shows that we worked again together on the Foreign Affairs Committee in a very bipartisan manner. This transcends everything. This is genocide, and these resolutions are very, very important.

Now, Mr. Speaker, let's think about this. The chairman said something that really jostled my mind. I pointed out where a U.N. resolution was vetoed today by Russia. These men who were massacred in a genocide went to what they were told was a United Nations safe haven.

For this to happen under the auspices of the United Nations and then for Russia to veto a United Nations resolution commemorating solemn, solemn 20 years, it is just an absolute disgrace and irony; and it is one of the reasons that the United Nations has trouble because of the hypocrisy, once again, that we see in that body.

By passing this resolution, we put the House solidly on record honoring the thousands of innocent people killed at Srebrenica and all those who suffered during the Bosnian war. We stand alongside those who risked and continued to risk life and limb to defend the human rights of all people.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this resolution unanimously, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I just want to finally say a very special thanks to Majority Leader KEVIN McCarthy for arranging for this bill to come to the floor and of course to the Speaker, to ED ROYCE, our distinguished chairman, and the ranking member for their strong support and cosponsorship of this resolution. It is bipartisan, and I think we are sending a clear and unambiguous message to the world, again, that Srebrenica was a genocide.

We must hold those to account who committed these atrocities. At least two of the major perpetrators, hopefully, will soon get justice, one at the end of this year and Mladic probably by 2017. The wheels of justice do turn slowly, but they are jailed right now. Above all, I think we need to pray for the victims.

Mr. Speaker, we need to pray for the loved ones who continue to suffer unspeakable agony. I do hope the American people and all of us in the House and in this town will—especially as this remembrance comes around beginning on July 11—keep these people who have suffered so much in our prayers.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H. Res. 310, expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding Srebrenica. As a cochair of the Congressional Caucus on Bosnia, I believe it is crucial to distinguish the Srebrenica massacres as genocide while honoring the thousands of innocent people who were killed in July twenty years ago.

In the early 1990s, following Bosnia and Herzegovina's declaration of national sovereignty, Bosnian Serb forces attacked Eastern Bosnia in order to unify and secure Serb territory. During this struggle for control, those Bosnian Serb forces, also called the Army of Republika Srpska committed crimes of ethnic cleansing of the non-Serb population. Approximately 8,000 Bosnian men and boys were systematically executed in 1995.

The situation in Bosnia and Herzegovina during this time was a failure on behalf of the international community. In 1999, UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan acknowledged that the global community needed to accept responsibility for the ethnic cleansing campaign in Bosnia and Herzegovina that killed thousands of unarmed civilians in a town designated as a "safe area."

For many years now, I have called on the United Nations to recognize Srebrenica as a genocide. Yesterday, I learned that Russia blocked the latest effort by the United Kingdom to recognize the Srebrenica massacres as a genocide, calling it "not constructive, confrontational, and politically-motivated." I am disappointed that the UN is unable to formally recognize Europe's worst atrocity since World War II.

Although the global community cannot and will not distinguish Srebrenica as genocide, I applaud my fellow Bosnia Caucus co-chair, Congressman Chris Smith, for introducing this important resolution. While the UN's hands are tied, I am proud that the United States continues to be Bosnia and Herzegovina's greatest friend and ally. I urge my colleagues to support Bosnia and Herzegovina by voting in favor of this resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WALKER). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 310.

The question was taken; and (twothirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

STUDENT SUCCESS ACT

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. KLINE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 5.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Minnesota?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 125 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 5.

Will the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. YODER) kindly take the chair.

 \sqcap 1624

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole

House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5) to support State and local accountability for public education, protect State and local authority, inform parents of the performance of their children's schools, and for other purposes, with Mr. YODER (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Friday, February 27, 2015, a request for a recorded vote on amendment No. 44 printed in part B of House Report 114–29 offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Scott) had been postponed.

Pursuant to House Resolution 347, it shall be in order to consider the further amendments printed in part A of House Report 114–192 as if such amendments had been printed in part B of House Report 114–29. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

AMENDMENT NO. 45 OFFERED BY MR. ROKITA

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 45 printed in part A of House Report 114–192.

Mr. ROKITA. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 5, lines 4, 7, 16, 20, and 24, strike "2021" and insert "2019".

Page 6, lines 4, 10, 16, 21, and 25, strike "2021" and insert "2019".

Page 7, line 4, strike "2021" and insert "2019".

Page 94, line 18, strike "2021" and insert "2019".

Page 450, line 19 and 23, strike "2021" and insert "2019".

Page 461, line 17, strike "2021" and insert "2019".

Page 484, line 11, strike "2021" and insert "2019".

Page 619, line 7, strike "2021" and insert "2019".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 347, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. ROKITA) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana.

Mr. ROKITA. Mr. Chairman, my amendment is simple. It shortens authorization of the act from 6 years to 4 years. I am very thankful for the leadership of the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. GROTHMAN) for his work in leading this effort.

Mr. Chairman, it is the role of Congress to conduct oversight of Federal programs and regularly revisit the results of taxpayer investments. We began a process to replace No Child Left Behind 4 years ago, and our goal

from the beginning has always been to roll back the Federal Government's authority over K-12 schools and return to State and local education leaders the responsibility and opportunity to deliver a quality education to their students.

Now, the Student Success Act is a strong conservative proposal that reflects our shared principles for reducing the Federal role, restoring local control, and empowering individuals, not government bureaucrats. Reducing the authorization to 4 years will give Congress and the next administration a chance to ensure that these bold reforms are actually working as intended.

Mr. Chairman, I encourage my colleagues to support this commonsense amendment to the underlying bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, I claim

the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Colorado is recognized for 5 min-

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, I had the opportunity to serve on our State Board of Education in Colorado from 2001 to 2007, so this was during the implementation phase of No Child Left Behind.

Now, we knew at the time many of the flaws we are hoping to address through ESEA reauthorization today, but it took several years just to get up to the point where we had the tests, we had the standards, and we complied with it.

Education is a major public enterprise. In fact, it is the largest public enterprise at the State and local level. One of the frustrations that I have heard a lot of in the last few years—and it has really amplified the frustration about testing—is the fact that the ball has been moving, the testing has been changed.

My State of Colorado, which is fairly typical, moved from one test, the CSAP, to a temporary test, the TCAP, and then finally a third test, all in a period of 4 years.

What we need to do—and this is something that we will hear from education stakeholders as varied as teachers, school boards, and principals—is stop moving the ball.

We know it is not going to be perfect. Let's give it a little bit of time to work. Now, this bill is far from perfect, which is why I oppose the underlying bill; but whatever set of rules you set in place, I feel it is important to allow the rulemaking, the State laws, to catch up, which takes a period of time, a period of years.

I think the longer reauthorization, through 2021, rather than reducing it to 4 years, is absolutely in the interests of ensuring that whatever law we come up with can be implemented more effectively at the State and local level.

Not only is it frustrating for districts and teachers to chase a constantly moving ball, it detracts from their most important effort, which is to educate the next generation of Americans. Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROKITA. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Minnesota, Chairman KLINE, the chairman of the full Education and Workforce Committee. He has been a leader in the area of working on these issues for a lot more than 4 years.

Mr. KLINE. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to take literally a few seconds to say I understand the gentleman's purpose here. I think this improves the bill.

I support the amendment, and I urge my colleagues to vote for it.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Scott), the ranking member.

□ 1630

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the amendment.

As the gentleman from Colorado has indicated, if you have a good bill, you should have as long an authorization as possible. It allows for better planning and the other things he mentioned.

But this is a bad bill. The funding formula takes from the poor and gives to the rich. It eliminates the responsibility to actually do something about the achievement gaps. I just believe the quicker we can get back to it, the better. So if you want to shorten the authorization so that the pain inflicted on this bill is shorter, I am for it.

Mr. ROKITA. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for supporting the amendment. The reasons he is supporting are completely wrong. We have increased Federal spending, as the gentleman knows, on education over 300 percent since the Federal Government has been involved. And guess what, Mr. Chairman, the results have been flatlined.

This bill does anything but take from the poor and give to the rich. In fact, it ensures that civil rights are protected and that children, whatever socioeconomic background, aren't left behind, but they have the opportunity to succeed in the 21st century and win.

Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Indiana has $3\frac{1}{4}$ minutes remaining.

Mr. ROKITA. I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. Grothman), who is new to this Congress but is already making this mark. He has coauthored this amendment with me.

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Chairman, well, one of the many reasons that this is a good bill is that it recognizes that the Federal Government is taking too much control over education in this country.

One of the reasons the Federal Government should not get involved in many, many things is they are not very nimble. When they make a mistake, rather than turning something around—you know, if a school board makes a mistake, they may come back in a meeting 2 weeks later and undo the mistake they made. When the Federal Government makes a mistake, it can take 15 or 20 years, if ever, to admit they made a mistake.

Now, when the original No Child Left Behind bill passed, I used to meet with school superintendents a couple times a month. They knew within months that that bill was horribly flawed.

Chairman KLINE has worked very hard on this bill. It is a very good bill, but it is still a very big, complicated bill. And I am sure within months, years, a couple of years, local superintendents will report changes they want to have made.

I think this is a very good amendment because, even though it doesn't assure us that we are going to revisit this in 4 years any more than the original No Child Left Behind we were sure we were going to revisit in 7 years, I think it reminds Congress that at least in a 4-year period you ought to be looking at it, see what your local superintendents think, see what your local schoolteachers think, and see if it can be improved. And, of course, it is going to be able to be improved in 4 years. So that is the reason for the amendment.

I mean, if you told anybody back home we are passing a law and we don't anticipate even looking at it again for 4 years, I think they would think that is highly unusual. That defines one of the reasons why we shouldn't get the Federal Government involved in a wide variety of things.

Mr. ROKITA. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, of course you can look at a bill during its period of initial authorization. There are routinely cleanup bills that move through this body.

And I wish—I wish—the No Child Left Behind had a cleanup bill in 2002 or in 2003 or in 2004, all during its initial period of authorization, but President Bush closed the doors on even the changes that I think that we could have had broad consensus that we needed to pass.

But of course whatever comes out of this ESEA process, if we can agree on cleanup things and unintended consequences 2 years, 3 years out, let's do them.

Look, the answer is not to move the ball. It leads to the spinning of the wheels for a period of years. And rather than working on educating kids, people are working on complying with an ever-changing matrix of Federal, State, and local law.

There is a lot that happens after we pass a law in this body. It goes to Federal rulemaking, input from various constituencies, final rules. It goes to States who might change their policies, State Boards of Education, State commissioners. It goes down to districts, busy superintendents who are worried about bus schedules, who are

worried about opening new schools, have to worry about recommending to their boards the new policies that will comply with our new Federal law.

It takes a lot of time. It might take 2 years, 3 years before it finally reaches those policy implementation levels on the ground at a local level. And guess what, if this amendment becomes law and the authorization period is only 4 years, they might finally—finally—start complying with this law only to find that there is a future Congress, a future President that moves the ball once again and starts the whole cycle of spinning wheels all over again.

We need to make sure that whatever we do in this body, that we give time for a thoughtful implementation of it at the State and local level that doesn't detract from the core mission that the men and women who teach in our classrooms, the men and women who volunteer on school boards, the professionals who serve as superintendents commit their lives to in terms of educating kids.

So we need to move forward with a longer reauthorization. If there are cleanup matters that we can agree on during that authorization period, we should by no means preclude them from the discussion until the end of this authorization. That was one of the problems with No Child Left Behind, that this body never had a follow-up discussion.

I urge my colleagues to vote "no," and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. ROKITA).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 46 OFFERED BY MR. WALKER The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 46 printed

in part A of House Report 114–192. Mr. WALKER. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 580, line 24, strike the closing quotation mark and second period.

Page 580, after line 24, insert the following:

"PART G-A PLUS ACT

"SECTION 6701. SHORT TITLE; PURPOSE; DEFINITIONS.

- "(a) SHORT TITLE.—This part may be cited as the "Academic Partnerships Lead Us to Success Act" or the 'A PLUS Act'.
- "(b) Purpose.—The purposes of this part are as follows:
- "(1) To give States and local communities added flexibility to determine how to improve academic achievement and implement education reforms.
- "(2) To reduce the administrative costs and compliance burden of Federal education programs in order to focus Federal resources on improving academic achievement.
- "(3) To ensure that States and communities are accountable to the public for advancing the academic achievement of all students, especially disadvantaged children.
- "(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this part:
- "(1) ACCOUNTABILITY.—The term 'accountability' means that public schools are an-

swerable to parents and other taxpayers for the use of public funds and shall report student progress to parents and taxpayers regularly

- "(2) DECLARATION OF INTENT.—The term 'declaration of intent' means a decision by a State, as determined by State Authorizing Officials or by referendum, to assume full management responsibility for the expenditure of Federal funds for certain eligible programs for the purpose of advancing, on a more comprehensive and effective basis, the educational policy of such State.
- "(3) STATE.—The term 'State' has the meaning given such term in section 1122(e).
- "(4) STATE AUTHORIZING OFFICIALS.—The term 'State Authorizing Officials' means the State officials who shall authorize the submission of a declaration of intent, and any amendments thereto, on behalf of the State. Such officials shall include not less than 2 of the following:
 - "(A) The governor of the State.
- "(B) The highest elected education official of the State, if any.
- "(C) The legislature of the State.
- "(5) STATE DESIGNATED OFFICER.—The term 'State Designated Officer' means the person designated by the State Authorizing Officials to submit to the Secretary, on behalf of the State, a declaration of intent, and any amendments thereto, and to function as the point-of-contact for the State for the Secretary and others relating to any responsibilities arising under this part.

"SEC. 6702. DECLARATION OF INTENT.

"(a) IN GENERAL.—Each State is authorized to submit to the Secretary a declaration of intent permitting the State to receive Federal funds on a consolidated basis to manage the expenditure of such funds to advance the educational policy of the State.

"(b) Programs Eligible for Consolida-Tion and Permissible Use of Funds.—

- "(1) SCOPE.—A State may choose to include within the scope of the State's declaration of intent any program for which Congress makes funds available to the State if the program is for a purpose described in this Act. A State may not include any program funded pursuant to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq.).
- "(2) USES OF FUNDS.—Funds made available to a State pursuant to a declaration of intent under this part shall be used for any educational purpose permitted by State law of the State submitting a declaration of intent.
- "(3) REMOVAL OF FISCAL AND ACCOUNTING BARRIERS.—Each State educational agency that operates under a declaration of intent under this part shall modify or eliminate State fiscal and accounting barriers that prevent local educational agencies and schools from easily consolidating funds from other Federal, State, and local sources in order to improve educational opportunities and reduce unnecessary fiscal and accounting requirements.
- "(c) CONTENTS OF DECLARATION.—Each declaration of intent shall contain—
- $\lq\lq(1)$ a list of eligible programs that are subject to the declaration of intent;
- "(2) an assurance that the submission of the declaration of intent has been authorized by the State Authorizing Officials, specifying the identity of the State Designated Officer:
- ``(3) the duration of the declaration of intent;
- "(4) an assurance that the State will use fiscal control and fund accounting procedures;
- "(5) an assurance that the State will meet the requirements of applicable Federal civil rights laws in carrying out the declaration of

intent and in consolidating and using the funds under the declaration of intent;

"(6) an assurance that in implementing the declaration of intent the State will seek to advance educational opportunities for the disadvantaged:

"(7) a description of the plan for maintaining direct accountability to parents and other citizens of the State; and

"(8) an assurance that in implementing the declaration of intent, the State will seek to use Federal funds to supplement, rather than supplant, State education funding.

"(d) DURATION.—The duration of the declaration of intent shall not exceed 5 years.

- "(e) REVIEW AND RECOGNITION BY THE SEC-
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall review the declaration of intent received from the State Designated Officer not more than 60 days after the date of receipt of such declaration, and shall recognize such declaration of intent unless the declaration of intent fails to meet the requirements under subsection (c).
- "(2) RECOGNITION BY OPERATION OF LAW.—If the Secretary fails to take action within the time specified in paragraph (1), the declaration of intent, as submitted, shall be deemed to be approved.
- "(f) AMENDMENT TO DECLARATION OF INTENT.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—The State Authorizing Officials may direct the State Designated Officer to submit amendments to a declaration of intent that is in effect. Such amendments shall be submitted to the Secretary and considered by the Secretary in accordance with subsection (e).
- "(2) AMENDMENTS AUTHORIZED.—A declaration of intent that is in effect may be amended to—
- "(A) expand the scope of such declaration of intent to encompass additional eligible programs;
- "(B) reduce the scope of such declaration of intent by excluding coverage of a Federal program included in the original declaration of intent:
- "(C) modify the duration of such declaration of intent; or
- "(D) achieve such other modifications as the State Authorizing Officials deem appropriate.
- "(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment shall specify an effective date. Such effective date shall provide adequate time to assure full compliance with Federal program requirements relating to an eligible program that has been removed from the coverage of the declaration of intent by the proposed amendment.
- "(4) TREATMENT OF PROGRAM FUNDS WITH-DRAWN FROM DECLARATION OF INTENT.—Beginning on the effective date of an amendment executed under paragraph (2)(B), each program requirement of each program removed from the declaration of intent shall apply to the State's use of funds made available under the program.

"SEC. 6703. TRANSPARENCY FOR RESULTS OF PUBLIC EDUCATION.

- "(a) IN GENERAL.—Each State operating under a declaration of intent under this part shall inform parents and the general public regarding the student achievement assessment system, demonstrating student progress relative to the State's determination of student proficiency, as described in paragraph (2), for the purpose of public accountability to parents and taxpayers.
- "(b) ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM.—The State shall determine and establish an accountability system to ensure accountability under this part.
- "(c) REPORT ON STUDENT PROGRESS.—Not later than 1 year after the effective date of the declaration of intent, and annually

thereafter, a State shall disseminate widely to parents and the general public a report that describes student progress. The report shall include—

"(1) student performance data disaggregated in the same manner as data are disaggregated under section 1111(b)(3)(A); and

"(2) a description of how the State has used Federal funds to improve academic achievement, reduce achievement disparities between various student groups, and improve educational opportunities for the disadvantaged

"SEC. 6704. ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.

"(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the amount that a State with a declaration of intent may expend for administrative expenses shall be limited to 1 percent of the aggregate amount of Federal funds made available to the State through the eligible programs included within the scope of such declaration of intent.

"(b) STATES NOT CONSOLIDATING FUNDS UNDER PART A OF TITLE I.—If the declaration of intent does not include within its scope part A of title I, the amount spent by the State on administrative expenses shall be limited to 3 percent of the aggregate amount of Federal funds made available to the State pursuant to such declaration of intent.

"SEC. 6705. EQUITABLE PARTICIPATION OF PRI-VATE SCHOOLS.

"Each State consolidating and using funds pursuant to a declaration of intent under this part shall provide for the participation of private school children and teachers in the activities assisted under the declaration of intent in the same manner as participation is provided to private school children and teachers under section 9501.".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 347, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Chairman, I am introducing the Academic Partnerships Lead Us to Success, or the A-PLUS, Act.

When most of us come to Washington, one of the promises or one of the things that we try and do best is to return as much power or, should I say, decisionmaking back to the States and back to the people.

I believe the A-PLUS Act does that. It allows the States to opt out of as many as 80 different Federal programs, returning that opportunity. Some may say that No Child Left Behind, that it allows the opt out, and it does; but what it doesn't do, it doesn't allow the States to opt out of the mandates and still keep their Federal funding. That is why we believe this is a crucial amendment.

I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DESANTIS), my distinguished friend.

Mr. DEŚANTIS. Mr. Chairman, I thank my friend from North Carolina.

I am happy to cosponsor this amendment. I think of this amendment in terms of Common Core because we have had a lot of controversy over Common Core. A lot of parents are upset about it, and they say: Look, this was the Federal Government getting involved in education, and people support it.

Congress said: Wait a minute. The Federal Government never mandated Common Core. That never happened.

And, you know, that is true.

But what did happen was the Federal Government had a huge amount of money under President Obama's race to the top, and they said: Hey, States—and this is during the recession and States needed the money—here is some money, but you have got to do what we want you to do.

And so they conditioned that funding and really coerced a lot of States into adopting something like Common Core.

And so I think what the A-PLUS does is it says: Okay. The Federal Government has gotten involved in K-12 education. I don't think it has been very successful from the very beginning, but if you are going to be providing money, at least give the State the ability to take that money and use it as they see fit to try and innovate and to try to do things that will improve the academic performance of their kids. But don't condition the funding on following specific formulas that Washington knows best.

I think this really empowers States. I think this is something that will empower local communities and, I think, ultimately will be better off as a matter of K-12 education. So I thank my friend from North Carolina for offering it.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The amendment would literally let States just take the money and run with no assurance that the billions of Federal dollars actually benefit the populations of students that ESEA was intended to serve: low-income, minority students who do not speak English, students with disabilities.

The original purpose of ESEA was to address the special educational needs of children of low-income families and the impact that concentrations of low-income families have on the ability of local educational agencies to support adequate educational programs.

Subsequently, we added a requirement that you identify and address achievement gaps. That is the purpose of the law. If you just opt out and take it as a block grant, you don't have to address the problems that the money is designed to cure.

The underlying bill violates the original purpose of the original ESEA, and this amendment just makes it worse.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Chairman, I request how much time is remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from North Carolina has 2¾ minutes remaining.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Chairman, who better to address these problems than

parents, States, and local school boards.

Let's talk about specifically what the A-PLUS Act does.

One, it restores education decision-making to State and local leaders who are better positioned to make informed decisions about the needs of their local school communities. It allows States to consolidate funding for any and all programs that are authorized under the ESEA, and it also reduces bureaucracy and increases transparency of student outcomes by redirecting accountability to parents and taxpayers, not Washington.

Fundamentally, I believe that government is more accountable, almost always, the more local, and it becomes more effective.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. Polis).

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, there is a great potential for cooperation between Democrats and Republicans, as has historically been, with regard to education; and that lies in, of course, enhancing flexibility in freeing teachers and principals and districts from some of the bureaucratic constraints that they have that distract from their ability to maximize education.

But along with that increased flexibility needs to come accountability; otherwise, we wind up with the worst of both worlds. And just like No Child Left Behind erred too far in the direction of not enough flexibility with too much in the wrong kind of accountability, so, too, must we be careful not to err in the direction of too much flexibility without accountability.

It is important to make sure that as we increase the ways and the manner that States and districts have to free up local innovation at the classroom level, at the school level, at the district level, we need to make sure and reiterate what our goals are here.

How do we make sure that all students are learning? How do we make sure that schools are serving students with disabilities under IDEA? How do we make sure that districts and States are committed to closing the achievement gap between students of color and White students, even in local jurisdictions that might not have that political will intrinsically? That is the Federal promise. That is the promise and the reason behind ESEA and our efforts to improve education across these United States.

To turn it over to the States effectively makes the referee a player on the field. We need to have an objective look. The same people who are concerned with deciding exactly how moneys are spent cannot objectively weigh whether it is working or whether it is not. That is just human nature.

We need to make sure that if States have additional flexibility in grants something I think that we can certainly work together on—if they have that flexibility, we need to make sure there is an objective standard under which what they are doing with that flexibility is determined to work or not to work. And if it doesn't work, we need to encourage those States to move in a different direction. If it does work, we can increase our efforts to support them.

So again, there is a general premise here that can be worked on, but the underlying amendment would be extremely detrimental to public education.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman has 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from North Carolina yielded back the balance of his time. Did the gentleman intend to reserve?

Mr. WALKER. Yes.

The Acting CHAIR. Does the gentleman ask for unanimous consent to reclaim his 2 minutes of time?

Mr. WALKER. He yielded back 2 minutes to me. Is that correct?

The Acting CHAIR. Does the gentleman ask for unanimous consent?

tleman ask for unanimous consent?
Mr. WALKER. Yes.
The Asting CHAIR Without chies

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from North Carolina may reclaim his 2 minutes of time. There was no objection.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, a point of parliamentary inquiry.
The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, to be clear, the gentleman was not yielded time from the gentleman from Virginia.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is correct.

Mr. POLIS. The gentleman was granted his own time, which erroneously he had yielded back to the Chair.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Colorado is correct.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from North Carolina has 2 minutes remaining. The gentleman from Virginia has 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. WALKER. Mr. Chairman, a lot of this is talk. And with due respect to my friend from Colorado, I hear the point. But I would say a lot of that is we are hearing "we, we this," ue the Federal, we this." It really should be "we the people at the State," "we the people at the local level."

It is important that we get some of the power that we like to monger up here among us in this House to return it back to the States, to return it back to the individual school boards.

□ 1645

Who best knows to make these decisions other than these parents and

these school boards? We talk about accountability. As Dr. Phil would say, "How has that been working for us the last 40 years?"

We need to get the accountability back to where it goes, where it should have been from the very beginning, and that is to the State level and to the local people, to the parents and the school boards.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, the ESEA passed in 1965 because States and localities were not equitably funding the schools. The ESEA required the money to be spent primarily in the areas with a concentration of low-income families. If this amendment passes, we can reasonably assume that they will go back to the way they were doing it.

This makes a bad bill even worse. So I would hope that we would defeat the amendment and keep the requirement that the States, in using the money, address the fiscal inequalities and achievement gaps.

With this amendment, there are no requirements that they do anything, and we can reasonably assume that they would go back to doing the things they were doing to begin with before the ESEA passed. I would hope we would defeat this amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WALKER).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from North Carolina will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 47 OFFERED BY MR. SALMON The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order

to consider amendment No. 47 printed in part A of House Report 114–192.

Mr. SALMON. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.
The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will

designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 31, line 3, strike "(3)(B)(ii)(II)" and insert "(3)(B)(ii)(II), except that States shall allow the parent of a student to opt such student out of the assessments required under this paragraph for any reason and shall not include such students in calculating the participation rate under this clause".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 347, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. SALMON) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. SALMON. Mr. Chairman, I first want to thank Chairman KLINE and Representative ROKITA of the House

Committee on Education and the Workforce for working with me on this important amendment, which is to ensure that parents have more authority and power over their children's educations.

My amendment is very, very simple. It would allow any parent to opt his child out of high-stakes testing, and it would protect schools from being punished by the Federal Department of Education if parents opted to take their children out of these tests.

Since the 2001 reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, called No Child Left Behind, the Federal Government has placed increasing importance on academic assessments in K-12 education.

Assessments are important and even necessary to understand and measure a child's academic progress. However, academic assessments have become an overutilized metric to evaluate everything from the quality of a teacher to the strength of a particular program.

Because of this frenzied obsession with high-stakes testing, more and more time is being usurped from actual classroom learning. It was reported that the testing for a student in the 11th grade could take up to 27 days, a total of 15 percent of the entire school year, and a lot of the teachers complain about having to teach to the test. In fact, I think that is why the NEA has come out in support of this amendment.

Parents are becoming increasingly fed up with such constant and onerous testing requirements, and so are the teachers. While some States currently allow parents to opt their students out of assessments, there exists a simultaneous obligation on schools of a 95 percent participation rate in school assessments.

If schools don't meet these requirements, they risk enforcement measures from the Department of Education, which, at worst, could include losing access to Federal funding. These factors create a strange environment of conflicting interests for students, parents, and schools.

My amendment would ease a school's fear of penalties by directing that opted-out students not be counted among the 95 percent participation requirement while giving parents due power over their children's educations.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this important amendment, which returns the power back to where it should be, with the parents.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, it is one thing to keep a light on problems like achievement gaps, as the underlying bill does, but it kind of sweeps everything under the rug.

Before the participation threshold of 95 percent, only one State actually assessed 95 percent of students with disabilities, and it was not unusual for low-achieving students to suddenly have field trips on testing day. If you are not measuring the achievement gap, you can't deal with the achievement gap.

We need to make sure that enough students test, which is 95 percent, so that we can actually identify the achievement gaps and do something about it. Parents do have the right to opt out, but when the dust settles, at least 95 percent will have had to have taken the test.

We have situations now in which, if you eliminate that requirement, school systems can encourage people not to show up on testing day. They can have field trips on testing day and can manipulate the data so that, if only half of the students are taking the test and if you make sure that it is the good students who are taking the test, your scores all of a sudden will go up.

The requirement that 95 percent get tested means you have meaningful data so that you can find out what the problem is, and then you can deal with it.

I reserve the balance of my time. Mr. SALMON. Mr. Chairman, I yield

Mr. SALMON. Mr. Chairman, I yield in minute to the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. KLINE), the chairman of the full committee.

Mr. KLINE. I thank the gentleman for offering this amendment.

Mr. Chairman, the gentleman is expressing a concern here of parents, not of schoolteachers and principals who want to put together field trips. There is a great deal of anxiety on the part of some parents, and this is giving them some power.

I support the gentleman's amendment, and I encourage my colleagues to support it.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GRIJALVA).

Mr. GRIJALVA. I thank the ranking member.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the underlying legislation and to the Salmon amendment.

Once again, we are considering legislation that does nothing to improve equity in our public education system, assuring and ensuring that resources are focused on student populations have been historically that marginalized, primarily children of color, English language learners, children with disabilities, and poor kids. The lessons from No Child Left Behind are plentiful, some good that need improvement and some that need to be eliminated from a reauthorization.

This amendment, along with the underlying legislation, continues to dismantle and remove the ESEA's significant mission, to deal with the issue of poverty in this country, marginalized communities, and kids who are not achieving.

Mr. Chairman, I ask my colleagues to oppose H.R. 5 and this amendment. The current bill fails to provide all of our communities with equitable educations.

Portability eliminates a maintenance of effort, block grants don't address charter school accountability, and it eliminates provisions to protect English learners in this country. With this amendment, we eliminate the Nation's responsibility to be accountable and to ensure that all children get an education

I am astounded by the historical amnesia that goes on when we have these discussions. The ESEA was formed for a purpose: to improve and to create equity and opportunity for children who didn't have it.

We have not reached a stage in this country when we can say that States can take care of this. We can go back to those vestiges, as the ranking member said, in which there was no equality, there was no opportunity, and tell the States, "You can do what you want with this Federal money. And, by discretion, if you don't educate all of your children, that is okay. And if, by discretion, we can't hold anybody accountable for his lack of education, that is okay."

That is the message we are going back to, and I urge a "no" vote.

Mr. SALMON. Mr. Chairman, I take serious umbrage with the arrogance that purveys this city in that we are the font of all knowledge. In fact, I lovingly joke with my constituents when I go back and say, "I am from Washington, D.C., and I am here to help you." It always draws a loud amount of laughter because everybody knows that that is not the way things really are.

If we can't trust our parents, who have the biggest vested interest in whether or not their children succeed in education, if we can't trust the teachers, if we can't trust the local school boards, whose members also have to run for election, then we might as well just fold up and go home.

I have a lot more confidence in parents, in teachers, in our local school boards, than I do in some nameless, faceless bureaucrat here in Washington, D.C. I say we put the power back where it should be: in the hands of parents and teachers and local school boards.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman has 1½ minutes remaining.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. POLIS).

Mr. POLIS. I thank the ranking member.

Mr. Chairman, one parent recently wrote me that she prefers that students with special needs be required to take tests. In her words, "The tests gave us the data we needed to see where my son needed additional support."

I rise in opposition to Mr. Salmon's amendment.

Before No Child Left Behind was passed, schools across the country would systemically excluded students from tests in an effort to inflate a school's overall performance and sweep

deficiencies and discrimination under the rug.

This amendment, which would allow students to opt out of tests and allow those students to be omitted from the testing threshold, would make it easier to, once again, exclude historically marginalized students from accountability systems.

There would be almost no way of knowing which students truly opted out, which were pushed out, and which students stayed at home at their schools' suggestion or traveled on an optional field trip.

In my home State of Colorado, a similar provision was brought up in the State legislature, and over 400 business and community leaders strongly publicly opposed the bill and succeeded in defeating it.

In order to close achievement gaps, we need data on every student, regardless of race, background, or disability. This kind of policy allows the very data we need the most on the most needy kids to be swept under the rug.

For that reason, I strongly urge a "no" vote on this amendment.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

If this amendment passes, school systems will have an incentive to address achievement gaps not by the hard work that it takes to close the achievement gaps, but by just manipulating the data. That is wrong, and this amendment ought to be defeated.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. SALMON).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. SALMON. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 48 OFFERED BY MR. POLIS

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 48 printed in part A of House Report 114–192.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.
The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Strike section 112 and insert the following:

SEC. 112. STATE PLANS.

Section 1111 (20 U.S.C. 6311) is amended to read as follows:

"SEC. 1111. STATE PLANS.

"(a) PLANS REQUIRED.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—For any State desiring to receive a grant under this part, the State educational agency shall submit to the Secretary a plan, developed by the State educational agency, in consultation with representatives of local educational agencies, teachers, school leaders, specialized instructional support personnel, early childhood education providers, parents, community or ganizations, communities representing underserved populations, and Indian tribes,

that satisfies the requirements of this section, and that is coordinated with other programs of this Act, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006, the Head Start Act, the Adult Education and Family Literacy Act, and the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

- "(2) CONSOLIDATED PLAN.—A State plan submitted under paragraph (1) may be submitted as a part of a consolidated plan under section 9302.
- "(b) COLLEGE AND CAREER READY CONTENT STANDARDS, ASSESSMENTS, AND ACHIEVEMENT STANDARDS.—
- "(1) GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.—Each State plan shall include evidence that the State's college and career ready content standards, assessments, and achievement standards under this subsection are—
- "(A) vertically aligned from kindergarten through grade 12; and
- "(B) developed and implemented to ensure that proficiency in the content standards will signify that a student is on-track to graduate prepared for—
- "(i) according to written affirmation from the State's public institutions of higher education, placement in credit-bearing, nonremedial courses at the 2-and 4-year public institutions of higher education in the State; and
- "(ii) success on relevant State career and technical education standards.
- ``(2) COLLEGE AND CAREER READY CONTENT STANDARDS.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Each State plan shall demonstrate that, not later than the 2015–2016 school year the State educational agency will adopt and implement high-quality, college and career ready content standards that comply with this paragraph.
- "(B) SUBJECTS.—The State educational agency shall have such high-quality, academic content standards for students in kindergarten through grade 12 for, at a minimum, English language arts, math, and science.
- "(C) ELEMENTS.—College and career ready content standards under this paragraph shall—
- "(i) be developed through participation in a State-led process that engages—
- "(I) kindergarten through-grade-12 education experts (including teachers and educational leaders); and
- "(II) representatives of institutions of higher education, the business community, and the early learning community;
- "(ii) be rigorous, internationally benchmarked, and evidence-based, requiring students to demonstrate the ability to think critically, solve problems, and communicate effectively:
 - "(iii) be either—
- "(I) validated, including through written affirmation from the State's public institutions of higher education, to ensure that proficiency in the content standards will signify that a student is on-track to graduate prepared for—
- "(aa) placement in credit-bearing, nonremedial courses at the 2-and 4-year public institutions of higher education in the State; and
- "(bb) success on relevant State career and technical education standards; or
- "(II) State-developed and voluntarily adopted by a significant number of States;
- "(iv) for standards from kindergarten through grade 3, reflect progression in how children develop and learn the requisite skills and content from earlier grades (including preschool) to later grades; and
- "(v) apply to all schools and students in the State.
- "(D) ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY STANDARDS.—Each State educational agency

- shall develop and implement statewide, highquality English language proficiency standards that—
- "(i) are aligned with the State's academic content standards;
- "(ii) reflect the academic language that is required for success on the State educational agency's academic content assessments;
- "(iii) predict success on the applicable grade level English language arts content assessment;
- "(iv) ensure proficiency in each of the domains of speaking, listening, reading, and writing in the appropriate amount of time;
- "(v) address the different proficiency levels of English learners.
- "(E) EARLY LEARNING STANDARDS.—The State educational agency shall, in collaboration with the State agencies responsible for overseeing early care and education programs and the State early care and education advisory council, develop and implement early learning standards across all major domains of development for preschoolers that—
- "(i) demonstrate alignment with the State academic content standards:
- "(ii) are implemented through dissemination, training, and other means to applicable early care and education programs;
- "(iii) reflect research and evidence-based developmental and learning expectations;
- "(iv) inform teaching practices and professional development and services; and
- ``(v) for preschool age children, appropriately assist in the transition to kindergarten.
- "(F) ASSURANCE.—Each State plan shall include an assurance that the State has implemented the same content standards for all students in the same grade and does not have a policy of using different content standards for any student subgroup.
- "(3) HIGH-QUALITY ASSESSMENTS.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Each State plan shall demonstrate that the State educational agency will adopt and implement high-quality assessments in English language arts, math, and science not later than the 2016—2017 school year that comply with this paragraph.
- "(B) ELEMENTS.—Such assessments shall—"(i) be valid, reliable, appropriate, and of adequate technical quality for each purpose required under this Act, and be consistent with relevant, nationally recognized professional and technical standards:
- "(ii) measure the knowledge and skills necessary to demonstrate proficiency in the academic content standards under paragraph (2) for the grade in which the student is enrolled:
- "(iii) be developed as part of a system of assessments providing data (including individual student achievement data and individual student growth data), that shall be used to improve teaching, learning, and program outcomes;
- "(iv) be used in determining the performance of each local educational agency and school in the State in accordance with the State's accountability system under subsection (c);
- "(v) provide an accurate measure of—
- ``(I) student achievement at all levels of student performance; and
- "(II) student academic growth;
- "(vi) allow for complex demonstrations or applications of knowledge and skills including the ability to think critically, solve problems, and communicate effectively;
- "(vii) be accessible for all students, including students with disabilities and English learners, by—
- "(I) incorporating principles of universal design as defined by section 3(a) of the As-

- sistive Technology Act of 1998 (29 U.S.C. 3002(a)); and
- "(II) being interoperable when using any digital assessment, such as computer-based and online assessments;
- "(viii) provide for accommodations, including for computer-based and online assessments, for students with disabilities and English learners to provide a valid and reliable measure of such students' achievement;
- "(ix) produce individual student interpretive, descriptive, and diagnostic reports that allow parents, teachers, and school leaders to understand and address the specific academic needs of students, and include information regarding achievement on academic assessments, and that are provided to parents, teachers, and school leaders, as soon as is practicable after the assessment is given, in an understandable and uniform format, and to the extent practicable, in a language that parents can understand: and
- "(x) may be partially delivered in the form of portfolios, projects, or extended performance tasks as long as such assessments meet the requirements of this subsection.
- "(C) ADMINISTRATION.—Such assessments shall—
- "(i) be administered to all students, including all subgroups described in subsection (c)(3)(A), in the same grade level for each content area assessed, except as provided under subparagraph (E), through—
- "(I) a single summative assessment each school year; or
- "(II) multiple statewide assessments over the course of the school year that result in a single summative score that provides valid, reliable, and transparent information on student achievement for each tested content area in each grade level:
- "(ii) for English language arts and math—"(I) be administered annually, at a minimum, for students in grade 3 through grade 8 and
- "(II) be administered at least once, but not earlier than 11th grade for students in grades 9 through grade 12: and
- "(iii) for science, be administered at least once during grades 3 through 5, grades 6 through 8, and grades 9 through 12.
- "(D) NATIVE LANGUAGE ASSESSMENTS.— Each State educational agency with at least 10,000 English learners, at least 25 percent of which speak the same language that is not English, shall adopt and implement native language assessments for that language consistent with State law. Such assessments shall be for students—
- "(i) for whom the academic assessment in the student's native language would likely yield more accurate and reliable information about such student's content knowledge;
- "(ii) who are literate in the native language and have received formal education in such language; or
- "(iii) who are enrolled in a bilingual or dual language program and the native language assessment is consistent with such program's language of instruction.
- "(E) ALTERNATE ASSESSMENTS FOR STU-DENTS WITH THE MOST SIGNIFICANT COGNITIVE DISABILITIES.—In the case of a State educational agency that adopts alternate achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities described in paragraph (4)(D), the State shall adopt and implement high-quality statewide alternate assessments aligned to such alternate achievement standards that meet the requirements of subparagraphs (B) and (C), so long as the State ensures that in the State the total number of students in each grade level assessed in each subject does not exceed the cap established under subsection (c)(3)(E)(iii)(II).
- "(F) ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY ASSESSMENTS.—Each State educational agency

shall adopt and implement statewide English language proficiency assessments that—

"(i) are administered annually and aligned with the State's English language proficiency standards and academic content standards;

"(ii) are accessible, valid, and reliable;

"(iii) measure proficiency in reading, listening, speaking, and writing in English both individually and collectively;

"(iv) assess progress and growth on language and content acquisition; and

"(v) allow for the local educational agency to retest a student in the individual domain areas that the student did not pass, unless the student is newly entering a school in the State, or is in the third, fifth, or eighth grades.

"(G) SPECIAL RULE WITH RESPECT TO BUREAU FUNDED SCHOOLS.—In determining the assessments to be used by each school operated or funded by the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Indian Education receiving funds under this part, the following shall apply:

"(i) Each such school that is accredited by the State in which it is operating shall use the assessments the State has developed and implemented to meet the requirements of this section, or such other appropriate assessment as approved by the Secretary of the Interior.

"(ii) Each such school that is accredited by a regional accrediting organization shall adopt an appropriate assessment, in consultation with and with the approval of, the Secretary of the Interior and consistent with assessments adopted by other schools in the same State or region, that meets the requirements of this section.

"(iii) Each such school that is accredited by a tribal accrediting agency or tribal division of education shall use an assessment developed by such agency or division, except that the Secretary of the Interior shall ensure that such assessment meets the requirements of this section.

"(H) ASSURANCE.—Each State plan shall include an assurance that the State educational agency will take steps to ensure that the State assessment system, which includes all statewide assessments and local assessments is coordinated and streamlined to eliminate duplication of assessment purposes, practices, and use.

``(I) ACCOMMODATIONS.—Each State plan shall—

"(i) describe the accommodations for English learners and students with disabilities on the assessments used by the State which may include accommodations such as text-to-speech technology or read aloud, braille, large print, calculator, speech-to-text technology or scribe, extended time, and frequent breaks:

"(ii) include evidence of the effectiveness of such accommodations in maintaining valid results for the appropriate population; and

"(iii) include evidence that such accommodations do not change the construct intended to be measured by the assessment or the meaning of the resulting scores.

"(J) ADAPTIVE ASSESSMENTS.—In the case of a State educational agency that develops and administers computer adaptive assessments, such assessments shall meet the requirements of this paragraph, and must measure, at a minimum, each student's academic proficiency against the State's content standards as described in paragraph (2) for the grade in which the student is enrolled

"(4) COLLEGE AND CAREER READY ACHIEVE-MENT AND GROWTH STANDARDS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Each State plan shall demonstrate that the State will adopt and implement college and career ready achievement standards in English language arts, math, and science by the 2015-2016 school year that comply with this paragraph.

"(B) ELEMENTS.—Such academic achievement standards shall establish at a minimum, 3 levels of student achievement that describe how well a student is demonstrating proficiency in the State's academic content standards that differentiate levels of performance to—

"(i) describe 2 levels of high achievement (on-target and advanced) that indicate, at a minimum, that a student is proficient in the academic content standards under paragraph (2) as measured by the performance on assessments under paragraph (3); and

"(ii) describe a third level of achievement (catch-up) that provides information about the progress of a student toward becoming proficient in the academic content standards under paragraph (2) as measured by the performance on assessments under paragraph (3)

"(C) VERTICAL ALIGNMENT.—Such achievement standards are vertically aligned to ensure a student who achieves at the on-target or advanced levels under subparagraph (B)(i) signifies that student is on-track to graduate prepared for—

"(i) placement in credit-bearing, nonremedial courses at the 2- and 4-year public institutions of higher education in the State; and

"(ii) success on relevant State career and technical education standards.

"(D) ALTERNATE ACHIEVEMENT STANDARDS.—If a State educational agency adopts alternate achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities, such academic achievement standards shall establish, at a minimum, 3 levels of student achievement that describe how well a student is demonstrating proficiency in the State's academic content standards that—

"(i) are aligned to the State's college and career ready content standards under paragraph (2):

"(ii) are vertically aligned to ensure that a student who achieves at the on-target or advanced level under clause (v)(I) signifies that the student is on-track to access a postsecondary education or competitive integrated employment;

"(ii) reflect concepts and skills that students should know and understand for each grade:

"(iv) are supported by evidence-based learning progressions to age and grade-level performance; and

"(v) establish, at a minimum-

"(I) 2 levels of high achievement (on-target and advanced) that indicate, at a minimum, that a student with the most significant cognitive disabilities is proficient in the academic content standards under paragraph (2) as measured by the performance on assessments under paragraph (3)(E); and

"(II) a third level of achievement (catchup) that provides information about the progress of a student with the most significant cognitive disabilities toward becoming proficient in the academic content standards under paragraph (2) as measured by the performance on assessments under paragraph (3)(E).

"(E) STUDENT GROWTH STANDARDS.—Each State plan shall demonstrate that the State will adopt and implement student growth standards for students in the assessed grades that comply with this subparagraph, as follows:

"(i) ON-TARGET AND ADVANCED LEVELS.— For a student who is achieving at the on-target or advanced level of achievement, the student growth standard is not less than the rate of academic growth necessary for the student to remain at that level of student achievement for not less than 3 years. "(ii) CATCH-UP LEVEL.—For a student who is achieving at the catch-up level of achievement, the student growth standard is not less than the rate of academic growth necessary for the student to achieve an on-target level of achievement within 3 or 4 years, as determined by the State.

"(F) Prohibition.—A State may not establish alternate or modified achievement standards for any subgroup of students, except as provided under subparagraph (D).

"(5) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in paragraph (3) shall be construed to prescribe the use of the academic assessments established pursuant to such paragraph for student promotion or graduation purposes.

"(c) Accountability and School Improve-MENT SYSTEM.—The State plan shall demonstrate that not later than the 2016-2017 school year, the State educational agency, in consultation with representatives of local educational agencies, teachers, school leaders, parents, community organizations, communities representing underserved populations and Indian tribes, has developed a single statewide accountability and school improvement system (in this subsection known as the 'accountability system') that ensures all students have the knowledge and skills to successfully enter the workforce or postsecondary education without the need for remediation by complying with this subsection as follows:

"(1) ELEMENTS.—Each State accountability system shall, at a minimum—

"(A) annually measure academic achievement for all students, including each subgroup described in paragraph (3)(A), in each public school, including each charter school, in the State, including—

"(i) student academic achievement in accordance with the academic achievement standards described in subsection (b)(4);

"(ii) student growth in accordance with the student growth standards described in subsection (b)(4)(E); and

"(iii) graduation rates in diploma granting schools;

"(B) set clear performance and growth targets in accordance with paragraph (2) to improve the academic achievement of all students as measured under subparagraph (A) of this paragraph and to close achievement gaps so that all students graduate ready for postsecondary education and the workforce;

"(C) establish equity indicators to diagnose school challenges and measure school progress within the improvement system described in section 1116, including factors to measure, for all students and each subgroup described in paragraph (3)(A)—

"(i) academic learning, such as-

"(I) percentage of students successfully completing rigorous coursework that aligns with college and career ready standards described under subsection (b)(2) such as dual enrollment, Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) courses:

"(II) percentage of students enrolled in arts courses;

"(III) student success on State or local educational agency end-of course examinations; and

"(IV) student success on performancebased assessments that are valid, reliable and comparable across a local educational agency and meet the requirements of paragraph (3)(B);

"(ii) student engagement, such as-

"(I) student attendance rates;

``(II) student discipline data, including suspension and expulsion rates;

``(III) incidents of bullying and harassment; and

"(IV) surveys of student engagement and satisfaction;

"(iii) student advancement, such as—

"(I) student on-time promotion rates;

- "(II) on-time credit accumulation rates;
- "(III) course failure rates; and
- "(IV) post-secondary and workforce entry
 - "(iv) student health and wellness;
- "(v) student access to instructional quality, such as—
- "(I) number of qualified teachers and paraprofessionals;
- "(II) number of specialized instructional support personnel;
- "(III) instructional personnel attendance, vacancies, and turnover; and
- "(IV) rates of effective teachers and principals, as determined by the State or local educational agency;
- "(vi) school climate and conditions for student success, such as—
- "(I) the availability of up-to-date instructional materials, technology, and supplies;
 - "(II) measures of school safety; and
- "(III) the condition of school facilities; including accounting for well-equipped instructional spaces; and
- "(vii) family and community engagement in education;
- "(D) annually differentiate performance and condition of schools based on—
- "(i) the achievement measured under subparagraph (A):
- "(ii) whether the school meets the performance and growth targets set under paragraph (2); and
- "(iii) to a lesser extent, data on the Stateestablished equity indicators, as described in subparagraph (C); and
- "(E) identify using the differentiation described in subparagraph (D), for the purposes under section 1116—
 - "(i) high priority schools that—
- "(I) according to the State-established parameters described in 1116(a)(2), have the lowest performance in the local educational agency and the State using current and prior year academic achievement, growth, and graduation rate data as described in subparagraph (A) and data on the state-established equity indicators described in subparagraph (C); or
- "(II) as of the date of enactment of the Student Success Act, have been identified under 1003(g); and
- "(ii) schools in need of support that have not met one or more of the performance targets set under paragraph (2) for any subgroup described in paragraph (3)(A) in the same grade level and subject, for two consecutive years; and
 - "(iii) reward schools that have—
- "(I) the highest performance in the State for all students and student subgroups described in paragraph (3)(A); or
- "(II) made the most progress over at least the most recent 2-year period in the State in increasing student academic achievement and graduation rates for all students and student subgroups described in paragraph (3)(A); and
- "(III) made significant progress in overcoming school challenges identified using the State-established equity indicators, as described in subparagraph (C).
 - "(2) GOALS AND TARGETS.—
- "(A) In general.—Each State educational agency shall establish goals and targets for the State accountability and school improvement system that comply with this paragraph. Such targets shall be established separately for all elementary school and secondary school students, economically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners and expect accelerated academic gains from subgroups who are the farthest away from college and career-readiness as determined by annual academic achievement measures described in paragraph (1)(A).

- "(B) ACHIEVEMENT GOALS.—Each State educational agency shall set multi-year goals that are consistent with the academic and growth achievement standards under subsection (b)(4) to ensure that all students graduate prepared to enter the workforce or postsecondary education without the need for remediation.
- "(C) PERFORMANCE TARGETS.—Each State educational agency shall set ambitious, but achievable annual performance targets separately for each subgroup of students described in paragraph (3)(A), for local educational agencies and schools, for each grade level and in English language arts and math that reflect the progress required for all students and each subgroup of students described in paragraph (3)(A) to meet the State-determined goals as required under subparagraph (B), as approved by the Secretary.
- "(D) GROWTH TARGETS.—Each State educational agency shall set ambitious but achievable growth targets that—
- "(i) assist the State in achieving the academic achievement goals described in subparagraph (B); and
- "(ii) include targets that ensure all students, including subgroups of students described in paragraph (3)(A), meet the growth standards described in subsection (b)(4)(E).
- "(E) GRADUATION RATE GOALS AND TARGETS.—
- "(i) GRADUATION RATE GOALS.—Each State educational agency shall set a graduation rate goal of not less than 90 percent.
- "(ii) GRADUATION RATE TARGETS.—Each State educational agency shall establish graduation rate targets which shall not be less rigorous than the targets approved under section 200.19 of title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation).
- "(iii) EXTENDED-YEAR GRADUATION RATE TARGETS.—In the case of a State that chooses to use an extended year graduation rate in the accountability and school improvement system described under this subsection, the State shall set extended year graduation rate targets that are more rigorous than the targets set under clause (ii) and, if applicable, are not less rigorous than the targets approved under section 200.19 of title 34, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation)
- "(3) FAIR ACCOUNTABILITY.—Each State educational agency shall establish fair and appropriate policies and practices, as a component of the accountability system established under this subsection, to measure school, local educational agency, and State performance under the accountability system that, at a minimum, comply with this paragraph as follows:
- "(A) DISAGGREGATE.—Each State educational agency shall disaggregate student achievement data in a manner that complies with the State's group size requirements under subparagraph (B) for the school's, local educational agency's, and the State's performance on its goals and performance targets established under paragraph (2), by each content area and each grade level for which such goals and targets are established, and, if applicable, by improvement indicators described in paragraph (1)(D) for each of the following groups:
- "(i) All public elementary and secondary school students.
- "(ii) Economically disadvantaged students. "(iii) Students from major racial and ethnic groups.
- "(iv) Students with disabilities.
- ``(v) English learners.
- "(B) SUBGROUP SIZE.—Each State educational agency shall establish group size requirements for performance measurement and reporting under the accountability system that—

- "(i) is the same for all subgroups described in subparagraph (A);
 - "(ii) does not exceed 15 students;
- "(iii) yields statistically reliable information; and
- "(iv) does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student.
- "(C) PARTICIPATION.—Each State educational agency shall ensure that—
- "(i) not less than 95 percent of the students in each subgroup described subparagraph (A) take the State's assessments under subsection (b)(2): and
- "(ii) any school or local educational agency that does not comply with the requirement described in clause (i) of this subparagraph may not be considered to have met its goals or performance targets under paragraph (2).
- "(D) AVERAGING.—Each State educational agency may average achievement data with the year immediately preceding that school year for the purpose of determining whether schools, local educational agencies, and the State have met their performance targets under paragraph (2).
- "(E) STUDENTS WITH THE MOST SIGNIFICANT COGNITIVE DISABILITIES.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—In calculating the percentage of students scoring at the on-target levels of achievement and the graduation rate for the purpose of determining whether schools, local educational agencies, and the State have met their performance targets under paragraph (2), a State shall include all students with disabilities, even those students with the most significant cognitive disabilities, and—
- "(I) may include the on-target and advanced scores of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities taking alternate assessments under subsection (b)(3)(E) provided that the number and percentage of such students who score at the on-target or advanced level on such alternate assessments at the local educational agency and the State levels, respectively, does not exceed the cap established by the Secretary under clause (iii) in the grades assessed and subjects used under the accountability system established under this subsection; and
- "(II) may include students with the most significant cognitive disabilities, who are assessed using alternate assessments described in subsection (b)(3)(E) and who receive a State-defined standards-based alternate diploma aligned with alternate achievement standards described in subparagraph (4)(D) and with completion of the student's right to a free and appropriate public education under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, as graduating with a regular secondary school diploma, provided that the number and percentage of those students who receive a State-defined standards-based alternate diploma at the local educational agency and the State levels, respectively, does not exceed the cap established by the Secretary under clause (iii).
- "(ii) STATE REQUIREMENTS.—If the number and percentage of students taking alternate assessments or receiving a State-defined standards-based alternate diploma exceeds the cap under clause (iii) at the local educational agency or State level, the State educational agency, in determining whether the local educational agency or State, respectively, has met its performance targets under paragraph (2), shall—
- "(I) include all students with the most significant cognitive disabilities;
- "(II) count at the catch-up level of achievement or as not graduating such students who exceed the cap;
- "(III) include such students at the catch-up level of achievement or as not graduating in

each applicable subgroup at the school, local educational agency, and State level; and

"(IV) ensure that parents are informed of the actual academic achievement levels and graduation status of their children with the most significant cognitive disabilities.

"(iii) Secretarial duties.—The Secretary shall establish a cap for the purposes of this subparagraph which-

"(I) shall be based on the most recently available data on-

"(aa) the incidence of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities;

'(bb) the participation rates, including by disability category, on alternate assessments using alternate achievement standards pursuant to subsection (b)(3)(E):

"(cc) the percentage of students, including by disability category, scoring at each achievement level on such alternate assessments; and

"(dd) other factors the Secretary deems necessary; and

"(II) may not exceed 1 percent of all students in the combined grades assessed.

(4) Transition provisions.

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall take such steps as necessary to provide for the orderly transition to the new accountability and school improvement systems required under this subsection from prior accountability and school improvement systems in existence on the day before the date of enactment of the Student Success Act.

'(B) Transition.—To enable the successful transition described in this paragraph, each State educational agency receiving funds under this part shall-

'(i) administer assessments that were in existence on the day before the date of enactment of the Student Success Act and beginning not later than the 2014-2015 school vear. administer high-quality assessments described in subsection (b)(3):

"(ii) report student performance on the assessments described in subparagraph (I), consistent with the requirements under this title:

"(iii) set a new baseline for performance targets, as described in paragraph (2)(C) and (2)(D), once new high-quality assessments described in subsection (b)(3) are implemented;

"(iv) implement the accountability and school improvement requirements of sections 1111 and 1116, except-

"(I) the State shall not be required to identify new persistently low achieving schools or schools in need of improvement under section 1116 for 1 year after high-quality assessments described in subsection (b)(3) have been implemented; and

"(II) shall continue to implement school improvement requirements of section 1116 in persistently low achieving schools and schools in need of improvement that were identified as such in the year prior to implementation of new high-quality assessments;

"(v) assist local educational agencies in providing training and professional development on the implementation of new college and career ready standards and high-quality assessments.

"(C) END OF TRANSITION.—The transition described in this paragraph shall be completed by no later than 2 years from the date of enactment of the Student Success Act.

(d) OTHER PROVISIONS TO SUPPORT TEACH-ING AND LEARNING.—Each State plan shall contain the following:

(1) DESCRIPTIONS.—A description of—

"(A) how the State educational agency will carry out the responsibilities of the State under section 1116;

"(B) a plan to identify and reduce inequities in the allocation of State and local resources, including personnel and nonpersonnel resources, between schools that are

receiving funds under this title and schools that are not receiving such funds under this title, consistent with the requirements in section 1120A, including-

"(i) a description of how the State will support local educational agencies in meeting the requirements of section 1120A; and

'(ii) a description of how the State will support local educational agencies to align plans under subparagraph (A), efforts to improve educator supports and working conditions described in section 2112(b)(3), and efforts to improve the equitable distribution of teachers and principals described in section 2112(b)(5), with efforts to improve the equitable allocation of resources as described in this subsection:

"(C) how the State educational agency will ensure that the results of the State assessments described in subsection (b)(3) and the identifications described in subschool section (c)(1), respectively, will be provided to local educational agencies, schools, teachers, and parents promptly, but not later than before the beginning of the school year following the school year in which such assessments, other indicators, or evaluations are taken or completed, and in a manner that is clear and easy to understand:

"(D) how the State educational agency will meet the diverse learning needs of students by-

"(i) identifying and addressing State-level barriers to implementation of universal design for learning, as described in section 5429(b)(21), and multi-tier system of supports;

"(ii) developing and making available to local educational agencies technical assistance for implementing universal design for learning, as described in section 5429(b)(21), and multi-tier system of supports;

'(E) for a State educational agency that adopts alternate achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities under subsection (b)(4)(D)-

'(i) the clear and appropriate guidelines for individualized education program teams to apply in determining when a student's significant cognitive disability justifies alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards, which shall include guidelines to ensure-

(I) students with the most significant cognitive disabilities have access to the general education curriculum for the grade in which the student is enrolled;

'(II) participation in an alternate assessment does not influence a student's placement in the least restrictive environment:

'(III) determinations are made separately for each subject and are re-determined each year during the annual individualized education program team meeting:

"(IV) the student's mode of communication has been identified and accommodated to the extent possible; and

'(V) parents of such students give informed consent that-

"(aa) their child's achievement be based on alternate achievement standards; and

(bb) if applicable, that participation in such assessments precludes the student from completing the requirements for a regular secondary school diploma: and

'(ii) the procedures the State educational agency will use to ensure and monitor that individualized education program teams implement the requirements of clause (i); and

"(iii) the plan to disseminate information on and promote use of appropriate accommodations to increase the number of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who are assessed using achievement standards described in subparagraphs (B) and (C) of subsection (b)(4);

"(F) how the State educational agency will meet the needs of English learners, includ-

"(i) the method for identifying an English learner that shall be used by all local educational agencies in the State;

"(ii) the entrance and exit requirements for students enrolled in limited English proficient classes, which shall-

'(I) be based on rigorous English language standards: and

"(II) prepare such students to successfully complete the State's assessments; and

"(iii) timelines and targets for moving students from the lowest levels of English language proficiency to the State-defined English proficient level, including an assurance that

"(I) such targets will be based on student's initial language proficiency level when first identified as limited English proficient and grade; and

"(II) such timelines will ensure students achieve English proficiency by 18 years of age, unless the State has obtained prior approval by the Secretary:

'(G) how the State educational agency will assist local educational agencies in improving instruction in all core academic subjects:

'(H) how the State educational agency will develop and improve the capacity of local educational agencies to use technology to improve instruction; and

"(I) how any State educational agency with a charter school law will support highquality public charter schools that receive funds under this title by-

"(i) ensuring the quality of the authorized public chartering agencies in the State by establishing

"(I) a system of periodic evaluation and certification of public chartering agencies nationally-recognized using professional standards; or

"(II) a statewide, independent chartering agency that meets nationally-recognized professional standards;

"(ii) including in the procedure established pursuant to clause (i) requirements for-

(I) the annual filing and public reporting of independently audited financial statements including disclosure of amount and duration of any nonpublic financial and inkind contributions of support, by each public chartering agency, for each school authorized by such agency, and by each local educational agency and the State;

"(II) the adoption and enforcement of school employee compensation and conflict of interest guidelines for all schools authorized, which shall include disclosure of executive pay and affiliated parties with financial interest in the management operations, or contractual obligations of the school:

'(III) a legally binding charter or performance contract between each charter school and the school's authorized public chartering agency that-

"(aa) describes the rights, duties, and remedies of the school and the public chartering agency; and

"(bb) bases charter renewal and revocation decisions on an agreed-to school accountability plan which includes financial and organizational indicators, with significant weight given to the student achievement on the achievement goals, performance targets, and growth targets established pursuant to subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D) of subsection (c)(2), respectively, for each student subgroup described in subsection (c)(3)(A), as well as

"(iii) developing and implementing, in consultation and coordination with local educational agencies, a system of intervention, revocation, or closure for charter schools and public chartering agencies failing to meet the requirements and standards described in clauses (i) and (ii), which, at a minimum provides for—

"(I) initial and regular review, no less than once every 3 years, of each public chartering agency; and

"(II) intervention, revocation, or closure of any charter school identified for school improvement under section 1116.

"(2) Assurances that-

"(A) the State educational agency will participate in biennial State academic assessments of 4th, 8th, and 12th grade reading, mathematics, and science under the National Assessment of Educational Progress carried out under section 303(b)(2) of the National Assessment of Educational Progress Authorization Act, if the Secretary pays the costs of administering such assessments;

"(B) the State educational agency will-

"(i) notify local educational agencies and the public of the content and student academic achievement standards and academic assessments developed under this section, and of the authority to operate schoolwide programs; and

"(ii) fulfill the State educational agency's responsibilities regarding local educational agency and school improvement under section 1116:

"(C) the State educational agency will encourage local educational agencies to consolidate funds from other Federal, State, and local sources for school improvement activities under 1116 and for schoolwide programs under section 1114:

"(D) the State educational agency has modified or eliminated State fiscal and accounting barriers so that schools can easily consolidate funds from other Federal, State, and local sources for schoolwide programs under section 1114:

"(E) that State educational agency will coordinate data collection efforts to fulfill the requirements of this Act and reduce the duplication of data collection to the extent practicable;

"(F) the State educational agency will provide the least restrictive and burdensome regulations for local educational agencies and individual schools participating in a program assisted under this part;

"(G) the State educational agency will inform local educational agencies in the State of the local educational agency's authority—
"(i) to transfer funds under title VI:

"(ii) to obtain waivers under part D of title IX: and

"(iii) if the State is an Ed-Flex Partnership State, to obtain waivers under the Education Flexibility Partnership Act of 1999;

"(H) the State educational agency will work with other agencies, including educational service agencies or other local consortia and comprehensive centers established under the Educational Technical Assistance Act of 2002, and institutions to provide professional development and technical assistance to local educational agencies and schools;

"(I) the State educational agency will ensure that local educational agencies in the State comply with the requirements of subtitle B of title VII of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. Ill17); and

"(J) the State educational agency has engaged in timely and meaningful consultation with representatives of Indian tribes located in the State in the development of the State plan to serve local educational agencies under its jurisdiction in order to—

"(i) improve the coordination of activities under this Act:

"(ii) meet the purpose of this title; and

"(iii) meet the unique cultural, language, and educational needs of Indian students.

"(e) FAMILY ENGAGEMENT.—Each State plan shall include a plan for strengthening

family engagement in education. Each such plan shall, at a minimum, include—

"(1) a description of the State's criteria and schedule for review and approval of local educational agency engagement policies and practices pursuant to section 1112(e)(3);

"(2) a description of the State's system and process for assessing local educational agency implementation of section 1118 responsibilities;

"(3) a description of the State's criteria for identifying local educational agencies that would benefit from training and support related to family engagement in education:

"(4) a description of the State's statewide system of capacity-building and technical assistance for local educational agencies and schools on effectively implementing family engagement in education practices and policies to increase student achievement:

"(5) an assurance that the State will refer to Statewide Family Engagement Centers, as described in section 5702, those local educational agencies that would benefit from training and support related to family engagement in education; and

"(6) a description of the relationship between the State educational agency and Statewide Family Engagement Centers, parent training and information centers, and community parent resource centers in the State established under sections 671 and 672 of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

"(f) PEER REVIEW AND SECRETARIAL AP-PROVAL.—

"(1) SECRETARIAL DUTIES.—The Secretary shall—

"(A) establish a peer-review process to assist in the review of State plans;

"(B) appoint individuals to the peer-review process who are representative of parents, teachers, State educational agencies, local educational agencies, and experts and who are familiar with educational standards, assessments, accountability, the needs of low-performing schools, and other educational needs of students:

"(C) approve a State plan within 120 days of its submission unless the Secretary determines that the plan does not meet the requirements of this section;

"(D) if the Secretary determines that the State plan does not meet the requirements of this section immediately notify the State of such determination and the reasons for such determination:

''(E) not decline to approve a State's plan before—

before—
"(i) offering the State an opportunity to revise its plan:

"(ii) providing technical assistance in order to assist the State to meet the requirements of this section; and

"(iii) providing a hearing; and

"(F) have the authority to disapprove a State plan for not meeting the requirements of this part, but shall not have the authority to require a State, as a condition of approval of the State plan, to include in, or delete from, such plan one or more specific elements of the State's academic content standards or to use specific academic assessment instruments or items.

"(2) STATE REVISIONS.—A State plan shall be revised by the State educational agency if the revision is necessary to satisfy the requirements of this section.

"(3) PUBLIC REVIEW.—Notifications under this subsection shall be made available to the public through the website of the Department, including—

"(A) State plans submitted or resubmitted by a State;

"(B) peer review comments;

"(C) State plan determinations by the Secretary, including approvals or disapprovals;

"(D) amendments or changes to State plans; and

"(E) hearings.

"(g) DURATION OF THE PLAN.-

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Each State plan shall—"(A) remain in effect for the duration of

"(A) remain in effect for the duration of the State's participation under this part or 4 years, whichever is shorter; and

"(B) be periodically reviewed and revised as necessary by the State educational agency to reflect changes in the State's strategies and programs under this part, including information on the progress the State has made in fulfilling the requirements of this section.

"(2) RENEWAL.—A State educational agency that desires to continue participation under this part shall submit a renewed plan every 4 years, including information on progress the State has made in—

"(A) implementing college- and careerready content and achievement standards and high-quality assessments described in paragraph (b):

"(B) meeting its goals and performance targets described in subsection (c)(2); and

"(C) improving the capacity and skills of teachers and principals as described in section 2112.

"(2) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—If significant changes are made to a State's plan, such as the adoption of new State academic content standards and State student achievement standards, new academic assessments, or new performance goals or target, growth goals or targets, or graduation rate goals or targets, such information shall be submitted to the Secretary for approval.

"(h) FAILURE TO MEET REQUIREMENTS.—If a State fails to meet any of the requirements of this section, the Secretary may withhold funds for State administration under this part until the Secretary determines that the State has fulfilled those requirements.

"(i) Reports.—

"(1) ANNUAL STATE REPORT CARD.

"(A) IN GENERAL.—A State that receives assistance under this part shall prepare and disseminate an annual State report card. Such dissemination shall include, at a minimum, publicly posting the report card on the home page of the State educational agency's website.

 $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{\prime\prime}}}(B)$ IMPLEMENTATION.—The State report card shall be—

"(i) concise; and

"(ii) presented in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, provided in a language that the parents can understand.

"(C) REQUIRED INFORMATION.—The State shall include in its annual State report card—

"(i) information, in the aggregate, and disaggregated and cross-tabulated by the same major groups as the decennial census of the population, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged, except that such disaggregation and cross-tabulation shall not be required in a case in which the number of students in a category is insufficient to yield statistically reliable information or the results would reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student on—

"(I) student achievement at each achievement level on the State academic assessments described in subsection (b)(3), including the most recent 2-year trend:

"(II) student growth on the State academic assessments described in subsection (b)(3), including the most-recent 2-year trend;

"(III) the four-year adjusted cohort rate, the extended-year graduation rate (where applicable), and the graduation rate by type of diploma, including the most recent 2-year trend;

- "(IV) the State established equity indicators under subsection (c)(1)(C);
- ``(V) the percentage of students who did not take the State assessments; and
- "(VI) the most recent 2-year trend in student achievement and student growth in each subject area and for each grade level, for which assessments under this section are required;
- "(ii) information that provides a comparison between the actual achievement levels and growth of each group of students described in subsection (c)(3)(A) and the performance targets and growth targets in subsection (c)(2) for each such group of students on each of the academic assessments and for graduation rates required under this part;
- "(iii) if a State adopts alternate achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities, the number and percentage of students taking the alternate assessments and information on student achievement at each achievement level and student growth, by grade and subject;
- "(iv) the number of students who are English learners, and the performance of such students, on the State's English language proficiency assessments, including the students' attainment of, and progress toward, higher levels of English language proficiency;
- "(v) information on the performance of local educational agencies in the State regarding school improvement, including the number and names of each school identified for school improvement under section 1116 and information on the outcomes of the equity indicators outlined in section 1111(c)(1)(C);
- "(vi) the professional qualifications of teachers in the State, the percentage of such teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the State not taught by qualified teachers, in the aggregate and disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools which, for the purpose of this clause, means schools in the top quartile of poverty and the bottom quartile of poverty in the State:
- "(vii) information on teacher effectiveness, as determined by the State, in the aggregate and disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools which, for the purpose of this clause, means schools in the top quartile of poverty and the bottom quartile of poverty in the State;
- "(viii) a clear and concise description of the State's accountability system, including a description of the criteria by which the State educational agency evaluates school performance, and the criteria that the State educational agency has established, consistent with subsection (c), to determine the status of schools with respect to school improvement; and
- "(ix) outcomes related to quality charter authorizing standards as described in subsection (d)(1)(I), including, at a minimum, annual filing as described in subsection (d)(1)(I)(ii)(I).
- "(2) ANNUAL LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY REPORT CARDS.—
- "(A) REPORT CARDS.—A local educational agency that receives assistance under this part shall prepare and disseminate an annual local educational agency report card.
- "(B) MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS.—The State educational agency shall ensure that each local educational agency collects appropriate data and includes in the local educational agency's annual report the information described in paragraph (1)(C) as applied to the local educational agency and each school served by the local educational agency, and—
- ``(i) in the case of a local educational agency—

- "(I) the number and percentage of schools identified for school improvement under section 1116 and how long the schools have been so identified; and
- "(II) information that shows how students served by the local educational agency achieved on the statewide academic assessment compared to students in the State as a whole:
- "(III) per-pupil expenditures from Federal, State, and local sources, including personnel and nonpersonnel resources, for each school in the local educational agency, consistent with the requirements under section 1120A;
- "(IV) the number and percentage of secondary school students who have been removed from the 4-year adjusted cohort by leaver code, and the number and percentage of students from each adjusted cohort that have been enrolled in high school for more than 4 years but have not graduated with a regular diploma; and
- "(V) information on the number of military-connected students (students who are a dependent of a member of the Armed Forces, including reserve components thereof) served by the local educational agency and how such military-dependent students achieved on the statewide academic assessment compared to all students served by the local educational agency; and
 - "(ii) in the case of a school-
- "(I) whether the school has been identified for school improvement; and
- "(II) information that shows how the school's students achievement on the state-wide academic assessments and other improvement indicators compared to students in the local educational agency and the State as a whole.
- "(C) OTHER INFORMATION.—A local educational agency may include in its annual local educational agency report card any other appropriate information, whether or not such information is included in the annual State report card.
- "(D) DATA.—A local educational agency or school shall only include in its annual local educational agency report card data that are sufficient to yield statistically reliable information, as determined by the State, and that do not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student.
- "(E) PUBLIC DISSEMINATION.—The local educational agency shall publicly disseminate the report cards described in this paragraph to all schools in the school district served by the local educational agency and to all parents of students attending those schools in an accessible, understandable, and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, provided in a language that the parents can understand, and make the information widely available through public means, such as posting on the Internet, distribution to the media, and distribution through public agencies.
- "(3) PREEXISTING REPORT CARDS.—A State educational agency or local educational agency that was providing public report cards on the performance of students, schools, local educational agencies, or the State prior to the date of enactment of the Student Success Act may use those report cards for the purpose of this subsection, so long as any such report card is modified, as may be needed, to contain the information required by this subsection.
- "(4) Cost reduction.—Each State educational agency and local educational agency receiving assistance under this part shall, wherever possible, take steps to reduce data collection costs and duplication of effort by obtaining the information required under this subsection through existing data collection efforts.
- "(5) ANNUAL STATE REPORT TO THE SECRETARY.—Each State educational agency re-

- ceiving assistance under this part shall report annually to the Secretary, and make widely available within the State—
- "(A) information on the State's progress in developing and implementing
- "(i) the college and career ready standards described in subsection (b)(2);
- "(ii) the academic assessments described in subsection (b)(3); and
- "(iii) the accountability and school improvement system described in subsection (c); and
- "(B) the annual State report card under paragraph (1).
- "(6) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary shall transmit annually to the Committee on Education and the Workforce of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate a report that provides national and State-level data on the information collected under paragraph (5).
 - "(7) PARENTS RIGHT-TO-KNOW.—
- "(A) ACHIEVEMENT INFORMATION.—At the beginning of each school year, a school that receives funds under this subpart shall provide to each individual parent—
- "(i) information on the level of achievement and growth of the parent's child on each of the State academic assessments and, as appropriate, other improvement indicators adopted in accordance with this subpart; and
- "(ii) timely notice that the parent's child has been assigned, or has been taught for four or more consecutive weeks by, a teacher who is not qualified or has been found to be ineffective, as determined by the State or local educational agency.
- "(B) QUALIFICATIONS.—At the beginning of each school year, a local educational agency that receives funds under this part shall notify the parents of each student attending any school receiving funds under this part, information regarding the professional qualifications of the student's classroom teachers, including, at a minimum, the following:
- "(i) Whether the teacher has met State qualification and licensing criteria for the grade levels and subject areas in which the teacher provides instruction.
- "(ii) Whether the teacher is teaching under emergency or other provisional status through which State qualification or licensing criteria have been waived.
- "(iii) Whether the teacher is currently enrolled in an alternative certification program.
- "(iv) Whether the child is provided services by paraprofessionals or specialized instructional support personnel and, if so, their qualifications.
- "(C) FORMAT.—The notice and information provided to parents under this paragraph shall be in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, provided in a language that the parents can understand.
- "(j) PRIVACY.—Information collected under this section shall be collected and disseminated in a manner that protects the privacy of individuals.
- "'(k) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary shall provide a State educational agency, at the State educational agency's request, technical assistance in meeting the requirements of this section, including the provision of advice by experts in the development of college and career ready standards, high-quality academic assessments, and goals and targets that are valid and reliable, and other relevant areas.
- "(1) VOLUNTARY PARTNERSHIPS.—A State may enter into a voluntary partnership with another State to develop and implement the academic assessments and standards required under this section.
 - "(m) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

- "(1) ADJUSTED COHORT; EXTENDED-YEAR; ENTERING COHORT; TRANSFERRED INTO; TRANSFERRED OUT.—
- ''(A) ADJUSTED COHORT.—Subject to subparagraph (D)(ii) through (G), the term 'adjusted cohort' means the difference of—

"(i) the sum of-

"(I) the entering cohort; plus

"(II) any students that transferred into the cohort in any of grades 9 through 12; minus

"(ii) any students that are removed from the cohort as described in subparagraph (E).

- "(B) EXTENDED YEAR.—The term 'extended year' when used with respect to a graduation rate, means the fifth or sixth year after the school year in which the entering cohort, as described in subparagraph (C), is established for the purpose of calculating the adjusted cohort.
- "(C) ENTERING COHORT.—The term 'entering cohort' means the number of first-time 9th graders enrolled in a secondary school 1 month after the start of the secondary school's academic year.
- "(D) TRANSFERRED INTO.—The term 'transferred into' when used with respect to a secondary school student, means a student who—
- "(i) was a first-time 9th grader during the same school year as the entering cohort; and
- "(ii) enrolls after the entering cohort is calculated as described in subparagraph (B).
 - "(E) TRANSFERRED OUT .-
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—The term 'transferred out' when used with respect to a secondary school student, means a student who the secondary school or local educational agency has confirmed has transferred to another—
- "(I) school from which the student is expected to receive a regular secondary school diploma; or
- "(II) educational program from which the student is expected to receive a regular secondary school diploma.
 - "(ii) CONFIRMATION REQUIREMENTS.—
- "(I) DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED.—The confirmation of a student's transfer to another school or educational program described in clause (i) requires documentation from the receiving school or program that the student enrolled in the receiving school or program.
- "(II) LACK OF CONFIRMATION.—A student who was enrolled, but for whom there is no confirmation of the student having transferred out, shall remain in the cohort as a non-graduate for reporting and accountability purposes under this section.
- "(iii) PROGRAMS NOT PROVIDING CREDIT.—A student enrolled in a GED or other alternative educational program that does not issue or provide credit toward the issuance of a regular secondary school diploma shall not be considered transferred out.
- "(F) COHORT REMOVAL.—To remove a student from a cohort, a school or local educational agency shall require documentation to confirm that the student has transferred out, emigrated to another country, or is deceased.
- "(G) TREATMENT OF OTHER LEAVERS AND WITHDRAWALS.—A student who was retained in a grade, enrolled in a GED program, agedout of a secondary school or secondary school program, or left secondary school for any other reason, including expulsion, shall not be considered transferred out, and shall remain in the adjusted cohort.
- "(H) SPECIAL RULE.—For those secondary schools that start after grade 9, the entering cohort shall be calculated 1 month after the start of the secondary school's academic year in the earliest secondary school grade at the secondary school.
- "(2) 4-YEAR ADJUSTED COHORT GRADUATION RATE.—The term '4-year adjusted cohort graduation rate' means the percent obtained by calculating the product of—
 - "(A) the result of—

- "(i) the number of students who-
- ``(I) formed the adjusted cohort 4 years earlier; and
- $``(\Pi)$ graduate in 4 years or less with a regular secondary school diploma; divided by
- "(ii) the number of students who formed the adjusted cohort for that year's graduating class 4 years earlier; multiplied by
 - "(B) 100.
- "(3) EXTENDED-YEAR GRADUATION RATE.— The term 'extended-year graduation rate' for a school year is defined as the percent obtained by calculating the product of the result of—
 - "(A) the sum of-
 - "(i) the number of students who-
- ``(I) form the adjusted cohort for that year's graduating class; and
- "(II) graduate in an extended year with a regular secondary school diploma; or
- "(III) graduate before exceeding the age for eligibility for a free appropriate public education (as defined in section 602 of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act) under State law; divided by
 - "(ii) the result of-
- "(I) the number of students who form the adjusted cohort for that year's graduating class; plus
- "(II) the number of students who transferred in during the extended year defined in paragraph (1)(B), minus
- "(III) students who transferred out, emigrated, or died during the extended year defined in paragraph (1)(B); multiplied by "(B) 100.
- "(4) LEAVER CODE.—The term 'leaver code' means a number or series of numbers and letters assigned to a categorical reason for why a student left the high school from which she or he is enrolled without having earned a regular high school diploma, except that.—
- "(A) an individual student with either a duplicative code or whom has not been assigned a leaver code shall not be removed from the cohort assigned for the purpose of calculating the adjusted cohort graduation rate; and
- "(B) the number of students with either a duplicative leaver code or who have not been assigned a leaver code shall be included in reporting requirements for the leaver code.
- "(5) MULTI-TIER SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS.—The term 'multi-tier system of supports' means a comprehensive system of differentiated supports that includes evidence-based instruction, universal screening, progress monitoring, formative assessment, and research-based interventions matched to student needs, and educational decision-making using student outcome data.
- "(6) GRADUATION RATE.—The term 'graduation rate' means a 4-year adjusted cohort graduation rate and the extended-year graduation rate.
- ``(7) Regular secondary school diploma.—
- "(A) The term 'regular secondary school diploma' means standard secondary school diploma awarded to the preponderance of students in the State that is fully aligned with the State's college and career ready achievement standards as described under subsection (b)(4), or a higher diploma. Such term shall not include GED's, certificates of attendance, or any lesser diploma awards.
- "(B) If a State adopts different paths to the regular secondary school diploma, such different paths shall—
- ``(i) be available to all students in the State:
- "(ii) be equally rigorous in their requirements: and
- "(iii) signify that a student is prepared for college or a career without the need for remediation.".

Strike section 117 and insert the following:

SEC. 117. ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT; SCHOOL SUPPORT AND RECOGNITION.

Section 1116 (20 U.S.C. 6316) is amended to read as follows:

"SEC. 1116. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT.

- "(a) LOCAL REVIEW.-
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Each local educational agency receiving funds under this part shall—
- "(A) use the State academic assessments, including measures of student growth and graduation rates, and data on the state-established equity indicators described in section 1111(c)(1)(C) to review, annually, the progress of each school served under this part, and consistent with the parameters described in paragraph (2), to determine whether the school is—
- "(i) meeting performance targets, growth targets, and graduation rate targets established under section 1111(c)(2); and
- "(ii) making progress to address school challenges identified using the state- established equity indicators described in section 1111(c)(1)(C):
- "(B) based on the review conducted under subparagraph (A), determine whether a school served under this part is—
- "(i) in need of support as described under section 1111(c)(1)(E)(ii); or
- "(ii) a high priority school that meets the State-established paraments under paragraph (2):
- "(C) publicize and disseminate the results of the local annual review described in subparagraph (A) to parents, teachers, principals, schools, and the community so that the teachers, principals, other staff, and schools can continually refine, in an instructionally useful manner, the program of instruction to help all children served under this part meet the college and career ready achievement standards established under section 1111(b); and
- "(D) use the equity indicators established under section 1111(c)(1)(C) to diagnose school challenges and measure school progress in carrying out the school improvement activities under this section.
- "(2) HIGH PRIORITY SCHOOLS.—The State educational agency shall establish parameters, consistent with section 1111(c)(1)(E)(i), to assist local educational agencies in identifying high priority schools within the local educational agency that—
 - "(A) for elementary schools—
- "(i) shall use student achievement on the assessments required under section 1111(b)(3), including prior year data;
- "(ii) shall use student growth data on the assessments under section 1111(b)(3), including prior year data; and
- "(iii) shall use, to a lesser extent than each of the parameters established in clauses (i) and (ii), data on the equity indicators established under section 1111(c)(1)(C); and
 - "(B) for secondary schools—
- "(i) shall use student achievement on the assessments required under section 1111(b)(3), including prior year data;
- "(ii) shall use student growth data on the assessments under section 1111(b)(3), including prior year data;
- (iii) shall use graduation rate data, including prior year data; and
- "(iv) shall use, to a lesser extent than each of the parameters established in clauses (i) through clause (iii), data on the equity indicators established under section 1111(c)(1)(C); or
- "(v) shall include schools with 4-year adjusted cohort graduation rates below 67 percent as high priority schools.
 - "(b) SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Each school served under this part determined to be a school in need of

support pursuant to section 1111(c)(1)(C)(ii) or a high-priority school pursuant to 1111(c)(1)(C)(i), shall form a school improvement team described in paragraph (2) to develop and implement a school improvement plan described in paragraph (3) to improve educational outcomes for all students and address existing resource inequities.

"(2) SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT TEAM.-

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Each school described in paragraph (1) shall form a school improvement team, which shall include school leaders, teachers, parents, community members, and specialized instructional support personnel.

"(B) SCHOOLS IN NEED OF SUPPORT.—Each school improvement team for a school in need of support may include an external partner and representatives of the local educational agency and the State educational agency.

"(C) HIGH-PRIORITY SCHOOLS.—Each school improvement team for a high-priority school shall include an external partner and representatives of the local educational agency and the State educational agency.

"(3) SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN.—

- "(A) IN GENERAL.—A school improvement team shall develop, implement, and make publicly available a school improvement plan that uses information available under the accountability and school improvement system established under section 1111(c), data available under the early warning indicator system established under subsection (c)(5), data on the improvement indicators established under section 1111(c)(1)(D), and other relevant data to identify—
- "(i) each area in which the school needs support for improvement:

"(ii) the type of support required;

- "(iii) how the school plans to use comprehensive, evidence-based strategies to address such needs;
- "(iv) how the school will measure progress in addressing such needs using the goals and targets and improvement indicators established under paragraphs (2) and (1)(D) of section 1111(c), respectively, and identify which of the goals and targets are not currently being met by the school: and

"(v) how the school will review its progress and make adjustments and corrections to ensure continuous improvement.

"(B) PLANNING PERIOD.—The school improvement team may use a planning period, which shall not be longer than one school year to develop and prepare to implement the school improvement plan.

"(C) PLAN REQUIREMENTS.—Each school improvement plan shall describe the following:

- "(i) PLANNING AND PREPARATION.—The activities during the planning period, including...
- "(I) the preparation activities conducted to effectively implement the budgeting, staffing, curriculum, and instruction changes described in the plan; and

"(II) how the school improvement team engaged parents and community organizations.

- "(ii) TARGETS.—The performance, growth, and graduation rate targets that contributed to the school's status as a school in need of support or high-priority school, and the school challenges identified by the school improvement indicators under section 1111(c)(1)(D).
- "(iii) EVIDENCE-BASED, SCHOOL IMPROVE-MENT STRATEGIES.—Evidence-based, school improvement strategies to address the factors and challenges described in clause (ii), to improve instruction, including in all core academic subjects, to improve the achievement of all students and address the needs of students identified at the catch-up level of achievement.
- "(iv) NEEDS AND CAPACITY ANALYSIS.—A description and analysis of the school's ability

and the resources necessary to implement the evidence-based, school improvement strategies identified under clause (iii), including an analysis of—

"(I) staffing resources, such as the number, experience, training level, effectiveness as determined by the State or local educational agency, responsibilities, and stability of existing administrative, instructional, and non-instructional staff;

"(II) budget resources, including how Federal, State, and local funds are being spent for instruction and operations to determine how existing resources can be aligned and used to support improvement:

"(III) the school curriculum;

"(IV) the use of time, such as the school's schedule and use of additional learning time; and

"(V) any additional resources and staff necessary to effectively implement the school improvement activities identified in the school improvement plan.

"(v) IDENTIFYING ROLES.—The roles and responsibilities of the State educational agency, the local educational agency, the school and, if applicable, the external partner in the school improvement activities, including providing interventions, support, and resources necessary to implement improvements.

"(vi) PLAN FOR EVALUATION.—The plan for continuous evaluation of the evidence-based, school improvement strategies, including implementation of and fidelity to the school improvement plan, that includes at least quarterly reviews of the effectiveness of such activities.

"(D) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR HIGH-PRIORITY SCHOOLS.—For a persistently-low achieving school, the school improvement plan shall, in addition to the requirements described in subparagraph (B), describe how the school will—

"(i) address school-wide factors to improve student achievement, including—

"(I) establishing high expectations for all students, which at a minimum, align with the achievement standards and growth standards under section 1111(b)(4);

"(II) improving school climate, including student attendance and school discipline, through the use of school-wide positive behavioral supports and interventions and other evidence based approaches to improving school climate:

"(III) ensuring that the staff charged with implementing the school improvement plan are engaged in the plan and the school turnaround effort:

"(IV) establishing clear—

"(aa) benchmarks for implementation of the plan; and

"(bb) targets for improvement on the equity indicators under section 1111(c)(1)(C);

"(ii) organize the school to improve teaching and learning, including through—

"(I) strategic use of time, such as—

"(aa) establishing common planning time for teachers and interdisciplinary teams who share common groups of students;

"(bb) redesigning the school calendar year or day, such as through block scheduling, summer learning programs, or increasing the number of hours or days, in order to create additional learning time; or

"(cc) creating a flexible school period to address specific student academic needs and interests such as credit recovery, electives, enrichment activities, or service learning; and

"(II) alignment of resources to improvement goals, such as through ensuring that students in transition grades are taught by teachers prepared to meet their specific learning needs:

"(iii) increase teacher and school leader effectiveness, as determined by the State or

 $\begin{array}{ll} {\rm local} & {\rm educational} & {\rm agency,} & {\rm including} \\ {\rm through---} \end{array}$

"(I) demonstrating the principal has the skills, capacity, and record of success to significantly improve student achievement and lead a school turnaround, which may include replacing the principal;

"(II) screening all existing staff at the school, with the leadership team, through a process that ensures a rigorous and fair review of their applications;

"(III) improving the recruitment and retention of qualified and effective teachers and principals, as determined by the State or local educational agency, to work in the school:

"(IV) professional development activities that respond to student and school-wide needs aligned with the school improvement plan, such as—

"(aa) training teachers, leaders, and administrators together with staff from schools making achievement goals and performance targets under the accountability system under section 1111(c) that serve similar populations and in such schools;

"(bb) establishing peer learning and coaching among teachers; or

"(cc) facilitating collaboration, including through professional communities across subject area and interdisciplinary groups and similar schools;

"(V) appropriately identifying teachers for each grade and course; and

"(VI) the development of effective leadership structures, supports, and clear decision making processes, such as through developing distributive leadership and leadership teams:

"(iv) improve curriculum and instruction, including through—

"(I) demonstrating the relevance of the curriculum and learning for all students, including instruction in all core academic subjects, and may include the use of online course-work as long as such course-work meets standards of quality and best practices for online education:

"(II) increasing access to rigorous and advanced course-work, including adoption and implementation of a college- and career-ready curriculum, and evidence-based, engaging instructional materials aligned with such a curriculum, for all students;

"(III) increasing access to contextualized learning opportunities aligned with readiness for postsecondary education and the workforce, such as providing—

"(aa) work-based, project-based, and service-learning opportunities; or

"(bb) a high-quality, college preparatory curriculum in the context of a rigorous career and technical education core;

"(IV) regularly collecting and using data to inform instruction, such as—

"(aa) through use of formative assessments;

"(bb) creating and using common grading rubrics; or

"(cc) identifying effective instructional approaches to meet student needs; and

"(V) emphasizing core skills instruction, such as literacy, across content areas;

"(v) provide students with academic and social support to address individual student learning needs, including through—

"(I) ensuring access to services and expertise of specialized instructional support personnel:

"(II) supporting students at the catch-up level of achievement who need intensive intervention;

"(III) increasing personalization of the school experience through learning structures that facilitate the development of student and staff relationships;

- "(IV) offering extended-learning, credit recovery, mentoring, or tutoring options of sufficient scale to meet student needs;
- "(V) providing evidence-based, accelerated learning for students with academic skill levels below grade level;
- "(VI) coordinating and increasing access to integrated services, such as providing specialized instructional support personnel;
- "(VII) providing transitional support between grade-spans, including postsecondary planning.
- "(VIII) meeting the diverse learning needs of all students through strategies such as a multi-tier system of supports and universal design for learning, as described in section 5429(b)(21); and
- "(IX) engaging families and community partners, including community-based organizations, organizations representing underserved populations, Indian tribes (as appropriate), organizations assisting parent involvement, institutions of higher education, and businesses, in school improvement activities through evidence-based strategies.
- "(E) SUBMISSION AND APPROVAL.—The school improvement team shall submit the school improvement plan to the local educational agency or the State educational agency, as determined by the State educational agency based on the local educational agency's ability to effectively monitor and support the school improvement activities. Upon receiving the plan, the local educational agency or the State educational agency, as appropriate, shall—
- "(i) establish a peer review process to assist with review of the school improvement plan; and
- "(ii) promptly review the plan, work with the school improvement team as necessary, and approve the plan if the plan meets the requirements of this paragraph.
- "(F) REVISION OF PLAN.—A school improvement team may revise the school improvement plan as additional information and data is available.
- "(G) IMPLEMENTATION.—A school with the support and assistance of the local educational agency shall implement the school improvement plan expeditiously, but not later than the beginning of the next full school year after identification for improvement.
- "(4) EVALUATION OF SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT.—
 "(A) IN GENERAL.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—
 "(i) REVIEW.—The State educational agen-
- "(1) REVIEW.—The State educational agency or local educational agency, as determined by the State in accordance with paragraph (3)(D) shall, annually, review data with respect to each school in need of support and each high-priority school to set clear benchmarks for progress, to guide adjustments and corrections, to evaluate whether the supports and interventions identified within the school improvement plan are effective and the school is meeting the targets for improvement established under its such plan, and to specify what actions ensue for schools not making progress.
- "(ii) DATA.—In carrying out the annual review under clause (i), the school, the local educational agency, or State educational agency shall measure progress on—
- "(I) student achievement, student growth, and graduation rates against the goals and targets established under section 1111(c)(2); and
- "(II) improvement indicators as established under section 1111(c)(1)(D).
- "(B) SCHOOLS IN NEED OF SUPPORT.—If, after 3 years of implementing its school improvement plan, a school in need of support does not meet the goals and targets under section 1111(c)(2) that were identified under the school improvement plan as not being met by the school and the improvement indica-

- tors established under section 1111(c)(1)(D), then—
- "(i) the local educational agency shall evaluate school performance and other data, and provide intensive assistance to that school in order to improve the effectiveness of the interventions; and
- "(ii) the State educational agency or the local educational agency, as determined by the State, shall determine whether the school shall partner with an external partner—
- $\mbox{``(I)}$ to revise the school improvement plan; and
- "(II) to improve, and as appropriate, revise, school improvement strategies that meet the requirements of paragraph (3)(B)(iii).
- "(C) High-priority schools.—If, after 3 years of implementing its school improvement plan, a high-priority school does not demonstrate progress on the goals and targets under section 1111(c)(2) that were identified under the school improvement plan as not being met by the school or the equity indicators established under section 1111(c)(1)(C), then—
- "(i) the local educational agency, in collaboration with the State educational agency, shall determine actionable next steps which may include school closure, replacement, or State take-over of such school, shall provide all students enrolled with new high-quality educational options;
- "(ii) the local educational agency, and as appropriate the State educational agency, shall develop and implement a plan to assist with any resulting transition of the school under clause (i) that—
- "(I) is developed in consultation with parents and the community;
- "(II) addresses the needs of the students at the school by considering strategies such as—
 - "(aa) opening a new school;
- "(bb) graduating out current students and closing the school in stages; and
- "(cc) enrolling the students who attended the school in other schools in the local educational agency that are higher achieving, provided the other schools are within reasonable proximity to the closed school and ensures receiving schools have the capacity to enroll incoming students; and
- "(III) provides information about highquality educational options and transition and support services to students who attended that school and their parents.
- ACHIEVING Persistently LOW SCHOOL.—If, after 5 years of implementing its school improvement plan, a persistently low achieving school does not demonstrate progress on the goals and targets under section 1111(c)(2) that were identified under the school improvement plan, then the local educational agency, in collaboration with the State educational agency, shall determine actionable next steps, which may include school closure, replacement, or State takeover of such school, and shall provide all students with enrolled new high-quality educational options, as described in subparagraph (C).
- "(c) LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY RESPONSIBILITIES.—A local educational agency served by this part, in supporting the schools identified as a school in need of support or a high-priority school served by the agency, shall—
- "(1) address resource inequities to improve student achievement by—
- "(A) targeting resources and support to those schools identified as high priority or as in need of support, including additional resources and staff necessary to implement the school improvement plan, as described in subsection (b)(3)(C)(iv)(V), and

- "(B) ensuring the local educational agency budget calendar is aligned with school staff and budgeting needs;
- "(2) address local educational agency-wide factors to improve student achievement by—
- "(A) supporting the use of data to improve teaching and learning through—
 - "(i) improving longitudinal data systems;
- "(ii) regularly analyzing and disseminating usable data to educators, parents, and students;
- "(iii) building the data and assessment literacy of teachers and principals; and
- "(iv) evaluating at kindergarten entry the kindergarten readiness of children and addressing the educational and development needs determined by such evaluation;
- "(B) addressing school transition needs of the local educational agency by—
- "(i) using kindergarten readiness data to consider improving access to high-quality early education opportunities; and
- "(ii) providing targeted research-based interventions to middle schools that feed into high schools identified for school improvement under this section:
- "(C) supporting human capital systems that ensure there is a sufficient pool of qualified and effective teachers and school leaders, as determined by the State or local educational agency, to work in schools served by the local educational agency:
- "(D) developing support for school improvement plans among key stakeholders such as parents and families, community groups representing underserved populations, Indian tribes (as appropriate), educators, and teachers;
- "(E) carrying out administrative duties under this section, including evaluation for school improvement and technical assistance for schools; and
- "(F) coordinating activities under this section with other relevant State and local agencies, as appropriate;
- "(3) supporting professional development activities for teachers, school leaders, and specialized instructional support personnel aligned to school improvement activities;
- "(4) address curriculum and instruction factors to improve student achievement by—
- "(A) ensuring curriculum alignment with the State's early learning standards and postsecondary education programs;
- "(B) providing academically rigorous education options such as—
- "(i) effective dropout prevention, credit and dropout recovery and recuperative education programs for disconnected youth and students who are not making sufficient progress to graduate high school in the standard number of years or who have dropped out of high school;
- "(ii) providing students with postsecondary learning opportunities, such as through access to a relevant curriculum or course of study that enables a student to earn a secondary school diploma and—
 - "(I) an associate's degree; or
- "(II) not more than 2 years of transferable credit toward a postsecondary degree or credential;
- "(iii) integrating rigorous academic education with career training, including training that leads to postsecondary credentials for students;
- "(iv) increasing access to Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate courses and examinations; or
- "(v) developing and utilizing innovative, high quality distance learning strategies to improve student academic achievement; and
- "(C) considering how technology can be used to support school improvement activities;
- "(5) address student support factors to improve student achievement by—

"(A) establishing an early warning indicator system to identify students who are at risk of dropping out of high school and to guide preventive and recuperative school improvement strategies, including—

"(i) identifying and analyzing the academic risk factors that most reliably predict dropouts by using longitudinal data of past cohorts of students;

"(ii) identifying specific indicators of student progress and performance, such as attendance, academic performance in core courses, and credit accumulation, to guide decision making;

"(iii) identifying or developing a mechanism for regularly collecting and analyzing data about the impact of interventions on the indicators of student progress and performance; and

"(iv) analyzing academic indicators to determine whether students are on track to graduate secondary school in the standard numbers of years; and

"(B) identifying and implementing strategies for pairing academic support with integrated student services and case-managed interventions for students requiring intensive supports which may include partnerships with other external partners;

"(6) promote family outreach and engagement in school improvement activities, including those required by section 1118, to improve student achievement:

"(7) for each school identified for school improvement, ensure the provision of technical assistance as the school develops and implements the school improvement plan throughout the plan's duration; and

"(8) identify school improvement strategies that are consistently improving student outcomes and disseminate those strategies so that all schools can implement them.

"(d) STATE EDUCATIONAL AGENCY RESPONSIBILITIES.—A State educational agency served by this part, in supporting schools identified as a school in need of support or a high-priority school and the local educational agencies serving such schools, shall—

"(1) assess and address local capacity constraints to ensure that its local educational agencies can meet the requirements of this section:

"(2) target resources and support to those schools in the State that are identified as a school in need of support or a high-priority school and to local educational agencies serving such schools, including additional resources necessary to implement the school improvement plan as described in subsection (b)(3)(C)(iv)(V):

"(3) provide support and technical assistance, including assistance to school leaders, teachers, and other staff, to assist local educational agencies and schools in using data to support school equity and in addressing the equity indicators described in section 1111(c)(1)(C);

"(4) identify school improvement strategies that are consistently improving student outcomes and disseminate those strategies so that all schools can implement them;

"(5) leverage resources from other funding sources, such as school improvement funds, technology funds, and professional development funds to support school improvement activities;

"(6) provide a statewide system of support, including regional support services, to improve teaching, learning, and student outcomes."

"(7) assist local educational agencies in developing early warning indicator systems;

"(8) with respect to schools that will work with external partners to improve student achievement—

"(A) develop and apply objective criteria to potential external partners that are based on a demonstrated record of effectiveness in school improvement;

"(B) maintain an updated list of approved external partners across the State;

"(C) develop, implement, and publicly report on standards and techniques for monitoring the quality and effectiveness of the services offered by approved external partners, and for withdrawing approval from external partners that fail to improve high-priority schools; and

"(D) may identify external partners as approved, consistent with the requirements under paragraph (7), who agree to provide services on the basis of receiving payments only when student achievement has increased at an appropriate level as determined by the State educational agency and school improvement team under subsection (b)(2); and

"(9) carry out administrative duties under this section, including providing monitoring and technical assistance to local educational agencies and schools.

"(e) RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed—

"(1) to alter or otherwise affect the rights, remedies, and procedures afforded school or local educational agency employees under Federal, State, or local laws (including applicable regulations or court orders) or under the terms of collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or other agreements between such employees and their employers;

"(2) to require a child to participate in an early learning program; or

"(3) to deny entry to kindergarten for any individual if the individual is legally eligible, as defined by State or local law.

"(f) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term 'external partner' means an entity—

"(1) that is an organization such as a nonprofit organization, community-based organization, local education fund, service organization, educational service agency, or institution of higher education; and

"(2) that has demonstrated expertise, effectiveness, and a record of success in providing evidence-based strategies and targeted support such as data analysis, professional development, or provision of nonacademic support and integrated student services to local educational agencies, schools, or students that leads to improved teaching, learning, and outcomes for students."

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 347, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. POLIS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Colorado.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, No Child Left Behind's metrics are outdated and rigid. On that we agree. But H.R. 5 in its current form abandons provisions that are crucial to ensuring equal educational opportunities for all of our Nation's students.

My amendment advances a more comprehensive and effective vision of accountability at the school district and State levels.

This new language expects States to set college- and career-ready standards rather than to allow them to dumb down their standards in order to inflate their results.

It also requires States to set performance growth and graduation rate targets that ensure that schools improve every year for all subgroups, including for students with disabilities.

One of the major deficiencies in H.R. 5 and one of the reasons that all of the advocacy groups for students with learning disabilities oppose the bill is it effectively removes the accountability we have for students with disabilities to ensure that they continue to learn.

There is currently a 1 percent cap on the students with the most severe disabilities who are not tested. H.R. 5 would eliminate the 1 percent cap on alternative assessments based on alternative achievement standards and would remove it altogether, allowing, ultimately, schools and States to decide not to have any accountability for those students who need programs that meet their learning needs the most.

□ 1700

The Democratic substitute amendment upholds our Nation's civil rights and equity responsibilities to ensure that all students receive a high-quality education

It reinstates the 1 percent cap on alternative assessments for students with disabilities. It makes sure that accountability is a meaningful word and takes meaningful steps toward getting accountability right, rather than allowing discrimination and bad choices to continue to result in an increasing achievement gap across our country.

This amendment is also reflected in the Democratic substitute and would make sure that we have an accountability system that prepares our students for the jobs and the workforce of the 21st century and to move on to higher education.

Absent including this language or the Democratic substitute in the final passage of the bill, the bill in its current form would be a step backward, a step to lower standards, a step to reduce accountability, and a step to allow deficiencies to be swept under the rug, as they once were.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. KLINE. Mr. Chairman, I claim time in opposition to the gentleman's amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Minnesota is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KLINE. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. Zeldin).

Mr. ZELDIN. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to this amendment.

My daughters just completed third grade, and I strongly support higher standards for them and their generation, but we need to set up our children to succeed, not fail. We need to stop federally mandated overtesting in our schools.

This amendment would be a giant leap backwards for education reform. Rather than reforming the failed policies of No Child Left Behind, this amendment embraces the most problematic portions, continuing to obsess over federally mandated performance standards and using that to measure teacher performance.

What is most insulting is that this proposal is so flawed that the sponsor needs to leverage Federal money to lure cash-strapped States to buy in because the proposal doesn't stand on its own merits.

Our schools need greater flexibility and local control. This amendment would do the exact opposite, which is why I strongly oppose its passage and encourage all my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Scott), the ranking member on the committee.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, the present law only requires that States identify achievement gaps and prescribes exactly what has to be done to address the achievement gaps.

Unfortunately, the one-size-fits-all prescription has often failed to effectively address the achievement gaps. The underlying bill goes overboard by eliminating any requirement that something gets done. The gentleman's amendment reinstates the requirement that something be done, but directs the States to develop their own locally tailored response to achievement gaps. This approach is much more likely to be effective and will be part of the Democratic substitute that will be voted on shortly.

Mr. Chairman, before we leave the bill, I would like to thank many members of our staff that have worked on this bill since January. They have spent days and nights and weekends working on the bill, and I would like to acknowledge them and their work today.

Denise Forte, Jacque Chevalier, Christian Haines, Ashlyn Holeyfield, Arika Trim, Tina Hone, Tylease Alli, Kiara Pesante, and Brian Kennedy all worked very hard on this bill and deserve significant recognition.

Mr. KLINE. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Utah (Mrs. LOVE).

Mrs. LOVE. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to this amendment. As a mayor and mainly as a mother—I have three children in public schools—I have found that the best solutions are found at the most local level.

This amendment puts a larger footprint in the hands of the Federal Government and gives more power to the Federal Government, instead of our local agencies. I believe that the best people to teach our students are the people at the local level. I trust teachers and parents to make decisions for students.

I made a promise that I was going to do everything I can to put the decision-making back into the hands of people, not into the hands of the Federal Government. I believe that this amendment actually puts it into the hands of the Federal Government and gives us a big step backwards.

I believe that we, as people, when we are given more options, we can make better decisions; and when we make

better decisions, we can do that at a local level and not at a Federal level. I ask that we vote against this amendment. I stand in opposition of this amendment.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chair, I would like to inquire as to how much time remains.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Colorado has 1¾ minutes remaining. The gentleman from Minnesota has 2¾ minutes remaining.

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Chairman, the gentlewoman from Utah talked about decisions and implementation at the local level. On that, we agree. What this amendment is about is accountability metrics under whether we look at those decisions that are made locally and driven locally and by the State work or don't work.

We want to allow the flexibility to get things right and close the achievement gap but not the flexibility to continue to ignore persistent gaps in our education system that continue to poorly serve too many low-income students and minority students.

Given that my amendment is included in its entirety in the Democratic substitute upon which we will be voting, I ask unanimous consent to withdraw my amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in part B of House Report 114–29 and part A of House Report 114–192 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendments printed in part B of House Report 114–29:

Amendment No. 30 by Mr. ZELDIN of New York.

Amendment No. 31 by Mr. Hurd of Texas.

Amendment No. 32 by Mr. GRAYSON of Florida.

Amendment No. 33 by Ms. Wilson of

Amendment No. 35 by Mr. Carson of Indiana.

Amendment No. 39 by Ms. Brownley

of California. Amendment No. 40 by Mr. LOEBSACK

of Iowa.
Amendment No. 41 by Mr. Polis of

Colorado.

Amendment No. 43 by Mr. Thompson of Mississippi.

Amendments printed in part A of House Report 114–192:

Amendment No. 46 by Mr. WALKER of

North Carolina.

Amendment No. 47 by Mr. SALMON of

And amendment No. 44 printed in part B of House Report 114–29 by Mr. Scott of Virginia.

The Chair will reduce to 2 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 30 OFFERED BY MR. ZELDIN The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. Zeldin) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 373, noes 57, not voting 3, as follows:

[Roll No. 410] AYES—373

Curbelo (FL) Abraham Hill Adams Aderholt Davis (CA) Holding Davis, Danny Hoyer Aguilar Davis, Rodney Hudson DeFazio Huelskamp Allen Amash DeGette Huffman Huizenga (MI) Amodei Delanev Ashford DeLauro Hultgren Babin DelBene Hunter Barletta Hurd (TX) Denham Barr Dent Hurt (VA) DeSantis Barton Israel DesJarlais Bass Issa Beatty Diaz-Balart Jackson Lee Jeffries Becerra Doggett Jenkins (KS) Benishek Dold Bera. Donovan Jenkins (WV) Bilirakis Doyle, Michael Johnson (OH) Bishop (GA) F Johnson, E. B Bishop (MI) Duckworth Johnson, Sam Bishop (UT) Duffy Jolly Duncan (SC) Black Jones Blackburn Duncan (TN) Jordan Blum Ellmers (NC) Joyce Bonamici Emmer (MN) Kaptur Bost Engel Katko Boustany Keating Boyle, Brendan Estv Kelly (IL) Farenthold Kelly (MS) F. Brady (TX) Kelly (PA) Fincher Fitzpatrick Brat Kennedy Bridenstine Kilmer Fleischmann Brooks (AL) Fleming Kind King (IA) Brooks (IN) Flores Brown (FL) Forbes King (NY) Brownley (CA) Fortenberry Kinzinger (IL) Buchanan Foxx Kirknatrick Frankel (FL) Buck Kline Bucshon Franks (AZ) Knight Burgess Frelinghuvsen Labrador Bustos Fudge LaMalfa Butterfield Gabbard Lamborn Garamendi Byrne Lance Calvert Garrett Langevin Gibbs Capuano Larsen (WA) Gibson Larson (CT) Cárdenas Carney Gohmert Latta Carter (GA) Goodlatte Lawrence Carter (TX) Lee Gosar Levin Cartwright Gowdy Castor (FL) Graham Lewis Lieu, Ted Castro (TX) Granger Graves (GA) Chabot Lipinski Chaffetz Graves (LA) LoBiondo Cicilline Graves (MO) Loebsack Clarke (NY) Grayson Long Clawson (FL) Green, Al Loudermilk Green, Gene Clyburn Love Coffman Griffith Lowey Cole Grothman Lucas Collins (GA) Guinta Luetkemeyer $Collins\;(NY)$ Guthrie Luján, Ben Ray Comstock Hahn (NM) Conaway Hanna Lummis Connolly Hardy Lynch MacArthur Cook Harper Cooper Harris Maloney, Costa Hartzler Carolyn Costello (PA) Maloney, Sean Hastings Heck (NV) Marchant Cramer Heck (WA) Marino Crawford Hensarling Massie Crenshaw Herrera Beutler Matsui Crowley Hice, Jody B. McCarthy Cuellar McCaul Higgins

Scalise

July 8, 2015 McClintock McGovern McHenry McKinley McMorris Rodgers McNerney McSally Meadows Meehan Meeks Meng Messer Mica Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Moore Moulton Mullin Mulvaney Murphy (FL) Murphy (PA) Nadler Neugebauer Newhouse Noem Norcross Nugent Nunes Olson Palazzo Pallone Palmer Paulsen Pearce Pelosi Perlmutter Perry Peters Peterson Pittenger Pitts Poe (TX) Poliquin Polis Pompeo Posey Price, Tom Quigley

Ratcliffe

Reed Stewart Reichert Stivers Renacci Stutzman Ribble Swalwell (CA) Rice (NY) Thompson (CA) Rice (SC) Thompson (MS) Richmond Thompson (PA) Rigell Thornberry Roby Roe (TN) Tiberi Tipton Rogers (AL) Titus Rogers (KY) Tonko Rohrabacher Trott Rokita Tsongas Rooney (FL) Turner Ros-Lehtinen Upton Roskam Valadao Ross Vargas Rothfus Veasey Rouzer Vela Roybal-Allard Royce Ruppersberger Visclosky Russell Wagner Ryan (WI) Walberg Salmon Walden Sánchez, Linda Walker T.

Velázquez Walorski Sanchez, Loretta Walters, Mimi Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Welch Wenstrup Westerman Westmoreland Sensenbrenner Whitfield Williams Wilson (FL) Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Yoder

Yoho

Zeldin

Zinke

Young (AK)

Young (IA)

Young (IN)

NOES-57

Sanford

Scalise

Schiff

Schakowsky

Schweikert

Scott (VA)

Serrano

Sessions

Shimkus

Shuster

Simpson

Slaughter

Smith (MO)

Smith (NE)

Smith (NJ)

Smith (TX)

Smith (WA)

Speier

Stefanik

Sewell (AL)

Scott, Austin

Scott, David

Grijalva Beyer Pocan Blumenauer Gutiérrez Price (NC) Brady (PA) Himes Rangel Hinojosa Capps Ruiz Carson (IN) Honda Rush Chu, Judy Johnson (GA) Ryan (OH) Kildee Clark (MA) Sarbanes Clav Kuster Schrader Cleaver Lowenthal Sherman Lujan Grisham Cohen Sinema (NM) Convers Sires McCollum Cummings Takai DeSaulnier McDermott Napolitano Takano Dingell Torres Edwards Van Hollen Ellison Nolan Farr O'Rourke Walz Fattah Pascrell Wasserman Foster Pavne Schultz Pingree Yarmuth Gallego

NOT VOTING-3

Culberson Deutch Lofgren

□ 1743

GRIJALVA, McDERMOTT. Messrs. CUMMINGS. NEAL, TAKAI, and COHEN changed their vote from "ave" to "no."

FUDGE. GOHMERT, Ms. Messrs. KEATING. HIGGINS. LABRADOR, AGUILAR, SWALWELL of California, Mlles. ESHOO. BASS, Messrs. CICILLINE. LANGEVIN, LEVIN, Mlles. LEWIS, BERA, MAXINE WATERS of California, VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. SERRANO, Mrs. BEATTY, Messrs. CROWLEY, NORCROSS, VARGAS, SCHAKOWSKY. CUELLAR, McGOVERN, BECERRA, TONKO, Mlles. SLAUGHTER, DUCKWORTH, and Mr. CONNOLLY changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 31 OFFERED BY MR. HURD

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HURD) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a minute vote

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 424, noes 2, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 411]

AYES-424

Clawson (FL) Abraham Forbes Fortenberry Adams Clay Aderholt Cleaver Foster Aguilar Clyburn Foxx Frankel (FL) Allen Coffman Amash Cohen Franks (AZ) Amodei Cole Frelinghuysen Collins (GA) Ashford Fudge Babin Collins (NY) Gabbard Barletta Comstock Gallego Garamendi Barr Conaway Barton Connolly Garrett Gibbs Bass Cook Beatty Gibson Cooper Becerra Costa Gohmert Costello (PA) Benishek Goodlatte Courtney Bera Gosar Bever Cramer Gowdy Bilirakis Crawford Graham Bishop (GA) Crenshaw Granger Graves (GA) Bishop (MI) Crowley Bishop (UT) Cuellar Graves (LA) Black Cummings Graves (MO) Blackburn Curbelo (FL) Gravson Davis (CA) Blum Green, Al Blumenauer Davis, Danny Green, Gene Bonamici DeFazio Griffith Bost DeGette Grijalva Boustany Delaney Grothman Bovle, Brendan DeLauro Guinta DelBene Guthrie Brady (PA) Denham Gutiérrez Brady (TX) Dent Hahn DeSantis Hanna Brat Bridenstine DeSaulnier Hardy Brooks (AL) DesJarlais Harper Brooks (IN) Diaz-Balart Harris Brown (FL) Dingell Hartzler Brownley (CA) Doggett Hastings Heck (NV) Buchanan Bucshon Donovan Heck (WA) Burgess Doyle, Michael Hensarling Herrera Beutler Bustos Butterfield Duckworth Hice, Jody B. Byrne Duffy Higgins Calvert Duncan (SC) Hill Capps Duncan (TN) Himes Capuano Edwards Hinojosa Ellison Cárdenas Holding Ellmers (NC) Carney Honda. Carson (IN) Emmer (MN) Hoyer Carter (GA) Engel Hudson Carter (TX) Huelskamp Eshoo Cartwright Esty Huffman Castor (FL) Farenthold Huizenga (MI) Castro (TX) Farr Hultgren Chabot Fattah Hunter Chaffetz Fincher Hurd (TX) Chu, Judy Fitzpatrick Hurt (VA) Cicilline Fleischmann Israel Clark (MA) Fleming Tasa Clarke (NY) Flores Jackson Lee

Johnson (GA) Johnson (OH) Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Jolly Jones Jordan Joyce Kaptur Katko Keating Kelly (IL) Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Kennedy Kildee Kilmer Kind King (IA) King (NY) Kinzinger (II.) Kirkpatrick Kline Knight Kuster Labrador LaMalfa Lamborn Lance Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latta Lawrence Lee Levin Lewis Lipinski LoBiondo Loebsack Long Loudermilk Love Lowenthal Lowey Lucas Luetkemever Lujan Grisham (NM) Luján, Ben Ray (NM) Lummis Lynch MacArthur Maloney, Carolyn Maloney, Sean Marchant Marino Massie Matsui McCarthy McCaul McClintock McCollum McDermott McGovern McHenry McKinlev McMorris Rodgers McNerney McSally Meadows Meehan Meeks Meng Messer Mica

Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Moore Moulton Mullin Mulvaney Murphy (FL) Murphy (PA) Nadler Napolitano Nea1 Neugebauer Newhouse Noem Nolan Norcross Nugent Nunes O'Rourke Olson Palazzo Pallone Palmer Pascrell Paulsen Payne Pearce Pelosi Perlmutter Perry Peters Peterson Pingree Pittenger Pitts Pocan Poe (TX) Poliquin Polis Pompeo Posey Price (NC) Price, Tom Quigley Rangel Ratcliffe Reed Reichert Renacci Ribble Rice (NY) Rice (SC) Richmond Rigell Roby Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rohrabacher Rokita Rooney (FL) Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross Rothfus Rouzer Roybal-Allard Royce Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Russell Rvan (OH) Rvan (WI) Salmon Sánchez, Linda T. Sanchez, Loretta Sanford Sarbanes NOES-2

Miller (FL)

Miller (MI)

Jeffries

Jenkins (KS)

Jenkins (WV)

Schakowsky Schiff Schrader Schweikert Scott (VA) Scott, Austin Scott, David Sensenbrenner Serrano Sessions Sewell (AL) Sherman Shimkus Shuster Simpson Sinema Sires Slaughter Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Speier Stefanik Stewart Stivers Swalwell (CA) Takai Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Titus Tonko Torres Trott Tsongas Turner Upton Valadao Van Hollen Vargas Veasey Vela Velázquez Visclosky Wagner Walherg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Welch Wenstrup Westerman Westmoreland Whitfield Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Yarmuth Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Young (IA) Young (IN) Zeldin Zinke

Wilson (FL)

NOT VOTING-7

Deutch Buck Culberson Lieu, Ted Davis Rodney Lofgren

Convers

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

Stutzman

 $\sqcap 1743$

So the amendment was agreed to.

Sherman

Sinema

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Chair. on rollcall No. 411, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present. I would have voted "yes."

Ms. WILSON of Florida. Mr. Chair, during rollcall vote No. 411 on H.R. 5, I mistakenly recorded my vote as "no" when I should have voted "yes."

AMENDMENT NO. 32 OFFERED BY MR. GRAYSON

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. GRAYSON) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 199, noes 228, not voting 6, as follows:

[Roll No. 412]

AYES-199 Adams Dent Langevin DeSaulnier Larsen (WA) Aguilar Ashford Larson (CT) Dingell Bass Doggett Lawrence Beatty Doyle, Michael Lee Levin Becerra Duckworth Bera. Lewis Lieu, Ted Edwards Beyer Bishop (GA) Ellison Lipinski Ellmers (NC) Bishop (UT) LoBiondo Blumenauer Engel Loebsack Bonamici Eshoo Lowenthal Lowey Boyle, Brendan Estv Lujan Grisham Farr Brady (PA) Fattah (NM) Luján, Ben Rav Brown (FL) Fitzpatrick Brownley (CA) Foster (NM) Bustos Butterfield Frankel (FL) MacArthur Fudge Malonev. Capps Gabbard Carolyn Capuano Gallego Maloney, Sean Garamendi Cárdenas Matsui Carney Garrett McCollum Carson (IN) Graham McDermott McGovern Cartwright Gravson Castor (FL) Green, Al McNerney Castro (TX) Green, Gene Meeks Chu, Judy Grijalva Meng Cicilline Gutiérrez Miller (MI) Clark (MA) Hahn Moore Hastings Moulton Clarke (NY) Heck (WA) Murphy (FL) Clay Cleaver Higgins Nadler Clyburn Himes Napolitano Cohen Hinojosa Neal Connolly Honda. Nolan Hoyer Conyers Norcross Huffman O'Rourke Cooper Costa Israel Costello (PA) Jackson Lee Pascrell Courtney Jeffries Pelosi Johnson (GA) Perlmutter Crowley Cuellar Johnson, E. B. Peters Cummings Jones Pingree Curbelo (FL) Kaptur Pocan Davis (CA) Keating Polis Price (NC) Davis, Danny Kelly (IL) Davis, Rodney Kennedy Quigley DeFazio Kildee Rangel DeGette Kilmer Rice (NY) Delaney Richmond Kind Kirkpatrick DeLauro Rogers (AL) DelRene Kuster Ros-Lehtinen Denham Lance Ross

Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Rvan (OH) Sánchez, Linda Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes

Schiff

Abraham

Aderholt

Allen

Amash

Babin

Amodei

Barletta

Barton

Benishek

Bilirakis

Black

Blum

Bost

Brat

Bishop (MI)

Blackburn

Boustany

Brady (TX)

Bridenstine

Brooks (AL)

Brooks (IN)

Buchanan

Bucshon

Burgess

Byrne

Calvert

Chabot

Chaffetz

Coffman

Cole

Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)

Clawson (FL)

Collins (GA)

Collins (NY)

Comstock

Conaway

Cook

Cramer

Crawford

Crenshaw

DeSantis

Donovan

Dold

Duffv

DesJarlais

Diaz-Balart

Duncan (SC)

Duncan (TN)

Emmer (MN)

Fleischmann

Fortenberry

Franks (AZ)

Frelinghuysen

Farenthold

Fincher

Fleming

Flores

Forbes

Foxx

Gibbs

Gibson

Gosar

Gowdy

Granger

Graves (GA)

Graves (LA)

Graves (MO)

Grothman

Guinta

Guthrie

Hanna

Hardy

Deutch

Gohmert

Goodlatte

Buck

Slaughter Speier Swalwell (CA) Takai Takano Thompson (CA) Schakowsky Thompson (MS) Titus Scott (VA) Tonko Scott, David Torres Sewell (AL) Van Hollen

Harper

NOES-228

Pitts Poe (TX) Hartzler Heck (NV) Poliquin Hensarling Pompeo Herrera Beutler Posey Price, Tom Hice, Jody B. Hill Ratcliffe Holding Reed Hudson Reichert Huelskamp Renacci Huizenga (MI) Ribble Hultgren Rice (SC) Hunter Rigell Hurd (TX) Roby Roe (TN) Hurt (VA) Issa Rohrabacher Jenkins (KS) Rokita. Jenkins (WV) Rooney (FL) Johnson (OH) Roskam Johnson, Sam Rothfus Jolly Rouzer Jordan Rovce Joyce Russell Katko Ryan (WI) Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Salmon King (IA) Sanford Scalise King (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Schrader Schweikert Kline Scott, Austin Knight Sensenbrenner Labrador LaMalfa Sessions Shimkus Lamborn Latta Shuster Long Simpson Loudermilk Smith (MO) Love Smith (NE) Lucas Smith (NJ) Luetkemever Smith (TX) Lummis Smith (WA) Lynch Stefanik Marchant Stewart Marino Stutzman Massie McCarthy Thornberry McCaul Tiberi McClintock Tipton McHenry Trott McKinley Turner McMorris Upton Rodgers Valadao McSally Wagner Meadows Walberg Meehan Walden Messer Walker Mica. Walorski Miller (FL) Walters, Mimi Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Mullin Wenstrup Mulvaney Westerman Murphy (PA) Neugebauer Westmoreland Whitfield Newhouse Williams Noem Wilson (SC) Nugent Wittman Nunes Womack Olson Palazzo Woodall Palmer Yoder Yoho Paulsen Young (IA) Payne Pearce Young (IN) Zeldin Perrv Peterson Zinke

Schultz Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Welch Wilson (FL) Yarmuth Young (AK) Pittenger

Vargas

Veasev

Velázquez

Visclosky

Wasserman

Vela

Walz.

Thompson (PA)

\Box 1746

There is 1 minute remaining.

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).

AMENDMENT NO. 33 OFFERED BY MS. WILSON OF FLORIDA

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WIL-SON) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 192, noes 237, not voting 4, as follows:

[Roll No. 413] AYES-192

Adams Edwards Luján, Ben Ray (NM) Aguilar Ellison Ashford Engel Lynch Bass Eshoo Malonev. Beatty Estv Carolyn Maloney, Sean Becerra Farr Bera Fattah Matsui Bever McCollum Foster Bishop (GA) Frankel (FL) McDermott Blumenauer Fudge McGovern Bonamici Gabbard McNerney Boyle, Brendan Gallego McSally Garamendi F Meeks Brady (PA) Graham Meng Brown (FL) Grayson Moore Brownley (CA) Green, Al Moulton Green, Gene Murphy (FL) Bustos Butterfield Grijalva Nadler Capps Gutiérrez Napolitano Capuano Hahn Neal Cárdenas Hastings Nolan Carnev Heck (WA) Norcross Carson (IN) Higgins O'Rourke Cartwright Himes Pallone Pascrell Castor (FL) Hinojosa Castro (TX) Honda Payne Chu, Judy Cicilline Hover Pelosi Perlmutter Huffman Clark (MA) Israel Peters Clarke (NY) Jackson Lee Peterson Clay Jeffries Pingree Cleaver Johnson (GA) Pocan Clyburn Johnson, E. B. Polis Price (NC) Cohen Kaptur Connolly Keating Quigley Kelly (IL) Convers Rangel Cooper Kennedy Rice (NY) Costa Kildee Richmond Costello (PA) Kilmer Ros-Lehtinen Courtney Roybal-Allard Kind Crowley Kirkpatrick Ruiz Ruppersberger Cuellar Kuster Langevin Cummings Rush Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Curbelo (FL) Ryan (OH) Sanchez, Linda Davis (CA) Davis, Danny Lawrence DeFazio DeGette Lee Sanchez, Loretta Levin Sarbanes Schakowsky Delaney Lewis Lieu, Ted DeLauro DelBene Schiff Schrader Lipinski DeSaulnier LoBiondo Scott (VA) Dingell Loebsack Scott, David Lowenthal Serrano Doggett Sewell (AL) Doyle, Michael Lowey Lujan Grisham

(NM)

Duckworth

Sherman

Simpson

NOT VOTING-

Culberson Griffith Rogers (KY) Stivers Lofgren

Sinema Titus Tonko Sires Slaughter Torres Smith (WA) Tsongas Speier Van Hollen Swalwell (CA) Vargas Takai Veasey Takano Vela Thompson (CA) Velázquez Visclosky Thompson (MS)

Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Welch Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

Perry

Pitts

Pittenger

Poe (TX)

Poliquin

Pompeo

Price, Tom

Ratcliffe

Reichert

Renacci

Rice (SC)

Roe (TN)

Rokita

Roskam

Rothfus

Rouzer

Rovce

Russell

Salmon

Sanford

Scalise

Sessions

Shimkus

Shuster

Smith (MO)

Smith (NE)

Smith (N.I)

Smith (TX)

Stefanik

Stewart

Stivers

Tiberi

Tipton

Turner

Upton

Valadao

Wagner

Walberg

Walden

Walker

Walorski

Walters, Mimi

Weber (TX)

Wenstrup

Whitfield

Williams

Wittman

Womack

Woodall

Yoder

Yoho

Zeldin

Zinke

Wilson (SC)

Young (AK)

Young (IA)

Young (IN)

Westerman

Westmoreland

Webster (FL)

Trott

Stutzman

Thornberry

Thompson (PA)

Ryan (WI)

Schweikert

Scott, Austin

Sensenbrenner

Ross

Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)

Rohrabacher

Rooney (FL)

Ribble

Rigell

Roby

Posey

Reed

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

\Box 1750

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 35 OFFERED BY MR. CARSON OF INDIANA

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. CARSON) which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

Clerk will redesignate The the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 186, noes 245, not voting 2, as follows:

[Roll No. 414]

AYES-186 Ellison Adams Lvnch Aguilar Engel Maloney. Ashford Eshoo Carolyn Bass Estv Maloney, Sean Beatty Farr Matsui McCollum Becerra Fattah Bera Foster McDermott Frankel (FL) McGovern Bever Bishop (GA) Fudge McNerney Gabbard Blumenauer Meeks Gallego Meng Bonamici Boyle, Brendan Garamendi Moore F Graham Moulton Brady (PA) Murphy (FL) Grayson Brown (FL) Green, Al Nadler Brownley (CA) Green Gene Napolitano Bustos Grijalva Neal Butterfield Gutiérrez Nolan Capps Hahn Norcross Hastings O'Rourke Capuano Cárdenas Heck (WA) Pallone Carnev Higgins Pascrell Carson (IN) Himes Payne Cartwright Hinojosa Pelosi Castor (FL) Honda Perlmutter Castro (TX) Hoyer Peters Chu, Judy Huffman Pingree Cicilline Israel Pocan Jackson Lee Clark (MA) Polis Clarke (NY) Jeffries Price (NC) Johnson (GA) Clav Quigley Cleaver Johnson, E. B. Rangel Rice (NY) Clyburn Kaptur Keating Richmond Cohen Connolly Kelly (IL) Roybal-Allard Convers Kennedy Ruiz Cooper Kildee Ruppersberger Costa Kilmer Rush Rvan (OH) Courtney Kind Sánchez, Linda Kirkpatrick Crowley Cuellar Kuster Т. Langevin Sanchez, Loretta Cummings Davis (CA) Larsen (WA) Sarbanes Davis, Danny Larson (CT) Schakowsky DeFazio Lawrence Schiff DeGette Lee Schrader Scott (VA) Scott, David Delaney Levin DeLauro Lewis Lieu, Ted DelBene Serrano Sewell (AL) DeSaulnier Lipinski Deutch Loebsack Sherman Dingell Lowenthal Sinema Lowey Lujan Grisham Sires Slaughter Doggett Doyle, Michael (NM) Smith (WA) Luján, Ben Ray (NM) Duckworth Edwards Speier Swalwell (CA)

Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tonko Torres Tsongas

Van Hollen Vargas Veasev Vela Velázquez Visclosky Walz

Wasserman Schultz Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Welch Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

NOES-245 Abraham Grothman Pearce Aderholt Guinta Perry Allen Guthrie Peterson Amash Hanna Pittenger Amodei Hardy Pitts Babin Harper Poe (TX) Barletta Poliquin Harris Barr Hartzler Pompeo Heck (NV) Posey Price, Tom Barton Benishek Hensarling Herrera Beutler Ratcliffe Bilirakis Bishop (MI) Hice, Jody B. Reed Reichert Bishop (UT) Hill Holding Black Renacci Blackburn Hudson Ribble Blum Huelskamp Rice (SC) Bost Huizenga (MI) Rigell Boustany Hultgren Roby Roe (TN) Brady (TX) Hunter Rogers (AL) Brat Hurd (TX) Bridenstine Hurt (VA) Rogers (KY) Brooks (AL) Rohrabacher Issa Jenkins (KS) Brooks (IN) Rokita Rooney (FL) Jenkins (WV) Buchanan Buck Johnson (OH) Ros-Lehtinen Bucshon Johnson, Sam Roskam Burgess Jolly Ross Rothfus Calvert Jordan Rouzer Carter (GA) Joyce Royce Carter (TX) Katko Russell Chabot Kelly (MS) Ryan (WI) Kelly (PA) Chaffetz Salmon Clawson (FL) King (IA) Sanford Coffman King (NY) Scalise Schweikert Kinzinger (IL) Cole Collins (GA) Scott, Austin Kline Collins (NY) Knight Sensenbrenner Comstock Labrador Sessions Conaway LaMalfa Shimkus Cook Lamborn Shuster Costello (PA) Simpson Lance Cramer Latta Smith (MO) Crawford LoBiondo Smith (NE) Crenshaw Smith (NJ) Long Curbelo (FL) Loudermilk Smith (TX) Davis, Rodney Love Stefanik Denham Stewart Lucas Dent Luetkemever Stivers DeSantis Lummis Stutzman DesJarlais MacArthur Thompson (PA) Thornberry Diaz-Balart Marchant Dold Marino Tiberi Donovan Massie Tipton Duffy Duncan (SC) McCarthy Trott McCaul Turner Duncan (TN) McClintock Upton Ellmers (NC) McHenry Valadao Emmer (MN) McKinley Wagner Farenthold McMorris Walberg Fincher Rodgers Walden Fitzpatrick McSally Walker Fleischmann Meadows Walorski Walters, Mimi Fleming Meehan Messer Weber (TX) Flores Forbes Mica Webster (FL) Miller (FL) Wenstrup Fortenberry Foxx Miller (MI) Westerman Franks (AZ) Moolenaar Westmoreland Mooney (WV) Whitfield Frelinghuvsen Garrett Mullin Williams Wilson (SC) Gibbs Mulvanev Murphy (PA) Gibson Wittman Neugebauer Gohmert Womack Goodlatte Newhouse Woodall Gosar Noem Yoder Gowdy Nugent Yoho Young (AK) Granger Nunes Young (IA) Graves (GA) Olson Graves (LA) Palazzo Young (IN) Graves (MO) Palmer Zeldin

NOT VOTING-2

Zinke

Paulsen

Culberson Lofgren

Griffith

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

NOES-237

Guinta Abraham Aderholt Guthrie Allen Hanna Amash Hardy Amodei Harper Babin Harris Barletta Hartzler Heck (NV) Barr Barton Hensarling Benishek Herrera Beutler **Bilirakis** Hice, Jody B. Bishop (MI) Hill Holding Bishop (UT) Hudson Black Blackburn Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) Blum Hultgren Bost Boustany Hunter Brady (TX) Hurd (TX) Brat Hurt (VA) Bridenstine Issa. Jenkins (KS) Brooks (AL) Brooks (IN) Jenkins (WV) Buchanan Johnson (OH) Bucshon Johnson, Sam Burgess Jolly Jones Byrne Calvert Jordan Carter (GA) Joyce Carter (TX) Katko Chabot Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Chaffetz Clawson (FL) King (IA) Coffman King (NY) Cole Kinzinger (IL) Collins (GA) Kline Collins (NY) Knight Comstock Labrador LaMalfa Conaway Cook Lamborn Cramer Lance Crawford Latta Crenshaw Long Davis, Rodney Loudermilk Denham Love Dent Lucas DeSantis Luetkemever DesJarlais Lummis Diaz-Balart MacArthur Dold Marchant Donovan Marino Duffy Massie Duncan (SC) McCarthy Duncan (TN) McCaul Ellmers (NC) McClintock Emmer (MN) McHenry Farenthold McKinley Fincher McMorris Fitzpatrick Rodgers Fleischmann Meadows Fleming Meehan Flores Messer

> Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Mullin Mulvaney Murphy (PA) Neugebauer Newhouse

Miller (FL)

Miller (MI)

Mica.

Gosar Noem Gowdy Nugent Granger Nunes Graves (GA) Olson Graves (LA) Palazzo Graves (MO) Palmer Griffith Paulsen Grothman Pearce

NOT VOTING-

Buck Deutch Culberson Lofgren

Forbes

Foxx

Garrett

Gibbs

Gibson

Gohmert

Goodlatte

Fortenberry

Franks (AZ)

Frelinghuysen

□ 1754

COSTELLO of Pennsylvania Mr. changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 39 OFFERED BY MS. BROWNLEY OF CALIFORNIA

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from California pro-BROWNLEY) on which further ceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a minute vote

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 191, noes 239, not voting 3, as follows:

[Roll No. 415]

AYES-191

Eshoo Adams Maloney. Carolyn Aguilar Estv Ashford Maloney, Sean Bass Fattah Marchant Beatty Matsui Foster Becerra McCollum Frankel (FL) Bera. McDermott Fudge Beyer McGovern Gabbard Bishop (GA) McNernev Gallego McSally Blumenauer Garamendi Bonamici Meeks Gibson Meng Boyle, Brendan Graham Grayson Moore Brady (PA) Moulton Green, Al Murphy (FL) Brown (FL) Green, Gene Brownley (CA) Nadler Grijalya. Napolitano Bustos Gutiérrez Butterfield Nea1 Hahn Nolan Capps Hastings Capuano Norcross Heck (WA) Cárdenas O'Rourke Higgins Pallone Carney Himes Carson (IN) Pascrell Hinojosa Cartwright Pavne Honda Castor (FL) Pelosi Hoyer Castro (TX) Perlmutter Huffman Chu. Judy Peters Israel Cicilline Pingree Jackson Lee Pocan Clark (MA) Jeffries Polis Clarke (NY) Johnson (GA) Price (NC) Clay Johnson, E. B. Cleaver Quigley Kaptur Clyburn Rangel Katko Cohen Rice (NY) Keating Connolly Richmond Kelly (IL) Ros-Lehtinen Convers Kennedy Cooper Roybal-Allard Kildee Costa Ruiz Courtney Kilmer Ruppersberger Kind Crowley Kirkpatrick Rvan (OH) Cuellar Kuster Sánchez, Linda Cummings Langevin Davis (CA) Larsen (WA) Sanchez, Loretta Davis, Danny Larson (CT) DeFazio Sarbanes DeGette Lawrence Schakowsky Lee Delanev Schiff Levin DeLauro Schrader Scott (VA) Scott, David DelBene Lewis Lieu, Ted DeSaulnier Lipinski Deutch Serrano Sewell (AL) Dingell Loebsack Lowenthal Doggett Sherman Doyle, Michael Lowey Sinema Lujan Grisham Sires Slaughter Duckworth (NM)

Luján, Ben Ray

(NM)

Lynch

Edwards

Ellison

Engel

Smith (WA)

Speier Swalwell (CA)

Takai Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tonko Torres Tsongas

Abraham

Aderholt

Amash

Amodei

Barletta

Babin

Barr

Barton

Black

Blum

Bost

Brat

Buck

Bucshon

Burgess

Byrne

Chabot

Chaffetz

Coffman

Cole

Benishek

Bilirakis

Bishop (MI)

Bishop (UT)

Blackburn

Boustany

Brady (TX)

Bridenstine

Brooks (AL)

Brooks (IN)

Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)

Clawson (FL)

Collins (GA)

Collins (NY)

Costello (PA)

Curbelo (FL)

Davis, Rodney

Comstock

Conaway

Cramer

Crawford

Crenshaw

Denham

DeSantis

Donovan

DesJarlais

Diaz-Balart

Duncan (SC)

Duncan (TN)

Ellmers (NC)

Emmer (MN)

Farenthold

Fitzpatrick

Fleischmann

Fortenberry

Franks (AZ)

Frelinghuysen

Fincher

Fleming

Flores

Forbes

Foxx

Garrett

Gohmert

Goodlatte

Gibbs

Gosar

Gowdy

Granger

Graves (GA)

Graves (LA)

Graves (MO)

Dent

Dold

Duffy

Cook

Buchanan

Van Hollen Wasserman Schultz Vargas Veasev Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Vela Velázquez Welch Wilson (FL) Visclosky Walz Yarmuth

Pearce

Peterson

Perrv

NOES-239

Griffith

Guinta

Grothman

Guthrie Pittenger Hanna Pitts Poe (TX) Hardy Harper Poliquin Harris Pompeo Posey Price, Tom Hartzler Heck (NV) Hensarling Ratcliffe Herrera Beutler Reed Hice, Jody B. Reichert Hill Renacci Holding Ribble Rice (SC) Hudson Huelskamp Rigell Huizenga (MI) Roby Roe (TN) Hultgren Hunter Hurd (TX) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Hurt (VA) Rohrabacher Rokita Issa Rooney (FL) Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Roskam Johnson (OH) Ross Johnson, Sam Rothfus Jolly Rouzer Jones Rovce Jordan Russell Joyce Ryan (WI) Kelly (MS) Salmon Kelly (PA) Sanford King (IA) Scalise King (NY) Schweikert Kinzinger (IL) Scott, Austin Kline Sensenbrenner Knight Sessions Labrador Shimkus LaMalfa Shuster Lamborn Simpson Smith (MO) Lance Smith (NE) Latta LoBiondo Smith (NJ) Long Smith (TX) Loudermilk Stefanik Love Stewart Lucas Stivers Luetkemeyer Stutzman Thompson (PA) Lummis MacArthur Thornberry Tiberi Marino Massie Tipton McCarthy Trott McCaul Turner McClintock Upton McHenry Valadao Wagner McKinley McMorris Walberg Rodgers Walden Meadows Walker Meehan Walorski Messer Walters, Mimi Mica Weber (TX) Miller (FL) Webster (FL) Miller (MI) Wenstrup Moolenaar Westerman Mooney (WV) Whitfield Mullin Williams Wilson (SC) Mulvanev Murphy (PA) Wittman Neugebauer Womack Newhouse Woodall Yoder Noem Nugent Yoho Young (AK) Nunes Olson Young (IA) Palazzo Young (IN) Palmer Zeldin Zinke Paulsen

NOT VOTING-3

Culberson Lofgren Westmoreland

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR.

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1757

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 40 OFFERED BY MR. LOEBSACK

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. LOEBSACK) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 218, noes 213, not voting 2, as follows:

[Roll No. 416]

AYES-218 Adams Ellison Lujan Grisham (NM) Luján, Ben Ray Aguilar Engel Ashford Eshoo Bass (NM) Esty Beatty Farr Lynch Becerra Fattah Maloney, Bera Fitzpatrick Carolyn Bever Foster Maloney, Sean Bishop (GA) Frankel (FL) Marino Blum Fudge Matsui Gabbard Blumenauer McCollum McDermott Bonamici Gallego Garamendi Bost. McGovern Boyle, Brendan Gibson McKinley Graham McNerney Brady (PA) Graves (MO) McSallv Brown (FL) Gravson Meeks Brownley (CA) Green, Al Meng Mooney (WV) Burgess Green Gene Griffith Bustos Moore Butterfield Grijalva Moulton Murphy (FL) CappsGutiérrez Capuano Hahn Nadler Cárdenas Hanna Napolitano Carnev Hastings Nea1 Nolan Carson (IN) Heck (WA) Cartwright Herrera Beutler Norcross Castor (FL) Higgins O'Rourke Castro (TX) Himes Pallone Chu, Judy Hinojosa Pascrell Cicilline Honda Pavne Clark (MA) Hoyer Pearce Clarke (NY) Huffman Pelosi Perlmutter Clav Israel Cleaver Jackson Lee Peters Clyburn Jeffries Peterson Jenkins (WV) Cohen Pingree Connolly Johnson (GA) Pocan Convers Johnson, E. B. Polis Price (NC) Kaptur Cooper Costa Katko Quigley Costello (PA) Keating Rangel Courtney Kelly (IL) Reichert Rice (NY) Crowley Kennedy Cuellar Kildee Richmond Rooney (FL) Cummings Kilmer Davis (CA) Roybal-Allard Kind Kirkpatrick Davis, Danny Ruiz Ruppersberger Davis, Rodney Kuster DeFazio Langevin Rush DeGette Larsen (WA) Ryan (OH) Delaney Larson (CT) Sánchez, Linda DeLauro DelBene Lawrence Sanchez, Loretta Lee Levin Dent Sarbanes Lewis Lieu, Ted DeSaulnier Schakowsky Deutch Schiff Dingell Lipinski Schrader LoBiondo Scott (VA) Scott, David Doggett Doyle, Michael Loebsack Lowenthal Serrano

Lowey

Lucas

Duckworth

Edwards

Sewell (AL)

Sherman

Welch

Zeldin

Wilson (FL)

Yarmuth

July 8, 2015 Thompson (MS) Simpson Walz Sinema Thompson (PA) Wasserman Sires Titus Schultz Slaughter Tonko Waters, Maxine Smith (WA) Torres Watson Coleman Speier Tsongas Welch Stefanik Van Hollen Whitfield Stivers Wilson (FL) Vargas Swalwell (CA) Veasev Yarmuth Young (AK) Takai Vela. Young (IA) Velázquez Takano Thompson (CA) Visclosky Zinke NOES-213 Abraham Grothman Perry Aderholt Guinta Pittenger Guthrie Allen Pitts Amash Hardy Poe (TX) Amodei Harper Poliquin Babin Harris Pompeo Barletta Hartzler Posey Barr Heck (NV) Price, Tom Barton Hensarling Ratcliffe Hice, Jody B. Benishek Reed Bilirakis Hill Renacci Bishop (MI) Holding Ribble Hudson Bishop (UT) Rice (SC) Black Huelskamp Rigell Blackburn Huizenga (MI) Robv Boustany Hultgren Roe (TN) Brady (TX) Hunter Rogers (AL) Hurd (TX) Brat Rogers (KY) Bridenstine Hurt (VA) Rohrabacher Brooks (AL) Issa. Rokita Jenkins (KS) Brooks (IN) Ros-Lehtinen Buchanan Johnson (OH) Roskam Buck Johnson, Sam Ross Bucshon Jolly Rothfus Byrne Jones Jordan Rouzer Calvert Carter (GA) Joyce Kelly (MS) Royce Russell Carter (TX) Ryan (WI) Kelly (PA) Chabot Chaffetz Salmon King (IA) Sanford Clawson (FL) King (NY) Coffman Kinzinger (IL) Scalise Cole Collins (GA) Kline Knight Schweikert Scott, Austin Collins (NY) Labrador Sensenbrenner Comstock LaMalfa Sessions Conaway Lamborn Shimkus Cook Lance Shuster Cramer Latta Smith (MO) Crawford Long Smith (NE) Loudermilk Crenshaw Smith (NJ) Curbelo (FL) Love Smith (TX) Luetkemeyer Denham Stewart DeSantis Lummis Stutzman Des Jarlais MacArthur Thornberry Diaz-Balart Marchant Tiberi Dold Massie Tipton Donovan McCarthy Trott Duffy McCaul Turner Duncan (SC) McClintock Upton Duncan (TN) McHenry Valadao Ellmers (NC) McMorris Wagner Emmer (MN) Rodgers Walberg Farenthold Meadows Walden Fincher Meehan Walker Fleischmann Messer Walorski Fleming Mica. Walters, Mimi Miller (FL) Flores Weber (TX) Forbes Miller (MI) Webster (FL) Fortenberry Moolenaar Wenstrup Foxx Mullin Westerman Franks (AZ) Mulvaney Murphy (PA) Westmoreland Frelinghuvsen Williams Neugebauer Wilson (SC) Gibbs Newhouse Wittman Gohmert Noem Goodlatte Womack Nugent Woodall Gosar Nunes Yoder Gowdy Olson Yoho Granger Palazzo Young (IN) Graves (GA) Palmer Graves (LA) Zeldin Paulsen

NOT VOTING-2

Culberson Lofgren

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1801

Mr. YOUNG of Iowa changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

Engel

Eshoo

Matsui

McCollum

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 41 OFFERED BY MR. POLIS

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. Polis) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

Clerk The will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 205, noes 224, not voting 4, as follows:

[Roll No. 417]

AYES-205 Adams Esty McDermott Aguilar Farr McGovern Ashford Fattah McKinley Bass McNerney Foster Frankel (FL) Beatty McSally Becerra Meeks Fudge Meng Bera Gabbard Miller (MI) Bever Gallego Bishop (GA) Garamendi Moore Blumenauer Gibson Moulton Bonamici Graham Murphy (FL) Boyle, Brendan Grayson Nadler F Green, Al Napolitano Brady (PA) Green, Gene Nea1 Brown (FL) Nolan Grijalva Brownley (CA) Gutiérrez Norcross Hahn O'Rourke Bustos Butterfield Hanna Pallone Capps Hastings Pascrell Heck (WA) Capuano Payne Cárdenas Higgins Carnev Himes Perlmutter Carson (IN) Hinojosa Peters Cartwright Honda Peterson Castor (FL) Hover Pingree Castro (TX) Huffman Pocan Chu, Judy Israel Polis Price (NC) Jackson Lee Cicilline Clark (MA) Jeffries Quigley Jenkins (WV) Clarke (NY) Rangel Rice (NY) Clav Johnson (GA) Cleaver Johnson, E. B. Richmond Clyburn Kaptur Ros-Lehtinen Cohen Katko Rovbal-Allard Connolly Keating Ruiz Conyers Kelly (IL) Ruppersberger Cooper Kennedy Rush Ryan (OH) Costa Kildee Costello (PA) Kilmer Sanchez, Linda Т. Kind Courtney King (NY) Sanchez, Loretta Crowley Cuellar Kirkpatrick Sarbanes Schakowsky Cummings Kuster Langevin Curbelo (FL) Schiff Davis (CA) Larsen (WA) Schrader Davis, Danny Larson (CT) Scott (VA) Davis, Rodney Lawrence Scott, David DeFazio Lee Serrano Sewell (AL) DeGette Levin Delaney Lewis Sherman Lieu. Ted DeLauro Simpson DelBene Lipinski Sinema Dent LoBiondo Sires Slaughter DeSaulnier Loebsack Deutch Lowenthal Smith (WA) Speier Swalwell (CA) Dingell Lowey Lujan Grisham Doggett Dold (NM) Takai Takano Luján, Ben Ray (NM) Donovan Doyle, Michael Thompson (CA) Lynch Thompson (MS) Duckworth Edwards Maloney Titus Tonko Carolyn Maloney, Sean Ellison Torres

Tsongas Van Hollen

Walz Veasey Wasserman Schultz Vela Velázquez Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Visclosky

NOES-224

Abraham Grothman Pitts Aderholt Guinta Poe (TX) Allen Guthrie Poliquin Amash Hardy Pompeo Posey Price, Tom Amodei Harper Babin Harris Barletta Hartzler Ratcliffe Barr Heck (NV) Reed Barton Reichert Hensarling Benishek Herrera Beutler Renacci Bilirakis Hice, Jody B. Ribble Rice (SC) Bishop (MI) Hill. Holding Bishop (UT) Rigell Black Hudson Roby Blackburn Huizenga (MI) Roe (TN) Blum Hultgren Rogers (AL) Bost. Hunter Rogers (KY) Hurd (TX) Boustany Rohrabacher Brady (TX) Rokita Issa Rooney (FL) Jenkins (KS) Brat Bridenstine Johnson (OH) Roskam Brooks (AL) Johnson, Sam Rothfus Brooks (IN) Jolly Buchanan Rouzer Jones Buck Jordan Royce Bucshon Jovce Russell Kelly (MS) Burgess Ryan (WI) Kelly (PA) Byrne Salmon Calvert King (IA) Sanford Carter (GA) Kinzinger (IL) Scalise Carter (TX) Schweikert Kline Knight Scott, Austin Chabot Chaffetz Labrador Sensenbrenner Clawson (FL) Sessions Shimkus LaMalfa Coffman Lamborn Cole Lance Shuster Collins (GA) Latta Smith (MO) Collins (NY) Smith (NE) Long Comstock Loudermilk Smith (NJ) Conaway Love Smith (TX) Cook Lucas Stefanik Luetkemeyer Cramer Stewart Crawford Lummis Stivers Crenshaw MacArthur Stutzman Denham Marchant Thompson (PA) Thornberry DeSantis Marino DesJarlais Massie Tiberi Diaz-Balart McCarthy Tipton Duffv McCaul Trott Duncan (SC) McClintock Turner Duncan (TN) McHenry Upton Ellmers (NC) McMorris Valadao Emmer (MN) Rodgers Wagner Farenthold Meadows Walberg Fincher Meehan Walden Fitzpatrick Messer Walker Fleischmann Mica Walorski Miller (FL) Walters, Mimi Fleming Moolenaar Weber (TX) Forbes Mooney (WV) Webster (FL) Fortenberry Mullin Wenstrup Mulvaney Westerman Franks (AZ) Murphy (PA) Westmoreland Frelinghuvsen Neugebauer Whitfield Newhouse Williams Garrett Gibbs Noem Wilson (SC) Gohmert Nugent Wittman Goodlatte Womack Nunes Gosar Olson Woodall Gowdy Palazzo Yoder Granger Palmer Yoho Graves (GA) Paulsen Young (AK) Graves (LA) Pearce Young (IA) Graves (MO) Young (IN) Perrv Griffith Pittenger Zinke

NOT VOTING-4

Hurt (VA) Culberson Huelskamn Lofgren

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1804

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 43 OFFERED BY MR. THOMPSON OF MISSISSIPPI

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. THOMPSON) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 189, noes 241, not voting 3, as follows:

[Roll No. 418]

AYES-189

Adams Fudge Aguilar Gabbard Gallego Ashford Garamendi Bass Beatty Gibson Graham Becerra Grayson Bera Green, Al Green, Gene Bever Bishop (GA) Bishop (MI) Grijalva Blumenauer Gutiérrez Bonamici Hahn Boyle, Brendan Hastings Heck (WA) F. Brady (PA) Higgins Brown (FL) Himes Brownley (CA) Hinojosa. Honda Butterfield Hover Huffman Capps Capuano Jackson Lee Cárdenas Carney Jeffries Carson (IN) Johnson (GA) Cartwright Johnson, E. B. Castor (FL) Kaptur Castro (TX) Keating Kelly (II.) Chu Judy Cicilline Kennedy Kildee Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Kilmer Clay Kind Cleaver Kirkpatrick Clyburn Kuster Langevin Cohen Connolly Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Convers Cooper Lawrence Costa Lee Levin Courtney Crowley Lewis Lieu, Ted Cuellar Lipinski Cummings Davis (CA) Loebsack Davis, Danny Lowenthal DeFazio Lowey Lujan Grisham DeGette Delanev (NM) Luján, Ben Ray DeLauro DelBene (NM) DeSaulnier Lynch Deutch Maloney, Dingell Carolyn Maloney, Sean Doggett Doyle, Michael Matsui McCollum Duckworth McDermott Edwards McGovern Ellison McNerney Meeks Engel Eshoo Meng Esty Moore Moulton Farr Fattah Murphy (FL) Nadler

Foster

Frankel (FL)

Napolitano

Neal Nolan Norcross O'Rourke Pallone Pascrell Payne Pelosi Perlmutter Peters Peterson Pingree Pocan Polis Price (NC) Quiglev Rangel Rice (NY) Richmond Rovbal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Sánchez, Linda Sanchez Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Schrader Scott (VA) Scott, David Serrano Sewell (AL) Sherman Sinema Sires Slaughter Smith (WA) Speier Swalwell (CA) Takai Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tonko Torres Tsongas Van Hollen Vargas Veasey Vela Velázquez Visclosky Walz

Abraham Guinta Aderholt Guthrie Hanna Amash Hardy Amodei Harper Babin Barletta Hartzler Heck (NV) Barr Barton Hensarling Herrera Beutler Renishek Bilirakis Hice, Jody B. Bishop (UT) Hill Holding Black Blackburn Hudson Huelskamp Blum Huizenga (MI) Bost Boustany Hultgren Hunter Hurd (TX) Brady (TX) Brat Bridenstine Brooks (AL) Brooks (IN) Buchanan Buck Bucshon Burgess Jolly

Hurt (VA) Issa Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam Byrne Jones Jordan Calvert Carter (GA) Joyce Carter (TX) Katko Chabot Kelly (MS) Chaffetz Kelly (PA) Clawson (FL) King (IA) Coffman King (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Cole Collins (GA) Kline Collins (NY) Knight Comstock Labrador LaMalfa Conaway Cook Lamborn Costello (PA) Lance Cramer Latta Crawford LoBiondo Long Loudermilk Crenshaw Curbelo (FL) Davis, Rodney Love

Lucas

Lummis

MacArthur

Marchant

McCarthy

McClintock

McHenry

McKinley

McMorris

McSallv

Meadows

Meehan

Messer

Mica.

Rodgers

Miller (FL)

Miller (MI)

Moolenaar

Mullin

Mulvaney

Mooney (WV)

Murphy (PA)

Neugebauer

Newhouse

Noem

Nugent

Nunes

Olson

Palazzo

Palmer

McCaul

Marino

Massie

Luetkemeyer

Denham Dent DeSantis Des Jarlais Diaz-Balart Dold Donovan Duffy Duncan (SC) Duncan (TN) Ellmers (NC) Emmer (MN) Farenthold Fincher Fitzpatrick Fleischmann

Fleming Flores Forbes Fortenberry Foxx Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Garrett Gibbs Gohmert Goodlatte Gosar Gowdy

Granger Graves (GA) Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Griffith Grothman

Wasserman Schultz Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Welch Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

NOES-241

Pearce

Perrv

Pittenger

Pitts Poe (TX) Poliquin Pompeo Posey Price, Tom Ratcliffe Reed Reichert Renacci Ribble Rice (SC) Rigell Roby Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rohrabacher Rokita Rooney (FL) Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross Rothfus Rouzer Rovce Russell Ryan (WI) Salmon Sanford Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Sessions Shimkus Shuster Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Stefanik Stewart Stutzman Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Trott Turner Upton Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Westmoreland Whitfield Williams

Wilson (SC)

Young (AK)

Young (IA)

Young (IN)

Wittman

Womack

Woodall

Yoder

Yoho

Zeldin

NOT VOTING-

Culberson Lofgren Stivers

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1808

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 46 OFFERED BY MR. WALKER The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded

vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. Walker) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the aves prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—aves 195, noes 235, not voting 3, as follows:

[Roll No. 419] AYES-195

Abraham Griffith Paulsen Aderholt Grothman Pearce Allen Amash Guinta Perry Guthrie Pittenger Amodei Harper Pitts Babin Barletta Harris Poe (TX) Hartzler Pompeo Hensarling Barr Posey Price, Tom Barton Hice, Jody B. Bilirakis Hill Ratcliffe Bishop (MI) Holding Renacci Bishop (UT) Hudson Ribble Huelskamp Black Rice (SC) Blackburn Huizenga (MI) Rigell Blum Hultgren Roby Boustany Hunter Roe (TN) Hurd (TX) Brady (TX) Rogers (AL) Brat Hurt (VA) Rohrabacher Bridenstine Issa Jenkins (KS) Rooney (FL) Brooks (AL) Roskam Jenkins (WV) Brooks (IN) Johnson (OH) Ross Buck Rothfus Bucshon Johnson, Sam Jolly Rouzer Burgess Royce Byrne Jones Ryan (WI) Calvert Jordan Salmon Carter (GA) Joyce Carter (TX) Kelly (MS) Sanford Chabot Kelly (PA) Scalise Schweikert Chaffetz King (IA) Clawson (FL) Kinzinger (IL) Scott, Austin Coffman Labrador Sensenbrenner Collins (GA) LaMalfa Sessions Collins (NY) Lamborn Shimkus Comstock Latta. Smith (MO) Conaway Long Smith (NE) Cook Loudermilk Smith (NJ) Cramer Love Smith (TX) Luetkemeyer Crawford Stewart Lummis Marchant Crenshaw Stivers DeSantis Stutzman DesJarlais Marino Thornberry Diaz-Balart Massie Tiberi McCarthy Duffv Tipton Duncan (SC) McCaul Trott Duncan (TN) McClintock Wagner Ellmers (NC) McHenry Walberg McMorris Emmer (MN) Walker Farenthold Rodgers Walorski McSally Fincher Walters, Mimi Fleischmann Meadows Weber (TX) Fleming Messer Webster (FL) Flores Mica Wenstrup Forbes Miller (FL) Westerman Fortenberry Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Westmoreland Franks (AZ) Williams Frelinghuysen Mullin Wittman Garrett Mulvanev Womack Gibbs Neugebauer Woodall Gohmert Newhouse Goodlatte Yoder Noem Yoho Gosar Nugent Gowdy Nunes Granger Olson Graves (GA) Palazzo

Young (IA) Young (IN) Zeldin Zinke

NOES-235

Palmer

Graves (LA)

Adams

Aguilar

Ashford

Beatty Beyer Bishop (GA) Becerra Benishek Blumenauer Bonamici

Boyle, Brendan Brady (PA) Brown (FL) Brownley (CA) Buchanan Bustos Butterfield Capps Capuano Cárdenas Carney Carson (IN) Cartwright Castor (FL) Castro (TX) Chu, Judy Cicilline Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Clay Cleaver Clyburn Cohen Cole Connolly Convers Cooper Costa Costello (PA) Courtney Crowley Cummings Curbelo (FL) Davis (CA) Davis, Danny Davis, Rodney DeFazio DeGette Delaney DeLauro DelBene Denham Dent DeSaulnier Deutch Dingell Doggett Dold Donovan Doyle, Michael Duckworth Edwards Ellison Engel Eshoo Esty Fattah Fitzpatrick Foster Foxx Frankel (FL)

Hahn Payne Hanna Pelosi Hardy Perlmutter Hastings Peters Peterson Heck (NV) Heck (WA) Pingree Herrera Beutler Pocan Higgins Poliquin Himes Hinoiosa Price (NC) Honda Quiglev Hoyer Rangel Huffman Reed Israel Reichert Jackson Lee Rice (NY) Jeffries Richmond Johnson (GA) Rogers (KY) Johnson, E. B. Rokita Kaptur Ros-Lehtinen Rovbal-Allard Keating Ruiz Kelly (IL) Ruppersberger Kennedy Rush Kildee Russell Kilmer Ryan (OH) Kind Sánchez, Linda King (NY) Kirkpatrick Sanchez, Loretta Kline Sarbanes Knight Schakowsky Kuster Schiff Lance Schrader Langevin Scott (VA) Larsen (WA) Scott, David Larson (CT) Serrano Lawrence Sewell (AL) Lee Sherman Levin Shuster Lewis Simpson Lieu, Ted Sinema. Lipinski Sires LoBiondo Slaughter Loebsack Smith (WA) Lowenthal Speier Lowey Stefanik Lucas Swalwell (CA) Lujan Grisham Takai (NM) Takano Luján, Ben Ray Thompson (CA) (NM) Thompson (MS) Lynch Thompson (PA) MacArthur Titus Maloney. Tonko Carolyn Torres Maloney, Sean Tsongas Matsui McCollum Turner Upton McDermott Valadao McGovern McKinley Van Hollen Vargas McNerney Veasev Meehan Meeks Vela. Velázquez Meng Miller (MI)

T.

Visclosky

Wasserman

Schultz

Welch Whitfield

Yarmuth

Lofgren

Wilson (FL)

Wilson (SC)

Young (AK)

Fleischmann

Waters, Maxine

Watson Coleman

Walden

Walz

Moulton Murphy (FL) Murphy (PA) Nadler Napolitano Neal Nolan Norcross O'Rourke Pallone Pascrell NOT VOTING-3 Culberson

Moore

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1811

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Fudge

Gabbard

Gallego

Gibson

Graham

Gravson

Grijalya.

Cuellar

Green, Al

Garamendi

Graves (MO)

Green, Gene

Mr. POLIQUIN. Mr. Chair, on rollcall No. 419, I mistakenly voted "no" on the Walker Amendment. I should have and would have voted "ves."

Mr. CUELLAR. Mr. Chair, on rollcall No. 419, had I been present, I would have voted

AMENDMENT NO. 47 OFFERED BY MR. SALMON

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. SALMON) which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 251, noes 178, not voting 4, as follows:

[Roll No. 420]

AYES-251

Abraham Fleming Love Aderholt Lowey Flores Allen Forbes Lucas Amash Fortenberry Luetkemever Amodei Lujan Grisham Foxx Babin Franks (AZ) (NM) Luján, Ben Ray Barr Frelinghuysen Barton Garrett (NM) Benishek Gibbs Lummis Bilirakis Gibson Maloney, Sean Bishop (MI) Gohmert Marchant Bishop (UT) Goodlatte Marino Black Gosar Massie Blackburn Gowdy McCarthy Rl11m Graham McCaul McClintock Bost Granger Boustany Graves (GA) McCollum McHenry Brady (TX) Graves (LA) Graves (MO McKinley Brat Bridenstine McMorris Grayson Brooks (AL) Grothman Rodgers McSally Brooks (IN) Guinta Buchanan Guthrie Meadows Buck Hardy Meehan Bucshon Harper Messer Burgess Harris Mica Miller (FL) Byrne Hartzler Calvert Heck (NV) Miller (MI) Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Hensarling Capuano Herrera Beutler Carter (GA) Carter (TX) Hice, Jody B. Mullin Chabot Hill Mulvaney Holding Murphy (FL) Chaffetz Murphy (PA) Clawson (FL) Hudson Coffman Huelskamp Neugebauer Huizenga (MI) Newhouse Cohen Cole Hultgren Noem Collins (GA) Hunter Nunes Hurd (TX) Collins (NY) Olson Comstock Hurt (VA) Palazzo Conaway Issa Palmer Jenkins (KS) Cook Paulsen Costello (PA) Jenkins (WV) Pearce Cramer Johnson (OH) Perry Crawford Johnson, Sam Peterson Crenshaw Jolly Pittenger Davis, Rodney Jones Pitts DeFazio Poe (TX) Jordan DeLauro Poliquin Joyce Denham Katko Pompeo Kelly (MS) Posey Dent King (IA) Price, Tom DeSantis King (NY) DesJarlais Ratcliffe Diaz-Balart Kinzinger (IL) Reed Dold Kirkpatrick Reichert Donovan Kline Renacci Knight Duffv Ribble Rice (NY) Rice (SC) Labrador LaMalfa Duncan (SC) Duncan (TN) Ellison Lamborn Rigell Emmer (MN) Lance Roby Roe (TN) Farenthold Latta Rogers (AL) LoBiondo Fincher Fitzpatrick Long Loudermilk Rogers (KY)

Rooney (FL) Roskam Ross Rothfus Rouzer Royce Russell Rvan (OH) Rvan (WI) Sanford Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Sessions Shimkus Shuster Simpson

Sires Smith (MO) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Stefanik Stewart Stivers Stutzman Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tipton Trott Upton Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski NOES-178

Walters, Mimi Waters, Maxine Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Westerman Westmoreland Whitfield Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Young (IA) Young (IN) Zeldin Zinke

Adams Foster Norcross Frankel (FL) Aguilar Nugent Ashford Fudge O'Rourke Gabbard Pallone Barletta Bass Gallego Pascrell Beatty Garamendi Payne Green, Al Pelosi Becerra Bera Green, Gene Perlmutter Bever Griffith Peters Bishop (GA) Grijalva Pingree Blumenauer Gutiérrez Pocan Polis Bonamici Hahn Price (NC) Boyle, Brendan Hanna F. Brady (PA) Hastings Heck (WA) Quigley Rangel Brown (FL) Higgins Richmond Himes Hinojosa Ros-Lehtinen Roybal-Allard Brownley (CA) Bustos Butterfield Honda Capps Cárdenas Hoyer Huffman Ruppersberger Rush Carney Jackson Lee Sánchez, Linda Carson (IN) Jeffries Т. Cartwright Sanchez, Loretta Johnson (GA) Castor (FL) Johnson, E. B. Sarbanes Castro (TX) Kaptur Schakowsky Chu, Judy Keating Schiff Cicilline Kelly (IL) Schrader Clark (MA) Kelly (PA) Scott (VA) Clarke (NY) Scott, David Kennedy Serrano Clay Kildee Sewell (AL) Cleaver Kilmer Clyburn Sherman Kind Connolly Kuster Sinema Langevin Slaughter Convers Smith (WA) Cooper Larsen (WA) Costa. Larson (CT) Speier Swalwell (CA) Courtney Lawrence Crowley Takai Lee Cuellar Levin Takano Cummings Lewis Thompson (CA) Curbelo (FL) Lieu, Ted Thompson (MS) Davis (CA) Lipinski Titus Davis, Danny Loebsack Tonko DeGette Lowenthal Torres Delanev Lynch Tsongas DelBene MacArthur Turner Maloney, DeSaulnier Van Hollen Deutch Carolyn Vargas Dingell Matsui Veasey Doggett McDermott Vela Doyle, Michael McGovern Velázquez F. Duckworth McNerney Visclosky Meeks Walz Wasserman Edwards Meng Ellmers (NC) Moore Schultz Engel Moulton Watson Coleman Nadler Welch Eshoo Esty Napolitano Wenstrup

NOT VOTING-4

Wilson (FL)

Yarmuth

Nea1

Nolan

Lofgren Smith (NE) Culberson

Farr

Rohrabacher

Fattah

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1814

So the amendment was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded. Stated for:

Wilson (FL)

Yarmuth

Mr. WENSTRUP. Mr. Chair, on rollcall No. 420, I mistakenly voted "no" on the Salmon Amendment. I meant to vote "yes."

AMENDMENT NO. 44 OFFERED BY MR. SCOTT OF VIRGINIA

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Scott) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 187, noes 244, not voting 2, as follows:

[Roll No. 421] AYES-187 Adams Foster Moulton Aguilar Frankel (FL) Murphy (FL) Ashford Fudge Nadler Bass Gabbard Napolitano Beatty Gallego Becerra Garamendi Nolan Bera Graham Norcross Bever Gravson O'Rourke Bishop (GA) Green, Al Pallone Blumenauer Green, Gene Pascrell Bonamici Grijalya. Payne Boyle, Brendan Gutiérrez Pelosi F Hahn Perlmutter Brady (PA) Hastings Peters Brown (FL) Heck (WA) Peterson Brownley (CA) Higgins Pingree Bustos Himes Pocan Butterfield Hinojosa Polis Capps Honda. Price (NC) Capuano Hoyer Quiglev Cárdenas Huffman Rangel Carnev Israel Rice (NY) Carson (IN) Jackson Lee Richmond Cartwright Jeffries Roybal-Allard Johnson (GA) Castor (FL) Ruiz Castro (TX) Johnson, E. B. Ruppersberger Chu, Judy Kaptur Rush Cicilline Keating Ryan (OH) Clark (MA) Kelly (IL) Sánchez, Linda Clarke (NY) Kennedy т Clav Kildee Sanchez, Loretta Cleaver Kilmer Sarbanes Clyburn Kind Schakowsky Kirkpatrick Cohen Schiff Connolly Kuster Schrader Convers Langevin Scott (VA) Larsen (WA) Cooper Scott, David Costa Larson (CT) Serrano Courtney Lawrence Sewell (AL) Lee Crowley Sherman Levin Cuellar Sinema Cummings Lewis Davis (CA) Lieu, Ted Sires Slaughter Davis, Danny Lipinski Smith (WA) DeFazio Loebsack Speier Swalwell (CA) DeGette Lowenthal Delaney Lowey Takai Lujan Grisham DeLauro Takano DelBene (NM) Thompson (CA) DeSaulnier Luján, Ben Ray Thompson (MS) Deutch (NM) Titus Dingell Lynch Tonko Maloney, Doyle, Michael Torres Carolyn Tsongas Maloney, Sean Matsui McCollum Van Hollen Duckworth Vargas Edwards Ellison McDermott Veasey Engel McGovern Vela. Velázquez McNerney Eshoo Estv Meeks Visclosky Meng Walz Farr Fattah Wasserman Moore

Watson Coleman SchultzWaters, Maxine Welch

Allen

Rabin

Black

Blum

Bost

Brat

Buck

Byrne

Cole

Cook

Dent

Dold

Duffy

Foxx

Gibbs

Gosar

NOES-244 Grothman Abraham Pearce Aderholt Guinta Perry GuthriePittenger Amash Hanna Pitts Amodei Hardy Poe (TX) Harper Poliquin Barletta Harris Pompeo Hartzler Posey Price, Tom Barton Heck (NV) Benishek Hensarling Ratcliffe Bilirakis Herrera Beutler Reed Bishop (MI) Hice, Jody B. Reichert Bishop (UT) Hill Renacci Holding Ribble Blackburn Hudson Rice (SC) Huelskamp Rigell Huizenga (MI) Robv Roe (TN) Boustany Hultgren Brady (TX) Hunter Rogers (AL) Hurd (TX) Rogers (KY) Bridenstine Hurt (VA) Rohrabacher Brooks (AL) Issa Rokita Brooks (IN) Jenkins (KS) Rooney (FL) Buchanan Jenkins (WV) Ros-Lehtinen Johnson (OH) Roskam Bucshon Johnson, Sam Ross Burgess Jolly Rothfus Jones Rouzer Calvert Jordan Royce Carter (GA) Joyce Russell Katko Carter (TX) Ryan (WI) Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Chabot Salmon Chaffetz Sanford Clawson (FL) King (IA) Scalise Coffman King (NY) Schweikert Kinzinger (IL) Scott Austin Collins (GA) Kline Sensenbrenner Collins (NY) Knight Sessions Comstock Labrador Shimkus Conaway LaMalfa Shuster Lamborn Simpson Costello (PA) Lance Smith (MO) Cramer Latta Smith (NE) Crawford LoBiondo Smith (NJ) Crenshaw Long Smith (TX) Curbelo (FL) Loudermilk Stefanik Davis, Rodney Love Stewart Denham Lucas Stivers Luetkemever DeSantis Stutzman Lummis Thompson (PA) MacArthur DesJarlais Thornberry Diaz-Balart Marchant Tiberi Marino Tipton Donovan Massie Trott McCarthy Turner Duncan (SC) McCaul McClintock Unton Duncan (TN) Valadao Ellmers (NC) ${\bf McHenry}$ Emmer (MN) Wagner McKinley Farenthold McMorris Walberg Walden Fincher Rodgers Fitzpatrick Walker McSally Walorski Fleischmann Meadows Walters, Mimi Fleming Meehan Flores Weber (TX) Messer Mica Forbes Webster (FL) Fortenberry Miller (FL) Wenstrup Miller (MI) Westerman Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Franks (AZ) Westmoreland Whitfield Frelinghuysen Mullin Garrett Williams Mulvaney Wilson (SC) Gibson Murphy (PA) Wittman Neugebauer Gohmert Womack Goodlatte Newhouse Woodall Noem Yoder Nugent Yoho Gowdy Nunes Olson Young (AK) Young (IA) Granger Graves (GA) Palazzo Young (IN) Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Palmer Zeldin Zinke Griffith Paulsen

NOT VOTING-2

Culberson Lofgren

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1819

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The Acting CHAIR. There being no further amendments under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. WOMACK) having assumed the chair, Mr. YODER, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 5) to support State and local accountability for public education, protect State and local authority, inform parents of the performance of their children's schools, and for other purposes, and, pursuant to House Resolution 125, he reported the bill, as amended by that resolution, back to the House with sundry further amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any further amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Ms. ESTY. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentlewoman opposed to the bill?

Ms. ESTY. I am in its current form. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recom-

The Clerk read as follows:

Ms. Esty moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5 to the Committee on Education and the Workforce with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

Page 25, after line 14, insert the following: "(F) GUARANTEEING EDUCATIONAL OPPORTU-NITIES FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES, IN-CLUDING STUDENTS WITH AUTISM, DOWN SYN-DROME, AND OTHER DISABILITIES.—Each State plan shall demonstrate that the development and adoption of the academic content standards and academic achievement standards under this paragraph does not-

"(i) result in lower academic standards for children with disabilities than the standards adopted for students without disabilities;

"(ii) deny students with disabilities, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities, access to a regular secondary school diploma;

"(iii) deny any parent the right to give informed consent before determining whether to apply alternate achievement standards to the assessment of his or her child or any relevant information needed to make such de-

termination;

"(iv) otherwise lower expectations or academic achievement for students with disabilities, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities; or

"(v) deny educational opportunities for students or any subgroup of students described in section 1111(b)(3)(B)(ii)(II), including racial and ethnic minority students who are identified for special education services at a rate disproportionately higher than their peers.".

Add at the end the following:

SEC. 802. PROTECTING CHILDREN WITH DISABIL-ITIES FROM ABUSIVE SECLUSION AND RESTRAINT PRACTICES.

(a) PURPOSE.— The purpose of this section is to ensure a safe learning environment and to protect each elementary and secondary school student from physical or mental abuse, aversive behavioral interventions that compromise student health and safety, or any physical restraint or seclusion when there is no imminent threat of physical injury or in a manner otherwise inconsistent with the purposes of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (21 U.S.C. 6301 et seq.).

(b) REGULATION.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Education shall promulgate regulations providing, at minimum, that school personnel shall be prohibited from imposing on any elementary or secondary school student the following:

(1) Mechanical restraints.

(2) Chemical restraints.

(3) Physical restraint or physical escort that restricts breathing.

(4) Aversive behavioral interventions that compromise health and safety such as excessive pain, use of heat or cold, spraying bleach infused water in faces, and depriving students of food and bathroom access for hours on end.

Ms. ESTY (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with the reading.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Connecticut?

There was no objection.

Mr. KLINE. Mr. Speaker, I reserve a point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. A point of order is reserved.

The gentlewoman from Connecticut is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. ESTY. Mr. Speaker, this is the final amendment to the bill which will not kill the bill or send it back to committee. If adopted, the bill will immediately proceed to final passage as amended.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today with serious concerns.

Today, we are voting on a bill that guts education funding; fails to provide adequate support for our hard-working teachers; and turns our back on our schools, our communities, and our children.

Mr. Speaker, today, we are not fixing No Child Left Behind, which has long needed to be fixed, but instead, we are moving in the wrong direction. As a room parent, as a PTA mom, I strongly believe that every child deserves the opportunity for a quality education, and every child deserves to be treated with dignity and respect.

The amendment I am offering today provides us the opportunity to live up to those goals. My amendment would guarantee continued funding for the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, known as IDEA.

Just today, I met with school superintendents from Connecticut who emphasize the critical role of Federal funding for IDEA, which provides important support for students with autism and cognitive disabilities, and my amendment would protect children with disabilities from abusive seclusion and restraint practices.

Last year, I met with a group of students from the FOCUS Center for Autism in Canton, Connecticut, in my district. They were incredible students, who bravely advocated for themselves and bluntly talked about the challenges they face in the classroom.

According to the Centers for Disease Control, 1 in 68 American children is now on the autism spectrum, a tenfold increase in the last 40 years. In Connecticut, too many students, particularly students who are on the autism spectrum, face unnecessary and dangerous seclusion and restraint.

According to the Connecticut State Department of Education and the Office of the Child Advocate, there were 35,000 incidents of children being restrained or placed in seclusion last school year. Over 80 percent of these children were boys; the majority of them children of color, many of them were in elementary school—even as young as preschool—and many of them were on the autism spectrum.

Earlier this year, the Office of the Child Advocate in Connecticut released a report showing that, in the last 3 years, more than 1,300 Connecticut schoolchildren were injured during such restraint or seclusion. Nationwide, the nonpartisan Government Accountability Office found hundreds of cases of alleged child abuse, including at least 20, that is 20 deaths of children related to the use of these harmful methods during the last two decades.

These stories are truly horrific: a 7-year-old dying after being held face down for hours by school staff, 5-year-olds with broken arms and bloody noses after being tied to chairs with bungee cords and duct tape by their teacher, and a 13-year-old who hung himself in the seclusion room after prolonged confinement.

This is absolutely unacceptable. While Congress surely should not micromanage discipline in local schools, we should—we should—step up to set standards to ensure that all our children are safe, and we should fully fund IDEA to ensure support for all children with disabilities.

Now, let me be clear. Many teachers do an outstanding job in what can often be a challenging classroom environment. Having children with disabilities in the classroom can be a rewarding experience for the child and for their classmates.

Children with learning disabilities will learn and excel with the right support. It is just not acceptable to say that we don't have enough time or enough money to provide that support.

Today, let's fully fund IDEA, support special education and services for all children with disabilities, and restrict the dangerous practices of seclusion and restraint. We can do better; we must do better for our children.

I ask all House Members to join me to vote for this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. KLINE. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of a point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The reservation of the point of order is withdrawn.

Mr. KLINE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Minnesota is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KLINE. Mr. Speaker, we know this is a procedural attempt, a usual procedural attempt, at the eleventh hour to derail this legislation. It is unfortunate because the American people have waited long enough for Congress to fix the problems plaguing our elementary and secondary education system.

My colleagues, because it has been months since we have debated the underlying bill and the challenge we face, I want to remind my colleagues of what is at stake here.

It has been more than 7 years since No Child Left Behind expired—7 years. That means, for 7 years, this Congress has failed to meet its basic responsibility to replace the law. Each year we fail to act is another year States are trapped in failing schools. No Child Left Behind continues as the law.

Education is a deeply personal issue for many Americans. It is a topic discussed around kitchen tables, whether it is a child's report card, a change taking place in a local school district, or perhaps even policy changes being debated by Federal officials.

We were reminded of this reality just a few months ago.

□ 1830

In February, we were making progress in advancing the Student Success Act, and we witnessed just how frustrated the American people are with the Federal role in K-12 education and how that frustration has grown worse under this administration.

Rather than work with Congress to replace the law, the Obama administration has spent years imposing its agenda on schools through pet projects and conditional waivers.

Just listen to the national debate raging over Common Core and you will quickly learn about the backlash against the Federal Government that has taken place under this administration

Because of this administration's unprecedented overreach, public anxiety and opposition to Federal intrusion is greater than it has ever been. The simple fact that Congress was considering changes to the law led countless individuals to speak out and raise concerns.

Unfortunately, some of those concerns were based on misinformation, but they ultimately stem from a strong skepticism about the Federal role in education, a skepticism that I and many others share.

Teachers, principals, parents, and education leaders desperately want

Upton

Lujan Grisham

Luján, Ben Ray

Lowey

(NM)

(NM)

Maloney

Carolyn

Maloney, Sean

Lynch

Matsui

McCollum

McDermott

McGovern

McNerney

Meeks

Meng

Moore

Neal

Nolan

Norcross

O'Rourke

Pallone

Pascrell

Perlmutter

Pavne

Pelosi

Peters

Peterson

Pingree

Price (NC)

Quigley

Rice (NY)

Richmond

Rangel

Ruiz

Pocan

Polis

Moulton

Murphy (FL)

Napolitano

Congress to replace No Child Left Behind, but they are not just concerned with getting rid of a bad law, they also deeply care about what replaces it. The public response we witnessed earlier this year made that clear. We are here today because we are listening to the American people.

The Student Success Act is a strong proposal to replace No Child Left Behind. It would eliminate dozens of ineffective and duplicative programs, repeal Federal mandates dictating State spending, teacher quality, accountability, and school improvement, and provide parents vital support to hold schools accountable and rescue children from underperforming schools.

Throughout this legislative process, we have adopted bipartisan improvements to the bill, thanks to the work of both Republican and Democrat Members. Now it is time to move forward.

We have an urgent responsibility to replace a flawed law with bold solutions that will help provide every child in every school an excellent education. That responsibility grows more urgent each day.

I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on the motion to recommit and to vote "yes" on the Student Success Act.

I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. ESTY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 and clause 9 of rule XX. this 5-minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by 5-minute votes on the passage of the bill, if ordered, and agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 185, noes 244, not voting 4, as follows:

[Roll No. 422]

AYES-185

Carson (IN) Adams DeFazio Cartwright DeGette Aguilar Ashford Castor (FL) Delaney Bass Castro (TX) DeLauro Beatty Chu, Judy DelBene Cicilline DeSaulnier Becerra Bera. Clark (MA) Deutch Clarke (NY) Dingell Beyer Bishop (GA) Clay Doggett Cleaver Doyle, Michael Blumenauer Bonamici Clvburn Boyle, Brendan Cohen Duckworth Connolly Edwards Brady (PA) Conyers Ellison Brown (FL) Cooper Engel Brownley (CA) Costa Eshoo Bustos Courtney Estv Butterfield Crowley Farr Capps Cuellar Fattah Capuano Cummings Foster Frankel (FL) Cárdenas Davis (CA) Carney Davis, Danny Fudge

Gabbard Gallego Garamendi Graham Grayson Green, Al Green Gene Grijalva Hahn Hastings Heck (WA) Higgins Himes Hinoiosa Honda Hover Huffman Israel Jackson Lee Jeffries Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Kildee Kilmer Kind Kirkpatrick Kuster Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Lee Levin Lewis Lieu, Ted Lipinski Loebsack Lowenthal

Abraham

Aderholt

Allen

Amash

Amodei

Barletta

Babin

Barr

Barton

Black

Blum

Bost.

Brat

Buck

Benishek

Bilirakis

Bishop (MI)

Bishop (UT)

Blackburn

Boustany Brady (TX)

Bridenstine

Brooks (AL)

Brooks (IN)

Buchanan

Bucshon

Burgess

Byrne

Calvert

Chabot

Chaffetz

Coffman

Cole

Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)

Clawson (FL)

Collins (GA)

Collins (NY)

Costello (PA)

Curbelo (FL)

Davis, Rodney

Comstock

Conaway

Cramer

Crawford

Crenshaw

Denham

DeSantis

Donovan

DesJarlais

Diaz-Balart

Jones

Dent

Dold

Duffy

Cook

Rovbal-Allard NOES-244

Duncan (SC) Duncan (TN) Ellmers (NC) Emmer (MN) Farenthold Fincher Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Fleming Forbes Fortenberry Foxx Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Garrett Gibbs Gibson Gohmert Goodlatte Gosar Gowdy Granger Graves (GA) Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Griffith Grothman Guinta Guthrie Hanna Hardy Harper Harris Hartzler Heck (NV) Hensarling Herrera Beutler Hice, Jody B. Hill Holding Hudson Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) Hultgren Hunter Hurd (TX) Hurt (VA) Issa Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam Jolly

Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Pitts Sánchez, Linda т. Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Schrader Reed Scott (VA) Scott, David Ribble Serrano Sewell (AL) Sinema Rigell Sires Roby Slaughter Smith (WA) Speier Swalwell (CA) Takai Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Ross Tonko Torres Rouzer Tsongas Van Hollen Vargas Veasev Vela Velázguez Visclosky Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Welch Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

Jordan Joyce Katko Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Kline Knight Labrador LaMalfa Lamborn Lance Latta LoBiondo Long Loudermilk Love Lucas Luetkemeyer Lummis MacArthur Marchant Marino Massie McCarthy McCaul McClintock McHenry McKinley McMorris Rodgers McSally Meadows Meehan Messer Mica Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Mullin Mulvaney Murphy (PA) Neugebauer Newhouse Noem Nugent Nunes Olson Palazzo Palmer Paulsen Pearce

Royce Russell Pittenger Ryan (WI) Poe (TX) Salmon Poliquin Sanford Scalise Pompeo Posey Price, Tom Schweikert Scott, Austin Ratcliffe Sensenbrenner Sessions Reichert Shimkus Renacci Shuster Simpson Rice (SC) Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Roe (TN) Smith (TX) Rogers (AL) Stefanik Rogers (KY) Stewart Rohrabacher Stivers Rokita. Stutzman Rooney (FL) Thompson (PA) Ros-Lehtinen Thornberry Roskam. Tiberi Tipton Rothfus Trott Turner

Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX)Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Westmoreland Whitfield Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Young (IA) Young (IN) Zeldin Zinke

NOT VOTING-4

Culberson Lofgren Gutiérrez Sherman

□ 1838

So the motion to recommit was re-

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 422, had I been present, I would have voted "ves."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 218, noes 213, not voting 3, as follows:

[Roll No. 423]

AYES-218 Collins (NY) Abraham Gowdy Aderholt Comstock Granger Allen Conaway Graves (GA) Amodei Cook Griffith Costello (PA) Babin Barletta Cramer Guinta Barr Crawford Guthrie Barton Crenshaw Hanna Curbelo (FL) Benishek Hardy Bilirakis Davis, Rodney Harper Bishop (MI) Denham Harris Bishop (UT) Dent Hartzlei Diaz-Balart Black Blackburn Dold Blum Donovan Boehner Duffv Hill Duncan (SC) Bost Holding Boustany Duncan (TN) Hudson Brady (TX) Ellmers (NC) Emmer (MN) Hultgren Brat Farenthold Bridenstine Brooks (IN) Fincher Fitzpatrick Buchanan Bucshon Fleischmann Issa Burgess Flores Byrne Forbes Calvert Fortenberry Carter (GA) Foxx Carter (TX Franks (AZ) Jolly Chabot Frelinghuysen Katko Chaffetz Kelly (MS) Garrett Gibbs Kelly (PA) Coffman Cole Goodlatte King (IA)

Gosar

Collins (GA)

Grothman Heck (NV) Hensarling Herrera Beutler Huizenga (MI) Hunter Hurd (TX) Hurt (VA) Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (WV) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam

King (NY)

Sires

Kinzinger (IL) Palazzo Kline Knight Palmer Labrador Paulsen LaMalfa Pearce Lamborn Perry Lance Pittenger Pitts Latta Poe (TX) Long Loudermilk Poliquin Love Lucas Posey Price, Tom Luetkemeyer Ratcliffe Lummis MacArthur Reed Marchant Reichert Marino Renacci McCarthy Ribble Rice (SC) McCaul McClintock Rigell McHenry Roby Roe (TN) McKinley McMorris Rogers (AL) Rodgers Rogers (KY) McSallv Rokita Meehan Rooney (FL) Messer Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Mica Miller (MI) Ross Moolenaar Rouzer Mooney (WV) Rovce Mullin Russell Rvan (WI) Mulvanev Murphy (PA) Salmon Neugebauer Scalise Schweikert Newhouse Scott, Austin Noem Nugent Sessions Nunes

Pompeo

Shuster Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Stefanik Stewart Stivers Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Trott Turner Upton Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Westerman Westmoreland Whitfield Williams Wilson (SC)

Wittman

Womack

Woodall

Young (AK)

Young (IA)

Young (IN)

Yoder

Zeldin

Zinke

Shimkus

Engel

Eshoo

Estv

Hahn

Hover

Jones

Kind

Larsen (WA)

Ruppersberger

Adams Aguilar Amash Ashford Bass Beatty Becerra Bera Bever Bishop (GA) Blumenauer Bonamici Boyle, Brendan F Brady (PA) Brooks (AL) Brown (FL) Brownley (CA) Buck Bustos Butterfield Capps Capuano Cárdenas Carney Carson (IN) Cartwright Castor (FL) Castro (TX) Chu, Judy Cicilline Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Clawson (FL) Clay Cleaver Clyburn Cohen Connolly Convers Cooper Costa Courtney Crowley Cuellar Cummings Davis (CA) Davis, Danny DeFazio

DeGette

Delaney

DeLauro

DelBene

DeSantis

DeSaulnier

DesJarlais

Deutch

Dingell

NOES-213 Doggett Larson (CT) Doyle, Michael Lawrence Lee Duckworth Levin Edwards Lewis Lieu, Ted Ellison Lipinski LoBiondo Loebsack Lowenthal Lowey Lujan Grisham Fattah Fleming Frankel (FL) Luján, Ben Ray Fudge (NM) Gabbard Lynch Gallego Maloney Garamendi Carolyn Gibson Maloney, Sean Gohmert Massie Matsui Graham Graves (LA) McCollum Graves (MO) McDermott Grayson McGovern McNerney Green, Al Green, Gene Meadows Grijalva Meeks Meng Gutiérrez Miller (FL) Hastings Moore Heck (WA) Moulton Hice, Jody B. Murphy (FL) Higgins Nadler Himes Napolitano Hinojosa Neal Nolan Honda Norcross Huelskamp O'Rourke Huffman Pallone Israel Pascrell Jackson Lee Payne Jeffries Pelosi Johnson (GA) Perlmutter Johnson, E. B. Peters Peterson Jordan Pingree Joyce Pocan Kaptur Polis Price (NC) Keating Kelly (IL) Quigley Kennedy Rangel Rice (NY) Kildee Kilmer Richmond Rohrabacher Kirkpatrick Rothfus Roybal-Allard Kuster Langevin Ruiz

Ryan (OH) Sánchez, Linda Sanchez, Loretta Sanford Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Schrader Scott (VA) Scott, David Sensenbrenner Serrano Sewell (AL) Sinema

Slaughter Smith (WA) Speier Stutzman Swalwell (CA) Takai Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tonko Torres Tsongas Van Hollen Vargas

Veasev Vela Velázquez Visclosky Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Welch Wenstrun Wilson (FL) Yarmuth Yoho

NOT VOTING-3

Culberson

Sherman

□ 1848

Lofgren

Mr. ROGERS of Alabama changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 423, had I been present, I would have voted "no."

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal, which the Chair will put de novo.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED APPROPRIATIONS AGENCIES ACT, 2016

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CALVERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on H.R. 2822.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 333 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill. H.R. 2822.

Will the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HULTGREN) kindly take the chair.

□ 1855

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2822) making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2016, and for other purposes, with Mr. HULTGREN (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, an amendment offered by the gentleman from Nevada (Mr. HARDY) had been disposed of, and the bill had been read through page 132, line 24.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. ELLISON Mr. ELLISON. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will report the amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. . None of the funds made available

in this Act may be used to enter into a contract with any person whose disclosures of a proceeding with a disposition listed in section 2313(c)(1) of title 41, United States Code, in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System include the term "Fair Labor Standards Act" and such disposition is listed as "willful" or "repeated".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 333, the gentleman from Minnesota and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Minnesota.

Mr. ELLISON. Mr. Chairman, before I discuss my amendment, which is to prevent wage theft from violators who commit acts that are repeated and willful and to stop such actors from partaking of Federal procurement in this bill, I would like to set the table just a little bit.

In 1980, Mr. Chair, CEO-to-worker pay ratio for Fortune 500 companies was 20 to 1. Today it is 204 to 1, according to Bloomberg. At the same time, the buying power of the minimum wage is now less than it was in the 1960s.

The Economic Policy Institute found that, in total, the average low-wage worker loses a stunning \$2,634 per year in unpaid wages, representing about 15 percent of their earned income. It is particularly egregious in the fast-food sector. A recent study by Hart Research of fast-food workers found that about 89 percent reported some form of wage theft.

Lastly, in this case, I would like to point out, Mr. Chair, that the recent report by the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the U.S. Senate revealed that 32 percent of the largest Department of Labor penalties for wage theft were levied against Federal contractors.

As I bring this amendment before the body today, Mr. Chairman, it is simply to recognize that the hard work and the work that workers do who work for Federal contractors must be recognized. We are not debating today over increasing or decreasing the minimum wage. We are just saying the people who work hard ought to get the money that they earned.

I would hope that everyone in this body would be willing to say wage theft is not okay. No hard-working American should ever have to worry that her employer will refuse to pay her when she works overtime or take money out of her paycheck, especially if she works for a Federal contractor.