tleman from South Carolina (Mr. SANFORD).

The amendment was agreed to.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended.

The amendment was agreed to.

The Acting CHAIR. Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. WOMACK) having assumed the chair, Mr. KELLY of Mississippi, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4890) to impose a ban on the payment of bonuses to employees of the Internal Revenue Service until the Secretary of the Treasury develops and implements a comprehensive customer service strategy, and, pursuant to House Resolution 688, he reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment to the amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole?

If not, the question is on the amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended.

The amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on passage of H.R. 4890 will be followed by a 5-minute vote on passage of H.R. 3724.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 260, nays 158, not voting 15, as follows:

[Roll No. 162]

YEAS—260

Abraham	Brady (TX)	Cole
Aderholt	Brat	Collins (GA)
Aguilar	Bridenstine	Collins (NY)
Allen	Brooks (AL)	Comstock
Amash	Brooks (IN)	Conaway
Ashford	Brownley (CA)	Cook
Babin	Buchanan	Cooper
Barletta	Buck	Costa
Barr	Bucshon	Costello (PA)
Barton	Burgess	Cramer
Benishek	Byrne	Crawford
Bera	Calvert	Cuellar
Bilirakis	Carter (GA)	Culberson
Bishop (MI)	Carter (TX)	Curbelo (FL)
Bishop (UT)	Chabot	Davis, Rodney
Black	Chaffetz	DeFazio
Blackburn	Cicilline	Dent
Blum	Clawson (FL)	DeSantis
Bost	Coffman	DesJarlais
Boustany	Cohen	Diaz-Balart

Dold	Kline	Roby	Lowenthal	Payne	Slaughter	Bridenstine	Guinta	Miller (FL)
Donovan	Knight	Roe (TN)	Lowey	Pelosi	Speier	Brooks (AL)	Guthrie	Miller (MI)
Duffy	Kuster	Rogers (AL)	Luján, Ben Ray	Perlmutter	Swalwell (CA)	Brooks (IN)	Hahn	Moolenaar
Duncan (SC)	Labrador	Rogers (KY)	(NM)	Peterson	Takai	Brownley (CA)	Hanna	Mooney (WV)
Duncan (TN)	LaHood	Rohrabacher	Lynch	Pingree	Takano	Buchanan	Hardy	Moulton
Ellmers (NC)	LaMalfa	Rokita	Maloney,	Pocan	Thompson (CA)	Buck	Harper	Mullin
Emmer (MN)	Lamborn	Rooney (FL)	Carolyn	Polis	Thompson (MS)	Bucshon	Harris	Mulvaney
Farenthold	Lance	Ros-Lehtinen	Matsui	Price (NC)	Titus	Burgess	Hartzler	Murphy (PA)
Fitzpatrick	Latta	Roskam	McCollum	Quigley	Tonko	Bustos	Heck (NV)	Neugebauer
Fleischmann	Lipinski	Ross	McDermott	Rangel	Torres	Butterfield	Heck (WA)	Newhouse
Fleming	LoBiondo	Rothfus	McGovern	Richmond	Tsongas	Byrne	Hensarling	Noem
Flores	Long	Rouzer	McNerney	Roybal-Allard	Vargas	Calvert	Herrera Beutler	Nolan
Forbes	Loudermilk	Royce	Meeks	Rush	Veasey	Cárdenas	Hice, Jody B.	Nugent
Fortenberry	Love	Ruiz	Meng	Ryan (OH)	Vela	Carney	Higgins	Nunes
Fox	Lucas	Ruppersberger	Moore	Sánchez, Linda	Velázquez	Carter (GA)	Hill	O'Rourke
Franks (AZ)	Luetkemeyer	Russell	Moulton	T.	Visclosky	Carter (TX)	Himes	Olson
Frelinghuysen	Lummis	Salmon	Murphy (FL)	Sanchez, Loretta	Walz	Cartwright	Holding	Palazzo
Garrett	MacArthur	Sanford	Nadler	Sarbanes	Wasserman	Castro (TX)	Hoyer	Palmer
Gibbs	Maloney, Sean	Scalise	Napolitano	Schakowsky	Schultz	Chabot	Hudson	Paulsen
Gibson	Marchant	Schrader	Neal	Schiff	Waters, Maxine	Chaffetz	Huelskamp	Pearce
Gohmert	Marino	Schweikert	Nolan	Scott (VA)	Watson Coleman	Chu, Judy	Huizenga (MI)	Perlmutter
Goodlatte	Massie	Scott, Austin	Norcross	Scott, David	Welch	Ciicilline	Hultgren	Perry
Gosar	McCarthy	Sensenbrenner	O'Rourke	Serrano	Wilson (FL)	Clawson (FL)	Hunter	Peters
Gowdy	McCaul	Sessions	Pallone	Sherman	Yarmuth	Coffman	Hurd (TX)	Peterson
Graham	McClintock	Shinkus	Pascrell	Sires		Cohen	Hurt (VA)	Pingree
Granger	McHenry	Shuster				Cole	Israel	Pittenger
Graves (GA)	McKinley	Simpson				Collins (GA)	Issa	Pitts
Graves (LA)	McMorris	Sinema	Amodei	Fincher	Sewell (AL)	Collins (NY)	Jenkins (KS)	Poe (TX)
Graves (MO)	Rodgers	Smith (MO)	Beyer	Grayson	Smith (TX)	Comstock	Jenkins (WV)	Poliquin
Griffith	McSally	Smith (NE)	Crenshaw	Hunter	Smith (WA)	Conaway	Johnson (OH)	Polis
Grothman	Meadows	Smith (NJ)	Denham	Lujan Grisham	Van Hollen	Connolly	Johnson, Sam	Posey
Guinta	Meehan	Stefanik	Edwards	(NM)		Cook	Jolly	Price (NC)
Guthrie	Messer	Stewart	Fattah	Pompeo		Cooper	Jones	Price, Tom
Hahn	Mica	Stivers				Costa	Jordan	Quigley
Hanna	Miller (FL)	Stutzman				Costello (PA)	Joyce	Ratcliffe
Hardy	Miller (MI)	Thompson (PA)				Courtney	Kaptur	Reed
Harper	Moolenaar	Thornberry				Cramer	Katko	Reichert
Harris	Mooney (WV)	Tiberi				Crawford	Keating	Renacci
Hartzler	Mullin	Tipton				Crenshaw	Kelly (MS)	Ribble
Heck (NV)	Mulvaney	Trott				Crowley	Kelly (PA)	Rice (NY)
Hensarling	Murphy (PA)	Turner				Cuellar	Kilmer	Rice (SC)
Herrera Beutler	Neugebauer	Upton				Culberson	Kind	Rigell
Hice, Jody B.	Newhouse	Valadao				Curbelo (FL)	King (IA)	Roby
Hill	Noem	Wagner				Davis, Rodney	King (NY)	Roe (TN)
Holding	Nugent	Walberg				DeFazio	Kinzinger (IL)	Rogers (AL)
Hudson	Nunes	Walden				Delaney	Kirkpatrick	Rogers (KY)
Huelskamp	Olson	Walker				DeLauro	Kline	Rohrabacher
Huizenga (MI)	Palazzo	Walorski				DelBene	Knight	Rokita
Hultgren	Palmer	Walters, Mimi				Denham	Kuster	Rooney (FL)
Hurd (TX)	Paulsen	Weber (TX)				Dent	Labrador	Ros-Lehtinen
Hurt (VA)	Pearce	Webster (FL)				DeSantis	LaHood	Roskam
Issa	Perry	Wenstrup				DeSaulnier	LaMalfa	Ross
Jenkins (KS)	Peters	Westerman				DesJarlais	Lamborn	Rothfus
Jenkins (WV)	Pittenger	Westmoreland				Diaz-Balart	Lance	Rouzer
Johnson (OH)	Pitts	Whitfield				Dingell	Langevin	Royce
Johnson, Sam	Poe (TX)	Williams				Doggett	Larson (CT)	Ruiz
Jolly	Poliquin	Wilson (SC)				Dold	Latta	Ruppersberger
Jones	Posey	Wittman				Donovan	Lawrence	Russell
Jordan	Price, Tom	Womack				Doyle, Michael	Levin	Salmon
Joyce	Ratcliffe	Woodall				F.	Lieu, Ted	Sanford
Katko	Reed	Yoder				Duckworth	Lipinski	Scalise
Kelly (MS)	Reichert	Yoho				Duffy	LoBiondo	Schakowsky
Kelly (PA)	Renacci	Young (AK)				Duncan (SC)	Loeb sack	Schiff
King (IA)	Ribble	Young (IA)				Duncan (TN)	Lofgren	Schrader
King (NY)	Rice (NY)	Young (IN)				Ellmers (NC)	Long	Schweikert
Kinzinger (IL)	Rice (SC)	Zeldin				Emmer (MN)	Loudermilk	Scott, Austin
Kirkpatrick	Rigell	Zinke				Eshoo	Love	Scott, David
						Esty	Lowenthal	Sensenbrenner
						Farenthold	Lowey	Sessions
						Farr	Lucas	Sewell (AL)
						Fitzpatrick	Luetkemeyer	Sherman
						Fleischmann	Luján, Ben Ray	Shinkus
						Fleming	(NM)	Shuster
						Flores	Lummis	Simpson
						Forbes	MacArthur	Sinema
						Fortenberry	Maloney,	Smith (MO)
						Foster	Carolyn	Smith (NE)
						Fox	Maloney, Sean	Smith (NJ)
						Franks (AZ)	Marchant	Speier
						Frelinghuysen	Marino	Stefanik
						Fudge	Massie	Stewart
						Gabbard	Matsui	Stivers
						Garamendi	McCarthy	Stutzman
						Garrett	McCaul	Swalwell (CA)
						Gibbs	McClintock	Thompson (CA)
						Gibson	McCollum	Thompson (PA)
						Gohmert	McDermott	Thornberry
						Goodlatte	McHenry	Tiberi
						Gosar	McKinley	Tipton
						Gowdy	McMorris	Torres
						Graham	Rodgers	Trott
						Granger	McNerney	Tsongas
						Graves (GA)	McSally	Turner
						Graves (LA)	Meadows	Upton
						Graves (MO)	Meehan	Valadao
						Green, Al	Meeks	Veasey
						Green, Gene	Meng	Vela
						Griffith	Messer	Visclosky
						Grothman	Mica	Wagner

## NOT VOTING—15

□ 1110

Ms. SPEIER, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois, and Mr. LANGEVIN changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mr. RUPPERSBERGER changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Speaker, today, Thursday, April 21, 2016, I was unable to be present for the first recorded vote of the day. Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on rollcall vote No. 162 (on passage of H.R. 4890).

## ENSURING INTEGRITY IN THE IRS WORKFORCE ACT OF 2015

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HULTGREN). The unfinished business is the vote on passage of the bill (H.R. 3724) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to prohibit the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service from rehiring any employee of the Internal Revenue Service who was involuntarily separated from service for misconduct, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 345, nays 78, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 163]

YEAS—345

Adams	Crowley	Hastings	Abraham	Barton	Blum
Bass	Cummings	Heck (WA)	Aderholt	Benishek	Blumenauer
Beatty	Davis (CA)	Higgins	Aguilar	Bera	Bonamici
Becerra	Davis, Danny	Himes	Allen	Billirakis	Bost
Bishop (GA)	DeGette	Hinojosa	Amash	Bishop (GA)	Boustany
Blumenauer	Delaney	Honda	Ashford	Bishop (MI)	Boyle, Brendan
Bonamici	DeLauro	Hoyer	Babin	Bishop (UT)	F.
Boyle, Brendan	DelBene	Huffman	Barletta	Black	Brady (TX)
F.	DeSaulnier	Israel	Barr	Blackburn	Brat
Brady (PA)	Deutch	Jackson Lee			
Brown (FL)	Dingell	Jeffries			
Bustos	Doggett	Johnson (GA)			
Butterfield	Doyle, Michael	Johnson, E. B.			
Capps	F.	Kaptur			
Capuano	Duckworth	Keating			
Cárdenas	Ellison	Kelly (IL)			
Carney	Engel	Kennedy			
Carson (IN)	Eshoo	Kildee			
Cartwright	Esty	Kilmer			
Castor (FL)	Farr	Kind			
Castro (TX)	Foster	Langevin			
Chu, Judy	Frankel (FL)	Larsen (WA)			
Clark (MA)	Fudge	Larson (CT)			
Clarke (NY)	Gabbard	Lawrence			
Clay	Gallego	Lee			
Cleaver	Garamendi	Levin			
Clyburn	Green, Al	Lewis			
Connolly	Green, Gene	Lieu, Ted			
Conyers	Grijalva	Loeb sack			
Courtney	Gutiérrez	Lofgren			