by short-circuiting the environmental review of nuclear power plants, especially in earthquake fault zones.

Yes, we need energy. Yes, we should have energy from all sorts of power sources, but we should do it safely and not risk Fukushimas galore.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to oppose this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER).

The question was taken; and the Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New York will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 5 OFFERED BY MR. JOHNSON OF GEORGIA

The CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 5 printed in part C of House Report 113-374.

Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 32, after line 2, insert the following:

(d) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this Act or the amendments made by this Act shall have the effect of changing or limiting any law or regulation that requires or provides for public comment or public participation in an agency decision making process

The CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 501, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. JOHNSON) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Georgia.

Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia. Mr. Chairman, I thank the Rules Committee for making my amendment in order and urge my colleagues to support my commonsense amendment to protect the right of the public to comment on Federal projects under the NEPA review process.

The purpose of my amendment is simple. It protects the right of the public to comment. This amendment would ensure that H.R. 2641, the so-called RAPID Act of 2013, does not restrict the right of any member of the public to comment on construction projects that may have an environmental impact.

Like the administration and more than 20 well-respected environmental groups, I oppose the RAPID Act. This bill threatens public health and safety by putting a thumb on the scales in favor of private sector businesses in the project approval process.

It is yet another antiregulatory measure whose sole purpose is to grease the wheels of the approval process for projects that are environmentally sensitive.

Aside from creating duplicative and costly regulatory requirements that pertain to only certain types of projects, the RAPID Act would also limit the right of the public to comment on these projects.

The bill does that in two ways: First, by reducing opportunities for public input; and, second, by fast-tracking the approval process through arbitrary deadlines.

The NEPA approval process has protected the environment for more than 20 years, Mr. Chairman, and it is designed to be smart from the start.

Through an open, flexible, and timely process, NEPA empowers the public to weigh in on decisions. That means that the local farmer who owns land that would be affected by a Federal construction project has equal footing as the company that would stand to benefit from that project. My amendment is vital to ensuring that the RAPID Act doesn't shut the public out of this process.

I hope that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle will join me in ensuring that the RAPID Act does not foreclose public participation.

Accordingly, I urge that this committee make my amendment in order, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The CHAIR. The gentleman from Virginia is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may con-

The RAPID Act will create jobs by ensuring that the Federal environmental review and permitting process works like it should. The RAPID Act is drafted to make agencies operate efficiently and transparently; it does not prevent citizens from participating in this process.

In fact, the bill makes sure that agencies provide the public with reasonable public comment periods. It authorizes up to 60 days of public comment on Environmental Impact Statements, up to 30 days of comment on environmental assessments and other documents, and grants the lead agency authority to negotiate extensions or provide them on its own for good cause.

This is more than fair. By comparison, the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA, regulations only require agencies to allow 45 days for public comment on draft Environmental Impact Statements and 30 days for public comments on final Environmental Impact Statements.

The RAPID Act also reasonably requires that a person comment on an environmental document before challenging it in court, and bring any suit within 6 months, as opposed to 6 years. Opponents should not be able to delay a project indefinitely by playing hidethe-ball with agencies or by resting on their rights.

I urge my colleagues to oppose this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. Webster of Florida). The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. Johnson).

The question was taken; and the Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Georgia will be postponed.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise. The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. WOMACK) having assumed the chair, Mr. WEBSTER of Florida, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2641) to provide for improved coordination of agency actions in the preparation and adoption of environmental documents for permitting determinations, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

RAISING A QUESTION OF THE PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Ms. FUDGE. Mr. Speaker, I have a resolution at the desk previously noticed under rule IX.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

Whereas on March 5, 2014, during a hearing before the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Committee Chairman Darrell E. Issa gave a statement and then posed ten questions to former Internal Revenue Service official Lois Lerner, who stated that she was invoking her Fifth Amendment right not to testify;

Whereas the Committee's Ranking Member, Rep. Elijah E. Cummings, clearly sought recognition to take his turn for questions under Committee and House Rules;

Whereas, Chairman Issa then quickly adjourned the hearing and refused to allow him to make any statement or ask any questions;

Whereas Ranking Member Cummings protested immediately, stating: "Mr. Chairman, you cannot run a Committee like this. You just cannot do this. This is, we are better than that as a country, we are better than that as a Committee."

Whereas, Chairman Issa then returned and allowed Ranking Member Cummings to begin his statement, but when it became clear that Chairman Issa did not want to hear what Ranking Member Cummings was saying, turned off Ranking Member Cummings' microphone, ordered Republican staff to "close it down," and repeatedly signaled to end the hearing with his hand across his neck;

Whereas Ranking Member Cummings objected again, stating: "You cannot have a one-sided investigation. There is absolutely something wrong with that";

Whereas Chairman Issa made a statement of his own and posed questions during the hearing, but refused to allow other members of the Committee, and in particular the Ranking Member who had sought recognition, to make statements under the five-minute rule in violation of House Rule XI;

Reichert

Renacci

Rice (SC)

Roe (TN)

Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)

Rogers (MI)

Rokita

Roskam

Rothfus

Runyan

Salmon

Sanford

Scalise

Schock

Sessions

Shimkus

Shuster

Simpson

Smith (MO)

Schweikert

Scott, Austin

Sensenbrenner

Rvan (WI)

Royce

Ross

Rohrabacher

Ros-Lehtinen

Ribble

Rigell

Roby

Whereas Chairman Issa instructed the microphones to be turned off and adjourned the hearing without a vote or a unanimous consent agreement in violation of Rule XVI because he did not want to permit Ranking Member Cummings to speak;

Whereas Chairman Issa's abusive behavior on March 5 is part of a continuing pattern in which he has routinely excluded members of the Committee from investigative meetings, and has routinely provided information to the press before sharing it with Committee members:

Whereas Chairman Issa has violated Clause 1 of Rule XXIII of the Code of Official Conduct which states that "A Member, Delegate, Resident Commissioner, officer or employee of the House shall behave at all times in a manner that shall reflect creditably on the House": Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Representatives strongly condemns the offensive and disrespectful manner in which Chairman Darrell E. Issa conducted the hearing of the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform on March 5, 2014, during which he turned off the microphones of the Ranking Member while he was speaking and adjourned the hearing without a vote or a unanimous consent agreement.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The resolution qualifies.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I move to lay the resolution on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to lay the resolution on the table.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—"ayes" 211, "noes" 186, answered "present" 10, "not voting" 23, as follows:

[Roll No. 107]

YEAS-211 Aderholt Daines Harper Amash Davis, Rodney Harris Amodei Denham Hartzler Bachmann DeSantis Heck (NV) Barletta DesJarlais Hensarling Herrera Beutler Barr Diaz-Balart Barton Duffy Holding Duncan (SC) Benishek Hudson Bentivolio Huelskamp Duncan (TN) Bilirakis Ellmers Huizenga (MI) Bishop (UT) Farenthold Hultgren Fincher Black Hunter Blackburn Fitzpatrick Hurt Boustany Fleischmann Jenkins Brady (TX) Johnson (OH) Fleming Bridenstine Flores Jordan Joyce Kelly (PA) Brooks (AL) Forbes Broun (GA) Fortenberry Buchanan Foxx King (IA) Bucshon Franks (AZ) King (NY) Frelinghuysen Burgess Kingston Kinzinger (IL) Gardner Calvert Garrett Kline Gerlach Labrador Camp Campbell Gibbs LaMalfa Cantor Gibson Lamborn Capito Gingrey (GA) Lance Carter Gohmert Lankford Goodlatte Cassidy Latham Chabot Granger Latta Graves (GA) Coffman LoBiondo Cole Graves (MO) Long Collins (GA) Griffin (AR) Lucas Cook Cotton Griffith (VA) Luetkemeyer Grimm Lummis Marchant Cramer Guthrie Crenshaw Hall Marino Culberson Hanna Massie

McAllister McCarthy (CA) McCaul McClintock McKeon McKinley McMorris Rodgers Meadows Mica. Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller Gary Mullin Mulvaney Murphy (PA) Neugebauer Noem Nugent Nunes Nunnelee Palazzo Paulsen Pearce Perry Petri Pittenger Pitts Poe (TX) Pompeo Posev Price (GA) Reed

Barber

Beatty

Becerra

Bera (CA)

Bonamici

Brady (PA)

Bralev (IA)

Brown (FL)

Butterfield

Cartwright

Castor (FL)

Castro (TX)

Clark (MA)

Bustos

Capps

Cárdenas

Carney

Chu

Clay

Cicilline

Cleaver

Clyburn

Connolly

Convers

Courtney

Crowley

Cummings

Davis (CA)

DeFazio

DeGette

Delanev

DeLauro

DelBene

Dingell

Doggett

Edwards

Ellison

Engel

Enyart

Eshoo

Fattah

Foster

Fudge Gabbard

Gallego

Garcia

Garamendi

Estv

Farr

Duckworth

Doyle

Cuellar

Cooper

Costa

Cohen

Bass

Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Grayson Barrow (GA) Grijalva Gutiérrez Hahn Hanabusa Hastings (FL) Heck (WA) Bishop (GA) Bishop (NY) Higgins Blumenauer Himes Holt Honda Horsford Hoyer Brownley (CA) Huffman Israel Jackson Lee Jeffries. Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Carson (IN) Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Kennedy Kildee Kilmer Kind Kirkpatrick Kuster Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lee (CA) Levin Lewis Lipinski Loebsack Lofgren Lowenthal Lowey Lujan Grisham Davis, Danny (NM) Luján, Ben Ray (NM) Lynch Maffei Maloney. Carolyn Maloney, Sean Matheson Matsui McCollum McDermott McGovern McIntyre McNerney Meeks Meng Michaud Frankel (FL) Miller, George

Moore

Moran

Nadler Napolitano

Murphy (FL)

Young (IN) Neal Nolan O'Rourke Owens Pallone Pascrell Payne Pelosi Perlmutter Peters (CA) Peters (MI) Peterson Pingree (ME) Pocan Polis Price (NC) Quigley Rahall Rangel Richmond Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Rvan (OH) Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Schrader Scott (VA) Scott David Serrano Sewell (AL) Shea-Porter Sherman Sinema Sires Slaughter Smith (WA) Speier Swalwell (CA) Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tierney Titus Tonko Tsongas Van Hollen Veasey Vela Velázquez Visclosky Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters Waxman Welch

Wilson (FL)

Yarmuth

Southerland Stewart Stivers Stockman Stutzman Terry Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Turner Upton Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walorski Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westmoreland Whitfield Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Wolf Womack Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK)

NAYS-186

ANSWERED "PRESENT"-10 Brooks (IN) Dent Meehan Deutch Capuano Sánchez Linda Clarke (NY) Gowdy T. Conaway Tssa. NOT VOTING--23

Bachus Hastings (WA) Olson Hinojosa Chaffetz Pastor (AZ) Coble Johnson, Sam Rooney Collins (NY) Jones McCarthy (NY) Schneider Crawford Schwartz McHenry Gosar Smith (NE) Green, Al Messer Vargas Negrete McLeod Green, Gene

□1408

CARNEY and SCHRADER Messrs. changed their vote from "yea" "nay."

Messrs. NUNES, MULVANEY, PEARCE, DUNCAN of South Carolina, HARRIS, MEADOWS, GINGREY Georgia, MILLER of Florida. Mrs. HARTZLER, Messrs. McKINLEY, CRAMER, BRADY of Texas, WALDEN, MCALLISTER, DUFFY, and AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

Mmes. LINDA T. SÁNCHEZ of Cali-

fornia, CLARKE of New York, Messrs. CAPUANO and DEUTCH changed their

vote from "nay" to "present."
Messrs. CONAWAY, GOWDY, DENT, Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana, and Mr. MEEHAN changed their vote from "yea" to "present."

So the motion to table was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. SMITH of Nebraska. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 107, had I been present, I would have voted "ves."

RESPONSIBLY PROFES-AND SIONALLY INVIGORATING VELOPMENT ACT OF 2013

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 501 and rule XVIII. the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 2641.

Will the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. Ros-Lehtinen) kindly take the chair.

□ 1410

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2641) to provide for improved coordination of agency actions in the preparation and adoption of environmental documents for permitting determinations, and for other purposes, with Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN (Acting CHAIR) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, a request for a recorded vote on amendment No. 5 printed in part C of House Report 113-374, offered by the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. JOHNSON), had been postponed.