Schwartz

Schweikert

Scott, Austin

Scott, David

Sensenbrenner

Scott (VA)

Serrano

Sessions

Sherman

Shimkus

Shuster

Simpson

Slaughter

Smith (MO)

Smith (NE)

Smith (NJ)

Smith (TX)

Smith (WA)

Southerland

Speier

Stewart

Stivers

Takano

Terry

Tiberi

Tierney

Tipton

Titus

Stockman

Stutzman

Swalwell (CA)

Thompson (CA)

Thompson (MS)

Thompson (PA)

Thornberry

Sinema.

Sires

Sewell (AL)

Shea-Porter

Rangel Reed Reichert Renacci Ribble Rice (SC) Richmond Rigell Roby Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Rokita. Rooney Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross Rothfus Roybal-Allard Royce Ruiz Runvan Ruppersberger Ryan (OH) Rvan (WI) Salmon Sánchez, Linda T. Sanchez, Loretta Sanford Sarbanes Scalise Schakowsky Schiff Schneider Schock Schrader

Tonko Tsongas Valadao Van Hollen Vargas Veasey Vela Velázquez Visclosky Wagner Walherg Walden Walorski Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters Watt Waxman Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Welch Wenstrup Westmoreland Whitfield

Williams

Wittman

Womack

Woodall

Yarmuth

Young (AK)

Young (IN)

Yoder

Yoho

Wolf

Wilson (FL)

Wilson (SC)

## NOT VOTING-6

Herrera Beutler McCarthy (NY) Higgins Rush

## Turner Young (FL)

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the joint resolution was passed.

 $\sqcap 1433$ 

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 538, I was inadvertently detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

## SHUTDOWN ISSUES

(Mr. POSEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. POSEY. Mr. Speaker, most people are surprised and actually stunned and amazed to discover that the Senate and the House have agreed—at least for the next 5 weeks—on a level of funding.

This is not an argument about money. This shutdown is not about money. There are only two issues stopping the shutdown from coming to an end right this second. The President was on television yesterday, for over an hour, and never mentioned those two issues.

We've agreed on the money. Here are the issues:

Make Congress and the White House obey the same ObamaCare rules as everybody else in the United States of America.

The President gave Big Business and special interests a 1-year break from being a part of ObamaCare.

We want America's workers and families who work hard and play by the

rules to have the same advantage. That's what Republicans, Democrats, and Independents in my district say they want.

To give special benefits only to Big Business and special interests is not fair, it's not right, and it's not good for the United States of America.

### VOTE ON A CLEAN CR

(Mrs. KIRKPATRICK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. KIRKPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I stand here on behalf of my Arizona district to once again make a simple request: allow a vote on a clean funding bill to reopen the government.

In the past week, House GOP leaders only allowed piecemeal votes on bills that went nowhere. Yesterday, they did it again. This time, they did it with Head Start funding, which is important for the 12 Native American tribes in my district. Schools on tribal lands have already taken a massive hit with sequestration cuts.

The shutdown is continuing this pain, not just with cuts to Head Start and impact aid, but with furloughs from the Indian Health Service and with cuts in funding for programs that protect women from violence.

These piecemeal games are a dead end. They only prolong the shutdown. If House leadership were genuinely concerned about programs like Head Start or Impact Aid, they would allow a vote to reopen the government.

Congress should stop picking winners and losers. This is not a game. We demand a vote on the budget.

# LET'S GET OUR ACT TOGETHER

(Mrs. BACHMANN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. BACHMANN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to reiterate again that the bodies have agreed on the general numbers that we have to look at to deal with our budget. We need to do it. We need to get it done, and we need to get people back to work here in the United States of America.

The one thing that shocked me, Mr. Speaker, is last Saturday, I went with my colleague STEVE KING to The Mall because we wanted to open up the World War II Memorial, the Korean Memorial, and the Vietnam Memorial so that our veterans and the American people could visit them.

We were absolutely shocked to find the level of intimidation that was going on. Police dogs were held by park rangers, and mounted police were in front of the barriers in front of these monuments. That's shameful.

What was even more shameful is that there were 90-year-old people in 90-degree weather, and the park system had shut down not only the water fountain but also the bathrooms. We had 10

Honor Flights coming in to visit the World War II Memorial, and in one of the most undignified acts I've ever seen, the Greatest Generation was denied access to a public bathroom in the national park.

That's terrible. We wouldn't do that to anyone. That's why we need to get our act together and get this taken care of.

# SHUTDOWN CONSEQUENCES FOR NEVADA

(Mr. HORSFORD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HORSFORD. Mr. Speaker, I come to the floor today to give credit where credit is due and to commend a Republican who understands the negative consequences of this shutdown, and he is the Governor from my home State of Nevada, Governor Brian Sandoval.

Yesterday, he told the Las Vegas Sun that Nevada is struggling because of this ongoing shutdown. He said that Nevadans are going to "see some catastrophic issues going on for the State" if the shutdown continues.

The Sun reported that 362,000 food stamp recipients will see benefits end on November 1 as State employees who administer the program face potential furloughs. In addition, 425,000 women, infants, and children would be cut from food assistance rolls. Rape crisis call centers may be closed. Unemployment claims will not be processed.

Republicans in Congress need to recognize what's happening in their States. Listen to your constituents. Listen to your State legislators and Governors.

This shutdown needs to end, and it needs to end now.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR, Las Vegas, NV, October 8, 2013.

Hon. HARRY REID, U.S. Senator, Washington, DC. Hon. DEAN HELLER, U.S. Senator, Washington, DC. Hon JOE HECK House of Representatives. Washington, DC. Hon. DINA TITUS. House of Representatives,  $Washington,\,DC.$ Hon. Mark Amodel. House of Representatives, Washington, DC. HON STEVEN HORSFORD. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATORS AND CONGRESSMEN: Your service to our state is vitally important, and I want to thank you for your work and dedication. I know we all put Nevada first and understand how special and unique our great state is. We have all worked together to move our state forward, and I will always be grateful for your willingness to put partisanship aside when it comes to Nevada.

I feel compelled to write all of you to express my deep concern with the shutdown of the federal government and its potential impacts on our state. This shutdown impacts how the state operates, the services we are

able to provide, and has the potential to set our state back when it comes to economic recovery. In the most basic of terms, this is about ensuring people are fed, houses are kept, and jobs are available.

As you are aware, Nevada was the last state to emerge from the great recession. While we have made much progress since the height of the recession, our unemployment rate still remains above the national average, and our housing market has not fully recovered. And while Nevada's economy is once again expanding, a prolonged federal shutdown undermines consumer confidence and threatens economic growth nationally. Either of these outcomes endangers the tourism industry that is so important to our state.

Job creation and getting Nevadans working again has been my greatest priority since coming to office, and I know, as members of the federal delegation, it has been a priority of yours as well However, I am concerned that we may be forced to take steps backwards as the impacts of this shutdown unfold on the economy. While we do not know the extent of the impact, we know even in the best of times the economic impact of a government shutdown is felt.

I am also deeply concerned about the possibility of a disruption in services to our state's neediest. Whether it is child nutrition programs, SNAP benefits, unemployment insurance, or dozens of other programs, this disruption in service undermines the economic and nutritional security of Nevadans. Those who are struggling may go hungry or be unable to pay their rent or mortgage. These services are designed to help those who have fallen on the hardest of times. A disruption to these services will be devastating for some.

The state has the ability to cover the cost of some federally funded programs during the shutdown by temporarily allocating money that has been set aside for other purposes. However, we have no assurances that the federal government will reimburse Nevada for any costs that it assumes during the shutdown. It is difficult to make informed choices on how to proceed absent swift action from the federal government to provide clear directions regarding which programs will be made whole and which will not. At a very minimum, the federal government needs to address this uncertainty so the state can plan financially and manage its affairs responsibly.

The State of Nevada cannot be expected to assume the costs of federal programs. We built our budget in good faith with reasonable assurances regarding federal funding levels. To that end, I have included a summary of the shutdown's impact on the people of Nevada. I implore each of you to work together to resolve the issues in Washington and to honor the federal commitment to Nevada.

Thank you for your attention to this most important matter. As always, I am available to each of you should the need arise to discuss this further.

Sincere regards,

BRIAN SANDOVAL,

Governor.

# RESPECT FOR OUR FALLEN HEROES

(Mr. HUDSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HUDSON. Mr. Speaker, it has been 8 days since the government shut down. The postal service is still running. Social Security and unemployment checks are being processed. Citizens can get passports and food stamps, and certain groups that have the right ideology are even given permits to protest on our National Mall; but for some reason, our military families, including those at Fort Bragg in North Carolina, cannot receive emergency death benefits.

This is worse than excusable. It's shameful.

Last week, Congress unanimously passed the Pay Our Military Act, with the intent that all military pay and allowances will be disbursed during the government shutdown. Unfortunately, this administration has been playing political theater with the families of our war heroes who have made the ultimate sacrifice.

To make our intent crystal clear, today the House passed the Honoring the Families of Fallen Soldiers Act. Certain things should transcend politics, and it is up to the Senate and the administration. In fact, they have a moral obligation to join the efforts of the House to fix this problem and to express our deepest gratitude to the families of our heroes.

### CONSTITUTIONAL DUTIES

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LaMalfa). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2013, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DESANTIS) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. DESANTIS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to discuss an issue of increasing relevance to our national affairs and to constitutional government properly understood—and that is the requirement that the President faithfully enforce the laws of the land and the failure of the current incumbent to satisfy that obligation.

The Constitution sets out a simple yet effective structure: the major powers of government—legislative, executive, and judicial—are divided into three separate branches of government. The legislative branch—the Congress—passes laws, makes law; the executive branch—the President—enforces law; and the judicial branch—the Supreme Court and inferior courts—interprets laws.

Article II, section 3 of the Constitution imposes upon the President the duty to "take care that the laws be faithfully executed." This duty has roots in Anglo American law dating back to the Glorious Revolution of 17th century Britain. In fact, the English Bill of Rights of 1689 provided that:

The pretended power of suspending laws, or the execution of laws, by regal authority, without the consent of parliament, is illegal.

For his part, the Founder of our country, George Washington, saw the faithful execution of the law to be one of the President's core responsibilities. In a letter to Alexander Hamilton, then-President Washington explained that the Constitution's "take care" clause meant:

It is my duty to see the laws executed: to permit them to be trampled with impunity would be repugnant to that duty.

The duty of the President to "take care that the laws be faithfully executed" is a central component not simply of the executive branch of government, but to the entire constitutional system.

#### □ 1445

Yet the conduct of the current incumbent has evinced a disregard for this core constitutional duty. By picking and choosing which laws to enforce, the President has undermined the constitutional order and has failed to keep faith with the basic idea that ours is a government of laws, not of men.

Now the most conspicuous vehicle for the President's disregard of the Take Care duty has been the implementation of the law that bears his name—the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, aka ObamaCare.

Now, it is interesting that of all the arguments that have been put forward to counter those who seek to defund, delay, or repeal this law, the one that ObamaCare supporters have embraced most frequently as of late goes like this: ObamaCare is the law of the land and has been upheld by the Supreme Court; therefore, it cannot be repealed, defunded, or delayed.

Now, this is a nonsensical argument on its face. Congress has the authority to legislate, per article I of the Constitution, and can amend, supercede, or repeal ordinary legislation as it sees fit. But this argument is particularly rich regarding ObamaCare. Because if this law is somehow sacrosanct, then why is the President not enforcing it as written? It is untenable to assert that Congress cannot change the law through legislation but that the President can delay or waive provisions of the law by executive fiat. Exhibit A for this, as it relates to ObamaCare, is the President's unilateral decision for 1 year to delay the enforcement of the so-called employer mandate, a central provision of ObamaCare requiring most businesses to provide government-sanctioned insurance to their employees.

Now, section 1513(d) of that law states that the employer mandate "shall apply to the months beginning after December 31, 2013." Note the statutory command of "shall." This is not discretionary, and there is no provision of the law permitting the Executive to delay it.

Incredibly, the President has not offered any coherent rationale for his actions. He was asked in an interview with The New York Times whether his critics were justified in asserting that he lacked authority to delay the mandate. He responded by saying:

If Congress thinks that what I've done is inappropriate or wrong in some fashion, they're free to make that case. But there's not an action that I take that you don't have some folks in Congress who say that I'm usurping my authority. Some of those folks think I usurped my authority by having the gall to win the Presidency. And I don't think