

land, the name of the Washington football team is a deeply personal reminder of a legacy of racism and of generations of pain.

The current campaign to change the team's name is supported by many groups and individuals, including Native American organizations, civic and government leaders, editorial boards, and many leaders, including my colleagues. Representatives BETTY MCCOLLUM and TOM COLE, and many others in a nonpartisan effort.

President Obama said recently:

If I were the owner of a team and I knew that there was a name of my team—even if it had a storied history—that was offending a sizable group of people, I'd think about changing it.

I wholeheartedly join this effort.

I also believe that the owner of the Washington team and other NFL owners should meet with the Oneidas as they have requested. How can we achieve mutual understanding unless they are willing to meet?

Mr. Speaker, in my office and with me now, I keep a replica of a Two Row Wampum belt, called the Guswhenta. It was lent to me by the Onondagas, and it symbolizes one of the first treaties between the Native Americans and the Europeans, concluded in 1613 between the Dutch and the Haudenosaunee. The two rows of wampum, which are beads made out of shells, represent Europeans and Native Americans. They are equal in size and travel together along a strip of white, representing peace. It was and still is a symbol of friendship and community.

Although the years since this treaty was concluded have seen much devastation and tribulation for Native Americans, today, the Haudenosaunee endure and maintain their culture. We have much to do to improve our relationship between our two peoples after centuries of strife, conflict, and repression, but so many are working to mend the rifts and to restore the promise of brotherhood and respect that this treaty belt contains. I joined a group of canoers last summer—Native Americans, European Americans, Asian and African Americans—who rode together across upstate New York and to New York City in order to commemorate this 400-year-old agreement.

Wouldn't it be great if, in order to show reverence and respect for the Haudenosaunee and the Native American tribes across this country, we could continue to do these things. Wouldn't it be great if, on this 400th anniversary of this groundbreaking treaty, we could right the wrong and change this NFL's team's name.

Mr. Speaker, this treaty was perhaps the first, but it wasn't the last. In November of 1794, George Washington, whose portrait is one of only two portraits in this hallowed Hall, through his official representative, Tom Pickering, concluded the treaty of Canandaigua with the Haudenosaunee. President Washington had a six-foot-long treaty belt that was fashioned to

ratify this treaty that our two peoples should live in peace and friendship.

Mr. Speaker, George Washington, himself, respected the Native Americans of this country and their culture. Shouldn't the NFL team that bears his name do the same?

AFGHANISTAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) for 5 minutes.

Mr. JONES. Mr. Speaker, again I am on the floor today to talk about the ongoing discussion between the United States and Afghanistan regarding a 10-year bilateral strategic agreement to allow troops to remain overseas beyond the year 2014.

Multiple news organizations have reported that talks on the agreement have stalled because of the unwillingness of the Afghan Government to let the American military search Afghan homes. Two senior Afghan officials went so far as to tell *The New York Times* that the negotiations had reached a profound impasse.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to submit for the RECORD a letter that I have written to the President of the United States regarding this issue.

This agreement will force the United States to continue paying trillions of tax dollars to support the Afghans' President Karzai, a corrupt government which we cannot afford any longer. As it is, taxpayers in the United States have been paying \$10.45 million every hour for the cost of the war in Afghanistan since 2001. Let me repeat that. Taxpayers in the United States have been paying \$10.45 million every hour for the cost of the war in Afghanistan since 2001. This is unacceptable, especially at a time when this national debt is at an astounding \$17 trillion and when we have been forced to make deep budget cuts in the United States.

Just this past weekend, tornadoes in Illinois killed six people. Last year, we watched the devastation on the east coast that resulted from Hurricane Sandy. These national disasters represent only one area in which we could use the money that we are sending to Afghanistan to help the American people right here. In addition, the bilateral strategic agreement will expose our troops to considerable dangers and will risk the loss of additional American lives, all without the approval of Congress.

At the very least, we in Congress should vote as to whether we agree with this agreement or not. It is not required by the Constitution, but we who oversee the spending of the taxpayers' money should demand that the leadership of the House in both parties have a vote, if nothing more than a resolution, that we do support this bilateral strategic agreement or we do not support it.

Mr. Speaker, I am here again today with my poster that is just such a sad

commentary on Afghanistan. It is the cartoon of a little Mr. Karzai drawing money out of a money machine—which is being paid for by the taxpayers, by the way—and his comment is, "I am just making a quick withdrawal."

□ 1030

Sadly, too, behind him is an American soldier whose thoughts are this: "I would like to make a quick withdrawal from here."

Mr. Speaker, it is time for this Congress to wake up and take care of America's problems and not Afghanistan's problems. A 10-year agreement is unacceptable and we need to come together in a bipartisan way to send a message to the administration that we do not support this agreement, and we come together, Republicans and Democrats.

I would close by asking God to please continue to bless our men and women in uniform and ask God to please continue to bless America.

NOVEMBER 18, 2013.

President BARACK OBAMA,
The White House,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT: I write today due to the ongoing discussion between the United States and Afghanistan regarding a 10-year Bilateral Security Agreement to allow our troops to remain overseas beyond 2014. Multiple news organizations have reported that talks on the agreement have stalled because of the unwillingness of the Afghan government to allow the American military to search Afghan homes.

Mr. President, this agreement will force the United States to continue paying trillions of tax dollars to support Afghan President Hamid Karzai's corrupt government. This is unacceptable, particularly at a time when the national debt is an astonishing 17 trillion dollars and we have been forced to make deep budget cuts at home. More importantly, allowing our troops to remain in Afghanistan exposes them to considerable danger and risks the loss of additional American lives—all without the approval of Congress. At the very least, a vote should be allowed to ensure that Congress exercises its constitutional responsibility of oversight of the expenditure of taxpayer money.

Considering these points, I implore you to reconsider the Bilateral Security Agreement and prevent both the loss of precious American lives and the waste, fraud, and abuse of American money overseas.

Sincerely,

WALTER B. JONES,
Member of Congress.

PANCREATIC CANCER AND SEQUESTRATION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Utah (Mr. MATHESON) for 5 minutes.

Mr. MATHESON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to bring awareness to our country's rate of pancreatic cancer and the need for strong and continued medical research of this disease. This year, over 45,000 are expected to be diagnosed with pancreatic cancer, a number that has steadily climbed over the past decade.

While survival rates for many other forms of cancer have improved in recent years, only 6 percent of patients

diagnosed with pancreatic cancer will live more than 5 years. That is a statistic that has not improved over 40 years.

Earlier this year, I sat down with several of my constituents affected by pancreatic cancer. One in particular, Jamiee, saw her father diagnosed with the disease and then tragically die just 11 weeks after he was diagnosed. Sadly, this story is all too common when discussing pancreatic cancer. I would guess that we all know someone who has died from this disease.

Sequestration cut \$1.5 billion from the National Institutes of Health earlier this year. This is critical funding that would have been used to conduct research on deadly diseases such as pancreatic cancer. Everyone I talk to in my district agrees with the idea that funding medical and disease research is a good thing.

We must continue research in this area and begin the process of reversing these remarkably depressing statistics with pancreatic cancer. We owe it to Jamiee and thousands of other families affected by this disease to work towards a cure.

ANN CARRIZALES—WIFE, MOTHER, FORMER MARINE, STAFFORD POLICE OFFICE, HERO

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. OLSON) for 5 minutes.

Mr. OLSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today with great pride to share an amazing story of a police officer from Texas 22.

At 3:30 a.m., on October 26, this officer from Stafford, Texas, noticed a car sitting at a green light with its left turn signal on. A few minutes later the Stafford officer stopped that car. As the officer approached the car, shots rang out. The officer was hit in the neck, face, and chest.

The thugs sped off. The thugs had no idea who they shot. If they knew, they would have dropped their weapons and surrendered without a fight. They shot a wife, a mother of two young children, a former marine, who was the first female to join the Marine Corps' boxing team. They shot Stafford police officer Ann Carrizales. They messed with the wrong marine.

Despite being wounded, Ann returned fire, blowing out the back glass of the thug's automobile. She jumped in her cruiser and joined the chase. She quickly got on the radio saying, "Shots fired, shots fired, I've been hit."

For 7 minutes Ann chased the shooters. The video of her dashboard camera shows how cool and in control Ann was. She chased the thugs through two counties with multiple law enforcement agencies joining the chase—the Stafford Police Department, Missouri City Police Department, Sugar Land Police Department, Houston Police Department, sheriff's deputies from Fort Bend County and Harris County, and the Texas Department of Public Safety, all joining in the chase.

Despite her wounds, Ann stayed on the radio and kept everyone aware of her location, telling everyone all the streets that she was passing while she was chasing the thugs. Ann was in charge and everyone knew that.

Ann followed those thugs into an apartment complex. Knowing the danger to arriving officers in an apartment complex and the danger to innocent Americans losing their lives from stray gunshots in those apartments, Ann continued to manage the scene.

On Ann's dashboard camera, you can see Ann's fellow officers trying to take care of her wounds. Ann can be heard saying, "Get out, it's not safe," and tell them to "watch your back." Ann's shooter was caught later that day, and his two buddies were caught a few days later.

I talked to Ann a week after she was shot. I had two questions for Ann. The first question: "What did you think when you were shot?" She told me that her mama bear instincts kicked in. Those punks tried to take her from her husband and her two kids. They were going to pay for that. I also asked Ann: "Did you ever think you were going to die?" She snapped, "No, sir, my chief did not give me permission to die that night."

Thank you, Ann, for wearing that badge and for your heroism. Semper fi, Ann, semper fi.

WE MUST TACKLE THE REAL PROBLEMS WE FACE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York (Mr. TONKO) for 5 minutes.

Mr. TONKO. Mr. Speaker, this week, it was reported that House Republicans are looking for a legislative plan to close out the year and to move forward into 2014 and, as such, passed out a blank sheet of paper as their agenda—a blank sheet.

Each month, polls put congressional approval rates at new lows, and more independent organizations rank the 113th Congress as one of the least—if not the least—productive of all time.

In response, leadership of the people's House has continued to govern by sound bites and pass messaging bills that simply go nowhere—even painfully shutting down the government for more than 2 weeks in the process.

If House leadership is looking for an agenda, they need only to look across the aisle to their friends. We have some suggestions, and chief among them is putting Americans back to work.

During our August work period, I participated in some 166 events, meeting with constituents each and every time. At nearly every stop, my friends and neighbors wanted to know what was being done in Washington to help the private sector create jobs.

My district is extraordinary, but not in this regard. I have to believe that the people of Albany and Schenectady and Saratoga Springs, New York, my hometown of Amsterdam, New York, in

the 20th Congressional District, are thinking what America is thinking. They are asking what myself and our colleagues on both sides of the aisle are doing to grow the economy.

House Democrats stand ready to work with Republicans to address the real challenges that face this great Nation of ours.

Sequestration-related cuts are estimated to cost our economy some 1.6 million jobs through 2014. Let's work together to save jobs and pass a budget that invests by growing in a justified way, in a fair way, revenues and belt tightening so that we cut as we can, so that we then invest as we must.

Our family farms deserve the certainty that a 5-year reauthorization of the farm bill has brought them for decades upon decades. Our parties clearly don't see eye-to-eye on cutting such items as hunger assistance, hunger assistance for millions of veterans, millions of frail people, millions of elderly, millions of children.

If we work together on jobs, we will help the private sector put people into jobs and cut poverty and reduce the need for hunger programs. Now, isn't that a humane approach?

We see middle class America experiencing pain at the gas pump, and we worry that our foreign policy is dictated by our dangerous dependency, our gluttonous thirst for fossil-based fuels. Yet, we stand today without a clear and definitive clean energy agenda that would make our Nation a safer place and create tens of thousands of jobs in the short-term, boosting an American green-collar economy. It can be done.

A report just last week on solar panels was interesting. If we would use just simply 5 percent of available rooftops in Los Angeles County, we would be able to create 29,000 jobs in that effort.

In the past week, we have seen major severe weather events wreak havoc on the Philippines and across 12 States within the Midwest of our country. Even if you choose to ignore fact-based science that really proves climate change to be real and here, we can all agree that our aging infrastructure needs our assistance, it needs to be upgraded, it needs to be improved and replaced, so that we are taking a proactive approach to the soundness of infrastructure, which grows jobs. Instead, we are allowing storms of the century to impact our communities and then have a reactive process that simply isn't the best way to do business.

I could go on and on, but I only have 5 minutes here.

Immigration reform, updating the Voting Rights Act, tax reform, expanding background checks for gun owners, or passing ENDA—there is more than enough for us to tackle that translates into jobs. The vast majority of these policies would pass in a bipartisan fashion, as the government shutdown was avoided by a bipartisan vote with a