

Capuano Holt
Cárdenas Honda
Carney Horsford
Carson (IN) Hoyer
Cartwright Huffman
Castor (FL) Israel
Castro (TX) Jackson Lee
Chu Jeffries
Cicilline Johnson (GA)
Clark (MA) Johnson, E. B.
Clarke (NY) Kaptur
Clay Keating
Cleaver Kelly (IL)
Clyburn Kennedy
Cohen Kildee
Connolly Kilmer
Conyers Kind
Cooper Kirkpatrick
Courtney Kuster
Crowley Langevin
Cummings Larsen (WA)
Davis (CA) Larson (CT)
Davis, Danny Lee (CA)
DeFazio Levin
DeGette Lewis
Delaney Lipinski
DeLauro Liebsack
DelBene Lofgren
Deutch Lowenthal
Dingell Lowey
Doggett Lujan Grisham
Doyle (NM)
Duckworth Luján, Ben Ray
Edwards (NM)
Ellison Lynch
Engel Maffei
Enyart Maloney,
Eshoo Carolyn
Esty Maloney, Sean
Farr Matsui
Fattah McCollum
Foster McDermott
Frankel (FL) McGovern
Fudge McNerney
Gabbard Meeks
Gallego Meng
Garamendi Michaud
Garcia Miller, George
Grayson Moore
Grijalva Moran
Gutiérrez Nadler
Hahn Napolitano
Hanabusa Neal
Hastings (FL) Nolan
Heck (WA) O'Rourke
Higgins Pallone
Himes Pascrell

NOT VOTING—22

Barton Green, Al
Chaffetz Green, Gene
Coble Hastings (WA)
Collins (NY) Hinojosa
Crawford Johnson, Sam
Culberson Jones
Davis, Rodney McCarthy (NY)
Gosar McHenry

□ 1454

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVISION OF COSTS OF LOAN GUARANTEES FOR UKRAINE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4152) to provide for the costs of loan guarantees for Ukraine, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. ROGERS) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 385, nays 23, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 114]

YEAS—385

Aderholt Duckworth
Bachmann Duffy
Bachus Duncan (SC)
Barber Edwards
Barletta Ellison
Barr Ellmers
Barrow (GA) Engel
Bass Enyart
Beatty Eshoo
Benerra Esty
Benishke Farenthold
Bera (CA) Farr
Bilirakis Fattah
Bishop (GA) Fincher
Bishop (NY) Fitzpatrick
Bishop (UT) Fleischmann
Black Fleming
Blackburn Flores
Blumenauer Forbes
Bonamici Fortenberry
Boustany Lofgren
Brady (PA) Long
Brady (TX) Foxx
Braley (IA) Frankel (FL)
Bridenstine Franks (AZ)
Brooks (AL) Frelinghuysen
Brooks (IN) Fudge
Brown (FL) Gabbard
Brownley (CA) Gallego
Buchanan Garamendi
Bucshon Garcia
Burgess Gardner
Bustos Garrett
Gibbs Gerlach
Gibson Gibbons
Gingrey (GA) Marchant
Goodlatte Marino
Gowdy Matheson
Granger Matsui
Grayson McCarthy (CA)
Griffith (VA) McCaul
Grijalva McClintock
Grimm McCollum
Guthrie McDermott
Gutiérrez McGovern
Hahn McIntyre
Hall McKeon
Hanabusa McKinley
Hanna McMorris
Harper Rodgers
Harris McNerney
Hartzler Meadows
Hastings (FL) Meehan
Heck (NY) Meeks
Heck (WA) Meng
Hensarling Mica
Herrera Beutler Michaud
Higgins Miller (FL)
Himes Miller (MI)
Holding Miller, Gary
Holt Miller, George
Honda Moore
Horsford Moran
Hoyer Mullin
Hudson Murphy (FL)
Huelskamp Murphy (PA)
Huffman Nadler
Huizenga (MI) Napolitano
Hultgren Neal
Hunter Neugebauer
Hurt Noem
Israel Nolan
Issa Nugent
Jackson Lee Nunes
Jeffries Nunnelee
Jenkins O'Rourke
Johnson (GA) Owens
Johnson (OH) Palazzo
Johnson, E. B. Pallone
Jordan Pascrell
Joyce Paulsen
Kaptur Payne
Keating Pearce
Kelly (IL) Pelosi
Kelly (PA) Perlmutter
Kennedy Perry
Kildee Peters (CA)
Kilmer Peters (MI)
Kind Peterson
King (IA) Petri
King (NY) Pingree (ME)
Kinzinger (IL) Pittenger

Pitts Scalise
Pocan Schakowsky
Polis Schiff
Pompeo Schock
Posey Schrader
Price (GA) Schweikert
Price (NC) Scott (VA)
Quigley Scott, Austin
Rahall Scott, David
Rangel Sensenbrenner
Reed Serrano
Reichert Sessions
Renacci Sewell (AL)
Ribble Shea-Porter
Richmond Sherman
Rigell Shimkus
Roby Shuster
Roe (TN) Simpson
Rogers (AL) Sinema
Rogers (KY) Sires
Rogers (MI) Slaughter
Rokita Smith (MO)
Ros-Lehtinen Smith (NE)
Roskam Smith (NJ)
Ross Smith (TX)
Rothfus Smith (WA)
Roybal-Allard Southerland
Royce Speier
Ruiz Stewart
Runyan Stivers
Ruppersberger Stutzman
Rush Swalwell (CA)
Ryan (OH) Takano
Ryan (WI) Terry
Salmon Thompson (CA)
Sánchez, Linda Thompson (MS)
T. Thompson (PA)
Sanchez, Loretta Thornberry
Sarbanes Tiberi

NAYS—23

Amash Graves (MO)
Bentivolio Griffin (AR)
Broun (GA) Kingston
Campbell Massie
DesJarlais McAllister
Duncan (TN) Mulvaney
Gohmert Rice (SC)
Graves (GA) Rohrabacher

NOT VOTING—22

Amodei Green, Gene
Barton Hastings (WA)
Chaffetz Hinojosa
Coble Johnson, Sam
Collins (NY) Jones
Crawford McCarthy (NY)
Gosar McHenry
Green, Al Messer

□ 1501

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. GRIFFIN of Arkansas. Mr. Speaker on rollcall vote No. 114 on March 6, 2014, for H.R. 4152, to provide for the costs of loan guarantees for Ukraine, I was recorded as voting “no” when I wanted to be recorded as voting “yes.”

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. AL GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, today I was unable to vote in Washington, DC and missed the following votes:

1) Motion on Ordering the Previous Question on the Rule providing for consideration of H.R. 2641 and H.R. 2824. Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on this bill.

2) H. Res. 501—Rule providing for consideration of both H.R. 2641 and H.R. 2824. Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on this bill.

3) Smith (TX)/Schweikert Amendment to H.R. 3826—Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on this amendment.

4) Capps/McNerney Amendment to H.R. 3826—Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on this amendment.

5) Schakowsky/Lowenthal Amendment to H.R. 3826—Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on this amendment.

6) Waxman Amendment to H.R. 3826—Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on this amendment.

7) Democratic Motion to Recommit H.R. 3826. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on the motion to recommit.

8) Final Passage of H.R. 3826—Electricity Security and Affordability Act. Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on this bill.

9) Motion to Table the Fudge Privileged Resolution. Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on this motion to table.

10) Jackson Lee Amendment to H.R. 2641—Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on this amendment.

11) McKinley Amendment to H.R. 2641—Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on this amendment.

12) Nadler Amendment to H.R. 2641—Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on this amendment.

13) Johnson (GA) Amendment to H.R. 2641—Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on this amendment.

14) Democratic Motion to Recommit H.R. 2641—Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on the motion to recommit.

15) Final Passage of H.R. 2641—Had I been present, I would have voted “no” on this bill.

16) H.R. 4152—To Provide for the Cost of Loan Guarantees for Ukraine—Had I been present, I would have voted “yes” on this bill.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CONAWAY) for the purpose of inquiring of the schedule for the week to come.

Mr. CONAWAY. I thank the gentleman from Maryland, the Democratic whip, for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, on Monday the House is not in session. On Tuesday, the House will meet at noon for morning-hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. Votes will be postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning-hour and noon for legislative business. On Friday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business. Last votes of the week are expected no later than 3 p.m.

Mr. Speaker, the House will consider a few suspensions next week, a complete list of which will be announced by close of business tomorrow.

Today, in a strong bipartisan vote, the House passed a bill to provide the administration with the authority to extend loan guarantees to the government in Ukraine, and I want to thank the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) for his support, along with Chairman HAL ROGERS and Ranking Member NITA LOWEY. I urge the Senate to act promptly on this bill and send it to the President for his signature.

Building upon this support, I expect the House to consider a resolution under suspension next week to express our support for the people of Ukraine and their territorial integrity.

In addition, the House will consider a number of bills to address the executive overreach of the Obama administration. Mr. Speaker, these bills are designed to restore the balance of power created by our Founders and require that this President faithfully execute our Nation's laws. The House will consider the following bills to reestablish the rule of law:

H.R. 3973, the Faithful Execution of Law Act, authored by Representative RON DESANTIS, to require Federal officials to report to Congress when the administration fails to faithfully enforce current law;

H.R. 4138, the ENFORCE Act, sponsored by Representative TREY GOWDY, to establish procedures under which the House, or the Senate, may authorize a lawsuit against the executive branch for failure to faithfully execute laws; and

H.R. 3189, the Water Rights Protection Act, authored by Representative SCOTT TIPTON, to ensure privately held water rights.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, as you know, the patch for the Medicare sustainable growth rate expires at the end of the month. For this reason, I expect the House to consider H.R. 4015, the SGR Repeal and Medicare Provider Payment Modernization Act of 2014, sponsored by Representative MICHAEL BURGESS, next week. This completely paid-for bill will replace the flawed SGR formula.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for the information he has given to us.

Let me say that on Ukraine, I think the House acted properly. It acted in a timely fashion to express the views of this House with respect to the Russian violation of international law and the agreements that they have with Ukraine, and I am pleased we were able to join together to pass that through the House. Hopefully the Senate will pass it quickly.

I just make the observation that the Senate I know believes that the reform of IMF will be important to work with that extension. We will see what happens on that. I thank the gentleman and his side of the aisle for acting promptly. We were pleased to join in that action.

Let me ask the gentleman, the gentleman mentioned as we know that by March 31 the authorization for the sustainable growth rate payment will expire and the payment to physicians for Medicare services will be substantially reduced under present law. There is, I think, a strong feeling by many of us that this needs to be fixed. It needs to be fixed permanently, and it needs to be paid for.

It is my understanding that the bill H.R. 4015, a bipartisan agreement on the SGR payment policy, as the gentleman knows, does not have a pay-for

in it. Is it my understanding that that will be amended before it is brought to the floor, or will there be an amendment on the floor to add the pay-for?

I yield to the gentleman

Mr. CONAWAY. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

We all are concerned about the SGR fix. We have seen this movie more than four, five, six times. Physicians were in town this week explaining to us exactly the impact of not getting this done on time, so that their billing systems and their cash flows are not interrupted. We have a keen interest in small businesses, which are most physician offices, so there is a keen interest to do that. That will be amended on the floor to include the pay-for that will offset the SGR.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman. Let me clarify, Mr. Speaker, this will be under a rule and there will be an amendment made in order to add the pay-for; is that correct?

Mr. CONAWAY. No, the pay-for will be added through the Rules Committee.

Mr. HOYER. So before it comes to the floor, it will be paid for.

I ask the gentleman, it is my understanding that the pay-for, I don't know if I am accurate on this, but my understanding is that the pay-for is the repeal of the individual mandate. If so, can the gentleman tell me whether he has any indication that the Senate would be in agreement on that, and I say that because obviously there hasn't been agreement in the past, and if we use that as a pay-for, it seems to me it puts at risk meeting the March 31 deadline.

Mr. CONAWAY. The specifics of the pay-for have not yet been finalized. There are lots of things under consideration. We, too, want this done in advance of the March 31 date so, like I said earlier, physician offices can continue their billing as is without the interruption that a failure to extend or fix the doc fix would cause. We are keen on making that work, and the specifics of what the pay-for will be are currently under discussion.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman, and I would say I am hopeful in light of the fact that the bill itself is a bipartisan, or at least the two committees have agreed on it, and I think there is general agreement on the fix for the SGR, but the pay-fors have been contentious. I would hope that, as the bill has been a product of agreement, that the pay-for, which is essential, would also be a product of that. I would hope we would see a bill come to the floor that does have agreement of both sides of the aisle so we can, as the gentleman points out and we fully agree, ensure that the SGR is fixed and put on a sustainable path for our Medicare and for the provider community prior to March 31. I would hope that could happen.

Next, I don't know whether the gentleman has watched colloquies in the past, but the majority leader and I have had an ongoing discussion about immigration reform. Both of us believe