

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCALISE. Madam Chair, at this time I'd like to yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. PRICE), the author of the underlying bill.

Mr. PRICE of Georgia. I want to commend my colleague from Louisiana and the chair of the Republican Study Committee for bringing forth this amendment and supporting the underlying bill.

The amendment, as the gentleman from Maryland said, simply provides greater information, more transparency, more information from the President in his budget on the differences between the mandatory and the means-tested in the discretionary side of the budget.

It also, I think, is so important for the American people to gain as much information as possible as we move through this national debate, the national debate of whether or not it is appropriate for the President to bring a budget to Congress that in the past 4 years has never balanced.

The underlying bill, again, urges the President to bring a budget to the Congress that gets to balance and let's the American people know when it does. So I want to commend my colleague from Louisiana for his amendment and urge adoption of the amendment and the underlying bill.

□ 1040

Mr. SCALISE. Madam Chair, if I may inquire, how much time is remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Louisiana has 2½ minutes remaining. The gentleman from Maryland has 4¼ minutes remaining.

Mr. SCALISE. At this time, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. RADEL).

Mr. RADEL. I'd like to thank the gentleman from Louisiana for his hard work.

I would like to take a moment to speak, in fact, in support of the Scalise amendment. In doing so, there's a much bigger picture here, a bigger picture that, quite frankly, isn't even being talked about when it comes to the challenges our country faces today. Our problems go beyond Republican and beyond Democrat. Our problems are numbers, debt and deficits that we cannot even begin to wrap our arms around.

So what we must do as a country and beyond party lines is work together as Americans. Today I ask for your support of this amendment to demand accountability and transparency from Washington, accountability when it comes to your money—not tax dollars, not stimulus dollars—your money.

We often hear from the President that we cannot cut, cut, cut, and I agree. This is not about cutting. This is about saving. This is about saving Social Security, saving Medicare, saving our economy and ultimately our government. In the big picture, we must demand that we, as elected officials and servants of the people, are

held accountable. Both the Scalise amendment and the Require a PLAN Act do just that.

Mr. SCALISE. At this time, I would like to yield 1½ minutes to the Republican whip from Kendall, California (Mr. MCCARTHY).

Mr. MCCARTHY. Madam Chair, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I rise in support of the amendment. This amendment will help bring transparency and accountability back to the budget process, something that has been sorely lacking under this President.

Let's just look at the facts:

The last budgets from this President that were voted on have not received one vote in support from the House or the Senate—that's on the Democrat side nor the Republican side;

Every year this President has been in office, he's had deficits of \$1 trillion, adding \$6 trillion to the debt;

Out of the last five budgets, four of them have been late;

The President has never submitted a budget to this House or the other that balances.

That is a record of failure that is distressing to this House and to the American people. We deserve better.

It's unfortunate that this House has to pass bills to get responsible budgeting. That's why I support this amendment and the underlying bill.

Mr. SCALISE. Madam Chair, I'm prepared to close.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Madam Chairman, I will not use all the time. As I said, what this amendment requests is information that, in fact, the President already provides as part of the budget submission. I indicated I have in my hand that information from the last fiscal year's budget. I do think that in pursuit of transparency it's important to point out that when the President was first sworn in his first term, before he put his hand on the Bible, he faced a projected deficit of well over \$1 trillion—a record deficit at that time.

As we saw from the Congressional Budget Office in their report just the other day, that deficit is now coming down. As the economy has improved and as the President's policies have begun to take shape, that deficit is on its way down. Is it far enough down? No. And there's a legitimate debate as to the best way to get there, but as part of that debate, certainly the more information, the better. And as I indicated, this information that is being requested is, in fact, already provided to the Congress. So we will not oppose it. In fact, I would support the amendment.

I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FATTAH).

Mr. FATTAH. I rise in support of this amendment.

As our ranking member has said, this information is already provided. But I also rise in support of the Simpson-Bowles proposal. I voted for it on the

floor of the House, one of only three dozen who support it, but hopefully many more will support it.

We need to get our fiscal house in order. The majority party has this kind of selective amnesia, however, about this. When the President was sworn in, we were \$11 trillion in debt at that moment. We had a \$1 trillion deficit for that fiscal year the day he was sworn in. Your party seems to run away from any responsibility for this.

And then you passed a budget the last couple years that doesn't balance until 40 years from now, and now this rush to the floor that we must have balance, we must have transparency. But that's okay. Whatever brings you to the party. It's like in my church. If you come and you find a belief, a shared belief that a fellowship of faith has, that's great.

So if you're joining this party that we want to get our fiscal house in order and that deficits do matter and that the debt matters, then we welcome that. If this is a political charade, then you should be concerned about your credibility.

Mr. SCALISE. Madam Chair, clearly, if you look at what happened, we don't have the numbers from the President because he missed his statutory deadline, so we're hoping that he at least puts forth a budget. It would be ideal if he puts forth a budget that shows balance in some period of time, as we've done; but at the same time, we also expect transparency so that the American taxpayers can see where more than 60 percent of the budget is spent.

So I urge adoption of this amendment and the underlying bill, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. SCALISE).

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. PRICE of Georgia. Madam Chair, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. COLLINS of Georgia) having assumed the chair, Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 444) to require that, if the President's fiscal year 2014 budget does not achieve balance in a fiscal year covered by such budget, the President shall submit a supplemental unified budget by April 1, 2013, which identifies a fiscal year in which balance is achieved, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

#### RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess for a period of less than 15 minutes.

Accordingly (at 10 o'clock and 47 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess.

### AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. COLLINS of Georgia) at 10 o'clock and 57 minutes a.m.

### REQUIRE PRESIDENTIAL LEADERSHIP AND NO DEFICIT ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 48 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 4444.

Will the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. LATHAM) kindly take the chair.

□ 1058

#### IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 444) to require that, if the President's fiscal year 2014 budget does not achieve balance in a fiscal year covered by such budget, the President shall submit a supplemental unified budget by April 1, 2013, which identifies a fiscal year in which balance is achieved, and for other purposes, with Mr. LATHAM (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, the amendment offered by the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. SCALISE) had been disposed of.

#### ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6, rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in House Report 113-8 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 1 by Mr. TAKANO of California.

Amendment No. 2 by Mr. SCHRADER of Oregon.

The Chair will reduce to 2 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. TAKANO.

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

#### RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 194, noes 228, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 35]

AYES—194

Andrews	Green, Gene	Owens
Barber	Grijalva	Pallone
Barrow (GA)	Gutierrez	Pascarelli
Bass	Hahn	Pastor (AZ)
Beatty	Hanabusa	Payne
Becerra	Hastings (FL)	Pelosi
Bera (CA)	Heck (WA)	Perlmutter
Bishop (GA)	Higgins	Peters (CA)
Bishop (NY)	Himes	Peters (MI)
Blumenauer	Hinojosa	Peterson
Bonamici	Holt	Pingree (ME)
Brady (PA)	Honda	Pocan
Braley (IA)	Horsford	Polis
Brown (FL)	Hoyer	Price (NC)
Brownley (CA)	Huffman	Quigley
Bustos	Israel	Rahall
Butterfield	Jackson Lee	Rangel
Capps	Jeffries	Richmond
Capuano	Johnson (GA)	Roybal-Allard
Cárdenas	Johnson, E. B.	Ruiz
Carney	Keating	Ruppersberger
Carson (IN)	Kennedy	Rush
Cartwright	Kildee	Ryan (OH)
Castor (FL)	Kilmer	Sánchez, Linda
Castro (TX)	Kind	T.
Chu	Kirkpatrick	Sanchez, Loretta
Ciulline	Kuster	Sarbanes
Clarke	Langevin	Schakowsky
Clay	Larsen (WA)	Schiff
Cleaver	Larson (CT)	Schneider
Clyburn	Lee (CA)	Schrader
Cohen	Levin	Schwartz
Connolly	Lewis	Scott (VA)
Conyers	Lipinski	Serrano
Cooper	Loebbeck	Sewell (AL)
Costa	Lofgren	Shea-Porter
Courtney	Lowenthal	Sherman
Crowley	Lowe	Sinema
Cuellar	Lujan Grisham	Sires
Cummings	(NM)	Slaughter
Davis (CA)	Luján, Ben Ray	Smith (WA)
Davis, Danny	(NM)	Speier
DeFazio	Lynch	Swalwell (CA)
DeGette	Maffei	Takano
Delaney	Maloney,	Thompson (CA)
DeLauro	Carolyn	Thompson (MS)
DelBene	Maloney, Sean	Tierney
Deutsch	Markey	Titus
Dingell	Matheson	Tonko
Doggett	Matsui	Tsongas
Doyle	McCollum	Van Hollen
Duckworth	McDermott	Vargas
Edwards	McGovern	Veasey
Ellison	McIntyre	Vela
Engel	Meeks	Velázquez
Enyart	Meng	Visclosky
Eshoo	Michaud	Walz
Esty	Miller, George	Wasserman
Fattah	Moore	Schultz
Foster	Moran	Nadler
Frankel (FL)	Murphy (FL)	Watt
Fudge	Napoli	Waxman
Gallego	Napolitano	Welch
Garamendi	Neal	Woodall
Garcia	Negrete McLeod	Yarmuth
Grayson	Nolan	
Green, Al	O'Rourke	

NOES—228

Aderholt	Campbell	Ellmers
Alexander	Capito	Farenthold
Amash	Carter	Fincher
Amodei	Cassidy	Fitzpatrick
Bachmann	Chabot	Fleischmann
Bachus	Chaffetz	Fleming
Barletta	Coble	Flores
Barr	Coffman	Forbes
Barton	Cole	Fortenberry
Benishek	Collins (GA)	Fox
Bentivoglio	Collins (NY)	Franks (AZ)
Bilirakis	Conaway	Frelinghuysen
Bishop (UT)	Cook	Gardner
Black	Cotton	Garrett
Blackburn	Cramer	Gerlach
Bonner	Crenshaw	Gibbs
Boustany	Culberson	Gibson
Brady (TX)	Daines	Gingrey (GA)
Bridenstine	Davis, Rodney	Gohmert
Brooks (AL)	Denham	Goodlatte
Brooks (IN)	Dent	Gosar
Broun (GA)	DeSantis	Gowdy
Buchanan	DesJarlais	Granger
Buchanan	Diaz-Balart	Graves (GA)
Burgess	Duffy	Graves (MO)
Calvert	Duncan (SC)	Griffin (AR)
Camp	Duncan (TN)	Griffith (VA)

Grimm	McClintock	Rothfus
Guthrie	McHenry	Royce
Hall	McKeon	Runyan
Hanna	McKinley	Ryan (WI)
Harper	McMorris	Salmon
Harris	Rodgers	Scalise
Hartzler	Meadows	Schock
Hastings (WA)	Meehan	Schweikert
Heck (NV)	Messer	Scott, Austin
Hensarling	Mica	Sessions
Herrera Beutler	Miller (FL)	Shimkus
Holding	Miller (MI)	Shuster
Hudson	Miller, Gary	Simpson
Huelskamp	Mullin	Smith (NE)
Huizenga (MI)	Mulvaney	Smith (NJ)
Hultgren	Murphy (PA)	Smith (TX)
Hunter	Neugebauer	Southerland
Hurt	Noem	Stewart
Issa	Nugent	Stivers
Jenkins	Nunes	Stockman
Johnson (OH)	Nunnelee	Stutzman
Johnson, Sam	Olson	Terry
Jones	Palazzo	Thompson (PA)
Jordan	Paulsen	Thornberry
Joyce	Pearce	Tiberi
Kaptur	Perry	Tipton
Kelly	Petri	Turner
King (IA)	Pittenger	Upton
King (NY)	Pitts	Valadao
Kingston	Poe (TX)	Wagner
Kinzinger (IL)	Pompeo	Walberg
Kline	Posey	Walden
Labrador	Price (GA)	Walorski
LaMalfa	Radel	Weber (TX)
Lamborn	Reichert	Webster (FL)
Lance	Renacci	Wenstrup
Lankford	Ribble	Westmoreland
Latham	Rice (SC)	Whitfield
Latta	Rigell	Williams
LoBiondo	Roby	Wilson (SC)
Long	Roe (TN)	Wittman
Lucas	Rogers (AL)	Wolf
Luetkemeyer	Rogers (KY)	Womack
Lummis	Rogers (MI)	Yoder
Marchant	Rohrabacher	Yoho
Marino	Rokita	Young (AK)
Massie	Rooney	Young (FL)
McCarthy (CA)	Ros-Lehtinen	Young (IN)
McCarthy (NY)	Roskam	
McCaul	Ross	

#### NOT VOTING—9

Cantor	Gabbard	Scott, David
Crawford	McNerney	Sensenbrenner
Farr	Reed	Wilson (FL)

□ 1122

Mr. PERRY, Mrs. MILLER of Michigan, Messrs. TERRY, FORTENBERRY, WALBERG, ROONEY, and MICA changed their vote from "aye" to "no." Messrs. CARSON of Indiana, PETERS of Michigan, GARAMENDI, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Mr. GUTIERREZ, Ms. DUCKWORTH, and Messrs. CLYBURN and YARMUTH changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Ms. WILSON of Florida. Mr. Chair, on roll-call No. 35, had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

#### AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. SCHRADER

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. SCHRADER) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

#### RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.