

are important to our values as Americans—rather than debating bills that might feel good but won't become law and ultimately are not the right way to solve our problems.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote “no” and defeat the previous question. I urge a “no” vote on the rule.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. STIVERS. Mr. Speaker, before I close, I would like to urge my colleague from Colorado to use his 5 legislative days to ensure the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD does appropriately say it is a minor act—M-I-N-E-R instead of M-I-N-O-R act—where he said it was a minor act. I think that is a very important distinction, and it is a distinction with a difference. He made the statement earlier, so I hope he does use his 5 legislative days to correct the RECORD on that.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the rule and the underlying bill.

The material previously referred to by Mr. POLIS is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 640 OFFERED BY  
Mr. POLIS

At the end of the resolution, add the following new section:

SEC. 3. It shall not be in order to consider a motion that the House adjourn on the legislative day of March 23, 2016, unless the House has adopted a concurrent resolution establishing the budget for the United States government for fiscal year 2017.

THE VOTE ON THE PREVIOUS QUESTION: WHAT  
IT REALLY MEANS

This vote, the vote on whether to order the previous question on a special rule, is not merely a procedural vote. A vote against ordering the previous question is a vote against the Republican majority agenda and a vote to allow the Democratic minority to offer an alternative plan. It is a vote about what the House should be debating.

Mr. Clarence Cannon's Precedents of the House of Representatives (VI, 308-311), describes the vote on the previous question on the rule as “a motion to direct or control the consideration of the subject before the House being made by the Member in charge.” To defeat the previous question is to give the opposition a chance to decide the subject before the House. Cannon cites the Speaker's ruling of January 13, 1920, to the effect that “the refusal of the House to sustain the demand for the previous question passes the control of the resolution to the opposition” in order to offer an amendment. On March 15, 1909, a member of the majority party offered a rule resolution. The House defeated the previous question and a member of the opposition rose to a parliamentary inquiry, asking who was entitled to recognition. Speaker Joseph G. Cannon (R-Illinois) said: “The previous question having been refused, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Fitzgerald, who had asked the gentleman to yield to him for an amendment, is entitled to the first recognition.”

The Republican majority may say “the vote on the previous question is simply a vote on whether to proceed to an immediate vote on adopting the resolution . . . [and] has no substantive legislative or policy implications whatsoever.” But that is not what they have always said. Listen to the Republican Leadership Manual on the Legislative Process in the United States House of Representatives, (6th edition, page 135). Here's how the Republicans describe the previous

question vote in their own manual: “Although it is generally not possible to amend the rule because the majority Member controlling the time will not yield for the purpose of offering an amendment, the same result may be achieved by voting down the previous question on the rule. . . . When the motion for the previous question is defeated, control of the time passes to the Member who led the opposition to ordering the previous question. That Member, because he then controls the time, may offer an amendment to the rule, or yield for the purpose of amendment.”

In Deschler's Procedure in the U.S. House of Representatives, the subchapter titled “Amending Special Rules” states: “a refusal to order the previous question on such a rule [a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules] opens the resolution to amendment and further debate.” (Chapter 21, section 21.2) Section 21.3 continues: “Upon rejection of the motion for the previous question on a resolution reported from the Committee on Rules, control shifts to the Member leading the opposition to the previous question, who may offer a proper amendment or motion and who controls the time for debate thereon.”

Clearly, the vote on the previous question on a rule does have substantive policy implications. It is one of the only available tools for those who oppose the Republican majority's agenda and allows those with alternative views the opportunity to offer an alternative plan.

Mr. STIVERS. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

## RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess for a period of less than 15 minutes.

Accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 15 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1331

## AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia) at 1 o'clock and 31 minutes p.m.

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 640;

Adopting House Resolution 640, if ordered;

Suspending the rules and passing H.R. 2081; and

Suspending the rules and passing H.R. 3447.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

## PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4596, SMALL BUSINESS BROADBAND DEPLOYMENT ACT, AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3797, SATISFYING ENERGY NEEDS AND SAVING THE ENVIRONMENT ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 640) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 4596) to ensure that small business providers of broadband Internet access service can devote resources to broadband deployment rather than compliance with cumbersome regulatory requirements, and providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3797) to establish the bases by which the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall issue, implement, and enforce certain emission limitations and allocations for existing electric utility steam generating units that convert coal refuse into energy, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 235, nays 177, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 114]

YEAS—235

Abraham	Comstock	Gohmert
Aderholt	Conaway	Goodlatte
Allen	Cook	Gosar
Amash	Costello (PA)	Gowdy
Amodei	Cramer	Granger
Barletta	Crawford	Graves (GA)
Barr	Crenshaw	Graves (LA)
Barton	Culberson	Griffith
Benishek	Curbelo (FL)	Grothman
Bilirakis	Davis, Rodney	Guinta
Bishop (MI)	Denham	Guthrie
Bishop (UT)	Dent	Hanna
Black	DeSantis	Hardy
Blum	DesJarlais	Harper
Bost	Diaz-Balart	Harris
Boustany	Dold	Hartzler
Brady (TX)	Donovan	Heck (NV)
Brat	Duffy	Hensarling
Bridenstine	Duncan (SC)	Hice, Jody B.
Brooks (AL)	Duncan (TN)	Hill
Brooks (IN)	Emmer (MN)	Holding
Buchanan	Farenthold	Hudson
Buck	Fincher	Huelskamp
Bucshon	Fitzpatrick	Huizenga (MI)
Burgess	Fleischmann	Hultgren
Byrne	Fleming	Hunter
Calvert	Flores	Hurd (TX)
Carter (GA)	Forbes	Hurt (VA)
Chabot	Fortenberry	Issa
Chaffetz	Fox	Jenkins (KS)
Clawson (FL)	Franks (AZ)	Jenkins (WV)
Coffman	Frelinghuysen	Johnson (OH)
Cole	Garrett	Johnson, Sam
Collins (GA)	Gibbs	Jolly
Collins (NY)	Gibson	Jones



Duckworth  
Ellmers (NC)  
Graves (MO)  
Gutiérrez  
Herrera Beutler  
Joyce

Lipinski  
Rogers (AL)  
Roskam  
Rush  
Smith (WA)  
Takai

Thompson (MS)  
Thornberry  
Watson Coleman  
Wenstrup

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1400

Ms. CLARKE of New York changed her vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT INVOLVING GIBSON DAM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2081) to extend the deadline for commencement of construction of a hydroelectric project involving the Gibson Dam, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. WHITFIELD) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 410, nays 2, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 116]

YEAS—410

Abraham	Capps	Davis (CA)
Adams	Capuano	Davis, Rodney
Aderholt	Cárdenas	DeFazio
Aguilar	Carney	DeGette
Allen	Carson (IN)	Delaney
Amodei	Carter (GA)	DeLauro
Ashford	Carter (TX)	DeBene
Barletta	Cartwright	Denham
Barr	Castor (FL)	Dent
Barton	Castro (TX)	DeSantis
Bass	Chabot	DeSaulnier
Beatty	Chaffetz	DesJarlais
Benishkek	Chu, Judy	Deutch
Bera	Cicilline	Diaz-Balart
Beyer	Clark (MA)	Dingell
Bilirakis	Clarke (NY)	Doggett
Bishop (GA)	Clawson (FL)	Dold
Bishop (MI)	Clay	Donovan
Bishop (UT)	Cleaver	Doyle, Michael
Black	Clyburn	F.
Blum	Coffman	Duffy
Blumenauer	Cohen	Duncan (SC)
Bonamici	Cole	Duncan (TN)
Bost	Collins (GA)	Edwards
Boustany	Collins (NY)	Ellison
Boyle, Brendan	Comstock	Emmer (MN)
F.	Conaway	Engel
Brady (TX)	Connolly	Eshoo
Brat	Conyers	Esty
Bridenstine	Cook	Farenthold
Brooks (AL)	Cooper	Farr
Brooks (IN)	Costa	Fattah
Brown (FL)	Costello (PA)	Fincher
Brownley (CA)	Courtney	Fitzpatrick
Buchanan	Cramer	Fleischmann
Buck	Crawford	Fleming
Bucshon	Crenshaw	Flores
Burgess	Crowley	Forbes
Bustos	Cuellar	Fortenberry
Butterfield	Culberson	Foster
Byrne	Cummings	Fox
Calvert	Curbelo (FL)	Frankel (FL)

Franks (AZ)	Loudermilk	Rohrabacher
Frelinghuysen	Love	Rokita
Fudge	Lowenthal	Rooney (FL)
Gabbard	Lowey	Ros-Lehtinen
Gallago	Lucas	Ross
Garamendi	Luetkemeyer	Rothfus
Garrett	Lujan Grisham	Rouzer
Gibbs	(NM)	Roybal-Allard
Gibson	Luján, Ben Ray	Royce
Gohmert	(NM)	Ruiz
Goodlatte	Lummis	Ruppersberger
Gosar	Lynch	Russell
Gowdy	MacArthur	Ryan (OH)
Graham	Maloney,	Salmon
Granger	Carolyn	Sánchez, Linda
Graves (GA)	Maloney, Sean	T.
Graves (LA)	Marchant	Sanchez, Loretta
Grayson	Marino	Sanford
Green, Al	Massie	Sarbanes
Green, Gene	Matsui	Scalise
Griffith	McCarthy	Schakowsky
Grijalva	McCaul	Schiff
Grothman	McClintock	Schrader
Guinta	McCollum	Schweikert
Guthrie	McDermott	Scott (VA)
Hahn	McGovern	Scott, Austin
Hanna	McHenry	Scott, David
Harper	McKinley	Sensenbrenner
Harris	McMorris	Serrano
Hartzler	Rodgers	Sessions
Hastings	McNerney	Sewell (AL)
Heck (NV)	McSally	Sherman
Heck (WA)	Meadows	Shimkus
Hensarling	Meehan	Shuster
Hice, Jody B.	Meeks	Simpson
Higgins	Meng	Sinema
Hill	Messer	Sires
Himes	Mica	Slaughter
Hinojosa	Miller (FL)	Smith (MO)
Holding	Miller (MI)	Smith (NE)
Honda	Moolenaar	Smith (NJ)
Hoyer	Mooney (WV)	Smith (TX)
Hudson	Moore	Speier
Huelskamp	Moulton	Stefanik
Huffman	Mullin	Stewart
Huizenga (MI)	Mulvaney	Stivers
Hultgren	Murphy (FL)	Stutzman
Hunter	Murphy (PA)	Swalwell (CA)
Hurd (TX)	Nadler	Takano
Hurt (VA)	Napolitano	Thompson (CA)
Israel	Neal	Thompson (MS)
Issa	Neugebauer	Thompson (PA)
Jackson Lee	Newhouse	Tiberi
Jeffries	Noem	Tipton
Jenkins (KS)	Nolan	Titus
Jenkins (WV)	Norcross	Tonko
Johnson (GA)	Nugent	Torres
Johnson (OH)	Nunes	Trott
Johnson, E. B.	O'Rourke	Tsongas
Johnson, Sam	Olson	Turner
Jolly	Palazzo	Upton
Jones	Pallone	Valadao
Jordan	Palmer	Van Hollen
Kaptur	Pascrell	Vargas
Katko	Paulsen	Veasey
Keating	Payne	Vela
Kelly (IL)	Pearce	Velázquez
Kelly (MS)	Pelosi	Visclosky
Kelly (PA)	Perlmutter	Wagner
Kennedy	Perry	Walberg
Kildee	Peters	Walden
Kilmer	Peterson	Walker
Kind	Pingree	Walorski
King (IA)	Pittenger	Walters, Mimi
King (NY)	Pitts	Walz
Kinziger (IL)	Pocan	Wasserman
Kirkpatrick	Poe (TX)	Schultz
Kline	Poliquin	Weber (TX)
Knight	Polis	Webster (FL)
Kuster	Pompeo	Welch
Labrador	Posey	Westerman
LaHood	Price (NC)	Westmoreland
LaMalfa	Price, Tom	Whitfield
Lamborn	Quigley	Williams
Lance	Rangel	Wilson (FL)
Langevin	Ratcliffe	Wilson (SC)
Larsen (WA)	Reed	Wittman
Larson (CT)	Reichert	Womack
Latta	Renacci	Woodall
Lawrence	Ribble	Yoder
Lee	Rice (NY)	Yoho
Levin	Rice (SC)	Young (AK)
Lewis	Richmond	Young (IA)
Lieu, Ted	Rigell	Young (IN)
LoBiondo	Roby	Zeldin
Loeb sack	Roe (TN)	Zinke
Lofgren	Rogers (AL)	
Long	Rogers (KY)	

NAYS—2

Amash Watson Coleman

NOT VOTING—21

Babin	Graves (MO)	Rush
Becerra	Gutiérrez	Smith (WA)
Blackburn	Hardy	Takai
Brady (PA)	Herrera Beutler	Thornberry
Davis, Danny	Joyce	Waters, Maxine
Duckworth	Lipinski	Wenstrup
Ellmers (NC)	Roskam	Yarmuth

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FLEISCHMANN) (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1408

Mr. RANGEL changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT NUMBERED 12642

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3447) to extend the deadline for commencement of construction of a hydroelectric project, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. WHITFIELD) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 406, nays 3, not voting 24, as follows:

[Roll No. 117]

YEAS—406

Abraham	Bucshon	Cooper
Adams	Burgess	Costa
Aderholt	Bustos	Costello (PA)
Aguilar	Butterfield	Courtney
Allen	Byrne	Cramer
Amodei	Calvert	Crawford
Ashford	Capps	Crenshaw
Barletta	Capuano	Crowley
Barr	Cárdenas	Cuellar
Barton	Carney	Culberson
Bass	Carson (IN)	Cummings
Beatty	Carter (GA)	Curbelo (FL)
Benishkek	Carter (TX)	Davis (CA)
Bera	Cartwright	Davis, Rodney
Beyer	Castor (FL)	DeFazio
Bilirakis	Castro (TX)	DeGette
Bishop (GA)	Chabot	Delaney
Bishop (MI)	Chaffetz	DeLauro
Bishop (UT)	Chu, Judy	DeBene
Black	Cicilline	Denham
Blum	Clark (MA)	Dent
Blumenauer	Clarke (NY)	DeSantis
Bonamici	Clawson (FL)	DeSaulnier
Bost	Clay	DesJarlais
Boustany	Cleaver	Deutch
Boyle, Brendan	Clyburn	Diaz-Balart
F.	Coffman	Dingell
Brady (TX)	Cohen	Doggett
Brat	Cole	Dold
Bridenstine	Collins (GA)	Donovan
Brooks (AL)	Collins (NY)	Doyle, Michael
Brooks (IN)	Comstock	F.
Brown (FL)	Conaway	Duffy
Brownley (CA)	Connolly	Duncan (SC)
Buchanan	Conyers	Duncan (TN)
Buck	Cook	Edwards