Financial Services Committee, instead will be hurt, including a number of prominent Democratic senators who believe they will be hurt, these working moms and pops trying to provide for their family, trying to manage their nest eggs, having a new standard forced upon people they rely on. So all of a sudden, that investment advice is either going to get more expensive, it is going to disappear. All of a sudden, IRAs for working moms at prices they can afford will disappear all because we hear rhetoric about Wall Street.
Well, I don't think I have had any letters of endorsement from anybody on Wall Street. We can talk about something else that is not applicable. Perhaps we can talk about ObamaCare. I am always happy to have that discussion once again.
Again, this is a bipartisan bill. All we are trying to do is ensure, if 80 years of settled law that has helped working families is about to be upset, then we better have proof it is going to help the people that it claims to help. The amendment from the gentleman from California would totally eviscerate that.
I urge opposition, and I yield back the balance of my time.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the previous question is ordered on the bill, as amended, and on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. George Miller).
Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of H.R. 2374 is postponed.

## RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess for a period of less than 15 minutes.
Accordingly (at 4 o'clock and 25 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.
$\square 1637$
AFTER RECESS
The recess having expired, the House
was called to order by the Speaker pro
tempore (Mr. ColLINS of Georgia) at
o'clock and 37 minutes p.m.
RETAIL INVESTOR PROTECTION
ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of H.R. 2374 will now resume.
The Clerk read the title of the bill.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. George Miller).
The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.
Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX , this 15 minute vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California will be followed by 5 -minute votes on a motion to recommit, if ordered, and passage of the bill, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 174, nays 243, not voting 13 , as follows:


Barber
Beatty
Becerra
Bera (CA) Bishop (GA) Bishop (NY) Blumenauer Bonamici Brady (PA) Braley (IA) Brown (FL) Brownley (CA) Bustos
Butterfield
Capps
Cápuano Cárdenas Cartwright Castor (FL) Castor (FL) Chu
Chu
Clarke
Clay
Cleave
Cleaver
Clyburn
Cohen
Connolly
Connolly
Conyers
Conyers
Courtney
Crowley Cummings Davis (CA) Davis, Danny DeFazio DeGette DeLauro DelBene Deutch Dingell Doggett
Doyle
Duckworth
Edwards Edwards Ellison
Engel
Enyart
Eshoo
Esty
Farr
Fattah
Frankel (FL)
Fudge
Fudge
Gabbard
Garamendi
Garcia
Green, Al

Amash
Amodei
Bachmann Bachus Barletta Barr Barrow (GA) Barton Benishek Bentivolio Bilirakis Bishop (UT) Black Blackburn Boustany Brady (TX) Bridenstine Brooks (AL) Brooks (IN) Broun (GA) Buchanan



Rogers (KY) Rohrabacher Rokita Rooney Roskam Ross Rothfus Royce Runyan Ryan (WI) Salmon
Scalise Scalise Schneider Schock Schrader Schweikert Scott, Austin
Sensenbrenner Sessions Shimkus Shuster Simpson Sinema Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Southerland Stewart Stivers Stockman Stutzman
Terry Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiberi
Tipton
Turner
Upton
Valadao
Walberg
Walden
Walorski
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Webster (FL)
Wenstrup Westmoreland
Whitfield
Williams
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Wolf
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Yoho
Young (AK)
Young (IN)
NOT VOTING-13
Herrera Beutler Rush

| Kaptur | Sanford |
| :--- | :--- |
| McCarthy (NY) | Wasserman |
| Rogers (MI) | Schultz |

## 1706

Messrs. FRELINGHUYSEN, STIVERS, ROSKAM, RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois, REED, RIGELL, GARY G. MILLER of California, HUNTER, CAMP, and ROKITA changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."
Messrs. HORSFORD, LEVIN, Ms. MOORE, and Ms. JACKSON LEE changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."
So the amendment was rejected.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.
The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT
Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. TIERNEY. I am opposed to it in its current form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:
Mr. Tierney moves to recommit the bill H.R. 2374 to the Committee on Education and the Workforce and the Committee on Financial Services with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:
Add at the end the following new section: SEC. 4. PROTECTING RETIREMENT SAVINGS FROM INVESTMENT FRAUD.
Nothing in this Act shall limit the authority of the Secretary of Labor to issue regulations to-
(1) prevent fraud in regard to pensions, 401 k plans, and other retirement savings accounts of seniors, veterans, and other American workers;
(2) require that financial service providers, when advising employers or employees about pensions, 401 k plans, or other retirement savings accounts, clearly disclose any fees or other charges; or
(3) promote investment education and sound financial advice to employers and employees with regards to pensions, 401k plans, and other retirement savings accounts.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Massachusetts is recognized for 5 minutes.
Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, this is the final amendment to the bill. It will not kill the bill. It will not send it back to committee. If adopted, the bill will immediately proceed to final passage, as amended.
Mr. Speaker, over 70 million Americans and their families depend on 401(k)s and similar retirement plans for their retirement security. Veterans, seniors, and middle class workers and families in my district in Massachu-setts-in fact, in those districts of all of my colleagues-are concerned about their pensions, 401(k) plans, and retirement savings.
A retired worker from Danvers, Massachusetts, in my district, recently called my office and shared concerns about her pension. She believed it is at risk, and she has no other means of income.
That constituent of mine shares the same situation as do many across this country, believing that their retirement is at risk and that they have no other means of income. Millions of Americans are worried that they won't have adequate resources to retire with dignity after decades of work, and those who are retired, like that constituent from Danvers, feel that what they have won't last.

Retirement plans can also be subject to fraud and abuse. Last year, the Department of Labor recovered almost $\$ 1.3$ billion that was misappropriated from retirement plans. It included over $\$ 800$ million in prohibited transactions. The Department of Labor reportedly filed indictments against 117 persons for crimes related to employee benefit plans.

In 401(k) and similar plans, workers have to make investment decisions,
and to do so, they need access to reliable investment advice.

The motion to recommit is straightforward. It simply states that the bill does not prohibit action from being taken on the following three things:

It does not prohibit the Secretary of Labor from using regulations to prevent fraud in regard to pensions, 401(k) plans, and other retirement savings accounts for seniors, veterans, and other Americans;

It does not prohibit the Secretary of Labor from using regulations to require the disclosure of any fees so as to promote transparency and accountability;

It would promote investment education and sound financial advice.

Veterans, seniors, and the over 70 million investors who depend on 401(k)s and IRAs for their future security deserve to know that these kinds of responsible actions can be taken on their behalf. I think everyone here agrees.

I ask my colleagues for their support of this motion to recommit, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, I rise again in opposition. I don't even find how this is relevant to the underlying bill, the Retail Investor Protection Act. It simply says that it shouldn't prohibit something that apparently the Secretary of Labor already has the right to do. And given that the Obama administration has had a Secretary of Labor for 5 years, I suppose, if they already wanted to do what was the subject of the gentleman's MTR, they would have already done it. I suppose the gentleman certainly has a right, if he hasn't already done it, to introduce legislation.

Again, Mr. Speaker, it is simply irrelevant. There are lots of things that the Retail Investor Protection Act does not prohibit.

## $\square 1715$

It does not prohibit the Secretary of State from holding somebody accountable for the tragedy in Benghazi, when there were 29 systemic failures and four dead.

There is nothing in the underlying bill that prohibits the Secretary of the Treasury from holding somebody accountable at the Internal Revenue Service for targeting Americans for exercising their First Amendment rights.

There is nothing in the bill that prohibits the Secretary of HHS from holding somebody accountable for the ObamaCare Web site, which was $31 / 2$ years in the making for a half a billion dollars and still crashed.

There is nothing in the bill that prohibits the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development from holding somebody responsible at the Federal Housing Administration for receiving its first-ever taxpayer bailout and
being in violation of the law for almost 5 straight years for failing to adhere to its statutory minimum capital standards.

No, there are a lot of things that this bill doesn't prohibit, but let me tell you what the bill does, Mr. Speaker.
The Retail Investor Protection Act, sponsored by the gentlelady from Missouri (Mrs. Wagner), requires the Department of Labor and the Securities and Exchange Commission to coordinate a rulemaking. I know that is a radical departure for many, but, yes, they should coordinate a rulemaking.
Then we actually require justification. If you are going to pass a rule that you claim is going to help retail investors, then actually help them.

On a more fundamental level-and it is why we should oppose the motion to recommit-the bill preserves that $\$ 7$ online trade for the working mom who is trying to send a child to college. It preserves the $\$ 2,000$ startup IRA for somebody who has worked 20 years at Walmart and is trying to have a retirement savings. It allows low-cost access to ideas and products to people who want to manage their own investments so they can finally buy their own homes.

Mr. Speaker, it does it all on a bipartisan basis because half of the Democrats on the Financial Services Committee supported this commonsense legislation. I would urge all of them now and the entirety of the House to vote down the motion to recommit and to vote in favor of retail investors and to vote "aye" on the Retail Investor Protection Act.
I yield back the balance of my time.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.
The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it. RECORDED VOTE
Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5 -minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-ayes 195, noes 223 , not voting 12, as follows:
[Roll No. 566]
AYES-195

| Andrews | Capps | Costa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Barber | Capuano | Courtney |
| Barrow (GA) | Cárdenas | Crowley |
| Bass | Carney | Cuellar |
| Beatty | Carson (IN) | Cummings |
| Becerra | Cartwright | Davis (CA) |
| Bera (CA) | Castor (FL) | Davis, Danny |
| Bishop (GA) | Castro (TX) | DeFazio |
| Bishop (NY) | Chu | DeGette |
| Blumenauer | Cicilline | Delaney |
| Bonamici | Clarke | DeLauro |
| Brady (PA) | Clay | DelBene |
| Braley (IA) | Cleaver | Deutch |
| Brown (FL) | Clyburn | Dingell |
| Brownley (CA) | Cohen | Doggett |
| Bustos | Connolly | Doyle |
| Butterfield | Conyers | Duckworth |


| Duncan (TN) | Lee (CA) | Quigley | Nugent | Rokita | Terry | Hultgren | Moore | Scalise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Edwards | Levin | Rahall | Nunes | Rooney | Thompson (PA) | Hunter | Mullin | Schneider |
| Ellison | Lewis | Rangel | Nunnelee | Ros-Lehtinen | Thornberry | Hurt | Mulvaney | Schock |
| Engel | Lipinski | Richmond | Olson | Roskam | Tiberi | Issa | Murphy (FL) | Schrader |
| Enyart | Loebsack | Roybal-Allard | Palazzo | Ross | Tipton | Jenkins | Murphy (PA) | Schweikert |
| Eshoo | Lofgren | Ruiz | Paulsen | Rothfus | Turner | Johnson ( OH ) | Neugebauer | Scott, Austin |
| Esty | Lowenthal | Ruppersberger | Pearce | Royce | Upton | Johnson, Sam | Noem | Sensenbrenner |
| Farr | Lowey | Ryan (OH) | Perry | Runyan | Valadao | Jordan | Nugent | Sessions |
| Fattah | Lujan Grisham | Sánchez, Linda | Petri | Ryan (WI) | Wagner | Joyce | Nunes | Sherman |
| Foster | (NM) | T. | Pittenger | Salmon | Walberg | Kelly (PA) | Nunnelee | Shimkus |
| Frankel (FL) | Luján, Ben Ray | Sanchez, Loretta | Pitts | Scalise | Walden | Kilmer | Olson | Shuster |
| Fudge | (NM) | Sarbanes | Poe (TX) | Schock | Walorski | Kind | Owens | Simpson |
| Gabbard | Lynch | Schakowsky | Pompeo | Schweikert | Weber (TX) | King (IA) | Palazzo | Sinema |
| Gallego | Maffei | Schakowsky | Posey | Scott, Austin | Webster (FL) | King (NY) | Paulsen | Smith (MO) |
| Garamendi | Maloney, | Schneider | Price (GA) | Sensenbrenner | Wenstrup | Kingston | Pearce | Smith (NE) |
| ${ }_{\text {Garcia }}$ | Carolyn Maloney, Sean | Schrader | Radel | $\stackrel{\text { Sessions }}{ }$ | Westmoreland | Kinzinger (IL) | Perlmutter Perry | Smith (NJ) <br> Smith (TX) |
| Green, Gene | Matheson | Schwartz | Reichert | Shuster | Whitfield | Labrador | Peters (CA) | Southerland |
| Grijalva | Matsui | Scott (VA) | Renacci | Simpson | Williams | LaMalfa | Peters (MI) | Stewart |
| Gutiérrez | McCollum | Scott, David | Ribble | Smith (MO) | (SC) | Lamborn | Peterson | Stivers |
| Hahn | McDermott | Serrano | Rice (SC) | Smith (NE) | an | Lance | Petri | Stockman |
| Hanabusa | McGovern | Sewell (AL) | Rigell | Smith (NJ) | Wolf | Lankford | Pittenger | Stutzman |
| Hastings (FL) | McIntyre | Shea-Porter | Roby | Smith (TX) | Womack | Larsen (WA) | Pitts | Terry |
| Heck (WA) | McNerney | Sherman | Roe (TN) | Southerland | Woodal | Latham | Poe (TX) | Thompson (PA) |
| Higgins | Meeks | Sinema | Rogers (AL) | Stewart | Yoder | Latta | Pompeo | Thornberry |
| Himes | Meng | Sires | Rogers (KY) | Stivers | Yoho | LoBiondo | Posey | Tiberi |
| Hinojosa | Michaud | Slaughter | Rogers (MI) | Stockman | Young (AK) | Long | Price (GA) | Tipton |
| Holt | Miller, George | Smith (WA) | Rohrabacher | Stutzman | Young (IN) | Lucas | Radel | Turner |
| Honda | Moore | Speier |  |  |  | Luetkemeyer | Reed | Upton |
| Horsford | Moran | Swalwell (CA) |  | NOT VOTING- |  | Lummis | Reichert | Valadao |
| Hoyer | Murphy (FL) | Takano | Aderholt | Jenkins | Van Hollen | Maffei | Renacci | Vela |
| Huffman | Nadler | Thompson (CA) | Campbell | McCarthy (NY) | Wasserman | Marchant | Ribble | Wagner |
| Israel | Napolitano | Thompson (MS) | Cooper | Pelosi | Schultz | Marino | Rice (SC) | Walberg |
| Jackson Lee | Neal | Tierney | Grayson | Rush |  | Massie | Rigell | Walden |
| Jeffries | Negrete McLeod | Titus | Herrera Beutler | Sanford |  | Matheson | Roby | Walorski |
| Johnson (GA) | Nolan | Tonko |  |  |  | McCarthy (CA) | Roe (TN) | Weber (TX) |
| Johnson, E. B. | O'Rourke | Tsongas |  | $\square 1726$ |  | McCaul | Rogers (AL) | Webster (FL) |
| Jones | Owens | Vargas |  | $\square 1726$ |  | McClintock | Rogers (KY) | Wenstrup |
| Kaptur | Pallone | Vargas | So the m | ion to rec | mit was re | McHenry | Rogers (MI) | Westmoreland |
| Keating | Pascrell | Veasey | jected. |  |  | McIntyre | Rohrabacher | Whitfield |
| Kelly (IL) | Pastor (AZ) | Vela | jected. |  |  | McKinley | Rokita | Williams |
| Kennedy | Payne | Velazquez | The result | of the vote | as announced | McMorris | Rooney | Wilson (SC) |
| Kildee | Perlmutter | Visclosky | as above rec | orded. |  | Rodgers | Ros-Lehtinen | Wittman |
| Kilmer | Peters (CA) | Walz | The SPE | KER pr | mpore. The | Meadows | Roskam | Wolf |
| Kind | Peters (MI) | ater | question is | n the passag | of the bill | Meehan | Ross | Womack |
| Kirkpatrick | Peterson | Watt | question is | the passag | f the bill. | Messer | Rothfus | Woodall |
| Kuster | Pingree (ME) | Waxman | The quest | ion was ta | en; and the | Mica | Royce | Yoder |
| Langevin | Pocan | Welch | Speaker pro | tempore an | nounced that | Miller (FL) | Runyan | Yoho |
| Larsen (WA) | Polis | Wilson (FL) | the ayes ap | ared to hav | dounced that | Miller (MI) | Ryan (WI) | Young (AK) |
| Larson (CT) | Price (NC) | Yarmuth |  | RECORDED Vo |  | Miller, Gary | Salmon | Young (IN) |
|  | NOES-223 |  |  |  |  |  | NOES-166 |  |
| Amash | DesJarlais | Hurt | a recorded vo | te. |  | Andrews Barber | Enyart Eshoo | Lujan Grisham (NM) |
| Amodei | Diaz-Balart | Issa | A recorded | vote was ord | red. |  |  |  |
| Bachmann | Duffy | Johnson ( OH ) | The SPEA | ER pro tem | re. This is a | Beatty | Farr | (NM) |
| Bachus | Duncan (SC) | Johnson, Sam | The SPEA | - pro tem | 崖. This is a | Becerra |  | Lynch |
| Barletta | Ellmers | Jordan | 5-minute vot |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Becerra }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Fattah | Lynch |
| Barr | Farenthold | Joyce | The vote w | as taken by | lectronic de- | Bishop (GA) | Fudge (FL) | Carolyn |
| Barton | Fincher | Kelly (PA) | vice, and the | re were-aye | 254, noes 166, |  | Gabbard |  |
| Benishek | Fitzpatrick | King (IA) | vice, and the | , were-aye | 254, noes 166, | Blumenauer | Gabbard | Matsui |
| Bentivolio | Fleischmann | King (NY) | not voting | as follows: |  | Bonamici | Garamendi | McCollum |
| Bilirakis | Fleming | Kingston |  | [Roll No. 567] |  | Brady (PA) | Green, Gene | McDermott |
| Bishop (UT) | Flores | Kinzinger (IL) |  | AYES-254 |  | Braley (IA) | Green, Gene Grijalva | McGovern |
| Black | Forbes | Kline |  |  |  | Brown (FL) | Gutiérrez | McNerney |
| Blackburn Boustany | Fortenberry | Labrador | Amash | Collins (GA) | Franks (AZ) | Brownley (CA) | Hahn | Meeks |
| Boustany | Foxx | LaMalfa | Amodei | Collins (NY) | Frelinghuysen | Bustos | Hann | Meng |
| Brady (TX) | Franks (AZ) | Lamborn | Bachmann | Conaway | Gallego | Butterfield | Hanabusa | Michaud |
| Bridenstine | Frelinghuysen | Lance | Bachus | Connolly | Garcia | Capps | Hastings (FL) | Miller, George |
| Brooks (AL) | Gardner | Lankford | Barletta | Cook | Gardner | Capuano | Higgins | Moran |
| Brooks (IN) | Garrett | Latham | Barr | Costa | Garrett | Cárdenas | Hinojosa | Nadler |
| Broun (GA) | Gerlach | Latta | Barrow (GA) | Cotton | Gerlach | Carson (IN) |  | Napolitano |
| Buchanan | Gibbs | LoBiondo | Barton | Cramer | Gibbs | Cartwright | Honda | Neal |
| Bucshon | Gibson | Long | Benishek | Crawford | Gibson | Castor (FL) | Horsford | Negrete McLeod |
| Burgess | Gingrey (GA) | Lucas | Bentivolio | Crenshaw | Gingrey (GA) | Castro (TX) | Hoyer | Nolan |
| Calvert | Gohmert | Luetkemeyer | Bilirakis | Cuellar | Gohmert | Chu | Huffman | O'Rourke |
| Camp | Goodlatte | Lummis | Bishop (UT) | Culberson | Goodlatte | Cicilline | Israel | Pallone |
| Cantor | Gosar | Marchant | Black | Daines | Gosar | Clarke | Jackson Lee | Pascrell |
| Capito | Gowdy | Marino | Blackburn | Davis, Rodney | Gowdy | Clay | Jeffries | Pastor (AZ) |
| Carter | Granger | Massie | Boustany | Delaney | Granger | Cleaver | Johnson (GA) | Payne |
| Cassidy | Graves (GA) | McCarthy (CA) | Brady (TX) | Denham | Graves (GA) | Clyburn | Johnson, E. B. | Pelosi |
| Chabot | Graves (MO) | McCaul | Bridenstine | Dent | Graves (MO) | Cohen | Jones | Pingree (ME) |
| Chaffetz | Griffin (AR) | McClintock | Brooks (AL) | DeSantis | Griffin (AR) | Conyers | Kaptur | Pocan |
| Coble | Griffith (VA) | McHenry McKeon | Brooks (IN) | DesJarlais | Griffith (VA) | Courtney | Keating | Polis |
| Coffman | Grimm | McKeon | Broun (GA) | Deutch | Grimm | Crowley | Kelly (IL) | Price (NC) |
| Cole | Guthrie | McKinley | Buchanan | Diaz-Balart | Guthrie | Cummings | Kennedy | Quigley |
| Collins (GA) | Hall | McMorris | Bucshon | Duffy ${ }^{\text {duncan (SC) }}$ | Hall | Davis (CA) | Kildee | Rahall |
| Collins (NY) | Hanna | Rodgers | Burgess | Duncan (SC) | Hanna | Davis, Danny | Kirkpatrick | Rangel |
| Conaway | Harper Harris | Meadows | Calvert | Duncan (TN) | Harper | DeFazio | Kuster | Richmond |
| Cook | Harris Hartzler | Meehan Messer | ${ }_{\text {Camp }}$ Cantor | Ellmers | Harris Hartzler | DeGette | Langevin | Roybal-Allard |
| Cotton | Hartzler Hastings (WA) | Messer Mica | Cantor | Farenthold | Hartzler | DeLauro | Larson (CT) | Ruiz |
| Cramer | Hastings (WA) Heck (NV) | Mica Miller (FL) | Capito Carney | Fincher | Hastings (WA) | DelBene | Lee (CA) | Ruppersberger |
| Crawford | Heck (NV) | Miller (FL) | Carney | Fitzpatrick | Heck (NV) | Dingell | Levin | Ryan (OH) |
| Crenshaw | Hensarling | Miller (MI) | Carter | Fleischmann | Heck (WA) | Doggett | Lewis | Sánchez, Linda |
| Culberson | Holding | Miller, Gary | Cassidy | Fleming | Hensarling | Doyle | Lipinski | T. |
| Daines | Hudson | Mullin | Chabot | Flores | Himes | Duckworth | Loebsack | Sanchez, Loretta |
| Davis, Rodney | Huelskamp | Mulvaney | Chaffetz | Forbes | Holding | Edwards | Lofgren | Sarbanes |
| Denham | Huizenga (MI) | Murphy (PA) | Coble | Fortenberry | Hudson | Ellison | Lowenthal | Schakowsky |
| Dent DeSantis | Hultgren Hunter | Neugebauer Noem | Coffman Cole | Foster Foxx | Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) | Engel | Lowey | Schiff |


| Schwartz | Swalwell (CA) | Veasey |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scott (VA) | Takano | Velázquez |
| Scott, David | Thompson (CA) | Visclosky |
| Serrano | Thompson (MS) | Walz |
| Sewell (AL) | Tierney | Waters |
| Shea-Porter | Titus | Watt |
| Sires | Tonko | Waxman |
| Slaughter | Tsongas | Welch |
| Smith (WA) | Van Hollen | Wilson (FL) |
| Speier | Vargas | Yarmuth |
|  | NOT VOTING——10 |  |
|  | Herrera Beutler | Sanford |
| Aderholt | McCarthy (NY) | Wasserman |
| Campbell | McKeon | Schultz |
| Cooper | Rush |  |
| Grayson |  |  |
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Messrs. PAYNE, ISRAEL, and BISHOP of Georgia changed their vote from "aye" to "no."
So the bill was passed.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.
A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM
The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following resignation as a member of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform: House of Representatives,

October 29, 2013.
Hon. John Boehner,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.
DEAR SPEAKER Boehner: I write to inform you of my resignation from the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. It was an honor to serve on this important committee and I remain committed to promoting a government that is transparent and accountable to the American people.

Sincerely,
Mark Pocan, Member of Congress.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the resignation is accepted.
There was no objection.

ELECTING A MEMBER TO A CERTAIN STANDING COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Democratic Caucus, I offer a privileged resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.
The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

## H. RES. 393

Resolved, That the following named Member be and is hereby elected to the following standing committee of the House of Representatives:
(1) COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND THE WORK-FORCE.-Mr. Pocan.
The resolution was agreed to.
A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

DISAPPROVAL RESOLUTION RELATING TO DEBT LIMIT INCREASE
Mr. YOUNG of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 391 and
section 1002(e) of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2014, I have a motion at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:
Mr. Young of Indiana moves that the House proceed to consider House Joint Resolution 99.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section $1002(\mathrm{e})(2)(\mathrm{B})$ of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2014, the motion is not debatable.

The question is on the motion.
The motion was agreed to.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the title of the joint resolution.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

The text of the joint resolution is as follows:

## H.J. RES. 99

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Congress disapproves of the President's exercise of authority to suspend the debt limit, as exercised pursuant to the certification under section 1002(b) of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2014.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 391 and section 1002(e)(2)(C) of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2014, the joint resolution is considered as read, and the previous question is considered as ordered on the joint resolution to its passage without intervening motion, except 1 hour of debate, equally divided and controlled by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. Young) as the proponent and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. LEVIN) as the opponent.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana.

GENERAL LEAVE
Mr. YOUNG of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the subject of the joint resolution under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.
Mr. YOUNG of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Some people may be wondering why we find ourselves here today. Some people may be confused as to why we are voting on a resolution to disapprove of the debt limit suspension 2 weeks after the fact. And some people may be asking why I introduced this resolution of disapproval on behalf of some people who voted "yes" and others who voted "no" to give the President the authority to suspend the debt limit.

The answers to these questions are much simpler than they might appear.

We are here today because the United States of America carries a debt load of over $\$ 17$ trillion and counting.

We are voting on this resolution today because this is the procedure that was put in place by the Senate when they crafted a package to end the government shutdown. Many of us voted for that Senate legislation largely because we didn't think it was responsible to risk defaulting on our national debt.
However, I introduced this resolution, and a majority of House Members will vote to disapprove, because it is also not responsible to ignore the problems created by our long-term debt.

Mr. Speaker, despite the fact that a large number in this body voted to avoid default, it would be a gross mischaracterization to say that we approve of a debt limit suspension absent adoption of bold policy reforms that will set our Nation on a sustainable fiscal trajectory.
We must break the habit of negotiating these fiscal deals at the last minute. We must stop kicking the can down the road, proverbially skipping along from crisis to crisis.

Simply put: enough is enough. Let's start talking across party lines about how to fix our debt problems now, not the end of a deadline.
We know that programs like Medicare and Social Security are on unsustainable footing. That is why a Democratic President and Republican House have both offered up reforms for these programs. So if we agree there is a problem, why must we wait until the next crisis to address it?

We know that our Tax Code is outdated and that it has become too larded up with narrowly tailored provisions that benefit only a small number of special interests. That is why our House Ways and Means chairman has met weekly with the Senate Finance chairman to discuss how best to achieve a fairer, flatter Tax Code in a bipartisan way.
If there is agreement here, then why are we looking to self-imposed fiscal deadlines in hopes of getting a deal? I could go on and on, but I think the point is clear: Washington missed an opportunity during our most recent fiscal showdown.
This resolution sends a message that ignoring our problems does not make them go away. It sends a message that we should not wait until the last minute, but should reach across the aisle to face these challenges now; and it sends a message that we take these issues very seriously because they bear directly on job creation, personal income levels, and our collective faith in America's enduring exceptionalism.
I urge my colleagues to support this resolution of disapproval.
I reserve the balance of my time.
Mr. LEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Just a short time ago, a number of us joined many others in paying tribute to Speaker Tom Foley. There was a commemoration ceremony just 100 feet or so from here.
There was a lot of discussion, appropriately, of the need for bipartisanship.

