

minute vote on passage of the bill will be followed by a 5-minute vote on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 240, noes 189, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 568]

AYES—240

Abraham	Grothman	Perry
Aderholt	Guinta	Peterson
Allen	Guthrie	Pittenger
Amash	Hardy	Pitts
Amodei	Harper	Poe (TX)
Babin	Harris	Poliquin
Barletta	Hartzler	Pompeo
Barr	Heck (NV)	Posey
Barton	Hensarling	Price, Tom
Benishkek	Herrera Beutler	Ratcliffe
Billirakis	Hice, Jody B.	Reed
Bishop (MI)	Hill	Reichert
Bishop (UT)	Holding	Renacci
Black	Hudson	Ribble
Blackburn	Huelskamp	Rice (SC)
Blum	Huizenga (MI)	Rigell
Bost	Hultgren	Roby
Boustany	Hunter	Roe (TN)
Brady (TX)	Hurd (TX)	Rogers (AL)
Brat	Hurt (VA)	Rogers (KY)
Bridenstine	Issa	Rohrabacher
Brooks (AL)	Jenkins (KS)	Rokita
Brooks (IN)	Jenkins (WV)	Rooney (FL)
Buchanan	Johnson (OH)	Ros-Lehtinen
Bucshon	Johnson, Sam	Roskam
Burgess	Jolly	Ross
Byrne	Jordan	Rothfus
Calvert	Joyce	Rouzer
Carter (GA)	Katko	Royce
Carter (TX)	Kelly (MS)	Russell
Chabot	Kelly (PA)	Ryan (WI)
Chaffetz	King (IA)	Sanford
Clawson (FL)	King (NY)	Scalise
Coffman	Kinzinger (IL)	Schweikert
Cole	Kline	Scott, Austin
Collins (GA)	Knight	Sensenbrenner
Collins (NY)	Labrador	Sessions
Comstock	LaHood	Shimkus
Conaway	LaMalfa	Shuster
Cook	Lamborn	Simpson
Costello (PA)	Lance	Smith (MO)
Cramer	Latta	Smith (NE)
Crawford	LoBiondo	Smith (NJ)
Crenshaw	Long	Smith (TX)
Culberson	Loudermilk	Stefanik
Curbelo (FL)	Love	Stewart
Davis, Rodney	Lucas	Stivers
Denham	Luetkemeyer	Stutzman
Dent	Lummis	Thompson (PA)
DeSantis	MacArthur	Thornberry
DesJarlais	Marchant	Tiberi
Diaz-Balart	Marino	Tipton
Donovan	Massie	Trott
Duffy	McCarthy	Turner
Duncan (SC)	McCaul	Upton
Duncan (TN)	McClintock	Valadao
Ellmers (NC)	McHenry	Wagner
Emmer (MN)	McKinley	Walberg
Farenthold	McMorris	Walden
Fincher	Rodgers	Walorski
Fitzpatrick	McSally	Walters, Mimi
Fleischmann	Meehan	Weber (TX)
Fleming	Messer	Webster (FL)
Flores	Mica	Wenstrup
Forbes	Miller (FL)	Westerman
Fortenberry	Miller (MI)	Westmoreland
Fox	Moolenaar	Whitfield
Franks (AZ)	Mooney (WV)	Williams
Frelinghuysen	Mullin	Wilson (SC)
Garrett	Mulvaney	Wittman
Gibbs	Murphy (PA)	Womack
Gibson	Neugebauer	Woodall
Gohmert	Newhouse	Yoder
Goodlatte	Noem	Yoho
Gosar	Nugent	Young (AK)
Gowdy	Nunes	Young (IA)
Granger	Olson	Young (IN)
Graves (GA)	Palazzo	Zeldin
Graves (LA)	Palmer	Zinke
Graves (MO)	Paulsen	
Griffith	Pearce	

NOES—189

Adams	Beatty	Bishop (GA)
Aguiar	Becerra	Blumenauer
Ashford	Bera	Bonamici
Bass	Beyer	

Boyle, Brendan F.	Grijalva	O'Rourke
Brady (PA)	Gutiérrez	Pallone
Brown (FL)	Hahn	Pascarell
Brownley (CA)	Hanna	Pelosi
Buck	Hastings	Perlmutter
Bustos	Heck (WA)	Peters
Butterfield	Higgins	Pingree
Capps	Himes	Pocan
Capuano	Hinojosa	Polis
Cárdenas	Honda	Price (NC)
Carney	Hoyer	Quigley
Carson (IN)	Huffman	Rangel
Cartwright	Israel	Rice (NY)
Castro (TX)	Jackson Lee	Richmond
Chu, Judy	Jeffries	Roybal-Allard
Cicilline	Johnson (GA)	Ruiz
Clark (MA)	Johnson, E. B.	Ruppersberger
Clarke (NY)	Jones	Rush
Clay	Kaptur	Ryan (OH)
Cleaver	Keating	Salmon
Clyburn	Kennedy	Sánchez, Linda T.
Cohen	Kildee	Sanchez, Loretta
Connolly	Kilmer	Sarbanes
Conyers	Kind	Schakowsky
Cooper	Kirkpatrick	Schiff
Costa	Kuster	Schrader
Courtney	Langevin	Scott (VA)
Rigell	Larsen (WA)	Scott, David
Cuellar	Larson (CT)	Serrano
Cummings	Lawrence	Sewell (AL)
Davis (CA)	Lee	Sherman
Davis, Danny	Levin	Sinema
DeFazio	Lewis	Sires
DeGette	Lieu, Ted	Slaughter
Delaney	Lipinski	Smith (WA)
DeLauro	Loeb sack	Speier
DeBene	Lofgren	Swalwell (CA)
DeSaulnier	Lowenthal	Takai
Dingell	Lowe	Takano
Doggett	Lujan Grisham (NM)	Thompson (CA)
Dold	Lujan, Ben Ray (NM)	Thompson (MS)
Doyle, Michael F.	Lynch	Titus
Duckworth	Maloney	Tonko
Edwards	Carolyn	Torres
Ellison	Maloney, Sean	Tsongas
Engel	Matsui	Van Hollen
Eshoo	McCollum	Vargas
Esty	McDermott	Veasey
Farr	McGovern	Vela
Fattah	Meadows	Velázquez
Foster	Meeks	Visclosky
Frankel (FL)	Meng	Walker
Fudge	Moore	Walz
Gabbard	Moulton	Wasserman
Gallego	Murphy (FL)	Schultz
Garamendi	Nadler	Waters, Maxine
Graham	Napolitano	Watson Coleman
Grayson	Neal	Welch
Green, Al	Nolan	Wilson (FL)
Green, Gene	Norcross	Yarmuth

NOT VOTING—5

Castor (FL)	Kelly (IL)	Payne
Deutch	McNerney	

□ 1157

Mr. NADLER changed his vote from “aye” to “no.”

Mr. YOUNG of Indiana changed his vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, which the Chair will put de novo.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield to my friend, Mr. MCCARTHY, the majority leader, for purposes of telling us what the schedule will be for next week.

I yield to my friend.

Mr. MCCARTHY. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Before I get into next week's schedule, I do want to thank the gentleman for joining me in the Second Congressional Hackathon.

Today's Hackathon is an opportunity to bring people together to envision a modernized Congress. Even as we speak, the congressional community, open government advocates, and code developers from the technology sector are gathered to explore how we can leverage technology to improve how Congress works for the American people. It is a good reminder that, even as we may disagree on many policy issues, we can work together to improve this institution.

I want to thank the gentleman's staff as well as the Clerk's office for their work on today's Hackathon.

Mr. Speaker, on Monday, the House will meet at noon for morning-hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. Votes will be postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Tuesday and Wednesday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning-hour and noon for legislative business. On Thursday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business. Last votes of the week are expected no later than 3 p.m. On Friday, no votes are expected in the House.

Mr. Speaker, the House will consider a number of suspensions next week, including a necessary short-term extension of the authorities under the highway trust fund. A complete list of suspensions will be announced by close of business today.

In addition, the House will consider H.R. 1090, the Retail Investor Protection Act, sponsored by Representative ANN WAGNER. This bill provides relief from the Department of Labor's proposed rule to redefine “fiduciary.” Once finalized, the Department's rule will shut out millions of low- and middle-income investors from getting retirement savings advice. Instead, our bill will ensure coordination between the Department and the Securities and Exchange Commission to determine whether it is even necessary to establish a uniform standard.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, the House will also need to consider legislation relating to the Nation's debt limit.

I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for that information, and I want to join him. He and I both had the opportunity to speak to participants in the Hackathon that is going on as we speak. Mr. Cantor and I were cooperative in this effort as well, and Mr. MCCARTHY and I have continued this

tradition. Like Mr. MCCARTHY, I believe this will be of great assistance in moving us forward with technology to make our institution more transparent, the people's business more available to them, and that we will benefit from this in this institution.

In addition to that, of course, we believe it will have ramifications beyond this institution as they brainstorm and come together on how technology can be used better in our democracy both in terms of our government and politics, but also in terms of our economy and growth of jobs.

So I thank the gentleman and his staff.

I want to mention my own staffer, Steve Dwyer, who is one of the real talents in my office and, in my opinion, within the House staff, Republican and Democrat, working together on behalf of the better use of technology.

So I thank my friend for his observation.

Mr. Speaker, this week we have had two bills that we have spent significant time on that purported to deal both with debt and with deficit reduction, neither of which I think anybody in this House, Republican or Democrat, would place much of a bet on becoming law. They were message bills. We now dealt with legislation to repeal the Affordable Care Act for the 61st time, and we dealt with a bill to close down Planned Parenthood, which clearly is not going to happen. Indeed, it should not happen. Ninety-seven percent of what they do is providing health care to women who need healthcare services.

So we passed, also, a bill that the gentleman, the majority leader, points out we need to do something to extend the debt limit. He is right on that. We do need to do it. But we spent a period of time on a bill called debt prioritization. I call that a charade, Mr. Speaker. I believed it was a charade. I believe that once you don't pay one of your bills, you have defaulted. Whether or not you prioritize and pay 10 bills that you owe first, get those paid, if you don't pay the other 10, it is default. But we do need to pass a debt limit extension. We need to pass a clean debt limit extension. We will run out of time on November 3.

Mr. Speaker, my friend, the majority leader, was just talking to Mr. RYAN, who possibly will be the next Speaker of this institution. Mr. RYAN said, when asked a question in 2011, shortly after the Republicans took charge of this House—to be specific, on January 6, 2011—will the debt limit be raised? Does it have to be raised? Mr. RYAN answered yes.

Even more compellingly, Mr. HENSARLING, one of the most conservative Members of this body, said that not raising the debt is not an option. He went on to say: What I do think is, yes, it would be catastrophic to have the Nation default upon its debt.

HENSARLING said that to The Hill on April 10, 2011.

Mr. Speaker, I believe if we bring a clean bill to this floor Tuesday or Wednesday of next week, almost every Democrat will vote for it. Why? Because we agree with JEB HENSARLING not to do so would be catastrophic. It would also be irresponsible and malfeasance.

Mr. Speaker, I ask the majority leader, who has said that we need to do it, we must do it, can the majority leader tell us when that bill will be brought to the floor?

I yield to my friend.

□ 1215

Mr. MCCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

It is one thing to pass the debt limit; it is another thing not to deal with the problem and not find a solution. That is why, in this House, we are very proud of the fact, when Republicans took the majority, we had always offered a budget that balances. We passed one. The balances were outraising new taxes within the decade. Unfortunately, the White House has never found a way to do that.

As the gentleman mentioned, the Secretary has moved the date from reaching the debt limit up to November 3. As I mentioned in the schedule, the House is expected to address this issue next week. There are bipartisan discussions that are ongoing, and I will keep Members abreast and advise them as soon as a path forward is determined.

I am hopeful that we stop kicking the can down the road. In our own budgets that balance, we know the debt limit will have to be raised. That is why you quote our Members saying that. We also acknowledge that it has to be solved. That is why we put a balanced budget up to pay for it going forward. That is why I am hopeful, in these bipartisan discussions, that we start the down payment where we don't have to worry about raising the debt limit, that we are actually paying off the debt and not leaving this to our children and grandchildren.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, that is a nice theory, nice rhetoric. We don't have an agreement on a number of things the gentleman says. What we do have agreement on, I presume, is that the gentleman, the majority leader from California, wants to see a solvent nation, a nation that pays its bills, a nation that does not create a lack of confidence in our own country and around the world, a nation that does not take hostage either its government by shutting it down or take hostage its creditworthiness by bringing us to the brink, time after time after time, on whether or not we are going to do something that Mr. HENSARLING and Mr. RYAN and Mr. BOEHNER—I didn't quote him, but I have got a quote here from Mr. BOEHNER—said that if we don't do it, it will have extraordinarily adverse effects on America and on every American. And the answer that I heard, Mr. Speaker, is an answer that, if you don't do something we want you

to do, we may not extend the debt limit.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I would ask the majority leader, is that his position?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. MCCARTHY. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I hear a lot of things on the floor, but I have never heard the things that you just said about me on this floor spoken.

Mr. HOYER. What is that?

Mr. MCCARTHY. That I would hold anything hostage.

Mr. HOYER. No, I didn't refer to you—

Mr. MCCARTHY. So what you heard from me—and let me say my own words once again, and I will be very clear about it. I said we will deal with this next week. I also said we are having bipartisan discussions. I also said, if you want to know the confidence of the world around us with how America deals with it, don't avoid the issue. Don't leave this debt for a future generation.

It is hard for me to believe that the entire other side of this aisle wouldn't want to do something about the debt. It is hard for me to believe that we want to continue just to build it up, that somehow that is a positive experience.

So don't play one against the other. Why don't we come together, find a way to raise it, but find a way that we don't continue to add to it. Because I will tell you, as I go across the country, it is not Republicans or Democrats who say that. It is all Americans who say that because they have to deal with that in their own house.

I am not going to say you said something different than the words you used, and the only thing I would ask is that you do the same for me.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the majority leader's admonition, but the government was shut down because we wouldn't repeal the Affordable Care Act. In other words, the government was taken hostage because we wouldn't repeal the Affordable Care Act. We came very close, with 167 Republicans to do so, to shutting down the Department of Homeland Security. Now, Mr. MCCARTHY voted to keep it open, Speaker BOEHNER voted to keep it open, and Mr. SCALISE voted to keep it open. But only 72 colleagues of theirs on the Republican side joined them.

So I do not refer to Mr. MCCARTHY personally, but the strategy seems to be that we won't do something that everybody in this body ought to believe needs to be done, and that is to ensure that America remains a creditworthy nation, unless we do something that, frankly, I don't think, Mr. Speaker, my Republican colleagues have pursued too diligently; because over the last Congresses that they have been in charge, they put bills on this floor that have cut revenues by over half a trillion dollars without paying for it. Presumably my children, my grandchildren, and my great-grandchildren will have to pay that debt.

So this is not about tradeoffs. This is about making sure that our Nation remains solvent, responsible, and credit-worthy. And, indeed, because the rest of the world relies on the value and stability of the dollar to value its products, its currency, it will affect the whole world.

So I am pleased to hear that the majority tells me that it needs to be on the floor. But I will tell you, Mr. Speaker, I have been, for 2½ months, urging us to do what the majority leader now says we need to do: get to an agreement.

They passed a budget; he is correct. It implemented sequester. They didn't follow it. And 102 Republicans have said they won't vote for a CR that follows the sequester because they want to increase defense, because they think sequester will hurt defense if it is followed. And, in fact, when the bill came to the floor, they didn't follow their sequester. They used OCO, which, by the way, does not score, but it is real money and exacerbates the deficit.

So when you are talking about alternatives, the alternative is not just about whether we invest in our national security by investing in defense. We need to do that, and I, for 35 years, have been a strong supporter of that. I also believe that we need to invest in our highways if we are going to do another temporary, because we have not, in 90 days, been able to come to grips. The gentleman talks about coming to grips with alternatives. We are going to do another short-term highway extension bill. Why? Because the majority party hasn't figured out how to pay for it.

And the debt limit may be on the floor, but what I hear, Mr. Speaker, is it may be on the floor if something else happens. Well, I hope something else happens. I hope we get a longer term funding agreement. But very frankly, Mr. Speaker, we have got six appropriation bills that haven't even been brought to this floor, and there is no constraint on the Republicans bringing it to the floor. They are in charge, Mr. Speaker. But half of the appropriation bills that were the responsibility of this House to pass have not been brought to the floor.

And, Mr. Speaker, they say, well, the Senate hasn't been passing them. Well, we are not in charge of the Senate. We are responsible for actions on this floor. And one of our responsibilities, Mr. Speaker, is to pass a debt limit extension.

And I understand, Mr. Speaker, that the majority leader said that there aren't 30 votes or 40 votes on each side of the aisle to pass a clean debt limit extension. Mr. Speaker, I find that incredibly hard to believe because, as Mr. HENSARLING said, if we don't do that, it will have a catastrophic consequence on the country and on the international community.

I hope, Mr. Speaker, that the leader is right, that we bring a bill to the floor unrelated to disagreements. Some

legitimate, most legitimate differences we have between us, we will have to work them out. But in that process, we ought not to put the credit of the United States at risk. We ought not to put individual American consumers at risk of having their interest rate raised because we couldn't pass a debt limit extension. We ought to act responsibly, Mr. Speaker.

I hope the leader is right. I hope this bill comes to the floor. I hope it is clean, so that it will not be weighted down by political controversies that are so self-evidently existing in this body for all the American people.

We have got a Speaker who is resigning, couldn't fill the Speakership. You may now fill it maybe next week, maybe as early as next week, but this body has not been functioning effectively. Let us not risk the credit of the United States and international stability. Let's bring a clean debt limit extension to this floor, and, hopefully, all of us will vote for a solvent nation.

There is no deal on that. I presume that every Member of this body wants a solvent nation. Let us hope, Mr. Speaker, that we summon our responsibility and our duty to this country and our constituents to get that done.

I yield to my friend.

Mr. MCCARTHY. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

\$154,161—\$154,161—that is the responsibility of every single American based upon the debt that we have right now of \$18 trillion. My friend on the other side of the aisle thinks it is un-American that we do anything about that, that the only road we should follow is just raise it and keep adding to it, that somehow that will build confidence in this country, somehow that will give more opportunity to future generations, by bringing a debt limit bill to the floor that raises the debt limit but starts changing the trajectory of where it is going is wrong.

That is what is wrong with Congress because, I will tell you, I don't hear that anywhere across America. I don't have my phones lighting up, saying: "Just keep raising the debt and do nothing about it." It is the complete opposite. And I don't think the gentleman gets any different calls than I do.

My words were the House is expected to address this issue next week. Now, we play politics with a lot of stuff in here, but I am tired of that. I could play any amount of games that you want to play. I can sit here and I can quote HARRY REID on the other side of the aisle, and SCHUMER, a good deal, to make sure no appropriation bill went through and then blame the Republicans. They talk to the White House, and it is all in the papers. It is a whole strategy. They have a title for it. It is the "Summer of Destruction."

But do you know what, count me out of that. Put me in the column that I want to start talking about the ways we find solutions. I will be the first one who comes to the table and tells you I

know I am not going to get everything I want.

I want to lay one goal out for you. I want a debt limit that gets raised but does something about the debt, and I don't think that is wrong, and I don't think I am causing problems. I think I am giving more opportunity.

I don't want to be in the category that sits and lays blame on everybody else. I haven't been here very long; but the short term I am here, I want to make a difference. I am not going to blame others for the past, but the one thing I can do is change the future. So put me in that column, and I will be at any table that other people across the aisle want to be with me.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, it is hard to answer that presentation because, in my view, it conflates two issues.

I have been on this floor willing to deal with the other side on a regular basis to bring down our debt and to apply discipline. Part of applying discipline is paying for what you buy. And the gentleman is right; we had PAYGO. But when the Republican side of the aisle took over, they negated that; and they negated it specifically for tax cuts because, I suppose, they believe they will pay for themselves.

□ 1230

I have been here for a longer time than Mr. MCCARTHY, Mr. Speaker. In 1981, they did that, and we increased the deficit under Mr. Reagan by 189 percent. We could have dealt with it then. Then we had a commission that was called Simpson-Bowles, which tried to deal with what the gentleman is talking about, and all three Republican Members from the House of Representatives voted "no" on it. Why? Because it asked us to pay for what we bought.

So, Mr. Speaker, talking about A when you need to do B is a way of not dealing with B. Do we need to deal with the debt? We absolutely do, and the bills that are supposed to do that, as I just indicated, have not been brought to the floor. They represented sequester. The gentleman, apparently, is for sequester. I am not for sequester, although the gentleman would say, "Oh. Well, it is your party which instituted sequester," which is not right.

Mr. Speaker, we need to do a debt limit extension, and we need to reduce the debt of this country. It will be hard to do the latter. It ought to be easy to do the former. It will require courage to do the latter; but when Mr. Camp, the former chairman of the Ways and Means Committee, brought a tax reform bill to the table, it was dismissed out of hand by his Republican colleagues in the last Congress. Why? Because he paid for it. Dismissed out of hand. Never brought to this floor.

Mr. Speaker, in conclusion, I am just very hopeful that, in fact, we will do the only responsible thing we can do at this late date. Remember, Mr. Speaker, for 2½ months, I have been asking that we have a way forward. The Republican

Party, Mr. Speaker, has been somewhat distracted. I understand that. Hopefully, we will get a way forward and a responsible way forward; but we only have 5 days to do this debt limit, and let us not take an action which is catastrophic, which is what JEB HENSARLING said it would be if we don't adopt it.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

ADJOURNMENT FROM FRIDAY, OCTOBER 23, 2015, TO MONDAY, OCTOBER 26, 2015

Mr. MCCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet on Monday, October 26, 2015, when it shall convene at noon for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HARDY). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

NATIONAL FOREST PRODUCTS WEEK

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of National Forest Products Week and in support of our Nation's foresters, our timber producers, our researchers, and the forest products industry as a whole.

Pennsylvania, of course, derives its name from "Penn's Woods," and as history shows us, our forests have played a central role in the building of this country. Today, the Commonwealth has 16.7 million acres of forest land, 70 percent of which is privately owned and managed. The forest products industry in Pennsylvania employs 10 percent of our State's workforce; and according to the Pennsylvania Forest Products Association, it generates approximately \$5.5 billion annually.

While Pennsylvania is well known for its high-quality hardwoods, the forest products industry also plays a fundamental role in actively managing our forests. Active management is essential in order to foster healthy lands as well as economically healthy communities.

As chairman of the House Agriculture Subcommittee on Conservation and Forestry, I am proud to join several of my colleagues in the House to recognize National Forest Products Week; and as the Representative of Pennsylvania's Fifth District, I will continue to advocate for policies which maintain our forests so that they can power our economy and create family-sustaining jobs for decades to come.

PLANNED PARENTHOOD, A PREMIER HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATION

(Ms. FRANKEL of Florida asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. FRANKEL of Florida. Mr. Speaker, here we go again.

Today, we saw the Republicans' latest attempt to punish Planned Parenthood, one of the Nation's premier healthcare organizations, only because it provides an array of services, including legal abortions.

Mr. Speaker, at some time in her lifetime, one in five American women is going to turn to Planned Parenthood. In fact, Planned Parenthood provides 400,000 Pap smears, 500,000 breast exams, 4.5 million STD tests, and prevents 500,000 unwanted pregnancies each year.

This Chamber's latest fiasco would leave millions of women with no place to go for basic preventative healthcare services.

Mr. Speaker, I am sad to say that the Republicans are more obsessed with the uterus of American women than of the real issues facing us today.

IN HONOR OF AIRMAN 1ST CLASS KCEY RUIZ

(Mr. JOLLY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. JOLLY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to remember a brave young airman who lost her life in defending our great Nation.

Airman 1st Class Kcey Ruiz of McDonough, Georgia, was killed on October 2 when her C-130J crashed in Afghanistan. Kcey was only 21 years old.

A graduate of Dutchtown High School, Ms. Ruiz had an opportunity to learn about healthcare sciences. Her admiration of health care influenced her decision to become a nurse.

Ms. Ruiz' desire to serve others led her to enlist in the United States Air Force. After graduating basic training, Ms. Ruiz was assigned to the 66th Security Forces Squadron at Hanscom Air Force Base. Upon her deployment to Afghanistan, she often spoke of how privileged and how proud she was to be doing such important work.

Kcey Ruiz was a fierce competitor, a natural leader, a beloved daughter, a courageous airman, and an incredible role model.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join with me in remembering a true American hero, Airman 1st Class Kcey Ruiz.

TIMBER 2.0

(Mr. KILMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. KILMER. Mr. Speaker, folks in the rural communities of my region

don't want our top export to be our kids. With that in mind, we are working to make sure that the timber industry can grow in a way that doesn't put conservation at odds with job creation.

Earlier this week, I joined a summit on the Olympic Peninsula to talk about and strategize with regard to cross-laminated timber and other mass timber products. As local businesses and government and community leaders, we discussed how these mass timber products can utilize an abundant and sustainable resource while building on a workforce and infrastructure that set our region apart and can give the Nation greener buildings. Construction sites around the country could soon use sturdy, innovative, renewable wood products that are grown and manufactured on the Olympic Peninsula.

As Agriculture Secretary Tom Vilsack said at a sawmill in Aberdeen recently: "This is how we've got to do business. Working together and finding common ground is the only way forward."

In working together, we can develop timber 2.0, innovate, and build opportunities in rural communities and make sure that Washington State leads the way.

HONOR OUR FALLEN HEROES ACT

(Mr. GUINTA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GUINTA. Mr. Speaker, I rise to announce the introduction of the Honor Our Fallen Heroes Act, which came about thanks to the hard work of one of my constituents, Pam Rogers of Exeter, New Hampshire.

Pam contacted me through my We the People initiative, which is a platform for concerned citizens to offer legislative ideas. Pam sought to bury, with the full recognition he deserves, an ancestor who fought in the Civil War.

Pam discovered that Private Samuel Zortman died at the age of 21 in a Confederate prison camp. Conditions were brutal. He died of starvation. His captors buried him in a mass grave and has since been moved to a national cemetery in South Carolina. An empty tomb near his hometown in Pennsylvania memorializes Private Zortman; but despite ample evidence of his service and death as a POW, the Veterans Health Administration cannot award him a headstone at a U.S. military cemetery.

My bill clears up this technicality so that Pam and her family can finally lay to rest one of their own with full honors. The bill she helped produce would bring comfort to more like her. Our commitment to those who have bravely served our Nation should never end.