

Pallone	Sánchez, Linda T.	Thompson (MS)
Pastor (AZ)	T.	Tierney
Payne	Sanchez, Loretta	Titus
Pelosi	Sarbanes	Tonko
Perlmutter	Schakowsky	Tsongas
Peters (MI)	Schiff	Van Hollen
Peterson	Schrader	Vargas
Pingree (ME)	Schwartz	Veasey
Pocan	Scott (VA)	Vela
Polis	Scott, David	Velázquez
Price (NC)	Serrano	Visclosky
Quigley	Sewell (AL)	Walz
Rahall	Sherman	Wasserman
Rangel	Sinema	Schultz
Richmond	Sires	Waters
Rohrabacher	Slaughter	Watt
Roybal-Allard	Smith (WA)	Waxman
Ruiz	Speier	Welch
Ruppersberger	Swalwell (CA)	Wilson (FL)
Rush	Takano	Yarmuth
Ryan (OH)	Thompson (CA)	

## NOT VOTING—7

Campbell	Markey	Shea-Porter
Chu	McCarthy (NY)	
Herrera Beutler	Pascarell	

□ 1431

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GRIJALVA. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall votes 217 and 218, I was unavoidably detained. My vote should be noted as a “yea” on rollcall 217 and a “no” on rollcall 218.

## NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during further consideration of H.R. 1960, pursuant to House Resolution 260, amendment Nos. 18, 19, and 20 printed in part B of House Report 113–108 may be considered out of sequence.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 260 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 1960.

Will the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. TERRY) kindly take the chair.

□ 1436

## IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 1960) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for military activities of the Department of Defense and for military construction, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes, with Mr. TERRY (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Wednesday, June 12, 2013, all time for general

debate pursuant to House Resolution 256 had expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 260, no further general debate shall be in order. In lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Armed Services, printed in the bill, it shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the 5-minute rule an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 113–13, modified by the amendment printed in part A of House Report 113–108. The amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read.

The text of the amendment in the nature of a substitute is as follows:

## H.R. 1960

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

*This Act may be cited as the “National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014”.*

**SEC. 2. ORGANIZATION OF ACT INTO DIVISIONS; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

(a) *DIVISIONS.*—This Act is organized into four divisions as follows:

(1) *Division A—Department of Defense Authorizations.*

(2) *Division B—Military Construction Authorizations.*

(3) *Division C—Department of Energy National Security Authorizations and Other Authorizations.*

(4) *Division D—Funding Tables.*

(b) *TABLE OF CONTENTS.*—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title.

Sec. 2. Organization of Act into divisions; table of contents.

Sec. 3. Congressional defense committees.

**DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS****TITLE I—PROCUREMENT****Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

Sec. 101. Authorization of appropriations.

**Subtitle B—Army Programs**

Sec. 111. Limitation on availability of funds for Stryker vehicle program.

**Subtitle C—Navy Programs**

Sec. 121. Multiyear procurement authority for E-2D aircraft program.

Sec. 122. Cost limitation for CVN-78 aircraft carriers.

**Subtitle D—Air Force Programs**

Sec. 131. Multiyear procurement authority for multiple variants of the C-130J aircraft program.

Sec. 132. Prohibition on cancellation or modification of avionics modernization program for C-130 aircraft.

Sec. 133. Retirement of KC-135R aircraft.

Sec. 134. Competition for evolved expendable launch vehicle providers.

**Subtitle E—Defense-wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters**

Sec. 141. Multiyear procurement authority for ground-based interceptors.

Sec. 142. Multiyear procurement authority for tactical wheeled vehicles.

Sec. 143. Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of RQ-4 Global Hawk unmanned aircraft systems.

Sec. 144. Personal protection equipment procurement.

Sec. 145. Repeal of certain F-35 reporting requirements.

Sec. 146. Study on procurement of personal protection equipment.

**TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION****Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.

**Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations**

Sec. 211. Limitation on availability of funds for ground combat vehicle engineering and manufacturing phase.

Sec. 212. Limitation on Milestone A activities for Unmanned Carrier-launched Surveillance and Strike system program.

Sec. 213. Limitation on availability of funds for Air Force logistics transformation.

Sec. 214. Limitation on availability of funds for defensive cyberspace operations of the Air Force.

Sec. 215. Limitation on availability of funds for precision extended range munition program.

Sec. 216. Limitation on availability of funds for the program manager for biometrics of the Department of Defense.

Sec. 217. Unmanned combat air system demonstration testing requirement.

Sec. 218. Long-range standoff weapon requirement.

Sec. 219. Review of software development for F-35 aircraft.

Sec. 220. Evaluation and assessment of the Distributed Common Ground System.

Sec. 221. Requirement to complete individual carbine testing.

Sec. 222. Establishment of funding line and fielding plan for Navy laser weapon system.

Sec. 223. Sense of Congress on importance of aligning common missile compartment of Ohio-class replacement program with the United Kingdom's Vanguard successor program.

Sec. 224. Sense of congress on counter-electronics high power microwave missile project.

**Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs**

Sec. 231. Prohibition on use of funds for MEADS program.

Sec. 232. Additional missile defense site in the United States for optimized protection of the homeland.

Sec. 233. Limitation on removal of missile defense equipment from East Asia.

Sec. 234. Improvements to acquisition accountability reports on ballistic missile defense system.

Sec. 235. Analysis of alternatives for successor to precision tracking space system.

Sec. 236. Plan to improve organic kill assessment capability of the ground-based midcourse defense system.

Sec. 237. Availability of funds for Iron Dome short-range rocket defense program.

Sec. 238. NATO and the phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe.

Sec. 239. Sense of Congress on procurement of capability enhancement II exoatmospheric kill vehicle.

Sec. 240. Sense of Congress on 30th anniversary of the Strategic Defense Initiative.

**Subtitle D—Reports**

Sec. 251. Annual Comptroller General report on the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program.

Sec. 252. Report on strategy to improve body armor.

Sec. 253. Report on main battle tank fuel efficiency initiative.

Sec. 254. Report on powered rail system.

**Subtitle E—Other Matters**

Sec. 261. Establishment of Cryptographic Modernization Review and Advisory Board.

- Sec. 262. Clarification of eligibility of a State to participate in defense experimental program to stimulate competitive research.
- Sec. 263. Extension and expansion of mechanisms to provide funds for defense laboratories for research and development of technologies for military missions.
- Sec. 264. Extension of authority to award prizes for advanced technology achievements.
- Sec. 265. Five-year extension of pilot program to include technology protection features during research and development of certain defense systems.
- Sec. 266. Briefing on power and energy research conducted at university affiliated research centers.
- TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**
- Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*
- Sec. 301. Operation and maintenance funding.
- Subtitle B—Energy and Environment*
- Sec. 311. Deadline for submission of reports on proposed budgets for activities relating to operational energy strategy.
- Sec. 312. Facilitation of interagency cooperation in conservation programs of the Departments of Defense, Agriculture, and Interior to avoid or reduce adverse impacts on military readiness activities.
- Sec. 313. Reauthorization of Sikes Act.
- Sec. 314. Cooperative agreements under Sikes Act for land management related to Department of Defense readiness activities.
- Sec. 315. Exclusions from definition of “chemical substance” under Toxic Substances Control Act.
- Sec. 316. Exemption of Department of Defense from alternative fuel procurement requirement.
- Sec. 317. Clarification of prohibition on disposing of waste in open-air burn pits.
- Sec. 318. Limitation on plan, design, refurbishing, or construction of biofuels refineries.
- Sec. 319. Limitation on procurement of biofuels.
- Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment*
- Sec. 321. Littoral Combat Ship Strategic Sustainment Plan.
- Sec. 322. Review of critical manufacturing capabilities within Army arsenals.
- Sec. 323. Inclusion of Army arsenals capabilities in solicitations.
- Subtitle D—Reports*
- Sec. 331. Additional reporting requirements relating to personnel and unit readiness.
- Sec. 332. Repeal of annual Comptroller General report on Army progress.
- Sec. 333. Revision to requirement for annual submission of information regarding information technology capital assets.
- Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority*
- Sec. 341. Limitation on reduction of force structure at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores.
- Sec. 342. Prohibition on performance of Department of Defense flight demonstration teams outside the United States.
- Subtitle F—Other Matters*
- Sec. 351. Requirement to establish policy on joint combat uniforms.
- TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS**
- Subtitle A—Active Forces*
- Sec. 401. End strengths for active forces.
- Sec. 402. Revision in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels.
- Subtitle B—Reserve Forces*
- Sec. 411. End strengths for Selected Reserve.
- Sec. 412. End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the reserves.
- Sec. 413. End strengths for military technicians (dual status).
- Sec. 414. Fiscal year 2014 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians.
- Sec. 415. Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support.
- Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations*
- Sec. 421. Military personnel.
- TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY**
- Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy Generally*
- Sec. 501. Limitations on number of general and flag officers on active duty.
- Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management*
- Sec. 511. Minimum notification requirements for members of reserve components before deployment or cancellation of deployment related to a contingency operation.
- Sec. 512. Information to be provided to boards considering officers for selective early removal from reserve active-status list.
- Sec. 513. Temporary authority to maintain active status and inactive status lists of members in the inactive National Guard.
- Sec. 514. Review of requirements and authorizations for reserve component general and flag officers in an active status.
- Sec. 515. Feasibility study on establishing a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.
- Subtitle C—General Service Authorities*
- Sec. 521. Review of Integrated Disability Evaluation System.
- Sec. 522. Compliance requirements for organizational climate assessments.
- Sec. 523. Command responsibility and accountability for remains of members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps who die outside the United States.
- Sec. 524. Contents of Transition Assistance Program.
- Sec. 525. Procedures for judicial review of military personnel decisions relating to correction of military records.
- Sec. 526. Establishment and use of consistent definition of gender-neutral occupational standard for military career designators.
- Sec. 527. Expansion and enhancement of authorities relating to protected communications of members of the Armed Forces and prohibited retaliatory actions.
- Sec. 528. Applicability of medical examination requirement regarding post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury to proceedings under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.
- Sec. 529. Protection of the religious freedom of military chaplains to close a prayer outside of a religious service according to the traditions, expressions, and religious exercises of the endorsing faith group.
- Sec. 530. Expansion and implementation of protection of rights of conscience of members of the Armed Forces and chaplains of such members.
- Sec. 530A. Servicemembers’ Accountability, Rights, and Responsibilities Training.
- Sec. 530B. Inspector General of the Department of Defense review of separation of members of the Armed Forces who made unrestricted reports of sexual assault.
- Sec. 530C. Report on data and information collected in connection with Department of Defense review of laws, policies, and regulations restricting service of female members of the Armed Forces.
- Sec. 530D. Sense of Congress regarding the Women in Service Implementation Plan.
- Subtitle D—Military Justice, Including Sexual Assault Prevention and Response*
- Sec. 531. Limitations on convening authority discretion regarding court-martial findings and sentence.
- Sec. 532. Elimination of five-year statute of limitations on trial by court-martial for additional offenses involving sex-related crimes.
- Sec. 533. Discharge or dismissal for certain sex-related offenses and trial of offenses by general courts-martial.
- Sec. 534. Regulations regarding consideration of application for permanent change of station or unit transfer by victims of sexual assault.
- Sec. 535. Consideration of need for, and authority to provide for, temporary administrative reassignment or removal of a member on active duty who is accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense.
- Sec. 536. Victims’ Counsel for victims of sex-related offenses and related provisions.
- Sec. 537. Inspector General investigation of allegations of retaliatory personnel actions taken in response to making protected communications regarding sexual assault.
- Sec. 538. Secretary of Defense report on role of commanders in military justice process.
- Sec. 539. Review and policy regarding Department of Defense investigative practices in response to allegations of sex-related offenses.
- Sec. 540. Uniform training and education programs for sexual assault prevention and response program.
- Sec. 541. Development of selection criteria for assignment as Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Program Managers, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, Sexual Assault Victim Advocates, and Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners-Adult/Adolescent.
- Sec. 542. Extension of crime victims’ rights to victims of offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.
- Sec. 543. Defense counsel interview of complaining witnesses in presence of counsel for the complaining witness or a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate.
- Sec. 544. Participation by complaining witnesses in clemency phase of courts-martial process.
- Sec. 545. Eight-day incident reporting requirement in response to unrestricted report of sexual assault in which the victim is a member of the Armed Forces.
- Sec. 546. Amendment to Manual for Courts-Martial to eliminate considerations relating to character and military service of accused in initial disposition of sex-related offenses.
- Sec. 547. Inclusion of letter of reprimands, non-punitive letter of reprimands and counseling statements.

- Sec. 548. Enhanced protections for prospective members and new members of the Armed Forces during entry-level processing and training.
- Sec. 549. Independent reviews and assessments of Uniform Code of Military Justice and judicial proceedings of sexual assault cases.
- Sec. 550. Review of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity role in sexual harassment cases.
- Subtitle E—Military Family Readiness
- Sec. 551. Department of Defense recognition of spouses of members of the Armed Forces who serve in combat zones.
- Sec. 552. Protection of child custody arrangements for parents who are members of the Armed Forces.
- Sec. 553. Treatment of relocation of members of the Armed Forces for active duty for purposes of mortgage refinancing.
- Sec. 554. Family support programs for immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces.
- Subtitle F—Education and Training Opportunities and Wellness
- Sec. 561. Inclusion of Freely Associated States within scope of Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program.
- Sec. 562. Improved climate assessments and dissemination and tracking of results.
- Sec. 563. Service-wide 360 assessments.
- Sec. 564. Health welfare inspections.
- Sec. 565. Review of security of military installations, including barracks and multi-family residences.
- Sec. 566. Enhancement of mechanisms to correlate skills and training for military occupational specialties with skills and training required for civilian certifications and licenses.
- Sec. 567. Use of educational assistance for courses in pursuit of civilian certifications or licenses.
- Subtitle G—Defense Dependents' Education
- Sec. 571. Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees.
- Sec. 572. Support for efforts to improve academic achievement and transition of military dependent students.
- Sec. 573. Treatment of tuition payments received for virtual elementary and secondary education component of Department of Defense education program.
- Subtitle H—Decorations and Awards
- Sec. 581. Fraudulent representations about receipt of military decorations or medals.
- Sec. 582. Repeal of limitation on number of medals of honor that may be awarded to the same member of the Armed Forces.
- Sec. 583. Standardization of time-limits for recommending and awarding Medal of Honor, Distinguished-Service Cross, Navy Cross, Air Force Cross, and Distinguished-Service Medal.
- Sec. 584. Recodification and revision of Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll requirements.
- Sec. 585. Treatment of victims of the attacks at recruiting station in Little Rock, Arkansas, and at Fort Hood, Texas.
- Sec. 586. Retroactive award of Army Combat Action Badge.
- Sec. 587. Report on Navy review, findings, and actions pertaining to Medal of Honor nomination of Marine Corps Sergeant Rafael Peralta.
- Sec. 588. Authorization for award of the Distinguished-Service Cross to Sergeant First Class Robert F. Keiser for acts of valor during the Korean War.
- Subtitle I—Other Matters
- Sec. 591. Revision of specified senior military colleges to reflect consolidation of North Georgia College and State University and Gainesville State College.
- Sec. 592. Authority to enter into concessions contracts at Army National Military Cemeteries.
- Sec. 593. Commission on Military Behavioral Health and Disciplinary Issues.
- Sec. 594. Commission on Service to the Nation.
- TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS
- Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances
- Sec. 601. Extension of authority to provide temporary increase in rates of basic allowance for housing under certain circumstances.
- Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays
- Sec. 611. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces.
- Sec. 612. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals.
- Sec. 613. One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers.
- Sec. 614. One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities.
- Sec. 615. One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays.
- Sec. 616. One-year extension of authority to provide incentive pay for members of precommissioning programs pursuing foreign language proficiency.
- Sec. 617. Authority to provide bonus to certain cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps.
- Subtitle C—Disability, Retired Pay, Survivor, and Transitional Benefits
- Sec. 621. Transitional compensation and other benefits for dependents of certain members separated for violation of the Uniform Code of Military Justice.
- Sec. 622. Prevention of retired pay inversion for members whose retired pay is computed using high-three average.
- Subtitle D—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations
- Sec. 631. Expansion of protection of employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities from reprisals.
- Sec. 632. Purchase of sustainable products, local food products, and recyclable materials for resale in commissary and exchange store systems.
- Sec. 633. Correction of obsolete references to certain nonappropriated fund instrumentalities.
- Subtitle E—Other Matters
- Sec. 641. Authority to provide certain expenses for care and disposition of human remains retained by the Department of Defense for forensic pathology investigation.
- Sec. 642. Provision of status under law by honoring certain members of the reserve components as veterans.
- Sec. 643. Survey of military pay and benefits preferences.
- TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS
- Subtitle A—Improvements to Health Benefits
- Sec. 701. Mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces.
- Sec. 702. Periodic mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces.
- Subtitle B—Health Care Administration
- Sec. 711. Future availability of TRICARE Prime for certain beneficiaries enrolled in TRICARE Prime.
- Sec. 712. Cooperative health care agreements between the military departments and non-military health care entities.
- Sec. 713. Limitation on availability of funds for integrated electronic health record program.
- Sec. 714. Pilot program on increased third-party collection reimbursements in military medical treatment facilities.
- Subtitle C—Other Matters
- Sec. 721. Display of budget information for embedded mental health providers of the reserve components.
- Sec. 722. Authority of Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences to enter into contracts and agreements and make grants to other non-profit entities.
- Sec. 723. Mental health support for military personnel and families.
- Sec. 724. Research regarding hydrocephalus.
- Sec. 725. Traumatic brain injury research.
- TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS
- Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management
- Sec. 801. Modification of reporting requirement for Department of Defense business system acquisition programs when initial operating capability is not achieved within five years of Milestone A approval.
- Sec. 802. Enhanced transfer of technology developed at Department of Defense laboratories.
- Sec. 803. Extension of limitation on aggregate annual amount available for contract services.
- Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations
- Sec. 811. Additional contractor responsibilities in regulations relating to detection and avoidance of counterfeit electronic parts.
- Sec. 812. Amendments relating to detection and avoidance of counterfeit electronic parts.
- Sec. 813. Government-wide limitations on allowable costs for contractor compensation.
- Sec. 814. Inclusion of additional cost estimate information in certain reports.
- Sec. 815. Amendment relating to compelling reasons for waiving suspension or debarment.
- Sec. 816. Requirement that cost or price to the Federal Government be given at least equal importance as technical or other criteria in evaluating competitive proposals for defense contracts.
- Sec. 817. Requirement to buy American flags from domestic sources.
- Subtitle C—Provisions Relating to Contracts in Support of Contingency Operations in Iraq or Afghanistan
- Sec. 821. Amendments relating to prohibition on contracting with the enemy.

- Sec. 822. Collection of data relating to contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan.
- Subtitle D—Other Matters
- Sec. 831. Extension of pilot program on acquisition of military purpose non-developmental items.
- Sec. 832. Extension of authority to acquire products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply to Afghanistan.
- TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT**
- Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management
- Sec. 901. Redesignation of the Department of the Navy and the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps.
- Sec. 902. Revisions to composition of transition plan for defense business enterprise architecture.
- Subtitle B—Space Activities
- Sec. 911. National security space satellite reporting policy.
- Sec. 912. National security space defense and protection.
- Sec. 913. Space acquisition strategy.
- Sec. 914. Space control mission report.
- Sec. 915. Responsive launch.
- Subtitle C—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities
- Sec. 921. Revision of Secretary of Defense authority to engage in commercial activities as security for intelligence collection activities.
- Sec. 922. Department of Defense intelligence priorities.
- Sec. 923. Defense Clandestine Service.
- Sec. 924. Prohibition on National Intelligence Program consolidation.
- Subtitle D—Cyberspace-Related Matters
- Sec. 931. Modification of requirement for inventory of Department of Defense tactical data link systems.
- Sec. 932. Defense Science Board assessment of United States Cyber Command.
- Sec. 933. Mission analysis for cyber operations of Department of Defense.
- Sec. 934. Notification of investigations related to compromise of critical program information.
- Sec. 935. Additional requirements relating to the software licenses of the Department of Defense.
- Subtitle E—Total Force Management
- Sec. 941. Requirement to ensure sufficient levels of Government oversight of functions closely associated with inherently Governmental functions.
- Sec. 942. Five-year requirement for certification of appropriate manpower performance.
- TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS**
- Subtitle A—Financial Matters
- Sec. 1001. General transfer authority.
- Sec. 1002. Budgetary effects of this Act.
- Sec. 1003. Audit of Department of Defense fiscal year 2018 financial statements.
- Sec. 1004. Authority to transfer funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to sustain nuclear weapons modernization.
- Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities
- Sec. 1011. Extension of authority to support unified counter-drug and counter-terrorism campaign in Colombia.
- Sec. 1012. Extension of authority for joint task forces to provide support to law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities.
- Sec. 1013. Two-year extension of authority to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments.
- Sec. 1014. Sense of Congress regarding the National Guard Counter-Narcotic Program.
- Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards
- Sec. 1021. Clarification of sole ownership resulting from ship donations at no cost to the navy.
- Sec. 1022. Availability of funds for retirement or inactivation of Ticonderoga class cruisers or dock landing ships.
- Sec. 1023. Repair of vessels in foreign shipyards.
- Sec. 1024. Sense of Congress regarding a balanced future naval force.
- Sec. 1025. Authority for short-term extension or renewal of leases for vessels supporting the Transit Protection System Escort Program.
- Subtitle D—Counterterrorism
- Sec. 1030. Clarification of procedures for use of alternate members on military commissions.
- Sec. 1031. Modification of Regional Defense Combating Terrorism Fellowship Program reporting requirement.
- Sec. 1032. Prohibition on use of funds to construct or modify facilities in the United States to house detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.
- Sec. 1033. Requirements for certifications relating to the transfer of detainees at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to foreign countries and other foreign entities.
- Sec. 1034. Prohibition on the use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.
- Sec. 1035. Unclassified summary of information relating to individuals detained at Parwan, Afghanistan.
- Sec. 1036. Assessment of affiliates and adherents of al-Qaeda outside the United States.
- Sec. 1037. Designation of Department of Defense senior official for facilitating the transfer of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.
- Sec. 1038. Rank of chief prosecutor and chief defense counsel in military commissions established to try individuals detained at Guantanamo.
- Sec. 1039. Report on capability of Yemeni government to detain, rehabilitate, and prosecute individuals detained at Guantanamo who are transferred to Yemen.
- Sec. 1040. Report on attachment of rights to individuals detained at Guantanamo if transferred to the United States.
- Sec. 1040A. Summary of information relating to individuals detained at Guantanamo who became leaders of foreign terrorist groups.
- Subtitle E—Sensitive Military Operations
- Sec. 1041. Congressional notification of sensitive military operations.
- Sec. 1042. Report on process for determining targets of lethal operations.
- Sec. 1043. Counterterrorism operational briefings.
- Subtitle F—Nuclear Forces
- Sec. 1051. Prohibition on elimination of the nuclear triad.
- Sec. 1052. Limitation on availability of funds for reduction of nuclear forces.
- Sec. 1053. Limitation on availability of funds for reduction or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft based in Europe.
- Sec. 1054. Statement of policy on implementation of any agreement for further arms reduction below the levels of the New START Treaty; limitation on retirement or dismantlement of strategic delivery systems.
- Sec. 1055. Sense of congress on compliance with nuclear arms control agreements.
- Sec. 1056. Retention of capability to redeploy multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles.
- Sec. 1057. Assessment of nuclear weapons program of the People's Republic of China.
- Sec. 1058. Cost estimates for nuclear weapons.
- Sec. 1059. Report on New START Treaty.
- Subtitle G—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations
- Sec. 1061. Enhancement of capacity of the United States Government to analyze captured records.
- Sec. 1062. Extension of authority to provide military transportation services to certain other agencies at the Department of Defense reimbursement rate.
- Sec. 1063. Limitation on availability of funds for modification of force structure of the Army.
- Sec. 1064. Limitation on use of funds for public-private cooperation activities.
- Subtitle H—Studies and Reports
- Sec. 1071. Oversight of combat support agencies.
- Sec. 1072. Inclusion in annual report of description of interagency coordination relating to humanitarian demining technology.
- Sec. 1073. Extension of deadline for Comptroller General report on assignment of civilian employees of the Department of Defense as advisors to foreign ministries of defense.
- Sec. 1074. Repeal of requirement for Comptroller General assessment of Department of Defense efficiencies.
- Sec. 1075. Matters for inclusion in the assessment of the 2013 quadrennial defense review.
- Sec. 1076. Review and assessment of United States Special Operations Forces and United States Special Operations Command.
- Sec. 1077. Reports on unmanned aircraft systems.
- Sec. 1078. Online availability of reports submitted to Congress.
- Sec. 1079. Provision of defense planning guidance and contingency operation plan information to Congress.
- Subtitle I—Other Matters
- Sec. 1081. Technical and clerical amendments.
- Sec. 1082. Transportation of supplies for the United States by aircraft operated by United States air carriers.
- Sec. 1083. Reduction in costs to report critical changes to major automated information system programs.
- Sec. 1084. Extension of authority of Secretary of Transportation to issue non-premium aviation insurance.
- Sec. 1085. Revision of compensation of members of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force.
- Sec. 1086. Protection of tier one task critical assets from electromagnetic pulse and high-powered microwave systems.
- Sec. 1087. Strategy for future military information operations capabilities.
- Sec. 1088. Compliance of military departments with minimum safe staffing standards.
- Sec. 1089. Determination and Disclosure of Transportation Costs Incurred by Secretary of Defense for congressional trips outside the United States.

## TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

- Sec. 1101. One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas.
- Sec. 1102. One-year extension of discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to personnel on official duty in a combat zone.
- Sec. 1103. Extension of voluntary reduction-in-force authority for civilian employees of Department of Defense.
- Sec. 1104. Extension of authority to make lump-sum severance payments to Department of Defense employees.
- Sec. 1105. Revision to amount of financial assistance under Department of Defense Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) Defense Education Program.
- Sec. 1106. Extension of program for exchange of information-technology personnel.
- Sec. 1107. Defense Science Initiative for Personnel.

## TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

## Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

- Sec. 1201. Modification and extension of authorities relating to program to build the capacity of foreign military forces.
- Sec. 1202. Three-year extension of authorization for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities.
- Sec. 1203. Global Security Contingency Fund.
- Sec. 1204. Codification of National Guard State Partnership Program.
- Sec. 1205. Authority to conduct activities to enhance the capability of certain foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction in Syria and the region.
- Sec. 1206. One-year extension of authority to support foreign forces participating in operations to disarm the Lord's Resistance Army.

## Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan

- Sec. 1211. One-year extension and modification of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations.
- Sec. 1212. One-year extension of authority to use funds for reintegration activities in Afghanistan.
- Sec. 1213. Extension of Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan.
- Sec. 1214. Extension of authority to support operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq.
- Sec. 1215. One-year extension and modification of authority for program to develop and carry out infrastructure projects in Afghanistan.
- Sec. 1216. Special immigrant visas for certain Iraqi and Afghan allies.
- Sec. 1217. Requirement to withhold Department of Defense assistance to Afghanistan in amount equivalent to 100 percent of all taxes assessed by Afghanistan to extent such taxes are not reimbursed by Afghanistan.

## Subtitle C—Matters Relating to Afghanistan Post 2014

- Sec. 1221. Modification of report on progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan.
- Sec. 1222. Sense of Congress on United States military support in Afghanistan.

- Sec. 1223. Defense intelligence plan.
- Sec. 1224. Limitation on availability of funds for certain authorities for Afghanistan.

## Subtitle D—Matters Relating to Iran

- Sec. 1231. Report on United States military partnership with Gulf Cooperation Council countries.
- Sec. 1232. Additional elements in annual report on military power of Iran.
- Sec. 1233. Sense of Congress on the defense of the Arabian Gulf.

## Subtitle E—Reports and Other Matters

- Sec. 1241. Report on posture and readiness of United States Armed Forces to respond to future terrorist attacks in Africa and the Middle East.
- Sec. 1242. Role of the Government of Egypt to United States national security.
- Sec. 1243. Sense of Congress on the military developments on the Korean peninsula.
- Sec. 1244. Sense of Congress on defense cooperation with Georgia.
- Sec. 1245. Limitation on establishment of Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers.
- Sec. 1246. Additional reports on military and security developments involving the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.
- Sec. 1247. Amendments to annual report under Arms Control and Disarmament Act.
- Sec. 1248. Limitation on funds to provide the Russian Federation with access to certain missile defense technology.
- Sec. 1249. Reports on actions to reduce support of ballistic missile programs of China, Syria, Iran, and North Korea.
- Sec. 1250. Congressional notifications relating to status of forces agreements.
- Sec. 1251. Sense of Congress on the conflict in Syria.
- Sec. 1252. Revision of statutory references to former NATO support organizations and related NATO agreements.
- Sec. 1253. Limitation on funds to implement executive agreements relating to United States missile defense capabilities.
- Sec. 1254. Limitation on availability of funds for Threat Reduction Engagement activities and United States contributions to the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organization.
- Sec. 1255. Sense of Congress on military-to-military cooperation between the United States and Burma.
- Sec. 1256. Sense of Congress on the stationing of United States forces in Europe.
- Sec. 1257. Sense of Congress on military capabilities of the People's Republic of China.
- Sec. 1258. Rule of construction.

## TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

- Sec. 1301. Specification of cooperative threat reduction programs and funds.
- Sec. 1302. Funding allocations.
- Sec. 1303. Extension for use of contributions to the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.

## TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

## Subtitle A—Military Programs

- Sec. 1401. Working capital funds.
- Sec. 1402. National defense sealift fund.
- Sec. 1403. Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense.
- Sec. 1404. Drug interdiction and counter-drug activities, defense-wide.
- Sec. 1405. Defense Inspector General.
- Sec. 1406. Defense Health Program.

## Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile

- Sec. 1411. Use of National Defense Stockpile for the conservation of a strategic and critical materials supply.
- Sec. 1412. Authority to acquire additional materials for the National Defense Stockpile.

## Subtitle C—Other Matters

- Sec. 1421. Authority for transfer of funds to Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Health Care Center, Illinois.
- Sec. 1422. Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home.
- Sec. 1423. Cemeterial expenses.

## TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

## Subtitle A—Authorization of Additional Appropriations

- Sec. 1501. Purpose.
- Sec. 1502. Procurement.
- Sec. 1503. Research, development, test, and evaluation.
- Sec. 1504. Operation and maintenance.
- Sec. 1505. Military personnel.
- Sec. 1506. Working capital funds.
- Sec. 1507. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.
- Sec. 1508. Defense Inspector General.
- Sec. 1509. Defense Health Program.

## Subtitle B—Financial Matters

- Sec. 1521. Treatment as additional authorizations.
- Sec. 1522. Special transfer authority.

## Subtitle C—Limitations and Other Matters

- Sec. 1531. Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.
- Sec. 1532. Future role of Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization.
- Sec. 1533. Limitation on intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance support for Operation Observant Compass.
- Sec. 1534. Report on United States force levels and costs of military operations in Afghanistan.

## TITLE XVI—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS

- Sec. 1601. Periodic audits of contracting compliance by Inspector General of Department of Defense.
- Sec. 1602. Expansion of the procurement technical assistance program to advance small business growth.
- Sec. 1603. Amendments relating to Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program.
- Sec. 1604. Strategic plan for requirements for war reserve stocks of meals ready-to-eat.
- Sec. 1605. Foreign commercial satellite services.
- Sec. 1606. Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program.

## DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

- Sec. 2001. Short title.
- Sec. 2002. Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law.
- Sec. 2003. Effective date.

## TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

- Sec. 2101. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.
- Sec. 2102. Family housing.
- Sec. 2103. Authorization of appropriations, Army.
- Sec. 2104. Additional authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2004 project.
- Sec. 2105. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2010 project.

- Sec. 2106. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2011 project.
- Sec. 2107. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2010 projects.
- Sec. 2108. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.
- TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
- Sec. 2201. Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects.
- Sec. 2202. Family housing.
- Sec. 2203. Improvements to military family housing units.
- Sec. 2204. Authorization of appropriations, Navy.
- Sec. 2205. Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project.
- Sec. 2206. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2011 project.
- Sec. 2207. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 project.
- Sec. 2208. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.
- TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
- Sec. 2301. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.
- Sec. 2302. Family housing.
- Sec. 2303. Improvements to military family housing units.
- Sec. 2304. Authorization of appropriations, Air Force.
- Sec. 2305. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project.
- Sec. 2306. Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project.
- Sec. 2307. Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project.
- TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
- Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations*
- Sec. 2401. Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects.
- Sec. 2402. Authorized energy conservation projects.
- Sec. 2403. Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies.
- Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations*
- Sec. 2411. Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide.
- TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM**
- Sec. 2501. Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects.
- Sec. 2502. Authorization of appropriations, NATO.
- TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES**
- Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations*
- Sec. 2601. Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.
- Sec. 2602. Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.
- Sec. 2603. Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.
- Sec. 2604. Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.
- Sec. 2605. Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.
- Sec. 2606. Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve.
- Subtitle B—Other Matters*
- Sec. 2611. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 project.
- Sec. 2612. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.
- TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES**
- Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*
- Sec. 2701. Authorization of appropriations for base realignment and closure activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account.
- Subtitle B—Other Matters*
- Sec. 2711. Prohibition on conducting additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round.
- Sec. 2712. Elimination of quarterly certification requirement regarding availability of military health care in National Capital Region.
- TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS**
- Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes*
- Sec. 2801. Modification of authority to carry out unspecified minor military construction.
- Sec. 2802. Repeal of requirements for local comparability of room patterns and floor areas for military family housing and submission of net floor area information.
- Sec. 2803. Repeal of separate authority to enter into limited partnerships with private developers of housing.
- Sec. 2804. Military construction standards to reduce vulnerability of structures to terrorist attack.
- Sec. 2805. Treatment of payments received for providing utilities and services in connection with use of alternative authority for acquisition and improvement of military housing.
- Sec. 2806. Repeal of advance notification requirement for use of military housing investment authority.
- Sec. 2807. Additional element for annual report on military housing privatization projects.
- Sec. 2808. Extension of temporary, limited authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects in certain areas outside the United States.
- Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration*
- Sec. 2811. Codification of policies and requirements regarding closure and realignment of United States military installations in foreign countries.
- Subtitle C—Energy Security*
- Sec. 2821. Continuation of limitation on use of funds for Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) gold or platinum certification.
- Subtitle D—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment*
- Sec. 2831. Change from previous calendar year to previous fiscal year for period covered by annual report of Interagency Coordination Group of Inspectors General for Guam Realignment.
- Sec. 2832. Repeal of certain restrictions on realignment of Marine Corps forces in Asia-Pacific region.
- Subtitle E—Land Conveyances*
- Sec. 2841. Real property acquisition, Naval Base Ventura County, California.
- Sec. 2842. Land conveyance, former Ornard Air Force Base, Ventura County, California.
- Sec. 2843. Land conveyance, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- Sec. 2844. Land conveyance, Camp Williams, Utah.
- Sec. 2845. Conveyance, Air National Guard radar site, Francis Peak, Wasatch Mountains, Utah.
- Sec. 2846. Land conveyance, former Fort Monroe, Hampton, Virginia.
- Sec. 2847. Land conveyance, Mifflin County United States Army Reserve Center, Lewistown, Pennsylvania.
- Subtitle F—Other Matters*
- Sec. 2861. Repeal of annual Economic Adjustment Committee reporting requirement.
- Sec. 2862. Redesignation of the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies as the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies.
- Sec. 2863. Redesignation of the Graduate School of Nursing at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences as the Daniel K. Inouye Graduate School of Nursing.
- Sec. 2864. Renaming site of the Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, Ohio.
- Sec. 2865. Designation of Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial in Riverside, California.
- TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**
- Sec. 2901. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition project.
- TITLE XXX—MILITARY LAND TRANSFERS AND WITHDRAWALS TO SUPPORT READINESS AND SECURITY**
- Subtitle A—Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana*
- Sec. 3001. Withdrawal and reservation of public lands for Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana.
- Sec. 3002. Management of withdrawn and reserved lands.
- Sec. 3003. Special rules governing minerals management.
- Sec. 3004. Grazing.
- Sec. 3005. Duration of withdrawal and reservation.
- Sec. 3006. Payments in lieu of taxes.
- Sec. 3007. Hunting, fishing and trapping.
- Sec. 3008. Water rights.
- Sec. 3009. Brush and range fire prevention and suppression.
- Sec. 3010. On-going decontamination.
- Sec. 3011. Application for renewal of a withdrawal and reservation.
- Sec. 3012. Limitation on subsequent availability of lands for appropriation.
- Sec. 3013. Relinquishment.
- Subtitle B—White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico*
- Sec. 3021. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.
- Sec. 3022. Water rights.
- Sec. 3023. Withdrawal.
- Subtitle C—Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California*
- Sec. 3031. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California.
- Sec. 3032. Water rights.
- Sec. 3033. Withdrawal.
- Subtitle D—Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California*
- Sec. 3041. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California.

Sec. 3042. Management and use of transferred land.

Sec. 3043. Realignment of range boundary and related transfer of title.

Sec. 3044. Effect of termination of military use.

Sec. 3045. Temporary extension of existing withdrawal period.

Sec. 3046. Water rights.

Subtitle E—Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, California

Sec. 3051. Designation of Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area.

Sec. 3052. Limited biannual Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms use of Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area.

Sec. 3053. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Southern Study Area, Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, California.

Sec. 3054. Water rights.

Subtitle F—Naval Air Station Fallon, Nevada

Sec. 3061. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Naval Air Station Fallon, Nevada.

Sec. 3062. Water rights.

Sec. 3063. Withdrawal.

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations

Sec. 3101. National Nuclear Security Administration.

Sec. 3102. Defense environmental cleanup.

Sec. 3103. Other defense activities.

Sec. 3104. Energy security and assurance.

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

Sec. 3111. Clarification of principles of National Nuclear Security Administration.

Sec. 3112. Termination of Department of Energy employees to protect national security.

Sec. 3113. Modification of independent cost estimates on life extension programs and new nuclear facilities.

Sec. 3114. Plan for retrieval, treatment, and disposition of tank farm waste at Hanford Nuclear Reservation.

Sec. 3115. Enhanced procurement authority to manage supply chain risk.

Sec. 3116. Limitation on availability of funds for National Nuclear Security Administration.

Sec. 3117. Limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator.

Sec. 3118. Limitation on availability of funds for Global Threat Reduction Initiative.

Sec. 3119. Establishment of Center for Security Technology, Analysis, Testing, and Response.

Sec. 3120. Cost-benefit analyses for competition of management and operating contracts.

Sec. 3121. W88-I warhead and W78-I warhead life extension options.

Sec. 3122. Extension of principles of pilot program to additional facilities of the nuclear security enterprise.

Subtitle C—Reports

Sec. 3131. Annual report and certification on status of the security of the nuclear security enterprise.

Sec. 3132. Modifications to annual reports regarding the condition of the nuclear weapons stockpile.

Sec. 3133. Repeal of certain reporting requirements.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

Sec. 3141. Congressional advisory panel on the governance of the nuclear security enterprise.

Sec. 3142. Study of potential reuse of nuclear weapon secondaries.

Sec. 3143. Clarification of role of Secretary of Energy.

Sec. 3144. Technical amendment to Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

**TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD**

Sec. 3201. Authorization.

Sec. 3202. Improvements to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

**TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES**

Sec. 3401. Authorization of appropriations.

**TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION**

Sec. 3501. Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the Merchant Marine for fiscal year 2014.

Sec. 3502. 5-year reauthorization of vessel war risk insurance program.

Sec. 3503. Sense of Congress.

**DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES**

Sec. 4001. Authorization of amounts in funding tables.

**TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT**

Sec. 4101. Procurement.

Sec. 4102. Procurement for overseas contingency operations.

**TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**

Sec. 4201. Research, development, test, and evaluation.

Sec. 4202. Research, development, test, and evaluation for overseas contingency operations.

**TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

Sec. 4301. Operation and maintenance.

Sec. 4302. Operation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations.

**TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL**

Sec. 4401. Military personnel.

Sec. 4402. Military personnel for overseas contingency operations.

**TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

Sec. 4501. Other authorizations.

Sec. 4502. Other authorizations for overseas contingency operations.

**TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

Sec. 4601. Military construction.

**TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

Sec. 4701. Department of Energy national security programs.

**SEC. 3. CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES.**

In this Act, the term “congressional defense committees” has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code.

**DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE I—PROCUREMENT**

**Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

**SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for procurement for the Army, the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4101.

**Subtitle B—Army Programs**

**SEC. 111. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR STRYKER VEHICLE PROGRAM.**

(a) **LIMITATION.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made

available for fiscal year 2014 for weapons and tracked combat vehicles, Army, for the procurement or upgrade of Stryker vehicles, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended until a period of 15 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of the Army submits the report under subsection (b).

(b) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of the Stryker vehicle spare parts inventory located in Auburn, Washington, cited in the report of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense (number 2013-025) dated November 30, 2012. The report submitted under this subsection shall include the following:

(1) The status of the implementation by the Secretary of the recommendations specified on pages 30 to 34 of the report by the Inspector General.

(2) The value of the parts remaining in warehouse that may still be used by the Secretary for the repair, upgrade, or reset of Stryker vehicles.

(3) The value of the parts remaining in the warehouse that are no longer usable by the Secretary for the repair, upgrade, or reset of Stryker vehicles.

(4) A cost estimate of the monthly cost of maintaining the inventory of parts no longer usable by the Secretary.

(5) Any other matters the Secretary considers appropriate.

**Subtitle C—Navy Programs**

**SEC. 121. MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR E-2D AIRCRAFT PROGRAM.**

(a) **AUTHORITY FOR MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT.**—Subject to section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy may enter into—

(1) one or more multiyear contracts, beginning with the fiscal year 2014 program year, for the procurement of E-2D aircraft; and

(2) one or more multiyear contracts, beginning with the fiscal year 2014 program year, for the procurement of mission equipment with respect to aircraft procured under a contract entered into under paragraph (1).

(b) **CONDITION FOR OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAYMENTS.**—A contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under the contract for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2014 is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose for such later fiscal year.

**SEC. 122. COST LIMITATION FOR CVN-78 AIRCRAFT CARRIERS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 122 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2104) is amended to read as follows:

**“SEC. 122. ADHERENCE TO NAVY COST ESTIMATES FOR CVN-78 CLASS OF AIRCRAFT CARRIERS.**

“(a) **LIMITATION.**—

“(1) **LEAD SHIP.**—The total amount obligated from funds appropriated or otherwise made available for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy, or for any other procurement account, for the aircraft carrier designated as CVN-78 may not exceed \$12,887,000,000 (as adjusted pursuant to subsection (b)).

“(2) **FOLLOW-ON SHIPS.**—The total amount obligated from funds appropriated or otherwise made available for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy, or for any other procurement account, for the construction of any ship that is constructed in the CVN-78 class of aircraft carriers after the lead ship of that class may not exceed \$11,411,000,000 (as adjusted pursuant to subsection (b)).

“(b) **ADJUSTMENT OF LIMITATION AMOUNT.**—The Secretary of the Navy may adjust the amount set forth in subsection (a) for any ship constructed in the CVN-78 class of aircraft carriers by the following:

“(1) The amounts of increases or decreases in costs attributable to economic inflation after September 30, 2013.

“(2) The amounts of increases or decreases in costs attributable to compliance with changes in Federal, State, or local laws.

“(3) The amounts of outfitting costs and post-delivery costs incurred for that ship.

“(4) The amounts of increases or decreases in costs of that ship that are attributable to insertion of new technology into that ship, as compared to the technology baseline as it was defined in the approved acquisition program baseline estimate of December 2005.

“(5) The amounts of increases or decreases to nonrecurring design and engineering cost attributable to achieving compliance with the cost limitation.

“(6) The amounts of increases or decreases to cost required to correct deficiencies that may affect the safety of the ship and personnel or otherwise preclude the ship from safe operations and crew certification.

“(7) With respect to the aircraft carrier designated as CVN-78, the amounts of increases or decreases in costs of that ship that are attributable to the shipboard test program.

“(c) LIMITATION ON TECHNOLOGY INSERTION COST ADJUSTMENT.—The Secretary of the Navy may use the authority under paragraph (4) of subsection (b) to adjust the amount set forth in subsection (a) for a ship referred to in that subsection with respect to insertion of new technology into that ship only if—

“(1) the Secretary determines, and certifies to the congressional defense committees, that insertion of the new technology would lower the life-cycle cost of the ship; or

“(2) the Secretary determines, and certifies to the congressional defense committees, that insertion of the new technology is required to meet an emerging threat and the Secretary of Defense certifies to those committees that such threat poses grave harm to national security.

“(d) NOTICE.—

“(1) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees each year, at the same time that the budget is submitted under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for the next fiscal year, written notice of—

“(A) any change in the amount set forth in subsection (a) during the preceding fiscal year that the Secretary has determined to be associated with a cost referred to in subsection (b); and

“(B) the most accurate estimate possible of the Secretary with respect to the total cost compared to the amount set forth in subsection (a), as adjusted by subsection (b), and the steps the Secretary is taking to reduce the costs below such amount.

“(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The requirement in paragraph (1) shall become effective with the budget request for the year of procurement of the first ship referred to in subsection (a).”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of contents at the beginning of such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 122 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 122. Adherence to Navy cost estimates for CVN-78 class of aircraft carriers.”

#### Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

### SEC. 131. MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR MULTIPLE VARIANTS OF THE C-130J AIRCRAFT PROGRAM.

(a) AUTHORITY FOR MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT.—Subject to section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Air Force may enter into—

(1) one or more multiyear contracts, beginning with the fiscal year 2014 program year, for the procurement of multiple variants of C-130J aircraft for the Department of the Navy and the Department of the Air Force; and

(2) one or more multiyear contracts, beginning with the fiscal year 2014 program year, for the procurement of mission equipment with respect to aircraft procured under a contract entered into under paragraph (1).

(b) CONDITION FOR OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAYMENTS.—A contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under the contract for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2014 is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose for such later fiscal year.

### SEC. 132. PROHIBITION ON CANCELLATION OR MODIFICATION OF AVIONICS MODERNIZATION PROGRAM FOR C-130 AIRCRAFT.

(a) PROHIBITION.—The Secretary of the Air Force may not take any action to cancel or modify the avionics modernization program of record for C-130 aircraft.

(b) CONFORMING REPEAL.—Section 143 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1662) is repealed.

### SEC. 133. RETIREMENT OF KC-135R AIRCRAFT.

(a) TREATMENT OF RETIRED KC-135R AIRCRAFT.—Except as provided by subsection (b) and (c), the Secretary of the Air Force shall maintain each KC-135R aircraft that is retired by the Secretary in a condition that would allow recall of that aircraft to future service in the Air Force Reserve, Air National Guard, or active forces aerial refueling force structure.

(b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to a KC-135R aircraft that the Secretary transfers or sells to allies or partner nations of the United States.

(c) DELIVERY OF KC-46A AIRCRAFT.—For each KC-46A aircraft that is delivered to the Air Force and the Commander of the Air Mobility Command initially certifies as mission capable, the Secretary may waive the requirements of subsection (a) with respect to one retired KC-135R aircraft.

(d) CONFORMING REPEAL.—Section 135 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2114) is repealed.

### SEC. 134. COMPETITION FOR EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROVIDERS.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The new acquisition strategy for the evolved expendable launch vehicle program of the Air Force will maintain mission assurance, reduce costs, and provide opportunities for competition for certified launch providers.

(2) The method in which the current and potential future certified launch providers will be evaluated in a competition is still under development.

(b) PLAN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Air Force shall develop and implement a plan to ensure the fair evaluation of competing contractors in awarding a contract to a certified evolved expendable launch vehicle provider.

(2) COMPARISON.—The plan under paragraph (1) shall include a description of how the following areas will be addressed in the evaluation:

(A) The proposed cost, schedule, and performance.

(B) Mission assurance activities.

(C) The manner in which the contractor will operate under the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

(D) The effect of other contracts in which the contractor is entered into with the Federal Government, such as the evolved expendable launch vehicle launch capability contract and the space station commercial resupply services contracts.

(E) Any other areas the Secretary determines appropriate.

(c) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—

(A) submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that includes the plan under subsection (b)(1); or

(B) provide to such committees a briefing on such plan.

(2) GAO REVIEW.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall—

(A) submit to the appropriate congressional committees a review of the plan under subsection (b)(1); or

(B) provide to such committees a briefing on such plan.

(3) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(A) The congressional defense committees.

(B) The Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate.

(C) The Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

#### Subtitle E—Defense-wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters

### SEC. 141. MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR GROUND-BASED INTERCEPTORS.

(a) AUTHORITY FOR MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT.—Subject to section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency may enter into one or more multiyear contracts, beginning with the fiscal year 2014 program year, for the procurement of 14 ground-based interceptors.

(b) AUTHORITY FOR ADVANCE PROCUREMENT.—The Director may enter into one or more contracts for advance procurement associated with the ground-based interceptors for which authorization to enter into a multiyear procurement contract is provided under subsection (a).

(c) CONDITION FOR OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAYMENTS.—A contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under the contract for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2014 is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose for such later fiscal year.

### SEC. 142. MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES.

(a) AUTHORITY FOR MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT.—Subject to section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense may enter into one or more multiyear, multi-vehicle contracts, beginning with the fiscal year 2014 program year, for the procurement of core tactical wheeled vehicles.

(b) CONDITION FOR OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAYMENTS.—A contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under the contract for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2014 is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose for such later fiscal year.

(c) NOTIFICATION REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall notify the congressional defense committees of—

(1) whether the Secretary will enter into a contract under subsection (a); and

(2) if not, an explanation for why the Secretary will not enter into such a contract.

(d) ANNUAL REPORTS.—For each fiscal year in which the Secretary is entered into a contract under this section, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees, as part of the material submitted in support of the budget of the President for such fiscal year, as submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the following:

(1) The status of procurements under such contract.

(2) A detailed analysis of any cost savings achieved for each class of vehicle procured under such contract.

(3) A description of any challenges to the Secretary in carrying out this section or in achieving any such cost savings.

(4) Any recommendations for future implementation of a program for multiyear, multi-vehicle procurement.



(e) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary may not enter into a contract under this section after September 30, 2018. During the five-year period beginning on October 1, 2018, the Secretary may continue to carry out any contract entered into under this section before such date using funds made available to the Secretary for such purpose before such date.

(f) **CORE TACTICAL VEHICLES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “core tactical wheeled vehicles” means—

- (1) the family of medium tactical vehicles;
- (2) medium tactical wheeled vehicle replacements;
- (3) the family of heavy tactical vehicles; and
- (4) logistics vehicle system replacements.

**SEC. 143. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF RQ-4 GLOBAL HAWK UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS.**

(a) **LIMITATION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage an RQ-4 Block 30 Global Hawk unmanned aircraft system.

(b) **MAINTAINED LEVELS.**—During the period preceding December 31, 2016, in supporting the operational requirements of the combatant commands, the Secretary of the Air Force shall maintain the operational capability of each RQ-4 Block 30 Global Hawk unmanned aircraft system belonging to the Air Force or delivered to the Air Force during such period.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 154 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1666) is amended—

- (1) by striking “(a) LIMITATION.—”; and
- (2) by striking subsection (b).

**SEC. 144. PERSONAL PROTECTION EQUIPMENT PROCUREMENT.**

(a) **PROCUREMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that personal protection equipment is procured using funds authorized to be appropriated by section 101 and available for such purpose as specified in the funding table in sections 4101 and 4102.

(b) **PROCUREMENT LINE ITEM.**—In the budget materials submitted to the President by the Secretary of Defense in connection with the submission to Congress, pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, of the budget for fiscal year 2015, and each subsequent fiscal year, the Secretary shall ensure that within each military department procurement account, a separate, dedicated procurement line item is designated for personal protection equipment.

(c) **PERSONAL PROTECTION EQUIPMENT DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “personal protection equipment” means the following:

- (1) Body armor components.
- (2) Combat helmets.
- (3) Combat protective eyewear.
- (4) Protective clothing.
- (5) Other items as determined appropriate by the Secretary.

**SEC. 145. REPEAL OF CERTAIN F-35 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**

Section 122 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4157) is amended—

- (1) by striking subsection (b); and
- (2) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b).

**SEC. 146. STUDY ON PROCUREMENT OF PERSONAL PROTECTION EQUIPMENT.**

(a) **STUDY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall enter into a contract with a federally funded research and development center to conduct a study to identify and assess alternative and effective means for stimulating competition and innovation in the personal protection equipment industrial base.

(2) **SUBMISSION.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the federally funded research and development center conducting the study under paragraph (1) shall submit to the Secretary the study, including any findings and recommendations.

(b) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the study conducted under subsection (a)(1).

(2) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) The study, findings, and recommendations submitted to the Secretary under subsection (a)(2).

(B) An assessment of current and future technologies that could markedly improve body armor, including by decreasing weight, increasing survivability, and making other relevant improvements.

(C) An analysis of the capability of the personal protection equipment industrial base to leverage such technologies to produce the next generation body armor.

(D) An assessment of alternative body armor acquisition models, including different types of contracting and budgeting practices of the Department of Defense.

(c) **PERSONAL PROTECTION EQUIPMENT.**—In this section, the term “personal protection equipment” includes body armor.

**TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**

**Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

**SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation as specified in the funding table in section 4201.

**Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations**

**SEC. 211. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR GROUND COMBAT VEHICLE ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING PHASE.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Army may be obligated or expended for post-Milestone B engineering and manufacturing phase development activities for the ground combat vehicle program until a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of the Army submits to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the following:

(1) An independent assessment of the draft milestone B documentation for the ground combat vehicle that—

(A) is performed by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, or other similar official; and

(B) analyzes whether there is a sufficient business case to proceed with the engineering and manufacturing development phase for the ground combat vehicle using only one contractor.

(2) A certification by the Secretary that the ground combat vehicle program has—

(A) feasible and fully-defined requirements;

(B) fully mature technologies;

(C) independent and high-confidence cost estimates;

(D) available funding; and

(E) a realistic and achievable schedule.

**SEC. 212. LIMITATION ON MILESTONE A ACTIVITIES FOR UNMANNED CARRIER-LAUNCHED SURVEILLANCE AND STRIKE SYSTEM PROGRAM.**

The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics may not award a Milestone A technology development contract with respect to the Unmanned Carrier-launched Surveillance and Strike system program until a

period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the Under Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that the software and system engineering designs for the control system and connectivity and aircraft carrier segments of such program can achieve, with low level of integration risk, successful compatibility and interoperability with the air vehicle segment selected for contract award with respect to such program.

**SEC. 213. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR AIR FORCE LOGISTICS TRANSFORMATION.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for procurement, Air Force, or research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, for logistics information technology, including for the expeditionary combat support system, not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended until the date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees a report on how the Secretary will modernize and update the logistics information technology systems of the Air Force following the cancellation of the expeditionary combat support system. Such report shall include—

(1) strategies to—

(A) in the near term, address any gaps in capability with respect to logistics information technology; and

(B) during the period covered by the current future-years defense plan, provide for long-term modernization of logistics information technology;

(2) an analysis of the root causes leading to the failure of the expeditionary combat support system program; and

(3) a plan of action by the Secretary to ensure that the lessons learned under such analysis are—

(A) shared throughout the Department of Defense and the military departments; and

(B) considered in program planning for similar logistics information technology systems.

**SEC. 214. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS OF THE AIR FORCE.**

(a) **LIMITATION.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for procurement, Air Force, or research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, for Defensive Cyberspace Operations (Program Element 0202088F), not more than 90 percent may be obligated or expended until a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees a report on the Application Software Assurance Center of Excellence.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of how the Application Software Assurance Center of Excellence is used to support the software assurance activities of the Air Force and other elements of the Department of Defense, including pursuant to section 933 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 10 U.S.C. 2224 note).

(2) A description of the resources used to support the Center of Excellence from the beginning of the Center through fiscal year 2014.

(3) The plan of the Secretary for sustaining the Center of Excellence during the period covered by the future-years defense program submitted in 2013 under section 221 of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 215. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR PRECISION EXTENDED RANGE MUNITION PROGRAM.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense, not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended for the precision extended range munition program until the date on which the Under

Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics submits to the congressional defense committees written certification that—

(1) such program is necessary to meet a valid operational need that cannot be met by the existing precision guided mortar munition of the Army, other indirect fire weapons, or aerial-delivered joint fires; and

(2) a sufficient business case exists to proceed with development and production of such program.

**SEC. 216. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR THE PROGRAM MANAGER FOR BIOMETRICS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) **LIMITATION.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for research, development, test, and evaluation for the Department of Defense program manager for biometrics for future biometric architectures or systems, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended until a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report assessing the future program structure for biometrics oversight and execution and architectural requirements for biometrics enabling capability.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the roles and responsibilities of the principal staff assistant for biometrics, the program manager for biometrics, and the Biometrics Identity Management Agency, including an analysis of alternatives to evaluate—

(A) how to better align responsibilities for the multiple elements of the military departments and the Department of Defense with responsibility for biometrics, including the Navy and the Marine Corps; the Office of the Provost Marshal General, and the intelligence community; and

(B) whether the program management responsibilities of the Department of Defense program manager for biometrics should be retained by the Army or transferred to another military department or element of the Department based on the expected future operating environment.

(2) An assessment of the current requirements for the biometrics enabling capability to ensure the capability continues to meet the needs of the relevant military departments and elements of the Department of Defense based on the future operating environment after the drawdown in Afghanistan.

(3) An analysis of the need to merge the program management structures and systems architecture and requirements development process for biometrics and forensics applications.

**SEC. 217. UNMANNED COMBAT AIR SYSTEM DEMONSTRATION TESTING REQUIREMENT.**

Not later than October 1, 2014, the Secretary of the Navy shall demonstrate, with respect to the X-47B unmanned combat air system aircraft, the following:

(1) Unmanned autonomous rendezvous and aerial-refueling operations using the receptacle and probe equipment of the X-47B aircraft.

(2) The ability of such aircraft to on-load fuel from airborne tanker aircraft using both the boom and drogue equipment installed on the tanker aircraft.

**SEC. 218. LONG-RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON REQUIREMENT.**

The Secretary of the Air Force shall develop a follow-on air-launched cruise missile to the AGM-86 that—

(2) achieves initial operating capability for both conventional and nuclear missions by not later than 2030; and

(3) is certified for internal carriage and employment for both conventional and nuclear missions on the next-generation long-range strike bomber by not later than 2034.

**SEC. 219. REVIEW OF SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT FOR F-35 AIRCRAFT.**

(a) **REVIEW.**—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall establish an independent team consisting of subject matter experts to review the development of software for the F-35 aircraft program (in this section referred to as the “software development program”), including by reviewing the progress made in—

(1) managing the software development program; and

(2) delivering critical software capability in accordance with current program milestones.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than March 3, 2014, the Under Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review under subsection (a). Such report shall include the following:

(1) An assessment by the independent team with respect to whether the software development program—

(A) has been successful in meeting the key milestone dates occurring before the date of the report; and

(B) will be successful in meeting the established program schedule.

(2) Any recommendations of the independent team with respect to improving the software development program to ensure that, in support of the start of initial operational testing, the established program schedule is met on time.

(3) If the independent team determines that the software development program will be unable to deliver the full complement of software within the established program schedule, any potential alternatives that the independent team considers appropriate to deliver such software within such schedule.

**SEC. 220. EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT OF THE DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEM.**

(a) **PROJECT CODES FOR BUDGET SUBMISSIONS.**—In the budget transmitted by the President to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year 2015 and each subsequent fiscal year, each capability component within the distributed common ground system program shall be set forth as a separate project code within the program element line, and each covered official shall submit supporting justification for the project code within the program element descriptive summary.

(b) **ANALYSIS.**—

(1) **REQUIREMENT.**—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall conduct an analysis of commercial link analysis tools that are compliant with the intelligence community data standards and could be used to meet the requirements of the distributed common ground system program.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The analysis required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) Revalidation of the distributed common ground system program requirements for link analysis tools based on current program needs, recent operational experience, and the requirement for nonproprietary solutions that adhere to open-architecture principles.

(B) Market research of current commercially available link analysis tools to determine which tools, if any, could potentially satisfy the requirements described in subparagraph (A).

(C) Analysis of the competitive acquisition options for any commercially available link analysis tools identified in subparagraph (B).

(3) **SUBMISSION.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees the results of the analysis conducted under paragraph (1).

(c) **COMPETITION REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided by paragraph (3), if the Under Secretary identifies one or more commercial link analysis tools under subsection (b) (other than such tools offered by the current technology provider) that meet the

requirements for the distributed common ground system program, including the requirement for nonproprietary solutions that adhere to open-architecture principles, each covered official shall initiate a request for proposals for such link analysis tools by not later than 180 days after the Under Secretary makes such identification. Such a request for proposals shall be based on market research and competitive procedures in accordance with applicable law and the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement.

(2) **NOTIFICATION.**—Each covered official shall submit to the congressional defense committees written notification of any request for proposals issued under paragraph (1) by not later than 30 days after such request is issued.

(3) **WAIVER OF RFP TIMELINE.**—If a covered official determines that issuing a request for proposals by the date specified in paragraph (1) would not be aligned with the acquisition or developmental milestones of the distributed common ground station program, the covered official may waive the requirement to issue such a request for proposals by such date if the covered official submits to the congressional defense committees a written notification of such waiver that includes—

(A) the reasons for making such a waiver; and

(B) identification of when in the acquisition timeline of such program that the covered official plans to issue the request for proposals.

(d) **COVERED OFFICIAL DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “covered official” means the following:

(1) The Secretary of the Army, with respect to matters concerning the Army.

(2) The Secretary of the Navy, with respect to matters concerning the Navy.

(3) The Secretary of the Air Force, with respect to matters concerning the Air Force.

(4) The Commandant of the Marine Corps, with respect to matters concerning the Marine Corps.

(5) The Commander of the United States Special Operations Command, with respect to matters concerning the United States Special Operations Command.

**SEC. 221. REQUIREMENT TO COMPLETE INDIVIDUAL CARBINE TESTING.**

The Secretary of the Army may not cancel the individual carbine program unless the Secretary—

(1) completes the Phase III down-select and user-evaluation phase of the individual carbine competitors;

(2) conducts the required comprehensive business case analysis of such program; and

(3) submits to the congressional defense committees—

(A) the results of the down-select and user evaluation described in paragraph (1); and

(B) the business case analysis described in paragraph (2).

**SEC. 222. ESTABLISHMENT OF FUNDING LINE AND FIELDING PLAN FOR NAVY LASER WEAPON SYSTEM.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall ensure that each future-years defense program submitted to Congress under section 221 of title 10, United States Code, that covers any of fiscal years 2018 through 2028 includes a funding line and fielding plan for a Navy laser weapon system with respect to such fiscal years.

(b) **ALTERNATIVE REPORT.**—If the Secretary determines that the technology and maturation efforts of a Navy laser weapon system conducted prior to fiscal year 2016 do not indicate that suitable technology warranting a program of record for such system will be available by 2018, the Secretary may waive the requirements of subsection (a) if the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees written justification of such determination, including a description of the technical shortcomings of such system, by not later than March 30, 2016.

**SEC. 223. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPORTANCE OF ALIGNING COMMON MISSILE COMPARTMENT OF OHIO-CLASS REPLACEMENT PROGRAM WITH THE UNITED KINGDOM'S VANGUARD SUCCESSOR PROGRAM.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The Polaris Sales Agreement of 1963 formally arranged for the Polaris missile system to be purchased by the United Kingdom for its submarines. It was extended in 1982 to include the Trident missile system and this agreement continues to underpin the independent nuclear deterrent of the United Kingdom.

(2) April 2013 marked the 50-year anniversary of the agreement.

(3) Since the inception of the agreement, the agreement has been a tremendous success and provided great benefits to both nations by creating major cost savings, stronger nuclear deterrence, and a stronger alliance.

(4) The Ohio-class ballistic missile submarine replacement of the United States and the Vanguard-class ballistic missile successor of the United Kingdom will share a common missile compartment and the Trident II/D5 strategic weapon system.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Navy should make every effort to ensure that the common missile compartment associated with the Ohio-class ballistic missile submarine replacement program stays on schedule and is aligned with the Vanguard-successor program of the United Kingdom in order for the United States to fulfill its longstanding commitment to our ally and partner in sea-based strategic deterrence.

**SEC. 224. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON COUNTER-ELECTRONICS HIGH POWER MICROWAVE MISSILE PROJECT.**

It is the sense of the Congress that—

(1) following the successful joint technology capability demonstration that the counter-electronics high power microwave missile project (in this section referred to as “CHAMP”) conducted last year, the Air Force should examine the results of the demonstration and consider the demonstration as a potential solution during any analysis of alternatives conducted in 2014;

(2) an analysis of alternatives is an important step in the long term-term development of a high power microwave weapon;

(3) additionally, a near-term option may be available to get such capability to commanders of the combatant commands should the capability be required;

(4) the Secretary of the Air Force should pursue both near- and long-term high power microwave weapon systems;

(5) CHAMP could be developed as a cruise missile delivered weapon with target availability to commanders of the combatant commands by 2016; and

(6) such development should not prohibit or divert resources from an analysis of alternatives and long-term development of a high power microwave weapon.

**Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs**

**SEC. 231. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR MEADS PROGRAM.**

(a) PROHIBITION.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended for the medium extended air defense system.

(b) HARVESTING TECHNOLOGY.—

(1) NOTICE AND WAIT.—The Secretary of Defense may not carry out actions described in paragraph (2) until a period of 120 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary notifies the congressional defense committees of the plans of the Secretary to carry out such actions.

(2) ACTIONS DESCRIBED.—Actions described in this paragraph are actions relating to harvesting technology of the medium extended air defense system.

(c) REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than February 15, 2014, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the opportunities to harvest technology of the medium extended air defense system to modernize the various air and missile defense systems and integrated architecture of the Army, based on the report required by section 226 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1678).

(2) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A review of current Army and joint requirements to which any harvested technology of the medium extended air defense system might be applied.

(B) The timeline of the Secretary for completion of an analysis of alternatives to technologies and systems being considered for harvesting.

(C) An overview of the planned acquisition strategy for any major systems being considered for harvesting and for insertion into the integrated air and missile defense architecture.

(d) APPLICATION.—The prohibition in subsection (a) may not be superseded except by a provision of law that specifically supersedes, repeals, or modifies such subsection.

**SEC. 232. ADDITIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE SITE IN THE UNITED STATES FOR OPTIMIZED PROTECTION OF THE HOMELAND.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) President George W. Bush and President Barack Obama have each recognized the necessity for an additional measure of protection—beyond missile defense sites in Alaska and California—for defending the United States against intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) threats emanating from the Middle East.

(2) General Jacoby, the Commander of the United States Northern Command, testified before Congress that “we should consider that Iran has a capability within the next few years of flight testing ICBM capable technologies” and that “the Iranians are intent on developing an ICBM”.

(3) General Kehler, the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, testified before Congress that “I am confident that we can defend against a limited attack from Iran, although we are not in the most optimum posture to do that today. . . it doesn't provide total defense today”.

(4) General Jacoby also testified before Congress that “I would agree that a third site, wherever the decision is to build a third site, would give me better weapons access, increased GBI inventory and allow us the battle space to more optimize our defense against future threats from Iran and North Korea”.

(5) Section 227 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1678) directs the Missile Defense Agency—

(A) to conduct environmental impact studies for three potential locations for an additional missile defense site capable of protecting the homeland; and

(B) to develop a contingency plan in case the President determines to proceed with deployment of such an additional site.

(6) According to the Missile Defense Agency, the cost to deploy up to 20 ground-based interceptors (GBIs) at a new missile defense site on the East Coast of the United States is approximately \$3,000,000,000 and would require approximately 5 to 6 years to complete.

(b) ADDITIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE SITE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Missile Defense Agency shall construct and make operational in fiscal year 2018 an additional homeland missile defense site capable of protecting the homeland, designed to complement existing sites in Alaska and California, to deal more effectively with the

long-range ballistic missile threat from the Middle East.

(2) REQUIREMENT IN ADDITION TO OTHER REQUIRED ACTIVITIES REGARDING MISSILE DEFENSE SITES.—The Missile Defense Agency shall carry out the requirement in paragraph (1) to construct and deploy an additional homeland missile defense site (including any advance procurement and engineering and design in connection with such site) while continuing to meet the requirement to prepare environmental impact statements and a contingency plan under section 227 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 for the missile defense sites described in that section.

(3) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall submit to Congress a report on the missile defense site required to be constructed and deployed under paragraph (1). The report shall include a description of the current estimate of the funding to be required for construction and deployment of the missile defense site, including for advance procurement, engineering and design, materials and construction, interceptor missiles, and sensors.

**SEC. 233. LIMITATION ON REMOVAL OF MISSILE DEFENSE EQUIPMENT FROM EAST ASIA.**

(a) POLICY.—It is the policy of the United States that—

(1) the missile defenses of the United States provide defense against multiple threats, including threats to the United States, allies of the United States, and the deployed forces of the United States; and

(2) the elimination of one threat, for example the illegal nuclear weapons program of a rogue state, does not eliminate the reason the United States deploys missile defenses to a particular region, including to defend allies of the United States and deployed forces of the United States from other regional threats.

(b) LIMITATION.—Except as provided by subsection (c) or (d), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 or any fiscal year thereafter may be obligated or expended to remove missile defense equipment of the United States from East Asia until a period of 180 days has elapsed following the date on which the President certifies to the congressional defense committees the following:

(1) Each country in East Asia that poses a threat to allies of the United States has verifiably dismantled the nuclear weapons and ballistic missile programs of such country.

(2) The President has consulted with such allies with respect to the dismantlement described in paragraph (1) that—

(A) such dismantlement has occurred; and

(B) the missile defense platforms of the United States located in East Asia are no longer needed.

(c) WAIVER.—The President may waive the limitation in subsection (b) with respect to removing missile defense equipment of the United States from East Asia if—

(1) the President submits to the congressional defense committees—

(A) a certification that such waiver is in the national security interest of the United States; and

(B) a report, in unclassified form, explaining—

(i) why the President cannot make a certification for such removal under subsection (b);

(ii) the national security interest covered by the certification made under subparagraph (A); and

(iii) how the President will provide a commensurate level of defense for the United States, allies of the United States, and deployed forces of the United States, as provided by such missile defense equipment being removed; and

(2) a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the President submits the information under paragraph (1).

(d) EXCEPTION.—The limitation in subsection (b) shall not apply to destroyers and cruisers of the Navy equipped with the Aegis ballistic missile defense system.

**SEC. 234. IMPROVEMENTS TO ACQUISITION ACCOUNTABILITY REPORTS ON BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 225 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(3)(A), by inserting “comprehensive” before “life-cycle”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(e) QUALITY OF COST ESTIMATES.—(1) The Director shall ensure that each cost estimate included in an acquisition baseline pursuant to subsection (b)(3) includes all operation and support costs, regardless of funding source, for which the Director is responsible.

“(2) In each such baseline submitted to the congressional defense committees, the Director shall state whether the underlying cost estimates in such baseline meet the criteria of the Comptroller General of the United States to be considered a high-quality estimate. If the Director states that such estimates do not meet such criteria, the Director shall include in such baseline the actions, including a schedule, that the Director plans to carry out for the estimates to meet such criteria.”.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than February 15, 2014, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report of the plans and schedule of the Director with respect to when the Director will meet the quality and criteria of cost estimates required by section 225(e) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a)(2).

**SEC. 235. ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES FOR SUCCESSOR TO PRECISION TRACKING SPACE SYSTEM.**

(a) ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Missile Defense Agency, in cooperation with the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation and the Defense Space Council, shall perform an analysis of alternatives for a successor to the precision tracking space system.

(2) CONSIDERATION.—The Director shall ensure that the analysis of alternatives under paragraph (1) considers the following:

(A) Current and future terrestrial, airborne, and space capabilities and capability gaps for missile defense sensing requirements.

(B) Current and planned overhead persistent infrared architecture and the potential for the future exploitability of such architecture.

(C) Lessons learned from the space tracking and surveillance system and precision tracking space system technology development programs.

(D) Opinions of private industry based on the experience of such industry with delivering space capabilities.

(E) Opportunities for such successor system to contribute to nonmissile defense missions with unmet requirements, including space situational awareness.

(3) ROLE OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS.—In conducting the analysis of alternatives under paragraph (1), the Director shall compare the advantages and disadvantages, including in terms of costs, with respect to the Director—

(A) developing a successor to the precision tracking space system solely for the Missile Defense Agency; and

(B) cooperating with other heads of departments and agencies of the United States to develop space systems that are multi-mission, including by hosting payloads.

(b) SUBMISSION REQUIRED.—

(1) TERMS OF REFERENCE.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall submit to the congressional defense committees the terms of reference of the analysis of alternatives performed under subsection (a)(1).

(2) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report including—

(A) the analysis of alternatives for a successor to the precision tracking space system performed under subsection (a)(1); and

(B) a description of the potential platforms on which a hosted payload could be hosted.

(3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph (2) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) CONFORMING REPEAL.—Section 224 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1675) is repealed.

**SEC. 236. PLAN TO IMPROVE ORGANIC KILL ASSESSMENT CAPABILITY OF THE GROUND-BASED MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SYSTEM.**

(a) ORGANIC KILL ASSESSMENT CAPABILITY.—The Director of the Missile Defense Agency and the Commander of the United States Northern Command, in consultation with the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, shall jointly develop—

(1) options to achieve an organic kill assessment capability for the ground-based midcourse defense system that can be developed by not later than December 31, 2019, including by improving the command, control, battle management, and communications program and the sensor and communications architecture of the Agency; and

(2) a plan to carry out such options that gives priority to including such capabilities in at least some of the 14 ground-based interceptors that will be procured by the Director, as announced by the Secretary of Defense on March 15, 2013.

(b) IMPROVED HIT ASSESSMENT.—The Director and the Commander of the United States Northern Command, in consultation with the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, shall jointly develop an interim capability for improved hit assessment for the ground-based midcourse defense system that can be integrated into near-term enhanced kill vehicle upgrades and refurbishment.

(c) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than March 15, 2014, the Director and the Commander of the United States Northern Command shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees a report on—

(1) the development of an organic kill assessment capability under subsection (a), including the plan developed under paragraph (2) of such subsection; and

(2) the development of an interim capability for improved hit assessment under subsection (b).

**SEC. 237. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR IRON DOME SHORT-RANGE ROCKET DEFENSE PROGRAM.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 by section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, and available for the Missile Defense Agency, \$15,000,000 may be obligated or expended for enhancing the capability for producing the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense program in the United States, including for infrastructure, tooling, transferring data, special test equipment, and related components.

**SEC. 238. NATO AND THE PHASED, ADAPTIVE APPROACH TO MISSILE DEFENSE IN EUROPE.**

(a) NATO FUNDING.—

(1) PHASE I OF EPAA.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall consult with the North Atlantic Council and the Secretary General of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (in this section referred to as “NATO”) on—

(A) the funding of the phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe; and

(B) establishing a plan for NATO to provide at least 50 percent of the infrastructure and operations and maintenance costs of phase I of the phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe.

(2) PHASES II AND III OF EPAA.—The President shall use the NATO Military Common-Funded

Resources process to seek to fund at least 50 percent of the costs for phases II and III of the phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe.

(3) REPORTS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and each 180-day period thereafter, the President shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report on the funding provided by NATO pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2).

(b) INTERCEPTORS.—If the Secretary of Defense determines that it is useful to the interests of the United States, the Secretary shall seek to engage with members of NATO to establish a NATO common pool of Aegis standard missile-3 block IA, standard missile-3 block IB, and standard missile-3 block IIA interceptors to defend NATO members through the phased, adaptive approach to missile defense in Europe.

**SEC. 239. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON PROCUREMENT OF CAPABILITY ENHANCEMENT II EXOATMOSPHERIC KILL VEHICLE.**

It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should not procure a Capability Enhancement II exoatmospheric kill vehicle for deployment until after the date on which a successful operational flight test of the Capability Enhancement II ground-based interceptor has occurred unless such procurement is for test assets or to maintain a warm line for the industrial base.

**SEC. 240. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) President Ronald Reagan in March 1983, in a speech from the oval office, laid the corner stone for a long-term research and development program to begin to achieve our ultimate goal of eliminating the threat posed by strategic nuclear missiles.

(2) President Reagan stated, “I’ve become more and more deeply convinced that the human spirit must be capable of rising above dealing with other nations and human beings by threatening their existence. . . . What if free people could live secure in the knowledge that their security did not rest upon the threat of instant U.S. retaliation to deter a Soviet attack, that we could intercept and destroy strategic ballistic missiles before they reached our own soil or that of our allies?”.

(3) The Strategic Defense Initiative, also known as “Star Wars”, challenged the nation to accomplish the impossible by moving beyond the obvious possibilities of the day to set the United States and our allies up for success.

(4) In 1999, the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO), National Missile Defense (NMD) prototype interceptor successfully demonstrated “hit-to-kill” technology intercepting a modified Minuteman intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM).

(5) Congress passed the National Missile Defense Act of 1999 (Public Law 106-38) (signed by President Clinton), which stated, “It is the policy of the United States to deploy, as soon as is technologically possible, an effective National Missile Defense system capable of defending the territory of the United States against limited ballistic missile attack (whether accidental, unauthorized, or deliberate)”.

(6) On December 13, 2001, President George W. Bush announced “I have concluded the ABM treaty hinders our government’s ability to develop ways to protect our people from future terrorist or rogue state missile attacks”.

(7) Russian President Vladimir Putin said the move was “not a threat to the security of the Russian Federation”.

(8) Since 2001, the United States has deployed considerable Missile Defense capability: 30 ground-based interceptors defending the continental U.S. today; 32 Aegis BMD ships; 113 SM-

3 IA interceptors; 25 SM-3 IB interceptors; 3 THAAD batteries and 89 interceptors; and 8 AN/TPY-2 forward-based sensors.

(9) The United States has partnerships with 22 nations, and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), for missile defense cooperation. Likewise, India and South Korea are developing missile defenses and the Russian Federation and People's Republic of China are also developing and improving missile defenses.

(10) Since 2001 when they began development, United States missile defenses have had a test record of 58 of 73 hit-to-kill intercept attempts and have been successful across all programs of the integrated system, including Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD), Ground-based Mid-course Defense (GMD), Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD), and PATRIOT Advanced Capability-3.

(11) In July of 2004, the United States missile defense system was declared operational with limited capability. Since that time, it has offered defense against limited threats to the continental United States.

(12) The United States has cooperatively developed with our Israeli allies a number of missile defense systems including Arrow, Arrow 3 and David's Sling, systems which will protect our Israeli allies and contribute technology and expertise to U.S. systems.

(13) The United States in support of NATO deployed a Patriot missile battery to defend the population and territory of Turkey and provide material support for Article V of the North Atlantic Treaty in the event of spillover from the Syrian civil war and has deployed Phase I of the European Phased Adaptive Approach, which includes a transportable x-band radar array and an on-station AEGIS ballistic missile defense ship armed with Standard Missile 3 block IA missile interceptors.

(14) When United States territory, deployed forces and allies were threatened by North Korean ballistic missiles the United States had the operational capability and national will to deploy THAAD units to Guam to provide a defensive shield.

(15) The United States continues to work jointly with Japan to improve the Navy Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) which in addition to providing missile defense in the Pacific is also a keystone in the Phased Adaptive Approach for European missile defense.

(16) On-going research and development under the auspices of the Missile Defense Agency will continue to expand the technology envelope to deploy a layered missile defense system capable of defending the homeland, our military forces deployed overseas, friendly nations and our allies against all ballistic missiles from launch and orbit to reentry.

(17) A credible ballistic missile defense system is critical to the national defense of the United States.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—Congress—

(1) recognizes the inspiring leadership of President Ronald Reagan to "maintain the peace through strength";

(2) recognizes the enduring obligation President as Commander in Chief to "preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution";

(3) commemorates the vision of President Reagan on the 30th anniversary of the Strategic Defense Initiative;

(4) believes that it is imperative that the United States continue fielding a robust missile defense system, including additional ground based interceptors; and

(5) commits to supporting continued investments in future missile defense capabilities and emerging technologies such as directed energy and railguns.

#### Subtitle D—Reports

#### SEC. 251. ANNUAL COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON THE AMPHIBIOUS COMBAT VEHICLE ACQUISITION PROGRAM.

(a) ANNUAL GAO REVIEW.—During the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this

Act and ending on March 1, 2018, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an annual review of the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program.

(b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than March 1 of each year beginning in 2014 and ending in 2018, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review of the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program conducted under subsection (a).

(2) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—Each report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) The extent to which the program is meeting development and procurement cost, schedule, performance, and risk mitigation goals.

(B) With respect to meeting the desired initial operational capability and full operational capability dates for the amphibious combat vehicle, the progress and results of—

(i) developmental and operational testing of the vehicle; and

(ii) plans for correcting deficiencies in vehicle performance, operational effectiveness, reliability, suitability, and safety.

(C) An assessment of procurement plans, production results, and efforts to improve manufacturing efficiency and supplier performance.

(D) An assessment of the acquisition strategy of the amphibious combat vehicle, including whether such strategy is in compliance with acquisition management best-practices and the acquisition policy and regulations of the Department of Defense.

(E) An assessment of the projected operations and support costs and the viability of the Marine Corps to afford to operate and sustain the amphibious combat vehicle.

(3) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—In submitting to the congressional defense committees the first report under paragraph (1) and a report following any changes made by the Secretary of the Navy to the baseline documentation of the amphibious combat vehicle acquisition program, the Comptroller General shall include, with respect to such program, an assessment of the sufficiency and objectivity of—

(A) the analysis of alternatives;

(B) the initial capabilities document; and

(C) the capabilities development document.

#### SEC. 252. REPORT ON STRATEGY TO IMPROVE BODY ARMOR.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the comprehensive research and development strategy of the Secretary to achieve significant reductions in the weight of body armor.

(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A brief description of each solution for body armor weight reduction that is being developed as of the date of the report.

(2) For each such solution—

(A) the costs, schedules, and performance requirements;

(B) the research and development funding profile;

(C) a description of the materials being used in the solution; and

(D) the feasibility and technology readiness levels of the solution and the materials.

(3) A strategy to provide resources for future research and development of body armor weight reduction.

(4) An explanation of how the Secretary is using a modular or tailorable solution to approach body armor weight reduction.

(5) A description of how the Secretary coordinates the research and development of body armor weight reduction being carried out by the military departments.

(6) Any other matter the Secretary considers appropriate.

#### SEC. 253. REPORT ON MAIN BATTLE TANK FUEL EFFICIENCY INITIATIVE.

Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army

shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the investment strategy to accelerate fuel efficiency improvements to the current engine and transmission of the M1 Abrams series main battle tank as part of the Army's Engineering Change Proposal Phase I strategy.

#### SEC. 254. REPORT ON POWERED RAIL SYSTEM.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the powered rail system compared to currently fielded solutions. Such report shall include each of the following:

(1) Verification of relevant studies previously conducted by the Army, including that of the Maneuver Center of Excellence, which show that a typical infantry platoon requires approximately 430 pounds of batteries for a 72-hour mission, or roughly 10 pounds per soldier, and that the per-soldier, per-year procurement, storage, transport and disposal costs of these batteries are between \$50,000 and \$65,000.

(2) An assessment of the comparative total cost of ownership, including procurement, fielding, training, and sustainment of the existing rail system and associated rail-mounted devices with respect to battery types and usage, when compared to that of a powered rail or intelligent rail system with a consolidated power source.

(3) An assessment of the specific effects of excessive battery weight on soldier mobility, endurance and lethality determined through side-by-side time, endurance, motion and lethality tests between soldiers operating with existing rail-mounted weapon accessories and soldiers using the powered rail or intelligent rail solution.

(4) An assessment of the advantages to the Army of incorporating the high-speed communications capability embedded in the powered rail or intelligent rail technology, including the integration of existing Army devices and devices in development such as the family of weapons sights and the enhanced night vision goggles, with the powered rail technology, and the connection of these previously unconnected devices to the soldier network.

(b) TESTING.—Any testing conducted in order to produce the report required by subsection (a) shall be supervised and validated by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation of the Department of Defense.

#### Subtitle E—Other Matters

#### SEC. 261. ESTABLISHMENT OF CRYPTOGRAPHIC MODERNIZATION REVIEW AND ADVISORY BOARD.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 7 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

#### “§ 189. Cryptographic Modernization Review and Advisory Board

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There shall be in the Department of Defense a Cryptographic Modernization Review and Advisory Board (in this section referred to as the ‘Board’) to review and assess the cryptographic modernization activities of the Department and provide advice to the Secretary with respect to such activities pursuant to the roles and responsibilities outlined in the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 6510.02D.

“(b) MEMBERS.—(1) The Secretary shall determine the number of members of the Board.

“(2) The Secretary shall appoint officers in the grade of general or admiral and civilian employees of the Department of Defense in the Senior Executive Service to serve as members of the Board.

“(c) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The Board shall—

“(1) review compliance with cease-use dates for specific cryptographic systems based on rigorous analysis of technical and threat factors and issue guidance, as needed, to relevant program executive offices and program managers;

“(2) monitor the overall cryptographic modernization efforts of the Department, including while such efforts are being executed;

“(3) convene in-depth technical program reviews, as needed, for specific cryptographic modernization developments with respect to validating current and in-draft requirements of systems of the Department of Defense and identifying programmatic risks;

“(4) develop a five-year cryptographic modernization plan to—

“(A) make recommendations to the Joint Requirements Oversight Council with respect to updating or modifying requirements for cryptographic modernization; and

“(B) identify previously unidentified requirements;

“(5) develop a long-term roadmap to—

“(A) ensure synchronization with major planning documents;

“(B) anticipate risks and issues in 10- and 20-year timelines; and

“(C) ensure that the expertise and insights of the military departments, Defense Agencies, the combatant commands, industry, academia, and key allies are included in the course of developing and carrying out cryptographic modernization activities;

“(6) develop a concept of operations for how cryptographic systems should function in a system-of-systems environment; and

“(7) advise the Secretary on the development of a cryptographic asset visibility system.

“(d) **EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN PROGRAMS.**—The Board shall not include programs funded under the National Intelligence Program (as defined in section 3(6) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(6))) in carrying out this section.”

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 188 the following new item:

“189. Cryptographic Modernization Review and Advisory Board.”

**SEC. 262. CLARIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY OF A STATE TO PARTICIPATE IN DEFENSE EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM TO STIMULATE COMPETITIVE RESEARCH.**

Subparagraph (A) of section 257(d)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended to read as follows:

“(A) The State is eligible for the experimental program to stimulate competitive research under section 113 of the National Science Foundation Authorization Act of 1988 (42 U.S.C. 1862g); and”

**SEC. 263. EXTENSION AND EXPANSION OF MECHANISMS TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR DEFENSE LABORATORIES FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT OF TECHNOLOGIES FOR MILITARY MISSIONS.**

(a) **CLARIFICATION OF AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—Section 219 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

“(b) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE REVITALIZATION PROJECTS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to the provisions of this subsection, funds available under a mechanism under subsection (a) for specific laboratory infrastructure revitalization projects shall be available for such projects until expended.

“(2) **PRIOR NOTICE OF COSTS OF PROJECTS.**—Funds shall be available in accordance with paragraph (1) for a project referred to in that paragraph only if the congressional defense committees are notified of the total cost of the project before the commencement of the project.

“(3) **ACCUMULATION OF FUNDS FOR PROJECTS.**—Funds may accumulate under a mechanism under subsection (a) for a project re-

ferred to in paragraph (1) for not more than five years.

“(4) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF PROJECT.**—Funds shall be available in accordance with paragraph (1) for a project referred to in that paragraph only if the cost of the project does not exceed \$4,000,000.”

(b) **EXTENSION.**—Subsection (d) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (a)(1) of this section, is amended by striking “September 30, 2016” and inserting “September 30, 2020”.

(c) **APPLICATION.**—Subsection (b) of section 219 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (10 U.S.C. 2358 note), as added by subsection (a)(2), shall apply with respect to funds made available under such section 219 after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 264. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO AWARD PRIZES FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ACHIEVEMENTS.**

Section 2374a(f) of chapter 139 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “September 30, 2013” and inserting “September 30, 2018”.

**SEC. 265. FIVE-YEAR EXTENSION OF PILOT PROGRAM TO INCLUDE TECHNOLOGY PROTECTION FEATURES DURING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT OF CERTAIN DEFENSE SYSTEMS.**

Section 243(d) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended by striking “October 1, 2015” and inserting “October 1, 2020”.

**SEC. 266. BRIEFING ON POWER AND ENERGY RESEARCH CONDUCTED AT UNIVERSITY AFFILIATED RESEARCH CENTERS.**

(a) **BRIEFING.**—Not later than March 31, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall brief the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on power and energy research conducted at the university affiliated research centers.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The briefing under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of current and planned research on power grid issues conducted with other university-based energy centers.

(2) A description of current and planned collaboration efforts regarding power grid issues with university-based research centers that have an expertise in energy efficiency and renewable energy, including efforts with respect to—

(A) system failure and losses, including—

(i) utility logistics and supply chain management for events resulting in system failure or other major damage;

(ii) near real-time utility and law enforcement access to damage assessment information during events resulting in system failure or other major damage;

(B) mitigation and response to disasters and attacks;

(C) variable energy resource integration on the bulk power system;

(D) integration of high penetrations of distributed energy technologies on the electric distribution system;

(E) substation and asset hardening techniques appropriate for use in civilian areas;

(F) facilitating development of training programs to support significant increase in required technical skills of present and future utility field forces, including hands-on training; and

(G) facilitating increased consumer self-sufficiency.

**TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

**Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

**SEC. 301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDING.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not

otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4301.

**Subtitle B—Energy and Environment**

**SEC. 311. DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF REPORTS ON PROPOSED BUDGETS FOR ACTIVITIES RELATING TO OPERATIONAL ENERGY STRATEGY.**

Section 133c(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (4), by striking “Not later than 30 days after the date on which the budget for a fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the proposed budgets for that fiscal year” and inserting “The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the proposed budgets for a fiscal year”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) The report required by paragraph (4) for a fiscal year shall be submitted by the later of the following dates:

“(A) The date that is 30 days after the date on which the budget for that fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31.

“(B) March 31 of the previous fiscal year.”

**SEC. 312. FACILITATION OF INTERAGENCY COOPERATION IN CONSERVATION PROGRAMS OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF DEFENSE, AGRICULTURE, AND INTERIOR TO AVOID OR REDUCE ADVERSE IMPACTS ON MILITARY READINESS ACTIVITIES.**

(a) **USE OF FUNDS UNDER CERTAIN AGREEMENTS.**—Section 2684a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (h) and (i) as subsections (i) and (j); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (g) the following new subsection (h):

“(h) **INTERAGENCY COOPERATION IN CONSERVATION PROGRAMS TO AVOID OR REDUCE ADVERSE IMPACTS ON MILITARY READINESS ACTIVITIES.**—In order to facilitate interagency cooperation and enhance the effectiveness of actions that will protect both the environment and military readiness, the recipient of funds provided pursuant to an agreement under this section or under the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. et seq.) may, with regard to the lands and waters within the scope of the agreement, use such funds to satisfy any matching funds or cost-sharing requirement of any conservation program of the Department of Agriculture or the Department of the Interior notwithstanding any limitation of such program on the source of matching or cost-sharing funds.”

(b) **SUNSET.**—This section and subsection (h) of section 2684a of title 10, United States Code, as added by this section, shall expire on October 1, 2019, except that any agreement referred to in such subsection that is entered into on or before September 30, 2019, shall continue according to its terms and conditions as if this section has not expired.

**SEC. 313. REAUTHORIZATION OF SIKES ACT.**

Section 108 of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670f) is amended by striking “fiscal years 2009 through 2014” each place it appears and inserting “fiscal years 2014 through 2019”.

**SEC. 314. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS UNDER SIKES ACT FOR LAND MANAGEMENT RELATED TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE READINESS ACTIVITIES.**

(a) **MULTIYEAR AGREEMENTS TO FUND LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT.**—Subsection (b) of section 103A of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670c-1) is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” before “Funds”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) In the case of a cooperative agreement under subsection (a)(2), funds referred to in paragraph (1)—

“(A) may be paid in a lump sum and include an amount intended to cover the future costs of

the natural resource maintenance and improvement activities provided for under the agreement; and

“(B) may be invested by the recipient in accordance with the recipient’s own guidelines for the management and investment of financial assets, and any interest or income derived from such investment may be applied for the same purposes as the principal.”.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS AND RELATION TO OTHER LAWS.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(c) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS AND RELATION TO OTHER LAWS.—(1) Cooperative agreements and interagency agreements entered into under this section shall be subject to the availability of funds.

“(2) Notwithstanding chapter 63 of title 31, United States Code, a cooperative agreement under this section may be used to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States Government.

“(3) Amounts available to the Department of Defense that are provided to any Federal, State, local, or nongovernmental entity for conservation and rehabilitation of natural resources in an area that is not on a military installation—

“(A) may only be used for payment of direct costs associated with the management of such area; and

“(B) may be used to pay not more than 3 percent of total project administrative costs, fees, and management charges.

“(4) Amounts available to the Department of Defense may not be used under this Act to acquire fee title interest in real property for natural resources projects that are not on a military installation.”.

(c) ANNUAL AUDITS.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) ANNUAL AUDITS.—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall annually audit each natural resources project funded with amounts available to the Department of Defense under this Act that is not on a military installation.”.

(d) SUNSET.—This section and the provisions of law enacted by the amendments made by this section shall expire on October 1, 2019, except that any cooperative agreement referred to in such provisions that is entered into on or before September 30, 2019, shall continue according to its terms and conditions as if this section has not expired.

**SEC. 315. EXCLUSIONS FROM DEFINITION OF “CHEMICAL SUBSTANCE” UNDER TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT.**

Section 3(2)(B)(v) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (15 U.S.C. 2602(2)(B)(v)) is amended by striking “, and” and inserting “and any component of such an article (including, without limitation, shot, bullets and other projectiles, propellants when manufactured for or used in such an article, and primers), and”.

**SEC. 316. EXEMPTION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FROM ALTERNATIVE FUEL PROCUREMENT REQUIREMENT.**

Section 526 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-140; 42 U.S.C. 17142) is amended by adding at the end the following: “This section shall not apply to the Department of Defense.”.

**SEC. 317. CLARIFICATION OF PROHIBITION ON DISPOSING OF WASTE IN OPEN-AIR BURN PITS.**

For the purposes of Department of Defense Instruction 4715.19, issued as required by section 317 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 10 U.S.C. 2701 note) or any successor instruction, the term “covered waste” specifically includes, in addition to the materials already specified in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (c)(2) of such section, the following:

- (1) Tires.
- (2) Treated wood.
- (3) Batteries.

(4) Plastics, except insignificant amounts of plastic remaining after a good-faith effort to remove or recover plastic materials from the solid waste stream.

(5) Munitions and explosives, the destruction of which is covered in Department of Defense Instruction 6055.09-M (Reference (i)).

(6) Compressed gas cylinders, unless empty with valves removed.

(7) Fuel containers, unless completely evacuated of its contents.

(8) Aerosol cans.

(9) Polychlorinated biphenyls.

(10) Petroleum, oils, and lubricants products (other than waste fuel for initial combustion).

(11) Asbestos.

(12) Mercury.

(13) Foam tent material.

(14) Any item containing any of the materials referred to in a preceding paragraph.

**SEC. 318. LIMITATION ON PLAN, DESIGN, REFINISHING, OR CONSTRUCTION OF BIOFUELS REFINERIES.**

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Defense may not enter into a contract for the planning, design, refurbishing, or construction of a biofuels refinery any other facility or infrastructure used to refine biofuels unless such planning, design, refurbishing, or construction is specifically authorized by law.

**SEC. 319. LIMITATION ON PROCUREMENT OF BIOFUELS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), none of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense may be used to purchase or produce biofuels until the earlier of the following dates:

(1) The date on which the cost of the biofuel is equal to the cost of conventional fuels purchased by the Department.

(2) The date on which the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Public Law 112-25), and the sequestration in effect by reason of such Act, are no longer in effect.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—The limitation under subsection (a) shall not apply to biofuels purchased—

(1) in limited quantities necessary to complete test and certification; or

(2) for the biofuel research and development efforts of the Department.

**Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment**

**SEC. 321. LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP STRATEGIC SUSTAINMENT PLAN.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees and to the Comptroller General of the United States a strategic sustainment plan for the Littoral Combat Ship. Such plan shall include each of the following:

(1) An estimate of the cost and schedule of implementing the plan.

(2) An identification of the requirements and planning for the long-term sustainment of the Littoral Combat Ship and its mission modules in accordance with section 2366b of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 801 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1482).

(3) A description of the current and future operating environments of the Littoral Combat Ship, as specified or referred to in strategic guidance and planning documents of the Department of Defense.

(4) The facility, supply, and logistics systems requirements of the Littoral Combat Ship when forward deployed, and an estimate of the cost and personnel required to conduct the necessary maintenance activities.

(5) Any required updates to host-nation agreements to facilitate the forward-deployed maintenance requirements of the Littoral Combat Ship, including a discussion of overseas management of Ship ordnance and hazardous materials and delivery of equipment and spare parts needed for emergent repair.

(6) An evaluation of the forward-deployed maintenance requirements of the Littoral Combat Ship and a schedule of pier-side maintenance timelines when forward-deployed, including requirements for multiple ships and variants.

(7) An assessment of the total quantity of equipment, spare parts, permanently forward-stationed personnel, and size of fly away teams required to support forward-deployed maintenance requirements for the U.S.S. Freedom while in Singapore, and estimates for follow-on deployments of Littoral Combat Ships of both variants.

(8) A detailed description of the continuity of operations plans for the Littoral Combat Ship Squadron and of any plans to increase the number of Squadron personnel.

(9) An identification of mission critical single point of failure equipment for which a sufficient number spare parts are necessary to have on hand, and determination of Littoral Combat Ship forward deployed equipment and spare parts locations and levels.

(b) FORM.—The plan required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form but may have a classified annex.

**SEC. 322. REVIEW OF CRITICAL MANUFACTURING CAPABILITIES WITHIN ARMY ARSENALS.**

(a) REVIEW.—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments and the directors of the Defense Agencies, shall conduct a review of the current and expected manufacturing requirements across the Department of Defense to identify critical manufacturing competencies, supplies, components, end items, parts, assemblies, and sub-assemblies for which no or a limited domestic commercial source exists. In conducting the review under this section, the Secretary—

(1) shall assess which of the competencies for which no or a limited domestic commercial source exists could be executed by an arsenal owned by the United States; and

(2) may review other manufacturing capabilities, as the Secretary determines appropriate, to determine if such capabilities could be executed by an arsenal owned by the United States.

(b) CONGRESSIONAL BRIEFING.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall brief the congressional defense committees on the results of the review conducted under subsection (a).

**SEC. 323. INCLUSION OF ARMY ARSENALS CAPABILITIES IN SOLICITATIONS.**

(a) DETERMINATION OF USE OF ARSENALS.—

(1) SOLICITATION OF INFORMATION.—When undertaking a make-or-buy analysis, a Program Executive Officer or Program Manager of a military service or Defense Agency shall solicit information from an arsenal owned by the United States regarding the capability of the arsenal to fulfill a manufacturing requirement.

(2) SUBMITTAL OF MATERIAL SOLUTION.—Upon a determination, that an arsenal owned by the United States is capable of fulfilling a manufacturing requirement, a Program Executive Officer or Program Manager shall allow the arsenal to submit a material solution in response to the requirement.

(b) NOTIFICATION OF SOLICITATIONS.—When issuing a solicitation, a Program Executive Officer or Program Manager shall notify each arsenal owned by the United States of any manufacturing requirement that the arsenal has the capability to fulfill and allow the arsenal to submit a proposal in response to the requirement.

**Subtitle D—Reports**

**SEC. 331. ADDITIONAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO PERSONNEL AND UNIT READINESS.**

(a) ASSESSMENT OF ASSIGNED MISSIONS AND CONTRACTOR SUPPORT.—Section 482 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (j); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsections:

“(g) **COMBATANT COMMAND ASSIGNED MISSION ASSESSMENTS.**—(1) Each report shall also include an assessment by each commander of a geographic or functional combatant command of the ability of the command to successfully execute each of the assigned missions of the command. Each such assessment for a combatant command shall also include a list of the mission essential tasks for each assigned mission of the command and an assessment of the ability of the command to successfully complete each task within prescribed timeframes.

“(2) For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘assigned mission’ means any contingency response program plan, theater campaign plan, or named operation that is approved and assigned by the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

“(h) **RISK ASSESSMENT OF DEPENDENCE ON CONTRACTOR SUPPORT.**—Each report shall also include an assessment by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff of the level of risk incurred by using contract support in contingency operations as required under Department of Defense Instruction 1100.22, ‘Policies and Procedures for Determining Workforce Mix’.

“(i) **COMBAT SUPPORT AGENCIES ASSESSMENT.**—(1) Each report shall also include an assessment by the Secretary of Defense of the military readiness of the combat support agencies, including, for each such agency—

“(A) a determination with respect to the responsiveness and readiness of the agency to support operating forces in the event of a war or threat to national security, including—

“(i) a list of mission essential tasks and an assessment of the ability of the agency to successfully perform those tasks;

“(ii) an assessment of how the ability of the agency to accomplish the tasks referred to in subparagraph (A) affects the ability of the military departments and the unified and geographic combatant commands to execute operations and contingency plans by number;

“(iii) any readiness deficiencies and actions recommended to address such deficiencies; and

“(iv) key indicators and other relevant information related to any deficiency or other problem identified;

“(B) any recommendations that the Secretary considers appropriate.

“(2) In this subsection, the term ‘combat support agency’ means any of the following Defense Agencies:

“(A) The Defense Information Systems Agency.

“(B) The Defense Intelligence Agency.

“(C) The Defense Logistics Agency.

“(D) The National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (but only with respect to combat support functions that the agencies perform for the Department of Defense).

“(E) The Defense Contract Management Agency.

“(F) The Defense Threat Reduction Agency.

“(G) The National Reconnaissance Office.

“(H) The National Security Agency (but only with respect to combat support functions that the agencies perform for the Department of Defense) and Central Security Service.

“(I) Any other Defense Agency designated as a combat support agency by the Secretary of Defense.”

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Such section is further amended in subsection (a), by striking “and (f)” and inserting “(f), (g), (h), and (i)”.

**SEC. 332. REPEAL OF ANNUAL COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON ARMY PROGRESS.**

Section 323 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2146; 10 U.S.C. 229 note) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (d);

(2) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively; and

(3) in subsection (e), as so redesignated, by striking “or (d)”.

**SEC. 333. REVISION TO REQUIREMENT FOR ANNUAL SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION REGARDING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CAPITAL ASSETS.**

Section 351(a)(1) of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107-314; 10 U.S.C. 221 note) is amended by striking “in excess of \$30,000,000” and all that follows and inserting “(as computed in fiscal year 2000 constant dollars) in excess of \$32,000,000 or an estimated total cost for the future-years defense program for which the budget is submitted (as computed in fiscal year 2000 constant dollars) in excess of \$378,000,000, for all expenditures, for all increments, regardless of the appropriation and fund source, directly related to the assets definition, design, development, deployment, sustainment, and disposal.”.

**Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority**

**SEC. 341. LIMITATION ON REDUCTION OF FORCE STRUCTURE AT LAJES AIR FORCE BASE, AZORES.**

The Secretary of the Air Force may not reduce the force structure at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores, relative to the force structure at such Air Force Base as of October 1, 2013, until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense concludes the European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment initiated by the Secretary on January 25, 2013, and briefs the congressional defense committees regarding such Assessment. Such briefing shall include a specific assessment of the efficacy of Lajes Air Force Base, Azores, in supporting the United States overseas force posture.

**SEC. 342. PROHIBITION ON PERFORMANCE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FLIGHT DEMONSTRATION TEAMS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise available to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 2014 or 2015 may be used for the performance of flight demonstration teams under the jurisdiction of the Secretary at any location outside the United States.

(b) **UNITED STATES.**—In this section, the term “United States” means the several States of the United States, the District of Columbia, and the commonwealths, territories, and possessions of the United States.

**Subtitle F—Other Matters**

**SEC. 351. REQUIREMENT TO ESTABLISH POLICY ON JOINT COMBAT UNIFORMS.**

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT OF POLICY.**—It is the policy of the United States that by not later than October 1, 2018, the Secretary of Defense shall require all military services to use a joint combat camouflage uniform, including color and pattern variants designed for specific combat environments.

(b) **PROHIBITION.**—Except as provided in subsection (c), each military service shall be prohibited from adopting a new combat camouflage uniform, unless—

(1) the combat camouflage utility uniform will be a joint uniform adopted by all military services; or

(2) the military services adopt a uniform currently in use by another military service.

(c) **EXCEPTIONS.**—Nothing in subsection (b) shall be construed as—

(1) prohibiting the development or fielding of combat and camouflage utility uniforms for use by personnel assigned to or operating in support of the unified combatant command for special operations forces described in section 167 of title 10, United States Code;

(2) prohibiting the military services from fielding ancillary uniform items, including headwear, footwear, or other such items as determined by the Secretaries of the military departments; or

(3) prohibiting the military services from issuing working or vehicle crew uniforms.

(d) **GUIDANCE REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue guidance to implement this section. At a minimum, such guidance shall—

(1) require the Secretaries of the military departments to collaborate on the development of joint criteria for the design, development, fielding, and characteristics of combat camouflage uniforms;

(2) require the Secretaries of the military departments to ensure that new combat and camouflage utility uniforms meet the geographic and operational requirements of the commanders of the combatant commands; and

(3) require the Secretaries of the military departments to ensure that all new combat and camouflage utility uniforms achieve interoperability with other components of individual war fighter systems, including organizational clothing and individual equipment such as body armor and other individual protective systems.

(e) **WAIVER.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive the prohibition in subsection (b) if the Secretary certifies to Congress that there are exceptional operational circumstances that require the development or fielding of a new combat camouflage uniform.

(f) **REPEAL OF POLICY.**—Section 352 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84, 123 Stat. 2262; 10 U.S.C. 771 note prec.) is hereby repealed.

**TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS**

**Subtitle A—Active Forces**

**SEC. 401. END STRENGTHS FOR ACTIVE FORCES.**

The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for active duty personnel as of September 30, 2014, as follows:

(1) The Army, 520,000.

(2) The Navy, 323,600.

(3) The Marine Corps, 190,200.

(4) The Air Force, 327,600.

**SEC. 402. REVISION IN PERMANENT ACTIVE DUTY END STRENGTH MINIMUM LEVELS.**

Section 691(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraphs (1) through (4) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

“(1) For the Army, 520,000.

“(2) For the Navy, 323,600.

“(3) For the Marine Corps, 190,200.

“(4) For the Air Force, 327,600.”.

**Subtitle B—Reserve Forces**

**SEC. 411. END STRENGTHS FOR SELECTED RESERVE.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for Selected Reserve personnel of the reserve components as of September 30, 2014, as follows:

(1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 354,200.

(2) The Army Reserve, 205,000.

(3) The Navy Reserve, 59,100.

(4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 39,600.

(5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 105,400.

(6) The Air Force Reserve, 70,400.

(7) The Coast Guard Reserve, 9,000.

(b) **END STRENGTH REDUCTIONS.**—The end strengths prescribed by subsection (a) for the Selected Reserve of any reserve component shall be proportionately reduced by—

(1) the total authorized strength of units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component which are on active duty (other than for training) at the end of the fiscal year; and

(2) the total number of individual members not in units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component who are on active duty (other than for training or for unsatisfactory participation in training) without their consent at the end of the fiscal year.

(c) **END STRENGTH INCREASES.**—Whenever units or individual members of the Selected Reserve of any reserve component are released



from active duty during any fiscal year, the end strength prescribed for such fiscal year for the Selected Reserve of such reserve component shall be increased proportionately by the total authorized strengths of such units and by the total number of such individual members.

**SEC. 412. END STRENGTHS FOR RESERVES ON ACTIVE DUTY IN SUPPORT OF THE RESERVES.**

Within the end strengths prescribed in section 411(a), the reserve components of the Armed Forces are authorized, as of September 30, 2014, the following number of Reserves to be serving on full-time active duty or full-time duty, in the case of members of the National Guard, for the purpose of organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training the reserve components:

- (1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 32,060.
- (2) The Army Reserve, 16,261.
- (3) The Navy Reserve, 10,159.
- (4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 2,261.
- (5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 14,734.
- (6) The Air Force Reserve, 2,911.

**SEC. 413. END STRENGTHS FOR MILITARY TECHNICIANS (DUAL STATUS).**

The minimum number of military technicians (dual status) as of the last day of fiscal year 2014 for the reserve components of the Army and the Air Force (notwithstanding section 129 of title 10, United States Code) shall be the following:

- (1) For the Army National Guard of the United States, 27,210.
- (2) For the Army Reserve, 8,395.
- (3) For the Air National Guard of the United States, 21,875.
- (4) For the Air Force Reserve, 10,429.

**SEC. 414. FISCAL YEAR 2014 LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS.**

(a) LIMITATIONS.—

(1) NATIONAL GUARD.—Within the limitation provided in section 10217(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, the number of non-dual status technicians employed by the National Guard as of September 30, 2014, may not exceed the following:

- (A) For the Army National Guard of the United States, 1,600.
- (B) For the Air National Guard of the United States, 350.

(2) ARMY RESERVE.—The number of non-dual status technicians employed by the Army Reserve as of September 30, 2014, may not exceed 595.

(3) AIR FORCE RESERVE.—The number of non-dual status technicians employed by the Air Force Reserve as of September 30, 2014, may not exceed 90.

(b) NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS DEFINED.—In this section, the term “non-dual status technician” has the meaning given that term in section 10217(a) of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 415. MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESERVE PERSONNEL AUTHORIZED TO BE ON ACTIVE DUTY FOR OPERATIONAL SUPPORT.**

During fiscal year 2014, the maximum number of members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces who may be serving at any time on full-time operational support duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, is the following:

- (1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 17,000.
- (2) The Army Reserve, 13,000.
- (3) The Navy Reserve, 6,200.
- (4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 3,000.
- (5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 16,000.
- (6) The Air Force Reserve, 14,000.

**Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations**

**SEC. 421. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated

for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military personnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4401.

(b) CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORIZATION.—The authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) supersedes any other authorization of appropriations (definite or indefinite) for such purpose for fiscal year 2014.

**TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY**

**Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy Generally**

**SEC. 501. LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER OF GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS ON ACTIVE DUTY.**

(a) PER-SERVICE LIMITATIONS; LIMITED JOINT DUTY EXCLUSIONS.—Section 526 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 502 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1387) and section 501(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1714), is amended—

- (1) in subsection (a)—
  - (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “231” and inserting “226”
  - (B) in paragraph (2), by striking “162” and inserting “157”; and
  - (C) in paragraph (3), by striking “198” and inserting “193”; and
- (2) in subsection (b)—
  - (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “310” and inserting “300”; and
  - (B) in paragraph (2)—
    - (i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “85” and inserting “81”;
    - (ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking “61” and inserting “59”;
    - (iii) in subparagraph (C), by striking “73” and inserting “70”; and
    - (iv) in subparagraph (D), by striking “21” and inserting “20”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on October 1, 2014.

**Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management**

**SEC. 511. MINIMUM NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR MEMBERS OF RESERVE COMPONENTS BEFORE DEPLOYMENT OR CANCELLATION OF DEPLOYMENT RELATED TO A CONTINGENCY OPERATION.**

Section 12301 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (e), by striking “The period” and inserting “Subject to subsection (i), the period”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(i)(1) The Secretary concerned shall provide not less than 120 days advance notice to a unit of the reserve components that—

“(A) will be ordered to active duty for deployment in connection with a contingency operation; or

“(B) having been notified of such a deployment, has such deployment canceled, postponed, or otherwise altered.

“(2) If a member of the reserve components is not assigned to a unit organized to serve as a unit or is to be ordered to active duty apart from the member’s unit, the required notice under paragraph (1) shall be provided directly to the member.

“(3) If the Secretary concerned fails to provide timely notification as required by paragraph (1) or (2), the Secretary concerned shall submit, within 30 days after the date of the failure, written notification to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate explaining the reason for the failure and the units and members of the reserve components affected.”.

**SEC. 512. INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED TO BOARDS CONSIDERING OFFICERS FOR SELECTIVE EARLY REMOVAL FROM RESERVE ACTIVE-STATUS LIST.**

(a) OFFICERS TO BE CONSIDERED; EXCLUSIONS.—Section 14704(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” before “Whenever”;

(2) by striking “all officers on that list” and inserting “officers on the reserve active-status list”;

(3) by striking “the reserve active-status list, in the number specified by the Secretary by each grade and competitive category.” and inserting “that list.”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(2) Except as provided in paragraph (3), the list of officers in a reserve component whose names are submitted to a board under paragraph (1) shall include each officer on the reserve active-status list for that reserve component in the same grade and competitive category whose position on the reserve active-status list is between—

“(A) that of the most junior officer in that grade and competitive category whose name is submitted to the board; and

“(B) that of the most senior officer in that grade and competitive category whose name is submitted to the board.

“(3) A list submitted to a board under paragraph (1) may not include an officer who—

“(A) has been approved for voluntary retirement; or

“(B) is to be involuntarily retired under any provision of law during the fiscal year in which the board is convened or during the following fiscal year.”.

(b) SPECIFICATION OF NUMBER OF OFFICERS WHO MAY BE RECOMMENDED FOR REMOVAL.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection:

“(b) SPECIFICATION OF NUMBER OF OFFICERS WHO MAY BE RECOMMENDED FOR SEPARATION.—The Secretary of the military department concerned shall specify the number of officers described in subsection (a)(1) that a board may recommend for separation under subsection (c).”.

**SEC. 513. TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TO MAINTAIN ACTIVE STATUS AND INACTIVE STATUS LISTS OF MEMBERS IN THE INACTIVE NATIONAL GUARD.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO MAINTAIN ACTIVE AND INACTIVE STATUS LISTS IN THE INACTIVE NATIONAL GUARD.—

(1) ACTIVE AND INACTIVE STATUS LISTS AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Air Force may maintain an active status list and an inactive status list of members in the inactive Army National Guard and the inactive Air National Guard, respectively.

(2) TOTAL NUMBER ON ALL LISTS AT ONE TIME.—The total number of members of the Army National Guard and members of the Air National Guard on the active status lists and the inactive status lists assigned to the inactive National Guard may not exceed a total of 10,000 at any time.

(3) TOTAL NUMBER ON ACTIVE STATUS LISTS AT ONE TIME.—The total number of members of the Army National Guard and members of the Air National Guard on the active status lists of the inactive National Guard may not exceed 4,000 at any time.

(4) CONDITION OF IMPLEMENTATION.—Before the authority provided by this subsection is used to establish an active status list and an inactive status list of members in the inactive Army National Guard or the inactive Air National Guard, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House

of Representatives and the Senate a copy of the implementation guidance to be used to execute this authority.

(b) **ADDITIONAL ENLISTED MEMBER TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**—In addition to the transfer authority provided by section 303(b) of title 32, United States Code, while an inactive status list for the inactive National Guard exists—

(1) an enlisted member of the active Army National Guard may be transferred to the inactive Army National Guard without regard to whether the member was formerly enlisted in the inactive Army National Guard; and

(2) an enlisted member of the active Air National Guard may be transferred to the inactive Air National Guard without regard to whether the member was formerly enlisted in the inactive Air National Guard.

(c) **REMOVAL OF RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER OF OFFICERS.**—While an inactive status list for the inactive National Guard exists, nothing in chapter 3 of title 32, United States Code, shall be construed to prevent any of the following:

(1) An officer of the Army National Guard who fills a vacancy in a federally recognized unit of the Army National Guard from being transferred from the active Army National Guard to the inactive Army National Guard.

(2) An officer of the Air National Guard who fills a vacancy in a federally recognized unit of the Air National Guard from being transferred from the active Air National Guard to the inactive Air National Guard.

(3) An officer of the Army National Guard transferred to the inactive Army National Guard from being transferred from the inactive Army National Guard to the active Army National Guard to fill a vacancy in a federally recognized unit.

(4) An officer of the Air National Guard transferred to the inactive Air National Guard from being transferred from the inactive Air National Guard to the active Air National Guard to fill a vacancy in a federally recognized unit.

(d) **STATUS AND TRAINING CATEGORIES FOR MEMBERS IN INACTIVE STATUS.**—While an inactive status list for the inactive Army National Guard or inactive Air National Guard exists—

(1) the first sentence of subsection (b) of section 1014I of title 10, United States Code, shall apply only with respect to members of the reserve components assigned to the inactive Army National Guard or inactive Air National Guard who are assigned to such inactive status list; and

(2) the exclusion of the Army National Guard of the United States or Air National Guard of the United States under the first sentence of subsection (c) of such section shall not apply.

(e) **ELIGIBILITY FOR INACTIVE-DUTY TRAINING PAY.**—While an inactive status list for the inactive National Guard exists, the limitation on pay for inactive-duty training contained in section 206(c) of title 37, United States Code, shall apply only to persons assigned to the inactive status list of the inactive National Guard, rather than to all persons enlisted in the inactive National Guard.

(f) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **MODIFICATION OF ACTIVE STATUS DEFINITION.**—Section 101(d)(4) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “However, while an inactive status list for the inactive Army National Guard or inactive Air National Guard exists, such term means the status of a member of the Army National Guard of the United States or Air National Guard of the United States who is not assigned to the inactive status list of the inactive Army National Guard or inactive Air National Guard, on another inactive status list, or in the Retired Reserve.”.

(2) **COMPUTATION OF YEARS OF SERVICE FOR ENTITLEMENT TO RETIRED PAY.**—Paragraph (3) of section 12732(b) of such title is amended to read as follows:

“(3) Service in the inactive National Guard (for any period other than a period in which an

inactive status list for the inactive National Guard exists) and service while assigned to the inactive status list of the inactive National Guard (for any period in which an inactive status list for the inactive National Guard exists).”.

(g) **EVALUATION OF USE OF AUTHORITY.**—

(1) **INDEPENDENT STUDY REQUIRED.**—Before the end of the period specified in subsection (h), the Secretary of Defense shall commission an independent study to evaluate the effectiveness of using an active status list for the inactive National Guard to improve the readiness of the Army National Guard and the Air National Guard.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—As part of the study required by this subsection, the entity conducting the study shall determine, for each year in which the temporary authority provided by subsection (a) is used—

(A) how many members of the Army National Guard and the Air National Guard were transferred to the active status list of the inactive National Guard;

(B) how many of these vacancies were filled with personnel new to the Army National Guard;

(C) the additional cost of filling these positions; and

(D) the impact on drill and annual training participation rates.

(3) **ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATION.**—The study required by this subsection also shall include an assessment of the impact of the use of the temporary authority provided by subsection (a) on medical readiness category 3B personnel transferred to the active status inactive National Guard, including—

(A) how long it took them to complete the Integrated Disability Evaluation System (IDES) process; and

(B) how satisfied they were with their unit’s management and collaboration during the IDES process.

(4) **SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.**—Not later than 180 days after completion of the study required by this subsection, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report containing the results of the study.

(h) **DURATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority provided by subsection (a) for the maintenance of both an active status list and inactive status list of members in the inactive National Guard exists only during the period beginning on October 1, 2013, and ending on December 31, 2018.

**SEC. 514. REVIEW OF REQUIREMENTS AND AUTHORIZATIONS FOR RESERVE COMPONENT GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS IN AN ACTIVE STATUS.**

(a) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the general officer and flag officer requirements for members of the reserve component in an active status.

(b) **PURPOSE OF REVIEW.**—The purpose of the review is to ensure that the authorized strengths provided in section 12004 of title 10, United States Code, for reserve general officers and reserve flag officers in an active status—

(1) are based on an objective requirements process and are sufficient for the effective management, leadership, and administration of the reserve components;

(2) provide a qualified, sufficient pool from which reserve component general and flag officers can continue to be assigned on active duty in joint duty and in-service military positions;

(3) reflect a review of the appropriateness and number of exemptions provided by subsections (b), (c), and (d) of section 12004 of title 10, United States Code;

(4) reflect the efficiencies that can be achieved through downgrading or elimination of reserve component general or flag officer positions, including through the conversion of certain reserve component general or flag officer positions to senior civilian positions; and

(5) are subjected to periodic review, control, and adjustment.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review, including such recommendations for changes in law and policy related to authorized reserve general and flag officers strengths as the Secretary considers to be appropriate.

**SEC. 515. FEASIBILITY STUDY ON ESTABLISHING A UNIT OF THE NATIONAL GUARD IN AMERICAN SAMOA AND IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS.**

(a) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study to determine the feasibility of establishing—

(1) a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa; and

(2) a unit of the National Guard in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

(b) **FORCE STRUCTURE ELEMENTS OF STUDY.**—In conducting the study required under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall consider the following:

(1) The allocation of National Guard force structure and manpower to American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in the event of the establishment of a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the impact of this allocation on existing National Guard units in the 50 states, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and the District of Columbia.

(2) The Federal funding that would be required to support pay, benefits, training operations, and missions of members of a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, based on the allocation derived from paragraph (1), and the equipment, including maintenance, required to support such force structure.

(3) The presence of existing infrastructure to support a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the requirement for additional infrastructure, including information technology infrastructure, to support such force structure, based on the allocation derived from paragraph (1).

(4) How a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands would accommodate the National Guard Bureau’s “Essential Ten” homeland defense capabilities (i.e., aviation, engineering, civil support teams, security, medical, transportation, maintenance, logistics, joint force headquarters, and communications) and reflect regional needs.

(5) The manpower cadre, both military personnel and full-time support, including National Guard technicians, required to establish, maintain, and sustain a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the ability of American Samoa and of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands to support demographically a unit of the National Guard at each location.

(6) The ability of a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands to maintain unit readiness and the logistical challenges associated with transportation, communications, supply/resupply, and training operations and missions.

(c) **SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the study conducted under subsection (a). The report shall also include the following:

(1) A determination of whether the executive branch of American Samoa and of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands has enacted and implemented statutory authorization for an organized militia as a prerequisite for establishing a unit of the National Guard, and a description of any other steps that such executive branches must take to request and carry out the establishment of a National Guard unit.

(2) A list of any amendments to titles 10, 32, and 37, United States Code, that would have to be enacted by Congress to provide for the establishment of a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

(3) A description of any required Department of Defense actions to establish a unit of the National Guard in American Samoa and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

(4) A suggested timeline for completion of the steps and actions described in the preceding paragraphs.

#### Subtitle C—General Service Authorities

#### SEC. 521. REVIEW OF INTEGRATED DISABILITY EVALUATION SYSTEM.

(a) REVIEW.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of—

(1) the backlog of pending cases in the Integrated Disability Evaluation System with respect to members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces for the purpose of addressing the matters specified in paragraph (1) of subsection (b); and

(2) the improvements to the Integrated Disability Evaluation System specified in paragraph (2) of such subsection.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the review under subsection (a). Such report shall include the following:

(1) With respect to the reserve components of the Armed Forces—

(A) the number of pending cases that exist as of the date of the report, listed by military department, component, and, with respect to the National Guard, State;

(B) as of the date of the report, the average time it takes to process a case in the Integrated Disability Evaluation System;

(C) a description of the steps the Secretary will take to resolve the backlog of cases in the Integrated Disability Evaluation System; and

(D) the date by which the Secretary plans to resolve such backlog for each military department.

(2) With respect to the regular components and reserve components of the Armed Forces—

(A) a description of the progress being made to transition the Integrated Disability Evaluation System to an integrated and readily accessible electronic format that a member of the Armed Forces may access and see the status of the member during each phase of the system;

(B) an estimate of the cost to complete the transition to an integrated and readily accessible electronic format; and

(C) an assessment of the feasibility of improving in-transit visibility of pending cases, including by establishing a method of tracking a pending case when a military treatment facility is assigned a packet and pending case for action regarding a member.

(c) PENDING CASE DEFINED.—In this section, the term “pending case” means a case involving a member of the Armed Forces who, as of the date of the review under subsection (a), is within the Integrated Disability Evaluation System and has been referred to a medical evaluation board.

#### SEC. 522. COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE ASSESSMENTS.

(a) VERIFICATION AND TRACKING REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall direct

the Secretaries of the military departments to verify and track the compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments required as part of the comprehensive policy for the Department of Defense sexual assault prevention and response program pursuant to section 572(a)(3) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1753).

(b) IMPLEMENTATION.—No later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report containing—

(1) a description of the progress of the development of the system that will verify and track the compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments; and

(2) an estimate of when the system will be completed and implemented.

#### SEC. 523. COMMAND RESPONSIBILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR REMAINS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMY, NAVY, AIR FORCE, AND MARINE CORPS WHO DIE OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.

Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that there is continuous, designated military command responsibility and accountability for the care, handling, and transportation of the remains of each deceased member of the Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps who died outside the United States, beginning with the initial recovery of the remains, through the defense mortuary system, until the interment of the remains or the remains are otherwise accepted by the person designated as provided by section 1482(c) of title 10, United States Code, to direct disposition of the remains.

#### SEC. 524. CONTENTS OF TRANSITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1144 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(9) Provide information about disability-related employment and education protections.”.

(2) by redesignating subsections (c), (d), and (e), as subsections (d), (e), and (f), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):

“(c) ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS OF PROGRAM.—The mandatory program carried out by this section shall include—

“(1) for any such member who plans to use the member’s entitlement to educational assistance under title 38—

“(A) instruction providing an overview of the use of such entitlement; and

“(B) courses of post-secondary education appropriate for the member, courses of post-secondary education compatible with the member’s education goals, and instruction on how to finance the member’s post-secondary education; and

“(2) instruction in the benefits under laws administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs and in other subjects determined by the Secretary concerned.”.

(b) DEADLINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION.—The program carried out under section 1144 of title 10, United States Code, shall comply with the requirements of subsections (b)(9) and (c) of such section, as added by subsection (a), by not later than April 1, 2015.

(c) FEASIBILITY STUDY.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives the results of a study carried out by the Secretary to determine the feasibility of providing the instruction described in subsection (b) of section 1142 of title 10, United

States Code, at all overseas locations where such instruction is provided by entering into a contract jointly with the Secretary of Labor for the provision of such instruction.

#### SEC. 525. PROCEDURES FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW OF MILITARY PERSONNEL DECISIONS RELATING TO CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS.

(a) AVAILABILITY OF JUDICIAL REVIEW; LIMITATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 79 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

#### “§ 1560. Judicial review of decisions relating to correction of military records

“(a) AVAILABILITY OF JUDICIAL REVIEW.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Pursuant to sections 1346 and 1491 of title 28 and chapter 7 of title 5 any person adversely affected by a records correction final decision may obtain judicial review of the decision in a court with jurisdiction to hear the matter.

“(2) RECORDS CORRECTION FINAL DECISION DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘records correction final decision’ means any of the following decisions:

“(A) A final decision issued by the Secretary concerned pursuant to section 1552 of this title.

“(B) A final decision issued by the Secretary concerned pursuant to section 1034(f) of this title.

“(C) A final decision issued by the Secretary of Defense pursuant to section 1034(g) of this title.

“(b) EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES.—

“(1) GENERAL RULE.—Except as provided in paragraphs (3) and (4), judicial review of a matter that could be subject to correction under a provision of law specified in subsection (a)(2) may not be obtained under this section or any other provision of law unless—

“(A) the petitioner has requested a correction under section 1552 of this title (including such a request in a matter arising under section 1034 of this title); and

“(B) the Secretary concerned has rendered a final decision denying that correction in whole or in part.

“(2) WHISTLEBLOWER CASES.—When the final decision of the Secretary concerned is subject to review by the Secretary of Defense under section 1034(g) of this title, the petitioner is not required to seek such review before obtaining judicial review, but if the petitioner does seek such review, judicial review may not be sought until the earlier of the following occurs:

“(A) The Secretary of Defense makes a decision in the matter.

“(B) The period specified in section 1034(g) of this title for the Secretary to make a decision in the matter expires.

“(3) CLASS ACTIONS.—If judicial review of a records correction final decision is sought, and the petitioner for such judicial review also seeks to bring a class action with respect to a matter for which the petitioner requested a correction under section 1552 of this title (including such a request in a matter arising under section 1034 of this title) and the court issues an order certifying a class in the case, paragraphs (1) and (2) do not apply to any member of the certified class (other than the petitioner) with respect to any matter covered by a claim for which the class is certified.

“(4) TIMELINESS.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply if the records correction final decision of the Secretary concerned is not issued by the date that is 18 months after the date on which the petitioner requests a correction.

“(c) STATUTES OF LIMITATION.—

“(1) SIX YEARS FROM FINAL DECISION.—A records correction final decision (other than in a matter to which paragraph (2) applies) is not subject to judicial review under this section or otherwise subject to review in any court unless petition for such review is filed in a court not

later than six years after the date of the records correction final decision.

“(2) SIX YEARS FOR CERTAIN CLAIMS THAT MAY RESULT IN PAYMENT OF MONEY.—(A) In a case of a records correction final decision described in subparagraph (B), the records correction final decision (or the portion of such decision described in such subparagraph) is not subject to judicial review under this section or otherwise subject to review in any court unless petition for such review is filed in a court before the end of the six-year period that began on the date of discharge, retirement, release from active duty, or death while on active duty, of the person whose military records are the subject of the correction request. Such six-year period does not include any time between the date of the filing of the request for correction of military records leading to the records correction final decision and the date of the final decision.

“(B) Subparagraph (A) applies to a records correction final decision or portion of the decision that involves a denial of a claim that, if relief were to be granted by the court, would support, or result in, the payment of money, other than payments made under chapter 73 of this title, either under a court order or under a subsequent administrative determination.

“(d) HABEAS CORPUS.—This section does not affect any cause of action arising under chapter 153 of title 28.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item: “1560. Judicial review of decisions.”

(b) EFFECT OF DENIAL OF REQUEST FOR CORRECTION OF RECORDS WHEN PROHIBITED PERSONNEL ACTION ALLEGED.—

(1) NOTICE OF DENIAL; PROCEDURES FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Subsection (f) of section 1034 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) In any case in which the final decision of the Secretary concerned results in denial, in whole or in part, of any requested correction of the record of the member or former member, the Secretary concerned shall provide the member or former member—

“(A) a concise written statement of the basis for the decision; and

“(B) a notification of the availability of judicial review of the decision pursuant to section 1560 of this title and the time period for obtaining such review in accordance with the applicable statute of limitations.”

(2) SECRETARY OF DEFENSE REVIEW; NOTICE OF DENIAL.—Subsection (g) of such section is amended—

(A) by inserting “(1)” before “Upon the completion of all”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) The submittal of a matter to the Secretary of Defense by the member or former member under paragraph (1) must be made within 90 days of the receipt by the member or former member of the final decision of the Secretary of the military department concerned in the matter. In any case in which the final decision of the Secretary of Defense results in denial, in whole or in part, of any requested correction of the record of the member or former member, the Secretary of Defense shall provide the member or former member—

“(A) a concise written statement of the basis for the decision; and

“(B) a notification of the availability of judicial review of the decision pursuant to section 1560 of this title and the time period for obtaining such review in accordance with the applicable statute of limitations.”

(3) SOLE BASIS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Such section is further amended—

(A) by redesignating subsections (h) and (i) as subsections (i) and (j), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subsection (g) the following new subsection (h):

“(h) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—(1) A decision of the Secretary of Defense under subsection (g) shall be subject to judicial review only as provided in section 1560 of this title.

“(2) In a case in which review by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (g) was not sought, a decision of the Secretary of a military department under subsection (f) shall be subject to judicial review only as provided in section 1560 of this title.

“(3) A decision by the Secretary of Homeland Security under subsection (f) shall be subject to judicial review only as provided in section 1560 of this title.”

(c) EFFECT OF DENIAL OF OTHER REQUESTS FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS.—Section 1552 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(h) In any case in which the final decision of the Secretary concerned results in denial, in whole or in part, of any requested correction, the Secretary concerned shall provide the claimant—

“(1) a concise written statement of the basis for the decision; and

“(2) a notification of the availability of judicial review of the decision pursuant to section 1560 of this title and the time period for obtaining such review in accordance with the applicable statute of limitations.

“(i) A decision by the Secretary concerned under this section shall be subject to judicial review only as provided in section 1560 of this title.”

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on January 1, 2015, and shall apply to all final decisions of the Secretary of Defense under section 1034(g) of title 10, United States Code, and of the Secretary of a military department and the Secretary of Homeland Security under sections 1034(f) or 1552 of such title rendered on or after such date.

(2) TREATMENT OF EXISTING CASES.—This section and the amendments made by this section do not affect the authority of any court to exercise jurisdiction over any case that was properly before the court before the effective date specified in paragraph (1).

(e) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary of a military department and the Secretary of Homeland Security (in the case of the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Department of the Navy) may prescribe regulations, and interim guidance before prescribing such regulations, to implement the amendments made by this section. Regulations or interim guidance prescribed by the Secretary of a military department may not take effect until approved by the Secretary of Defense.

**SEC. 526. ESTABLISHMENT AND USE OF CONSISTENT DEFINITION OF GENDER-NEUTRAL OCCUPATIONAL STANDARD FOR MILITARY CAREER DESIGNATORS.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF DEFINITIONS.—Section 543 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160; 10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) GENDER-NEUTRAL OCCUPATIONAL STANDARD.—The term ‘gender-neutral occupational standard’, with respect to a military career designator, means that all members of the Armed Forces serving in or assigned to the military career designator must meet the same physical and performance outcome-based standards for the successful accomplishment of the necessary and required specific tasks associated with the qualifications and duties performed while serving in or assigned to the military career designator.

“(2) MILITARY CAREER DESIGNATOR.—The term ‘military career designator’ refers to—

“(A) in the case of enlisted members and warrant officers of the Armed Forces, military occupational specialties, specialty codes, enlisted designators, enlisted classification codes, addi-

tional skill identifiers, and special qualification identifiers; and

“(B) in the case of commissioned officers (other than commissioned warrant officers), officer areas of concentration, occupational specialties, specialty codes, additional skill identifiers, and special qualification identifiers.”

(b) USE OF DEFINITIONS.—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “military occupational career field” and inserting “military career designator”; and

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “common, relevant performance standards” and inserting “an occupational standard”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “any military occupational specialty” and inserting “any military career designator”; and

(ii) by striking “requirements for members in that specialty and shall ensure (in the case of an occupational specialty)” and inserting “requirements as part of the gender-neutral occupational standard for members in that career designator and shall ensure (in the case of a career designator”;

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “an occupational specialty” and inserting “a military career designator”;

(ii) by striking “that occupational specialty” and inserting “that military career designator”;

(iii) by striking “that specialty” and inserting “that military career designator”;

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking “the occupational standards for a military occupational field” and inserting “the gender-neutral occupational standard for a military career designator”;

(B) by striking “that occupational field” and inserting “that military career designator”.

**SEC. 527. EXPANSION AND ENHANCEMENT OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PROTECTED COMMUNICATIONS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND PROHIBITED RETALIATORY ACTIONS.**

(a) EXPANSION OF PROHIBITED RETALIATORY PERSONNEL ACTIONS.—Subsection (b) of section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(B)—

(A) by striking “or” at the end of clause (iv);

(B) by redesignating clause (v) as clause (vi); and

(C) by inserting after clause (iv) the following new clause (v):

“(v) a court-martial proceeding; or”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting after “any favorable action” the following: “, or a significant change in a member’s duties, responsibilities, or working conditions”.

(b) INSPECTOR GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS OF ALLEGATIONS.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “paragraph (3)” and inserting “paragraph (4)”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following new subparagraph (A):

“(A) Any violation of any law, rule, or regulation, including a law or regulation prohibiting rape, sexual assault, or other sexual misconduct in sections 920 through 920c of this title (articles 120 through 120c of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), sexual harassment or unlawful discrimination.”;

(3) by redesignating paragraphs (3), (4), and (5) as paragraphs (4), (5), and (6), respectively;

(4) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

“(3) A communication described in paragraph (2) shall not be excluded from the protections provided in this section because—

“(A) the communication was made to a person who participated in an activity that the member

reasonably believed to be covered by paragraph (2);

“(B) the communication revealed information that had previously been communicated;

“(C) of the member’s motive for making the communication;

“(D) the communication was not made in writing;

“(E) the communication was made while the member was off duty;

“(F) the communication was made during the normal course of duties of the member.”;

(5) in subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4), as redesignated by paragraph (3) of this subsection, by inserting before the period at the end of the second sentence the following: “, with the consent of the member”;

(6) in paragraph (5), as so redesignated—

(A) by striking “paragraph (3)(A)” and inserting “paragraph (4)(A)”;

(B) by striking “paragraph (3)(D)” and inserting “paragraph (4)(D)”;

(C) by striking “60 days” and inserting “one year”.

(c) **INSPECTOR GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS OF UNDERLYING ALLEGATIONS.**—Subsection (d) of such section is amended by striking “subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (c)(2)” and inserting “subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of subsection (c)(2)”.

(d) **REPORTS ON INVESTIGATIONS.**—Subsection (e) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “subsection (c)(3)(E)” both places it appears and inserting “subsection (c)(4)(E)”;

(B) by striking “the Secretary of Defense” and inserting “the Secretary of the military department concerned”;

(C) by striking “to the Secretary,” and inserting “to such Secretary,”;

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking “the Secretary of Defense” and inserting “the Secretary of the military department concerned”;

(3) in paragraph (4), by striking the second sentence and inserting the following new sentence: “The report shall include an explicit determination as to whether a personnel action prohibited by subsection (b) has occurred and a recommendation as to the disposition of the complaint, including appropriate corrective action for the member.”.

(e) **ACTION IN CASE OF VIOLATIONS.**—Section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (i) and (j), as redesignated by section 525(b) of this Act, as subsections (k) and (l), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (h), as added by section 525(b), the following new subsection:

“(i) **ACTION IN CASE OF VIOLATIONS.**—(1) If an Inspector General reports under subsection (e) that a personnel action prohibited by subsection (b) has occurred, not later than 30 days after receiving such report from the Inspector General, the Secretary of Homeland Security or the Secretary of the military department concerned, as applicable, shall order such action as is necessary to correct the record of a personnel action prohibited by subsection (b), taking into account the recommendations in the report by the Inspector General. Such Secretary shall take any appropriate disciplinary action against the individual who committed such prohibited personnel action.

“(2) If the Secretary of Homeland Security or the Secretary of the military department concerned, as applicable, determines that an order for corrective or disciplinary action is not appropriate, not later than 30 days after making the determination, such Secretary shall—

“(A) provide to the Secretary of Defense, the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and the member or former member, a notice of the determination and the reasons for not taking action; and

“(B) refer the report to the appropriate board for the correction of military records for further review under subsection (g).”.

(f) **CORRECTION OF RECORDS.**—Subsection (f) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)(C), by striking “may” and inserting “upon the request of the member or former member, after an initial determination that a complaint is not frivolous and has not previously been addressed by the board, shall”; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “board elects to hold” and inserting “board holds”; and

(B) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking “may be provided” and inserting “shall be provided”; and

(ii) in clause (ii), by striking “the case is unusually complex or otherwise requires” and inserting “the member or former member would benefit from”.

(g) **BURDENS OF PROOF.**—Such section is further amended by inserting after subsection (i), as added by subsection (e) of this section, the following new subsection:

“(j) **BURDENS OF PROOF.**—The burdens of proof specified in section 1221(e) of title 5 shall apply in any investigation conducted by an Inspector General, and any review conducted by the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and any board for the correction of military records, under this section.”.

(h) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date that is 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to allegations pending or submitted under section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, on or after that date.

**SEC. 528. APPLICABILITY OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION REQUIREMENT REGARDING POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER OR TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY TO PROCEEDINGS UNDER THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.**

Section 1177 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (c).

**SEC. 529. PROTECTION OF THE RELIGIOUS FREEDOM OF MILITARY CHAPLAINS TO CLOSE A PRAYER OUTSIDE OF A RELIGIOUS SERVICE ACCORDING TO THE TRADITIONS, EXPRESSIONS, AND RELIGIOUS EXERCISES OF THE ENDORSING FAITH GROUP.**

(a) **UNITED STATES ARMY.**—Section 3547 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) If called upon to lead a prayer outside of a religious service, a chaplain shall have the prerogative to close the prayer according to the traditions, expressions, and religious exercises of the endorsing faith group.”.

(b) **UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY.**—Section 4337 of such title is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a)” before “There”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) If called upon to lead a prayer outside of a religious service, the Chaplain shall have the prerogative to close the prayer according to the traditions, expressions, and religious exercises of the endorsing faith group.”.

(c) **UNITED STATES NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.**—Section 6031 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) If called upon to lead a prayer outside of a religious service, a chaplain shall have the prerogative to close the prayer according to the traditions, expressions, and religious exercises of the endorsing faith group.”.

(d) **UNITED STATES AIR FORCE.**—Section 8547 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) If called upon to lead a prayer outside of a religious service, a chaplain shall have the prerogative to close the prayer according to the traditions, expressions, and religious exercises of the endorsing faith group.”.

(e) **UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY.**—Section 9337 of such title is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a)” before “There”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) If called upon to lead a prayer outside of a religious service, the Chaplain shall have the prerogative to close the prayer according to the traditions, expressions, and religious exercises of the endorsing faith group.”.

**SEC. 530. EXPANSION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF PROTECTION OF RIGHTS OF CONSCIENCE OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND CHAPLAINS OF SUCH MEMBERS.**

(a) **ACCOMMODATION OF MEMBERS’ BELIEFS, ACTIONS, AND SPEECH.**—Subsection (a)(1) of section 533 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1727; 10 U.S.C. prec. 1030 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “The Armed Forces shall accommodate the beliefs” and inserting “Except in cases of military necessity, the Armed Forces shall accommodate the beliefs, actions, and speech”; and

(2) by inserting “, actions, or speech” after “such beliefs”.

(b) **NARROW EXCEPTION.**—Subsection (a)(2) of such section is amended by striking “that threaten” and inserting “that actually harm”.

(c) **DEADLINE FOR REGULATIONS; CONSULTATION.**—The implementation regulations required by subsection (c) of such section shall be issued not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. In preparing such regulations, the Secretary of Defense shall consult with the official military faith-group representatives who endorse military chaplains.

**SEC. 530A. SERVICEMEMBERS’ ACCOUNTABILITY, RIGHTS, AND RESPONSIBILITIES TRAINING.**

(a) **RESPONSIBILITIES OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Secretaries of the military departments, shall ensure that all members of the Armed Forces understand and comply with the rights and responsibilities specified in subsections (b) and (c).

(2) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—The Secretary of Defense shall have discretion regarding the manner in which this information will be disseminated to members, except that, at a minimum, the Secretary shall require acknowledgment of these rights and responsibilities by a member at these occurrences during the military service of the member:

(A) Recruitment.

(B) Enlistment and reenlistment.

(C) Commissioning.

(D) Promotion in rank.

(E) Selection for command.

(b) **MEMBER RIGHTS.**—Each member of the Armed Forces has the following rights:

(1) To a workplace and battlespace free from the threat of sexual violence, including harassment, abuse, assault, and rape.

(2) To have every instance of illegal activity appropriately investigated. Law enforcement agencies will investigate every allegation of criminal behavior, and commanders will respond appropriately to every report of wrongdoing.

(3) To make a restricted or unrestricted report of a sex-based criminal act. Victims will have access to vital services whether they pursue an investigation or not.

(4) To use any and all reporting and prosecution avenues to pursue an allegation of sexual assault.

(5) To not face retaliation for reporting a criminal offense or harmful behavior.

(c) **MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES.**—Each member of the Armed Forces has the following responsibilities:

(1) To responsibly intervene in any situation that involves the presence or threat of criminal behavior.

(2) To never leave another member behind in a situation of risk to self or others, on the battlefield or anywhere else.

(3) To immediately report observation or knowledge of criminal behavior to appropriate officials.

**SEC. 530B. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REVIEW OF SEPARATION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO MADE UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT.**

(a) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall conduct a review—

(1) to identify all members of the Armed Forces who, since January 1, 2002, were separated from the Armed Forces after making an unrestricted report of sexual assault;

(2) to determine the circumstances of and grounds for each such separation, including—

(A) whether the separation was in retaliation for or influenced by the identified member making an unrestricted report of sexual assault; and

(B) whether the identified member requested an appeal; and

(3) if an identified member was separated on the grounds of having a personality or adjustment disorder, to determine whether the separation was carried out in compliance with Department of Defense Instruction 1332.14 and any other applicable Department of Defense regulations, directives, and policies.

(b) **SUBMISSION OF RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives the results of the review conducted under subsection (a), including such recommendations as the Inspector General of the Department of Defense considers necessary.

**SEC. 530C. REPORT ON DATA AND INFORMATION COLLECTED IN CONNECTION WITH DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REVIEW OF LAWS, POLICIES, AND REGULATIONS RESTRICTING SERVICE OF FEMALE MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the specific results and data produced during the research programs, tests, surveys, consultant reports, assessments, and similar projects conducted to comply with the requirement of section 535 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4217) to review laws, policies, and regulations that may restrict the service of female members of the Armed Forces.

(b) **PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.**—Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary of Defense shall make the report required by subsection (a) publically available.

(c) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed as a request or authority for the Secretary of Defense to provide in the report required by subsection (a) any personal information that would identify, or violate the privacy of, members of the Armed Forces, including members who participated in the research programs, tests, surveys, reports, assessments, and similar projects conducted regarding the possible future assignments of female members of the Armed Forces.

**SEC. 530D. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE WOMEN IN SERVICE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.**

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) In February 2012, the Secretary of Defense notified Congress of the intent of the Secretary to rescind the co-location restriction and to implement policy exceptions to allow female members of the Armed Forces to be assigned to specified positions in ground combat units at the battalion level.

(2) On January 24, 2013, the Secretary of Defense and the Joint Chiefs of Staff issued guidance to rescind the direct combat exclusion rule

for female members of the Armed Forces and eliminate all unnecessary gender-based barriers to service in the Armed Forces.

(3) The Secretaries of the military departments were required to develop and submit their plans for implementation of the rescission of the direct combat exclusion rule by May 15, 2013.

(4) As of 2013, there are approximately 202,000 female members of the Armed Forces, approximately 20,000 female members have served in Iraq and Afghanistan, and more than 60 female members have been killed in combat.

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretaries of the military departments—

(1) no later than September 2015, should develop, review, and validate individual occupational standards, using validated gender-neutral occupational standards, so as to assess and assign members of the Armed Forces to units, including Special Operations Forces; and

(2) no later than January 1, 2016, should complete all assessments.

**Subtitle D—Military Justice, Including Sexual Assault Prevention and Response**

**SEC. 531. LIMITATIONS ON CONVENING AUTHORITY DISCRETION REGARDING COURT-MARTIAL FINDINGS AND SENTENCE.**

(a) **ELIMINATION OF UNLIMITED COMMAND PREROGATIVE AND DISCRETION.**—Paragraph (1) of section 860(c) of title 10, United States Code (article 60(c) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by striking the first sentence.

(b) **LIMITATIONS ON DISCRETION REGARDING COURT-MARTIAL FINDINGS.**—Paragraph (3) of section 860(c) of title 10, United States Code (article 60(c) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended to read as follows:

“(3)(A) Action on the findings of a court-martial by the convening authority or by another person authorized to act under this section is not required.

“(B) If the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section acts on the findings of a court-martial, the convening authority or other person may not—

“(i) dismiss any charge or specification, other than a charge or specification for a qualifying offense, by setting aside a finding of guilty thereto; or

“(ii) change a finding of guilty to a charge or specification, other than a charge or specification for a qualifying offense, to a finding of guilty to an offense that is a lesser included offense of the offense stated in the charge or specification.

“(C) If the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section acts on the findings to dismiss or change any charge or specification for a qualifying offense, the convening authority or other person shall provide, at that same time, a written explanation of the reasons for such action. The written explanation shall be made a part of the record of the trial and action thereon.

“(D)(i) In this paragraph, the term ‘qualifying offense’ means, except in the case of an offense specified in clause (ii), an offense under this chapter for which—

“(I) the maximum sentence of confinement that may be adjudged does not exceed two years; and

“(II) the sentence adjudged does not include dismissal, a dishonorable or bad-conduct discharge, or confinement for more than six months.

“(ii) Such term does not include the following:

“(I) An offense under section 920 of this title (article 120).

“(II) An offense under section 928 of this title (article 128), if such offense consisted of assault consummated by battery upon child under 16 years of age.

“(III) An offense under section 934 of this title (article 134), if such offense consisted of inde-

cent language communicated to child under the age of 16 years.

“(IV) Such other offenses as the Secretary of Defense may exclude by regulation.”

(c) **LIMITATIONS ON DISCRETION TO MODIFY AN ADJUDGED SENTENCE.**—Section 860(c) of title 10, United States Code (article 60(c) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “The convening authority” and inserting the following:

“(B) Except as provided in paragraph (4), the convening authority”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4)(A) Except as provided in subparagraphs (B) and (C), the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section may not modify an adjudged sentence of confinement or a punitive discharge or disapprove, commute, or suspend an adjudged sentence of confinement or a punitive discharge in whole or in part.

“(B)(i) Upon the recommendation of the trial counsel, the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section shall have the authority to impose a sentence below a level established by statute as a minimum sentence, to impose a sentence of confinement below the adjudged confinement sentence, or to disapprove, commute, or suspend the adjudged sentence in whole or in part in recognition of the substantial assistance by the accused in the investigation or prosecution of another person who has committed an offense.

“(ii) If a mandatory minimum sentence exists for a charge, the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section may not modify an adjudged sentence to reduce the sentence to less than the mandatory minimum sentence or disapprove, commute, or suspend the adjudged mandatory minimum sentence in whole or in part. This limitation does not restrict the discretion of the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section to modify, disapprove, commute, or suspend any portion of the adjudged sentence that is in addition to the mandatory minimum sentence.

“(C) In addition, if a mandatory minimum sentence does not exist for a charge and a pre-trial agreement has been entered into by the convening authority and the accused, as authorized by Rule for Court-Martial 705, the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section may take action to reduce, dismiss, or suspend an adjudged sentence of confinement in whole or in part pursuant to the terms of the pre-trial agreement.”

(d) **EXPLANATION FOR ANY DECISION DISAPPROVING, COMMUTING, OR SUSPENDING COURT-MARTIAL SENTENCE.**—Section 860(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code (article 60(c)(2) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by subsection (c)(1), is further amended—

(1) by inserting “(A)” after “(2)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) If the convening authority or another person authorized to act under this section acts to disapprove, commute, or suspend the sentence in whole or in part, the convening authority or other person shall provide, at that same time, a written explanation of the reasons for such action. The written explanation shall be made a part of the record of the trial and action thereon.”

(e) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO OTHER AUTHORITY FOR CONVENING AUTHORITY TO SUSPEND SENTENCE.**—Section 871(d) of such title (article 71(d) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Paragraphs (2) and (4) of subsection (c) of section 860 of this title (article 60) shall apply to any decision by the convening authority or such person to suspend the execution of any sentence or part thereof under this subsection.”

(f) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect 180 days after

the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply with respect to findings and sentences of courts-martial reported to convening authorities under section 860 of title 10, United States Code (article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by this section, on or after that effective date.

**SEC. 532. ELIMINATION OF FIVE-YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON TRIAL BY COURT-MARTIAL FOR ADDITIONAL OFFENSES INVOLVING SEX-RELATED CRIMES.**

(a) **INCLUSION OF ADDITIONAL OFFENSES.**—Section 843(a) of title 10, United States Code (article 43(a) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by striking “rape, or rape of a child” and inserting “rape or sexual assault, or rape or sexual assault of a child”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 843(b)(2)(B)(i) of title 10, United States Code (article 43(b)(2)(B)(i) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, unless the offense is covered by subsection (a)”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to an offense covered by section 920(b) or 920b(b) of title 10, United States Code (article 120(b) or 120b(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) that is committed on or after that date.

**SEC. 533. DISCHARGE OR DISMISSAL FOR CERTAIN SEX-RELATED OFFENSES AND TRIAL OF OFFENSES BY GENERAL COURTS-MARTIAL.**

(a) **MANDATORY DISCHARGE OR DISMISSAL REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IMPOSITION.**—Section 856 of title 10, United States Code (article 56 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended—

(A) by inserting “(a)” before “The punishment”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b)(1) While a person subject to this chapter who is found guilty of an offense specified in paragraph (2) shall be punished as a general court-martial may direct, such punishment must include, at a minimum, dismissal or dishonorable discharge.

“(2) Paragraph (1) applies to the following offenses:

“(A) An offense in violation of subsection (a) or (b) of section 920 (article 120(a) or (b)).

“(B) Forcible sodomy under section 925 of this title (article 125).

“(C) An attempt to commit an offense specified in subparagraph (A) or (B) that is punishable under section 880 of this title (article 80).”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) **SECTION HEADING.**—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**“§856. Art. 56. Maximum and minimum limits”.**

(B) **TABLE OF SECTIONS.**—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter VIII of chapter 47 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 856 and inserting the following new item:

“856. Art 56. Maximum and minimum limits.”.

(b) **JURISDICTION LIMITED TO GENERAL COURTS-MARTIAL.**—Section 818 of title 10, United States Code (article 18 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a)” before the first sentence;

(2) in the third sentence, by striking “However, a general court-martial” and inserting the following:

“(b) A general court-martial”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) Consistent with sections 819, 820, and 856(b) of this title (articles 19, 20, and 56(b)), only general courts-martial have jurisdiction over an offense specified in section 856(b)(2) of this title (article 56(b)(2)).”.

(c) **ADDITIONAL DUTIES FOR INDEPENDENT PANELS.**—

(1) **RESPONSE SYSTEMS PANEL.**—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(1) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1758) shall assess the appropriateness of statutorily mandated minimum sentencing provisions for additional offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice. The panel shall include the results of the assessment in the report required by subsection (c)(1) of such section.

(2) **JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS PANEL.**—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(2) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1758) shall assess the implementation and effect of the mandatory minimum sentences established by section 856(b) of title 10, United States Code (article 56(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by subsection (a) of this section. The panel shall include the results of the assessment in one of the reports required by subsection (c)(2)(B) of such section 576.

(d) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and apply to offenses specified in section 856(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code (article 56(b)(2) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by subsection (a)(1), committed after that date.

**SEC. 534. REGULATIONS REGARDING CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATION FOR PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION OR UNIT TRANSFER BY VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT.**

Section 673(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “The Secretaries of the military departments” and inserting “The Secretary concerned”.

**SEC. 535. CONSIDERATION OF NEED FOR, AND AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE FOR, TEMPORARY ADMINISTRATIVE REASSIGNMENT OR REMOVAL OF A MEMBER ON ACTIVE DUTY WHO IS ACCUSED OF COMMITTING A SEXUAL ASSAULT OR RELATED OFFENSE.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 39 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 673 the following new section:

**“§674. Temporary administrative reassignment or removal of a member on active duty accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense**

“(a) **GUIDANCE FOR TIMELY CONSIDERATION AND ACTION.**—The Secretary concerned may provide guidance, within guidelines provided by the Secretary of Defense, for commanders regarding their authority to make a timely determination, and to take action, regarding whether a member of the armed forces serving on active duty who is alleged to have committed a sexual assault or other sex-related offense covered by section 920, 920a, 920b, or 920c of this title (article 120, 120a, 120b, or 120c of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) should be temporarily reassigned or removed from a position of authority or assignment, not as a punitive measure, but solely for the purpose of maintaining good order and discipline within the member’s unit.

“(b) **TIME FOR DETERMINATIONS.**—A determination described in subsection (a) may be made at any time after receipt of notification of an unrestricted report of a sexual assault or other sex-related offense that identifies the member as an alleged perpetrator.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 673 the following new item:

“674. Temporary administrative reassignment or removal of a member on active duty accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense.”.

(c) **ADDITIONAL TRAINING REQUIREMENT FOR COMMANDERS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall provide for inclusion of information and discus-

sion regarding the availability and use of the authority provided by section 674 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), as part of the training for new and prospective commanders at all levels of command required by section 585(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note).

**SEC. 536. VICTIMS’ COUNSEL FOR VICTIMS OF SEX-RELATED OFFENSES AND RELATED PROVISIONS.**

(a) **DESIGNATION AND DUTIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1044 the following new section:

**“§1044e. Victims’ Counsel for victims of sex-related offenses**

“(a) **DESIGNATION; PURPOSES.**—The Secretary concerned shall designate legal counsel (to be known as ‘Victims’ Counsel’) for the purpose of providing legal assistance to an individual eligible for military legal assistance under section 1044 of this title who is the victim of an alleged sex-related offense, regardless of whether the report of that offense is restricted or unrestricted.

“(b) **TYPES OF LEGAL ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED.**—The types of legal assistance authorized by subsection (a) include the following:

“(1) Legal consultation regarding potential criminal liability of the victim stemming from or in relation to the circumstances surrounding the alleged sex-related offense and the victim’s right to seek military defense services.

“(2) Legal consultation regarding the Victim Witness Assistance Program, including—

“(A) the rights and benefits afforded the victim;

“(B) the role of the Victim Witness Assistance Program liaison and what privileges do or do not exist between the victim and the liaison; and

“(C) the nature of communication made to the liaison in comparison to communication made to a Victims’ Counsel or a legal assistance attorney under section 1044 of this title.

“(3) Legal consultation regarding the responsibilities and support provided to the victim by the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, a unit or installation Sexual Assault Victim Advocate or domestic abuse advocate, to include any privileges that may exist regarding communications between those persons and the victim.

“(4) Legal consultation regarding the potential for civil litigation against other parties (other than the Department of Defense).

“(5) Legal consultation regarding the military justice system, including—

“(A) the roles and responsibilities of the trial counsel, the defense counsel, and investigators;

“(B) any proceedings of the military justice process in which the victim may observe or participate as a witness or other party;

“(C) the Government’s authority to compel cooperation and testimony; and

“(D) the victim’s responsibility to testify, and other duties to the court.

“(6) Accompanying the victim at any proceedings in connection with the reporting, military investigation, and military prosecution of the alleged sex-related offense.

“(7) Legal consultation regarding—

“(A) services available from appropriate agencies or offices for emotional and mental health counseling and other medical services;

“(B) eligibility for and requirements for obtaining any available military and veteran benefits, such as transitional compensation benefits found in section 1059 of this title and other State and Federal victims’ compensation programs; and

“(C) the availability of, and any protections offered by, civilian and military restraining orders.

“(8) Legal consultation and assistance in personal civil legal matters in accordance with section 1044 of this title.

“(9) Such other legal assistance as the Secretary of Defense (or, in the case of the Coast

Guard, the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating) may authorize in the regulations prescribed under subsection (g).

“(c) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—An individual may not be designated as a Victims’ Counsel under this section unless the individual—

“(1) meets the qualifications specified in section 1044(d)(2) of this title; and

“(2) is certified as competent to be designated as a Victims’ Counsel by the Judge Advocate General of the Armed Force in which the judge advocate is a member or by which the civilian attorney is employed.

“(d) **ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSIBILITY.**—(1) Consistent with the regulations prescribed under subsection (g), the Judge Advocate General (as defined in section 801(1) of this title) under the jurisdiction of the Secretary, and within the Marine Corps the Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps, is responsible for the establishment and supervision of individuals designated as Victims’ Counsel.

“(2) The Secretary of Defense (and, in the case of the Coast Guard, the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating) shall conduct a periodic evaluation of the Victims’ Counsel programs operated under this section.

“(e) **AVAILABILITY OF VICTIMS’ COUNSEL.**—(1) An individual eligible for military legal assistance under section 1044 of this title who is the victim of an alleged sex-related offense shall be offered the option of receiving assistance from a Victims’ Counsel upon report of an alleged sex-related offense or at the time the victim seeks assistance from a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate, a military criminal investigator, a victim/witness liaison, a trial counsel, a healthcare provider, or any other personnel designated by the Secretary concerned for purposes of this subsection.

“(2) The assistance of a Victims’ Counsel under this subsection shall be available to an individual eligible for military legal assistance under section 1044 of this title regardless of whether the individual elects unrestricted or restricted reporting of the alleged sex-related offense. The individual shall also be informed that the assistance of a Victims’ Counsel may be declined, in whole or in part, but that declining such assistance does not preclude the individual from subsequently requesting the assistance of a Victims’ Counsel.

“(f) **ALLEGED SEX-RELATED OFFENSE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “alleged sex-related offense” means any allegation of—

“(1) a violation of section 920, 920a, 920b, 920c, or 925 of this title (article 120, 120a, 120b, 120c, or 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice); or

“(2) an attempt to commit an offense specified in a paragraph (1) as punishable under section 880 of this title (article 80 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

“(g) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.”

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1044d the following new item:

“1044e. Victims’ Counsel for victims of sex-related offenses.”.

(3) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) **QUALIFICATIONS OF PERSONS PROVIDING LEGAL ASSISTANCE.**—Section 1044(d)(2) of such title is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “and, for purposes of service as a Victims’ Counsel under section 1044e of this title, meets the additional qualifications specified in subsection (c)(2) of such section.”.

(B) **INCLUSION IN DEFINITION OF MILITARY LEGAL ASSISTANCE.**—Section 1044(d)(3)(B) of such title is amended by striking “and 1044d” and inserting “1044d, 1044e, and 1565b(a)(1)(A)”.

(C) **ACCESS TO LEGAL ASSISTANCE AND SERVICES.**—Section 1565b(a)(1)(A) of such title is amended by striking “section 1044” and inserting “sections 1044 and 1044e”.

(4) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—Section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by paragraph (1), shall be implemented within six months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **ENHANCED TRAINING REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of each military department, and the Secretary of Homeland Security with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Department of the Navy, shall implement, consistent with the guidelines provided under section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), in-depth and advanced training for all military and civilian attorneys providing legal assistance under section 1044 or 1044e of such title to support victims of alleged sex-related offenses.

(c) **SECRETARY OF DEFENSE IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.**—

(1) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security with respect to the Coast Guard, shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services and Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report describing how the Armed Forces will implement the requirements of section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(2) **ADDITIONAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT.**—The report required by paragraph (1) shall also be submitted to the independent review panel established by the Secretary of Defense under section 576(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1758) and to the Joint Services Committee on Military Justice.

(c) **ADDITIONAL DUTIES FOR INDEPENDENT PANELS.**—

(1) **RESPONSE SYSTEMS PANEL.**—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(1) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1758) shall conduct an assessment regarding whether the roles, responsibilities, and authorities of Victims’ Counsel to provide legal assistance under section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), to victims of alleged sex-related offenses should be expanded to include legal standing to represent the victim during investigative and military justice proceedings in connection with the prosecution of the offense. The panel shall include the results of the assessment in the report required by subsection (c)(1) of such section.

(2) **JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS PANEL.**—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(2) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1758) shall conduct an assessment of the implementation and effect of section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), and make such recommendations for modification of such section 1044e as the panel considers appropriate. The panel shall include the results of the assessment and its recommendations in one of the reports required by subsection (c)(2)(B) of such section 576.

**SEC. 537. INSPECTOR GENERAL INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGATIONS OF RETALIATORY PERSONNEL ACTIONS TAKEN IN RESPONSE TO MAKING PROTECTED COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING SEXUAL ASSAULT.**

Section 1034(c)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “sexual harassment or” and inserting “rape, sexual assault, or other sexual misconduct in violation of sections 920 through 920c of this title (articles 120 through 120c of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), sexual harassment, or”.

**SEC. 538. SECRETARY OF DEFENSE REPORT ON ROLE OF COMMANDERS IN MILITARY JUSTICE PROCESS.**

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing—

(1) an assessment of the current role and authorities of commanders in the administration of military justice and the investigation, prosecution, and adjudication of offenses under the Uniform Code of Military Justice; and

(2) a recommendation by the Secretary of Defense regarding whether the role and authorities of commanders should be further modified or repealed.

**SEC. 539. REVIEW AND POLICY REGARDING DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE PRACTICES IN RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS OF SEX-RELATED OFFENSES.**

(a) **REVIEW.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the practices of the military criminal investigative organizations (Army Criminal Investigation Command, Naval Criminal Investigative Service, and Air Force Office of Special Investigation) regarding the investigation of alleged sex-related offenses involving members of the Armed Forces, including the extent to which the military criminal investigative organizations make a recommendation regarding whether an allegation of a sex-related offense appears founded or unfounded.

(b) **POLICY.**—After conducting the review required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall develop a uniform policy for the Armed Forces, to the extent practicable, regarding the use of case determinations to record the results of the investigation of a sex-related offense. In developing the policy, the Secretary shall consider the feasibility of adopting case determination methods, such as the uniform crime report, used by nonmilitary law enforcement agencies.

(c) **SEX-RELATED OFFENSE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “sex-related offense” includes—

(1) any offense covered by section 920, 920a, 920b, 920c, or 925 of title 10, United States Code (article 120, 120a, 120b, 120c, or 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice); or

(2) an attempt to commit an offense specified in a paragraph (1) as punishable under section 880 of such title (article 80 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

**SEC. 540. UNIFORM TRAINING AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS FOR SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE PROGRAM.**

Section 585(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1434; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in the first sentence, by striking “Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of each military department shall develop a curriculum to provide sexual assault prevention and response training and education for members of the Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary and civilian employees of the military department” and inserting “Not later than June 30, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall develop a uniform curriculum to provide sexual assault prevention and response training and education for members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense”; and

(B) in the second sentence, by inserting “including lesson plans to achieve core competencies and learning objectives,” after “curriculum,”; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking “CONSISTENT TRAINING.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure” and inserting



“UNIFORM TRAINING.—The Secretary of Defense shall require”; and

(B) by striking “consistent” and inserting “uniform”.

**SEC. 541. DEVELOPMENT OF SELECTION CRITERIA FOR ASSIGNMENT AS SEXUAL ASSAULT RESPONSE AND PREVENTION PROGRAM MANAGERS, SEXUAL ASSAULT RESPONSE COORDINATORS, SEXUAL ASSAULT VICTIM ADVOCATES, AND SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS-ADULT/ADOLESCENT.**

(a) **QUALIFICATIONS FOR ASSIGNMENT.**—Section 1602(e)(2) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note; 124 Stat. 4431) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(2) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(A) The qualifications necessary for a member of the Armed Forces or a civilian employee of the Department of Defense to be selected for assignment to duty as a Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Program Manager, Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, or Sexual Assault Victim Advocate, whether assigned to such duty on a full-time or part-time basis;

“(B) consistent with section 584(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note; 125 Stat. 1433), the training, certification, and status of members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the department assigned to duty as Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Program Managers, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, and Sexual Assault Victim Advocates for the Armed Forces; and”.

(b) **ASSIGNMENT OF SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS-ADULT/ADOLESCENT TO CERTAIN MILITARY UNITS.**—

(1) **ASSIGNMENT TO CERTAIN MILITARY UNITS.**—Section 584 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended—

(A) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):

“(c) **SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS-ADULT/ADOLESCENT.**—

“(1) **ASSIGNMENT REQUIREMENTS.**—The Secretary of each military department shall assign at least one Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner-Adult/Adolescent to each brigade or equivalent unit level of each armed force under the jurisdiction of that Secretary unless assignment to other units is determined to be more practicable and effective by the Secretary of Defense. The Secretary of the military department concerned may assign additional Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners-Adult/Adolescent as necessary based on the demographics or needs of a military unit. The Secretary of the military department concerned may waive the assignment requirement for a specific unit level if that Secretary determines that compliance will impose an undue burden, except that the Secretary shall notify Congress of each waiver and explain how compliance would impose an undue burden.

“(2) **ELIGIBLE PERSONS.**—On and after October 1, 2015, only members of the armed forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense may be assigned to duty as a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner-Adult/Adolescent. The Secretary of the military department concerned may satisfy paragraph (1) through the assignment of additional personnel to a unit or by assigning the duties of a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner-Adult/Adolescent to current personnel of the unit, so long as such personnel meet the training and certification requirements of subsection (d).”.

(2) **TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION.**—Subsection (d) of such section, as redesignated by paragraph (1)(A), is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “assigned under subsection (a) and Sexual Assault Victim Advocates assigned under subsection (b)” and inserting “, Sexual Assault Victim Advocates, and Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners-Adult/Adolescent assigned under this section”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by adding at the end the following new sentence: “In the case of the curriculum and other components of the program for certification of Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners-Adult/Adolescent, the Secretary of Defense shall utilize the most recent guidelines and standards as outlined by the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women, in the National Training Standards for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners.”; and

(C) in paragraph (3), by adding at the end the following new sentence: “On and after October 1, 2015, before a member or civilian employee may be assigned to duty as a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner-Adult/Adolescent under subsection (c), the member or employee must have completed the training program required by paragraph (1) and obtained the certification.”.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Section 584 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note; 125 Stat. 1432) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2), by inserting “who satisfy the selection criteria established under section 1602(e)(2) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note; 124 Stat. 4431)” after “Defense”; and

(2) in subsection (b)(2), by inserting “who satisfy the selection criteria established under section 1602(e)(2) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011” after “Defense”.

(d) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The heading of section 584 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended to read as follows:

“**SEC. 584. SEXUAL ASSAULT RESPONSE COORDINATORS, SEXUAL ASSAULT VICTIM ADVOCATES, AND SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS-ADULT/ADOLESCENT.**”.

**SEC. 542. EXTENSION OF CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS TO VICTIMS OF OFFENSES UNDER THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.**

(a) **VICTIMS' RIGHTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subchapter I of chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended by adding at the end the following new section (article):

“**§806b. Art. 6b. Rights of victims of offenses under this chapter**

“(a) **RIGHTS OF A VICTIM OF A MILITARY CRIME.**—A victim of a military crime has the following rights:

“(1) The right to be reasonably protected from the accused.

“(2) The right to reasonable, accurate, and timely notice of any public proceeding in an investigation under section 832 of this title (article 32), court-martial, involuntary plea hearing, pre-sentencing hearing, or parole hearing involving the offense or of any release or escape of the accused.

“(3) The right not to be excluded from any such public proceeding, referred to in paragraph (2) unless the military judge, after receiving clear and convincing evidence, determines that testimony by the victim of a military crime would be materially altered if the victim of a military crime heard other testimony at that proceeding.

“(4) The reasonable right to confer with the trial counsel in the case.

“(5) The right to full and timely restitution as provided in law.

“(6) The right to proceedings free from unreasonable delay.

“(7) The right to be treated with fairness and with respect for the dignity and privacy of the victim of a military crime.

“(b) **DUTY OF MILITARY JUDGE.**—In any court-martial proceeding involving an offense against a victim of a military crime, the military judge shall ensure that the victim of a military crime is afforded the rights described in subsection (a). Before making a determination described in subsection (a)(3), the military judge shall make every effort to permit the fullest attendance possible by the victim of a military crime and shall consider reasonable alternatives to the exclusion of the victim of a military crime from the criminal proceeding. The reasons for any decision denying relief under this subsection shall be clearly stated on the record.

“(c) **BEST EFFORTS REQUIRED.**—(1) Military judges, trial and defense counsel, military criminal investigation organizations, services, and personnel, and other members and personnel of the Department of Defense engaged in the detection, investigation, or prosecution of offenses under this chapter (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) shall make their best efforts to see that a victim of a military crime is notified of, and accorded, the rights described in subsection

“(2) The trial counsel in a case shall advise a victim of a military crime that the victim of a military crime can seek the advice of an attorney with respect to the rights described in subsection (a).

“(3) Notice of release otherwise required pursuant to this chapter shall not be given if such notice may endanger the safety of any person.

“(d) **VICTIM OF A MILITARY CRIME DEFINED.**—

“(1) **DEFINITION.**—In this section, the term ‘victim of a military crime’ means a person who has suffered direct physical, emotional, or pecuniary harm as a result of the commission of a crime in violation of this chapter (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) or in violation of the law of another jurisdiction if any portion of the investigation of the violation of that law was conducted primarily by a military criminal investigative organization (Army Criminal Investigation Command, Naval Criminal Investigative Service, or Air Force Office of Special Investigation). The term shall include, at a minimum, the following:

“(A) Members of the armed forces and their dependents.

“(B) Civilian employees of the Department of Defense and contractor employees stationed outside the continental United States and their dependents residing with them.

“(C) Such other individuals as the Secretary of Defense determines should be included.

“(2) **TREATMENT OF CERTAIN VICTIMS.**—In the case of a victim of a military crime who is under 18 years of age, incompetent, incapacitated, or deceased, the term shall also include an individual acting on behalf of the victim who is (in order of precedence) a spouse, parent, legal guardian, child, sibling, or another dependent of the victim or another person designated by the military judge, but in no event shall an accused be designated or included.”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 47 of such title (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“806b. Art. 6b. Victims' rights of victims of offenses under this chapter.”.

(b) **PROCEDURES TO PROMOTE COMPLIANCE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall recommend to the President changes to the Manual for Courts-Martial, and prescribe such other regulations as the Secretary considers appropriate, to implement section 806b of title 10, United States Code (article 6b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by subsection (a).

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The modifications and regulations issued pursuant to paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) The designation of an administrative authority within the Department of Defense to

oversee the implementation of such section 806(b), and within each Armed Force, an authority to receive and investigate complaints relating to the provision or violation of the rights of victims of military crimes.

(B) A requirement for a course of training for judge advocates and other appropriate members of the Armed Forces and personnel of the Department to promote compliance with and implementation of such section 806b and assist such personnel in responding more effectively to the needs of victims of military crimes.

(C) Disciplinary sanctions for members of the Armed Forces and other personnel of the Department of Defense, including suspension or termination from employment in the case of employees of the Department, who willfully or wantonly fail to comply with such section 806b.

(D) Mechanisms to ensure that the Secretary of Defense shall be the final arbiter of a complaint authorized pursuant to subparagraph (A) by a victim of a military crime that the victim was not afforded a right under such section 806b.

(C) **ADDITIONAL DUTY FOR RESPONSE SYSTEMS INDEPENDENT PANEL.**—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(1) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1758) shall assess the feasibility and appropriateness of extending to victims of military crimes the additional right afforded a crime victim in civilian criminal legal proceedings under subsection (a)(4) of section 3771 of title 18, United States Code, and the legal standing to seek enforcement of crime victim rights provided by subsection (d) of such section. The panel shall include the results of the assessment in the report required by subsection (c)(1) of such section.

**SEC. 543. DEFENSE COUNSEL INTERVIEW OF COMPLAINING WITNESSES IN PRESENCE OF COUNSEL FOR THE COMPLAINING WITNESS OR A SEXUAL ASSAULT VICTIM ADVOCATE.**

Section 846 of title 10, United States Code (article 46 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a) OPPORTUNITY TO OBTAIN WITNESSES AND OTHER EVIDENCE.—” before “The trial counsel”;

(2) by striking “Process issued” and inserting the following:

“(c) PROCESS.—Process issued”; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (a), as designated by paragraph (1), the following new subsection (b):

“(b) **INTERVIEW OF COMPLAINING WITNESSES BY DEFENSE COUNSEL.**—(1) Upon notice by trial counsel to defense counsel of the name and address of the complaining witness or witnesses trial counsel intends to call to testify in any portion of an investigation under section 832 of this title (article 32) or a court-martial under this chapter, defense counsel shall make all requests to interview any such complaining witness through trial counsel.

“(2) If requested by a complaining witness subject to a request for interview under paragraph (1), any interview of the witness by defense counsel shall take place only in the presence of counsel for the complaining witness or a Sexual Assault Victim Advocate.

“(3) In this subsection, the term ‘complaining witness’ means a person who has suffered a direct physical, emotional, or pecuniary harm as a result of a commission of an offense under this chapter (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).”.

**SEC. 544. PARTICIPATION BY COMPLAINING WITNESSES IN CLEMENCY PHASE OF COURTS-MARTIAL PROCESS.**

Section 860(b) of title 10, United States Code (article 60(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended—

(1) by inserting “(A)” after “(b)(1)”;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (2), (3), and (4) as subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D), respec-

tively, and, in such subparagraphs as so redesignated, by striking “paragraph (1)” each place it appears and inserting “subparagraph (A)”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(2)(A) In any case in which findings and sentence have been adjudged for an offense involving a complaining witness, the complaining witness shall be provided an opportunity to submit matters for consideration by the convening authority or by another person authorized to act under this section before the convening authority or such other person takes action under this section. Such a submission shall be made within 10 days after the complaining witness has been given an authenticated record of trial and, if applicable, the recommendation of the staff judge advocate or legal officer under subsection (d).

“(B) If a complaining witness shows that additional time is required for submission of matters under subparagraph (A), the convening authority or other person taking action under this section, for good cause, may extend the submission period for not more than an additional 20 days.

“(C) In this paragraph, the term ‘complaining witness’ means a person who has suffered a direct physical, emotional, or pecuniary harm as a result of a commission of an offense under this chapter (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

“(3) The convening authority shall not consider under this section any submitted matters that go to the character of a complaining witness unless such matters were presented at the trial.”.

**SEC. 545. EIGHT-DAY INCIDENT REPORTING REQUIREMENT IN RESPONSE TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN WHICH THE VICTIM IS A MEMBER OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) **INCIDENT REPORTING POLICY REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall establish and maintain a policy to require the submission by a designated person of a written incident report not later than eight days after an unrestricted report of sexual assault has been made in which a member of the Armed Forces is the victim. At a minimum, this incident report shall be provided to the following:

(1) The installation commander, if such incident occurred on or in the vicinity of a military installation.

(2) The first officer in the grade of O-6 in the chain of command of the victim.

(3) The first general officer or flag officer in the chain of command of the victim.

(b) **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT.**—The purpose of the required incident report under subsection (a) is to detail the actions taken or in progress to provide the necessary care and support to the victim of the assault, to refer the allegation of sexual assault to the appropriate investigatory agency, and to provide initial notification of the serious incident when that notification has not already taken place.

(c) **ELEMENTS OF REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The report of an incident under subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(A) Time/Date/Location of incident.

(B) Type of offense allegation.

(C) Service affiliation, assigned unit, and location of the victim.

(D) Service affiliation, assigned unit, and location of the alleged offender, including information regarding whether the alleged offender has been temporarily transferred or removed from an assigned billet or ordered to pretrial confinement or otherwise restricted, if applicable.

(E) Post-incident actions taken in connection with the incident, including the following:

(i) Referral of the victim to medical services and all other services available for members of

the Armed Forces who are victims of sexual assault, including the date of each such referral.

(ii) Receipt and processing status of a request for expedited victim transfer, if applicable.

(iii) Notification of incident to appropriate investigatory offices, including the organization notified and date of such notification.

(iv) Issuance of any military protective orders in connection with the incident.

(2) **MODIFICATION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense may modify the elements required in a report under this section regarding an incident involving a member of the Armed Forces (including the Coast Guard when it is operating as service in the Department of the Navy) if the Secretary determines that such modification will facilitate compliance with best practices for such reporting as identified by the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office of the Department of Defense.

(B) **COAST GUARD.**—The Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating may modify the elements required in a report under this section regarding an incident involving a member of the Coast Guard if the Secretary determines that such modification will facilitate compliance with best practices for such reporting as identified by the Coast Guard Office of Work-Life Programs.

(3) **FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY.**—A report under this section shall be intended for official use only and shall not be distributed beyond the requirements listed above.

(d) **REGULATIONS.**—Not later than 180 days after enactment, The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.

**SEC. 546. AMENDMENT TO MANUAL FOR COURTS-MARTIAL TO ELIMINATE CONSIDERATIONS RELATING TO CHARACTER AND MILITARY SERVICE OF ACCUSED IN INITIAL DISPOSITION OF SEX-RELATED OFFENSES.**

(a) **AMENDMENT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the President a proposed amendment to rule 306 of the Manual for Courts-Martial (relating to policy on initial disposition of offenses) to eliminate the character and military service of the accused from the list of factors that may be considered by the disposition authority in disposing of a sex-related offense.

(b) **SEX-RELATED OFFENSE DEFINED.**—In this section, a “sex-related offense” includes—

(1) any offense covered by section 920, 920a, 920b, 920c, or 925 of title 10, United States Code (article 120, 120a, 120b, 120c, or 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice); or

(2) an attempt to commit an offense specified in a paragraph (1) as punishable under section 880 of such title (article 80 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

**SEC. 547. INCLUSION OF LETTER OF REPRIMANDS, NONPUNITIVE LETTER OF REPRIMANDS AND COUNSELING STATEMENTS.**

(a) **INCLUSION IN PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORTS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall require commanders to include letter of reprimands, nonpunitive letter of actions and counseling statements involving substantiated cases of sexual harassment or sexual assault in the performance evaluation report of a member of the Armed Forces for the purpose of—

(1) providing commanders increased visibility of the background information of members of the unit;

(2) identifying and preventing trends of bad behavior early and effectively disciplining repeated actions which hinder units from fostering a healthy climate; and

(3) preventing the transfer of sexual offenders.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “sexual harassment” has the meaning given such term in Department of Defense Directive 1350.2, Department of Defense Military Equal Opportunity Program.

(2) The term “sexual assault” means any of the offenses described in section 920 of title 10, United States Code (article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

**SEC. 548. ENHANCED PROTECTIONS FOR PROSPECTIVE MEMBERS AND NEW MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES DURING ENTRY-LEVEL PROCESSING AND TRAINING.**

(a) **DEFINING INAPPROPRIATE AND PROHIBITED RELATIONSHIPS, COMMUNICATION, CONDUCT, AND CONTACT BETWEEN CERTAIN MEMBERS.**—

(1) **POLICY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall establish and maintain a policy to uniformly define and prescribe, for the persons described in paragraph (2), what constitutes an inappropriate and prohibited relationship, communication, conduct, or contact, including when such an action is consensual, between a member of the Armed Forces described in paragraph (2)(A) and a prospective member or member of the Armed Forces described in paragraph (2)(B).

(2) **COVERED MEMBERS.**—The policy required by paragraph (1) shall apply to—

(A) a member of the Armed Forces who is superior in rank to, exercises authority or control over, or supervises a person described in subparagraph (B) during the entry-level processing or training of the person; and

(B) a prospective member of the Armed Forces or a member of the Armed Forces undergoing entry-level processing or training.

(3) **INCLUSION OF CERTAIN MEMBERS REQUIRED.**—The members of the Armed Forces covered by paragraph (2)(A) shall include, at a minimum, military personnel assigned or attached to duty—

(A) for the purpose of recruiting or assessing persons for enlistment or appointment as a commissioned officer, warrant officer, or enlisted member of the Armed Forces;

(B) at a Military Entrance Processing Station; or

(C) at an entry-level training facility or school of an Armed Force.

(b) **EFFECT OF VIOLATIONS.**—A member of the Armed Forces who violates the policy established pursuant to subsection (a) shall be subject to prosecution under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

(c) **PROCESSING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SEPARATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—(A) The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall require the processing for administrative separation of any member of the Armed Forces described in subsection (a)(2)(A) in response to the first substantiated violation by the member of the policy established pursuant to subsection (a), when the member is not otherwise punitively discharged or dismissed from the Armed Forces for that violation.

(B) The Secretary of each military department shall revise regulations applicable to the Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary as necessary to ensure compliance with the requirement under subparagraph (A).

(2) **REQUIRED ELEMENTS.**—(A) In imposing the requirement under paragraph (1), the Secretaries shall ensure that any separation decision regarding a member of the Armed Forces is based on the full facts of the case and that due process procedures are provided under existing law or regulations or additionally prescribed, as considered necessary by the Secretaries, pursuant to subsection (f).

(B) The requirement imposed by paragraph (1) shall not be interpreted to limit or alter the authority of the Secretary of a military department and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating to process members of the Armed Forces for administrative separation—

(i) for reasons other than a substantiated violation of the policy established pursuant to subsection (a); or

(ii) under other provisions of law or regulation.

(3) **SUBSTANTIATED VIOLATION.**—For purposes of paragraph (1), a violation by a member of the Armed Forces described in subsection (a)(2)(A) of the policy established pursuant to subsection (a) shall be treated as substantiated if—

(A) there has been a court-martial conviction for violation of the policy, but the adjudged sentence does not include discharge or dismissal; or

(B) a nonjudicial punishment authority under section 815 of title 10, United States Code (article 15 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) has determined that a member has committed an offense in violation of the policy and imposed nonjudicial punishment upon the member.

(d) **PROPOSED UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE PUNITIVE ARTICLE.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives—

(1) a proposed amendment to chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) to create an additional article under subchapter X of such chapter regarding violations of the policy required by subsection (a); and

(2) the conforming changes to part IV, punitive articles, in the Manual for Courts-Martial that will be necessary upon adoption of such article.

(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “entry-level processing or training”, with respect to a member of the Armed Forces, means the period beginning on the date on which the member became a member of the Armed Forces and ending on the date on which the member physically arrives at that member’s first duty assignment following completion of initial entry training (or its equivalent), as defined by the Secretary of the military department concerned or the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating.

(2) The term “prospective member of the Armed Forces” means a person who has had a face-to-face meeting with a member of the Armed Forces assigned or attached to duty described in subsection (a)(3)(A) regarding becoming a member of the Armed Forces, regardless of whether the person eventually becomes a member of the Armed Forces.

(f) **REGULATIONS.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall issue such regulations as may be necessary to carry out this section. The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that, to the extent practicable, the regulations are uniform for each armed force under the jurisdiction of that Secretary.

**SEC. 549. INDEPENDENT REVIEWS AND ASSESSMENTS OF UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE AND JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT CASES.**

(a) **ADDITIONAL DUTIES FOR RESPONSE SYSTEMS PANEL REGARDING DISPOSITION AUTHORITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(1) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1758) shall—

(A) conduct an assessment of the impact, if any, that removing from the chain of command any disposition authority regarding charges preferred under the Uniform Code of Military Justice would have on overall reporting and prosecution of sexual assault cases; and

(B) review and provide comment on the report of the Secretary of Defense on the role of military commanders in the military justice process, which is required pursuant to section 538 of this Act.

(2) **SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.**—The panel shall include the results of the assessment and review

and its recommendations and comments in the report required by subsection (c)(1) of such section 576, as amended by subsection (b) of this section.

(b) **EARLIER SUBMISSION DEADLINE FOR REPORT OF THE RESPONSE SYSTEMS PANEL.**—Subsection (c) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1758) is amended by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following new paragraph:

“(1) **RESPONSE SYSTEMS PANEL.**—Not later than one year after the date of the first meeting of the panel established under subsection (a)(1), the panel shall submit a report of its findings and recommendations, through the Secretary of Defense, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives. The panel shall terminate 30 days after submission of such report.”

**SEC. 550. REVIEW OF THE OFFICE OF DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ROLE IN SEXUAL HARASSMENT CASES.**

(a) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity for the purposes specified in subsection (b).

(b) **ELEMENTS OF STUDY.**—In conducting the review under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) identify and evaluate the resource and personnel gaps in the Office;

(2) identify and evaluate the role of the Office in sexual harassment cases; and

(3) evaluate how the Office works with the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office to address sexual harassment in the Armed Forces.

(c) **DEFINITION.**—In this section, the term “sexual harassment” has the meaning given such term in Department of Defense Directive 1350.2, Department of Defense Military Equal Opportunity Program.

**Subtitle E—Military Family Readiness**

**SEC. 551. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RECOGNITION OF SPOUSES OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO SERVE IN COMBAT ZONES.**

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT AND PRESENTATION OF LAPEL BUTTONS.**—Chapter 57 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1126 the following new section:

**“§ 1126a. Spouse-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button: eligibility and presentation**

“(a) **DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY.**—A lapel button, to be known as the spouse-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button, shall be designed, as approved by the Secretary of Defense, to identify and recognize the spouse of a member of the armed forces who is serving or has served in a combat zone for a period of more than 30 days.

“(b) **PRESENTATION.**—The Secretary concerned may authorize the use of appropriated funds to procure spouse-of-a-combat-veteran lapel buttons and to provide for their presentation to eligible spouses of members.

“(c) **EXCEPTION TO TIME-PERIOD REQUIREMENT.**—The 30-day period specified in subsection (a) does not apply if the member is killed or wounded in the combat zone before the expiration of the period.

“(d) **LICENSE TO MANUFACTURE AND SELL LAPEL BUTTONS.**—Section 901(c) of title 36 shall apply with respect to the spouse-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button authorized by this section.

“(e) **COMBAT ZONE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “combat zone” has the meaning given that term in section 112(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(f) **REGULATIONS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall issue such regulations as may be necessary to carry out this section. The Secretary shall ensure that the regulations are uniform for each armed force to the extent practicable.”

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1126 the following new item:

“1126a. Spouse-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button: eligibility and presentation.”.

(c) SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION.—It is the sense of Congress that, as soon as practicable once the spouse-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button becomes available, the Secretary of Defense should—

(1) widely announce the availability of spouse-of-a-combat-veteran lapel buttons through military and public information channels; and

(2) encourage commanders at all levels to conduct ceremonies recognizing the support provided by spouses of members of the Armed Forces and to use the ceremonies as an opportunity for members to present their spouses with a spouse-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button.

**SEC. 552. PROTECTION OF CHILD CUSTODY ARRANGEMENTS FOR PARENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) CHILD CUSTODY PROTECTION.—Title II of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 521 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“SEC. 208. CHILD CUSTODY PROTECTION.**

“(a) RESTRICTION ON TEMPORARY CUSTODY ORDER.—If a court renders a temporary order for custodial responsibility for a child based solely on a deployment or anticipated deployment of a parent who is a servicemember, then the court shall require that, upon the return of the servicemember from deployment, the custody order that was in effect immediately preceding the temporary order shall be reinstated, unless the court finds that such a reinstatement is not in the best interest of the child, except that any such finding shall be subject to subsection (b).

“(b) LIMITATION ON CONSIDERATION OF MEMBER’S DEPLOYMENT IN DETERMINATION OF CHILD’S BEST INTEREST.—If a motion or a petition is filed seeking a permanent order to modify the custody of the child of a servicemember, no court may consider the absence of the servicemember by reason of deployment, or the possibility of deployment, as the sole factor in determining the best interest of the child.

“(c) NO FEDERAL JURISDICTION OR RIGHT OF ACTION OR REMOVAL.—Nothing in this section shall create a Federal right of action or otherwise give rise to Federal jurisdiction or create a right of removal.

“(d) PREEMPTION.—In any case where State law applicable to a child custody proceeding involving a temporary order as contemplated in this section provides a higher standard of protection to the rights of the parent who is a deploying servicemember than the rights provided under this section with respect to such temporary order, the appropriate court shall apply the higher State standard.

“(e) DEPLOYMENT DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘deployment’ means the movement or mobilization of a servicemember to a location for a period of longer than 60 days and not longer than 540 days pursuant to temporary or permanent official orders—

“(1) that are designated as unaccompanied;

“(2) for which dependent travel is not authorized; or

“(3) that otherwise do not permit the movement of family members to that location.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of such Act is amended by adding at the end of the items relating to title II the following new item:

“208. Child custody protection.”.

**SEC. 553. TREATMENT OF RELOCATION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FOR ACTIVE DUTY FOR PURPOSES OF MORTGAGE REFINANCING.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title III of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act is amended by inserting after section 303 (50 U.S.C. App. 533) the following new section:

**“SEC. 303A. TREATMENT OF RELOCATION OF SERVICEMEMBERS FOR ACTIVE DUTY FOR PURPOSES OF MORTGAGE REFINANCING.**

“(a) TREATMENT OF ABSENCE FROM RESIDENCE DUE TO ACTIVE DUTY.—While a servicemember who is the mortgagor under an existing mortgage does not reside in the residence that secures the existing mortgage because of a relocation described in subsection (c)(1)(B), if the servicemember inquires about or applies for a covered refinancing mortgage, the servicemember shall be considered, for all purposes relating to the covered refinancing mortgage (including such inquiry or application and eligibility for, and compliance with, any underwriting criteria and standards regarding such covered refinancing mortgage) to occupy the residence that secures the existing mortgage to be paid or prepaid by such covered refinancing mortgage as the principal residence of the servicemember during the period of such relocation.

“(b) LIMITATION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to a servicemember who inquires about or applies for a covered refinancing mortgage if, during the 5-year period preceding the date of such inquiry or application, the servicemember entered into a covered refinancing mortgage pursuant to this section.

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) EXISTING MORTGAGE.—The term ‘existing mortgage’ means a mortgage that is secured by a 1- to 4-family residence, including a condominium or a share in a cooperative ownership housing association, that was the principal residence of a servicemember for a period that—

“(A) had a duration of 13 consecutive months or longer; and

“(B) ended upon the relocation of the servicemember caused by the servicemember receiving military orders for a permanent change of station or to deploy with a military unit, or as an individual in support of a military operation, for a period of not less than 18 months that did not allow the servicemember to continue to occupy such residence as a principal residence.

“(2) COVERED REFINANCING MORTGAGE.—The term ‘covered refinancing mortgage’ means any mortgage that—

“(A) is made for the purpose of paying or prepaying, and extinguishing, the outstanding obligations under an existing mortgage or mortgages; and

“(B) is secured by the same residence that secured such existing mortgage or mortgages.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 303 the following new item:

“303A. Treatment of relocation of servicemembers for active duty for purposes of mortgage refinancing.”.

**SEC. 554. FAMILY SUPPORT PROGRAMS FOR IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES ASSIGNED TO SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES.**

(a) PILOT PROGRAMS AUTHORIZED.—Consistent with such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe to carry out this section, the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command may conduct up to three pilot programs to assess the feasibility and benefits of providing family support activities for the immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces.

(b) SELECTION OF PROGRAMS.—In selecting the pilot programs to be conducted under subsection (a), the Commander shall—

(1) identify family support activities that have a direct and concrete impact on the readiness of special operations forces, but that are not being provided to the immediate family members of members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces by the Secretary of a military department; and

(2) conduct a cost-benefit analysis of each family support activity proposed to be included in a pilot program.

(c) EVALUATION.—The Commander shall develop outcome measurements to evaluate the success of each family support activity included in a pilot program under subsection (a).

(d) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—The Commander may expend up to \$5,000,000 during each fiscal year specified in subsection (f) to carry out the pilot programs under subsection (a).

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “Commander” means the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command.

(2) The term “immediate family members” has the meaning given that term in section 1789(c) of title 10, United States Code.

(3) The term “special operations forces” means those forces of the Armed Forces identified as special operations forces under section 167(i) of such title.

(f) DURATION OF PILOT PROGRAM AUTHORITY.—The authority provided by subsection (a) is available to the Commander during fiscal years 2014 through 2016.

(g) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after completing a pilot program under subsection (a), the Commander shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the results of the pilot program.

**Subtitle F—Education and Training Opportunities and Wellness**

**SEC. 561. INCLUSION OF FREELY ASSOCIATED STATES WITHIN SCOPE OF JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS’ TRAINING CORPS PROGRAM.**

Section 2031(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) If a secondary educational institution in the Federated States of Micronesia, the Republic of the Marshall Islands, or the Republic of Palau otherwise meets the conditions imposed by subsection (b) on the establishment and maintenance of units of the Junior Reserve Officers’ Training Corps, the Secretary of a military department may establish and maintain a unit of the Junior Reserve Officers’ Training Corps at the secondary educational institution even though the secondary educational institution is not a United States secondary educational institution.”.

**SEC. 562. IMPROVED CLIMATE ASSESSMENTS AND DISSEMINATION AND TRACKING OF RESULTS.**

(a) IMPROVED DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS IN CHAIN OF COMMAND.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the results of command climate assessments are provided to the relevant individual commander and to the next higher level of command.

(b) PERFORMANCE TRACKING.—

(1) EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE.—The Secretary of each military department shall include in the performance evaluations and assessments used by each Armed Force under the jurisdiction of the Secretary a designated form where senior commanders can indicate whether the commander has conducted the required climate assessments.

(2) EFFECT OF FAILURE TO CONDUCT ASSESSMENT.—If a commander is found to not have conducted the required climate assessments, the failure shall be noted in the commander’s performance evaluation and be considered a serious factor during consideration for any subsequent promotion.

(c) TRACKING SYSTEM.—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall develop a system to track whether commanders are conducting command climate assessments.

(d) UNIT COMPLIANCE REPORTS.—Working with the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, unit commanders shall gather all the climate assessments from the unit and develop a compliance report that, at a minimum, shall include the following:

(1) A comprehensive overview of the concerns members of the unit expressed in the climate assessments.

(2) Data showing how leadership is perceived in the unit.

(3) A detailed strategic plan on how leadership plans to address the expressed concerns.

**SEC. 563. SERVICE-WIDE 360 ASSESSMENTS.**

(a) **ADOPTION OF 360-DEGREE APPROACH.**—The Secretary of each military department shall develop an assessment program modeled after the current Department of the Army Multi-Source Assessment and Feedback (MSAF) Program, known in this section as the “360-degree approach”.

(b) **REPORT ON INCLUSION IN PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORTS.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of an assessment of the feasibility of including the 360-degree approach as part of the performance evaluation reports.

(c) **INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING.**—The Secretary of each military department shall include individual counseling as part of the performance evaluation process.

**SEC. 564. HEALTH WELFARE INSPECTIONS.**

The Secretary of each military department shall conduct health welfare inspections on a monthly basis in order to ensure and maintain security, military readiness, good order, and discipline of all units of the Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary. Results of the Health Welfare Inspections shall be provided to both the commander and senior commander.

**SEC. 565. REVIEW OF SECURITY OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS, INCLUDING BARRACKS AND MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCES.**

(a) **REVIEW OF SECURITY MEASURES.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of security measures on United States military installations, specifically with regard to barracks and multi-family residences on military installations, for the purpose of ensuring the safety of members of the Armed Forces and their dependents who reside on military installations.

(b) **ELEMENTS OF STUDY.**—In conducting the review under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) identify security gaps on military installations; and

(2) evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of using 24-hour electronic monitoring or placing security personnel at all points of entry into barracks and multi-family residences on military installation.

(c) **SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the study conducted under subsection (a), including an estimate of the costs—

(1) to eliminate all security gaps identified under subsection (b)(1); and

(2) to provide 24-hour security monitoring as evaluated under subsection (b)(2).

**SEC. 566. ENHANCEMENT OF MECHANISMS TO CORRELATE SKILLS AND TRAINING FOR MILITARY OCCUPATIONAL SPECIALTIES WITH SKILLS AND TRAINING REQUIRED FOR CIVILIAN CERTIFICATIONS AND LICENSES.**

(a) **IMPROVEMENT OF INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES ABOUT CORRELATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretaries of the military departments, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, shall, to the maximum extent practicable, make information on civilian credentialing opportunities available to members of the Armed Forces beginning with, and at every stage of, training of members for military occupational specialties, in order to permit members—

(A) to evaluate the extent to which such training correlates with the skills and training

required in connection with various civilian certifications and licenses; and

(B) to assess the suitability of such training for obtaining or pursuing such civilian certifications and licenses.

(2) **COORDINATION WITH TRANSITION GOALS PLANS SUCCESS PROGRAM.**—Information shall be made available under paragraph (1) in a manner consistent with the Transition Goals Plans Success (GPS) program.

(3) **TYPES OF INFORMATION.**—The information made available under paragraph (1) shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(A) Information on the civilian occupational equivalents of military occupational specialties (MOS).

(B) Information on civilian license or certification requirements, including examination requirements.

(C) Information on the availability and opportunities for use of educational benefits available to members of the Armed Forces, as appropriate, corresponding training, or continuing education that leads to a certification exam in order to provide a pathway to credentialing opportunities.

(4) **USE AND ADAPTATION OF CERTAIN PROGRAMS.**—In making information available under paragraph (1), the Secretaries of the military departments may use and adapt appropriate portions of the Credentialing Opportunities On-Line (COOL) programs of the Army and the Navy and the Credentialing and Educational Research Tool (CERT) of the Air Force.

(b) **IMPROVEMENT OF ACCESS OF ACCREDITED CIVILIAN CREDENTIALING AGENCIES TO MILITARY TRAINING CONTENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretaries of the military departments, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, shall, to the maximum extent practicable consistent with national security requirements, make available to accredited civilian credentialing agencies that issue certifications or licenses, upon request of such agencies, information such as military course training curricula, syllabi, and materials, levels of military advancement attained, and professional skills developed.

(2) **CENTRAL REPOSITORY.**—The actions taken pursuant to paragraph (1) may include the establishment of a central repository of information on training and training materials provided members in connection with military occupational specialties that is readily accessible by accredited civilian credentialing agencies described in that paragraph in order to meet requests described in that paragraph.

**SEC. 567. USE OF EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR COURSES IN PURSUIT OF CIVILIAN CERTIFICATIONS OR LICENSES.**

(a) **COURSES UNDER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE AUTHORITIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 101 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2015 the following new section:

**“§2015a. Civilian certifications and licenses: use of educational assistance for courses in pursuit of civilian certifications or licenses**

“(a) **LIMITATION ON USE OF ASSISTANCE.**—In the case of a member of the armed forces who is enrolled in an educational institution in a State for purposes of obtaining employment in an occupation or profession requiring the approval or licensure of a board or agency of that State, educational assistance specified in subsection (b) may be used by the member for a course offered by the educational institution that is a required element of the curriculum to be satisfied to obtain employment in that occupation or profession only if—

“(1) the successful completion of the curriculum fully qualifies a student to—

“(A) take any examination required for entry into the occupation or profession, including satisfying any State or professionally mandated programmatic and specialized accreditation requirements; and

“(B) be certified or licensed or meet any other academically related pre-conditions that are required for entry into the occupation or profession; and

“(2) in the case of State licensing or professionally mandated requirements for entry into the occupation or profession that require specialized accreditation, the curriculum meets the requirement for specialized accreditation through its accreditation or pre-accreditation by an accrediting agency or association recognized by the Secretary of Education or designated by that State as a reliable authority as to the quality or training offered by the institution in that program.

“(b) **COVERED EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE.**—The educational assistance specified in this subsection is educational assistance as follows:

“(1) Educational assistance for members of the armed forces under section 2007 and 2015 of this title.

“(2) Educational assistance for persons enlisting for active duty under chapter 106A of this title.

“(3) Educational assistance for members of the armed forces held as captives under section 2183 of this title.

“(4) Educational assistance for members of the Selected Reserve under chapter 1606 of this title.

“(5) Educational assistance for reserve component members supporting contingency operations and other operations under chapter 1607 of this title.

“(6) Such other educational assistance provided members of the armed force under the laws the administered by the Secretary of Defense or the Secretaries of the military departments as the Secretary of Defense shall designate for purposes of this section.”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 101 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2015 the following new item:

“2015a. Civilian certifications and licenses: use of educational assistance for courses in pursuit of civilian certifications or licenses.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on August 1, 2014, and shall apply with respect to courses pursued on or after that date.

**Subtitle G—Defense Dependents' Education**

**SEC. 571. CONTINUATION OF AUTHORITY TO ASSIST LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES THAT BENEFIT DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES.**

(a) **ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOLS WITH SIGNIFICANT NUMBERS OF MILITARY DEPENDENT STUDENTS.**—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 by section 301 and available for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities as specified in the funding table in section 4301, \$20,000,000 shall be available only for the purpose of providing assistance to local educational agencies under subsection (a) of section 572 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 20 U.S.C. 7703b).

(b) **ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOLS WITH ENROLLMENT CHANGES DUE TO BASE CLOSURES, FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES, OR FORCE RELOCATIONS.**—

(1) **EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE.**—Section 572(b)(4) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (20 U.S.C. 7703b(b)(4)) is amended by striking “September 30, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2015”.

(2) **AMOUNT OF ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED.**—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 by section 301 and available for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities as specified in the funding table in section 4301, \$5,000,000 shall be available only for the purpose of providing assistance to local educational agencies under subsection (b) of section

572 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (20 U.S.C. 7703b).

(c) LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY DEFINED.—In this section, the term “local educational agency” has the meaning given that term in section 8013(9) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7713(9)).

**SEC. 572. SUPPORT FOR EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND TRANSITION OF MILITARY DEPENDENT STUDENTS.**

The Secretary of Defense may make grants to nonprofit organizations that provide services to improve the academic achievement of military dependent students, including those nonprofit organizations whose programs focus on improving the civic responsibility of military dependent students and their understanding of the Federal Government through direct exposure to the operations of the Federal Government.

**SEC. 573. TREATMENT OF TUITION PAYMENTS RECEIVED FOR VIRTUAL ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION COMPONENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM.**

(a) CREDITING OF PAYMENTS.—Section 2164(l) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph: “(3) Any payments received by the Secretary of Defense under this subsection shall be credited to the account designated by the Secretary for the operation of the virtual educational program under this subsection. Payments so credited shall be merged with other funds in the account and shall be available, to the extent provided in advance in appropriation Acts, for the same purposes and the same period as other funds in the account.”

(b) APPLICATION OF AMENDMENT.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply only with respect to tuition payments received under section 2164(l) of title 10, United States Code, for enrollments authorized by such section, after the date of the enactment of this Act, in the virtual elementary and secondary education program of the Department of Defense education program.

**Subtitle H—Decorations and Awards**

**SEC. 581. FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATIONS ABOUT RECEIPT OF MILITARY DECORATIONS OR MEDALS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 704 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “wears,”; and

(2) so that subsection (b) reads as follows:

“(b) FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATIONS ABOUT RECEIPT OF MILITARY DECORATIONS OR MEDALS.—Whoever, with intent to obtain money, property, or other tangible benefit, fraudulently holds oneself out to be a recipient of a decoration or medal described in subsection (c)(2) or (d) shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than one year, or both.”

(b) ADDITION OF CERTAIN OTHER MEDALS.—Section 704(d) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “If a decoration” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If a decoration”;

(2) by inserting “a combat badge,” after “1129 of title 10.”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) COMBAT BADGE DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term ‘combat badge’ means a Combat Infantryman’s Badge, Combat Action Badge, Combat Medical Badge, Combat Action Ribbon, or Combat Action Medal.”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 704 of title 18, United States Code, is amended in each of subsections (c)(1) and (d) by striking “or (b)”.

**SEC. 582. REPEAL OF LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF MEDALS OF HONOR THAT MAY BE AWARDED TO THE SAME MEMBER OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) ARMY.—Section 3744(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “medal of

honor, distinguished-service cross,” and inserting “distinguished-service cross”.

(b) NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.—Section 6247 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “medal of honor”.

(c) AIR FORCE.—Section 8744(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “medal of honor, Air Force cross,” and inserting “Air Force Cross”.

**SEC. 583. STANDARDIZATION OF TIME-LIMITS FOR RECOMMENDING AND AWARDED MEDAL OF HONOR, DISTINGUISHED-SERVICE CROSS, NAVY CROSS, AIR FORCE CROSS, AND DISTINGUISHED-SERVICE MEDAL.**

(a) ARMY.—Section 3744(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “three years” and inserting “five years”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “two years” and inserting “three years”.

(b) AIR FORCE.—Section 8744(b) of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “three years” and inserting “five years”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “two years” and inserting “three years”.

**SEC. 584. RECODIFICATION AND REVISION OF ARMY, NAVY, AIR FORCE, AND COAST GUARD MEDAL OF HONOR ROLL REQUIREMENTS.**

(a) AUTOMATIC ENROLLMENT AND FURNISHING OF CERTIFICATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 57 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1134 the following new section:

**“§1134a. Medal of honor: Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll**

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There shall be in the Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, the Department of the Air Force, and the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating a roll designated as the ‘Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll’.

“(b) ENROLLMENT.—The Secretary concerned shall enter and record on the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll the name of each person who has served on active duty in the armed forces and who has been awarded a medal of honor pursuant to section 3741, 6241, or 8741 of this title or section 491 of title 14.

“(c) ISSUANCE OF ENROLLMENT CERTIFICATE.—Each living person whose name is entered on the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll shall be issued a certificate of enrollment on the roll.

“(d) ENTITLEMENT TO SPECIAL PENSION; NOTICE TO SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.—The Secretary concerned shall deliver to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs a certified copy of each certificate of enrollment issued under subsection (c). The copy of the certificate shall authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to pay the special pension provided by section 1562 of title 38 to the person named in the certificate.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1134 the following new item:

“1134a. Medal of honor: Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll.”

(b) SPECIAL PENSION.—

(1) AUTOMATIC ENTITLEMENT.—Subsection (a) of section 1562 of title 38, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking “each person” and inserting “each living person”;

(B) by striking “Honor roll” and inserting “Honor Roll”;

(C) by striking “subsection (c) of section 1561 of this title” and inserting “subsection (d) of section 1134a of title 10”; and

(D) by striking “date of application therefor under section 1560 of this title” and inserting

“date on which the person’s name is entered on the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard Medal of Honor Roll under subsection (b) of such section”.

(2) ELECTION TO DECLINE SPECIAL PENSION.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g)(1) A person who is entitled to special pension under subsection (a) may elect not to receive special pension by notifying the Secretary of such election in writing.

“(2) Upon receipt of an election made by a person under paragraph (1) not to receive special pension, the Secretary shall cease payments of special pension to the person.”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) REPEAL OF RECODIFIED PROVISIONS.—Sections 1560 and 1561 of title 38, United States Code, are repealed.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 15 of such title is amended by striking the items relating to sections 1560 and 1561.

(d) APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to Medals of Honor awarded on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 585. TREATMENT OF VICTIMS OF THE ATTACKS AT RECRUITING STATION IN LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS, AND AT FORT HOOD, TEXAS.**

(a) AWARD OF PURPLE HEART REQUIRED.—The Secretary of the military department concerned shall award the Purple Heart to the members of the Armed Forces who were killed or wounded in the attacks that occurred at the recruiting station in Little Rock, Arkansas, on June 1, 2009, and at Fort Hood, Texas, on November 5, 2009.

(b) EXCEPTION.—This section shall not apply to a member of the Armed Forces whose death or wound in an attack described in subsection (a) was the result of the willful misconduct of the member.

**SEC. 586. RETROACTIVE AWARD OF ARMY COMBAT ACTION BADGE.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO AWARD.—The Secretary of the Army may award the Army Combat Action Badge (established by order of the Secretary of the Army through Headquarters, Department of the Army Letter 600-05-1, dated June 3, 2005) to a person who, while a member of the Army, participated in combat during which the person personally engaged, or was personally engaged by, the enemy at any time during the period beginning on December 7, 1941, and ending on September 18, 2001 (the date of the otherwise applicable limitation on retroactivity for the award of such decoration), if the Secretary determines that the person has not been previously recognized in an appropriate manner for such participation.

(b) PROCUREMENT OF BADGE.—The Secretary of the Army may make arrangements with suppliers of the Army Combat Action Badge so that eligible recipients of the Army Combat Action Badge pursuant to subsection (a) may procure the badge directly from suppliers, thereby eliminating or at least substantially reducing administrative costs for the Army to carry out this section.

**SEC. 587. REPORT ON NAVY REVIEW, FINDINGS, AND ACTIONS PERTAINING TO MEDAL OF HONOR NOMINATION OF MARINE CORPS SERGEANT RAFAEL PERALTA.**

Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report describing the Navy review, findings, and actions pertaining to the Medal of Honor nomination of Marine Corps Sergeant Rafael Peralta. The report shall account for all evidence submitted with regard to the case.

**SEC. 588. AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD OF THE DISTINGUISHED-SERVICE CROSS TO SERGEANT FIRST CLASS ROBERT F. KEISER FOR ACTS OF VALOR DURING THE KOREAN WAR.**

(a) **AUTHORIZATION.**—Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3144 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the Secretary of the Army is authorized and requested to award the Distinguished-Service Cross under section 3742 of such title to Sergeant First Class Robert F. Keiser for the acts of valor referred to in subsection (b) during the Korean War.

(b) **ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.**—The acts of valor referred to in subsection (a) are the actions of Robert F. Keiser's on November 30, 1950, as a member of the 2d Military Police Company, 2d Infantry Division, United States Army, during the Division's successful withdrawal from the Kunuri-Sunchon Pass.

**Subtitle I—Other Matters**

**SEC. 591. REVISION OF SPECIFIED SENIOR MILITARY COLLEGES TO REFLECT CONSOLIDATION OF NORTH GEORGIA COLLEGE AND STATE UNIVERSITY AND GAINESVILLE STATE COLLEGE.**

Paragraph (6) of section 2111a(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(6) The University of North Georgia.”.

**SEC. 592. AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO CONCESSIONS CONTRACTS AT ARMY NATIONAL MILITARY CEMETERIES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 446 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§ 4727. Cemetery concessions contracts**

“(a) **CONTRACTS AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Army may enter into a contract with an appropriate entity for the provision of transportation, interpretative, or other necessary or appropriate concession services to visitors at the Army National Military Cemeteries.

“(b) **SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS.**—(1) The Secretary of the Army shall establish and include in each concession contract such requirements as the Secretary determines are necessary to ensure the protection, dignity, and solemnity of the cemetery at which services are provided under the contract.

“(2) A concession contract shall not include operation of the gift shop at Arlington National Cemetery without the specific prior authorization by an Act of Congress.

“(c) **TERM OF CONTRACTS.**—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), a concession contract may be awarded for a period of not more than 10 years.

“(2)(A) If the Secretary of the Army determines that the terms and conditions of a concession contract to be entered into under this section, including any required construction of capital improvements, warrant entering into the contract for a period of greater than 10 years, the Secretary may award the contract for a period of up to 20 years.

“(B) If a concession contract is intended solely for the provision of transportation services, the Secretary may enter into the contract for a period of not more than five years and may extend the period of the contract for one or more successive five-year periods pursuant to an option included in the contract or a modification of the contract. The aggregate period of any such contract, including extensions, may not exceed 10 years.

“(d) **FRANCHISE FEES.**—A concession contract shall provide for payment to the United States of a franchise fee or such other monetary consideration as determined by the Secretary of the Army. The Secretary shall ensure that the objective of generating revenue for the United States is subordinate to the objectives of honoring the service and sacrifices of the deceased members of the armed forces and of providing necessary and

appropriate services for visitors to the Cemeteries at reasonable rates.

“(e) **SPECIAL ACCOUNT.**—All franchise fees (and other monetary consideration) collected by the United States under subsection (d) shall be deposited into a special account established in the Treasury of the United States. The funds deposited in such account shall be available for expenditure by the Secretary of the Army, to the extent authorized and in such amounts as are provided in advance in appropriations Acts, to support activities at the Cemeteries. The funds deposited into the account shall remain available until expended.

“(f) **CONCESSION CONTRACT DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘concession contract’ means a contract authorized and entered into under this section.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item: “4727. Cemetery concessions contracts.”.

**SEC. 593. COMMISSION ON MILITARY BEHAVIORAL HEALTH AND DISCIPLINARY ISSUES.**

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT OF COMMISSION.**—There is established the Commission on Military Behavioral Health and Disciplinary Issues (in this section referred to as the “Commission”).

(b) **MEMBERSHIP.**—

(1) **COMPOSITION.**—The Commission shall be composed of 10 members, of whom—

(A) two shall be appointed by the President;

(B) two shall be appointed by the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate;

(C) two shall be appointed by the Ranking Member of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate;

(D) two shall be appointed by the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives; and

(E) two shall be appointed by the Ranking Member of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

(2) **APPOINTMENT DATE.**—The appointments of the members of the Commission shall be made not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. If one or more appointments under a subparagraph of paragraph (1) is not made by such appointment date, the authority to make such appointment or appointments shall expire, and the number of members of the Commission shall be reduced by the number equal to the number of appointments not made.

(3) **EXPERTISE.**—In making appointments under this subsection, consideration should be given to individuals with expertise in service-connected mental disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), traumatic brain injury (TBI), psychiatry, behavioral health, neurology, as well as disciplinary matters and military justice.

(4) **PERIOD OF APPOINTMENT; VACANCIES.**—Members shall be appointed for the life of the Commission. Any vacancy in the Commission shall not affect its powers, but shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

(5) **INITIAL MEETING.**—Not later than 30 days after the appointment date specified in paragraph (2), the Commission shall hold its first meeting.

(6) **MEETINGS.**—The Commission shall meet at the call of the Chair. A majority of the members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum, but a lesser number of members may hold hearings.

(7) **CHAIR AND VICE CHAIRMAN.**—The Commission shall select a Chair and Vice Chair from among its members.

(c) **STUDY AND REPORT.**—

(1) **STUDY REQUIRED.**—The Commission shall undertake a comprehensive study of whether—

(A) the Department of Defense mechanisms for disciplinary action adequately address the impact of service-connected mental disorders and TBI on the basis for the disciplinary action; and

(B) whether the disciplinary mechanisms should be revisited in light of new information

regarding the connection between service-connected mental disorders and TBI, behavioral problems, and disciplinary action.

(2) **CONSIDERATIONS.**—In considering the Department of Defense mechanisms for disciplinary action, the Commission shall give particular consideration to evaluating a structure that examines those members diagnosed with or reasonably asserting post traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury that have been deployed overseas in support of a contingency operation during the previous 24 months and how that injury or deployment may constitute matters in extenuation that relate to the basis for administrative separation under conditions other than honorable or the overall characterization of service of the member as other than honorable.

(3) **REPORT.**—Not later than June 30, 2014, the Commission shall submit to the President and the congressional defense committees a report containing a detailed statement of the findings and conclusions of the Commission as a result of the study required by this subsection, together with its recommendations for such legislation and administrative actions it may consider appropriate in light of the results of the study.

(d) **POWERS OF THE COMMISSION.**—

(1) **HEARINGS.**—The Commission may hold such hearings, sit and act at such times and places, take such testimony, and receive such evidence as the Commission considers advisable to carry out this section.

(2) **INFORMATION FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.**—The Commission may secure directly from any Federal department or agency such information as the Commission considers necessary to carry out this section. Upon request of the Chair of the Commission, the head of such department or agency shall furnish such information to the Commission.

(e) **COMMISSION PERSONNEL MATTERS.**—

(1) **COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS.**—All members of the Commission who are officers or employees of the United States shall serve without compensation in addition to that received for their services as officers or employees of the United States.

(2) **TRAVEL EXPENSES.**—The members of the Commission shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for employees of agencies under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from their homes or regular places of business in the performance of services for the Commission.

(3) **STAFF.**—The Chair of the Commission may, without regard to the civil service laws and regulations, appoint and terminate an executive director and such other additional personnel from as may be necessary to enable the Commission to perform its duties. The employment of an executive director shall be subject to confirmation by the Commission. The staff members should be officers or employees of the United States.

(f) **TERMINATION DATE.**—The Commission shall terminate 30 days after the date on which the Commission submits its report.

**SEC. 594. COMMISSION ON SERVICE TO THE NATION.**

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established a commission to be known as the “Commission on Service to the Nation”.

(b) **DUTIES.**—

(1) **STUDY.**—The Commission shall carry out a study of the following:

(A) The effect of warfare, focusing on recent wars and conflicts, on members of the Armed Forces, the families of members, and the communities of members.

(B) The outgoing experience and transition between military and civilian life.

(C) The gaps between the military and those Americans who do not participate directly in the military community.

(2) **TESTIMONY AND RESEARCH.**—In carrying out the study under paragraph (1), the Commission shall—

(A) hear testimony from all aspects of military and civilian life, including public, private, individual and institutional stakeholders, with personal testimony, expert testimony, academic testimony, as well as testimony from association and community leaders, and other testimony as appropriate;

(B) hear and accept testimony in an open and public manner, accepting testimony in a wide variety of ways for each hearing, including submissions made through a public internet website, and testimony heard remotely if appropriate;

(C) retain the records of all hearings and artifacts of testimony for the purposes of historical documentation and research;

(D) assess the social, mental, and physical effects of war on active members of the Armed Forces, the families of members, and the communities of members and the preparation they receive for transitioning out of the military; and

(E) assess the existing academic and social science research and analysis on transition from active military to civilian life.

(3) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—The Commission shall make recommendations, based on the analyses in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of paragraph (1), on how to better—

(A) support the transition to civilian life of a member of the Armed Forces;

(B) support the families and communities of the member; and

(C) better connect the military community and civilians.

(4) **WEBSITE.**—The Commission shall maintain an Internet website available to the public to—

(A) share the schedule of the Commission;

(B) notify the public of events;

(C) accept feedback; and

(D) post records of events and other information to inform the public in a manner consistent with the mission of the Commission.

(c) **COMPOSITION.**—

(1) **MEMBERS.**—The Commission shall be composed of 15 members appointed as follows:

(A) Four members appointed by Majority Leader of the Senate, in consultation with the chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

(B) Four members appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, in consultation with the chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

(C) Two members appointed by the Minority Leader of the Senate, in consultation with the ranking minority member of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

(D) Two members appointed by the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives, in consultation with the ranking minority member of the Committee on Armed Service of the House of Representatives.

(E) Three members appointed by the President.

(2) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—The members of the Commission shall be appointed from among persons who have knowledge and expertise in the following areas:

(A) The effects of war on members of the Armed Forces, their families, and society.

(B) The process of transitioning out of the Armed Forces.

(C) The resources available to members and their families as members transition out of the Armed Forces and into society.

(D) Personnel benefits, including healthcare and job training, available to members.

(E) Policy making and policy analysis.

(3) **SERVICE REQUIREMENT.**—Not less than one member of the Commission appointed under each of subparagraphs (A) through (E) of paragraph (1) shall have served in the Armed Forces.

(4) **DURATION AND VACANCIES.**—Members of the Commission shall be appointed for the life of the Commission. A vacancy in the membership of the Commission shall not affect the powers of the Commission, but shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

(5) **CHAIRMAN.**—The President shall designate a member of the Commission to serve as chairman of the Commission.

(6) **DEADLINE FOR APPOINTMENT.**—The members shall be appointed by not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act

(d) **PROCEDURES.**—

(1) **INITIAL MEETING.**—The Commission shall hold its initial meeting not later than 30 days after the date on which all members of the Commission have been appointed.

(2) **MEETINGS.**—After the initial meeting under paragraph (1), the Commission shall meet at the call of the chairman.

(3) **QUORUM.**—Four members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum, but a lesser number of members may hold hearings.

(4) **PROCEDURE.**—The Commission shall act by resolution agreed to by a majority of the members of the Commission.

(5) **PANELS.**—The Commission may establish panels composed of less than the full membership of the Commission for the purpose of carrying out the Commission's duties. The actions of each such panel shall be subject to the review and control of the Commission. Any findings and determinations made by such a panel shall not be considered the findings and determinations of the Commission unless approved by the Commission.

(e) **COMPENSATION AND STAFF.**—

(1) **PAY.**—Each member of the Commission shall be paid at a rate equal to the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay payable for level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of title 5, United States Code, for each day (including travel time) during which the member is engaged in the performance of the duties of the Commission. All members of the Commission who are officers or employees of the United States shall serve without pay in addition to that received for their services as officers or employees of the United States.

(2) **TRAVEL EXPENSES.**—The members of the Commission shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for employees of agencies under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from their homes or regular places of business in the performance of services for the Commission.

(3) **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.**—The Commission shall appoint and fix the rate of basic pay for an Executive Director in accordance with section 3161 of title 5, United States Code.

(4) **STAFF.**—The Executive Director, with the approval of the Commission, may appoint and fix the rate of basic pay for additional personnel as staff of the Commission in accordance with section 3161 of title 5, United States Code.

(5) **DETAIL OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.**—Upon request of the chairman of the Commission, the head of any Federal department or agency may detail, on a nonreimbursable basis, any personnel of that department or agency to the Commission to assist it in carrying out its duties.

(f) **POWERS.**—

(1) **HEARINGS.**—For the purpose of carrying out this Act, the Commission (or on the authority of the Commission, any subcommittee or member) may hold such hearings and forums, and sit and act at such times and places, take such testimony, receive such evidence, and administer such oaths as the Commission considers appropriate. The Commission shall hold not less than one hearing in each State and the District of Columbia, and may hold hearings and forums in any commonwealth, territory, or possession of the United States as the Commission determines appropriate.

(2) **INFORMATION FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.**—The Commission, or designated staff member, may secure directly from any department or agency of the United States information necessary to enable it to carry out this Act. Upon request of the chairman of the Commission, the chairman of any subcommittee created by a ma-

jority of the Commission, or any member designated by a majority of the Commission, the head of that department or agency shall furnish that information to the Commission.

(3) **MISCELLANEOUS ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT SERVICES.**—The Secretary of Defense shall furnish the Commission, on a reimbursable basis, any administrative and support services requested by the Commission.

(4) **PROCUREMENT OF TEMPORARY AND INTERMITTENT SERVICES.**—The chairman of the Commission may procure temporary and intermittent services under section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code, at rates for individuals which do not exceed the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay payable for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of such title.

(5) **GIFTS.**—The Commission may accept, use, and dispose of gifts, bequests, or devises of services or property, both real and personal, for the purpose of aiding or facilitating the work of the Commission. Gifts, bequests, or devises of money and proceeds from sales of other property received as gifts, bequests, or devises shall be deposited in the Treasury and shall be available for disbursement upon order of the chairman, vice chairman, or designee.

(g) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **INITIAL REPORT.**—Not later than 90 days after the initial meeting of the Commission, the Commission shall submit to the President, the Secretary of Defense, and the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and release to the public, a report setting forth—

(A) a strategic plan for the work of the Commission;

(B) a discussion of the activities of the Commission; and

(C) any initial findings of the Commission.

(2) **FINAL REPORT.**—Not later than 18 months after the initial meeting of the Commission, the Commission shall submit to the President, the Secretary of Defense, and the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and release to the public, a final report. Such report shall include any recommendations developed under subsection (b)(3) that the Commission determines appropriate, including any recommended legislation, policies, regulations, directives, and practices.

(h) **TERMINATION.**—The Commission shall terminate 90 days after the date on which the final report is submitted under subsection (g)(2).

## **TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS**

### **Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances**

#### **SEC. 601. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY INCREASE IN RATES OF BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES.**

Section 403(b)(7)(E) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.

### **Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays**

#### **SEC. 611. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUS AND SPECIAL PAY AUTHORITIES FOR RESERVE FORCES.**

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 308b(g), relating to Selected Reserve reenlistment bonus.

(2) Section 308c(i), relating to Selected Reserve affiliation or enlistment bonus.

(3) Section 308d(c), relating to special pay for enlisted members assigned to certain high-priority units.

(4) Section 308g(f)(2), relating to Ready Reserve enlistment bonus for persons without prior service.

(5) Section 308h(e), relating to Ready Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service.

(6) Section 308i(f), relating to Selected Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service.



(7) Section 478a(e), relating to reimbursement of travel expenses for inactive-duty training outside of normal commuting distance.

(8) Section 910(g), relating to income replacement payments for reserve component members experiencing extended and frequent mobilization for active duty service.

**SEC. 612. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUS AND SPECIAL PAY AUTHORITIES FOR HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS.**

(a) TITLE 10 AUTHORITIES.—The following sections of title 10, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 2130a(a)(1), relating to nurse officer candidate accession program.

(2) Section 16302(d), relating to repayment of education loans for certain health professionals who serve in the Selected Reserve.

(b) TITLE 37 AUTHORITIES.—The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 302c–1(f), relating to accession and retention bonuses for psychologists.

(2) Section 302d(a)(1), relating to accession bonus for registered nurses.

(3) Section 302e(a)(1), relating to incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists.

(4) Section 302g(e), relating to special pay for Selected Reserve health professionals in critically short wartime specialties.

(5) Section 302h(a)(1), relating to accession bonus for dental officers.

(6) Section 302j(a), relating to accession bonus for pharmacy officers.

(7) Section 302k(f), relating to accession bonus for medical officers in critically short wartime specialties.

(8) Section 302l(g), relating to accession bonus for dental specialist officers in critically short wartime specialties.

**SEC. 613. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF SPECIAL PAY AND BONUS AUTHORITIES FOR NUCLEAR OFFICERS.**

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 312(f), relating to special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending period of active service.

(2) Section 312b(c), relating to nuclear career accession bonus.

(3) Section 312c(d), relating to nuclear career annual incentive bonus.

**SEC. 614. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO TITLE 37 CONSOLIDATED SPECIAL PAY, INCENTIVE PAY, AND BONUS AUTHORITIES.**

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 331(h), relating to general bonus authority for enlisted members.

(2) Section 332(g), relating to general bonus authority for officers.

(3) Section 333(i), relating to special bonus and incentive pay authorities for nuclear officers.

(4) Section 334(i), relating to special aviation incentive pay and bonus authorities for officers.

(5) Section 335(k), relating to special bonus and incentive pay authorities for officers in health professions.

(6) Section 351(h), relating to hazardous duty pay.

(7) Section 352(g), relating to assignment pay or special duty pay.

(8) Section 353(i), relating to skill incentive pay or proficiency bonus.

(9) Section 355(h), relating to retention incentives for members qualified in critical military skills or assigned to high priority units.

**SEC. 615. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PAYMENT OF OTHER TITLE 37 BONUSES AND SPECIAL PAYS.**

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”:

(1) Section 301b(a), relating to aviation officer retention bonus.

(2) Section 307a(g), relating to assignment incentive pay.

(3) Section 308(g), relating to reenlistment bonus for active members.

(4) Section 309(e), relating to enlistment bonus.

(5) Section 324(g), relating to accession bonus for new officers in critical skills.

(6) Section 326(g), relating to incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational specialty to ease personnel shortage.

(7) Section 327(h), relating to incentive bonus for transfer between armed forces.

(8) Section 330(f), relating to accession bonus for officer candidates.

**SEC. 616. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE INCENTIVE PAY FOR MEMBERS OF PRECOMMISSIONING PROGRAMS PURSUING FOREIGN LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY.**

Section 316a(g) of title 37, United States Code is amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.

**SEC. 617. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE BONUS TO CERTAIN CADETS AND MIDSHIPMEN ENROLLED IN THE SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS' TRAINING CORPS.**

(a) BONUS AUTHORIZED.—Chapter 5 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 335 the following new section:

**“§336. Contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps**

“(a) CONTRACTING BONUS AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary concerned may pay a bonus under this section to a cadet or midshipman enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps who executes a written agreement described in subsection (c).

“(b) AMOUNT OF BONUS.—The amount of a bonus under subsection (a) may not exceed \$5,000.

“(c) AGREEMENT.—A written agreement referred to in subsection (a) is a written agreement by the cadet or midshipman—

“(1) to complete field training or a practice cruise under section 2104(b)(6)(A)(ii) of title 10;

“(2) to complete advanced training under chapter 103 of title 10;

“(3) to accept a commission or appointment as an officer of the armed forces; and

“(4) to serve on active duty.

“(d) PAYMENT METHOD.—Upon acceptance of a written agreement under subsection (a) by the Secretary concerned, the total amount of the bonus payable under the agreement becomes fixed. The agreement shall specify when the bonus will be paid and whether the bonus will be paid in a lump sum or in installments.

“(e) REPAYMENT.—A person who, having received all or part of a bonus under subsection (a), fails to fulfill the terms of the written agreement required by such subsection for receipt of the bonus shall be subject to the repayment provisions of section 373 of this title.

“(f) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary concerned shall issue such regulations as may be necessary to carry out this section.

“(g) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—No agreement under this section may be entered into after December 31, 2015.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 335 the following new item:

“336. Contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps.”

**Subtitle C—Disability, Retired Pay, Survivor, and Transitional Benefits**

**SEC. 621. TRANSITIONAL COMPENSATION AND OTHER BENEFITS FOR DEPENDENTS OF CERTAIN MEMBERS SEPARATED FOR VIOLATION OF THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1059 the following new section:

**“§ 1059a. Dependents of certain members separated for Uniform Code of Military Justice offenses: transitional compensation; commissary and exchange benefits**

“(a) AUTHORITY TO PAY COMPENSATION.—The Secretary of Defense, with respect to the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy), and the Secretary of Homeland Security, with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy, may each establish a program under which the Secretary may pay monthly transitional compensation in accordance with this section to dependents or former dependents of a member of the armed forces described in subsection (b) who is under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.

“(b) MEMBERS AND PUNITIVE ACTIONS COVERED.—This section applies in the case of a member of the armed forces who, after completing more than 20 years of active service or more than 20 years of service computed under section 12732 of this title—

“(1) is convicted by court-martial of an offense under chapter 47 of this title (the Uniform Code of Military Justice);

“(2) is separated from active duty pursuant to the sentence of the court-martial; and

“(3) forfeits all pay and allowances pursuant to the sentence of the court-martial.

“(c) RECIPIENT OF PAYMENTS.—(1) In the case of a member of the armed forces described in subsection (b), the Secretary may pay compensation under this section to dependents or former dependents of the member as follows:

“(A) If the member was married at the time of the commission of the offense resulting in separation from the armed forces, such compensation may be paid to the spouse or former spouse to whom the member was married at that time, including an amount for each, if any, dependent child of the member who resides in the same household as that spouse or former spouse.

“(B) If there is a spouse or former spouse who is or, but for subsection (d)(2), would be eligible for compensation under this section and if there is a dependent child of the member who does not reside in the same household as that spouse or former spouse, compensation under this section may be paid to each such dependent child of the member who does not reside in that household.

“(C) If there is no spouse or former spouse who is or, but for subsection (d)(2), would be eligible under this section, compensation under this section may be paid to the dependent children of the member.

“(2) A dependent or former dependent of a member described in subsection (b) is not eligible for transitional compensation under this section if the Secretary concerned determines (under regulations prescribed under subsection (g)) that the dependent or former dependent was an active participant in the conduct constituting the offense under chapter 47 of this title (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) for which the member was convicted and separated from the armed forces.

“(d) COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION OF PAYMENT.—(1) If provided under this section, the payment of transitional compensation under this section shall commence—

“(A) as of the date the court-martial sentence is adjudged if the sentence, as adjudged, includes—

“(i) a dismissal, dishonorable discharge, or bad conduct discharge; and

“(ii) forfeiture of all pay and allowances; or

“(B) if there is a pretrial agreement that provides for disapproval or suspension of the dismissal, dishonorable discharge, bad conduct discharge, or forfeiture of all pay and allowances, as of the date of the approval of the court-martial sentence by the person acting under section 860(c) of this title (article 60(c) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) if the sentence, as approved, includes—

“(i) an unsuspended dismissal, dishonorable discharge, or bad conduct discharge; and

“(ii) forfeiture of all pay and allowances.

“(2) Paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection (e), paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (g), and subsections (f) and (h) of section 1059 of this title shall apply in determining—

“(A) the amount of transitional compensation to be paid under this section;

“(B) the period for which such compensation may be paid; and

“(C) the circumstances under which the payment of such compensation may or will cease.

“(e) COMMISSARY AND EXCHANGE BENEFITS.—A dependent or former dependent who receives transitional compensation under this section shall, while receiving such payments, be entitled to use commissary and exchange stores in the same manner as provided in subsection (j) of section 1059 of this title.

“(f) COORDINATION OF BENEFITS.—The Secretary concerned may not make payments to a spouse or former spouse under both this section and section 1059 or 1408(h)(1) of this title. In the case of a spouse or former spouse for whom a court order provides for payments by the Secretary pursuant to section 1408(h)(1) of this title and to whom the Secretary offers payments under this section or section 1059, the spouse or former spouse shall elect which payments to receive.

“(g) REGULATIONS.—If the Secretary of Defense (or the Secretary of Homeland Security with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy) establishes a program to provide transitional compensation under this section, that Secretary shall prescribe regulations to carry out the program.

“(h) DEPENDENT CHILD DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘dependent child’, with respect to a member or former member of the armed forces referred to in subsection (b), has the meaning given such term in subsection (l) of section 1059 of this title, except that status as a ‘dependent child’ shall be determined as of the date on which the member described in subsection (b) is convicted of the offense concerned.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 53 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1059 the following new item:

“1059a. Dependents of certain members separated for Uniform Code of Military Justice offenses: transitional compensation; commissary and exchange benefits.”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (i) of section 1059 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(i) COORDINATION OF BENEFITS.—The Secretary concerned may not make payments to a spouse or former spouse under both this section and section 1059a or 1408(h)(1) of this title. In the case of a spouse or former spouse for whom a court order provides for payments by the Secretary pursuant to section 1408(h)(1) of this title and to whom the Secretary offers payments under this section or section 1059a, the spouse or former spouse shall elect which payments to receive.”

**SEC. 622. PREVENTION OF RETIRED PAY INVERSION FOR MEMBERS WHOSE RETIRED PAY IS COMPUTED USING HIGH-THREE AVERAGE.**

(a) CLARIFICATION OF RULE FOR MEMBERS WHO BECAME MEMBERS ON OR AFTER SEPTEMBER 8, 1980.—Section 1401a(f)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the monthly retired pay of a member or a former member of an armed force” and inserting the following:

“(A) MEMBERS WITH RETIRED PAY COMPUTED USING FINAL BASIC PAY.—The monthly retired pay of a member or former member of an armed force who first became a member of a uniformed service before September 8, 1980, and”;

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) MEMBERS WITH RETIRED PAY COMPUTED USING HIGH-THREE.—Subject to subsections (d) and (e), the monthly retired pay of a member or former member of an armed force who first became a member of a uniformed service on or after September 8, 1980, may not be less, on the date on which the member or former member initially becomes entitled to such pay, than the monthly retired pay to which the member or former member would be entitled on that date if the member or former member had become entitled to retired pay on an earlier date, adjusted to reflect any applicable increases in such pay under this section. However, in the case of a member or former member whose retired pay is computed subject to section 1407(f) of this title, subparagraph (A) (rather than the preceding sentence) shall apply in the same manner as if the member or former member first became a member of a uniformed service before September 8, 1980, but only with respect to a calculation as of the date on which the member or former member first became entitled to retired pay.”

(b) APPLICABILITY.—Subparagraph (B) of section 1401a(f)(1) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a)(2), applies to the computation of retired pay or retainer pay of any member or former member of an Armed Force who first became a member of a uniformed service on or after September 8, 1980, regardless of the date on which the member first becomes entitled to retired or retainer pay.

**Subtitle D—Commissary and Non-appropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations**

**SEC. 631. EXPANSION OF PROTECTION OF EMPLOYEES OF NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES FROM REPRISALS.**

Section 1587(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “take or fail to take” and inserting “take, threaten to take, or fail to take”.

**SEC. 632. PURCHASE OF SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTS, LOCAL FOOD PRODUCTS, AND RECYCLABLE MATERIALS FOR RESALE IN COMMISSARY AND EXCHANGE STORE SYSTEMS.**

(a) IMPROVED PURCHASING EFFORTS.—Section 2481(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3)(A) The governing body established pursuant to paragraph (2) shall endeavor to increase the purchase for resale at commissary stores and exchange stores of sustainable products, local food products, and recyclable materials.

“(B) As part of its efforts under subparagraph (A), the governing body shall develop—

“(i) guidelines for the identification of fresh meat, poultry, seafood, and fish, fresh produce, and other products raised or produced through sustainable methods; and

“(ii) goals, applicable to all commissary stores and exchange stores world-wide, to maximize, to the maximum extent practical, the purchase of sustainable products, local food products, and recyclable materials by September 30, 2018.”

(b) DEADLINE FOR ESTABLISHMENT AND GUIDELINES.—The initial guidelines required by paragraph (3)(B)(i) of section 2481(c) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall be issued not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 633. CORRECTION OF OBSOLETE REFERENCES TO CERTAIN NON-APPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES.**

Section 2105(c) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “Army and Air Force Motion Picture Service, Navy Ship’s Stores Ashore” and inserting “Navy Ships Stores Program”.

**Subtitle E—Other Matters**

**SEC. 641. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE CERTAIN EXPENSES FOR CARE AND DISPOSITION OF HUMAN REMAINS RETAINED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR FORENSIC PATHOLOGY INVESTIGATION.**

(a) DISPOSITION OF REMAINS OF PERSONS WHOSE DEATH IS INVESTIGATED BY THE ARMED FORCES MEDICAL EXAMINER.—

(1) COVERED DECEDENTS.—Section 1481(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(10) To the extent authorized under section 1482(g) of this title, any person not otherwise covered by the preceding paragraphs whose remains (or partial remains) have been retained by the Secretary concerned for purposes of a forensic pathology investigation by the Armed Forces Medical Examiner under section 1471 of this title.”

(2) AUTHORIZED EXPENSES RELATING TO CARE AND DISPOSITION OF REMAINS.—Section 1482 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g)(1) The payment of expenses incident to the recovery, care, and disposition of the remains of a decedent covered by section 1481(a)(10) of this title is limited to those expenses that, as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, would not have been incurred but for the retention of those remains for purposes of a forensic pathology investigation by the Armed Forces Medical Examiner under section 1471 of this title. The Secretary concerned shall pay all other expenses authorized to be paid under this section only on a reimbursable basis. Amounts reimbursed to the Secretary concerned under this subsection shall be credited to appropriations available at the time of reimbursement for the payment of such expenses.

“(2) In a case covered by paragraph (1), if the person designated under subsection (c) to direct disposition of the remains of a decedent does not direct disposition of the remains that were retained for the forensic pathology investigation, the Secretary may pay for the transportation of those remains to, and interment or inurnment of those remains in, an appropriate place selected by the Secretary, in lieu of the transportation authorized to be paid under subsection (a)(8).

“(3) In a case covered by paragraph (1), expenses that may be paid do not include expenses with respect to an escort under subsection (a)(8), whether or not on a reimbursable basis.”

(b) CLARIFICATION OF COVERAGE OF INURNMENT.—Section 1482(a)(9) of such title is amended by inserting “or inurnment” after “Interment”.

(c) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 1482(f) of such title is amended in the third sentence by striking “this subsection” and inserting “this section”.

**SEC. 642. PROVISION OF STATUS UNDER LAW BY HONORING CERTAIN MEMBERS OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS AS VETERANS.**

(a) VETERAN STATUS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 107 the following new section:

“§ 107A. Honoring as veterans certain persons who performed service in the reserve components

“Any person who is entitled under chapter 1223 of title 10 to retired pay for nonregular service or, but for age, would be entitled under such chapter to retired pay for nonregular service shall be honored as a veteran but shall not

be entitled to any benefit by reason of this section.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 107 the following new item:

“107A. Honoring as veterans certain persons who performed service in the reserve components.”.

(b) CLARIFICATION REGARDING BENEFITS.—No person may receive any benefit under the laws administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs solely by reason of section 107A of title 38, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

**SEC. 643. SURVEY OF MILITARY PAY AND BENEFITS PREFERENCES.**

(a) SURVEY REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a anonymous survey of random members of the Armed Forces regarding military pay and benefits.

(b) CONTENT OF SURVEY.—A survey under this section shall be conducted for the purpose of soliciting information on the following:

(1) The value that members of the Armed Forces place on the following forms of compensation relative to one another:

- (A) Basic pay.
- (B) Allowances for housing and subsistence.
- (C) Bonuses and special pays.
- (D) Dependent healthcare benefits.
- (E) Healthcare benefits for retirees under 65 years old.
- (F) Healthcare benefits for Medicare-eligible retirees.

(2) How the members value different levels of pay or benefits, including the impact of co-payments or deductibles on the value of benefits.

(3) Any other issues related to military pay and benefits as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.

(4) How information collected pursuant to a previous paragraph varies by age, rank, dependent status, and other factors the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.

(c) SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.—Upon the completion of a survey conducted under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress and make publicly available a report containing the results of the survey, including both the analyses and the raw data collected.

**TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS**

**Subtitle A—Improvements to Health Benefits**

**SEC. 701. MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENTS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1074m of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)—  
(A) by redesignating subparagraph (B) and (C) as subparagraph (C) and (D), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following:

“(B) Once during each 180-day period during which a member is deployed.”; and

(2) in subsection (c)(1)(A)—  
(A) in clause (i), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) by redesignating clause (ii) as clause (iii); and

(C) by inserting after clause (i) the following: “(ii) by personnel in deployed units whose responsibilities include providing unit health care services if such personnel are available and the use of such personnel for the assessments would not impair the capacity of such personnel to perform higher priority tasks; and”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1074m(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “subparagraph (B) and (C)” and inserting “subparagraph (C) and (D)”.

**SEC. 702. PERIODIC MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENTS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1074m the following new section:

**“§ 1074n. Periodic mental health assessments for members of the armed forces**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide periodic, person-to-person mental health assessments to each member of the armed forces serving on active duty.

“(b) FREQUENCY.—The Secretary shall determine the frequency of the mental health assessments provided under subsection (a).

“(c) ELEMENTS.—(1) The mental health assessments provided under subsection (a) shall meet the requirements for mental health assessments as described in section 1074m(c)(1) of this title.

“(2) The Secretary may treat health assessments and other person-to-person assessments that are provided to members of the armed forces, including examinations under sections 1074f and 1074m of this title, as meeting the requirements for mental health assessments required under subsection (a) if the Secretary determines that such assessments and person-to-person assessments meet the requirements for mental health assessments established by this section.

“(d) SHARING OF INFORMATION.—Section 1074m(e) of this title, regarding the sharing of information with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall apply to mental health assessments provided under subsection (a).

“(e) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, shall prescribe regulations for the administration of this section.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1074m the following new item:

“1074n. Periodic mental health assessments for members of the armed forces.”.

**Subtitle B—Health Care Administration**

**SEC. 711. FUTURE AVAILABILITY OF TRICARE PRIME FOR CERTAIN BENEFICIARIES ENROLLED IN TRICARE PRIME.**

Section 732 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1816) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c); and

(2) by inserting the following new subsection: “(b) ACCESS TO TRICARE PRIME.—

“(1) ONE-TIME ELECTION.—Subject to paragraph (3), the Secretary shall ensure that each affected eligible beneficiary who is enrolled in TRICARE Prime as of September 30, 2013, may make a one-time election to continue such enrollment in TRICARE Prime, notwithstanding that a contract described in subsection (a)(2)(A) does not allow for such enrollment based on the location in which such beneficiary resides. The beneficiary may continue such enrollment in TRICARE Prime so long as the beneficiary resides in the same ZIP code as the ZIP Code in which the beneficiary resided at the time of such election.

“(2) ENROLLMENT IN TRICARE STANDARD.—If an affected eligible beneficiary makes the one-time election under paragraph (1), the beneficiary may thereafter elect to enroll in TRICARE Standard at any time in accordance with a contract described in subsection (a)(2)(A).

“(3) RESIDENCE AT TIME OF ELECTION.—An affected eligible beneficiary may not make the one-time election under paragraph (1) if, at the time of such election, the beneficiary does not reside in a ZIP code that is in a region described in subsection (c)(1)(B).”.

**SEC. 712. COOPERATIVE HEALTH CARE AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS AND NON-MILITARY HEALTH CARE ENTITIES.**

Section 713 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C. 1073 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “Secretary of Defense” and inserting “Secretary concerned”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking “Secretary shall” and inserting “Secretary concerned shall”;

(B) in paragraph (1)(A), by inserting “if the Secretary establishing such agreement is the Secretary of Defense” before the semicolon; and

(C) in paragraph (3), by inserting “or the military department concerned” after “the Department of Defense”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) SECRETARY CONCERNED DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘Secretary concerned’ means—  
“(1) the Secretary of a military department; or  
“(2) the Secretary of Defense.”.

**SEC. 713. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR INTEGRATED ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD PROGRAM.**

(a) LIMITATION.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for procurement or research, development, test, and evaluation for the Department of Defense for the integrated electronic health record program, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended until a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report detailing an analysis of alternatives for the plan of the Secretary to proceed with such program.

(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of the key performance requirements for the integrated electronic health record program capability.

(2) An analysis of alternatives for how to acquire and implement an integrated electronic health record capability that meets such requirements.

(3) An assessment of the budgetary resources and timeline required for each of the evaluated alternatives.

(4) A recommendation by the Secretary with respect to the alternative preferred by the Secretary.

**SEC. 714. PILOT PROGRAM ON INCREASED THIRD-PARTY COLLECTION REIMBURSEMENTS IN MILITARY MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITIES.**

(a) PILOT PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretaries of the military departments, shall carry out a pilot program to demonstrate and assess the feasibility of implementing processes described in paragraph (2) to increase the amounts collected under section 1095 of title 10, United States Code, from a third-party payer for charges for health care services incurred by the United States at a military medical treatment facility.

(2) PROCESSES DESCRIBED.—The processes described in this paragraph are revenue-cycle management processes, including cash-flow management and accounts-receivable processes.

(b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out the pilot program under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary shall—

(1) identify and analyze the best practice option, including commercial best practices, with respect to the processes described in subsection (a)(2) that are used in nonmilitary health care facilities; and

(2) conduct a cost-benefit analysis to assess measurable results of the pilot program, including an analysis of—

(A) the different processes used in the pilot program;

(B) the amount of third-party collections that resulted from such processes;

(C) the cost to implement and sustain such processes; and

(D) any other factors the Secretary determines appropriate to assess the pilot program.

(c) LOCATIONS.—The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program under subsection (a)(1)—

(1) at military installations that have a military medical treatment facility with inpatient and outpatient capabilities;

(2) at a number of such installations at different military departments that the Secretary

determines sufficient to fully assess the results of the pilot program.

(d) **DURATION.**—The Secretary shall commence the pilot program under subsection (a)(1) by not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and shall carry out such program for three years.

(e) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after completing the pilot program under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the results of the program, including—

(1) a comparison of—  
(A) the processes described in subsection (a)(2) that were used in the military medical treatment facilities participating in the program; and

(B) the third-party collection processes used by military medical treatment facilities not included in the program;

(2) a cost analysis of implementing the processes described in subsection (a)(2) for third-party collections at military medical treatment facilities; and

(3) an assessment of the program, including any recommendations to improve third-party collections.

#### Subtitle C—Other Matters

#### SEC. 721. DISPLAY OF BUDGET INFORMATION FOR EMBEDDED MENTAL HEALTH PROVIDERS OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 9 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

##### “§236. Embedded mental health providers of the reserve components: display of budget information

“The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress, as a part of the documentation that supports the President’s annual budget for the Department of Defense, a budget justification display with respect to embedded mental health providers within each reserve component, including the amount requested for each such component.”

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item: “236. Embedded mental health providers of the reserve components: display of budget information.”

#### SEC. 722. AUTHORITY OF UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES TO ENTER INTO CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS AND MAKE GRANTS TO OTHER NONPROFIT ENTITIES.

Section 2113(g)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by inserting “, or any other nonprofit entity” after “Military Medicine”; and

(B) by inserting “, or nonprofit entity,” after “such Foundation”; and

(2) in subparagraph (C)—

(A) by inserting “, or any other nonprofit entity,” after “Military Medicine”; and

(B) by inserting “, or nonprofit entity,” after “such foundation”.

#### SEC. 723. MENTAL HEALTH SUPPORT FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL AND FAMILIES.

The Secretary of Defense may carry out collaborative programs to—

(1) respond to the escalating suicide rates and combat stress related arrest rates of members of the Armed Forces; and

(2) train active duty members to recognize and respond to combat stress disorder, suicide risk, substance addiction, risk-taking behaviors, and family violence.

#### SEC. 724. RESEARCH REGARDING HYDROCEPHALUS.

In conducting the Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program, the Secretary of Defense may consider selecting medical research projects relating to hydrocephalus.

#### SEC. 725. TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY RESEARCH.

The Secretary of Defense shall carry out research, development, test, and evaluation activi-

ties with respect to traumatic brain injury and psychological health, including activities regarding drug development to halt neurodegeneration following traumatic brain injury.

### TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

#### Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

#### SEC. 801. MODIFICATION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEM ACQUISITION PROGRAMS WHEN INITIAL OPERATING CAPABILITY IS NOT ACHIEVED WITHIN FIVE YEARS OF MILESTONE A APPROVAL.

(a) **SUBMISSION TO PRE-CERTIFICATION AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (b) of section 811 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109-364; 120 Stat. 2316; 10 U.S.C. 2222 note) is amended by striking “the system shall be deemed to have undergone” and all that follows through the period and inserting “the appropriate official shall report such failure, along with the facts and circumstances surrounding the failure, to the appropriate pre-certification authority for that system under section 2222 of title 10, United States Code, and the information so reported shall be considered by the pre-certification authority in the decision whether to recommend certification of obligations under that section.”

(b) **COVERED SYSTEMS.**—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “3542(b)(2) of title 44” and inserting “section 2222(j)(2) of title 10”; and

(2) by inserting “, and that is not designated in section 2445a of title 10, United States Code, as a ‘major automated information system program’ or an ‘other major information technology investment program’” before the period at the end.

(c) **UPDATED REFERENCES TO DOD ISSUANCES.**—Subsection (d) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “Department of Defense Instruction 5000.2” and inserting “Department of Defense Directive 5000.01”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “Department of Defense Instruction 5000.2, dated May 12, 2003” and inserting “Department of Defense Instruction 5000.02, dated December 3, 2008”.

#### SEC. 802. ENHANCED TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPED AT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE LABORATORIES.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—As used in this section:

(1) The term “military department” has the meaning provided in section 101 of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term “DOD laboratory” or “laboratory” means any facility or group of facilities that—

(A) is owned, leased, operated, or otherwise used by the Department of Defense; and

(B) meets the definition of “laboratory” as provided in subsection (d)(2) of section 12 of the Stevenson-Wylder Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3710a).

(b) **AUTHORITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of a military department each may authorize the heads of DOD laboratories to grant nonexclusive, exclusive, or partially exclusive licenses, royalty free or for royalties or for rights to other intellectual property, for computer software and its related documentation developed at a DOD laboratory, but only if—

(A) the computer software and related documentation would be a trade secret under the meaning of section 552(b)(4) of title 5, United States Code, if the information had been obtained from a non-Federal party;

(B) the public is notified of the availability of the software and related documentation for licensing and interested parties have a fair opportunity to submit applications for licensing;

(C) such licensing activities and licenses comply with the requirements under section 209 of title 35, United States Code; and

(D) the software originally was developed to meet the military needs of the Department of Defense.

(2) **PROTECTIONS AGAINST UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of a military department each shall provide appropriate precautions against the unauthorized disclosure of any computer software or documentation covered by paragraph (1)(A), including exemption from section 552 of title 5, United States Code, for a period of up to 5 years after the development of the computer software by the DOD laboratory.

(c) **ROYALTIES.**—

(1) **USE OF ROYALTIES.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), any royalties or other payments received by the Department of Defense or a military department from licensing computer software or documentation under paragraph (b)(1) shall be retained by the Department of Defense or the military department and shall be disposed of as follows:

(A)(i) The Department of Defense or the military department shall pay each year the first \$2,000, and thereafter at least 15 percent, of the royalties or other payments, to be divided among the employees who developed the computer software.

(ii) The Department of Defense or the military department may provide appropriate lesser incentives, from the royalties or other payments, to laboratory employees who are not developers of such computer software but who substantially increased the technical value of the software.

(iii) The Department of Defense or the military department shall retain the royalties and other payments received until it makes payments to employees of a DOD laboratory under clause (i) or (ii).

(iv) The Department of Defense or the military department may retain an amount reasonably necessary to pay expenses incidental to the administration and distribution of royalties or other payments under this section by an organizational unit of the Department of Defense or military department other than its laboratories.

(B) The balance of the royalties or other payments shall be transferred by the Department of Defense or the military department to its laboratories, with the majority share of the royalties or other payments going to the laboratory where the development occurred. The royalties or other payments so transferred to any DOD laboratory may be used or obligated by that laboratory during the fiscal year in which they are received or during the 2 succeeding fiscal years—

(i) to reward scientific, engineering, and technical employees of the DOD laboratory, including developers of sensitive or classified technology, regardless of whether the technology has commercial applications;

(ii) to further scientific exchange among the laboratories of the agency;

(iii) for education and training of employees consistent with the research and development missions and objectives of the Department of Defense, military department, or DOD laboratory, and for other activities that increase the potential for transfer of the technology of the laboratories;

(iv) for payment of expenses incidental to the administration and licensing of computer software or other intellectual property made at that DOD laboratory, including the fees or other costs for the services of other agencies, persons, or organizations for intellectual property management and licensing services; or

(v) for scientific research and development consistent with the research and development missions and objectives of the DOD laboratory.

(C) All royalties or other payments retained by the Department of Defense, military department, or DOD laboratory after payments have been made pursuant to subparagraphs (A) and (B) that are unobligated and unexpended at the

end of the second fiscal year succeeding the fiscal year in which the royalties and other payments were received shall be paid into the Treasury of the United States.

(2) EXCEPTION.—If, after payments under paragraph (1)(A), the balance of the royalties or other payments received by the Department of Defense or the military department in any fiscal year exceed 5 percent of the funds received for use by the DOD laboratory for research, development, engineering, testing, and evaluation or other related administrative, processing or value-added activities for that year, 75 percent of such excess shall be paid to the Treasury of the United States and the remaining 25 percent may be used or obligated under paragraph (1)(B). Any funds not so used or obligated shall be paid into the Treasury of the United States.

(3) STATUS OF PAYMENTS TO EMPLOYEES.—Any payment made to an employee under this section shall be in addition to the regular pay of the employee and to any other awards made to the employee, and shall not affect the entitlement of the employee to any regular pay, annuity, or award to which the employee is otherwise entitled or for which the employee is otherwise eligible or limit the amount thereof except that the monetary value of an award for the same project or effort shall be deducted from the amount otherwise available under this paragraph. Payments, determined under the terms of this paragraph and made to an employee developer as such, may continue after the developer leaves the DOD laboratory or the Department of Defense or military department. Payments made under this section shall not exceed \$75,000 per year to any one person, unless the President approves a larger award (with the excess over \$75,000 being treated as a Presidential award under section 4504 of title 5, United States Code).

(d) INFORMATION IN REPORT.—The report required by section 2515(d) of title 10, United States Code, shall include information regarding the implementation and effectiveness of this section.

(e) EXPIRATION.—The authority provided in this section shall expire on December 31, 2018.

**SEC. 803. EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON AGGREGATE ANNUAL AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR CONTRACT SERVICES.**

Section 808 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1489) is amended—

(1) by striking “fiscal year 2012 or 2013” each place it appears and inserting “fiscal year 2012, 2013, 2014 or 2015”; and

(2) by striking “fiscal years 2012 and 2013” each place it appears and inserting “fiscal years 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015”.

**Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations**

**SEC. 811. ADDITIONAL CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITIES IN REGULATIONS RELATING TO DETECTION AND AVOIDANCE OF COUNTERFEIT ELECTRONIC PARTS.**

Section 818(c)(2)(B) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1493; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(1) in clause (i), by inserting “electronic” after “avoid counterfeit”; and

(2) in clause (ii), by striking “were provided” and inserting the following: “were—

“(I) procured from an original manufacturer or its authorized dealer or from a trusted supplier in accordance with regulations described in paragraph (3); or

“(II) provided”.

**SEC. 812. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO DETECTION AND AVOIDANCE OF COUNTERFEIT ELECTRONIC PARTS.**

Section 818(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (B), at the end of clause (ii), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) the cost of counterfeit electronic parts and suspect counterfeit electronic parts and the cost of rework or corrective action that may be required to remedy the use or inclusion of obsolete parts are not allowable costs under Department contracts, unless—

“(i) the offeror’s proposal in response to a Department of Defense solicitation for maintenance, refurbishment, or remanufacture work identifies obsolete electronic parts and includes a plan to ensure trusted sources of supply for obsolete electronic parts, or to implement design modifications to eliminate obsolete electronic parts;

“(ii) the Department elects not to fund design modifications to eliminate obsolete electronic parts; and

“(iii) the contractor applies inspections and tests intended to detect counterfeit electronic parts and suspect counterfeit electronic parts when purchasing electronic parts from other than the original manufacturers or their authorized dealers, pursuant to paragraph (3).”.

**SEC. 813. GOVERNMENT-WIDE LIMITATIONS ON ALLOWABLE COSTS FOR CONTRACTOR COMPENSATION.**

**(a) DEFENSE CONTRACTS.—**

(1) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES.—Subparagraph (P) of section 2324(e)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(P) Costs of compensation of any contractor employee for a fiscal year, regardless of the contract funding source, to the extent that such compensation exceeds \$763,029 adjusted annually for the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Employment Cost Index for total compensation for private industry workers, by occupational and industry group not seasonally adjusted, except that the Secretary of Defense may establish narrowly targeted exceptions for positions in the science, technology, engineering, mathematics, medical, and manufacturing fields upon a determination that such exceptions are needed to ensure that the Department of Defense has continued access to needed skills and capabilities.”.

(2) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO SENIOR EXECUTIVES OF CERTAIN CONTRACTORS.—Section 2324(e)(1) of such title is further amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(Q) Costs of compensation of senior executives of a covered contractor.”.

(3) DEFINITIONS.—Section 2324(l) of such title is amended—

(A) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following new paragraph (5):

“(5) The term ‘senior executives’, with respect to a covered contractor, means the five most highly compensated employees of the contractor. In determining the five most highly compensated employees in the case of a contractor with components (such as subsidiaries or divisions), the determination shall be made using the five most highly compensated employees contractor-wide, not within each component.”; and

(B) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following new paragraph (7):

“(7) The term ‘covered contractor’, with respect to a fiscal year, means a contractor that was awarded Federal contracts in an amount totaling more than \$500,000,000 during the previous fiscal year.”.

(b) CIVILIAN AGENCY CONTRACTS.—

(1) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES.—Paragraph (16) of section 4304(a) of title 41, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(16) Costs of compensation of any contractor employee for a fiscal year, regardless of the contract funding source, to the extent that such compensation exceeds \$763,029 adjusted annually for the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Em-

ployment Cost Index for total compensation for private industry workers, by occupational and industry group not seasonally adjusted, except that the executive agency may establish narrowly targeted exceptions for positions in the science, technology, engineering, mathematics, medical, and manufacturing fields upon a determination that such exceptions are needed to ensure that the executive agency has continued access to needed skills and capabilities.”.

(2) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO SENIOR EXECUTIVES OF CERTAIN CONTRACTORS.—Section 4304(a) of such title is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(17) Costs of compensation of senior executives of a covered contractor.”.

(3) DEFINITIONS.—Section 4301 of such title is amended by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the following new paragraphs (4) and (5):

“(4) The term ‘senior executives’, with respect to a covered contractor, means the five most highly compensated employees of the contractor. In determining the five most highly compensated employees in the case of a contractor with components (such as subsidiaries or divisions), the determination shall be made using the five most highly compensated employees contractor-wide, not within each component.

“(5) The term ‘covered contractor’, with respect to a fiscal year, means a contractor that was awarded Federal contracts in an amount totaling more than \$500,000,000 during the previous fiscal year.”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Chapter 11 of title 41, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking section 1127; and

(2) by striking the item relating to that section in the table of sections at the beginning of such chapter.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to costs of compensation incurred under contracts entered into on or after the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 814. INCLUSION OF ADDITIONAL COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION IN CERTAIN REPORTS.**

(a) ADDITIONAL COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE INCLUDED IN SELECTED ACQUISITION REPORTS.—Section 2432(c)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (B), (C) and (D) as subparagraphs (C), (D), and (F), respectively;

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph (B):

“(B) for each major defense acquisition program or designated major subprogram included in the report—

“(i) the Baseline Estimate (as that term is defined in section 2433(a)(2) of this title), along with the associated risk curve and sensitivity of that estimate;

“(ii) the original Baseline Estimate (as that term is defined in section 2435(d)(1) of this title), along with the associated risk curve and sensitivity of that estimate;

“(iii) if the original Baseline Estimate was adjusted or revised pursuant to section 2435(d)(2) of this title, such adjusted or revised estimate, along with the associated risk curve and sensitivity of that estimate; and

“(iv) the primary risk parameters associated with the current procurement cost for the program (as that term is used in section 2432(e)(4) of this title);”;

(3) in subparagraph (D), as so redesignated, by striking “and” at the end; and

(4) by inserting after subparagraph (D), as so redesignated, the following new subparagraph (E):

“(E) estimated contract termination costs; and”.

(b) ADDITIONAL DUTIES OF DIRECTOR OF COST ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAM EVALUATION WITH RESPECT TO SAR.—

(1) REVIEW REQUIRED.—Section 2334(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (6);

(B) by striking the period and inserting “; and” at the end of paragraph (7); and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph (8):

“(8) annually review the cost estimates and associated information required to be included, by section 2432(c)(1)(B) of this title, in the Selected Acquisition Reports required by that section.”

(2) **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED IN ANNUAL REPORT.**—Section 2334(f)(1) of such title is amended—

(A) by striking “report, an assessment of—” and inserting “report—”;

(B) in each of subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), by inserting “an assessment of” before the first word of the text;

(C) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and” at the end;

(D) in subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(E) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) a summary of the cost estimate information reviewed under subsection (a)(8), an identification of any trends in that information, an aggregation of the cumulative risk of the portfolio of systems reviewed under that subsection, and recommendations for improving cost estimates on the basis of the review under that subsection.”

**SEC. 815. AMENDMENT RELATING TO COMPELLING REASONS FOR WAIVING SUSPENSION OR DEBARMENT.**

Section 2393(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the first sentence the following: “The Secretary of Defense shall also make the determination described in subsection (a)(2) available on a publicly accessible website.”

**SEC. 816. REQUIREMENT THAT COST OR PRICE TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BE GIVEN AT LEAST EQUAL IMPORTANCE AS TECHNICAL OR OTHER CRITERIA IN EVALUATING COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS FOR DEFENSE CONTRACTS.**

(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—Subparagraph (A) of section 2305(a)(3) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “proposals; and” at the end of clause (ii) and all that follows through the end of the subparagraph and inserting the following: “proposals and that must be assigned importance at least equal to all evaluation factors other than cost or price when combined.”

(b) **WAIVER.**—Section 2305(a)(3) of such title is further amended by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B) The requirement of subparagraph (A)(ii) relating to assigning at least equal importance to evaluation factors of cost or price may be waived by the head of the agency.”

(c) **REPORT.**—Section 2305(a)(3) of such title is further amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) Not later than 180 days after the end of each fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress, and post on a publicly available website of the Department of Defense, a report containing a list of each waiver issued by the head of an agency under subparagraph (B) during the preceding fiscal year.”

**SEC. 817. REQUIREMENT TO BUY AMERICAN FLAGS FROM DOMESTIC SOURCES.**

Section 2533a(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) A flag of the United States of America (within the meaning of chapter 1 of title 4).”

**Subtitle C—Provisions Relating to Contracts in Support of Contingency Operations in Iraq or Afghanistan**

**SEC. 821. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTING WITH THE ENEMY.**

(a) **AMENDMENTS RELATING TO PROHIBITION.**—Section 841(a)(1) of the National Defense Au-

thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 126 Stat. 1510) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “Commander of the United States Central Command” and inserting “commander of a covered combatant command”;

(2) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking “Commander of the United States Central Command” and inserting “commander of the covered combatant command”; and

(B) by striking “United States Central Command theater of operations” and inserting “theater of operations of that command”;

(3) in subparagraph (B), by striking “United States Central Command theater of operations” and inserting “theater of operations of the covered combatant command”; and

(4) in subparagraph (C)—

(A) by striking “Commander of the United States Central Command” and inserting “commander of the covered combatant command”; and

(B) by striking “United States Central Command theater of operations” and inserting “theater of operations of that command”.

(b) **AMENDMENTS RELATING TO CONTRACT CLAUSE.**—Section 841(b)(3) of such Act is amended—

(1) by striking “\$100,000” and inserting “\$50,000”; and

(2) by striking “United States Central Command theater of operations” and inserting “theater of operations of a covered combatant command”.

(c) **AMENDMENTS RELATING TO IDENTIFICATION OF CONTRACTS.**—Section 841(c) of such Act is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “; acting through the Commander of the United States Central Command,”; and

(B) by striking “United States Central Command theater of operations” and inserting “theaters of operations of covered combatant commands”;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “Commander of the United States Central Command” and inserting “commander of a covered combatant command”; and

(B) by striking “Commander may notify” and inserting “commander may notify”; and

(3) in paragraph (3), by striking “Commander of the United States Central Command” and inserting “commander of a covered combatant command”.

(d) **AMENDMENTS RELATING TO NONDELEGATION OF RESPONSIBILITIES.**—Section 841(d)(2) of such Act is amended by striking “Commander of the United States Central Command” and inserting “commander of a covered combatant command”.

(e) **AMENDMENTS RELATING TO DEFINITIONS.**—Section 841(f) of such Act is amended—

(1) by striking the subsection heading and inserting “DEFINITIONS.—”;

(2) by striking “In this section, the term” and inserting the following: “In this section:

“(1) **CONTINGENCY OPERATION.**—The term”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) **COVERED COMBATANT COMMAND.**—The term ‘covered combatant command’ means the United States Central Command, the United States European Command, the United States Southern Command, and the United States Pacific Command.”

(f) **REPEAL OF SUNSET.**—Subsection (g) of section 841 of such Act is repealed.

(g) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO SECTION HEADING.**—

(A) The heading of section 841 of such Act is amended by striking “**IN THE UNITED STATES CENTRAL COMMAND THEATER OF OPERATIONS**”.

(B) The item relating to section 841 in the table of sections at the beginning of title VIII

and in section 2 of such Act is amended to read as follows:

“Sec. 841. Prohibition on contracting with the enemy.”

(2) **REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED DEADLINES.**—Paragraph (1) of each of subsections (a), (b), and (c) of section 841 of such Act is amended by striking “Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the” and inserting “The”.

(h) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to contracts entered into on or after the date that is 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 822. COLLECTION OF DATA RELATING TO CONTRACTS IN IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) **PENALTIES.**—Section 861 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) **PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY.**—Any contract in Afghanistan entered into or modified after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 may include a clause requiring the imposition of a penalty on any contractor that does not comply with the policies or guidance issued or the regulations prescribed pursuant to subsection (c). Compliance with such policies, guidance, or regulations may be considered as a factor in the determination of award and incentive fees.”

(b) **PENALTY INFORMATION COVERED IN REPORT.**—Section 863(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) Any penalties imposed on contractors for failing to comply with requirements under section 861(e), including requirements to provide information for the common databases identified under section 861(b)(4).”

**Subtitle D—Other Matters**

**SEC. 831. EXTENSION OF PILOT PROGRAM ON ACQUISITION OF MILITARY PURPOSE NONDEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS.**

Section 866(f)(1) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4296; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended by striking “the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this Act.” and inserting “December 31, 2019.”

**SEC. 832. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE PRODUCTS AND SERVICES PRODUCED IN COUNTRIES ALONG A MAJOR ROUTE OF SUPPLY TO AFGHANISTAN.**

Section 801(f) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2399), as amended by section 841(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1845), is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

**TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT**

**Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management**

**SEC. 901. REDESIGNATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AS THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.**

(a) **REDESIGNATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AS THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.**—

(1) **REDESIGNATION OF MILITARY DEPARTMENT.**—The military department designated as the Department of the Navy is redesignated as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps.

(2) **REDESIGNATION OF SECRETARY AND OTHER STATUTORY OFFICES.**—

(A) **SECRETARY.**—The position of the Secretary of the Navy is redesignated as the Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps.

(B) **OTHER STATUTORY OFFICES.**—The positions of the Under Secretary of the Navy, the

four Assistant Secretaries of the Navy, and the General Counsel of the Department of the Navy are redesignated as the Under Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps, the Assistant Secretaries of the Navy and Marine Corps, and the General Counsel of the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps, respectively.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—

(1) DEFINITION OF “MILITARY DEPARTMENT”.—Paragraph (8) of section 101(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(8) The term ‘military department’ means the Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps, and the Department of the Air Force.”.

(2) ORGANIZATION OF DEPARTMENT.—The text of section 5011 of such title is amended to read as follows: “The Department of the Navy and Marine Corps is separately organized under the Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps.”.

(3) POSITION OF SECRETARY.—Section 5013(a)(1) of such title is amended by striking “There is a Secretary of the Navy” and inserting “There is a Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps”.

(4) CHAPTER HEADINGS.—

(A) The heading of chapter 503 of such title is amended to read as follows:

**“CHAPTER 503—DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MARINE CORPS”.**

(B) The heading of chapter 507 of such title is amended to read as follows:

**“CHAPTER 507—COMPOSITION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MARINE CORPS”.**

(5) OTHER AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “Department of the Navy” and “Secretary of the Navy” each place they appear other than as specified in paragraphs (1), (2), (3), and (4) (including in section headings, subsection captions, tables of chapters, and tables of sections) and inserting “Department of the Navy and Marine Corps” and “Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps”, respectively, in each case with the matter inserted to be in the same typeface and typestyle as the matter stricken.

(B)(i) Sections 5013(f), 5014(b)(2), 5016(a), 5017(2), 5032(a), and 5042(a) of such title are amended by striking “Assistant Secretaries of the Navy” and inserting “Assistant Secretaries of the Navy and Marine Corps”.

(ii) The heading of section 5016 of such title, and the item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 503 of such title, are each amended by inserting “and Marine Corps” after “of the Navy”, with the matter inserted in each case to be in the same typeface and typestyle as the matter amended.

(c) OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW AND OTHER REFERENCES.—

(1) TITLE 37, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking “Department of the Navy” and “Secretary of the Navy” each place they appear and inserting “Department of the Navy and Marine Corps” and “Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps”, respectively.

(2) OTHER REFERENCES.—Any reference in any law other than in title 10 or title 37, United States Code, or in any regulation, document, record, or other paper of the United States, to the Department of the Navy shall be considered to be a reference to the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps. Any such reference to an office specified in subsection (a)(2) shall be considered to be a reference to that office as redesignated by that section.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the first day of the first month beginning more than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 902. REVISIONS TO COMPOSITION OF TRANSITION PLAN FOR DEFENSE BUSINESS ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE.**

Section 2222(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “defense business enterprise architecture” and inserting “target defense business systems computing environment described in subsection (d)(3)”;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “existing as of September 30, 2011 (known as ‘legacy systems’) that will not be part of the defense business enterprise architecture” and inserting “that will be phased out of the defense business systems computing environment within three years after review and certification as ‘legacy systems’ by the investment management process established under subsection (g)”;

(B) by striking “that provides for reducing the use of those legacy systems in phases”;

(3) in paragraph (3), by striking “legacy systems (referred to in subparagraph (B)) that will be a part of the target defense business systems computing environment described in subsection (d)(3)” and inserting “existing systems that are part of the target defense business systems computing environment”.

**Subtitle B—Space Activities**

**SEC. 911. NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE SATELLITE REPORTING POLICY.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Department of Defense depends on national security space programs to support, among other critical capabilities—

(A) communications;

(B) missile warning;

(C) position, navigation, and timing;

(D) intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance; and

(E) environmental monitoring; and

(2) foreign threats to national security space systems are increasing.

(b) NOTIFICATION OF FOREIGN INTERFERENCE OF NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE.—Chapter 135 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§2278. Notification of foreign interference of national security space**

“(a) NOTICE REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall, with respect to each attempt by a foreign actor to disrupt, degrade, or destroy a United States national security space capability, provide to the appropriate congressional committees—

“(1) not later than 48 hours after the Secretary determines that there is reason to believe such attempt occurred, notice of such attempt; and

“(2) not later than 10 days after the date on which the Secretary determines that there is reason to believe such attempt occurred, a notification described in subsection (b) with respect to such attempt.

“(b) NOTIFICATION DESCRIPTION.—A notification described in this subsection is a notification that includes—

“(1) the name and a brief description of the national security space capability that was impacted by an attempt by a foreign actor to disrupt, degrade, or destroy a United States national security space capability;

“(2) a description of such attempt, including the foreign actor, the date and time of such attempt, and any related capability outage and the mission impact of such outage; and

“(3) any other information the Secretary considers relevant.

“(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—The term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—

“(1) the congressional defense committees; and

“(2) with respect to a notice or notification related to an attempt by a foreign entity to disrupt, degrade, or destroy a United States national security space capability that is intel-

ligence-related, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.”.

(c) TABLE OF SECTIONS AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following item:

“2278. Notification of foreign interference of national security space.”.

**SEC. 912. NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE DEFENSE AND PROTECTION.**

(a) REVIEW.—The Secretary of the Air Force shall enter into an arrangement with the National Research Council to—

(1) in response to the near-term and long-term threats to the national security space systems of the United States, conduct a review of—

(A) the range of strategic options available to address such threats, in terms of deterring hostile actions, defeating hostile actions, or surviving hostile actions until such actions conclude;

(B) strategies and plans to counter such threats, including resilience, reconstitution, disaggregation, and other appropriate concepts; and

(C) existing and planned architectures, warfighter requirements, technology development, systems, workforce, or other factors related to addressing such threats; and

(2) identify recommend courses of action to address such threats, including potential barriers or limiting factors in implementing such courses of action.

(b) REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the National Research Council shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a report containing the results of the review conducted pursuant to the arrangement under subsection (a) and the recommended courses of action identified pursuant to such arrangement.

(2) FORM.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) SPACE PROTECTION STRATEGY.—Section 911(f)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 2271 note) is amended by striking “including each of the matters required by subsection (c).” and inserting the following: “including—

“(A) each of the matters required by subsection (c); and

“(B) a description of how the Department of Defense and the intelligence community plan to provide necessary national security capabilities, through alternative space, airborne, or ground systems, if a foreign actor degrades, denies access to, or destroys United States national security space capabilities.”.

**SEC. 913. SPACE ACQUISITION STRATEGY.**

(a) STRATEGY REQUIRED.—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in consultation with the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, shall establish a strategy to enable the multi-year procurement of commercial satellite services.

(b) BASIS.—The strategy required under subsection (a) shall include and be based on—

(1) an analysis of financial or other benefits to acquiring satellite services through multi-year acquisition approaches;

(2) an analysis of the risks associated with such acquisition approaches;

(3) an identification of methods to address planning, programming, budgeting, and execution challenges to such approaches, including methods to address potential termination liability or cancellation costs generally associated with multi-year contracts;

(4) an identification of any changes needed in the requirements development and approval

processes of the Department of Defense to facilitate effective and efficient implementation of such strategy, including an identification of any consolidation of requirements for such services across the Department that may achieve increased buying power and efficiency; and

(5) an identification of any necessary changes to policies, procedures, regulations, or statutes.

(c) **SUBMISSION.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, in consultation with the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, shall submit to the congressional defense committees the strategy required under subsection (a), including the elements required under subsection (b).

**SEC. 914. SPACE CONTROL MISSION REPORT.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the space control mission of the Department of Defense. Such report shall include—

(1) an identification of existing offensive and defensive space control systems, policies, and technical possibilities of future systems;

(2) an identification of any gaps or risks in existing space control system architecture and possibilities for improvement or mitigation of such gaps or risks;

(3) a description of existing and future sensor coverage and ground processing capabilities for space situational awareness;

(4) an explanation of the extent to which all relevant and available information is being utilized for space situational awareness to detect, track, and identify objects in space;

(5) a description of existing space situational awareness data sharing practices, including what information is being shared and what the benefits and risks of such sharing are to the national security of the United States; and

(6) plans for the future space control mission.

**SEC. 915. RESPONSIVE LAUNCH.**

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds the following:

(1) United States Strategic Command has identified three needs as a result of dramatically increased demand and dependence on space capabilities as follows:

(A) To rapidly augment existing space capabilities when needed to expand operational capability.

(B) To rapidly reconstitute or replenish critical space capabilities to preserve continuity of operations capability.

(C) To rapidly exploit and infuse space technological or operational innovations to increase the advantage of the United States.

(2) Operationally responsive low cost launch could assist in addressing such needs of the combatant commands.

(b) **STUDY.**—The Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space shall conduct a study on responsive, low-cost launch efforts. Such study shall include—

(1) a review of existing and past operationally responsive, low-cost launch efforts by domestic or foreign governments or industry;

(2) a technology assessment of various methods to develop an operationally responsive, low-cost launch capability; and

(3) an assessment of the viability of greater utilization of innovative methods, including the use of secondary payload adapters on existing launch vehicles.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing—

(1) the results of the study conducted under subsection (b); and

(2) a consolidated plan for development within the Department of Defense of an operationally responsive, low-cost launch capability.

**Subtitle C—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities**

**SEC. 921. REVISION OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE AUTHORITY TO ENGAGE IN COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES AS SECURITY FOR INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION ACTIVITIES.**

(a) **PERIOD FOR REQUIRED AUDITS.**—Section 432(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking “annually” and inserting “biennially”; and

(2) in the second sentence, by striking “the intelligence committees” and all that follows and inserting “the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees (as defined in section 437(c)).”

(b) **REPEAL OF DESIGNATION OF DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY AS REQUIRED OVERSIGHT AUTHORITY WITHIN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**—Section 436(4) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “Defense Intelligence Agency” and inserting “Department of Defense”; and

(2) by striking “management and supervision” and inserting “oversight”.

(c) **CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT.**—Section 437 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “the intelligence committees” and inserting “congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees”; and

(2) in subsection (b), by striking “the intelligence committees” and inserting “congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) **CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘congressional intelligence committees’ has the meaning given the term in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).”

**SEC. 922. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PRIORITIES.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) establish a written policy governing the internal coordination and prioritization of intelligence priorities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the combatant commands, and the military departments to improve identification of the intelligence needs of the Department of Defense;

(2) identify any significant intelligence gaps of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the combatant commands, and the military departments; and

(3) provide to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a briefing on the policy established under paragraph (1) and the gaps identified under paragraph (2).

**SEC. 923. DEFENSE CLANDESTINE SERVICE.**

(a) **CERTIFICATION REQUIRED.**—Not more than 50 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise available to the Department of Defense for the Defense Clandestine Service for fiscal year 2014 may be obligated or expended for the Defense Clandestine Service until such time as the Secretary of Defense certifies to the covered congressional committees that—

(1) the Defense Clandestine Service is designed primarily to—

(A) fulfill priorities of the Department of Defense that are unique to the Department of Defense or otherwise unmet; and

(B) provide unique capabilities to the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4))); and

(2) the Secretary of Defense has designed metrics that will be used to ensure that the De-

fense Clandestine Service is employed as described in paragraph (1).

(b) **ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter for five years, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the covered congressional committees a detailed assessment of Defense Clandestine Service employment and performance based on the metrics referred to in subsection (a)(2).

(c) **NOTIFICATION OF FUTURE CHANGES TO DESIGN.**—Following the submission of the certification referred to in subsection (a), in the event that any significant change is made to the Defense Clandestine Service, the Secretary shall promptly notify the covered congressional committees of the nature of such change.

(d) **QUARTERLY BRIEFINGS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall quarterly provide to the covered congressional committees a briefing on the deployments and collection activities of personnel of the Defense Clandestine Service.

(e) **COVERED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “covered congressional committees” means the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

**SEC. 924. PROHIBITION ON NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM CONSOLIDATION.**

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—No amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense may be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, to execute—

(1) the separation of the National Intelligence Program budget from the Department of Defense budget;

(2) the consolidation of the National Intelligence Program budget within the Department of Defense budget; or

(3) the establishment of a new appropriations account or appropriations account structure for the National Intelligence Program budget.

(b) **BRIEFING REQUIREMENT.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence shall jointly provide to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a briefing regarding any planning relating to the future execution of the activities described in subsection (a) that has occurred during the two-year period ending on such date and any anticipated future planning relating to such execution or related efforts.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM.**—The term “National Intelligence Program” has the meaning given the term in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).

(2) **NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM BUDGET.**—The term “National Intelligence Program budget” means the portions of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program.

**Subtitle D—Cyberspace-Related Matters**

**SEC. 931. MODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENT FOR INVENTORY OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TACTICAL DATA LINK SYSTEMS.**

Section 934(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (10 U.S.C. 2225 note; Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1885) is amended by inserting “and an assessment of vulnerabilities to such systems in anti-access or area-denial environments” before the semicolon.

**SEC. 932. DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD ASSESSMENT OF UNITED STATES CYBER COMMAND.**

(a) **ASSESSMENT.**—The Defense Science Board shall conduct an assessment of the organization,



missions, and authorities of the United States Cyber Command.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The assessment required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A review of the existing organizational structure of the United States Cyber Command, including—

(A) the positive and negative impact on the Command resulting from a single individual simultaneously serving as the Commander of the United States Cyber Command and the Director of the National Security Agency;

(B) the oversight activities undertaken by the Commander and the Director with regard to the Command and the Agency, respectively, including how the respective oversight activities affect the ability of each entity to complete the respective missions of such entity;

(C) the dependencies of the Command and the Agency on one another under the existing management structure of both entities, including an examination of the advantages and disadvantages attributable to the unity of command and unity of effort resulting from a single individual simultaneously serving as the Commander of the United States Cyber Command and the Director of the National Security Agency;

(D) the ability of the existing management structure of the Command and the Agency to identify and adequately address potential conflicts of interest between the roles of the Commander of the United States Cyber Command and the Director of the National Security Agency; and

(E) the ability of the Department of Defense to train and develop, through professional assignment, individuals with the appropriate subject-matter expertise and management experience to support both the cyber operations missions of the Command and the signals intelligence missions of the Agency.

(2) A review of the missions of the Command, including whether the reliance of the Command on the Agency for critical warfighting infrastructure, organization, and personnel contributes to or detracts from the ability of the Command to achieve the missions of the Command.

(3) A review of how the Commander of the United States Cyber Command and the Director of the National Security Agency implement authorities where missions intersect to ensure that the activities of each entity are conducted only pursuant to the respective authorities of each entity.

(c) **REPORT.**—

(1) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 300 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Defense Science Board shall submit to the Secretary of Defense, the Director of National Intelligence, the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a report containing—

(A) the results of the assessment required by subsection (a); and

(B) recommendations for improvements or changes to the organization, missions, or authorities of the United States Cyber Command.

(2) **ADDITIONAL EVALUATION REQUIRED.**—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the committees referred to in paragraph (1) receive the report required by such paragraph, the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence shall jointly submit to such committees an evaluation of the findings and recommendations contained in such report.

(3) **FORM.**—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(4) **INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “intelligence community” has the meaning given the term in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4)).

**SEC. 933. MISSION ANALYSIS FOR CYBER OPERATIONS OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) **MISSION ANALYSIS REQUIRED.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct a mission analysis of the cyber operations of the Department of Defense.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The mission analysis under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) The concept of operations and concept of employment for cyber operations forces.

(2) An assessment of the manpower needs for cyber operations forces, including military requirements for both active and reserve components and civilian requirements.

(3) A description of the alignment of the organization and reporting chains of the Department, the military departments, and the combatant commands.

(4) An assessment of the current, as of the date of the analysis, and projected equipping needs of cyber operations forces.

(5) An analysis of how the Secretary, for purposes of cyber operations, depends upon organizations outside of the Department, including industry and international partners.

(6) Methods for ensuring resilience, mission assurance, and continuity of operations for cyber operations.

(7) An evaluation of the potential roles of the reserve components in the concept of operations and concept of employment for cyber operations forces required under paragraph (1).

(c) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 30 days after the completion of the mission analysis under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing—

(1) the results of the mission analysis; and

(2) recommendations for improving or changing the roles, organization, missions, concept of operations, or authorities related to the cyber operations of the Department.

(d) **NATIONAL GUARD ASSESSMENT.**—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the report required under subsection (c), the Chief of the National Guard Bureau shall submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the role of the National Guard in supporting the cyber operations mission of the Department of Defense as such mission is described in such report.

(e) **FORM.**—The report under subsection (c) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

**SEC. 934. NOTIFICATION OF INVESTIGATIONS RELATED TO COMPROMISE OF CRITICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION.**

(a) **NOTIFICATION OF INVESTIGATION INITIATION.**—

(1) **NOTIFICATION.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the initiation of any investigation related to the potential compromise of Department of Defense critical program information related to a weapons system or other developmental activity, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a written notification of such investigation including the elements required under paragraph (2).

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The written notification required under paragraph (1) shall include, with respect to an investigation described in such subsection, the following elements:

(A) A statement of the reason for such investigation.

(B) An identification of each party affected by such investigation.

(C) An identification of the party responsible for conducting such investigation.

(D) Any preliminary observations, findings, or recommendations related to such investigation.

(E) A timeline and methodology for conducting such investigation.

(b) **NOTIFICATION OF COMPLETION OF CERTAIN INVESTIGATIONS.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the completion of any investigation

conducted or overseen by the Damage Assessment Management Office of the Department of Defense, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a written notification of such investigation, including a summary of the findings and recommendations of such investigation.

(c) **REPORT ON INTRUSIONS AFTER JANUARY 1, 2000.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report detailing the known network cyber intrusions that occurred on or after January 1, 2000, and before August 1, 2013, and resulted in the compromise of critical program information related to a weapons system, information system development, or another research and development initiative of the Department of Defense. Such report shall include a description of the critical program information that was compromised, the source of each network that was compromised, the systems or developmental activities that were compromised, and the suspected origin of each cyber intrusion.

**SEC. 935. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO THE SOFTWARE LICENSES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) **UPDATED PLAN.**—

(1) **UPDATE.**—The Chief Information Officer of the Department of the Defense shall, in consultation with the chief information officers of the military departments and the Defense Agencies, update the plan for the inventory of selected software licenses of the Department of Defense required under section 937 of the National Defense Authorization Act for 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 10 U.S.C. 2223 note) to include a plan for the inventory of all software licenses of the Department of Defense for which a military department spends more than \$5,000,000 annually on any individual title, including a comparison of licenses purchased with licenses installed and of those uninstalled and then reinstalled.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The update required under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) be done in a comprehensive and auditable format that is verified by an independent third party;

(B) include details on the process and business systems necessary to regularly perform reviews, a procedure for validating and reporting deregistering and registering new software, and a mechanism and plan to relay that information to the enterprise provider; and

(C) a proposed timeline for implementation of the updated plan in accordance with paragraph (3).

(3) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—Not later than September 30, 2013, the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense shall implement the updated plan required under paragraph (1).

(b) **PERFORMANCE PLAN.**—If the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense determines through the update required by subsection (a) that the number of software licenses of the Department for an individual title for which a military department spends greater than \$5,000,000 annually exceeds the needs of the Department for such software licenses, or the inventory discloses that there is a discrepancy between the number of software licenses purchased and those in actual use, the Secretary of Defense shall implement a plan to bring the number of such software licenses into balance with the needs of the Department and the terms of any relevant contract.

**Subtitle E—Total Force Management**

**SEC. 941. REQUIREMENT TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT OF FUNCTIONS CLOSELY ASSOCIATED WITH INHERENTLY GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTIONS.**

(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—Section 129a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) **REQUIREMENT FOR OVERSIGHT OR APPROPRIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS.**—For purposes of

subsection (f)(3)(B), if insufficient levels of Government oversight are found, the Secretary of the military department or head of the Defense Agency responsible shall provide such oversight or take appropriate corrective actions, including potential conversion to Government performance, consistent with this section and sections 129 and 2463 of this title.”

(b) AMENDMENT RELATING TO REVIEW OF CERTAIN CONTRACTS.—Subsection (e)(2)(C) of section 2330a of such title is amended by adding after “governmental functions” the following: “in which there is inadequate oversight of the contractor personnel performing such functions”.

**SEC. 942. FIVE-YEAR REQUIREMENT FOR CERTIFICATION OF APPROPRIATE MAN-POWER PERFORMANCE.**

Section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (g) and (h) as subsections (h) and (i), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new section (g):

“(g) CERTIFICATIONS OF APPROPRIATE MAN-POWER PERFORMANCE.—(1) Beginning in fiscal year 2014 and continuing through fiscal year 2018, the Secretary of Defense, or an official designated personally by the Secretary, no later than February 1 of each reporting year, shall submit to the congressional defense committees the findings of the reviews required under subsection (e) and certify in writing that—

“(A) all Department of Defense contractor positions identified as being responsible for the performance of inherently governmental functions have been eliminated;

“(B) each Department of Defense contract that is a personal services contract has been entered into, and is being performed, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations; and

“(C) any contract for services that includes any functions that are closely associated with inherently governmental functions or designated as critical have been reviewed to determine if those activities should be—

“(i) subject to action pursuant to section 2463 of this title; or

“(ii) converted to an acquisition approach that would be more advantageous to the Department of Defense.

“(2) If the certifications required in paragraph (1) are not submitted by the date required in a reporting year, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall assess the Department’s compliance with subsection (e) and determine why the Secretary could not make the certifications required in paragraph (1). The Inspector General shall submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than May 1 of the reporting year, a report on such assessment and determination.

“(3) Not later than May 1 of each reporting year, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the Comptroller General’s assessment of the reviews conducted under subsection (e) and the actions taken to resolve the findings of the reviews.”.

**TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

**Subtitle A—Financial Matters**

**SEC. 1001. GENERAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—

(1) AUTHORITY.—Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this division for fiscal year 2014 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) LIMITATION.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), the total amount of authorizations

that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this section may not exceed \$3,500,000,000.

(3) EXCEPTION FOR TRANSFERS BETWEEN MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS.—A transfer of funds between military personnel authorizations under title IV shall not be counted toward the dollar limitation in paragraph (2).

(b) LIMITATIONS.—The authority provided by subsection (a) to transfer authorizations—

(1) may only be used to provide authority for items that have a higher priority than the items from which authority is transferred; and

(2) may not be used to provide authority for an item that has been denied authorization by Congress.

(c) EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.—A transfer made from one account to another under the authority of this section shall be deemed to increase the amount authorized for the account to which the amount is transferred by an amount equal to the amount transferred.

(d) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary shall promptly notify Congress of each transfer made under subsection (a).

**SEC. 1002. BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF THIS ACT.**

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives, as long as such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage of this Act.

**SEC. 1003. AUDIT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FISCAL YEAR 2018 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—Congress—

(1) reaffirms the findings of the Panel on Defense Financial Management and Auditability Reform of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives;

(2) points to the Government Accountability Office’s most recent High Risk List recommendations;

(3) is encouraged by the important progress the Department of Defense has made in achieving auditability; and

(4) stands ready to continue helping in this effort.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DOD FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REFORM.—It is the sense of Congress that, in the aftermath of the effects of sequestration as enacted by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Public Law 112–25), financial management reform is imperative, and the Department of Defense should place continued importance on, and remain vigilant in, its financial management reform efforts.

(c) AUDIT OF DOD FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.—In addition to the requirement under section 1003(a)(2)(A)(ii) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C. 2222 note) that the Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness Plan describe specific actions to be taken and the costs associated with ensuring that the financial statements of the Department of Defense are validated as ready for audit by not later than September 30, 2017, upon the conclusion of fiscal year 2018, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that a full audit is performed on the financial statements of the Department of Defense for such fiscal year. The Secretary shall submit to Congress the results of that audit by not later than March 31, 2019.

**SEC. 1004. AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER FUNDS TO THE NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION TO SUSTAIN NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION.**

(a) TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.—If the amount authorized to be appropriated for the weapons activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration under section 3101 or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 is less than \$8,400,000,000 (the amount projected to be re-

quired for such activities in fiscal year 2014 as specified in the report under section 1251 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2549)), the Secretary of Defense may transfer, from amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 pursuant to this Act, to the Secretary of Energy an amount, not to exceed \$150,000,000, to be available only for weapons activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration.

(b) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—In the event of a transfer under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall promptly notify Congress of the transfer, and shall include in such notice the Department of Defense account or accounts from which funds are transferred.

(c) TRANSFER MECHANISM.—Any funds transferred under this section shall be transferred in accordance with established procedures for reprogramming under section 1001 or successor provisions of law.

(d) CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORITY.—The transfer authority provided under subsection (a) is in addition to any other transfer authority provided under this Act.

**Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities**

**SEC. 1011. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT UNIFIED COUNTER-DRUG AND COUNTERTERRORISM CAMPAIGN IN COLOMBIA.**

Section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 2042), as most recently amended by section 1010 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1907), is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “2013” and inserting “2014”; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “2013” and inserting “2014”.

**SEC. 1012. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR JOINT TASK FORCES TO PROVIDE SUPPORT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES CONDUCTING COUNTER-TERRORISM ACTIVITIES.**

Section 1022(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 117 Stat. 1594; 10 U.S.C. 371 note), as most recently amended by section 1011 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1907) is amended by striking “2013” and inserting “2014”.

**SEC. 1013. TWO-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FOR COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES OF CERTAIN FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.**

Subsection (a)(2) of section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85; 111 Stat. 1881), as most recently amended by section 1006(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1557), is amended by striking “2013” and inserting “2015”.

**SEC. 1014. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE NATIONAL GUARD COUNTER-NARCOTIC PROGRAM.**

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the National Guard Counter-Narcotic Program is a valuable tool to counter-drug operations across the United States, especially on the southwest border;

(2) the National Guard has an important role in combating drug trafficking into the United States; and

(3) the program should received continued funding.

**Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards**

**SEC. 1021. CLARIFICATION OF SOLE OWNERSHIP RESULTING FROM SHIP DONATIONS AT NO COST TO THE NAVY.**

(a) CLARIFICATION OF TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—Subsection (a) of section 7306 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **AUTHORITY TO MAKE TRANSFER.**—The Secretary of the Navy may convey, by donation, all right, title, and interest to any vessel stricken from the Naval Vessel Register or any captured vessel, for use as a museum or memorial for public display in the United States, to—

“(1) any State, the District of Columbia, any Commonwealth or possession of the United States, or any municipal corporation or political subdivision thereof; or

“(2) any nonprofit entity.”.

(b) **CLARIFICATION OF LIMITATIONS ON LIABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY.**—Subsection (b) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(b) **LIMITATIONS ON LIABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY.**—(1) The United States and all departments and agencies thereof, and their officers and employees, shall not be liable at law or in equity for any injury or damage to any person or property occurring on a vessel donated under this section.

“(2) Notwithstanding any other law, the United States and all departments and agencies thereof, and their officers and employees, shall have no responsibility or obligation to make, engage in, or provide funding for, any improvement, upgrade, modification, maintenance, preservation, or repair to a vessel donated under this section.”.

(c) **CLARIFICATION THAT TRANSFERS TO BE MADE AT NO COST TO UNITED STATES.**—Subsection (c) of such section is amended by inserting after “under this section” the following: “, the maintenance and preservation of that vessel as a museum or memorial, and the ultimate disposal of that vessel, including demilitarization of Munitions List items at the end of the useful life of the vessel as a museum or memorial.”.

(d) **APPLICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS; DEFINITIONS.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(e) **APPLICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS.**—Nothing in this section shall affect the applicability of Federal, State, interstate, and local environmental laws and regulations, including the Toxic Substances Control Act (15 U.S.C. 2601 et seq.) and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), to the Department of Defense or to a donee.

“(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘nonprofit entity’ means any entity qualifying as an exempt organization under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(2) The term ‘Munitions List’ means the United States Munitions List created and controlled under section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778).

“(3) The term ‘donee’ means any entity receiving a vessel pursuant to subsection (a).”.

(e) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **SECTION HEADING.**—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“**§7306. Vessels stricken from Naval Vessel Register; captured vessels: conveyance by donation**”.

(2) **TABLE OF SECTIONS.**—The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 633 of such title is amended to read as follows:

“7306. Vessels stricken from Naval Vessel Register; captured vessels: conveyance by donation.”.

**SEC. 1022. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OR INACTIVATION OF TICONDEROGA CLASS CRUISERS OR DOCK LANDING SHIPS.**

(a) **LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to retire, prepare to retire, inactivate, or place in storage a cruiser or dock landing ship.

(2) **EXCEPTION.**—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the funds referred to in such subsection may be obligated or expended to retire the U.S.S. Denver, LPD9.

(b) **AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.**—

(1) **AUTHORITY.**—Subject to the availability of appropriations for such purpose, the Secretary of Defense may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2013 specifically for the modernization of vessels referred to in subsection (a)(1). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) **LIMITATION.**—The total amount of authorizations that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this subsection may not exceed \$914,676,000.

(3) **ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.**—The transfer authority provided by this subsection is in addition to the transfer authority provided under section 1001 of this Act and under section 1001 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1902).

**SEC. 1023. REPAIR OF VESSELS IN FOREIGN SHIPYARDS.**

(a) **NONHOMEPORTEED VESSELS.**—Subsection (a) of section 7310 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “A naval” and inserting “(1) A naval”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) For purposes of this section, a naval vessel that does not have a designated homeport shall be treated as being homeported in the United States or Guam.”.

(b) **VOYAGE REPAIR.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(3)(C), by striking “as defined in Commander Military Sealift Command Instruction 4700.15C (September 13, 2007) or Joint Fleet Maintenance Manual (Commander Fleet Forces Command Instruction 4790.3 Revision A, Change 7), Volume III”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) **VOYAGE REPAIR DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘voyage repair’ has the meaning given such term in Navy Instruction COMFLTFORCOMINST 4790.3B.”.

**SEC. 1024. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING A BALANCED FUTURE NAVAL FORCE.**

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The battle force of the Navy must be sufficiently sized and balanced in capability to meet current and anticipated future national security objectives.

(2) A robust and balanced naval force is required for the Department of Defense to fully execute the President’s National Security Strategy.

(3) To develop and sustain required capabilities the Navy must balance investment and maintenance costs across various ship types, including—

(A) aircraft carriers;

(B) surface combatants;

(C) submarines;

(D) amphibious assault ships; and

(E) other auxiliary vessels, including support vessels operated by the Military Sealift Command.

(4) Despite a Marine Corps requirement for 38 amphibious assault ships, the Navy possesses only 30 amphibious assault ships with an average of 22 ships available for surge deployment.

(5) The inadequate level of investment in Navy shipbuilding over the last 20 years has resulted in—

(A) a fragile shipbuilding industrial base, both in the construction yards and secondary suppliers of materiel and equipment; and

(B) increased costs per vessel stemming from low production volume.

(6) The Department of Defense, Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2013 provided \$263,000,000 towards the advance procurement of materiel and equipment required to continue the San Antonio LPD 17 amphibious transport dock class to a total of 12 ships, a key first step in rebalancing the amphibious assault ship force structure.

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the Sense of Congress that—

(1) the Department of Defense and the Department of the Navy must prioritize funding towards increased shipbuilding rates to enable the Navy to meet the full-range of combatant commander requests;

(2) the Department of the Navy’s future budget requests and the Long Range Plan for the Construction of Naval Forces must realistically anticipate and reflect the true investment necessary to meet stated force structure goals;

(3) without modification to Long Range Plan for the Construction of Naval Forces shipbuilding plan, the future of the industrial base that enables construction of large, combat-survivable amphibious assault ships is at significant risk; and

(4) the Department of Defense and Congress should act expeditiously to restore the force structure and capability balance of the Navy fleet as quickly as possible.

**SEC. 1025. AUTHORITY FOR SHORT-TERM EXTENSION OR RENEWAL OF LEASES FOR VESSELS SUPPORTING THE TRANSIT PROTECTION SYSTEM ESCORT PROGRAM.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding section 2401 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy may extend or renew the lease of not more than four blocking vessels supporting the Transit Protection System Escort Program after the date of the expiration of the lease of such vessels, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act. Such an extension shall be for a term that is the shorter of—

(1) the period beginning on the date of the expiration of the lease in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on the date on which the Secretary determines that a substitute is available for the capabilities provided by the lease, or that the capabilities provided by the vessel are no longer required; or

(2) 180 days.

(b) **FUNDING.**—Amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 301 and available for operation and maintenance, Navy, as specified in the funding tables in section 4301, may be available for the extension or renewal of a lease under subsection (a).

(c) **NOTICE TO CONGRESS.**—Prior to extending or renewing a lease under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees notification of the proposed extension or renewal. Such notification shall include—

(1) a detailed description of the term of the proposed contract for the extension or renewal of the lease and a justification for extending or renewing the lease rather than obtaining the capability provided for by the lease, charter, or services involved through purchase of the vessel; and

(2) a plan for meeting the capability provided for by the lease upon the completion of the term of the lease contract, as extended or renewed under subsection (a).

**Subtitle D—Counterterrorism**

**SEC. 1030. CLARIFICATION OF PROCEDURES FOR USE OF ALTERNATE MEMBERS ON MILITARY COMMISSIONS.**

(a) **PRIMARY AND ALTERNATE MEMBERS.**—

(1) **NUMBER OF MEMBERS.**—Subsection (a) of section 948m of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “at least five members” and inserting “at least five primary members and as many alternate members as the convening authority shall detail”; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following new sentence: "Alternate members shall be designated in the order in which they will replace an excused primary member." and

(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting "primary" after "the number of".

(2) GENERAL RULES.—Such section is further amended—

(A) by redesignating subsection (b) and (c) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsections (b) and (c):

"(b) PRIMARY MEMBERS.—Primary members of a military commission under this chapter are voting members.

"(c) ALTERNATE MEMBERS.—(1) A military commission may include alternate members to replace primary members who are excused from service on the commission.

"(2) Whenever a primary member is excused from service on the commission, an alternate member, if available, shall replace the excused primary member and the trial may proceed."

(3) EXCUSE OF MEMBERS.—Subsection (d) of such section, as redesignated by paragraph (2)(A), is amended—

(A) in the matter before paragraph (1), by inserting "primary or alternate" before "member";

(B) by striking "or" at the end of paragraph (2),

(C) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (3) and inserting "; or"; and

(D) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) in the case of an alternate member, in order to reduce the number of alternate members required for service on the commission, as determined by the convening authority."

(4) ABSENT AND ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.—Subsection (e) of such section, as redesignated by paragraph (2)(A), is amended—

(A) in the first sentence—

(i) by inserting "the number of primary members" after "Whenever";

(ii) by inserting "primary" before "members required by"; and

(iii) by inserting "and there are no remaining alternate members to replace the excused primary members" after "subsection (a)"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new sentence: "An alternate member who was present for the introduction of all evidence shall not be considered to be a new or additional member."

(b) CHALLENGES.—Section 949f of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by inserting "primary or alternate" before "member"; and

(2) by adding at the end of subsection (b) the following new sentence: "Nothing in this section prohibits the military judge from awarding to each party such additional peremptory challenges as may be required in the interests of justice."

(c) NUMBER OF VOTES REQUIRED.—Section 949m of such title is amended—

(1) by inserting "primary" before "members" each place it appears; and

(2) by adding at the end of subsection (b) the following new paragraph:

"(4) The primary members present for a vote on a sentence need not be the same primary members who voted on the conviction if the requirements of section 948m(d) of this title are met."

**SEC. 1031. MODIFICATION OF REGIONAL DEFENSE COMBATING TERRORISM FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM REPORTING REQUIREMENT.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2249c(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by inserting "including engagement activities for program alumni," after "effectiveness of the program";

(2) in paragraph (4), by inserting after "program" the following: "including a list of any unfunded or unmet training requirements and requests"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(5) A discussion and justification of how the program fits within the theater security priorities of each of the commanders of the geographic combatant commands."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to a report submitted for a fiscal year beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1032. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO CONSTRUCT OR MODIFY FACILITIES IN THE UNITED STATES TO HOUSE DETAINEES TRANSFERRED FROM UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—No amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense may be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, to construct or modify any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house any individual detained at Guantanamo for the purposes of detention or imprisonment in the custody or under the control of the Department of Defense unless authorized by Congress.

(b) EXCEPTION.—The prohibition in subsection (a) shall not apply to any modification of facilities at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

(c) INDIVIDUAL DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO DEFINED.—In this section, the term "individual detained at Guantanamo" has the meaning given that term in section 1033(f)(2).

**SEC. 1033. REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATIONS RELATING TO THE TRANSFER OF DETAINEES AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA, TO FOREIGN COUNTRIES AND OTHER FOREIGN ENTITIES.**

(a) CERTIFICATION REQUIRED PRIOR TO TRANSFER.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2) and subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense may not use any amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise available to the Department of Defense to transfer, during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, any individual detained at Guantanamo to the custody or control of the individual's country of origin, any other foreign country, or any other foreign entity unless the Secretary submits to Congress the certification described in subsection (b) not later than 30 days before the transfer of the individual.

(2) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any action taken by the Secretary to transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo to effectuate an order affecting the disposition of the individual that is issued by a court or competent tribunal of the United States having lawful jurisdiction (which the Secretary shall notify Congress of promptly after issuance).

(b) CERTIFICATION.—A certification described in this subsection is a written certification made by the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State and in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, that—

(1) the government of the foreign country or the recognized leadership of the foreign entity to which the individual detained at Guantanamo is to be transferred—

(A) is not a designated state sponsor of terrorism or a designated foreign terrorist organization;

(B) maintains control over each detention facility in which the individual is to be detained if the individual is to be housed in a detention facility;

(C) is not, as of the date of the certification, facing a threat that is likely to substantially affect its ability to exercise control over the individual;

(D) has taken or agreed to take effective actions to ensure that the individual cannot take

action to threaten the United States, its citizens, or its allies in the future;

(E) has taken or agreed to take such actions as the Secretary of Defense determines are necessary to ensure that the individual cannot engage or reengage in any terrorist activity; and

(F) has agreed to share with the United States any information that—

(i) is related to the individual or any associates of the individual; and

(ii) could affect the security of the United States, its citizens, or its allies; and

(2) includes an assessment, in classified or unclassified form, of the capacity, willingness, and past practices (if applicable) of the foreign country or entity in relation to the Secretary's certifications.

(c) PROHIBITION IN CASES OF PRIOR CONFIRMED RECIDIVISM.—

(1) PROHIBITION.—Except as provided in paragraph (2) and subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense may not use any amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense to transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo to the custody or control of the individual's country of origin, any other foreign country, or any other foreign entity if there is a confirmed case of any individual who was detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, at any time after September 11, 2001, who was transferred to such foreign country or entity and subsequently engaged in any terrorist activity.

(2) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any action taken by the Secretary to transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo to effectuate an order affecting the disposition of the individual that is issued by a court or competent tribunal of the United States having lawful jurisdiction (which the Secretary shall notify Congress of promptly after issuance).

(d) NATIONAL SECURITY WAIVER.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the applicability to a detainee transfer of a certification requirement specified in subparagraph (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1) or the prohibition in subsection (c), if the Secretary certifies the rest of the criteria required by subsection (b) for transfers prohibited by subsection (c) and, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State and in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, determines that—

(A) alternative actions will be taken to address the underlying purpose of the requirement or requirements to be waived;

(B) in the case of a waiver of subparagraph (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1), it is not possible to certify that the risks addressed in the paragraph to be waived have been completely eliminated, but the actions to be taken under subparagraph (A) will substantially mitigate such risks with regard to the individual to be transferred;

(C) in the case of a waiver of subsection (c), the Secretary has considered any confirmed case in which an individual who was transferred to the country subsequently engaged in terrorist activity, and the actions to be taken under subparagraph (A) will substantially mitigate the risk of recidivism with regard to the individual to be transferred; and

(D) the transfer is in the national security interests of the United States.

(2) REPORTS.—Whenever the Secretary makes a determination under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress, not later than 30 days before the transfer of the individual concerned, the following:

(A) A copy of the determination and the waiver concerned.

(B) A statement of the basis for the determination, including—

(i) an explanation why the transfer is in the national security interests of the United States;

(ii) in the case of a waiver of subparagraph (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1), an explanation

why it is not possible to certify that the risks addressed in the paragraph to be waived have been completely eliminated; and

(iii) a classified summary of—

(I) the individual's record of cooperation while in the custody of or under the effective control of the Department of Defense; and

(II) the agreements and mechanisms in place to provide for continuing cooperation.

(C) A summary of the alternative actions to be taken to address the underlying purpose of, and to mitigate the risks addressed in, the paragraph or subsection to be waived.

(D) The assessment required by subsection (b)(2).

(e) **RECORD OF COOPERATION.**—In assessing the risk that an individual detained at Guantanamo will engage in terrorist activity or other actions that could affect the security of the United States if released for the purpose of making a certification under subsection (b) or a waiver under subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense may give favorable consideration to any such individual—

(1) who has substantially cooperated with United States intelligence and law enforcement authorities, pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, while in the custody of or under the effective control of the Department of Defense; and

(2) for whom agreements and effective mechanisms are in place, to the extent relevant and necessary, to provide for continued cooperation with United States intelligence and law enforcement authorities.

(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

(2) The term “individual detained at Guantanamo” means any individual located at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of October 1, 2009, who—

(A) is not a citizen of the United States or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and

(B) is—

(i) in the custody of or under the control of the Department of Defense; or

(ii) otherwise under detention at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

(3) The term “foreign terrorist organization” means any organization so designated by the Secretary of State under section 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189).

**SEC. 1034. PROHIBITION ON THE USE OF FUNDS FOR THE TRANSFER OR RELEASE OF INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

No amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense may be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release to or within the United States, its territories, or possessions of Khalid Sheikh Mohammed or any other detainee who—

(1) is not a United States citizen or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States; and

(2) is or was held on or after January 20, 2009, at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, by the Department of Defense.

**SEC. 1035. UNCLASSIFIED SUMMARY OF INFORMATION RELATING TO INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT PARWAN, AFGHANISTAN.**

Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall make publicly available an unclassified summary of information relating to the individ-

uals detained by the Department of Defense at the Detention Facility at Parwan, Afghanistan, pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note) who have been determined to represent an enduring security threat to the United States. Such summary shall cover any individual detained at such facility as of the date of the enactment of this Act and any individual so detained during the two-year period preceding the date of the enactment of this Act. Such summary shall include for each such covered individual—

(1) a description of the relevant organization or organizations with which the individual is affiliated;

(2) whether the individual had ever been in the custody of or under the effective control of the United States at any time before being detained at such facility and, if so, where the individual had been in such custody or under such effective control; and

(3) whether the individual has been directly linked to the death of any member of the United States Armed Forces or any United States Government employee.

**SEC. 1036. ASSESSMENT OF AFFILIATES AND ADHERENTS OF AL-QAEDA OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**

Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President, acting through the Secretary of Defense, shall submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment containing each of the following:

(1) An identification of any group operating outside the United States that is an affiliate or adherent of, or otherwise related to, al-Qaeda.

(2) A summary of relevant information relating to each such group, including—

(A) the extent to which members or leaders of the group have—

(i) conducted or planned to conduct lethal or significant operations outside the borders of the state or states in which the group ordinarily operates;

(ii) conducted fundraising or recruiting outside the borders of such state or states; and

(iii) have demonstrated any interest in conducting activities described in clauses (i) and (ii) outside the borders of such state or states;

(B) the extent to which the connection of the group to the senior leadership of al-Qaeda has changed over time; and

(C) whether the group has attacked or planned to purposefully attack United States citizens, members of Armed Forces of the United States, or other representatives of the United States, or is likely to do so in the future.

(3) An assessment of whether each group is part of or substantially supporting al-Qaeda or the Taliban, or constitutes an associated force that is engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners.

(4) The criteria used to determine the nature and extent of each group's relationship to al-Qaeda.

**SEC. 1037. DESIGNATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SENIOR OFFICIAL FOR FACILITATING THE TRANSFER OF INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) designate a senior official of the Department of Defense as the official with principal responsibility for coordination and management of the transfer of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba; and

(2) set forth the responsibilities of that senior official with respect to such transfers.

**SEC. 1038. RANK OF CHIEF PROSECUTOR AND CHIEF DEFENSE COUNSEL IN MILITARY COMMISSIONS ESTABLISHED TO TRY INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO.**

For purposes of any military commission established under chapter 47A of title 10, United

States Code, to try an alien unprivileged enemy belligerent (as such terms are defined in section 948a of such title) who is detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, the chief defense counsel and the chief prosecutor shall have the same rank.

**SEC. 1039. REPORT ON CAPABILITY OF YEMENI GOVERNMENT TO DETAIN, REHABILITATE, AND PROSECUTE INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO WHO ARE TRANSFERRED TO YEMEN.**

Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report on the capability of the government of Yemen to detain, rehabilitate, and prosecute individuals detained at Guantanamo (as such term is defined in section 1033(f)(2)) who are transferred to Yemen. Such report shall include an assessment of any humanitarian issues that may be encountered in transferring individuals detained at Guantanamo to Yemen.

**SEC. 1040. REPORT ON ATTACHMENT OF RIGHTS TO INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO IF TRANSFERRED TO THE UNITED STATES.**

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Attorney General shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate a report that includes each of the following:

(1) A description of the extent to which an individual detained at Guantanamo, if transferred to the United States, could become eligible, by reason of such transfer, for—

(A) relief from removal from the United States, including pursuant to the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment;

(B) any required release from immigration detention, including pursuant to the decision of the Supreme Court in *Zadvydas v. Davis*;

(C) asylum or withholding of removal; or

(D) any additional constitutional right.

(2) For any right referred to in paragraph (1) for which the Secretary and Attorney General determine such an individual could become eligible if so transferred, a description of the reasoning behind such determination and an explanation of the nature of the right.

**SEC. 1040A. SUMMARY OF INFORMATION RELATING TO INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO WHO BECAME LEADERS OF FOREIGN TERRORIST GROUPS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall make publicly available a summary of information relating to individuals who were formerly detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, who have, since being transferred or released from such detention, have become leaders or involved in the leadership structure of a foreign terrorist group.

(b) **FORM OF SUMMARY.**—The summary required under subsection (a) shall be in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

**Subtitle E—Sensitive Military Operations**

**SEC. 1041. CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE MILITARY OPERATIONS.**

(a) **NOTIFICATION REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 3 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§ 130f. Congressional notification of sensitive military operations**

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall promptly submit to the congressional defense committees notice in writing of any sensitive military operation following such operation.

“(b) PROCEDURES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall establish and submit to the congressional defense committees procedures for complying with the requirements of subsection (a) consistent with the national security of the United States and the protection of operational integrity.

“(2) The congressional defense committees shall ensure that committee procedures designed to protect from unauthorized disclosure classified information relating to national security of the United States are sufficient to protect the information that is submitted to the committees pursuant to this section.

“(c) SENSITIVE MILITARY OPERATION DEFINED.—The term ‘sensitive military operation’ means a lethal operation or capture operation conducted by the armed forces outside the United States pursuant to—

“(1) the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107–40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note); or

“(2) any other authority except—

“(A) a declaration of war; or

“(B) a specific statutory authorization for the use of force other than the authorization referred to in paragraph (1).

“(d) EXCEPTION.—The notification requirement under subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to a sensitive military operation executed within the territory of Afghanistan pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107–40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note).

“(e) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to provide any new authority or to alter or otherwise affect the War Powers Resolution (50 U.S.C. 1541 et seq.), the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107–40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note), or any requirement under the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.).”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 130e the following new item:

“130f. Congressional notification regarding sensitive military operations.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 130f of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to any sensitive military operation (as defined in subsection (c) of such section) executed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) DEADLINE FOR SUBMITTAL OF PROCEDURES.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees the procedures required under section 130f(b) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), by not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1042. REPORT ON PROCESS FOR DETERMINING TARGETS OF LETHAL OPERATIONS.**

Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing an explanation of the legal and policy considerations and approval processes used in determining whether an individual or group of individuals could be the target of a lethal operation or capture operation conducted by the Armed Forces of the United States outside the United States.

**SEC. 1043. COUNTERTERRORISM OPERATIONAL BRIEFINGS.**

(a) BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.—Chapter 23 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§492. Quarterly briefings: counterterrorism operations**

“(a) BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide to the congressional defense committees quarterly briefings outlining Department of Defense counterterrorism operations and related activities.

“(b) ELEMENTS.—Each briefing under subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

“(1) A global update on activity within each geographic combatant command.

“(2) An overview of authorities and legal issues including limitations.

“(3) An outline of interagency activities and initiatives.

“(4) Any other matters the Secretary considers appropriate.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item: “492. Quarterly briefings: counterterrorism operations.”

**Subtitle F—Nuclear Forces**

**SEC. 1051. PROHIBITION ON ELIMINATION OF THE NUCLEAR TRIAD.**

(a) PROHIBITION ON TRIAD REDUCTIONS.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to reduce, convert, or decommission any strategic delivery system if such reduction, conversion, or decommissioning would eliminate a leg of the nuclear triad.

(b) NUCLEAR TRIAD DEFINED.—The term “nuclear triad” means the nuclear deterrent capabilities of the United States composed of the following:

(1) Land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles.

(2) Submarine-launched ballistic missiles and associated ballistic missile submarines.

(3) Nuclear-certified strategic bombers.

**SEC. 1052. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR REDUCTION OF NUCLEAR FORCES.**

(a) LIMITATION.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense or the National Nuclear Security Administration may be obligated or expended to carry out reductions to the nuclear forces of the United States required by the New START Treaty until—

(1) the Secretary of Defense submits to the appropriate congressional committees the plan required by section 1042(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1575); and

(2) the President certifies to the appropriate congressional committees that any further reductions to such forces that result in such forces being reduced below the level required by the New START Treaty will be carried out only pursuant to—

(A) a treaty or international agreement specifically approved with the advice and consent of the Senate pursuant to Article II, section 2, clause 2 of the Constitution; or

(B) an Act of Congress specifically authorizing such reductions.

(b) EXCEPTION.—The limitation in subsection (a) shall not apply to the following:

(1) Reductions made to ensure the safety, security, reliability, and credibility of the nuclear weapons stockpile and strategic delivery systems, including activities related to surveillance, assessment, certification, testing, and maintenance of nuclear warheads and strategic delivery systems.

(2) Nuclear warheads that are retired or awaiting dismantlement on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) Inspections carried out pursuant to the New START Treaty.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(A) The congressional defense committees.

(B) The Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

(2) The term “New START Treaty” means the Treaty between the United States of America and the Russian Federation on Measures for the Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed on April 8, 2010, and entered into force on February 5, 2011.

**SEC. 1053. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR REDUCTION OR CONSOLIDATION OF DUAL-CAPABLE AIRCRAFT BASED IN EUROPE.**

(a) LIMITATION.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be used to reduce or consolidate the basing of dual-capable aircraft of the United States that are based in Europe until a period of 90 days has elapsed after the date on which the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that—

(1) the Russian Federation has carried out similar reductions or consolidations with respect to dual-capable aircraft of Russia;

(2) the Secretary has consulted with the member states of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization with respect to the planned reduction or consolidation of the Secretary; and

(3) there is a consensus among such member states in support of such planned reduction or consolidation.

(b) DUAL-CAPABLE AIRCRAFT DEFINED.—In this section, the term “dual-capable aircraft” means aircraft that can perform both conventional and nuclear missions.

**SEC. 1054. STATEMENT OF POLICY ON IMPLEMENTATION OF ANY AGREEMENT FOR FURTHER ARMS REDUCTION BELOW THE LEVELS OF THE NEW START TREATY; LIMITATION ON RETIREMENT OR DISMANTLEMENT OF STRATEGIC DELIVERY SYSTEMS.**

(a) FINDING; STATEMENT OF POLICY.—

(1) FINDING.—Congress finds that it was the Declaration of the United States Senate in its Resolution of Advice and Consent to the New START Treaty that “[t]he Senate declares that further arms reduction agreements obligating the United States to reduce or limit the Armed Forces or armaments of the United States in any militarily significant manner may be made only pursuant to the treaty-making power of the President as set forth in Article II, section 2, clause 2 of the Constitution of the United States.”

(2) STATEMENT OF POLICY.—Congress reaffirms the Declaration described in paragraph (1) and states that any agreement for further arms reduction below the levels of the New START Treaty, including those that may seek to use the Treaty’s verification regime, may only be made pursuant to the treaty-making power of the President as set forth in Article II, section 2, clause 2 of the Constitution of the United States or by Act of Congress, as set forth in the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2551 et seq.).

(b) LIMITATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 or any fiscal year thereafter for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to retire, dismantle, or deactivate, or prepare to retire, dismantle, or deactivate, any covered strategic delivery vehicle if such action reduces the number of covered strategic delivery vehicles to less than the 800 required to implement the New START Treaty.

(2) WAIVER.—In accordance with subsection (c), the President may waive the limitation under paragraph (1) with respect to a fiscal year if the President submits to the appropriate congressional committees written notification that—

(A) the Senate has given its advice and consent to ratification of a nuclear arms reduction treaty with the Russian Federation that requires Russia to significantly and proportionally reduce its number of nonstrategic nuclear warheads, or an international agreement for such purpose is entered into pursuant to an Act of Congress as set forth in the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2551 et seq.);

(B) such treaty or agreement has entered into force; and

(C) such waiver is required during such fiscal year to implement such treaty or agreement.

(c) **ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS.**—

(1) **CERTAIN COMPLIANCE OF NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL AGREEMENTS.**—If the President makes a waiver under subsection (b)(2), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 or any fiscal year thereafter for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to retire, dismantle, or deactivate, or prepare to retire, dismantle, or deactivate, any covered strategic delivery vehicle until 30 days elapses following the date on which the President submits to the appropriate congressional committees and the congressional intelligence committees written certification that the Russian Federation is in compliance with its nuclear arms control agreements and obligations with the United States.

(2) **CERTAIN INTELLIGENCE.**—If the President makes a waiver under subsection (b)(2), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 or any fiscal year thereafter for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to retire, dismantle, or deactivate, or prepare to retire, dismantle, or deactivate, any covered strategic delivery vehicle in accordance with a treaty or international agreement entered into pursuant to an Act of Congress requiring such actions unless the President submits to the appropriate congressional committees and the congressional intelligence committees written certification that the intelligence community has high confidence judgments with respect to—

(A) the nuclear weapons production capacity of the People's Republic of China;

(B) the nature, number, location, and targetability of the nuclear weapons and strategic delivery systems of China; and

(C) the nuclear doctrine of China.

(d) **EXCEPTION.**—The limitations in subsection (b) and (c) shall not apply to reductions made to ensure the safety, security, reliability, and credibility of the nuclear weapons stockpile and strategic delivery systems of the United States, including activities related to surveillance, assessment, certification, testing, and maintenance of nuclear warheads and strategic delivery system.

(e) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(A) The congressional defense committees.

(B) The Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

(2) The term “congressional intelligence committees” means the following:

(A) The Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

(B) The Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

(3) The term “covered strategic delivery vehicle” means the following:

(A) B-52H bomber aircraft.

(B) B-2 Spirit bomber aircraft.

(C) Trident ballistic missile submarines.

(D) Trident II D5 submarine launched ballistic missiles.

(E) Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missiles.

(4) The term “New START Treaty” means the Treaty between the United States of America and the Russian Federation on Measures for the Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed on April 8, 2010, and entered into force on February 5, 2011.

**SEC. 1055. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON COMPLIANCE WITH NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL AGREEMENTS.**

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds the following:

(1) President Obama stated in Prague in April 2009 that “Rules must be binding. Violations must be punished. Words must mean something.”

(2) President Obama’s Nuclear Posture Review of 2010 stated, “it is not enough to detect non-compliance; violators must know that they will face consequences when they are caught.”

(3) The July 2010 Verifiability Assessment released by the Department of State on the New START Treaty stated, “The costs and risks of Russian cheating or breakout, on the other hand, would likely be very significant. In addition to the financial and international political costs of such an action, any Russian leader considering cheating or breakout from the New START Treaty would have to consider that the United States will retain the ability to upload large numbers of additional nuclear warheads on both bombers and missiles under the New START, which would provide the ability for a timely and very significant U.S. response.”

(4) Subsection (a) of the Resolution of Advice and Consent to Ratification of the New START Treaty of the Senate, agreed to on December 22, 2010, listed conditions of the Senate to the ratification of the New START Treaty that are binding upon the President, including the condition under paragraph (1)(B) of such subsection that requires the President to take certain actions in response to actions by the Russian Federation that are in violation of or inconsistent with such treaty, including to “seek on an urgent basis a meeting with the Russian Federation at the highest diplomatic level with the objective of bringing the Russian Federation into full compliance with its obligations under the New START Treaty”.

(5) The Obama Administration demonstrated that violations of treaty obligations by other parties require corresponding action by the United States when, on November 22, 2011, the Department of State announced that the United States would “cease carrying out certain obligations under the Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (CFE) Treaty with regard to Russia. This announcement in the CFE Treaty’s implementation group comes after the United States and NATO Allies have tried over the past 4 years to find a diplomatic solution following Russia’s decision in 2007 to cease implementation with respect to all other 29 CFE States. Since then, Russia has refused to accept inspections and ceased to provide information to other CFE Treaty parties on its military forces as required by the Treaty.”

(6) On October 17, 2012, the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Chairman of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives wrote a classified letter to the President stating their concerns about a major arms control violation by the Russian Federation.

(7) The Chairmen followed up their classified letter with unclassified letters on February 14 and April 12, 2013—in their latest letter, the Chairmen stated that they expect the Administration to “directly confront the Russian violations and circumventions of this and other treaties. . . [we] further ask, again, for your engagement in correcting this behavior. We also seek your commitment not to undertake further reductions to the U.S. nuclear deterrent or extended deterrent until this Russian behavior is corrected. We are in full agreement with your policy as you articulated it in Prague four years ago this month, ‘rules must be binding, Violations must be punished. Words must mean something.’”

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that the President should consider not seeking to further limit or reduce the nuclear forces of the United States, including by negotiation, with a foreign country that remains in active noncompliance with existing nuclear arms obligations, such as the Russian Federation.

(c) **OBLIGATIONS OF THE PRESIDENT IN THE EVENT OF NONCOMPLIANCE.**—If the President determines that a foreign country is not in compliance with its obligations under a nuclear arms control agreement, treaty, or commitment to which the United States is a party or in which the United States is a participating government,

including the Missile Technology Control Regime, the President shall—

(1) immediately consult with Congress regarding the implications of such noncompliance for—

(A) the viability of such agreement, treaty, or commitment; and

(B) the national security interests of the United States and the allies of the United States;

(2) submit to Congress a plan concerning the diplomatic strategy of the President to engage such foreign country at the highest diplomatic level with the objective of bringing such country into full compliance with such obligations; and

(3) at the earliest date practicable following the submission of the plan under paragraph (2), submit to Congress a report detailing—

(A) whether adherence by the United States to such obligation remains in the national security interests of the United States or the allies of the United States; and

(B) how the United States will redress the effect of such noncompliance to the national security interests of the United States or such allies.

**SEC. 1056. RETENTION OF CAPABILITY TO REDEPLOY MULTIPLE INDEPENDENTLY TARGETABLE REENTRY VEHICLES.**

(a) **DEPLOYMENT CAPABILITY.**—The Secretary of the Air Force shall ensure that the Air Force is capable of—

(1) deploying multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles to Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missiles, and any ground-based strategic deterrent follow-on to such missiles; and

(2) commencing such deployment not later than 270 days after the date on which the President determines such deployment necessary.

(b) **WARHEAD CAPABILITY.**—The Nuclear Weapons Council established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code, shall ensure that—

(1) the nuclear weapons stockpile contains a sufficient number of nuclear warheads that are capable of being deployed as multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles with respect to Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missiles, and any ground-based strategic deterrent follow-on to such missiles; and

(2) such deployment is capable of being commenced not later than 270 days after the date on which the President determines such deployment necessary.

**SEC. 1057. ASSESSMENT OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS PROGRAM OF THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.**

Section 1045(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1933) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (4), by striking “August 15, 2013” and inserting “August 15, 2014”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) **LIMITATION.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Office of the Secretary of Defense for travel, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended until a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of Defense notifies the appropriate congressional committees that the Secretary has entered into an agreement under paragraph (1) with a federally funded research and development center.”

**SEC. 1058. COST ESTIMATES FOR NUCLEAR WEAPONS.**

Section 1043(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1576), as amended by section 1041 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1931), is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)(F), by inserting “personnel,” after “maintenance,”; and

(2) in paragraph (3), by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, including how and which locations were counted”.

**SEC. 1059. REPORT ON NEW START TREATY.**

Not later than January 15, 2014, the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report on whether the New START Treaty (as defined in section 494(a)(2)(D)(ii) of title 10, United States Code) is in the national security interests of the United States.

**Subtitle G—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations**

**SEC. 1061. ENHANCEMENT OF CAPACITY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT TO ANALYZE CAPTURED RECORDS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 21 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 426 the following new section:

**“§427. Conflict Records Research Center**

“(a) CENTER AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of Defense may establish a center to be known as the ‘Conflict Records Research Center’ (in this section referred to as the ‘Center’).

“(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the Center shall be the following:

“(1) To establish a digital research database including translations and to facilitate research and analysis of records captured from countries, organizations, and individuals, now or once hostile to the United States, with rigid adherence to academic freedom and integrity.

“(2) Consistent with the protection of national security information, personally identifiable information, and intelligence sources and methods, to make a significant portion of these records available to researchers as quickly and responsibly as possible while taking into account the integrity of the academic process and risks to innocents or third parties.

“(3) To conduct and disseminate research and analysis to increase the understanding of factors related to international relations, counterterrorism, and conventional and unconventional warfare and, ultimately, enhance national security.

“(4) To collaborate with members of academic and broad national security communities, both domestic and international, on research, conferences, seminars, and other information exchanges to identify topics of importance for the leadership of the United States Government and the scholarly community.

“(c) CONCURRENCE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.—The Secretary of Defense shall seek the concurrence of the Director of National Intelligence to the extent the efforts and activities of the Center involve the entities referred to in subsection (b)(4).

“(d) SUPPORT FROM OTHER UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS OR AGENCIES.—The head of any non-Department of Defense department or agency of the United States Government may—

“(1) provide to the Secretary of Defense services, including personnel support, to support the operations of the Center; and

“(2) transfer funds to the Secretary of Defense to support the operations of the Center.

“(e) ACCEPTANCE OF GIFTS AND DONATIONS.—(1) Subject to paragraph (3), the Secretary of Defense may accept from any source specified in paragraph (2) any gift or donation for purposes of defraying the costs or enhancing the operations of the Center.

“(2) The sources specified in this paragraph are the following:

“(A) The government of a State or a political subdivision of a State.

“(B) The government of a foreign country.

“(C) A foundation or other charitable organization, including a foundation or charitable organization that is organized or operates under the laws of a foreign country.

“(D) Any source in the private sector of the United States or a foreign country.

“(3) The Secretary may not accept a gift or donation under this subsection if acceptance of

the gift or donation would compromise or appear to compromise—

“(A) the ability of the Department of Defense, any employee of the Department, or any member of the armed forces to carry out the responsibility or duty of the Department in a fair and objective manner; or

“(B) the integrity of any program of the Department or of any person involved in such a program.

“(4) The Secretary shall provide written guidance setting forth the criteria to be used in determining the applicability of paragraph (3) to any proposed gift or donation under this subsection.

“(f) CREDITING OF FUNDS TRANSFERRED OR ACCEPTED.—Funds transferred to or accepted by the Secretary of Defense under this section shall be credited to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for the Center, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as the appropriations with which merged. Any funds so transferred or accepted shall remain available until expended.

“(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘captured record’ means a document, audio file, video file, or other material captured during combat operations from countries, organizations, or individuals, now or once hostile to the United States.

“(2) The term ‘gift or donation’ means any gift or donation of funds, materials (including research materials), real or personal property, or services (including lecture services and faculty services).”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 426 the following new item:

“427. Conflict Records Research Center.”

**SEC. 1062. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE MILITARY TRANSPORTATION SERVICES TO CERTAIN OTHER AGENCIES AT THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REIMBURSEMENT RATE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2642(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “airlift” each place it appears and inserting “transportation”; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—  
(A) by striking “October 28, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2019”;

(B) by inserting and “military transportation services provided in support of foreign military sales” after “Department of Defense”; and  
(C) by striking “air industry” and inserting “transportation industry”.

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—The heading for such section is amended by striking “Airlift” and inserting “Transportation”.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 157 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2642 and inserting the following new item:

“2642. Transportation services provided to certain other agencies: use of Department of Defense reimbursement rates”.

**SEC. 1063. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR MODIFICATION OF FORCE STRUCTURE OF THE ARMY.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of the Army may be used to modify the force structure or basing strategy of the Army until the Secretary of the Army—

(1) submits to Congress the report on force structure required by section 1066 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1943); and

(2) provides to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the most recent force mix analysis conducted by the Secretary, including—

(A) the assumptions and scenarios used to determine the type and mix of Brigade Combat Teams;

(B) the rationale for the recommended force mix; and

(C) the risks involved with the recommended force mix.

**SEC. 1064. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR PUBLIC-PRIVATE COOPERATION ACTIVITIES.**

No amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense by this Act or any other Act may be obligated or expended on any public-private cooperation activity undertaken by a combatant command until the Secretary of Defense submits to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives the report on the conclusions of the Defense Business Board that the Secretary was directed to provide under the Report of the Committee on Armed Services to accompany H.R. 4310 of the 112th Congress (H. Rept. 112–479).

**Subtitle H—Studies and Reports**

**SEC. 1071. OVERSIGHT OF COMBAT SUPPORT AGENCIES.**

Section 193(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by inserting “and the congressional defense committees” after “the Secretary of Defense”.

**SEC. 1072. INCLUSION IN ANNUAL REPORT OF DESCRIPTION OF INTERAGENCY COORDINATION RELATING TO HUMANITARIAN DEMINING TECHNOLOGY.**

Section 407(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) a description of interagency efforts to coordinate and improve research, development, test, and evaluation for humanitarian demining technology and mechanical clearance methods, including the transfer of relevant counter-improvised explosive device technology with potential humanitarian demining applications.”

**SEC. 1073. EXTENSION OF DEADLINE FOR CONTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON ASSIGNMENT OF CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AS ADVISORS TO FOREIGN MINISTRIES OF DEFENSE.**

Section 1081(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1599; 10 U.S.C. 168 note) is amended by striking “December 30, 2013” and inserting “December 30, 2014”.

**SEC. 1074. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR CONTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EFFICIENCIES.**

Section 1054 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1582) is repealed.

**SEC. 1075. MATTERS FOR INCLUSION IN THE ASSESSMENT OF THE 2013 QUADRENNIAL DEFENSE REVIEW.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of conducting the assessment of the 2013 quadrennial defense review under section 118 of title 10, United States Code, the National Defense Panel established under subsection (f) of such section (hereinafter in this section referred to as the “Panel”) shall—

(1) conduct an assessment of the recommendation included in the assessment of the 2009 quadrennial defense review under such section regarding the establishment of a standing, independent strategic review panel;

(2) include in the report required by paragraph (7) of such subsection the recommendations of the Panel regarding the establishment of such a standing panel; and



(3) take into consideration the Strategic Choices and Management Review directed by the Secretary of Defense during 2013, particularly in carrying out the responsibilities of the Panel under clauses (i), (ii), and (v) of paragraph (5) of such subsection.

(b) **UPDATES FROM SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**—In providing updates to the panel regarding the 2013 quadrennial defense review under paragraph (8) of such subsection, or providing information requested by the panel pursuant to paragraph (9)(A) of such subsection, the Secretary of Defense or head of the department or agency, as appropriate, shall also provide information related to the Strategic Choices and Management Review.

**SEC. 1076. REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT OF UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES AND UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the United States Special Operations Forces organization, capabilities, and structure.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than the date on which the budget of the President is submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review conducted under subsection (a). Such report shall include an analysis of each of the following:

(1) The organizational structure of the United States Special Operations Command and each subordinate component, as in effect as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) The policy and civilian oversight structures for Special Operations Forces within the Department of Defense, as in effect as of the date of the enactment of this Act, including the statutory structures and responsibilities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict within the Department.

(3) The roles and responsibilities of United States Special Operations Command and Special Operations Forces under section 167 of title 10, United States Code.

(4) Current and future special operations peculiar requirements of the commanders of the geographic combatant commands, Theater Special Operations Commands, and command relationships between United States Special Operations Command and the geographic combatant commands.

(5) The funding authorities, uses, and oversight mechanisms of Major Force Program—11.

(6) Changes to structure, authorities, oversight mechanisms, Major Force Program—11 funding, roles, and responsibilities assumed in the 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review.

(7) Any other matters the Secretary of Defense determines are appropriate to ensure a comprehensive review and assessment.

(c) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the report required by subsection (b) is submitted, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a review of the report. Such review shall include an assessment of United States Special Operations Forces organization, capabilities, and force structure with respect to conventional force structures and national military strategies.

**SEC. 1077. REPORTS ON UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS.**

(a) **REPORT ON COLLABORATION, DEMONSTRATION, AND USE CASES AND DATA SHARING.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Transportation, the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration, and the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, on behalf of the UAS Executive Committee, shall submit jointly to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth the following:

(1) The collaboration, demonstrations, and initial fielding of unmanned aircraft systems at test sites within and outside of restricted airspace.

(2) The progress being made to develop public and civil sense-and-avoid and command-and-control technology.

(3) An assessment on the sharing of operational, programmatic, and research data relating to unmanned aircraft systems operations by the Federal Aviation Administration, the Department of Defense, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to help the Federal Aviation Administration establish civil unmanned aircraft systems certification standards, pilot certification and licensing, and air traffic control procedures, including identifying the locations selected to collect, analyze, and store the data.

(b) **REPORT ON RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS NEEDED FOR UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS DESCRIBED IN THE FIVE-YEAR ROADMAP.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, on behalf of the UAS Executive Committee, shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth the resource requirements needed to meet the milestones for unmanned aircraft systems integration described in the five-year roadmap under section 332(a)(5) of the FAA Modernization and Reform Act (Public Law 112–95; 49 U.S.C. 40101 note).

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

(2) The term “UAS Executive Committee” means the Department of Defense–Federal Aviation Administration executive committee described in section 1036(b) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4596) established by the Secretary of Defense and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration.

**SEC. 1078. ONLINE AVAILABILITY OF REPORTS SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a)(1) of section 122a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(1) made available on a publicly accessible Internet website of the Department of Defense; and”

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to reports submitted to Congress after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1079. PROVISION OF DEFENSE PLANNING GUIDANCE AND CONTINGENCY OPERATION PLAN INFORMATION TO CONGRESS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 113(g) of title 10, United States Code is amended by adding at the end, the following new paragraph:

“(3) At the time of the budget submission by the President for a fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees an annual report containing summaries of the guidance developed under paragraphs (1) and (2), as well as summaries of any plans developed in accordance with the guidance developed under paragraph (2). Such summaries shall be sufficient to allow the congressional defense committees to evaluate fully the requirements for military forces, acquisition programs, and operations and maintenance funding in the President’s annual budget request for the Department of Defense.”

(b) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Notwithstanding the requirement under paragraph (3) of section

113(g) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), that the Secretary of Defense submit reports under that paragraph at the time of the President’s annual budget submission, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees the first report required under that paragraph by not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) **LIMITATION ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS PENDING REPORT.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, for the office of the Secretary of Defense, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended before the date that is 15 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the report described in subsection (b).

**Subtitle I—Other Matters**

**SEC. 1081. TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**

(a) **TITLE 10.**—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) The table of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A, and at the beginning of part I of such subtitle, are each amended by striking the item relating to chapter 24 and inserting the following:

**24. Nuclear Posture ..... 491**

(2) Section 122a(a) is amended by striking “subsection (b) is” and inserting “subsection (b) is—”.

(3) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 is amended by striking the item relating to section 130e and inserting the following new item:

“130e. Treatment under Freedom of Information Act of critical infrastructure security information.”

(4) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 9 is amended by striking the item relating to section 231 and inserting the following new item:

“231. Budgeting for construction of naval vessels: annual plan and certification.”

(5) Section 231a(a) is amended by striking “fiscal year of Defense” and inserting “fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense”.

(6) Chapter 24 is amended by adding a period at the end of the enumerator of section 498.

(7) Section 494(c) is amended by striking “the date of the enactment of this Act” each place it appears and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

(8) Section 673(a) is amended by inserting “of the Uniform Code of Military Justice” after “120c”.

(9) Section 1401a is amended by striking “before the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008” in subsections (d) and (e) and inserting “before January 28, 2008”.

(10) Section 2359b(k)(4)(B) is amended by adding a period at the end.

(11) Section 2461(a)(5)(E)(i) is amended by striking “the a” and inserting “the”.

(b) **NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013.**—Effective as of January 2, 2013, and as if included therein as enacted, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 322(e)(2) (126 Stat. 1695) is amended by striking “Section 2366b(A)(3)(F)” and inserting “Section 2366b(a)(3)(F)”.

(2) Section 371(a)(1) (126 Stat. 1706) is amended by striking “subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (g) and (h), respectively” and inserting “subsection (f) as subsection (g)”.

(3) Section 611(7) (126 Stat. 1776) is amended by striking “Section 408a(e)” and inserting “Section 478a(e)”.

(4) Section 822(b) (126 Stat. 1830) is amended by striking “such Act” and inserting “such section”.

(5) Section 1031(b)(3)(B) (126 Stat. 1918) is amended by striking the subclause (III) immediately below clause (iv).

(6) Section 1031(b)(4) (126 Stat.1919) is amended by striking “Section 1031(b)” and inserting “Section 1041(b)”.

(7) Section 1086(d)(1) (126 Stat.1969) is amended by striking “paragraph (1)” and inserting “paragraph (2)”.

(8) Section 1221(a)(2) (126 Stat. 1992) is amended by striking “FISCAL” both places it appears and inserting “FISCAL”.

(9) Section 1804 (126 Stat. 2111) is amended—(A) in subsection (h)(1)(B), by striking “inserting”; and;” and inserting “inserting a semicolon;”; and

(B) in subsection (i), by inserting after “it appears” the following: “(except in those places in which ‘Administrator of FEMA’ already appears)”.

(c) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2012.—Effective as of December 31, 2011, and as if included therein as enacted, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 312(b)(6)(F) (125 Stat. 1354) is amended by striking “subsection (D)” and inserting “subsection (d)”.

(2) Section 585(a)(1) (125 Stat. 1434; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended “experts sexual” and inserting “experts in sexual”.

(d) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004.—Section 338(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 10 U.S.C. 5013 note), as most recently amended by section 321 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1694), is amended by striking “subsection 4703” and inserting “section 4703”.

(e) AMENDMENT TO TITLE 41.—Section 4712(i) is amended by inserting before “the enactment” the following: “that is 180 days after the date”.

(f) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AMENDMENTS MADE BY THIS ACT.—For purposes of applying amendments made by provisions of this Act other than this section, the amendments made by this section shall be treated as having been enacted immediately before any amendment made by other provisions of this Act.

**SEC. 1082. TRANSPORTATION OF SUPPLIES FOR THE UNITED STATES BY AIRCRAFT OPERATED BY UNITED STATES AIR CARRIERS.**

(a) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 157 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2631a the following new section:

**“§2631b. Supplies: preference to United States aircraft**

“(a) PREFERENCE.—Only aircraft owned by the United States, or aircraft operated by or under the supervision of United States air carriers holding a certificate under section 41102 of title 49 and registered in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet, may be used for the transportation by air of supplies on behalf of any component of the Department of Defense. However, if the President finds that the rates charged for the use of those aircraft is excessive or otherwise unreasonable, contracts for transportation may be made as otherwise provided by law. Charges made for the transportation of those supplies by those aircraft may not be higher than the charges made for transporting like goods for private persons.

“(b) OUTSIZE AND OVERSIZE CARGOES.—(1) The preference under subsection (a) shall not apply to outsize or oversize cargoes if no air carrier registered in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet nor any aircraft owned by the United States is capable and available of transporting such a cargo.

“(2) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable, outsize and oversize cargoes are transported by aircraft owned and operated by the United States or by air carriers in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet.

“(3) Not later than March 30 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congres-

sional defense committees a report on outsize and oversize cargo flights. Each such report shall include, for the year covered by the report, each of the following:

“(A) The number of outsize and oversize cargo flights, including the number of flights and tonnage of each flight, flown both by aircraft owned and operated by the United States and by carriers in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet.

“(B) For any cargo carried by aircraft that is neither owned and operated by the United States nor by an air carrier in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet, an explanation for the use of such a carrier.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2631a the following new item:

“2631b. Supplies: preference to United States aircraft.”.

(b) OTHER DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 401 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§40131. Air transportation procured by the United States Government**

“(a) GUARANTEE.—Consistent with the provisions of section 40118 of title 49, when the United States procures, enters into a contract for, or otherwise obtains for its own account, or furnishes to or for the account of a foreign country, organization, or person without provision for reimbursement, any equipment, materials, or commodities, or provides financing in any way with Federal funds for the account of any person unless otherwise exempted, within or without the United States, or advances funds or credits, or guarantees the convertibility of foreign currencies in connection with the furnishing or obtaining of the equipment, materials, or commodities, the appropriate agencies shall take steps necessary and practicable to ensure that at least 50 percent of the gross tonnage of the equipment, materials, or commodities which may be transported on fixed wing aircraft are transported on privately-owned commercial aircraft that are owned, operated, or otherwise supervised by air carriers holding a certificate under section 41102 of this title and registered in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet, to the extent those aircraft are appropriate and available at fair and reasonable rates.

“(b) EXCEPTION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The requirements of this section shall not apply to any equipment, materials, or commodities transported for the use of the military services of the United States or to respond to a humanitarian disaster.

“(2) HUMANITARIAN DISASTER DEFINED.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘humanitarian disaster’ means a man-made or natural occurrence that causes loss of life, health, property, or livelihood, inflicting severe destruction and distress.

“(c) WAIVER.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The President, the Secretary of Transportation, or the Secretary of State, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, as appropriate, may issue a temporary waiver of this section—

“(A) to respond to an emergency; or

“(B) if such a waiver is in the national interests of the United States.

“(2) COMMITTEE NOTICE.—The President, the Secretary of Transportation, or the Secretary of State, as appropriate, shall notify the following Committees within 30 days of exercising a waiver under paragraph (1):

“(A) The Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

“(B) The Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate.

“(C) The Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

“(D) The Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

“(E) The Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

“(3) EXPIRATION AND RENEWAL OF WAIVER.—Any waiver issued under paragraph (1) shall expire not later than 180 days after the date on which it is issued. The President, the Secretary of Transportation, or the Secretary of State, as appropriate, may renew an expired or expiring waiver as long as the President or Secretary provides notice to the committees referred to in paragraph (2) in accordance with that paragraph.

“(d) REGULATIONS.—Each department or agency of the Government shall administer its air transport operations according to regulations and guidance issued by the Secretary of Transportation.

“(e) ENFORCEMENT.—The Secretary of Transportation may impose on any person violating this section, or a regulation issued under this section, a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 for each violation knowingly committed, with each day of a continuing violation following the initial shipment to be a separate violation.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“40131. Air transportation procured by the United States Government.”.

**SEC. 1083. REDUCTION IN COSTS TO REPORT CRITICAL CHANGES TO MAJOR AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM PROGRAMS.**

(a) EXTENSION OF A PROGRAM DEFINED.—Section 2445a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) EXTENSION OF A PROGRAM.—In this chapter, the term ‘extension of a program’ means, with respect to a major automated information system program or other major information technology investment program, the further deployment or planned deployment to additional users of the system which has already been found operationally effective and suitable by an independent test agency or the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, beyond the scope planned in the original estimate or information originally submitted on the program.”.

(b) REPORTS ON CRITICAL CHANGES IN MAIS PROGRAMS.—Subsection (d) of section 2445c of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “paragraph (2)” and inserting “paragraph (3)”;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) NOTIFICATION WHEN VARIANCE DUE TO CONGRESSIONAL ACTION OR EXTENSION OF PROGRAM.—If a senior Department of Defense official who, following receipt of a quarterly report described in paragraph (1) and making a determination described in paragraph (3), also determines that the circumstances resulting in the determination described in paragraph (3) either (A) are primarily the result of congressional action, or (B) are primarily due to an extension of a program, the official may, in lieu of carrying out an evaluation and submitting a report in accordance with paragraph (1), submit to the congressional defense committees, within 45 days after receiving the quarterly report, a notification that the official has made those determinations. If such a notification is submitted, the limitation in subsection (g)(1) does not apply with respect to that determination under paragraph (3).”.

(c) CONFORMING CROSS-REFERENCE AMENDMENT.—Subsection (g)(1) of such section is amended by striking “subsection (d)(2)” and inserting “subsection (d)(3)”.

(d) TOTAL ACQUISITION COST INFORMATION.—Title 10, United States Code, is further amended—

(1) in section 2445b(b)(3), by striking “development costs” and inserting “total acquisition costs”; and

(2) in section 2445c—

(A) in subparagraph (B) of subsection (c)(2), by striking “program development cost” and inserting “total acquisition cost”; and

(B) in subparagraph (C) of subsection (d)(3) (as redesignated by subsection (b)(2)), by striking “program development cost” and inserting “total acquisition cost”.

(e) CLARIFICATION OF CROSS-REFERENCE.—Section 2445c(g)(2) of such title is amended by striking “in compliance with the requirements of subsection (d)(2)” and inserting “under subsection (d)(1)(B)”.

**SEC. 1084. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION TO ISSUE NON-PREMIUM AVIATION INSURANCE.**

Section 44310 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—” before “The authority”;

(2) by striking “this chapter” and inserting “any provision of this chapter other than section 44305”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) INSURANCE OF UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PROPERTY.—The authority of the Secretary of Transportation to provide insurance and reinsurance for a department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government under section 44305 is not effective after December 31, 2018.”.

**SEC. 1085. REVISION OF COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL COMMISSION ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE AIR FORCE.**

(a) REVISION.—Section 365(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat.1705) is amended—

(1) by striking “shall be compensated” and inserting “may be compensated”;

(2) by striking “equal to” and inserting “not to exceed”; and

(3) by inserting “of \$155,400” after “annual rate”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to compensation for a duty performed on or after April 2, 2013.

**SEC. 1086. PROTECTION OF TIER ONE TASK CRITICAL ASSETS FROM ELECTROMAGNETIC PULSE AND HIGH-POWERED MICROWAVE SYSTEMS.**

(a) CERTIFICATION REQUIRED.—Not later than June 1, 2014, the Secretary of the Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees certification that defense critical assets designated as tier one task critical assets (hereinafter referred to as “TCAs”) are protected from the adverse effects of man-made or naturally occurring electromagnetic pulse and high-powered microwave weapons. Any such assets found not to be so protected shall be included in the plan required under subsection (b).

(b) PLAN REQUIRED.—Not later than January 1, 2015, the Secretary of the Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for tier one TCAs to receive electricity by means that are protected from the adverse effects of man-made or naturally occurring electromagnetic pulse and high-powered microwave weapons. The plan shall include the following elements:

(1) An analysis of how the Department of Defense plans to mitigate any risks to mission assurance for non-certified tier one TCAs, including any steps that may be needed for remediation.

(2) The development or adoption by the Department of a standard of resistance or protection against man-made and natural electromagnetic threats for electricity sources that supply electricity to tier one TCAs.

(3) The development by the Department of a strategy to certify by December 31, 2015, that all electricity sourced to tier one TCAs is provided by facilities that meet the standard developed under paragraph (2).

(c) PREPARATION OF PLAN.—In preparing the plan required by subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense shall use the guidance and recommendations of the Commission to Assess the Threat to the United States from Electromagnetic Pulse Attack established by section 1401 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106–398; 114 Stat. 1654A–345).

(d) FORM OF SUBMISSION.—The plan required by subsection (b) shall be submitted in classified form.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “task critical asset” means an asset of such extraordinary importance to operations in peace, crisis, and war that its incapacitation or destruction would have a debilitating effect on the ability of the Department of Defense to fulfill its missions.

(2) The term “tier one” with respect to a task critical asset means such an asset the loss, incapacitation, or disruption of which could result in mission (or function) failure at the Department of Defense, military department, combatant command, sub-unified command, Defense Agency, or defense infrastructure sector level.

**SEC. 1087. STRATEGY FOR FUTURE MILITARY INFORMATION OPERATIONS CAPABILITIES.**

(a) STRATEGY REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall develop and implement a strategy for developing and sustaining military information operations capabilities for future contingencies. The Secretary shall submit such strategy to the congressional defense committees by not later than February 1, 2014.

(b) CONTENTS OF STRATEGY.—The strategy required in subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) A plan for the sustainment of existing capabilities that have been developed during the ten-year period prior to the date of the enactment of this Act, including such capabilities developed using funds authorized to be appropriated for overseas contingency operations.

(2) A discussion of how the capabilities referred to in paragraph (1) are being integrated into both operational plans (OPLANS) and contingency plans (CONPLANS).

(3) An assessment of the force structure that is necessary to support operational planning and potential contingency operations, including the relative balance across the active and reserve components.

(4) Estimates of the steady-state resources needed to support the force structure referred to in paragraph (3), as well as estimates for resources that might be needed based on selected OPLANS and CONPLANS.

(5) A description of how new and emerging technologies can be incorporated into the projected force structure and future OPLANS and CONPLANS.

(6) A description of new capabilities that may be needed to fill any identified gaps and programs that might be required to develop such capabilities.

**SEC. 1088. COMPLIANCE OF MILITARY DEPARTMENTS WITH MINIMUM SAFE STAFFING STANDARDS.**

In implementing the sequester required by section 251A of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as ordered on March 1, 2013, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that all military departments remain fully compliant with minimum safe staffing standards, as outlined in the Department of Defense Fire and Emergency Services Program (DoD Instruction 6055.06).

**SEC. 1089. DETERMINATION AND DISCLOSURE OF TRANSPORTATION COSTS INCURRED BY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR CONGRESSIONAL TRIPS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**

(a) DETERMINATION AND DISCLOSURE OF COSTS BY SECRETARY.—In the case of a trip taken by a Member, officer, or employee of the House of

Representatives or Senate in carrying out official duties outside the United States for which the Department of Defense provides transportation, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) determine the cost of the transportation provided with respect to the Member, officer, or employee;

(2) not later than 10 days after completion of the trip involved, provide a written statement of the cost—

(A) to the Member, officer, or employee involved, and

(B) to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives (in the case of a trip taken by a Member, officer, or employee of the House) or the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate (in the case of a trip taken by a Member, officer, or employee of the Senate); and

(3) upon providing a written statement under paragraph (2), make the statement available for viewing on the Secretary's official public website until the expiration of the 4-year period which begins on the final day of the trip involved.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) EXCEPTIONS DESCRIBED.—This section does not apply with respect to any trip for which any of the following applies:

(A) The purpose of the trip is to visit one or more United States military installations or to visit United States military personnel in a war zone (or both).

(B) The use of transportation provided by the Department of Defense is necessary to protect the safety and security of the individuals taking the trip.

(2) CONSULTATION.—In determining whether or not a trip is described in paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall consult with the Speaker of the House of Representatives (in the case of a trip taken by a Member, officer, or employee of the House) or the Majority Leader of the Senate (in the case of a trip taken by a Member, officer, or employee of the Senate).

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) MEMBER.—The term “Member”, with respect to the House of Representatives, includes a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to the Congress.

(2) UNITED STATES.—The term “United States” means the several States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and any other territory or possession of the United States.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall apply with respect to trips taken on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, except that this section does not apply with respect to any trip which began prior to such date.

**TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS**

**SEC. 1101. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO WAIVE ANNUAL LIMITATION ON PREMIUM PAY AND AGGREGATE LIMITATION ON PAY FOR FEDERAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES WORKING OVERSEAS.**

Effective January 1, 2014, section 1101(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4615), as most recently amended by section 1101 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1973), is further amended by striking “through 2013” and inserting “through 2014”.

**SEC. 1102. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY TO GRANT ALLOWANCES, BENEFITS, AND GRATUITIES TO PERSONNEL ON OFFICIAL DUTY IN A COMBAT ZONE.**

Paragraph (2) of section 1603(a) of the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Hurricane Recovery, 2006 (Public Law 109–234; 120 Stat. 443), as added by section 1102 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for

Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4616) and most recently amended by section 1104 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 125 Stat. 1973), is further amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2015”.

**SEC. 1103. EXTENSION OF VOLUNTARY REDUCTION-IN-FORCE AUTHORITY FOR CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

Section 3502(f)(5) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “September 30, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2015”.

**SEC. 1104. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE LUMP-SUM SEVERANCE PAYMENTS TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EMPLOYEES.**

Section 5595(i)(4) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “October 1, 2014” and inserting “October 1, 2018”.

**SEC. 1105. REVISION TO AMOUNT OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE UNDER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SCIENCE, MATHEMATICS, AND RESEARCH FOR TRANSFORMATION (SMART) DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM.**

Paragraph (2) of section 2192a(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “the amount determined” and all that follows through “room and board” and inserting “an amount determined by the Secretary of Defense”.

**SEC. 1106. EXTENSION OF PROGRAM FOR EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION-TECHNOLOGY PERSONNEL.**

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 1110(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (5 U.S.C. 3702 note) is amended by striking “2013.” and inserting “2023.”

(b) *REPORTING REQUIREMENT.*—Section 1110(i) of such Act is amended by striking “2015,” and inserting “2024.”

**SEC. 1107. DEFENSE SCIENCE INITIATIVE FOR PERSONNEL.**

(a) *STATEMENT OF POLICY.*—It is the policy of the United States to assure the scientific and technological preeminence of its defense laboratories, which are essential to the national security, by requiring the Department of Defense to provide to its science and technology laboratories—

(1) the personnel and support services needed to carry out their mission; and

(2) decentralized management authority.

(b) *ESTABLISHMENT OF INITIATIVE.*—There is hereby established within the Department of Defense a program to be known as the Defense Science Initiative for Personnel (hereinafter in this section referred to as the “Initiative”).

(c) *LABORATORIES COVERED BY INITIATIVE.*—The laboratories covered by the Initiative—

(1) shall be those designated as Science and Technology Reinvention Laboratories (hereinafter in this section referred to as “STRLs”) by the Secretary or by paragraph (2); and

(2) shall include the laboratories enumerated in section 1105 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (10 U.S.C. 2358 note), which laboratories are hereby designated as STRLs.

(d) *SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING DEGREEED AND TECHNICAL POSITIONS AT STRLS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The director of any STRL may appoint qualified candidates, without regard to sections 3309-3319 of title 5, United States Code, directly to scientific, technical, engineering, mathematical, or medical positions within such STRL, on either a temporary, term, or permanent basis.

(2) *QUALIFIED CANDIDATE DEFINED.*—Notwithstanding any provision of chapter 51 of title 5, United States Code, for purposes of this subsection, the term “qualified candidate” means an individual who is—

(A) a candidate who has earned a bachelor’s or master’s degree;

(B) a student enrolled in a program of undergraduate or graduate instruction leading to a

bachelor’s or master’s degree in a scientific, technical, engineering, mathematical, or medical course of study at an institution of higher education (as that term is defined in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001)); or

(C) a veteran, as defined in section 2108 of title 5, United States Code, who served in the armed forces in an engineering, scientific, or medical technician occupational specialty.

(3) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—Any exercise of authority under paragraph (1) shall be considered to satisfy section 2301(b)(1) of title 5, United States Code.

(e) *EXCLUSION FROM PERSONNEL LIMITATIONS, ETC.*—The director of any STRL shall manage the workforce strength of such STRL—

(1) without regard to any limitation on appointments or any allocation of positions with respect to such STRL, subject to paragraph (2); and

(2) in a manner consistent with the budget available with respect to such STRL.

(f) *SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE ROTATION AUTHORITY.*—Section 3131 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (5), by striking “mission;” and inserting “mission, subject to paragraph (15);”;

(2) in paragraph (13), by striking “and” at the end;

(3) in paragraph (14), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(15) permit the director of each Science and Technology Reinvention Laboratory (as described in section 1107(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014) to determine the duration of appointments for senior executives (which shall in no event be less than 5 years), consistent with carrying out the mission of that laboratory.”

(g) *SENIOR SCIENTIFIC TECHNICAL MANAGERS.*—

(1) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—There is hereby established in each STRL a category of senior professional scientific positions, the incumbents of which shall be designated as “senior scientific technical managers” and which shall be positions classified above GS-15 of the General Schedule pursuant to section 5108 of title 5, United States Code. The primary functions of such positions shall be—

(A) to engage in research and development in the physical, biological, medical, or engineering sciences, or another field closely related to the mission of such STRL; and

(B) to carry out technical supervisory responsibilities.

(2) *APPOINTMENTS.*—The positions described in paragraph (1) may be filled, and shall be managed, by the director of the STRL involved, under criteria established pursuant to section 342(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2721), relating to personnel demonstration projects at laboratories of the Department of Defense, except that the director of the laboratory involved shall determine the number of such positions at such laboratory, not to exceed 3 percent of the number of scientists and engineers (determined on a full-time equivalent basis) employed at such laboratory at the end of the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year in which any appointments subject to that numerical limitation are made.

(h) *SELECTION AND COMPENSATION OF SPECIALLY-QUALIFIED SCIENTIFIC AND PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL.*—Section 3104 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) In addition to the number of positions authorized by subsection (a), the director of each Science and Technology Reinvention Laboratory (as described in section 1107(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014), may establish, without regard to the

second sentence of subsection (a), such number of scientific or professional positions as may be necessary to carry out the research and development functions of the laboratory and which require the services of specially-qualified personnel. The selection process governing appointments made under this subsection shall be determined by the director of the laboratory involved, and the rate of basic pay for the employee holding any such position shall be set by the laboratory director at a rate not to exceed the rate for level II of the Executive Schedule.”

**TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS**

**Subtitle A—Assistance and Training**

**SEC. 1201. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PROGRAM TO BUILD THE CAPACITY OF FOREIGN MILITARY FORCES.**

(a) *AUTHORITY.*—Subsection (a) of section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 119 Stat. 3456), as most recently amended by section 1206 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4625), is further amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) support the theater security priorities of a Geographic Combatant Commander.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) To build the capacity of a foreign country’s security forces to conduct counterterrorism operations.”

(b) *ANNUAL FUNDING LIMITATION.*—Subsection (c)(1) of section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, as so amended, is further amended by striking “\$350,000,000” and inserting “\$425,000,000”.

(c) *NOTIFICATION OF PLANNING AND EXECUTION OF FUNDS.*—Subsection (e) of section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, as most recently amended by section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1979), is further amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4);

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

“(3) *NOTIFICATION OF PLANNING AND EXECUTION OF FUNDS.*—In the budget materials submitted to the President by the Secretary of Defense in connection with the submission to Congress, pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, of the budget for fiscal year 2016, and each subsequent fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall include the following:

“(A) For programs to be conducted or supported under subsection (a) (other than subsection (a)(1)(C)) for such fiscal year, a description of the proposed planning and execution of not less than 50 percent of the total amount of funds to be made available for such programs.

“(B) For programs to be conducted or supported under subsection (a)(1)(C) for such fiscal year, a description of the proposed planning and execution of 100 percent of the total amount of funds to be made available for such programs.”; and

(3) in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (4), as so redesignated, by striking “Committee on International Relations” and inserting “Committee on Foreign Affairs”.

(d) *TERMINATION OF PROGRAM.*—Subsection (g) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, as most recently amended by section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, is further amended by striking “2014” each place it appears and inserting “2016”.

(e) REPEAL OF AUTHORITY TO BUILD THE CAPACITY OF CERTAIN COUNTERTERRORISM FORCES IN YEMEN AND EAST AFRICA.—Section 1203 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1980) is hereby repealed.

**SEC. 1202. THREE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION FOR NON-CONVENTIONAL ASSISTED RECOVERY CAPABILITIES.**

Section 943(h) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4579), as amended by section 1205(g) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1624), is further amended by striking “2013” and inserting “2016”.

**SEC. 1203. GLOBAL SECURITY CONTINGENCY FUND.**

(a) AUTHORITY.—Subsection (b) of section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1625; 22 U.S.C. 2151 note) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “or regions” after “countries”; and

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “and other national security forces” and inserting “or other national security forces”; and

(B) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking “and counterterrorism operations” and inserting “or counterterrorism operations”; and

(ii) by striking “and” at the end and inserting “or”.

(b) NOTICES TO CONGRESS.—Subsection (l) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(1) NOTICES TO CONGRESS.—Not less than 30 days before initiating an activity under a program of assistance under subsection (b), the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense shall jointly submit to the specified congressional committees a notification that includes the following:

“(1) A request for the transfer of funds into the Fund under subsection (f) or any other authority, including the original source of the funds.

“(2) A detailed justification for the total anticipated program plan for each country to include total anticipated costs and the specific activities contained therein.

“(3) The budget, execution plan and timeline, and anticipated completion date for the activity.

“(4) A list of other security-related assistance or justice sector and stabilization assistance that the United States is currently providing the country concerned and that is related to or supported by the activity.

“(5) Such other information relating to the program or activity as the Secretary of State or Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.”.

(c) TRANSITIONAL AUTHORITIES; ANNUAL REPORTS; GUIDANCE AND PROCESSES FOR EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY.—Such section, as so amended, is further amended—

(1) by striking subsection (n);

(2) by redesignating subsection (m) as subsection (n); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (l), as so amended, the following new subsection:

“(m) GUIDANCE AND PROCESSES FOR EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense shall jointly submit a report to the specified congressional committees 15 days after the date on which the necessary guidance has been issued and processes for implementation of the authority in subsection (b). The Secretary of State and Secretary of Defense shall jointly submit additional reports not later than 15 days after the date on which any future modifications to the guidance and processes for implementation of the authority in subsection (b) are issued.”.

(d) FUNDING.—Subsection (o) of such section is amended by striking “(o) FUNDING.—” and all that follows through “(2) FISCAL YEARS 2013 AND AFTER.—” and inserting “(o) FUNDING.—”.

**SEC. 1204. CODIFICATION OF NATIONAL GUARD STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

(a) STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 32, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§ 116. State Partnership Program**

“(a) PURPOSES OF PROGRAM.—The purposes of the State Partnership Program of the National Guard are the following:

“(1) To support the objectives of the commander of the combatant command for the theater of operations in which such contacts and activities are conducted.

“(2) To support the objectives of the United States chief of mission of the partner nation with which contacts and activities are conducted.

“(3) To build international partnerships and defense and security capacity.

“(4) To strengthen cooperation between the departments and agencies of the United States Government and agencies of foreign governments to support building of defense and security capacity.

“(5) To facilitate intergovernmental collaboration between the United States Government and foreign governments in the areas of defense and security.

“(6) To facilitate and enhance the exchange of information between the United States Government and foreign governments on matters relating to defense and security.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF APPROPRIATED FUNDS FOR PROGRAM.—(1) Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense, including funds appropriated for the Air and Army National Guard, shall be available for the payment of costs incurred by the National Guard to conduct activities under the State Partnership Program, whether those costs are incurred inside or outside the United States.

(2) Costs incurred by the National Guard and covered under paragraph (1) may include the following:

“(A) Costs of pay and allowances of members of the National Guard.

“(B) Travel and necessary expenses of United States personnel outside of the Department of Defense in support of the State Partnership Program.

“(C) Travel and necessary expenses of foreign participants directly supporting activities under the State Partnership Program.

(c) LIMITATIONS ON USE OF FUNDS.—(1) Funds shall not be available under subsection (b) for activities conducted in a foreign country unless jointly approved by—

“(A) the commander of the combatant command concerned; and

“(B) the chief of mission concerned, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State.

(2) Funds shall not be available under subsection (b) for the participation of a member of the National Guard in activities in a foreign country unless the member is on active duty in the armed forces at the time of such participation.

(3) Funds shall not be available under subsection (b) for interagency activities involving United States civilian personnel or foreign civilian personnel unless the participation of such personnel in such activities—

“(A) contributes to responsible management of defense resources;

“(B) fosters greater respect for and understanding of the principle of civilian control of the military;

“(C) contributes to cooperation between the United States armed forces and civilian governmental agencies and foreign military and civilian government agencies; or

“(D) improves international partnerships and capacity on matters relating to defense and security.

(d) REIMBURSEMENT.—(1) In the event of the participation of United States Government par-

ticipants (other than personnel of the Department of Defense) in activities for which payment is made under subsection (b), the head of the department or agency concerned shall reimburse the Secretary of Defense for the costs associated with the participation of such personnel in such contacts and activities.

(2) Amounts received under paragraph (1) shall be deposited in the appropriation or account from which amounts for the payment concerned were derived. Any amounts so deposited shall be merged with amounts in such appropriation or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such appropriation or account.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term ‘State Partnership Program’ means a program that establishes a defense and security relationship between the National Guard of a State or territory and the military and security forces, and related disaster management, emergency response, and security ministries, of a foreign country.

(2) The term ‘activities’, for purposes of the State Partnership Program, means any military-to-military activities or interagency activities for a purpose set forth in subsection (a)(1).

(3) The term ‘interagency activities’ means the following:

“(A) Contacts between members of the National Guard and foreign civilian personnel outside the ministry of defense of the foreign country concerned on a matter within the core competencies of the National Guard.

“(B) Contacts between United States civilian personnel and members of the military and security forces of a foreign country or foreign civilian personnel on a matter within the core competencies of the National Guard.

(4) The term ‘matter within the core competencies of the National Guard’ means matters with respect to the following:

“(A) Disaster response and mitigation.

“(B) Defense support to civil authorities.

“(C) Consequence management and installation protection.

“(D) Response to a chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or explosives (CBRNE) event.

“(E) Border and port security and cooperation with civilian law enforcement.

“(F) Search and rescue.

“(G) Medicine.

“(H) Counter-drug and counter-narcotics activities.

“(I) Public affairs.

“(J) Employer support and family support for reserve forces.

(5) The term ‘United States civilian personnel’ means the following:

“(A) Personnel of the United States Government (including personnel of departments and agencies of the United States Government other than the Department of Defense) and personnel of State and local governments of the United States.

“(B) Members and employees of the legislative branch of the United States Government.

“(C) Non-governmental individuals.

(6) The term ‘foreign civilian personnel’ means the following:

“(A) Civilian personnel of a foreign government at any level (including personnel of ministries other than ministries of defense).

“(B) Non-governmental individuals of a foreign country.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“116. State Partnership Program.”.

(b) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.—Section 1210 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2517; 32 U.S.C. 107 note) is repealed.

**SEC. 1205. AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE THE CAPABILITY OF CERTAIN FOREIGN COUNTRIES TO RESPOND TO INCIDENTS INVOLVING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION IN SYRIA AND THE REGION.**

(a) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, may provide assistance to the military and civilian response organizations of Jordan, Kuwait, Bahrain, the United Arab Emirates, Iraq, Turkey, and other countries in the region of Syria in order for such countries to respond effectively to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction in Syria and the region.

(b) **AUTHORIZED ELEMENTS.**—Assistance provided under this section may include training, equipment, and supplies.

(c) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR ACTIVITIES ACROSS FISCAL YEARS.**—The Secretary of Defense may use up to \$4,000,000 of the funds made available to the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance for a fiscal year to carry out the program authorized in subsection (a) and may provide assistance under such program that begins in that fiscal year but ends in the next fiscal year.

(d) **REPORT.**—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the authority of subsection (a) is first exercised, and annually thereafter through December 31, 2015, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives an annual report to include at least the following:

(1) A detailed description by country of assistance provided.

(2) An overview of how such assistance fits into, and is coordinated with, other United States efforts to build the capability and capacity of countries in the region of Syria to counter the threat of weapons of mass destruction in Syria and the region.

(3) A listing of equipment and supplies provided to countries in the region of Syria.

(4) Any other matters the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State determine appropriate.

(e) **EXPIRATION.**—The authority provided under subsection (a) may not be exercised after September 30, 2015.

**SEC. 1206. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT FOREIGN FORCES PARTICIPATING IN OPERATIONS TO DISARM THE LORD'S RESISTANCE ARMY.**

(a) **FUNDING.**—Subsection (c)(1) of section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1624) is amended—

(1) by striking “fiscal years 2012 and 2013” and inserting “fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014”; and

(2) by striking “for operation and maintenance” and inserting “to provide additional operation and maintenance funds for overseas contingency operations being carried out by the Armed Forces as specified in the funding table in section 4302”.

(b) **EXPIRATION.**—Subsection (h) of such section is amended by striking “September 30, 2013” and inserting “September 30, 2014”.

**Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan**

**SEC. 1211. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF CERTAIN COALITION NATIONS FOR SUPPORT PROVIDED TO UNITED STATES MILITARY OPERATIONS.**

(a) **EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (a) of section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 393), as most recently amended by section 1227 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2000), is further amended by

striking “for fiscal year 2013” and inserting “for fiscal year 2014”.

(b) **LIMITATION ON AMOUNTS AVAILABLE.**—Subsection (d) of such section, as so amended, is further amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “during fiscal year 2013 may not exceed \$1,650,000,000” and inserting “during fiscal year 2014 may not exceed \$1,500,000,000”; and

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking “Fiscal Year 2013” and inserting “Fiscal Year 2014”.

(c) **LIMITATION ON REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN IN FISCAL YEAR 2014 PENDING CERTIFICATION ON PAKISTAN.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Effective as of the date of the enactment of this Act, no amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act, and no amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years before fiscal year 2014 that remain available for obligation, may be used for reimbursements of Pakistan under the authority in subsection (a) of section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008, as amended by this section, until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees each of the following:

(A) That Pakistan is maintaining security and is not through its actions or inactions at any level of government limiting or otherwise restricting the movement of United States equipment and supplies along the Ground Lines of Communications (GLOCs) through Pakistan to Afghanistan so that such equipment and supplies can be transshipped and such equipment and supplies can be retrograded out of Afghanistan.

(B) That Pakistan is taking demonstrable steps to—

(i) support counterterrorism operations against al Qaeda, Tehrik-i-Taliban Pakistan, and other militant extremists groups such as the Haqqani Network and the Quetta Shura Taliban located in Pakistan;

(ii) disrupt the conduct of cross-border attacks against United States, coalition, and Afghanistan security forces located in Afghanistan by such groups (including the Haqqani Network and the Quetta Shura Taliban) from bases in Pakistan;

(iii) counter the threat of improvised explosive devices, including efforts to attack improvised explosive device networks, monitor known precursors used in improvised explosive devices, and systematically address the misuse of explosive materials (including calcium ammonium nitrate) and accessories and their supply to legitimate end-users in a manner that impedes the flow of improvised explosive devices and improvised explosive device components into Afghanistan; and

(iv) conduct cross-border coordination and communication with Afghan security forces and United States Armed Forces in Afghanistan.

(2) **WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive the limitation in paragraph (1) if the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees in writing that the waiver is in the national security interests of the United States and includes with such certification a justification for the waiver.

**SEC. 1212. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS FOR REINTEGRATION ACTIVITIES IN AFGHANISTAN.**

Section 1216 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4392), as most recently amended by section 1218 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1990), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”; and

(B) by striking “for fiscal year 2013” and inserting “for fiscal year 2014”; and

(2) in subsection (e), by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.

**SEC. 1213. EXTENSION OF COMMANDERS' EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM IN AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) **ONE YEAR EXTENSION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619), as amended by section 1221 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1992), is amended by striking “fiscal year 2013” each place it appears and inserting “fiscal year 2014”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The heading of subsection (a) of such section is amended by striking “FISCAL YEAR 2013” and inserting “FISCAL YEAR 2014”.

(b) **AMOUNT OF FUNDS AVAILABLE DURING FISCAL YEAR 2014.**—Subsection (a) of such section is further amended by striking “\$200,000,000” and inserting “\$60,000,000”.

**SEC. 1214. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT OPERATIONS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE OFFICE OF SECURITY COOPERATION IN IRAQ.**

(a) **LIMITATION ON AMOUNT.**—Subsection (c) of section 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1631), as amended by section 1211 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1982), is further amended by striking “fiscal year 2012” and all that follows and inserting “fiscal year 2014 may not exceed \$209,000,000.”.

(b) **SOURCE OF FUNDS.**—Subsection (d) of such section, as so amended, is further amended—

(1) by striking “fiscal year 2012 or fiscal year 2013” and inserting “fiscal year 2014”; and

(2) by striking “fiscal year 2012 or 2013, as the case may be,” and inserting “that fiscal year”.

(c) **ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY FOR THE ACTIVITIES OF THE OFFICE OF SECURITY COOPERATION IN IRAQ.**—Subsection (f) of such section, as so amended, is further amended—

(1) by striking “fiscal year 2013” and inserting “fiscal year 2014”; and

(2) by striking “and Counter Terrorism Service”.

**SEC. 1215. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR PROGRAM TO DEVELOP AND CARRY OUT INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS IN AFGHANISTAN.**

Section 1217(f) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4393), as most recently amended by section 1219 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1991), is further amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) Up to \$279,000,000 made available to the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance for fiscal year 2014.”;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking “fiscal year 2011” and inserting “fiscal year 2013”; and

(ii) by inserting “, or phase of a project,” after “each project”;

(B) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (D); and

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph:

“(C) An assessment of the capability of the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF) to provide security for such project after January 1, 2015, including ANSF force levels required to secure the project. Such assessment should include the estimated costs of providing security and whether or not the Government of Afghanistan is committed to providing such security.”; and

(3) in paragraph (3), by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) In the case of funds for fiscal year 2014, until September 30, 2015.”.

**SEC. 1216. SPECIAL IMMIGRANT VISAS FOR CERTAIN IRAQI AND AFGHAN ALLIES.**

(a) PROTECTION FOR AFGHAN ALLIES.—Section 602(b) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C.1101 note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)(A)(ii), by striking “on or after October 7, 2001,” and inserting “during the period beginning on October 7, 2001, and ending on December 31, 2014.”;

(2) in paragraph (2)(D), by adding at the end the following: “A principal alien described in subparagraph (A) seeking special immigrant status under this section shall apply for an approval described in this subparagraph not later than September 30, 2015.”; and

(3) in paragraph (3)(A), by striking “2013.” and inserting “2013, and may not exceed 435 for each of fiscal years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018.”.

(b) SPECIAL IMMIGRANT STATUS FOR CERTAIN IRAQIS.—Section 1244(a)(1) of the Refugee Crisis in Iraq Act of 2007 (8 U.S.C. 1157 note) is amended by striking the semicolon at the end and inserting “on or before the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014.”.

**SEC. 1217. REQUIREMENT TO WITHHOLD DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ASSISTANCE TO AFGHANISTAN IN AMOUNT EQUIVALENT TO 100 PERCENT OF ALL TAXES ASSESSED BY AFGHANISTAN TO EX-TENT SUCH TAXES ARE NOT REIMBURSED BY AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) REQUIREMENT TO WITHHOLD ASSISTANCE TO AFGHANISTAN.—An amount equivalent to 100 percent of the total taxes assessed during fiscal year 2013 by the Government of Afghanistan on all Department of Defense assistance shall be withheld by the Secretary of Defense from obligation from funds appropriated for such assistance for fiscal year 2014 to the extent that the Secretary of Defense certifies and reports in writing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives that such taxes have not been reimbursed by the Government of Afghanistan to the Department of Defense or the grantee, contractor, or subcontractor concerned.

(b) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the requirement in subsection (a) if the Secretary determines that such a waiver is necessary to achieve United States goals in Afghanistan.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the total taxes assessed during fiscal year 2013 by the Government of Afghanistan on all Department of Defense assistance.

(d) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ASSISTANCE DEFINED.—In this section, the term “Department of Defense assistance” means funds provided during fiscal year 2013 to Afghanistan by the Department of Defense, either directly or through grantees, contractors, or subcontractors.

**Subtitle C—Matters Relating to Afghanistan Post 2014****SEC. 1221. MODIFICATION OF REPORT ON PROGRESS TOWARD SECURITY AND STABILITY IN AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 385), as most recently amended by section 1214(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1986), is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (f), (g), and (h) as subsections (g), (h), and (i), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection:

“(f) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED: REDEPLOYMENT OF UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES FROM AFGHANISTAN.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include a detailed description

of the following matters relating to the redeployment of United States Armed Forces from Afghanistan:

“(1) The number and a description of United States Armed Forces redeployed, vehicles and equipment redeployed, and bases closed during the reporting period.

“(2) A summary of tasks and functions conducted by the United States Armed Forces or the Department of Defense that have been transferred to other United States Government departments and agencies, Afghan Government ministries and agencies, other foreign governments, or nongovernmental organizations, or discontinued during the reporting period. The summary shall include a discussion of the formal and informal arrangements and working groups that have been established to coordinate and execute the transfer of such tasks and functions.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made this section apply with respect to any report required to be submitted under section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 385) on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1222. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON UNITED STATES MILITARY SUPPORT IN AFGHANISTAN.**

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) since the United States engagement in Afghanistan beginning in 2001, United States and coalition forces have achieved substantial progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan, including the training of the Afghan National Security Forces;

(2) a stable and secure Afghanistan with a credible government is in the long-term national security interests of the United States and would contribute to the overall stability and security in the region;

(3) as the United States accelerates transfer of the lead for security to the Afghan National Security Forces by the spring of 2013, the United States should assist the Afghan National Security Forces to maintain gains in security and should continue to evaluate the capability and capacity of the Afghan National Security Forces through the fighting season in 2013;

(4) following the duration of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) mission on December 31, 2014, the United States should continue efforts to disrupt, dismantle, and defeat al Qaeda;

(5) the Haqqani Network continues to be the most important enabler of al Qaeda in Afghanistan and Pakistan;

(6) the operational requirements of the Afghan National Security Forces, in part due to the threat to the Government of Afghanistan from the Haqqani Network, al Qaeda, and other associated groups, necessitate that the Afghan National Security Forces have sufficient operational capacity to maintain the security of Afghanistan, including enabler capabilities such as aviation, casualty evacuation, logistics, intelligence, and indirect fire;

(7) the United States, with its Afghan partners, should provide assistance to the Government of Afghanistan so that the Taliban, the Haqqani Network, and associated terrorist and insurgent groups cannot militarily overthrow the Government of Afghanistan or plan and launch attacks against United States and Afghan interests from safe havens in Afghanistan;

(8) the United States military’s transition to counterterrorism and advise and assist missions should occur consistent with agreements between the United States, Afghanistan, and international partners as well as conditions on the ground;

(9) a bilateral security agreement that preserves vital United States interests between the United States and the Government of Afghanistan, achieved at the earliest practicable time, is critical to the long-term stability of Afghanistan as well as United States’ long term interests; however, the United States should not sign a bi-

lateral security agreement that is antithetical to United States national security interests or commits to funding not directly linked to achieving those interests;

(10) the United States should support the achievement of a bilateral security agreement between NATO and the Government of Afghanistan because such a bilateral security agreement also will contribute to the long term stability and security of Afghanistan;

(11) the United States should conduct the required oversight and audits of United States stability programs to ensure that the activities are in line with the intended purpose of these programs;

(12) the United States should assist the Government of Afghanistan to provide security for the Afghan elections scheduled for 2014 and provide such assistance as requested by Afghan Government entities overseeing the elections and judged necessary by the United States to help guarantee a credible and legitimate election; and

(13) significant uncertainty exists within Afghanistan regarding the level of future United States military support following the end of the NATO mission on December 31, 2014, and therefore in order to reduce such uncertainty and promote further stability and security in Afghanistan following the end of the NATO mission, the President should—

(A) publicly support a residual United States military presence in Afghanistan consistent with United States national security interests;

(B) as part of the announcement of residual force levels, publicly define the mission sets and the support that the United States will provide to the Afghan National Security Forces; and

(C) publicly support sufficient funding for the Afghan National Security Forces until the Government of Afghanistan is able to independently sustain the security of Afghanistan consistent with United States national security interests.

**SEC. 1223. DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PLAN.**

(a) PLAN REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a Department of Defense plan regarding covered defense intelligence assets in relation to the drawdown of the United States Armed Forces in Afghanistan. Such plan shall include—

(1) a description of the covered defense intelligence assets;

(2) a description of any such assets to remain in Afghanistan after December 31, 2014, to continue to support military operations;

(3) a description of any such assets that will be or have been reallocated to other locations outside of the United States in support of the Department of Defense;

(4) the defense intelligence priorities that will be or have been addressed with the reallocation of such assets from Afghanistan;

(5) the necessary logistics, operations, and maintenance plans to operate in the locations where such assets will be or have been reallocated, including personnel, basing, and any host country agreements; and

(6) a description of any such assets that will be or have been returned to the United States.

(b) COVERED DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ASSETS DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered defense intelligence assets” means Department of Defense intelligence assets and personnel supporting military operations in Afghanistan at any time during the one-year period ending on the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1224. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR CERTAIN AUTHORITIES FOR AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) REINTEGRATION ACTIVITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS IN AFGHANISTAN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act may be obligated or expended to carry out the provisions of

law described in paragraph (2) until 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the specified congressional committees the certification described in subsection (d).

(2) PROVISIONS OF LAW.—The provisions of law referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

(A) Section 1216 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4392; relating to authority to use funds for reintegration activities in Afghanistan).

(B) Section 1217 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4393; relating to authority for program to develop and carry out infrastructure projects in Afghanistan).

(b) COMMANDERS' EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM IN AFGHANISTAN.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act to carry out section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619; relating to the Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan), \$45,000,000 may not be obligated or expended until 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the specified congressional committees the certification described in subsection (d).

(c) AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, \$2,615,000,000 may not be obligated or expended until 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the specified congressional committees the certification described in subsection (d).

(d) CERTIFICATION DESCRIBED.—The certification referred to in subsections (a), (b), and (c) is a certification of the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, that the United States and Afghanistan have signed a bilateral security agreement that—

(1) protects the Department of Defense, its military and civilian personnel, and contractors from liability to pay any tax, or similar charge, associated with efforts to carry out missions in the territory of Afghanistan that have been agreed to by both the Government of the United States and the Government of Afghanistan;

(2) ensures exclusive jurisdiction for the United States over United States Armed Forces located in Afghanistan;

(3) ensures that there is no infringement on the right of self-defense of the United States military mission or United States military personnel in Afghanistan;

(4) ensures that the United States military in Afghanistan is permitted to take the efforts deemed necessary to protect other United States Government offices and personnel in Afghanistan as may be required;

(5) ensures that the United States military mission in Afghanistan has sufficient access to bases and basing rights as may be necessary to carry out the activities in Afghanistan that the President has assigned to the military; and

(6) ensures that the United States has the freedom of movement to carry out those military missions as may be required to continue the effort to defeat al Qaeda and its associated forces.

(e) SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—In this section, the term “specified congressional committees” means—

(1) the congressional defense committees; and  
(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

#### Subtitle D—Matters Relating to Iran

### SEC. 1231. REPORT ON UNITED STATES MILITARY PARTNERSHIP WITH GULF COOPERATION COUNCIL COUNTRIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the United States military partnership with Gulf Cooperation Council countries.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An explanation of the steps that the Department of Defense is taking to improve the interoperability of United States-Gulf Cooperation Council countries missile defense systems.

(2) An outline of the defense agreements with Gulf Cooperation Council countries, including caveats and restrictions on United States operations.

(3) An outline of United States efforts in Gulf Cooperation Council countries that are funded by overseas contingency operations funding, an explanation of overseas contingency operations funding for such efforts, and a plan to transition overseas contingency operations funding for such efforts to long-term, sustainable funding sources.

(c) FORM.—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex, if necessary.

### SEC. 1232. ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS IN ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY POWER OF IRAN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1245(b)(3) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2542) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (D), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(E) a description of the strategy and structure of the global Iranian Threat Network and an assessment of the capability of such Network and how such Network operates to reinforce Iran’s grand strategy; and

“(F) a description of the gaps in intelligence of the Department of Defense with respect to Iran and a prioritization of those gaps in intelligence by operational need.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply with respect to reports required to be submitted under section 1245 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, as so amended, on or after that date.

### SEC. 1233. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE DEFENSE OF THE ARABIAN GULF.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) In response to U.S. Central Command requirements, the United States Navy has maintained, on average, more than one aircraft carrier in the Arabian Gulf for more than five years.

(2) In February 2013, the senior leadership of the Department of Defense elected to reduce the number of aircraft carriers deployed to the Arabian Gulf in light of budget constraints and limitation of the overall carrier force structure to support the two aircraft carrier requirement.

(3) In reference to the decision to indefinitely delay the deployment of the USS Harry Truman, CVN 75, and the USS Gettysburg, its cruiser escort, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs, General Martin Dempsey stated, “We’re trying to stretch our readiness out by keeping this particular carrier in homeport in our global response force, so if something happens elsewhere in the world, we can respond to it. Had we deployed it and ‘consumed’ that readiness, we could have created a situation where downstream we wouldn’t have a carrier present in certain parts of the world at all.”.

(4) Highlighting the risks of having only one aircraft carrier in the region and relying on land-based aircraft, General Dempsey stated, “When you have carrier-based aircraft, you have complete autonomy and control over when you use them. When you use land-based aircraft, you often have to have host-nation permission to use them.”.

(5) Addressing the perception of the United States commitment to the region, General James

Mattis, Commander of U.S. Central Command, testified in March 2013, “Perhaps the greatest risk to U.S. interests in the region is a perceived lack of an enduring U.S. commitment to collective interests and the security of our regional partners.”. He went on to testify that, “The drawdown of our forces can be misinterpreted as a lack of attention, a lack of commitment to the region.”.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) maintaining only one aircraft carrier battle group in the Arabian Gulf constrains United States’ options and could put at risk the ability to have diversified platforms from which to defend the Arabian Gulf and, if necessary, to conduct military operations to prevent Iran from threatening the United States, United States allies, or Iran’s neighbors with nuclear weapons;

(2) it is in the interests of the United States to maintain both land-based and sea-based capabilities in the region to project force;

(3) land-based locations in the region could restrict United States military options and critically impact the operational capability if required to conduct a defense of the Arabian Gulf because the United States has not finalized bilateral security agreements with key Gulf Cooperation Council countries;

(4) as a result of these and other critical limitations associated with maintaining one aircraft carrier battle group in the Arabian Gulf, United States military commanders have expressed concerns about the operational constraints, the increasing uncertainty among United States allies, and the emboldening of potential adversaries such as Iran;

(5) regarding the ability of the United States Navy to maintain a two aircraft carrier presence in the Arabian Gulf, the Chief of Naval Operations, Admiral Jonathan Greenert, stated, “We need II carriers to do the job. That’s been pretty clearly written, and that’s underwritten in our defense strategic guidance.”.

(6) the United States should construct and sufficiently sustain a fleet of at least eleven aircraft carriers and associated battle force ships in order to meet current and future requirements and to support at least a two aircraft carrier battle group presence in the Arabian Gulf, in addition to meeting other operational requirements; and

(7) the United States should finalize bilateral agreements with key Gulf Cooperation Council countries that support the Defense of the Arabian Gulf requirements, at the earliest possible date.

#### Subtitle E—Reports and Other Matters

### SEC. 1241. REPORT ON POSTURE AND READINESS OF UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES TO RESPOND TO FUTURE TERRORIST ATTACKS IN AFRICA AND THE MIDDLE EAST.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the terrorist attack in Benghazi, Libya on September 11, 2012, may have never occurred or could have been prevented had there been an international stabilizing force following NATO-led operations in order to help stabilize the country, build capacity within the security forces, and pursue terrorist groups that threaten the local government as well as United States interests;

(2) the attack also highlighted the limitations of the United States military to alert, deploy, and decisively counter a no-notice terrorist attack such as the one in Benghazi, or another security contingency, due to the limitations stemming from United States military posture in Africa and the Middle East and when there is a lack of a layered defense at United States diplomatic facilities;

(3) the United States military is more effectively able to respond to terrorist attacks on United States facilities outside of the United States if the responding United States military assets are forward deployed;



(4) when an intelligence threat assessment determines that a United States facility overseas is vulnerable to attack, such facility should have robust force protection measures sufficient to safeguard personnel and assets until a United States military response can arrive;

(5) the continually evolving terrorist threat to United States interests on the Continent of Africa and the Middle East necessitates that the United States military maintains a forward deployed posture in Europe, Middle East, and Africa in order to be able to respond to terrorist events, or other security contingencies, and to effectively evacuate and recover United States personnel;

(6) the United States military, in conjunction with the Department of State and the intelligence community, should continue to evaluate the assumptions underpinning the terrorist threat in order to ensure that it is effectively able to respond globally to future terrorist attacks;

(7) the United States military should regularly re-evaluate the posture and alert status requirements of its crisis response elements in order to be more responsive to the evolving and global nature of the terrorist threat, and all United States military crisis response elements should be fully equipped with the required supporting capabilities to conduct their missions;

(8) on April 16, 2013, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, General Martin Dempsey, testified before the House Appropriations Committee that the military is, “. . . adapting our force posture to a new normal of combustible violence in North Africa and in the Middle East”;

(9) The President stated in a press conference on May 16, 2013, “I have directed the Defense Department to ensure that our military can respond lightening quick in times of crisis.”;

(10) the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs should continue to evaluate the posture of United States forces to respond to the global terrorist threat, including an evaluation of whether United States Africa Command should have forces and necessary equipment permanently assigned to the command to respond more promptly to this “new normal”; and

(11) although the Department of State-initiated Accountability Review Board found that the Marine Security Guard program should be expanded and that there should be greater coordination between the Department of Defense and the Department of State to identify additional resources for security at high risk posts, the United States military may be challenged to provide additional security to Department of State facilities due to budget shortfalls, ongoing force structure constraints, and increasing operational requirements for the Department of Defense.

(b) REPORT REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the posture and readiness of United States Armed Forces to respond to future terrorist attacks in Africa and the Middle East.

(2) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The plan required under paragraph (1) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(A) An assessment of terrorist groups and other non-state groups that threaten United States interests and facilities in Africa, including a description of the key assumptions underpinning such assessment.

(B) A description of the readiness, posture, and alert status of relevant United States Armed Forces in Europe, the Middle East, Africa, and the United States and any changes implemented or planned to be implemented since the terrorist attack in Benghazi, Libya on September 11, 2012, to respond to the “new normal” and President Obama’s directive for the military to respond “lightening quick” in times of crisis.

(C) In consultation with the Secretary of State, a description of new or modified requirements of the Department of State, if any, for—

(i) United States Marine Security Guard Detachments;

(ii) any other Department of Defense assets to provide enhanced security at Department of State facilities;

(iii) an explanation of how any new requirements for Marine Security Detachments or other Department of Defense assets affect the capacity of the Armed Forces, including specifically the capacity of the Marine Corps, to fulfill Department of Defense operational requirements; and

(iv) an explanation of how any unfulfilled requirements for Marine Security Detachments would adversely impact security at Department of State facilities.

(3) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 1242. ROLE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF EGYPT TO UNITED STATES NATIONAL SECURITY.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) Egypt is undergoing a significant political transition and the ultimate outcome of this political process and its implications for United States national security interests remain uncertain;

(2) the United States continues to have considerable concerns about the intentions and actions of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood and whether the government of President Morsi is committed to a pluralistic, democratic Egypt;

(3) the United States has a stake in Egypt becoming a mature, pluralistic democracy in which the rights of Egyptian citizens, including women and minorities, are protected;

(4) the United States should continue to closely monitor President Morsi’s support for the peace treaty with the Government of Israel, which has been a stabilizing force in the region for over 30 years;

(5) the United States military relationship with the Egyptian military is long-standing and should remain a key pillar to, and component of, United States engagement with Egypt;

(6) the close military-to-military relationship between the United States and Egypt has been a critical component in enabling counterterrorism cooperation between the two governments to ensure the United States military has freedom of movement throughout the region in order to deter aggression and respond to threats to United States national security interests, particularly in light of the security situation in Libya and the Sinai;

(7) the Egyptian military has exercised restraint and professionalism during the unrest in Egypt over the last two years and hopefully will remain a key mechanism through which the United States can support the people of Egypt in achieving their goals for a representative and democratic political system, while promoting peace and security in the region; and

(8) therefore, with appropriate vetting, United States military assistance and support to the Egyptian military should continue, even as civilian aid to Egypt receives greater scrutiny as a result of the uncertainty associated with Egypt’s current political leadership and economic policies.

(b) PLAN REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that contains a comprehensive plan for United States military assistance and cooperation with Egypt.

(2) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The plan required under paragraph (1) shall include, at a minimum, a detailed description of the following:

(A) How United States security assistance and cooperation enables—

(i) freedom of movement for the United States military throughout the region; and

(ii) the Government of Egypt to disrupt, dismantle, and defeat al Qaeda, affiliated groups, and other terrorist organizations, whether based in and operating from Egyptian territory or the region.

(B) The capacity of the Government of Egypt to prevent the illicit movement of terrorists, criminals, weapons, and other dangerous material across Egypt’s borders or administrative boundaries, including through tunnels and other illicit points of entry into Gaza.

(C) The extent to which the Egyptian military is—

(i) supporting the protection of the political, economic, and religious freedoms and human rights of all citizens and residents in Egypt;

(ii) supporting credible and legitimate elections in Egypt;

(iii) supporting the Egypt-Israel Peace Treaty;

(iv) taking effective steps to eliminate smuggling networks and to detect and destroy tunnels between Egypt and Gaza; and

(v) supporting action to combat terrorism in the Sinai.

(3) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the congressional defense committees; and

(B) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 1243. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE MILITARY DEVELOPMENTS ON THE KOREAN PENINSULA.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The Democratic People’s Republic of Korea (“North Korea”) has escalated regional tensions with hostile rhetoric and provocative actions.

(2) North Korea threatened a nuclear attack on the United States and a resumption of open war against the Republic of Korea (“South Korea”).

(3) North Korea’s nuclear weapons and ballistic missile programs constitute a threat to the national security of the United States and to regional stability.

(4) On April 14, 2009, North Korea halted negotiations regarding its nuclear weapons program when it abandoned the Six-Party Talks with the People’s Republic of China (“China”), Japan, the Russian Federation (“Russia”), South Korea, and the United States.

(5) On May 25, 2009, North Korea detonated a nuclear device in an underground explosive test.

(6) On March 26, 2010, North Korea sank a South Korean naval vessel, the Cheonan, killing 46 South Korean sailors.

(7) On November 23, 2010, North Korea shelled the border island of Yeonpyeong-do, killing four people. This was the first direct artillery attack on South Korean territory since the signing of the 1953 armistice.

(8) On April 13, 2012, North Korea conducted a rocket launch that failed to send a satellite into orbit. This launch violated United Nations Security Council (UNSC) Resolutions 1718 and 1874.

(9) On December 12, 2012, North Korea used banned long-range missile technology to launch an earth observation satellite into orbit. In response, the UNSC unanimously adopted Resolution 2087, condemning the launch.

(10) On February 12, 2013, North Korea conducted a third underground nuclear test in violation of UNSC Resolution 1718, 1874, and 2087. The test also contravened North Korea’s commitments under the September 2005 Joint Statement of the Six-Party Talks.

(11) On March 7, 2013, the UNSC unanimously adopted Resolution 2094, condemning North Korea’s third nuclear test and imposed additional sanctions against the regime.

(12) On March 28, 2013, North Korea unilaterally nullified the armistice agreement with the United States that suspended military conflict on the Korean peninsula.

(13) On March 30, 2013, North Korea declared a state of war with South Korea.

(14) On April 4, 2013, North Korea placed two intermediate-range Musudan missiles on mobile launchers and temporarily relocated them to the eastern coast of the Korean peninsula before removing them a month later from the launch sites.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States and its allies, South Korea and Japan, share the goal of a stable and peaceful Korean Peninsula, free of nuclear weapons;

(2) the United States remains committed to defending its allies in the Asia-Pacific region and stability in Northeast Asia requires restraint by all parties from activities that would complicate international relations or escalate international tensions, and international disputes should be mitigated in a constructive manner consistent with established principles of international law;

(3) Congress supports—

(A) the verifiable denuclearization of the Korean Peninsula in a peaceful manner,

(B) North Korea's abandonment of its nuclear programs and return to the Treaty on the Non-proliferation of Nuclear Weapons and to International Atomic Energy Agency safeguards; and

(C) North Korea's full acceptance of and compliance with the terms of the 1953 Armistice Agreement;

(4) the United States has national interests in security and stability in the Asia-Pacific region, the implementation of the United States-Korea Free Trade Agreement, nuclear non-proliferation efforts, the promotion of respect for the fundamental human rights of the North Korean people, international cyber-security cooperation, and full implementation of United States and multilateral sanctions against illicit activities;

(5) the United States encourages China and Russia to fully implement and enforce United States and United Nations Security Council sanctions against North Korea; and

(6) the President, the Secretary of State, and the Secretary of Defense should keep Congress fully informed on security developments on the Korean Peninsula.

**SEC. 1244. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DEFENSE COOPERATION WITH GEORGIA.**

It is the sense of Congress that the United States should enhance its defense cooperation efforts with Georgia and support the efforts of the Government of Georgia to provide for the defense of its government, people, and sovereign territory.

**SEC. 1245. LIMITATION ON ESTABLISHMENT OF REGIONAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COORDINATION CENTERS.**

(a) LIMITATION.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to plan, prepare, establish, or implement any "Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Center" (RSCC) or similar regional coordination entities.

(b) EXCLUSION.—The limitation contained in subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to any RSCC or similar regional coordination entity authorized by statute, including the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Special Operations Headquarters authorized under section 1244 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2541).

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the congressional committees specified in subsection (d) a report on the following:

(1) A detailed description of the intent and purpose of the RSCC concept.

(2) Defined and validated requirements justifying the establishment of RSCCs or similar entities within each geographic combatant command, to include how such centers have been coordinated and de-conflicted with existing regional and multilateral frameworks or approaches.

(3) An explanation of why existing regional centers and multilateral frameworks cannot satisfy the requirements and needs of the Department of Defense and geographic combatant commands.

(4) Cost estimates across the Future Years Defense Program for such centers, to include estimates of contributions of nations participating in such centers.

(5) Any other matters that the Secretary of Defense or Secretary of State determines appropriate.

(d) SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The congressional committees referred to in subsection (c) are—

(1) the congressional defense committees; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 1246. ADDITIONAL REPORTS ON MILITARY AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING THE DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA.**

(a) REPORT.—Subsection (a) of section 1236 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1641), as amended by section 1292 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2042), is further amended by striking "November 1, 2012, and November 1, 2013," and inserting "November 1, 2013, November 1, 2015, and November 1, 2017,".

(b) UPDATE.—Section 1236 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection:

"(c) UPDATE.—The Secretary of Defense shall revise or supplement the most recent report submitted pursuant to subsection (a) if, in the Secretary's estimation, interim events or developments occurring in a period between reports required under subsection (a) warrant revision or supplement."

**SEC. 1247. AMENDMENTS TO ANNUAL REPORT UNDER ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT ACT.**

(a) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—Section 403 of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2593a) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking "the Speaker of the House of Representatives and to the chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate" and inserting "the appropriate congressional committees"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'appropriate congressional committees' means—

"(1) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

"(2) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives."

(b) CONGRESSIONAL BRIEFING.—Section 403 of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2593a), as amended by subsection (a) of this section, is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection:

"(e) CONGRESSIONAL BRIEFING.—Not later than May 15 of each year, the President shall provide to such committees a briefing on such report."

**SEC. 1248. LIMITATION ON FUNDS TO PROVIDE THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION WITH ACCESS TO CERTAIN MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for each of the fiscal years 2014 through 2018 for the Department of Defense may be used to provide the Russian Federation with access to information regarding—

(1) missile defense technology of the United States relating to hit-to-kill technology; or

(2) telemetry data with respect to missile defense interceptors or target vehicles.

**SEC. 1249. REPORTS ON ACTIONS TO REDUCE SUPPORT OF BALLISTIC MISSILE PROGRAMS OF CHINA, SYRIA, IRAN, AND NORTH KOREA.**

(a) DISCLOSURE OF AND REPORT ON RUSSIAN SUPPORT OF BALLISTIC MISSILE PROGRAMS OF CHINA, SYRIA, IRAN, AND NORTH KOREA.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The President shall seek to encourage the Government of the Russian Federation to disclose any support by the Russian Federation or Russian entities for the ballistic missile programs of the People's Republic of China, Syria, Iran, or North Korea.

(2) REPORT REQUIRED.—The President shall submit to the congressional defense committees a semi-annual report on any disclosure by the Government of the Russian Federation of any such support during the preceding six-month period.

(3) INITIAL REPORT.—The initial report required by paragraph (2) shall be submitted not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and in addition to addressing any such support during the preceding six-month period shall also address any such support during the 10-year period ending on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) COOPERATION OF RUSSIA AND CHINA TO REDUCE TECHNOLOGY AND EXPERTISE THAT SUPPORTS THE BALLISTIC MISSILE PROGRAMS OF SYRIA, IRAN, NORTH KOREA, AND OTHER COUNTRIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of State, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, shall develop a plan to seek and secure the cooperation of the Russian Federation and the People's Republic of China to verifiably reduce the spread of technology and expertise that supports the ballistic missile programs of the Syria, Iran, North Korea, or any other country that the Secretary of State determines has a ballistic missile program.

(2) REPORT AND BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of State, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act a report describing the plan required in paragraph (1) and provide briefings to such committees annually thereafter until 2018 on the progress and results of these efforts.

(3) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term "appropriate congressional committees" means—

(A) the congressional defense committees;

(B) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(C) the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

(c) FORM.—Each report required by this section shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex, if necessary.

**SEC. 1250. CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATIONS RELATING TO STATUS OF FORCES AGREEMENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—With respect to an agreement on the status of forces between the United States and a foreign country, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall notify the appropriate congressional

committees not later than 15 days after the date on which the agreement is signed, renewed, amended or otherwise revised, or terminated.

(b) BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.—Not later than February 1 of each calendar year, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall provide a briefing to the appropriate congressional committees on the following:

(1) Status of forces agreements that the United States will seek to enter into in such calendar year.

(2) Status of forces agreements that have expired and which the United States will seek to renew in such calendar year.

(3) Amendments to status of forces agreements that the Secretary of Defense determines to be substantial and are likely to be negotiated in such calendar year.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the congressional defense committees; and  
(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply with respect to an agreement described in subsection (a) that is signed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1251. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE CONFLICT IN SYRIA.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The conflict in Syria began in March 2011.  
(2) As of February 2013, the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights estimated that approximately 70,000 Syrians have been killed during the conflict.

(3) According to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, over 1,200,000 Syrians are registered refugees or persons of concern including, over 66,000 in Egypt, over 145,000 in Iraq, over 461,000 in Jordan, over 462,000 in Lebanon, and over 329,000 in Turkey.

(4) Jabhat al-Nusra, a group located in Syria and categorized as an affiliate of al-Qaeda by the intelligence community, presents a direct threat to the interests of the United States and could present a direct threat to the United States.

(5) On August 19, 2011, President Obama stated: “The future of Syria must be determined by its people, but President Bashar al-Assad is standing in their way. We have consistently said that President Assad must lead a democratic transition or get out of the way. He has not led. For the sake of the Syrian people, the time has come for President Assad to step aside.”

(6) The United States is deploying 200 military personnel from the headquarters of the 1st Armored Division to Jordan in order to “improve readiness and prepare for a number of scenarios”.

(7) In a letter from Miguel Rodriguez, the Assistant to the President for Legislative Affairs, to Senators McCain and Levin, dated April 25, 2013, it stated that “our intelligence community does assess with varying degrees of confidence that the Syrian regime has used chemical weapons on a small scale in Syria, specifically, the chemical agent sarin. . . We do believe that any use of chemical weapons in Syria would very likely have originated with the Assad regime. . . the President has made it clear that the use of chemical weapons—or the transfer of chemical weapons to terrorist groups—is a red line for the United States of America”.

(8) In a press conference with Israel Prime Minister, Benjamin Netanyahu, President Obama stated: “I have made clear that the use of chemical weapons is a game-changer”.

(9) In August 2012, during a White House press conference, President Obama stated: “We have been very clear to the Assad regime, but also to other players on the ground, that a red line for us is we start seeing a whole bunch of chemical weapons moving around or being utilized.”

(10) It is a threat to the vital national security interest of the United States if terrorist groups, such as al-Qaeda, obtain chemical or biological material or weapons in Syria.

(11) At a Pentagon press conference on May 2, 2013, Secretary Hagel confirmed that the Obama Administration is re-thinking its opposition to arming the rebels.

(12) On April 11, 2013, responding to a question about the need for a supplemental funding request for any potential United States military effort in Syria, Secretary Hagel stated: “Yes, I think it is pretty clear that a supplemental would be required.”

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) President Obama should have a comprehensive policy and should ensure robust contingency planning to secure United States’ interests in Syria;

(2) President Obama should fully consider all courses of action to remove President Bashar al-Assad from power;

(3) the conflict in Syria threatens the vital national security interests of Israel, which should be sufficiently weighed by the President when considering policy approaches towards the conflict in Syria;

(4) the President should fully consider all courses of action to reinforce his stated “red-line” regarding the use of weapons of mass destruction by the Assad regime in Syria, which could threaten the credibility of the United States with its allies in the region and embolden the Assad regime;

(5) the United States should continue to conduct rigorous planning and operational preparation to support any efforts to secure the chemical and biological stockpiles and associated weapons;

(6) the United States should have a policy that supports the stability of countries on Syria’s border, including Jordan, Turkey, Iraq, Lebanon, and Israel;

(7) the United States should continue to support Syrian opposition forces with non-lethal aid;

(8) the President, the Department of Defense, the Department of State, and the intelligence community, in cooperation with European and regional allies, should ensure that the risks of all courses of action or inaction regarding Syria are fully explored and understood and that Congress is kept fully informed of such risks;

(9) the President should fully consider, and the Department of Defense should conduct prudent planning for, the provision of lethal aid and relevant operational training to vetted Syrian opposition forces, including an analysis of the risks of the provision of such aid and training; and

(10) should the President decide to employ any military assets in Syria, the President should provide a supplemental budget request to Congress.

**SEC. 1252. REVISION OF STATUTORY REFERENCES TO FORMER NATO SUPPORT ORGANIZATIONS AND RELATED NATO AGREEMENTS.**

(a) TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—Section 2350d of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “NATO Maintenance and Supply Organization” each place it appears and inserting “NATO Support Organization and its executive agencies”;

(2) in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) by striking “Weapon System Partnership Agreements” and inserting “Support Partnership Agreements”; and

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “a specific weapon system” and inserting “activities”; and

(3) in subsections (b), (c), (d), and (e), by striking “Weapon System Partnership Agreement” each place it appears and inserting “Support Partnership Agreement”.

(b) ARMS EXPORT CONTROL ACT.—Section 21(e)(3) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2761(e)(3)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraphs (A) and (C)(i), by striking “Maintenance and Supply Agency of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization” and inserting “North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Support Organization and its executive agencies”;

(2) in subparagraph (A)(i), by striking “weapon system partnership agreement” and inserting “support partnership agreement”; and

(3) in subparagraph (C)(i)(II), by striking “a specific weapon system” and inserting “activities”.

**SEC. 1253. LIMITATION ON FUNDS TO IMPLEMENT EXECUTIVE AGREEMENTS RELATING TO UNITED STATES MISSILE DEFENSE CAPABILITIES.**

(a) STATEMENT OF POLICY.—Congress reaffirms, with respect to executive agreements relating to the missile defense capabilities of the United States, including basing, locations, capabilities and numbers of missiles with respect to such missile defense capabilities, that section 303(b) of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2573(b)) provides the following: “No action shall be taken pursuant to this or any other Act that would obligate the United States to reduce or limit the Armed Forces or armaments of the United States in a militarily significant manner, except pursuant to the treaty-making power of the President set forth in Article II, Section 2, Clause 2 of the Constitution or unless authorized by the enactment of further affirmative legislation by the Congress of the United States.”

(b) LIMITATION ON FUNDS.—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 or any fiscal year thereafter for the Department of Defense may be used—

(1) to implement any executive agreement relating to the missile defense capabilities of the United States, including basing, locations, capabilities, and numbers of missiles with respect to such missile defense capabilities; or

(2) to implement rules of engagement or Guidance for Employment of Force relating to such executive agreement.

(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Subsection (b) shall not apply with respect to the use of funds to negotiate or implement any executive agreement with a country with respect to which the United States has entered into a treaty of alliance or has a security guarantee.

(d) EXECUTIVE AGREEMENT DEFINED.—In this section, the term “executive agreement” means an international agreement other than—

(1) an agreement that is in the form of a treaty under article II, section 2, clause 2 of the Constitution of the United States; or

(2) an agreement that requires implementing legislation to be enacted into law for the agreement to enter into force with respect to the United States.

**SEC. 1254. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR THREAT REDUCTION ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES AND UNITED STATES CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE NUCLEAR-TEST-BAN TREATY ORGANIZATION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds made available for fiscal year 2014 for Threat Reduction Engagement activities may be obligated or expended for such purposes until the President certifies to Congress that no state party to the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty has undertaken nuclear weapons test activities in fiscal year 2013 that are inconsistent with United States interpretations regarding obligations under such Treaty.

(b) LOBBYING OR ADVOCACY ACTIVITIES.—None of the funds made available for fiscal year 2014 for contributions of the United States to the CTBTO entities may be used for lobbying or advocacy in the United States relating to the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty.

(c) CTBTO ENTITIES.—In subsection (b), the term “CTBTO entities” means—

(1) The Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organization International Monitoring System; and

(2) the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organization Preparatory Commission-Special Contributions.

**SEC. 1255. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MILITARY-TO-MILITARY COOPERATION BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND BURMA.**

It is the sense of the Congress that—

(1) as the United States policy rebalances towards Asia, it is critical that the United States military comprehensively evaluate its engagement with Burma;

(2) the future of the military-to-military relationship between the United States and Burma should take into account the current ethnic conflict in Burma and persecution of ethnic and religious minorities;

(3) while the United States has national security interests in Burma's peace and stability, the peaceful settlement of armed conflicts with the ethnic minority groups requires the Burmese military to respect ceasefire agreements, laws of war, and human rights provisions; and

(4) the Department of Defense should fully consider and assess the Burmese military's efforts to implement reforms, end impunity for human rights abuses, and increase transparency and accountability before expanding military-to-military cooperation beyond initial dialogue and isolated engagements.

**SEC. 1256. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE STATIONING OF UNITED STATES FORCES IN EUROPE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) During the past several years, over 700 kinetic terror incidents have occurred in the U.S. European Command (EUCOM) area of operations. Rising tensions in the region due to unemployment, fiscal insolvency, ethnic strife, hegemonic desires, and terrorism, pose risks to the security and stability of Europe.

(2) Arab Spring uprisings in Middle Eastern and North African countries, including the Republic of Mali, the Arab Republic of Egypt, Libya, and the Syrian Arab Republic (Syria), have presented emerging strategic challenges that present significant implications for regional stability, the security of the State of Israel (Israel), and the national security interests of the United States and many European allies.

(3) U.S. Africa Command does not have formally assigned Army or Marine Corps units assigned to it and it continues to share Air Force and Navy component commands with EUCOM. Consequently, United States forces stationed in Europe have been deployed to support contingencies associated with the Arab Spring in North Africa.

(4) The Commander of U.S. European Command is responsible for developing operational plans for the defense of Israel. Moreover, forces stationed in Europe would be deployed to defend Israel in the event of such a contingency.

(5) Regimes, including the Islamic Republic of Iran and Syria, continue efforts to procure, develop, and proliferate advanced ballistic missile technologies that pose a serious threat to United States forces and installations in the theater, as well as to the territory, populations, and forces of Israel and European allies. United States missile defense capabilities in Europe seek to mitigate these threats.

(6) Violent extremist organizations, including Kongra-Gel, al Qaida, Lebanese Hizballah, and Iranian Qods Force, may utilize Europe as an important venue for recruitment, logistical support, financing, and the targeting of the United States and Western interests.

(7) Congress has lacked sufficient data to compare the strategic benefits and the costs associated with permanently stationing forces in Europe. The Government Accountability Office (GAO) has found that the combatant commands do not completely and consistently report cost data in their theater posture plans. In particular, GAO reported in February 2011 that EUCOM lacks comprehensive cost data in its theater posture plans and therefore decision makers lack critical information that could be

used to make fully informed posture decisions. Additionally, in June 2012, GAO found that the Department of Defense has taken steps to align posture initiatives with strategy and cost, but continues to lack comprehensive and consistent cost estimates of initiatives.

(8) The Department of Defense has reported that the cost of permanently stationing forces in the United States rather than overseas is often offset by such factors as increased rotational costs.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) an enduring United States presence and engagement across Europe and Eurasia provides the critical access and infrastructure necessary to accomplish United States strategic priorities, expand United States global reach to Europe, Eurasia, the Middle East, Africa, as well as the Mediterranean and Atlantic Oceans, and facilitates a rapid United States response for complex contingencies;

(2) the United States continues to have an interest in supporting the stability and security of Europe, especially in a dynamic and challenging global security environment;

(3) forward-stationed active duty service members, forward-deployed rotational units, and reserve forces assigned to U.S. European Command remain essential for United States planning, logistics, and operations in support of U.S. Central Command, U.S. Africa Command, U.S. Transportation Command, U.S. Special Operations Command, and U.S. Strategic Command, as well as fulfilling commitments under Article V of the North Atlantic Charter;

(4) in light of the benefits associated with defense of the homeland forward and strategic access, as well as the potential for rotational deployments to increase cost to the Department of Defense, the Department of Defense should implement the recommendations of the Government Accountability Office with regard to improved cost estimation to enable informed force posture decisions prior to making any further significant changes to the United States force posture in Europe that could increase risk for the United States; and

(5) the Secretary of Defense should keep Congress fully and currently informed regarding the requirements of the United States force posture in Europe and the costs associated with maintaining such force.

**SEC. 1257. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MILITARY CAPABILITIES OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.**

Congress—

(1) notes the People's Republic of China (PRC) continues to rapidly modernize and expand its military capabilities across the land, sea, air, space, and cyberspace domains;

(2) is concerned by the rate and scope of PRC military developments, including its military-focused cyber espionage, which indicate a desire to constrain or prevent the peaceful activities of the United States and its allies in the Western Pacific;

(3) concurs with Admiral Samuel Locklear, commander of U.S. Pacific Command, that "China's rapid development of advanced military capabilities, combined with its unclear intentions, certainly raises strategic and security concerns for the U.S. and the region";

(4) notes the United States remains committed to a robust forward military-presence in the Asia-Pacific and will continue to vigorously support mutual defense arrangements with treaty allies while also building deeper relationships with other strategic partners in the region; and

(5) urges the Government of the PRC to work peacefully to resolve existing territorial disputes and to adopt a maritime code of conduct with relevant parties to guide all forms of maritime interaction and communications in the Asia-Pacific.

**SEC. 1258. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**

Nothing in this Act shall be construed as authorizing the use of force against Syria.

**TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION**

**SEC. 1301. SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS AND FUNDS.**

(a) SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS.—For purposes of section 301 and other provisions of this Act, Cooperative Threat Reduction programs are the programs specified in section 1501 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (50 U.S.C. 2362 note).

(b) FISCAL YEAR 2014 COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION FUNDS DEFINED.—As used in this title, the term "fiscal year 2014 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds" means the funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs.

(c) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs shall be available for obligation for fiscal years 2014, 2015, and 2016.

**SEC. 1302. FUNDING ALLOCATIONS.**

(a) FUNDING FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES.—Of the \$528,455,000 authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs, the following amounts may be obligated for the purposes specified:

(1) For strategic offensive arms elimination, \$5,655,000.

(2) For chemical weapons destruction, \$13,000,000.

(3) For global nuclear security, \$32,793,000.

(4) For cooperative biological engagement, \$293,142,110.

(5) For proliferation prevention, \$149,314,890.

(6) For threat reduction engagement, \$6,375,000.

(7) For activities designated as Other Assessments/Administrative Costs, \$28,175,000.

(b) REPORT ON OBLIGATION OR EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR OTHER PURPOSES.—No fiscal year 2014 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds may be obligated or expended for a purpose other than a purpose listed in paragraphs (1) through (7) of subsection (a) until 15 days after the date that the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress a report on the purpose for which the funds will be obligated or expended and the amount of funds to be obligated or expended. Nothing in the preceding sentence shall be construed as authorizing the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2014 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds for a purpose for which the obligation or expenditure of such funds is specifically prohibited under this title or any other provision of law.

(c) LIMITED AUTHORITY TO VARY INDIVIDUAL AMOUNTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), in any case in which the Secretary of Defense determines that it is necessary to do so in the national interest, the Secretary may obligate amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for a purpose listed in paragraphs (1) through (7) of subsection (a) in excess of the specific amount authorized for that purpose.

(2) NOTICE-AND-WAIT REQUIRED.—An obligation of funds for a purpose stated in paragraphs (1) through (7) of subsection (a) in excess of the specific amount authorized for such purpose may be made using the authority provided in paragraph (1) only after—

(A) the Secretary submits to Congress notification of the intent to do so together with a complete discussion of the justification for doing so; and

(B) 15 days have elapsed following the date of the notification.

**SEC. 1303. EXTENSION FOR USE OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM.**

Section 1303(g) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 22 U.S.C. 5952 note) is amended by striking “2015” and inserting “2018”.

**TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**Subtitle A—Military Programs**

**SEC. 1401. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

**SEC. 1402. NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the fiscal year 2014 for the National Defense Sealift Fund, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

**SEC. 1403. CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE.**

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

(b) **USE.**—Amounts authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a) are authorized for—

(1) the destruction of lethal chemical agents and munitions in accordance with section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521); and

(2) the destruction of chemical warfare material of the United States that is not covered by section 1412 of such Act.

**SEC. 1404. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

**SEC. 1405. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

**SEC. 1406. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4501, for use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense in providing for the health of eligible beneficiaries.

**Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile**

**SEC. 1411. USE OF NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE FOR THE CONSERVATION OF A STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MATERIALS SUPPLY.**

(a) **PRESIDENTIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR CONSERVATION OF STOCKPILE MATERIALS.**—Section 98e(a) of title 50, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (5) and (6) as paragraphs (6) and (7), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following new paragraph (5):

“(5) provide for the recovery of any strategic and critical material from excess materials made available for recovery purposes by other Federal agencies;”.

(b) **USES OF NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE TRANSACTION FUND.**—Section 98h(b)(2) of title 50, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) through (L) as subparagraphs (E) through (M), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph (D):

“(D) Encouraging the conservation of strategic and critical materials.”.

(c) **DEVELOPMENT OF DOMESTIC SOURCES.**—Section 98h-6(a) of title 50, United States Code, is amended, in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “and conservation” after “development”.

**SEC. 1412. AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE ADDITIONAL MATERIALS FOR THE NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE.**

(a) **ACQUISITION AUTHORITY.**—Using funds available in the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund, the National Defense Stockpile Manager may acquire the following materials determined to be strategic and critical materials required to meet the defense, industrial, and essential civilian needs of the United States:

- (1) Ferroniobium.
- (2) Dysprosium Metal.
- (3) Yttrium Oxide.
- (4) Cadmium Zinc Tellurium Substrate Materials.

(5) Lithium Ion Precursors.

(6) Triamino-Trinitrobenzene and Insensitive High Explosive Molding Powders.

(b) **AMOUNT OF AUTHORITY.**—The National Defense Stockpile Manager may use up to \$41,000,000 of the National Stockpile Transaction Fund for acquisition of the materials specified in subsection (a).

(c) **FISCAL YEAR LIMITATION.**—The authority under this section is available for purchases during fiscal year 2014 through fiscal year 2019.

**Subtitle C—Other Matters**

**SEC. 1421. AUTHORITY FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO JOINT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE-DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL FACILITY DEMONSTRATION FUND FOR CAPTAIN JAMES A. LOVELL HEALTH CARE CENTER, ILLINOIS.**

(a) **AUTHORITY FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated for section 507 and available for the Defense Health Program for operation and maintenance, \$143,087,000 may be transferred by the Secretary of Defense to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund established by subsection (a)(1) of section 1704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2571). For purposes of subsection (a)(2) of such section 1704, any funds so transferred shall be treated as amounts authorized and appropriated specifically for the purpose of such a transfer.

(b) **USE OF TRANSFERRED FUNDS.**—For the purposes of subsection (b) of such section 1704, facility operations for which funds transferred under subsection (a) may be used are operations of the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, consisting of the North Chicago Veterans Affairs Medical Center, the Navy Ambulatory Care Center, and supporting facilities designated as a combined Federal medical facility under an operational agreement covered by section 706 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4500).

**SEC. 1422. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME.**

There is hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund the sum of \$67,800,000 for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

**SEC. 1423. CEMETERY EXPENSES.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of the Army for fiscal year 2014 for cemetery expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the amount of \$45,800,000.

**TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**

**Subtitle A—Authorization of Additional Appropriations**

**SEC. 1501. PURPOSE.**

The purpose of this subtitle is to authorize appropriations for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 to provide additional funds for overseas contingency operations being carried out by the Armed Forces.

**SEC. 1502. PROCUREMENT.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for procurement accounts for the Army, the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4102.

**SEC. 1503. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation, as specified in the funding table in section 4202.

**SEC. 1504. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4302.

**SEC. 1505. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military personnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4402.

**SEC. 1506. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

**SEC. 1507. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

**SEC. 1508. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

**SEC. 1509. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

**Subtitle B—Financial Matters**

**SEC. 1521. TREATMENT AS ADDITIONAL AUTHORIZATIONS.**

The amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

**SEC. 1522. SPECIAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

(a) **AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.**—

(1) **AUTHORITY.**—Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this title for fiscal year 2014 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so

transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) **LIMITATION.**—The total amount of authorizations that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this subsection may not exceed \$3,000,000,000.

(b) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—Transfers under this section shall be subject to the same terms and conditions as transfers under section 1001.

(c) **ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.**—The transfer authority provided by this section is in addition to the transfer authority provided under section 1001.

**Subtitle C—Limitations and Other Matters**  
**SEC. 1531. AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND.**

(a) **CONTINUATION OF EXISTING LIMITATIONS ON USE OF FUNDS IN FUND.**—Funds available to the Department of Defense for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund for fiscal year 2014 shall be subject to the conditions contained in subsections (b) through (g) of section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 428), as amended by section 1531(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4424).

(b) **REVISION OF PLAN FOR USE OF AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND.**—

(1) **REVISION AND PURPOSE.**—The Secretary of Defense shall revise the plan required by section 1531(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2056) regarding use of the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund through September 30, 2017, to ensure that an office or official of the Department of Defense is identified as responsible for each program or activity supported using funds available to the Department of Defense through the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.

(2) **SUBMISSION.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional committees the plan as revised pursuant to paragraph (1).

(c) **PROMOTION OF RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION OF WOMEN.**—Of the funds available to the Department of Defense for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund for fiscal year 2014, no less than \$47,300,000 shall be used for the recruitment and retention of women in the Afghanistan National Security Forces. This requirement does not modify the distribution of funds for programs and activities supported using the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, but will ensure attention to recruitment and retention of women within each program and activity.

**SEC. 1532. FUTURE ROLE OF JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT ORGANIZATION.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the future plans of the Department of Defense for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO).

(b) **REQUIRED ELEMENTS.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following elements:

(1) An analysis of alternatives considered in determining the future plans for JIEDDO.

(2) If the Secretary of Defense plans to discontinue JIEDDO—

(A) a description of how JIEDDO's major programs and capabilities will be integrated into other components within the Department of Defense or discontinued; and

(B) a statement of the estimated costs to other components of the Department for any JIEDDO programs and capabilities that are reassigned to such components.

(3) If the Secretary of Defense plans to continue JIEDDO—

(A) a statement of the expected mission of JIEDDO;

(B) a description of the expected organizational structure for JIEDDO, including the reporting structure and lines of authority within the Department and personnel strength, including contractors; and

(C) a statement of the estimated costs and budgetary impacts related to implementing any changes to the mission of JIEDDO and its organizational structure.

(4) A timeline for implementation of the selected alternative described in paragraph (2) or (3).

(5) A description on how the Department will identify and incorporate lessons learned from establishing and managing JIEDDO and its programs.

**SEC. 1533. LIMITATION ON INTELLIGENCE, SURVEILLANCE, AND RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT FOR OPERATION OBSERVANT COMPASS.**

None of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for operation and maintenance by section 1504, as specified in the funding table in section 4302, may be obligated or expended for intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance support for Operation Observant Compass until the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report on Operation Observant Compass, including the specific goals of the campaign to counter the Lord Resistance Army, the precise metrics used to measure progress in such campaign, and the required steps that will be taken to transition such campaign if it is determined that it is no longer necessary for the United States to support the mission of such campaign.

**SEC. 1534. REPORT ON UNITED STATES FORCE LEVELS AND COSTS OF MILITARY OPERATIONS IN AFGHANISTAN.**

Not later than January 15, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and Senate a report on the following:

(1) The estimated United States force levels in Afghanistan for each of years 2015 through 2020.

(2) The estimated costs of United States military operations in Afghanistan for each of fiscal years 2015 through 2020.

**TITLE XVI—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS**

**SEC. 1601. PERIODIC AUDITS OF CONTRACTING COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) **REQUIREMENT FOR PERIODIC AUDITS OF CONTRACTING COMPLIANCE.**—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall conduct periodic audits of contracting practices and policies related to procurement under section 2533a of title 10, United States Code. Such an audit shall be conducted at least once every three years.

(b) **REQUIREMENT FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN SEMIANNUAL REPORTS.**—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall ensure that findings and other information resulting from audits conducted pursuant to subsection (a) are included in the semiannual report transmitted to congressional committees under section 8(f)(1) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App).

**SEC. 1602. EXPANSION OF THE PROCUREMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM TO ADVANCE SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH.**

(a) **ADVANCING SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 142 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by redesignating section 2419 as section 2420; and

(B) by inserting after section 2418 the following new section 2419:

**“§2419. Advancing small business growth**  
“(a) **IDENTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED BUSINESS CAPABILITIES AND CHARACTERISTICS.**—(1) The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall publish in the Federal Register and on the website of the Of-

fice of Small Business Programs of the Department of Defense a list of capabilities and characteristics recommended for the successful transition of a qualified small business concern to become competitive as an other-than-small business for contracts awarded by the Department of Defense. The capabilities and characteristics on the list shall be set forth by North American Industry Classification System sector.

“(2) The list shall be reviewed and updated appropriately on an annual basis.

“(b) **CONTRACT CLAUSE REQUIRED.**—(1) The Under Secretary shall require the clause described in paragraph (2) to be included in each covered contract awarded by the Department of Defense.

“(2) The clause described in this paragraph is a clause that—

“(A) requires the contractor to acknowledge that acceptance of the contract may cause the business to exceed the applicable small business size standards (established pursuant to section 3(a) of the Small Business Act) for the industry concerned and that the contractor may no longer qualify as a small business concern for that industry; and

“(B) encourages the contractor to develop capabilities and characteristics identified in the list required by subsection (a) if the contractor intends to remain competitive as an other-than-small business in that industry.

“(c) **ASSISTANCE FOR ADVANCING CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESSES.**—Eligible small businesses may be provided specific assistance with developing the capabilities and characteristics identified in the list required by subsection (a), as part of any procurement technical assistance furnished pursuant to this chapter.

“(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘covered contract’ means a contract—

“(A) awarded to a qualified small business concern as defined pursuant to section 3(a) of the Small Business Act; and

“(B) with an estimated annual value—

“(i) that will exceed the applicable receipt-based small business size standard; or

“(ii) if the contract is in an industry with an employee-based size standard, that will exceed \$70,000,000.

“(2) The term ‘eligible small business’ means a qualified small business concern as defined pursuant to section 3(a) of the Small Business Act that has entered into a contract with the Department of Defense that includes a contract clause described in subsection (b)(2).”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections as the beginning of such chapter is amended by striking the item relating to section 2419 and inserting the following:

“2419. Advancing small business growth.

“2420. Regulations.”.

(b) **EXCEPTION TO LIMITATION ON FUNDING.**—Section 2414 of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “The value” and inserting “Except as provided in subsection (c), the value”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection (c):

“(c) **EXCEPTION.**—The value of the assistance provided in accordance with section 2419(c) of this title is not subject to the limitations in subsection (a).”.

(c) **REVISIONS TO COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.**—

(1) **FULL FUNDING ALLOWED FOR CERTAIN ASSISTANCE.**—Section 2413(b) of such title is amended—

(A) by striking “except that in the case” and inserting: “except that—

“(1) in the case”; and

(B) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) in the case of a program sponsored by such an entity that provides specific assistance

for eligible small businesses pursuant to section 2419(c) of this title, the Secretary may agree to furnish the full cost of such assistance.”.

(2) **ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS.**—Section 2413 of such title is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) In determining the level of funding to provide under an agreement under subsection (b), the Secretary shall consider the forecast by the eligible entity of demand for procurement technical assistance, and, in the case of an established program under this chapter, the outlays and receipts of such program during prior years of operation.”.

(3) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 2413(d) of such title is amended by striking “and in determining the level of funding to provide under an agreement under subsection (b),”.

(d) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than March 15 of 2015, 2016, and 2017, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the implementation of the amendments made by this section, along with any recommendations for improving the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program.

**SEC. 1603. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO PROCUREMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT PROGRAM.**

(a) **INCREASE IN GOVERNMENT SHARE.**—Section 2413(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “one-half” both places it appears and inserting “65 percent”; and

(2) by striking “three-fourths” and inserting “75 percent”.

(b) **INCREASE IN LIMITATIONS ON VALUE OF ASSISTANCE.**—Section 2414(a) of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraphs (1) and (4), by striking “\$600,000” and inserting “\$750,000”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “\$300,000” and inserting “\$450,000”; and

(3) in paragraph (3), by striking “\$150,000” and inserting “\$300,000”.

**SEC. 1604. STRATEGIC PLAN FOR REQUIREMENTS FOR WAR RESERVE STOCKS OF MEALS READY-TO-EAT.**

(a) **LIMITATION; STRATEGIC PLAN.**—The Administrator of the Defense Logistics Agency may not make any reductions in the requirements for war reserve stocks of meals ready-to-eat until the Administrator and the heads of the military services, in consultation with manufacturers of meals ready-to-eat, develop a comprehensive strategic plan to address—

(1) the aggregate meals ready-to-eat requirements for each of the military departments;

(2) industrial base sustainment and war-time surge capacity requirements for meals ready-to-eat; and

(3) timely rotation of the war reserves of meals-ready-to-eat.

(b) **BRIEFING REQUIRED.**—The Administrator shall brief the congressional defense committees on the strategic plan developed under subsection (a) before making any reductions in the requirements for war reserve stocks of meals ready-to-eat.

**SEC. 1605. FOREIGN COMMERCIAL SATELLITE SERVICES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 135 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 911(b) of this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§2279. Foreign commercial satellite services**

“(a) **PROHIBITION.**—The Secretary of Defense may not enter into a contract for satellite services with a foreign entity if—

“(1) the foreign entity is an entity in which the government of a covered foreign country has an ownership interest; or

“(2) the foreign entity plans to or is expected to provide launch or other satellite services under the contract from a covered foreign country.

“(b) **WAIVER.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive subsection (a) for a particular contract if

the Secretary, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, submits to the congressional defense committees a national security assessment for such contract that includes the following:

“(1) The projected period of performance (including any period covered by options to extend the contract), the financial terms, and a description of the services to be provided under the contract.

“(2) To the extent practicable, a description of the ownership interest that a covered foreign country has in the foreign entity providing satellite services to the Department of Defense under the contract and the launch or other satellite services that will be provided in a covered foreign country under the contract.

“(3) A justification for entering into a contract with such foreign entity and a description of the actions necessary to eliminate the need to enter into such a contract with such foreign entity in the future.

“(4) A risk assessment of entering into a contract with such foreign entity, including an assessment of mission assurance and security of information and a description of any measures necessary to mitigate risks found by such risk assessment.

“(c) **DELEGATION OF WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense may only delegate the authority under subsection (b) to waive subsection (a) to the Deputy Secretary of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, or the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and such authority may not be further delegated.

“(d) **FORM OF WAIVER ASSESSMENTS.**—Each assessment under subsection (b) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

“(e) **COVERED FOREIGN COUNTRY DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “covered foreign country” means a country described in section 1261(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2019).”.

(b) **TABLE OF SECTIONS AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter, as amended by section 911(c) of this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following item:

“2279. Foreign commercial satellite services.”.

**SEC. 1606. PROOF OF CONCEPT COMMERCIALIZATION PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM.**—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, shall establish and implement a pilot program, to be known as the “Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program”, in accordance with this section.

(b) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of the pilot program is to accelerate the commercialization of basic research innovations from qualifying institutions.

(c) **AWARDS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Under the pilot program, the Secretary shall make financial awards to qualifying institutions in accordance with this subsection.

(2) **COMPETITIVE, MERIT-BASED PROCESS.**—An award under the pilot program shall be made using a competitive, merit-based process.

(3) **ELIGIBILITY.**—A qualifying institution shall be eligible for an award under the pilot program if the institution agrees to—

(A) use funds from the award for the uses specified in paragraph (5); and

(B) oversee the use of the funds through—

(i) a rigorous, diverse review board comprised of experts in translational and proof of concept research, including industry, start-up, venture capital, technical, financial, and business experts and university technology transfer officials;

(ii) technology validation milestones focused on market feasibility;

(iii) simple reporting on program progress; and

(iv) a process to reallocate funding from poor performing projects to those with more potential.

(4) **CRITERIA.**—An award may be made under the pilot program to a qualifying institution in accordance with the following criteria:

(A) The extent to which a qualifying institution—

(i) has an established and proven technology transfer or commercialization office and has a plan for engaging that office in the program’s implementation or has outlined an innovative approach to technology transfer that has the potential to increase or accelerate technology transfer outcomes and can be adopted by other qualifying institutions;

(ii) can assemble a project management board comprised of industry, start-up, venture capital, technical, financial, and business experts;

(iii) has an intellectual property rights strategy or office; and

(iv) demonstrates a plan for sustainability beyond the duration of the funding from the award.

(B) Such other criteria as the Secretary determines necessary.

(5) **USE OF AWARD.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to subparagraph (B), the funds from an award may be used to evaluate the commercial potential of existing discoveries, including activities that contribute to determining a project’s commercialization path, including technical validations, market research, clarifying intellectual property rights, and investigating commercial and business opportunities.

(B) **LIMITATIONS.**—

(i) The amount of an award may not exceed \$500,000 a year.

(ii) Funds from an award may not be used for basic research, or to fund the acquisition of research equipment or supplies unrelated to commercialization activities.

(d) **REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the establishment of the pilot program, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees and to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate a report evaluating the effectiveness of the activities of the pilot program. The report shall include—

(1) a detailed description of the pilot program, including incentives and activities undertaken by review board experts;

(2) an accounting of the funds used in the pilot program;

(3) a detailed description of the institutional selection process;

(4) a detailed compilation of results achieved by the pilot program; and

(5) an analysis of the program’s effectiveness, with data supporting the analysis.

(e) **QUALIFYING INSTITUTION DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “qualifying institution” means a nonprofit institution, as defined in section 4(3) of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3703(3)), or a Federal laboratory, as defined in section 4(4) of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3703(4)).

(f) **TERMINATION.**—The pilot program conducted under this section shall terminate on September 30, 2018.

**DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS**

**SEC. 2001. SHORT TITLE.**

This division may be cited as the “Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014”.

**SEC. 2002. EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED BY LAW.**

(a) **EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AFTER THREE YEARS.**—Except as provided in subsection (b), all authorizations contained in titles XXI through XXVII and title XXIX of this division

for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor) shall expire on the later of—

- (1) October 1, 2016; or
- (2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017.

(b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to authorizations for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security In-

vestment Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor), for which appropriated funds have been obligated before the later of—

- (1) October 1, 2016; or
- (2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for fiscal year 2017 for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, or contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.

SEC. 2003. EFFECTIVE DATE.  
Titles XXI through XXVII and title XXIX shall take effect on the later of—

- (1) October 1, 2013; or
- (2) the date of the enactment of this Act.

**TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

**SEC. 2101. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103 and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Army: Inside the United States**

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Alaska .....	Fort Wainwright .....	\$103,000,000
Colorado .....	Fort Carson, Colorado .....	\$242,200,000
Florida .....	Eglin AFB .....	\$4,700,000
Georgia .....	Fort Gordon .....	\$61,000,000
Hawaii .....	Fort Shafter .....	\$65,000,000
Kansas .....	Fort Leavenworth .....	\$17,000,000
Kentucky .....	Fort Campbell, Kentucky .....	\$4,800,000
Maryland .....	Aberdeen Proving Ground .....	\$21,000,000
Missouri .....	Fort Detrick .....	\$7,100,000
North Carolina .....	Fort Leonard Wood .....	\$90,700,000
Texas .....	Fort Bragg .....	\$5,900,000
Texas .....	Fort Bliss .....	\$46,800,000
Virginia .....	Joint Base Langley-Eustis .....	\$50,000,000
Washington .....	Joint Base Lewis-McChord .....	\$144,000,000
	Yakima .....	\$9,100,000

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103 and available for military construction projects out-

side the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out the military construction project for the instal-

lation or location outside the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the following table:

**Army: Outside the United States**

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Marshall Islands .....	Kwajalein Atoll .....	\$63,000,000

(c) UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103 and available for military construction projects at unspecified

worldwide locations as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for unspecified instal-

lations or locations in the amounts set forth in the following table:

**Army: Unspecified**

Location	Location or Installation	Amount
Worldwide Unspecified .....	Unspecified Worldwide Locations .....	\$33,000,000

**SEC. 2102. FAMILY HOUSING.**

(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103 and

available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may construct or acquire family housing units (including land ac-

quisition and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations, in the number of units, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

**Army: Family Housing**

Country	Installation	Units	Amount
Germany .....	South Camp Vilseck .....	29 .....	\$16,600,000
Wisconsin .....	Fort McCoy .....	56 .....	\$23,000,000

(a) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103 and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or im-

provement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$4,408,000.

**SEC. 2103. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, ARMY.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing func-

tions of the Department of the Army as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

**SEC. 2104. ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2004 PROJECT.**

(a) PROJECT AUTHORIZATION.—In connection with the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B



of Public Law 108-136; 117 Stat. 1697) for Picatinny Arsenal, New Jersey, for construction of a Research and Development Loading Facility, the Secretary of the Army may carry out a military construction project in the amount of \$4,500,000 to complete work on the facility within the initial scope of the project.

(b) **USE OF UNOBLIGATED PRIOR-YEAR ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FUNDS.**—For the project described in subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall use unobligated Army military construction funds that were appropriated for a fiscal year before fiscal year 2014 and are available because of savings resulting from favorable bids.

(c) **CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.**—The Secretary of the Army shall provide information in accordance with section 2851(c) of title 10, United States Code, regarding the project described in subsection (a). If it becomes necessary to exceed the estimated project cost, the Secretary shall utilize the authority provided by

section 2853 of such title regarding authorized cost and scope of work variations.

**SEC. 2105. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2010 PROJECT.**

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2629) for Camp Arifjan, Kuwait, for construction of APS Warehouses, the Secretary of the Army may construct up to 74,976 square meters of hardstand parking, 22,741 square meters of access roads, a 6 megawatt power plant, and 50,724 square meters of humidity-controlled warehouses.

**SEC. 2106. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.**

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4437)

for Joint Base Lewis-McCord, Washington, for construction of a Regional Logistics Support Complex, the Secretary of the Army may construct up to 98,381 square yards of Organizational Vehicle Parking.

**SEC. 2107. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2010 PROJECTS.**

(a) **EXTENSIONS.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2627), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (126 Stat. 2628) and extended by section 2106 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2121), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later:

(b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Army: Extension of 2010 Project Authorizations**

State	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Virginia .....	Fort Belvoir .....	Road and Access Control Point .....	\$9,500,000
Washington .....	Fort Lewis .....	Fort Lewis-McChord AFB Joint Access .....	\$9,000,000
Kuwait .....	Camp Arifjan .....	APS Warehouses .....	\$82,000,000

**SEC. 2108. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.**

(a) **EXTENSIONS.**—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization

Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (124 Stat. 4437), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2014, or

the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later:

(b) **TABLE.**—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Army: Extension of 2011 Project Authorizations**

State	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
California .....	Presidio of Monterey .....	Advanced Individual Training Barracks .....	\$63,000,000
Georgia .....	Fort Benning .....	Land Acquisition .....	\$12,200,000
New Mexico .....	White Sands Missile Range .....	Barracks .....	\$29,000,000
Germany .....	Wiesbaden Air Base .....	Access Control Point .....	\$5,100,000

**TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

**SEC. 2201. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) **INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-

ization of appropriations in section 2204 and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installa-

tions or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Navy: Inside the United States**

State	Installation or Location	Amount
California .....	Barstow .....	\$14,998,000
	Camp Pendleton, California .....	\$13,124,000
	Coronado .....	\$8,910,000
	Point Mugu .....	\$24,667,000
	Port Hueneme .....	\$33,600,000
	San Diego .....	\$34,331,000
Florida .....	Twentynine Palms, California .....	\$33,437,000
	Jacksonville .....	\$20,752,000
	Key West .....	\$14,001,000
Georgia .....	Mayport .....	\$16,093,000
	Albany .....	\$16,610,000
Guam .....	Savannah .....	\$61,717,000
Hawaii .....	Joint Region Marianas .....	\$318,377,000
	Kaneohe Bay .....	\$236,982,000
	Pearl City .....	\$30,100,000
Illinois .....	Pearl Harbor .....	\$57,998,000
	Great Lakes .....	\$35,851,000
Maryland .....	Fort Meade .....	\$83,988,000
Maine .....	Bangor .....	\$13,800,000

Navy: Inside the United States—Continued

State	Installation or Location	Amount
North Carolina	Kittery	\$11,522,000
	Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	\$77,999,000
	New River	\$45,863,000
Nevada	Fallon	\$11,334,000
Oklahoma	Tinker Air Force Base	\$14,144,000
Rhode Island	Newport	\$12,422,000
South Carolina	Charleston	\$73,932,000
Virginia	Dam Neck	\$10,587,000
	Norfolk	\$3,380,000
	Quantico	\$38,374,000
	Yorktown	\$18,700,000
Washington	Bremerton	\$18,189,000
	Whidbey Island	\$117,649,000

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 and available for military construction projects out-

side the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installa-

tion or location outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Navy: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Djibouti	Camp Lemonier	\$29,000,000
Japan	Camp Butler	\$5,820,000
Japan	Yokosuka	\$7,568,000

SEC. 2202. FAMILY HOUSING.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$4,438,000.

SEC. 2203. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$68,969,000.

SEC. 2204. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NAVY.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Navy, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

SEC. 2205. LIMITATION ON PROJECT AUTHORIZATION TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2014 PROJECT.

The Secretary of the Navy may not obligate or expend any funds authorized in this title for

land acquisition related to the Townsend Bombing Range near Savannah, Georgia, until the Secretary certifies in writing to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary has entered into mutually-acceptable agreements with the governments of Long and McIntosh Counties, Georgia, that—

(1) include specific arrangements to mitigate any economic hardships to be incurred by the counties as a result of revenue loss caused by the acquisition; or

(2) affirm that no compensation is required from the Secretary before the acquisition proceeds.

SEC. 2206. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2201(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4441) for Southwest Asia, Bahrain, for construction of Navy Central Command Ammunition Magazines, the Secretary of the Navy may construct additional Type C earth covered magazines (to provide a project total of eighteen), ten new modular storage magazines, an inert storage facility, a maintenance and ground support equipment facility, concrete pads for portable ready service lockers, and associated supporting facilities using appropriations available for the project.

SEC. 2207. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECT.

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1666) for Kitsap, Washington, for construction of Explosives Handling Wharf No. 2, the Secretary of the Navy may construct new hardened facilities in lieu of hardening existing structures and a new facility to replace the existing Coast Guard Maritime Force Protection Unit and the Naval Undersea Warfare Command unhardened facilities using appropriations available for the project.

SEC. 2208. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (124 Stat. 4441), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

Navy: Extension of 2011 Project Authorizations

State/Country	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Bahrain	Southwest Asia	Navy Central Command Ammunition Magazines	\$89,280,000
Guam	Naval Activities	Defense Access Roads Improvements	\$66,730,000

**TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

**SEC. 2301. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-

ization of appropriations in section 2304 and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installa-

tions or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Air Force: Inside the United States**

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Arizona .....	Luke Air Force Base .....	\$26,900,000
California .....	Beale Air Force Base .....	\$62,000,000
Florida .....	Tyndall Air Force Base .....	\$9,100,000
Guam .....	Joint Region Marianas .....	\$176,230,000
Hawaii .....	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam .....	\$4,800,000
Kansas .....	McConnell Air Force Base .....	\$219,120,000
Kentucky .....	Fort Campbell, Kentucky .....	\$8,000,000
Mariana Islands .....	Saipan .....	\$29,300,000
Maryland .....	Fort Meade .....	\$358,000,000
	Joint Base Andrews .....	\$30,000,000
Missouri .....	Whiteman Air Force Base .....	\$5,900,000
North Dakota .....	Minot Air Force Base .....	\$23,830,000
New Mexico .....	Cannon Air Force Base .....	\$34,100,000
	Holloman Air Force Base .....	\$2,250,000
	Kirtland Air Force Base .....	\$30,500,000
Nevada .....	Nellis Air Force Base .....	\$78,500,000
Oklahoma .....	Altus Air Force Base .....	\$30,850,000
	Tinker Air Force Base .....	\$8,600,000
Texas .....	Fort Bliss .....	\$3,350,000
Utah .....	Hill Air Force Base .....	\$32,000,000
Virginia .....	Joint Base Langley-Eustis .....	\$4,800,000

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304 and available for military construction projects out-

side the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installa-

tions or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Air Force: Outside the United States**

Country	Installation	Amount
Greenland .....	Thule AB .....	\$43,904,000
United Kingdom .....	RAF Lakenheath .....	\$22,047,000

**SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304 and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$4,267,000.

**SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.**

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304 and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$72,093,000.

**SEC. 2304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Air Force, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

**SEC. 2305. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECT.**

The table in section 2301(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2126) is amended in the item relating to Andersen Air Force Base, Guam, for construction of a hangar by striking “\$58,000,000” in the amount column and inserting “\$128,000,000”.

**SEC. 2306. LIMITATION ON PROJECT AUTHORIZATION TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2014 PROJECT.**

The Secretary of the Air Force may not obligate or expend any funds authorized in this title

for the construction of a maintenance facility, a hazardous cargo pad, or an airport storage facility at Saipan, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, until the Secretary certifies to Congress that the Secretary will purchase an interest in the real estate associated with these military construction projects.

**SEC. 2307. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.**

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (124 Stat. 4444), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

(b) *TABLE.*—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Air Force: Extension of 2011 Project Authorization**

State	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Bahrain .....	Southwest Asia .....	North Apron Expansion .....	\$45,000,000

**TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES  
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

**Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations**

**SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES  
CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION  
PROJECTS.**

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the author-

ization of appropriations in section 2403 and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Defense Agencies: Inside the United States**

<b>State</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Alaska .....	Clear Air Force Base .....	\$17,204,000
	Fort Greely .....	\$82,000,000
California .....	Brawley .....	\$23,095,000
	Defense Distribution Depot-Tracy .....	\$37,554,000
	Miramar .....	\$6,000,000
Colorado .....	Fort Carson, Colorado .....	\$22,282,000
Florida .....	Hurlburt Field .....	\$7,900,000
	Jacksonville .....	\$7,500,000
	Panama City .....	\$2,600,000
	Tyndall Air Force Base .....	\$9,500,000
Georgia .....	Fort Benning .....	\$43,335,000
	Fort Stewart, Georgia .....	\$44,504,000
	Hunter Army Airfield .....	\$13,500,000
	Moody Air Force Base .....	\$3,800,000
Hawaii .....	Ford Island .....	\$2,615,000
	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam .....	\$2,800,000
	Fort Campbell, Kentucky .....	\$124,211,000
Kentucky .....	Fort Knox .....	\$303,023,000
	Hanscom Air Force Base .....	\$36,213,000
Massachusetts .....	Aberdeen Proving Ground .....	\$210,000,000
Maryland .....	Bethesda Naval Hospital .....	\$66,800,000
	Camp Lejeune .....	\$28,977,000
North Carolina .....	Fort Bragg .....	\$172,065,000
	Minot Air Force Base .....	\$6,400,000
North Dakota .....	Joint Base Mcguire-Dix-Lakehurst .....	\$10,000,000
New Jersey .....	Holloman Air Force Base .....	\$81,400,000
New Mexico .....	Altus Air Force Base .....	\$2,100,000
	Tinker Air Force Base .....	\$36,000,000
Oklahoma .....	Defense Distribution Depot New Cumberland .....	\$9,000,000
	Beaufort .....	\$41,324,000
Pennsylvania .....	Arnold Air Force Base .....	\$2,200,000
South Carolina .....	Joint Base San Antonio .....	\$12,600,000
Tennessee .....	Defense Distribution Depot Richmond .....	\$87,000,000
Texas .....	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek - Story .....	\$30,404,000
	Pentagon .....	\$59,450,000
	Quantico .....	\$40,586,000
Virginia .....	Whidbey Island .....	\$10,000,000
Washington .....		

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403 and available for military construction projects out-

side the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installa-

tions or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Defense Agencies: Outside the United States**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Installation or Location</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Bahrain Island .....	Southwest Asia .....	\$45,400,000
Belgium .....	Brussels .....	\$67,613,000
	Kaiserlautern Air Base .....	\$49,907,000
Germany .....	Ramstein Air Base .....	\$98,762,000
	Weisbaden .....	\$109,655,000
	Atsugi .....	\$4,100,000
Japan .....	Iwakuni .....	\$34,000,000
	Kadena Air Base .....	\$38,792,000
	Torri Commo Station .....	\$63,621,000
	Yokosuka .....	\$10,600,000
Korea, Republic Of .....	Camp Walker .....	\$52,164,000
United Kingdom .....	Raf Mildenhall .....	\$84,629,000

Defense Agencies: Outside the United States—Continued

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
	Royal Air Force Lakenheath .....	\$69,638,000

(c) UNSPECIFIED CLASSIFIED.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403 and available for military construction projects at unspecified

worldwide locations as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for unspecified instal-

lations or locations in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Defense Agencies: Classified

Location	Location or Installation	Amount
Worldwide Classified .....	Classified Worldwide Locations .....	\$15,000,000

SEC. 2402. AUTHORIZED ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403 and

available for energy conservation projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects

under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Energy Conservation Projects: Inside the United States

State	Installation or Location	Amount
Alabama .....	Anniston Army Depot .....	\$2,700,000
California .....	MCAS Miramar .....	\$17,968,000
	Parks DRTA .....	\$4,150,000
Florida .....	NAS Jacksonville .....	\$2,840,000
Hawaii .....	Camp Smith .....	\$7,966,000
	Hickam .....	\$3,100,000
	Hickam .....	\$3,000,000
Indiana .....	Mt. Home .....	\$2,630,000
Kansas .....	Tokepka Readiness Center .....	\$2,050,000
Massachusetts .....	Devens .....	\$2,600,000
New York .....	US Military Academy .....	\$3,200,000
South Carolina .....	Shaw .....	\$2,500,000
Texas .....	NAS Corpus Christi .....	\$2,340,000
	Sheppard .....	\$3,779,000
	Laughlin .....	\$2,800,000
Utah .....	Dugway Proving Ground .....	\$9,966,000
	Tooele Army Depot .....	\$5,900,000
	Tooele Army Depot .....	\$5,500,000
	Tooele Army Depot .....	\$4,300,000
Virginia .....	NSA Hampton Roads .....	\$4,060,000
	Pentagon .....	\$2,120,000
Various Locations .....	Various Locations .....	\$20,476,000

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403 and available for energy conservation projects out-

side the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United

States Code, for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Energy Conservation Projects: Outside the United States

Country	Installation or Location	Amount
Italy .....	NAS Sigonella .....	\$3,300,000
Japan .....	CFA Sasebo .....	\$14,766,000
Japan .....	Yokota .....	\$5,674,000
Germany .....	Ramstein .....	\$2,140,000
Greenland .....	Thule .....	\$5,175,000
Various Locations .....	Various Locations .....	\$3,000,000

SEC. 2403. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, DEFENSE AGENCIES.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

SEC. 2411. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction and

land acquisition for chemical demilitarization, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

SEC. 2501. AUTHORIZED NATO CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

The Secretary of Defense may make contributions for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program as provided in section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, in an amount not to exceed the sum of the amount authorized to be appropriated for this purpose in section 2502 and the amount collected from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization as a result of construction previously financed by the United States.

SEC. 2502. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATO.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for contributions by the Secretary of Defense under section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, for the share of the United States of the cost of projects for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program authorized by section 2501 as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

**TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES**

**Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations**

**SEC. 2601. AUTHORIZED ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606

and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Army National Guard: Inside the United States**

State	Location	Amount
Alabama	Decatur	\$4,000,000
Arkansas	Fort Chaffee	\$21,000,000
Florida	Pinellas Park	\$5,700,000
Illinois	Kankakee	\$42,000,000
Massachusetts	Camp Edwards	\$19,000,000
Michigan	Camp Grayling	\$17,000,000
Minnesota	Stillwater	\$17,000,000
Missouri	Macon	\$9,100,000
	Whiteman AFB	\$5,000,000
Mississippi	Camp Shelby	\$3,000,000
	Pascagoula	\$4,500,000
New York	New York	\$31,000,000
Ohio	Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant	\$5,200,000
Pennsylvania	Fort Indiantown Gap	\$40,000,000
Puerto Rico	Camp Santiago	\$5,600,000
South Carolina	Greenville	\$26,000,000
Texas	Fort Worth	\$14,270,000
Wyoming	Afton	\$10,200,000

**SEC. 2602. AUTHORIZED ARMY RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606

and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction

projects for the Army Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Army Reserve**

State	Location	Amount
California	Camp Parks	\$17,500,000
	Fort Hunter Liggett	\$16,500,000
Maryland	Bowie	\$25,500,000
North Carolina	Fort Bragg	\$24,500,000
New Jersey	Joint Base Mcguire-Dix-Lakehurst	\$36,200,000
New York	Bullville	\$14,500,000
Wisconsin	Fort McCoy	\$23,400,000

**SEC. 2603. AUTHORIZED NAVY RESERVE AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606

and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Navy Reserve and Marine Corps

Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve**

State	Location	Amount
California	March Air Force Base	\$11,086,000
Missouri	Kansas City	\$15,020,000
Tennessee	Memphis	\$4,330,000

**SEC. 2604. AUTHORIZED AIR NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606

and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construc-

tion projects for the Air National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Air National Guard**

State	Location	Amount
Alabama .....	Birmingham International Airport .....	\$8,500,000
Indiana .....	Hulman Regional Airport .....	\$7,300,000
Montana .....	Great Falls International Airport .....	\$22,000,000
New York .....	Fort Drum, New York .....	\$4,700,000
Ohio .....	Springfield Beckley-Map .....	\$7,200,000
Pennsylvania .....	Fort Indiantown Gap .....	\$7,700,000
Rhode Island .....	Quonset State Airport .....	\$6,000,000
Tennessee .....	Mcghee-Tyson Airport .....	\$18,000,000

**SEC. 2605. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606

and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construc-

tion projects for the Air Force Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Air Force Reserve**

State	Location	Amount
California .....	March Air Force Base .....	\$19,900,000
Florida .....	Homestead Air Reserve Base .....	\$9,800,000
Oklahoma .....	Tinker Air Force Base .....	\$12,200,000

**SEC. 2606. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for the costs of acquisition, architectural and engineering services, and construction of facilities for the Guard and Reserve Forces, and for contributions therefor, under chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code (including the cost of acquisition of land for those facilities), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

**Subtitle B—Other Matters**

**SEC. 2611. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECT.**

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2603 of the Military Con-

struction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2135) for Fort Des Moines, Iowa, for construction of a Joint Reserve Center at that location, the Secretary of the Navy may, instead of constructing a new facility at Camp Dodge, acquire up to approximately 20 acres to construct a Joint Reserve Center and associated supporting facilities in the greater Des Moines, Iowa, area using amounts appropriated for the project pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606 of such Act (126 Stat. 2136).

**SEC. 2612. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law

111-383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in sections 2601, 2602, and 2604 of that Act (124 Stat. 4452, 4453, 4454), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2014, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

**Extension of 2011 National Guard and Reserve Project Authorizations**

State	Installation or Location	Project	Amount
Puerto Rico .....	Camp Santiago .....	Multi Purpose Machine Gun Range	\$9,200,000
Tennessee .....	Nashville International Airport .....	Intelligence Group and Remotely Piloted Aircraft Remote Split Operations Group .....	
Virginia .....	Fort Story .....	Army Reserve Center .....	\$11,000,000

**TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES**

**Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

**SEC. 2701. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES FUNDED THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for base realignment and closure activities, including real property acquisition and military construction projects, as authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and funded through the Department of Defense Base Closure Account established by section 2906 of such Act (as amended by section 2711 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2140)), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

**Subtitle B—Other Matters**

**SEC. 2711. PROHIBITION ON CONDUCTING ADDITIONAL BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (BRAC) ROUND.**

Nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize an additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round, and none of the funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations contained in this Act may be used to propose, plan for, or execute an additional BRAC round.

**SEC. 2712. ELIMINATION OF QUARTERLY CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF MILITARY HEALTH CARE IN NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION.**

Section 1674(c) of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 483) is amended by striking “on a quarterly basis”.

**TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS**

**Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes**

**SEC. 2801. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.**

(a) INCREASED THRESHOLD FOR APPLICATION OF SECRETARY APPROVAL AND CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—Subsection (b)(1) of section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “\$750,000” and inserting “\$1,000,000”.

(b) INCREASE IN MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDS AUTHORIZED TO BE USED FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS.—Subsection (c)(1)(B) of such section is amended by striking “\$750,000” and inserting “\$1,000,000”.

(c) ANNUAL LOCATION ADJUSTMENT OF DOLLAR LIMITATIONS.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) ADJUSTMENT OF DOLLAR LIMITATIONS FOR LOCATION.—Each fiscal year, the Secretary concerned shall adjust the dollar limitations specified in this section applicable to an unspecified minor military construction project to reflect the area construction cost index for military construction projects published by the Department of Defense during the prior fiscal year for the location of the project.”

**SEC. 2802. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENTS FOR LOCAL COMPARABILITY OF ROOM PATTERNS AND FLOOR AREAS FOR MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING AND SUBMISSION OF NET FLOOR AREA INFORMATION.**

(a) REPEAL.—Section 2826 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter II of chapter 169 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2826.

**SEC. 2803. REPEAL OF SEPARATE AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS WITH PRIVATE DEVELOPERS OF HOUSING.**

(a) REPEAL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 2837 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter II of chapter 169 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2837.

(b) EFFECT ON EXISTING CONTRACTS.—The repeal of section 2837 of title 10, United States Code, shall not affect the validity or terms of any contract in connection with a limited partnership under subsection (a) or a collateral incentive agreement under subsection (b) of such section entered into before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) EFFECT ON DEFENSE HOUSING INVESTMENT ACCOUNT.—Any unobligated amounts remaining in the Defense Housing Investment Account on the date of the enactment of this Act shall be transferred to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund. Amounts transferred shall be merged with amounts in such fund and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund.

**SEC. 2804. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS TO REDUCE VULNERABILITY OF STRUCTURES TO TERRORIST ATTACK.**

Section 2859(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “develop construction standards designed” and inserting “develop construction standards that, taking into consideration the probability of a terrorist attack, are designed”.

**SEC. 2805. TREATMENT OF PAYMENTS RECEIVED FOR PROVIDING UTILITIES AND SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH USE OF ALTERNATIVE AUTHORITY FOR ACQUISITION AND IMPROVEMENT OF MILITARY HOUSING.**

(a) CREDITING OF PAYMENTS.—Section 2872a(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “from which the cost of furnishing the utilities or services concerned was paid” and inserting “available to the Secretary concerned to furnish utilities or services under subsection (a)”.

(b) APPLICATION OF AMENDMENT.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply only with respect to cash payments received under subsection (c)(1) of section 2872a of title 10, United States Code, as reimbursement for utilities or services furnished, after the date of the enactment of this Act, under subsection (a) of such section.

**SEC. 2806. REPEAL OF ADVANCE NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT FOR USE OF MILITARY HOUSING INVESTMENT AUTHORITY.**

Section 2875 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (e).

**SEC. 2807. ADDITIONAL ELEMENT FOR ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY HOUSING PRI-VATIZATION PROJECTS.**

Section 2884(c)(3) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, to specifically include any variances associated with litigation costs”.

**SEC. 2808. EXTENSION OF TEMPORARY, LIMITED AUTHORITY TO USE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CERTAIN AREAS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**

Section 2808(h) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108-136; 117 Stat. 1723), as most recently amended by section 2804 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2149), is further amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “September 30, 2013” and inserting “September 30, 2014”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “fiscal year 2014” and inserting “fiscal year 2015”.

**Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration**

**SEC. 2811. CODIFICATION OF POLICIES AND REQUIREMENTS REGARDING CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT OF UNITED STATES MILITARY INSTALLATIONS IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES.**

(a) REDESIGNATION OF EXISTING REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Section 2687a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of subsection (b) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively, and in subparagraph (A), as redesignated, by striking “subsection (a)(2)” and inserting “paragraph (1)(B)”;

(3) by striking “(b) REPORT ELEMENTS.—A report under subsection (a)” and inserting “(2) A report under paragraph (1)”;

(4) by striking “(a) ANNUAL STATUS REPORT.—” and inserting “(b) ANNUAL REPORT ON STATUS OF OVERSEAS CLOSURES AND REALIGNMENTS AND MASTER PLANS.—(1)”.

(b) TRANSFER OF PROVISIONS.—

(1) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—Subsection (a) of section 2921 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note)—

(A) is transferred to section 2687a of title 10, United States Code; and

(B) is inserted after the heading of such section as subsection (a).

(2) OTHER PROVISIONS.—Subsections (c), (d), (f), and (g) of such section 2921—

(A) are transferred to section 2687a of title 10, United States Code;

(B) are inserted at the end of such section in that order; and

(C) are redesignated as subsections (c), (d), (e), and (f) of such section; respectively.

(3) DEFINITIONS.—Section 2687a of title 10, United States Code, is further amended by adding after subsection (f), as added and redesignated by paragraph (2), the following new subsection:

“(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘fair market value of the improvements’ means the value of improvements determined by the Secretary of Defense on the basis of their highest use.

“(2) The term ‘improvements’ includes new construction of facilities and all additions, improvements, modifications, or renovations made to existing facilities or to real property, without regard to whether they were carried out with appropriated or nonappropriated funds.”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 2687a of title 10, United States Code, is further amended—

(1) in subsection (c), as transferred and redesignated by subsection (b)(2)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “ESTABLISHMENT OF”;

(ii) by striking the first sentence; and

(iii) in the second sentence, by striking “such account” and inserting “the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account”; and

(B) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking “Armed Forces” and inserting “armed forces”;

(2) in subsection (d), as transferred and redesignated by subsection (b)(2)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “(Public Law 100-526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note)” after “Realignment Act”; and

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in subparagraph (A)(i), by striking “section 2685 of title 10, United States Code” and inserting “section 2685 of this title”; and

(ii) in paragraph (2), by striking “Armed Forces” both places it appears and inserting “armed forces”; and

(3) in subsection (f), as transferred and redesignated by subsection (b)(2), by striking “section 480 of title 10, United States Code” in paragraph (3) and inserting “section 480 of this title 10”.

(d) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROVISIONS.—

(1) REPEAL.—Section 2921 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is repealed.

(2) TREATMENT OF SPECIAL ACCOUNT.—The repeal of such section shall not affect the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account established by subsection (c)(1) of such section, amounts in such account, or the continued use of such account as provided in section 2687a of title 10, United States Code, as amended by this section.

**Subtitle C—Energy Security**

**SEC. 2821. CONTINUATION OF LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR LEADERSHIP IN ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (LEED) GOLD OR PLATINUM CERTIFICATION.**

Section 2830(b)(1) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1695), as amended by section 2823(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2153), is amended by striking “or 2013” and inserting “, 2013, or 2014”.

**Subtitle D—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment**

**SEC. 2831. CHANGE FROM PREVIOUS CALENDAR YEAR TO PREVIOUS FISCAL YEAR FOR PERIOD COVERED BY ANNUAL REPORT OF INTERAGENCY COORDINATION GROUP OF INSPECTORS GENERAL FOR GUAM REALIGNMENT.**

Section 2835(e)(1) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended in the first sentence by striking “calendar year” and inserting “fiscal year”.

**SEC. 2832. REPEAL OF CERTAIN RESTRICTIONS ON REALIGNMENT OF MARINE CORPS FORCES IN ASIA-PACIFIC REGION.**

Section 2832 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2155) is repealed.

**Subtitle E—Land Conveyances**

**SEC. 2841. REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION, NAVAL BASE VENTURA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of the Navy may acquire all right, title, and interest in and to real property, including improvements thereon, located at Naval Base Ventura County, California, that was initially constructed under the former section 2828(g) of title 10, United States Code (commonly known as the “Build to Lease program”), as added by section 801 of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1984 (Public Law 98-115; 97 Stat 782).



(b) *USE*.—Upon acquiring the real property under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Navy may use the improvements as provided in sections 2835 and 2835a of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 2842. LAND CONVEYANCE, FORMER OXNARD AIR FORCE BASE, VENTURA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED*.—The Secretary of the Navy may convey, without consideration, to Ventura County, California (in this section referred to as the “County”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of former Oxnard Air Force Base for the purpose of permitting the County to use the property for public purposes.

(b) *PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE*.—

(1) *PAYMENT REQUIRED*.—The Secretary of the Navy shall require the County to cover costs (except costs for environmental remediation of the property) to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs for environmental documentation, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the County in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the County.

(2) *TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED*.—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(c) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY*.—The exact acreage and legal description of the property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Navy.

(d) *ADDITIONAL TERMS*.—The Secretary of the Navy may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2843. LAND CONVEYANCE, PHILADELPHIA NAVAL SHIPYARD, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.**

(a) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED*.—The Secretary of the Navy may convey to the Philadelphia Regional Port Authority (in this section referred to as the “Port Authority”) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately .595 acres located at the Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The Secretary may void any land use restrictions associated with the property to be conveyed under this subsection.

(b) *CONSIDERATION*.—

(1) *AMOUNT AND DETERMINATION*.—As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the Port Authority shall pay to the Secretary of the Navy an amount that is not less than the fair market value of the property conveyed, as determined by the Secretary. The Secretary’s determination of fair market value shall be final. In lieu of all or a portion of cash payment of consideration, the Secretary may accept in-kind consideration.

(2) *TREATMENT OF CASH CONSIDERATION*.—The Secretary shall deposit any cash payment received under paragraph (1) in the special account in the Treasury established for that Secretary under subsection (e) of section 2667 of title 10, United States Code. The entire amount deposited shall be available for use in accordance with paragraph (1)(D) of such subsection.

(c) *PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE*.—

(1) *PAYMENT REQUIRED*.—The Secretary of the Navy shall require the Port Authority to reimburse the Secretary to cover costs (except costs for environmental remediation of the property) to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Port Authority.

(2) *TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED*.—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(d) *COMPLIANCE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS*.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect or limit the application of, or any obligation to comply with, any environmental law, including the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) and the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.).

(e) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY*.—The exact acreage and legal description of the parcel of real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Navy.

(f) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS*.—The Secretary of the Navy may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2844. LAND CONVEYANCE, CAMP WILLIAMS, UTAH.**

(a) *CONVEYANCE REQUIRED*.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Land Management, shall convey, without consideration, to the State of Utah all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to certain lands comprising approximately 420 acres, as generally depicted on a map entitled “Proposed Camp Williams Land Transfer” and dated June 14, 2011, which are located within the boundaries of the public lands currently withdrawn for military use by the Utah National Guard and known as Camp Williams, Utah, for the purpose of permitting the Utah National Guard to use the conveyed land as provided in subsection (c).

(b) *SUPERSEDEENCE OF EXECUTIVE ORDER*.—Executive Order No. 1922 of April 24, 1914, as amended by section 907 of the Camp W.G. Williams Land Exchange Act of 1989 (title IX of Public Law 101–628; 104 Stat. 4501), is hereby superseded, only insofar as it affects the lands identified for conveyance to the State of Utah under subsection (a).

(c) *REVERSIONARY INTEREST*.—The lands conveyed to the State of Utah under subsection (a) shall revert to the United States if the Secretary of Defense determines that the land, or any portion thereof, is sold or attempted to be sold, or that the land, or any portion thereof, is used for non-National Guard or non-national defense purposes.

(d) *HAZARDOUS MATERIALS*.—With respect to any portion of the land conveyed under subsection (a) that the Secretary of Defense determines is subject to reversion under subsection (c), if the Secretary of Defense also determines that the portion of the conveyed land contains hazardous materials, the State of Utah shall pay the United States an amount equal to the fair market value of that portion of the land,

and the reversionary interest shall not apply to that portion of the land.

**SEC. 2845. CONVEYANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD RADAR SITE, FRANCIS PEAK, WASATCH MOUNTAINS, UTAH.**

(a) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED*.—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey, without consideration, to the State of Utah (in this section referred to as the “State”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the structures, including equipment and any other personal property related thereto, comprising the Air National Guard radar site located on Francis Peak, Utah, for the purpose of permitting the State to use the structures to support emergency public safety communications, including 911 emergency response service for Northern Utah.

(b) *PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE*.—

(1) *PAYMENT REQUIRED*.—The Secretary of the Air Force may require the State to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts paid to the Secretary in advance exceed the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the State.

(2) *TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED*.—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(c) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY*.—The exact inventory of equipment and other personal property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by the Secretary of the Air Force.

(d) *TIME OF CONVEYANCE*.—The conveyance under this section shall occur as soon as practicable after the date of the enactment of this Act. Until such time as the conveyance occurs, the Secretary of the Air Force shall take no action with regard to the structures described in subsection (a) that will result in the likely disruption of emergency communications by the State and local authorities.

(e) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS*.—The Secretary of the Air Force may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(f) *CONTINUATION OF LAND USE PERMIT*.—The conveyance of the structures under subsection (a) shall not affect the validity and continued applicability of the land use permit, in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, that was issued by the Forest Service for placement and use of the structures.

(g) *DURATION OF AUTHORITY*.—The authority to make a conveyance under this section shall expire on the later of—

(1) September 30, 2014; or

(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2015.

**SEC. 2846. LAND CONVEYANCE, FORMER FORT MONROE, HAMPTON, VIRGINIA.**

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING NEED FOR CONVEYANCE*.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the historic features of former Fort Monroe in Hampton, Virginia, are being degraded because of the lack of Department of the Army facility sustainment associated with the former Fort Monroe; and

(2) it is in the best interest of the Secretary of the Army and the Commonwealth of Virginia (in this section referred to as the “Commonwealth”) to expeditiously convey, consistent with the Fort Monroe Reuse Plan and the Programmatic

Agreement dated April 27, 2009, certain portions of former Fort Monroe to the Commonwealth.

(b) **CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.**—Pursuant to 2905(b)(4) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), the Secretary of the Army shall convey to the Commonwealth all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to approximately 70.431 acres of real property at former Fort Monroe depicted as areas 4-1 and 4-2 on the map titled “Plat Showing 8 Parcels of Land Totaling +/- 564.519 Acres Situated on Fort Monroe, Virginia, Boundary Survey”, prepared by the Norfolk District, Army Corps of Engineers, and dated August 17, 2009 (in this section referred to as the “Map”).

(c) **TIMING OF CONVEYANCE.**—The Secretary of the Army shall exercise the authority provided by subsection (b) only concurrent, as near in time as possible, with the reversion to the Commonwealth of approximately 371.77 acres of property depicted as areas 3 and 5 on the Map.

(d) **CONDITIONS OF CONVEYANCE.**—As a condition of the conveyance of real property under subsection (b)—

(1) the Commonwealth shall enter into an agreement with the Secretary of the Army to share equally with the United States, after conveyance of property areas 4-1 and 4-2, the net proceeds derived from any subsequent conveyance of these parcels to third-party buyers or from any lease of areas 4-1 or 4-2, payable over a period of seven years following the conveyance by the Secretary;

(2) the parties shall agree to transfer authority over the utility systems at Fort Monroe to the Commonwealth in return for receiving service on the same relative terms and conditions that the Department of the Army provided service during its ownership of the utilities; and

(3) the Secretary will resolve all issues with Dominion Virginia Power and will be responsible for maintaining electrical service in its name until such resolution has been obtained.

(e) **SAVINGS PROVISION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect or limit the application of, or any obligation to comply with, any environmental law, including the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) and the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.).

(f) **ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—The parties may agree to such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the parties consider appropriate to protect their respective interests.

**SEC. 2847. LAND CONVEYANCE, MIFFLIN COUNTY UNITED STATES ARMY RESERVE CENTER, LEWISTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA.**

(a) **CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Army may convey, without consideration, to Derry Township, Pennsylvania (in this section referred to as the “Township”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon and improvements related thereto, consisting of approximately 4.52 acres and containing the Mifflin County Army Reserve Center located at 73 Reserve Lane, Lewistown, Pennsylvania (parcel number 16,01-0113J), for the purpose of permitting the Township to use the parcel for a regional police headquarters or other public purposes.

(b) **INTERIM LEASE.**—Until such time as the real property described in subsection (a) is conveyed to the Township, the Secretary may lease the property to the Township.

(c) **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.**—

(1) **PAYMENT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary shall require the Township to cover costs (except costs for environmental remediation of the property) to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse

the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs for environmental documentation, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the Township in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Township.

(2) **TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.**—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(d) **CONDITIONS OF CONVEYANCE.**—The conveyance of the real property under subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the Township not use any Federal funds to cover—

(1) any portion of the conveyance costs required by subsection (c) to be paid by the Township; or

(2) to cover the costs for the design or construction of any facility on the property.

(e) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.**—The exact acreage and legal description of the property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.

(f) **ADDITIONAL TERMS.**—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**Subtitle F—Other Matters**

**SEC. 2861. REPEAL OF ANNUAL ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT COMMITTEE REPORTING REQUIREMENT.**

Subsection (d) of section 4004 of the Defense Economic Adjustment, Diversification, Conversion, and Stabilization Act of 1990 (division D of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2391 note), as amended by section 4212(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 106 Stat. 2664), is further amended—

(1) by inserting “and” at the end of paragraph (1);

(2) by striking “; and” at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting a period; and

(3) by striking paragraph (3).

**SEC. 2862. REDESIGNATION OF THE ASIA-PACIFIC CENTER FOR SECURITY STUDIES AS THE DANIEL K. INOUE ASIA-PACIFIC CENTER FOR SECURITY STUDIES.**

(a) **REDESIGNATION.**—The Department of Defense regional center for security studies known as the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies is hereby renamed the “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **REFERENCE TO REGIONAL CENTERS FOR STRATEGIC STUDIES.**—Section 184(b)(2)(B) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies” and inserting “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies”.

(2) **ACCEPTANCE OF GIFTS AND DONATIONS.**—Section 2611(a)(2)(B) of such title is amended by striking “Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies” and inserting “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies”.

(c) **REFERENCES.**—Any reference to the Department of Defense Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies in any law, regulation, map, document, record, or other paper of the United States shall be deemed to be a reference to the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies.

**SEC. 2863. REDESIGNATION OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NURSING AT THE UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES AS THE DANIEL K. INOUE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NURSING.**

(a) **REDESIGNATION.**—The Graduate School of Nursing at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences is hereby renamed the “Daniel K. Inouye Graduate School of Nursing”.

(b) **REFERENCES.**—Any reference to the Graduate School of Nursing at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences in any law, regulation, map, document, record, or other paper of the United States shall be deemed to be a reference to the Daniel K. Inouye Graduate School of Nursing.

**SEC. 2864. RENAMING SITE OF THE DAYTON AVIATION HERITAGE NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK, OHIO.**

Section 101(b)(5) of the Dayton Aviation Heritage Preservation Act of 1992 (16 U.S.C. 410ww(b)(5)) is amended by striking “Aviation Center” and inserting “National Museum”.

**SEC. 2865. DESIGNATION OF DISTINGUISHED FLYING CROSS NATIONAL MEMORIAL IN RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds the following:

(1) The most reliable statistics regarding the number of members of the Armed Forces who have been awarded the Distinguished Flying Cross indicate that 126,318 members of the Armed Forces received the medal during World War II, approximately 21,000 members received the medal during the Korean conflict, and 21,647 members received the medal during the Vietnam War. Since the end of the Vietnam War, more than 203 Armed Forces members have received the medal in times of conflict.

(2) The National Personnel Records Center in St. Louis, Missouri, burned down in 1973, and thus many more recipients of the Distinguished Flying Cross may be undocumented. Currently, the Department of Defense continues to locate and identify members of the Armed Forces who have received the medal and are undocumented.

(3) The United States currently lacks a national memorial dedicated to the bravery and sacrifice of those members of the Armed Forces who have distinguished themselves by heroic deeds performed in aerial flight.

(4) An appropriate memorial to current and former members of the Armed Forces is under construction at March Field Air Museum in Riverside, California.

(5) This memorial will honor all those members of the Armed Forces who have distinguished themselves in aerial flight, whether documentation of such members who earned the Distinguished Flying Cross exists or not.

(b) **DESIGNATION.**—The memorial to members of the Armed Forces who have been awarded the Distinguished Flying Cross, located at March Field Air Museum in Riverside, California, is hereby designated as the Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial.

(c) **EFFECT OF DESIGNATION.**—The national memorial designated by this section is not a unit of the National Park System, and the designation of the national memorial shall not be construed to require or permit Federal funds to be expended for any purpose related to the national memorial.

**TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
**SEC. 2901. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECT.**

(a) **OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—The Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out the military construction project for the installation outside the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the following table:

Army: Outside the United States

Country	Installation	Amount
Cuba .....	Guantanamo Bay .....	\$247,400,000

(b) USE OF UNOBLIGATED PRIOR-YEAR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FUNDS.—To carry out the military construction project set forth in the table in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may make available to the Secretary of the Army available, unobligated military construction funds appropriated for a fiscal year before fiscal year 2014.

(c) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary of the Army shall provide information in accordance with section 2851(c) of title 10, United States Code, regarding the military construction project set forth in the table in subsection (a). If it becomes necessary to exceed the estimated project cost, the Secretary shall utilize the authority provided by section 2853 of such title regarding authorized cost and scope of work variations.

(d) BRIEFING ON INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT JOINT TASK FORCE, GUANTANAMO.—

(1) BRIEFING REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall brief the congressional defense committees on each of the following:

(A) A description of each of the following costs, broken down by fiscal year, for each of fiscal years 2002 through 2013:

(i) The costs of constructing the permanent and temporary infrastructure to support the detention operations at such Naval Station.

(ii) The costs of facility repair, sustainment, maintenance, and operation of all infrastructure supporting the detention operations at such Naval Station.

(iii) The costs of military personnel, civilian personnel, and contractors associated with the detention operations at such Naval Station.

(iv) The costs of operation and maintenance, shown for each military department and account, associated with carrying out military commissions for individuals detained at such Naval Station.

(v) The costs associated with the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Rule of Law and Detainee Policy), the Periodic Review Services, and studies and task forces funded by the Department of Defense that relate to the detention operations at such Naval Station.

(vi) Any other costs associated with supporting the detention operations at such Naval Station.

(B) A master plan for the continuation of detention operations by Joint Task Force Guantanamo, at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, during the time period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on the date of the 66th birthday of the youngest individual who is detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, on the date of the enactment of this Act, including—

(i) a description of any infrastructure projects that the Secretary determines are required for the continuation of such detention operations, including new requirements and replacement of existing infrastructure;

(ii) an estimate of the total military personnel, civilian personnel, and contractor costs associated with the continuation of such detention operations;

(iii) an estimate of the total operation and maintenance costs associated with the continuation of such detention operations;

(iv) an estimate of the total costs associated with carrying out military commissions for individuals detained at such Naval Station; and

(v) an estimate of any other costs associated with the continuation of such detention operations.

(C) A cost estimate, itemized by construction project, of the infrastructure investments identified in the master plan described in subparagraph (B).

(D) A detailed estimate of the annual costs projected to repair, sustain, and maintain the facilities that are in use by Joint Task Force, Guantanamo, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, or are identified in the master plan described in subparagraph (B).

(2) PRESIDENTIAL PLAN.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan describing each of the following:

(A) The locations to which the President seeks to transfer individuals detained at Guantanamo who have been identified for continued detention or prosecution.

(B) The individuals detained at Guantanamo who the President seeks to transfer to overseas locations, the overseas locations to which the President seeks to transfer such individuals, and the conditions under which the President would transfer such individuals to such locations.

(C) The proposal of the President for the detention and treatment of individuals captured overseas in the future who are suspected of being terrorists.

(D) The proposal of the President regarding the disposition of the individuals detained at the detention facility at Parwan, Afghanistan, who have been identified as enduring security threats to the United States.

(E) For any location in the United States to which the President seeks to transfer such an individual, estimates of each of the following costs:

(i) The costs of constructing infrastructure to support detention operations or prosecution at such location.

(ii) The costs of facility repair, sustainment, maintenance, and operation of all infrastructure supporting detention operations or prosecution at such location.

(iii) The costs of military personnel, civilian personnel, and contractors associated with the detention operations or prosecution at such location, including any costs likely to be incurred by other Federal departments or agencies or State or local governments.

(iv) Any other costs associated with supporting the detention operations or prosecution at such location.

**TITLE XXX—MILITARY LAND TRANSFERS AND WITHDRAWALS TO SUPPORT READINESS AND SECURITY**

**Subtitle A—Limestone Hills Training Area, Montana**

**SEC. 3001. WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF PUBLIC LANDS FOR LIMESTONE HILLS TRAINING AREA, MONTANA.**

(a) WITHDRAWAL.—Subject to valid existing rights and except as provided in this subtitle, the public lands and interests in lands described in subsection (c), and all other areas within the boundaries of such lands as depicted on the map provided for by subsection (d) that may become subject to the operation of the public land laws, are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws and the mineral leasing and geothermal leasing laws.

(b) RESERVATION; PURPOSE.—Subject to the limitations and restrictions contained in section 3003, the public lands withdrawn by subsection (a) are reserved for use by the Secretary of the Army for the following purposes:

(1) The conduct of training for active and reserve components of the Armed Forces.

(2) The construction, operation, and maintenance of organizational support and maintenance facilities for component units conducting training.

(3) The conduct of training by the Montana Department of Military Affairs, except that any such use may not interfere with purposes specified in paragraphs (1) and (2).

(4) The conduct of training by State and local law enforcement agencies, civil defense organizations, and public education institutions, except that any such use may not interfere with military training activities.

(5) Other defense-related purposes consistent with the purposes specified in the preceding paragraphs.

(c) LAND DESCRIPTION.—The public lands and interests in lands withdrawn and reserved by this section comprise approximately 18,644 acres in Broadwater County, Montana, as generally depicted as “Proposed Land Withdrawal” on the map titled “Limestone Hills Training Area Land Withdrawal”, dated April 10, 2013.

(d) LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register a legal description of the public land withdrawn under subsection (a) and a copy of a map depicting the legal description of the withdrawn land.

(2) FORCE OF LAW.—The legal description and map published under paragraph (1) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this Act, except that the Secretary of the Interior may correct errors in the legal description.

(3) REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS.—The Secretary of the Army shall reimburse the Secretary of the Interior for any costs incurred by the Secretary of the Interior in implementing this subsection.

(e) INDIAN TRIBES.—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed as altering any rights reserved for an Indian tribe for tribal use of lands within the military land withdrawal by treaty or Federal law. The Secretary of the Army shall consult with any Indian tribes in the vicinity of the military land withdrawal before taking action within the military land withdrawal affecting tribal rights or cultural resources protected by treaty or Federal law.

**SEC. 3002. MANAGEMENT OF WITHDRAWN AND RESERVED LANDS.**

During the period of the withdrawal and reservation specified in section 3005, the Secretary of the Army shall manage the public lands withdrawn by section 3001 for the purposes specified in subsection (b) of such section, subject to the limitations and restrictions contained in section 3003.

**SEC. 3003. SPECIAL RULES GOVERNING MINERALS MANAGEMENT.**

(a) INDIAN CREEK MINE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Of the lands withdrawn by section 3001, locatable mineral activities in the approved Indian Creek Mine plan of operations, MTM-78300, shall be regulated pursuant to subparts 3715 and 3809 of title 43, Code of Federal Regulations. Of the lands withdrawn by section 3001, the land area subject to the approved plan of operations shall permanently remain open to the amendment or relocation of mining claims (or both) under the Act of May 10, 1872 (commonly known as the General Mining Act of 1872; 30 U.S.C. 22 et seq.) to the extent necessary to preserve the mining operations described in the approved plan of operations.

(2) RESTRICTIONS ON SECRETARY OF THE ARMY.—The Secretary of the Army shall make no determination that the disposition of or exploration for minerals as provided for in the approved plan of operations is inconsistent with the defense-related uses of the lands covered by the military land withdrawal. The coordination of such disposition of and exploration for minerals with defense-related uses of such lands

shall be determined pursuant to procedures in an agreement provided for under subsection (c).

**(b) REMOVAL OF UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE ON LANDS TO BE MINED.—**

(1) **REMOVAL ACTIVITIES.**—Subject to the availability of funds appropriated for such purpose, the Secretary of the Army shall remove unexploded ordnance on lands withdrawn by section 3001 that are subject to mining under subsection (a), consistent with applicable Federal and State law. The Secretary of the Army may engage in such removal of unexploded ordnance in phases to accommodate the development of the Indian Creek Mine pursuant to subsection (a).

(2) **REPORT ON REMOVAL ACTIVITIES.**—The Secretary of the Army shall annually submit to the Secretary of the Interior a report regarding the unexploded ordnance removal activities for the previous fiscal year performed pursuant to this subsection. The report shall include—

(A) the amounts of funding expended for unexploded ordnance removal on the lands withdrawn by section 3001; and

(B) the identification of the lands cleared of unexploded ordnance and approved for mining activities by the Secretary of the Interior.

(c) **IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT FOR MINING ACTIVITIES.**—The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Army shall enter into an agreement to implement this section with regard to coordination of defense-related uses and mining and the ongoing removal of unexploded ordnance. The duration of the agreement shall be the same as the period of the withdrawal under section 3001, but may be amended from time to time. The agreement shall provide the following:

(1) That Graymont Western US, Inc., or any successor or assign of the approved Indian Creek Mine mining plan of operations, MTM-78300, is invited to be a party to the agreement.

(2) Provisions regarding the day-to-day joint-use of the Limestone Hills Training Area.

(3) Provisions addressing when military and other authorized uses of the withdrawn lands will occur.

(4) Provisions regarding when and where military use or training with explosive material will occur.

(5) Provisions regarding the scheduling of training activities conducted within the withdrawn area that restrict mining activities and procedures for deconfliction with mining operations, including parameters for notification and sanction of anticipated changes to the schedule.

(6) Provisions regarding liability and compensation for damages or injury caused by mining or military training activities.

(7) Provisions for periodic review of the agreement for its adequacy, effectiveness, and need for revision.

(8) Procedures for access through mining operations covered by this section to training areas within the boundaries of the Limestone Hills Training Area.

(9) Procedures for scheduling of the removal of unexploded ordnance.

(d) **EXISTING MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT.**—Until such time as the agreement required under subsection (c) becomes effective, the compatible joint use of the lands withdrawn and reserved by section 3001 shall be governed, to the extent compatible, by the terms of the 2005 Memorandum of Agreement among the Montana Army National Guard, Graymont Western US Inc. and the Bureau of Land Management.

**SEC. 3004. GRAZING.**

(a) **ISSUANCE AND ADMINISTRATION OF PERMITS AND LEASES.**—The issuance and administration of grazing permits and leases, including their renewal, on the public lands withdrawn by section 3001 shall be managed by the Secretary of the Interior consistent with all applicable laws, regulations, and policies of the Secretary of the Interior relating to such permits and leases.

(b) **SAFETY REQUIREMENTS.**—With respect to any grazing permit or lease issued after the date of the enactment of this Act for lands withdrawn by section 3001, the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Army shall jointly establish procedures that are consistent with Department of the Army explosive and range safety standards and that provide for the safe use of any such lands.

(c) **ASSIGNMENT.**—The Secretary of the Interior may, with the agreement of the Secretary of the Army, assign the authority to issue and to administer grazing permits and leases to the Secretary of the Army, except that such an assignment may not include the authority to discontinue grazing on the lands withdrawn by section 3001.

**SEC. 3005. DURATION OF WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION.**

The military land withdrawal made by section 3001 shall terminate on March 31, 2039.

**SEC. 3006. PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES.**

The lands withdrawn by section 3001 shall remain eligible as entitlement land under section 6901 of title 31, United States Code.

**SEC. 3007. HUNTING, FISHING AND TRAPPING.**

All hunting, fishing and trapping on the lands withdrawn by section 3001 shall be conducted in accordance with section 2671 of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 3008. WATER RIGHTS.**

(a) **WATER RIGHTS.**—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed—

(1) to establish a reservation in favor of the United States with respect to any water or water right on lands withdrawn by section 3001; or

(2) to authorize the appropriation of water on lands withdrawn by section 3001, except in accordance with applicable State law.

(b) **EFFECT ON PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED OR RESERVED WATER RIGHTS.**—This section shall not be construed to affect any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 3009. BRUSH AND RANGE FIRE PREVENTION AND SUPPRESSION.**

(a) **REQUIRED ACTIVITIES.**—The Secretary of the Army shall, consistent with any applicable land management plan, take necessary precautions to prevent, and actions to suppress, brush and range fires occurring as a result of military activities on the lands withdrawn and reserved by section 3001, including fires outside those lands that spread from the withdrawn land and which occurred as a result of such activities.

(b) **COOPERATION OF SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.**—At the request of the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Interior shall provide assistance in the suppression of such fires and shall be reimbursed for such assistance by the Secretary of the Army. Notwithstanding section 2215 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Army may transfer to the Secretary of the Interior, in advance, funds to reimburse the costs of the Department of the Interior in providing such assistance.

**SEC. 3010. ON-GOING DECONTAMINATION.**

During the withdrawal and reservation authorized by section 3001, the Secretary of the Army shall maintain, to the extent funds are available for such purpose, a program of decontamination of contamination caused by defense-related uses on such lands consistent with applicable Federal and State law. The Secretary of Defense shall include a description of such decontamination activities in the annual report required by section 2711 of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 3011. APPLICATION FOR RENEWAL OF A WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION.**

(a) **NOTICE.**—To the extent practicable, no later than five years before the termination of the withdrawal and reservation made by section 3001, the Secretary of the Army shall notify the

Secretary of the Interior whether the Secretary of the Army will have a continuing defense-related need for any of the lands withdrawn and reserved by section 3001 after the termination date of such withdrawal and reservation. The Secretary of the Army shall provide a copy of the notice to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

(b) **FILING FOR EXTENSION.**—If the Secretary of the Army concludes that there will be a continuing defense-related need for any of the withdrawn and reserved lands after the termination date, the Secretary of the Army shall file an application for extension of the withdrawal and reservation of such needed lands in accordance with the regulations and procedures of the Department of the Interior applicable to the extension of withdrawals and reservations.

**SEC. 3012. LIMITATION ON SUBSEQUENT AVAILABILITY OF LANDS FOR APPROPRIATION.**

At the time of termination of a withdrawal and reservation made by section 3001, the previously withdrawn lands shall not be open to any form of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws and the mineral leasing and geothermal leasing laws, until the Secretary of the Interior publishes in the Federal Register an appropriate order specifying the date upon which such lands shall be restored to the public domain and opened for such purposes.

**SEC. 3013. RELINQUISHMENT.**

(a) **NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RELINQUISH.**—If, during the period of withdrawal and reservation under section 3001, the Secretary of the Army decides to relinquish any or all of the lands withdrawn and reserved, the Secretary of the Army shall file a notice of intention to relinquish with the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) **DETERMINATION OF CONTAMINATION.**—As a part of the notice under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall include a written determination concerning whether and to what extent the lands that are to be relinquished are contaminated with explosive materials or toxic or hazardous substances.

(c) **PUBLIC NOTICE.**—The Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register the notice of intention to relinquish, including the determination concerning the contaminated state of the lands.

(d) **DECONTAMINATION OF LANDS TO BE RELINQUISHED.**—

(1) **CONDITIONS REQUIRING DECONTAMINATION.**—If land subject of a notice of intention to relinquish pursuant to subsection (a) is contaminated, and the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of the Army, determines that decontamination is practicable and economically feasible (taking into consideration the potential future use and value of the land) and that, upon decontamination, the land could be opened to operation of some or all of the public land laws, including the mining laws and the mineral leasing and geothermal leasing laws, the Secretary of the Army shall decontaminate the land to the extent that funds are appropriated for such purpose.

(2) **DISCRETION IF CONDITIONS NOT MET.**—If the Secretary of the Interior, after consultation with the Secretary of the Army, concludes that decontamination of land subject of a notice of intention to relinquish pursuant to subsection (a) is not practicable or economically feasible, or that the land cannot be decontaminated sufficiently to be opened to operation of some or all of the public land laws, or if Congress does not appropriate sufficient funds for the decontamination of such land, the Secretary of the Interior shall not be required to accept the land proposed for relinquishment.

(3) **RESPONSE.**—If the Secretary of the Interior declines to accept the lands that have been proposed for relinquishment because of their contaminated state, or if at the expiration of the

withdrawal and reservation made by section 3001 the Secretary of the Interior determines that some of the lands withdrawn and reserved are contaminated to an extent which prevents opening such contaminated lands to operation of the public land laws—

(A) the Secretary of the Army shall take appropriate steps to warn the public of the contaminated state of such lands and any risks associated with entry onto such lands;

(B) after the expiration of the withdrawal and reservation, the Secretary of the Army shall undertake no activities on such lands except in connection with decontamination of such lands; and

(C) the Secretary of the Army shall report to the Secretary of the Interior and to the Congress concerning the status of such lands and all actions taken in furtherance of this paragraph.

(e) REVOCATION AUTHORITY.—Upon deciding that it is in the public interest to accept the lands proposed for relinquishment pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary of the Interior may order the revocation of the withdrawal and reservation made by section 3001 as it applies to such lands. The Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register the revocation order, which shall—

(1) terminate the withdrawal and reservation;

(2) constitute official acceptance of the lands by the Secretary of the Interior; and

(3) state the date upon which the lands will be opened to the operation of some or all of the public land laws, including the mining laws.

(f) ACCEPTANCE BY SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to require the Secretary of the Interior to accept the lands proposed for relinquishment if the Secretary determines that such lands are not suitable for return to the public domain. If the Secretary makes such a determination, the Secretary shall provide notice of the determination to Congress.

**Subtitle B—White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico**

**SEC. 3021. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION, WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NEW MEXICO.**

(a) TRANSFER REQUIRED.—Not later than September 30, 2014, the Secretary of the Interior shall transfer to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army certain public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management in Dona Ana County, New Mexico, consisting of approximately 5,100 acres depicted as “Parcel 1” on the map titled “White Sands Missile Range Land Reservation” and dated January 4, 2013.

(b) USE OF TRANSFERRED LAND.—Upon the receipt of the land under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall include the land as part of White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, and authorize use of the land for military purposes.

**(c) LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP.—**

(1) PREPARATION AND PUBLICATION.—The Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register a legal description and map of the public land to be transferred under subsection (a).

(2) FORCE OF LAW.—The legal description and map filed under paragraph (1) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this Act, except that the Secretary of the Interior may correct errors in the legal description.

(d) REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS.—The transfer required by subsection (a) shall be made without reimbursement, except that the Secretary of the Army shall reimburse the Secretary of the Interior for any costs incurred by the Secretary of the Interior to prepare the legal description and map under subsection (c).

(e) TREATMENT OF GRAZING LEASES.—If a grazing permit or lease exists on the date of the enactment of this Act for any portion of the public land to be transferred under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Interior shall transfer

or relocate the grazing allotments associated with the permit or lease to other public land, acceptable to the permit or lease holder, so that the grazing continues to have the same value to the holder.

**SEC. 3022. WATER RIGHTS.**

(a) WATER RIGHTS.—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed—

(1) to establish a reservation in favor of the United States with respect to any water or water right on lands transferred by this subtitle; or

(2) to authorize the appropriation of water on lands transferred by this subtitle except in accordance with applicable State law.

(b) EFFECT ON PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED OR RESERVED WATER RIGHTS.—This section shall not be construed to affect any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 3023. WITHDRAWAL.**

Subject to valid existing rights, the public land to be transferred under section 3021 is withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws and geothermal leasing laws, so long as the lands remain under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army.

**Subtitle C—Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California**

**SEC. 3031. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION, NAVAL AIR WEAPONS STATION CHINA LAKE, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) TRANSFER REQUIRED.—Not later than September 30, 2014, the Secretary of the Interior shall transfer to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy certain public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management in Inyo, Kern, and San Bernardino Counties, California, consisting of approximately 1,045,000 acres in Inyo, Kern, and San Bernardino Counties, California, as generally depicted on the map titled “Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake Withdrawal - Renewal” and dated 2012.

(b) USE OF TRANSFERRED LAND.—Upon the receipt of the land under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Navy shall include the land as part of the Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California, and authorize use of the land for military purposes.

**(c) LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP.—**

(1) PREPARATION AND PUBLICATION.—The Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register a legal description and map of the public land to be transferred under subsection (a).

(2) FORCE OF LAW.—The legal description and map filed under paragraph (1) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this Act, except that the Secretary of the Interior may correct errors in the legal description and map.

(d) REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS.—The transfer required by subsection (a) shall be made without reimbursement, except that the Secretary of the Navy shall reimburse the Secretary of the Interior for any costs incurred by the Secretary of the Interior to prepare the legal description and map under subsection (c).

**SEC. 3032. WATER RIGHTS.**

(a) WATER RIGHTS.—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed—

(1) to establish a reservation in favor of the United States with respect to any water or water right on lands transferred by this subtitle; or

(2) to authorize the appropriation of water on lands transferred by this subtitle except in accordance with applicable State law.

(b) EFFECT ON PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED OR RESERVED WATER RIGHTS.—This section shall not be construed to affect any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 3033. WITHDRAWAL.**

Subject to valid existing rights, the public land to be transferred under section 3031 is

withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws and geothermal leasing laws, so long as the lands remain under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy.

**Subtitle D—Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California**

**SEC. 3041. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION, CHOCOLATE MOUNTAIN AERIAL GUNNERY RANGE, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) TRANSFER REQUIRED.—The Secretary of the Interior shall transfer to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy certain public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management in Imperial and Riverside Counties, California, consisting of approximately 226,711 acres, as generally depicted on the map titled “Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range Proposed-Withdrawal” dated 1987 (revised July 1993), and identified as WESTDIV Drawing No. C-102370, which was prepared by the Naval Facilities Engineering Command of the Department of the Navy and is on file with the California State Office of the Bureau of Land Management.

(b) VALID EXISTING RIGHTS.—The transfer of administrative jurisdiction under subsection (a) shall be subject to any valid existing rights, including any property, easements, or improvements held by the Bureau of Reclamation and appurtenant to the Coachella Canal. The Secretary of the Navy shall provide for reasonable access by the Bureau of Reclamation for inspection and maintenance purposes not inconsistent with military training.

(c) TIME FOR CONVEYANCE.—The transfer of administrative jurisdiction under subsection (a) shall occur pursuant to a schedule agreed to by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy, but in no case later than the date of the completion of the boundary realignment required by section 3043.

**(d) MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—**

(1) PREPARATION AND PUBLICATION.—The Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register a legal description of the public land to be transferred under subsection (a).

(2) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary of the Interior shall file with the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives—

(A) a copy of the legal description prepared under paragraph (1); and

(B) a map depicting the legal description of the transferred public land.

(3) AVAILABILITY FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION.—Copies of the legal description and map filed under paragraph (2) shall be available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of—

(A) the Bureau of Land Management;

(B) the Office of the Commanding Officer, Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Arizona;

(C) the Office of the Commander, Navy Region Southwest; and

(D) the Office of the Secretary of the Navy.

(4) FORCE OF LAW.—The legal description and map filed under paragraph (2) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this Act, except that the Secretary of the Interior may correct clerical and typographical errors in the legal description or map.

(5) REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS.—The transfer required by subsection (a) shall be made without reimbursement, except that the Secretary of the Navy shall reimburse the Secretary of the Interior for any costs incurred by the Secretary of the Interior to prepare the legal description and map under this subsection.

**SEC. 3042. MANAGEMENT AND USE OF TRANSFERRED LAND.**

(a) USE OF TRANSFERRED LAND.—Upon the receipt of the land under section 3041, the Secretary of the Navy shall administer the land as the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, California, and continue to authorize use of the land for military purposes.

(b) **PROTECTION OF DESERT TORTOISE.**—Nothing in the transfer required by section 3041 shall affect the prior designation of certain lands within the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range as critical habitat for the desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*).

(c) **WITHDRAWAL OF MINERAL ESTATE.**—Subject to valid existing rights, the mineral estate of the land to be transferred under section 3041 are withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws and the mineral and geothermal leasing laws, for as long as the land is under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy.

(d) **INTEGRATED NATURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PLAN.**—Not later than one year after the transfer of the land under section 3041, the Secretary of the Navy, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Interior, shall prepare an integrated natural resources management plan pursuant to the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670a et seq.) for the transferred land and for land that, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, is under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy underlying the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range.

**SEC. 3043. REALIGNMENT OF RANGE BOUNDARY AND RELATED TRANSFER OF TITLE.**

(a) **REALIGNMENT; PURPOSE.**—The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy shall realign the boundary of the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, to improve public safety and management of the Range, consistent with the following:

(1) The northwestern boundary of the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range shall be realigned to the edge of the Bradshaw Trail so that the Trail is entirely on public land under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior.

(2) The centerline of the Bradshaw Trail shall be delineated by the Secretary of the Interior in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy, beginning at its western terminus at Township 8 South, Range 12 East, Section 6 eastward to Township 8 South, Range 17 East, Section 32 where it leaves the Chocolate Mountain Aerial Gunnery Range.

(b) **TRANSFERS RELATED TO REALIGNMENT.**—The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy shall make such transfers of administrative jurisdiction as may be necessary to reflect the results of the boundary realignment carried out pursuant to subsection (a).

(c) **APPLICABILITY OF NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT OF 1969.**—The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) shall not apply to any transfer of land made under subsection (b) or any decontamination actions undertaken in connection with such a transfer.

(d) **DECONTAMINATION.**—The Secretary of the Navy shall maintain, to the extent funds are available for such purpose and consistent with applicable Federal and State law, a program of decontamination of any contamination caused by defense-related uses on land transferred under subsection (b). The Secretary of Defense shall include a description of such decontamination activities in the annual report required by section 2711 of title 10, United States Code.

(e) **TIMELINE.**—The delineation of the Bradshaw Trail under subsection (a) and any transfer of land under subsection (b) shall occur pursuant to a schedule agreed to by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy, but in no case later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 3044. EFFECT OF TERMINATION OF MILITARY USE.**

(a) **NOTICE AND EFFECT.**—Upon a determination by the Secretary of the Navy that there is no longer a military need for all or portions of the land transferred under section 3041, the Secretary of the Navy shall notify the Secretary of

the Interior of such determination. Subject to subsections (b), (c), and (d), the Secretary of the Navy shall transfer the land subject to such a notice back to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) **CONTAMINATION.**—Before transmitting a notice under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Navy shall prepare a written determination concerning whether and to what extent the land to be transferred are contaminated with explosive, toxic, or other hazardous materials. A copy of the determination shall be transmitted with the notice. Copies of the notice and the determination shall be published in the Federal Register.

(c) **DECONTAMINATION.**—The Secretary of the Navy shall decontaminate any contaminated land that is the subject of a notice under subsection (a) if—

(1) the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy, determines that—

(A) decontamination is practicable and economically feasible (taking into consideration the potential future use and value of the land); and

(B) upon decontamination, the land could be opened to operation of some or all of the public land laws, including the mining laws; and

(2) funds are appropriated for such decontamination.

(d) **ALTERNATIVE.**—The Secretary of the Interior is not required to accept land proposed for transfer under subsection (a) if the Secretary of the Interior is unable to make the determinations under subsection (c)(1) or if Congress does not appropriate a sufficient amount of funds for the decontamination of the land.

**SEC. 3045. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF EXISTING WITHDRAWAL PERIOD.**

Notwithstanding subsection (a) of section 806 of the California Military Lands Withdrawal and Overflights Act of 1994 (title VIII of Public Law 103-433; 108 Stat. 4505), the withdrawal and reservation of the land transferred under section 3041 shall not terminate until the date on which the land transfer required by section 3041 is executed.

**SEC. 3046. WATER RIGHTS.**

(a) **WATER RIGHTS.**—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed—

(1) to establish a reservation in favor of the United States with respect to any water or water right on lands transferred by this subtitle; or

(2) to authorize the appropriation of water on lands transferred by this subtitle except in accordance with applicable State law.

(b) **EFFECT ON PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED OR RESERVED WATER RIGHTS.**—This section shall not be construed to affect any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of the enactment of this Act.

**Subtitle E—Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, California**

**SEC. 3051. DESIGNATION OF JOHNSON VALLEY NATIONAL OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE RECREATION AREA.**

(a) **DESIGNATION.**—The approximately 188,000 acres of public land and interests in land administered by the Secretary of the Interior through the Bureau of Land Management in San Bernardino County, California, as generally depicted as the “Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area” on the map titled “Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area and Transfer of the Southern Study Area” and dated April 11, 2013, are hereby designated as the “Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area”.

(b) **RECREATIONAL AND CONSERVATION USE.**—The Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area is designated for the following purposes:

(1) Public recreation (including off-highway vehicle use, camping, and hiking) when the lands are not used for military training as authorized by section 3052.

(2) Natural resources conservation.

(c) **WITHDRAWAL.**—The public land and interests in land included in the Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws and the mineral leasing and geothermal leasing laws.

(d) **TREATMENT OF EXISTING RIGHTS.**—The designation of the Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area and the withdrawal of the public land and interests in land included in the Recreation Area are subject to valid existing rights.

**SEC. 3052. LIMITED BIENNIAL MARINE CORPS AIR GROUND COMBAT CENTER TWENTYNINE PALMS USE OF JOHNSON VALLEY NATIONAL OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE RECREATION AREA.**

(a) **USE FOR MILITARY PURPOSES AUTHORIZED.**—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of the Interior shall authorize the Secretary of the Navy to utilize portions of Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area twice in each calendar year for up to a total of 60 days per year for the following purposes:

(1) Sustained, combined arms, live-fire, and maneuver field training for large-scale Marine air-ground task forces.

(2) Individual and unit live-fire training ranges.

(3) Equipment and tactics development.

(4) Other defense-related purposes consistent with the purposes specified in the preceding paragraphs.

(b) **CONDITIONS ON MILITARY USE.**—

(1) **CONSULTATION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS.**—Before the Secretary of the Navy requests the two time periods for military use of the Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area in a calendar year, the Secretary of the Navy shall—

(A) consult with the Secretary of the Interior regarding the best times for military use to reduce interference with or interruption of non-military activities authorized by section 3051(b); and

(B) provide for public awareness of and participation in the selection process.

(2) **PUBLIC NOTICE.**—The Secretary of the Navy shall provide advance, wide-spread notice before any closure of public lands for military use under this section.

(3) **PUBLIC SAFETY.**—Military use of the Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area during the biennial periods authorized by subsection (a) shall be conducted in the presence of sufficient range safety officers to ensure the safety of military personnel and civilians.

(4) **CERTAIN TYPES OF ORDNANCE PROHIBITED.**—The Secretary of the Navy shall prohibit the use of dud-producing ordnance in any military training conducted under subsection (a).

(c) **IMPLEMENTING AGREEMENT.**—

(1) **AGREEMENT REQUIRED; REQUIRED TERMS.**—The Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy shall enter into a written agreement to implement this section. The agreement shall include a provision for periodic review of the agreement for its adequacy, effectiveness, and need for revision.

(2) **ADDITIONAL TERMS.**—The agreement may provide for—

(A) the integration of the management plans of the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy;

(B) delegation to civilian law enforcement personnel of the Department of the Navy of the authority of the Secretary of the Interior to enforce the laws relating to protection of natural and cultural resources and of fish and wildlife; and

(C) the sharing of resources in order to most efficiently and effectively manage the lands.

(d) **DURATION.**—Any agreement for the military use of the Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area shall terminate not later than March 31, 2039.

**SEC. 3053. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION, SOUTHERN STUDY AREA, MARINE CORPS AIR GROUND COMBAT CENTER TWENTYNINE PALMS, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) **TRANSFER REQUIRED.**—Not later than September 30, 2014, the Secretary of the Interior shall transfer, without reimbursement, to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy certain public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management consisting of approximately 20,000 acres in San Bernardino County, California, as generally depicted as the “Southern Study Area” on the map referred to in section 3051.

(b) **USE OF TRANSFERRED LAND.**—Upon the receipt of the land under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Navy shall include the land as part of the Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center Twentynine Palms, California, and authorize use of the land for military purposes.

**(c) LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP.**—

(1) **PREPARATION AND PUBLICATION.**—The Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the Federal Register a legal description and map of the public land to be transferred under subsection (a).

(2) **FORCE OF LAW.**—The legal description and map filed under paragraph (1) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this Act, except that the Secretary of the Interior may correct clerical and typographical errors in the legal description and map.

(d) **REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS.**—The Secretary of the Navy shall reimburse the Secretary of the Interior for any costs incurred by the Secretary of the Interior to carry out this section.

**SEC. 3054. WATER RIGHTS.**

(a) **WATER RIGHTS.**—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed—

(1) to establish a reservation in favor of the United States with respect to any water or water right on lands transferred by this subtitle; or

(2) to authorize the appropriation of water on lands transferred by this subtitle except in accordance with applicable State law.

(b) **EFFECT ON PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED OR RESERVED WATER RIGHTS.**—This section shall not be construed to affect any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of the enactment of this Act.

**Subtitle F—Naval Air Station Fallon, Nevada**

**SEC. 3061. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION, NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON, NEVADA.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior shall transfer to the Secretary of the Navy, without consideration, the Federal land described in subsection (b).

(b) **DESCRIPTION OF FEDERAL LAND.**—The Federal land referred to in subsection (a) is the parcel of approximately 400 acres of land under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior that—

(1) is adjacent to Naval Air Station Fallon in Churchill County, Nevada; and

(2) was withdrawn under Public Land Order 6834 (NV-943-4214-10; N-37875).

(c) **MANAGEMENT.**—On transfer of the Federal land described under subsection (b) to the Secretary of the Navy, the Secretary of the Navy shall have full jurisdiction, custody, and control of the Federal land.

**SEC. 3062. WATER RIGHTS.**

(a) **WATER RIGHTS.**—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed—

(1) to establish a reservation in favor of the United States with respect to any water or water right on lands transferred by this subtitle; or

(2) to authorize the appropriation of water on lands transferred by this subtitle except in accordance with applicable State law.

(b) **EFFECT ON PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED OR RESERVED WATER RIGHTS.**—This section shall not

be construed to affect any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 3063. WITHDRAWAL.**

Subject to valid existing rights, the Federal land to be transferred under section 3061 is withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws and geothermal leasing laws, so long as the land remains under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy.

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

**Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations**

**SEC. 3101. NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.**

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for the activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

(b) **AUTHORIZATION OF NEW PLANT PROJECTS.**—From funds referred to in subsection (a) that are available for carrying out plant projects, the Secretary of Energy may carry out new plant projects for the National Nuclear Security Administration as follows:

Project 14-D-710, Device Assembly Facility Argus Installation Project, Nevada National Security Site, Las Vegas, Nevada, \$14,000,000

Project 14-D-901, Spent Fueling Handling Recaptivation Project, Naval Reactors Facility, Idaho, \$45,400,000.

Project 14-D-902, KL Materials Characterization Laboratory, Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, Schenectady, New York, \$1,000,000.

**SEC. 3102. DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for defense environmental cleanup activities in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

**SEC. 3103. OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for other defense activities in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

**SEC. 3104. ENERGY SECURITY AND ASSURANCE.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for energy security and assurance programs necessary for national security as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

**Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations**

**SEC. 3111. CLARIFICATION OF PRINCIPLES OF NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.**

Subsection (c) of section 3211 of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2401) is amended to read as follows:

“(c) **OPERATIONS AND ACTIVITIES TO BE CARRIED OUT CONSISTENT WITH CERTAIN PRINCIPLES.**—In carrying out the mission of the Administration, the Administrator shall ensure that all operations and activities of the Administration are consistent with the principles of—

“(1) protecting the environment;

“(2) safeguarding the safety and health of the public and of the workforce of the Administration; and

“(3) ensuring the security of the nuclear weapons, nuclear material, and classified information in the custody of the Administration.”.

**SEC. 3112. TERMINATION OF DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EMPLOYEES TO PROTECT NATIONAL SECURITY.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subtitle C of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C.

2441 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“SEC. 3245. TERMINATION OF EMPLOYEES TO PROTECT NATIONAL SECURITY.**

“(a) **TERMINATION AUTHORITY.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Energy may terminate an employee of the Administration or any element of the Department of Energy that involves nuclear security if the Secretary—

“(1) determines that the employee acted in a manner that endangers the security of special nuclear material or classified information;

“(2) considers the termination to be in the interests of the United States; and

“(3) determines that the procedures prescribed in other provisions of law that authorize the termination of the employment of such employee cannot be invoked in a manner that the Secretary considers consistent with national security.

“(b) **STATEMENTS AND AFFIDAVITS.**—(1) To the extent that the Secretary determines that the interests of national security permit, the Secretary shall notify an employee whose employment is terminated under this section of the reasons for the termination.

“(2) During the 30-day period beginning on the date on which a terminated employee is notified under paragraph (1), the employee may submit to the Secretary statements or affidavits to show why the employee should be restored to duty.

“(3) If a terminated employee submits statements and affidavits under paragraph (2), the Secretary—

“(A) shall provide a written response to the employee; and

“(B) may restore the employment of the employee.

“(c) **FINALITY.**—A decision by the Secretary to terminate the employment of an employee under this section is final and may not be appealed or reviewed outside the Department.

“(d) **NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—Whenever the Secretary terminates the employment of an employee under the authority of this section, the Secretary shall promptly notify the congressional defense committees of such termination.

“(e) **PRESERVATION OF RIGHT TO SEEK OTHER EMPLOYMENT.**—Any termination of employment under this section does not affect the right of the employee involved to seek or accept employment with any other department or agency of the United States if that employee is declared eligible for such employment by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management.

“(f) **PROHIBITION ON DELEGATION.**—The authority of the Secretary under this section may not be delegated.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents at the beginning of such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 3244 the following new item:

“Sec. 3245. Termination of employees to protect national security.”.

**SEC. 3113. MODIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT COST ESTIMATES ON LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAMS AND NEW NUCLEAR FACILITIES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 4217 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2537) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(2), by adding after the period at the end the following: “Such cost estimates shall be conducted by the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation. The Director may delegate carrying out such a cost estimate to another element of the Department of Defense.”; and

(2) by amending subsection (c) to read as follows:

“(c) **AUTHORITY FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENTS.**—(1) In consultation with the Administrator, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation,

may conduct an independent cost assessment of any initiative or program of the Administration that is estimated to cost more than \$500,000,000. The Director may delegate carrying out such a cost estimate to another element of the Department of Defense.

“(2) The Secretary, acting through the Administrator, shall request an appropriate official or entity to conduct an independent review of each—

“(A) guidance for the analysis of alternatives for each covered system or facility before such analysis is conducted; and

“(B) results of such analysis.

“(3) The Secretary, acting through the Administrator, shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Nuclear Weapons Council each independent review conducted under paragraph (2).

“(4) In this subsection:

“(A) The term ‘appropriate official or entity’ means the following:

“(i) The Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation.

“(ii) An organization selected by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation.

“(iii) The JASON Defense Advisory Panel.

“(B) The term ‘covered system or facility’ means the following:

“(i) Each nuclear weapon system undergoing life extension at the completion of phase 6.2A, relating to design definition and cost study.

“(ii) Each new nuclear facility within the nuclear security enterprise (as defined in section 4002(5) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501(5)) that is estimated to cost more than \$500,000,000 before such facility achieves critical decision 2 in the acquisition process.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a)(2) shall expire on the date that is three years after the date of the enactment of this Act. Effective on the day after such expiration date, subsection (c) of section 4217 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2537), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, is hereby revived.

(c) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that Congress encourages the Administrator for Nuclear Security and the Nuclear Weapons Council to follow the results of the analysis of alternatives of a life extension program or a defense nuclear facility construction project when selecting a final option.

**SEC. 3114. PLAN FOR RETRIEVAL, TREATMENT, AND DISPOSITION OF TANK FARM WASTE AT HANFORD NUCLEAR RESERVATION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle D of title XLIV of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2621 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“SEC. 4445. PLAN FOR RETRIEVAL, TREATMENT, AND DISPOSITION OF TANK FARM WASTE AT HANFORD NUCLEAR RESERVATION.**

“(a) PLAN.—Not later than March 1, 2014, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a comprehensive plan through 2025 for the safe and effective retrieval, treatment, and disposition of nuclear waste contained in the tank farms of Hanford Nuclear Reservation, Richland, Washington.

“(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The plan under subsection (a) shall include the following:

“(1) A list of all requirements, assumptions, and criteria needed to design, construct, and operate the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant and any required infrastructure facilities at the Hanford Tank Farms.

“(2) A schedule of activities, construction, and operations at the Hanford Tank Farms and Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant required before 2025 to carry out the safe and effective retrieval, treatment, and disposition of waste in the Hanford Tank Farms.

“(3) Actions required to accelerate, to the extent possible, the retrieval and treatment of lower-risk, low-activity waste while continuing

efforts to accelerate the resolution of technical challenges associated with higher-risk, high-activity waste.

“(4) A description of how the Secretary will—

“(A) provide adequate protection to workers and the public under the plan; and

“(B) incorporate into the plan any new science and technical information that was not available before the development of the plan, including new science and technical information not available as of March 2014.

“(c) DETERMINATIONS.—(1) For each requirement, assumption, or criterion identified by the Secretary under subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall include in the plan under subsection (a) a determination regarding whether such requirement, assumption or criterion is finalized and will be used to inform planning, design, construction, and operations of the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant project.

“(2) For each requirement, assumption, or criterion that the Secretary cannot make a finalized determination for under paragraph (1) by the date the plan under subsection (a) is submitted to the congressional defense committees, the Secretary shall—

“(A) include in the plan—

“(i) a description of the requirement, assumption, or criterion;

“(ii) a list of activities required for the Secretary to make such determination; and

“(iii) the date on which the Secretary anticipates making such determination; and

“(B) once the Secretary makes the finalized determination with respect to the requirement, assumption, or criterion, submit to such committees notification that the requirement, assumption, or criterion is finalized and will be used to inform the planning, design, construction, and operations of the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant project.

“(3)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), the Secretary may authorize a change to a requirement, assumption, or criterion that the Secretary determines as finalized under paragraph (1) or (2)(B).

“(B) The Secretary shall make changes to a requirement, assumption, or criterion under subparagraph (A) if the Secretary cannot provide adequate protection without making such changes.

“(C) If the Secretary authorizes a change to a requirement, assumption, or criterion under subparagraph (A) or (B) that will have a material effect on any aspect of the schedule or cost of the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant project, the Secretary shall promptly notify the congressional defense committees of such change.

“(D) The authority of the Secretary under this paragraph may be delegated only to the Deputy Secretary of Energy.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents at the beginning of the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4444 the following new item:

“Sec. 4445. Plan for retrieval, treatment, and disposition of tank farm waste at Hanford Nuclear Reservation.”

**SEC. 3115. ENHANCED PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY TO MANAGE SUPPLY CHAIN RISK.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title XLVIII of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2781 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

**“SEC. 4806. ENHANCED PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY TO MANAGE SUPPLY CHAIN RISK.**

“(a) AUTHORITY.—Subject to subsection (b), a covered official may—

“(1) carry out a covered procurement action; and

“(2) notwithstanding any other provision of law, limit, in whole or in part, the disclosure of information relating to the basis for carrying out a covered procurement action.

“(b) DETERMINATION AND NOTIFICATION.—Before exercising the authority under subsection (a), a covered official shall—

“(1) obtain a joint recommendation by the Deputy Secretary of Energy and the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Energy, on the basis of a risk assessment conducted by the Office of Intelligence and Counterintelligence of the Department of Energy, that there is a significant supply chain risk to a covered system;

“(2) make a determination in writing, with the concurrence of the Deputy Secretary of Energy, that—

“(A) carrying out a covered procurement action under subsection (a)(1) is necessary to protect national security by reducing supply chain risk;

“(B) less intrusive measures are not reasonably available to reduce such supply chain risk; and

“(C) if the covered official plans to limit disclosure of information under subsection (a)(2), the risk to national security that may result from the disclosure of such information is greater than such risk that may result from not disclosing such information; and

“(3) submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate, and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives written notification of—

“(A) the joint recommendation under paragraph (1), including a summary of the risk assessment by the Office of Intelligence and Counterintelligence that serves as the basis for such joint recommendation;

“(B) the determination under paragraph (2), including—

“(i) a summary of the basis for such determination; and

“(ii) a discussion of the less intrusive measures that were considered under subparagraph (B) of such paragraph and the reason that the official determined such measures to not be reasonably available; and

“(C) the information required by section 2304(f)(3) of title 10, United States Code.

“(c) LIMITATION ON DISCLOSURE.—If a covered official exercises the authority under subsection (a), the covered official shall—

“(1) notify appropriate parties of the covered procurement action and the basis for such action only to the extent necessary to carry out the covered procurement action;

“(2) notify other elements of the Department of Energy or other departments or agencies of the United States that are responsible for procurement that may be subject to the same or similar supply chain risk of the covered procurement action, consistent with the requirements of national security; and

“(3) ensure the confidentiality of any notification made under paragraph (1) or (2).

“(d) DELEGATION.—A covered official may not delegate the authority provided under this section to an official of the Department of Energy below the level of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Energy.

“(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘covered item of supply’ means an item that is purchased for inclusion in a covered system, and the loss of integrity of which could result in a supply chain risk for a covered system.

“(2) The term ‘covered official’ means any of the following:

“(A) The Under Secretary of Energy.

“(B) The Under Secretary of Energy for Science.

“(C) The Administrator for Nuclear Security.

“(D) The Administrator of the Energy Information Administration.

“(E) The Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration.

“(F) The Administrator of the Southeastern Power Administration.

“(G) The Administrator of the Southwestern Power Administration.

“(H) The Administrator of the Western Area Power Administration.



“(I) The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Energy.

“(3) The term ‘covered procurement’ means—  
“(A) a source selection for a covered system or a covered item of supply involving either a performance specification, as described in paragraph (1)(C)(ii) of section 2305(a) of title 10, United States Code, or an evaluation factor, as described in paragraph (2)(A) of such section, relating to supply chain risk;

“(B) the consideration of proposals for and issuance of a task or delivery order for a covered system or a covered item of supply if the task or delivery order contract concerned includes a contract clause establishing a requirement relating to supply chain risk; or

“(C) any contract action involving a contract for a covered system or a covered item of supply if such contract includes a clause establishing requirements relating to supply chain risk.

“(4) The term ‘covered procurement action’ means, with respect to an action that occurs in the course of conducting a covered procurement, any of the following:

“(A) The exclusion of a source that fails to meet qualification standards established in accordance with the requirements of section 2319 of title 10, United States Code, for the purpose of reducing supply chain risk in the acquisition of covered systems.

“(B) The exclusion of a source that fails to achieve an acceptable rating with respect to an evaluation factor providing for the consideration of supply chain risk in the evaluation of proposals for the award of a contract or the issuance of a task or delivery order.

“(C) The withholding of consent for a contractor to subcontract with a particular source or the direction to a contractor for a covered system to exclude a particular source from consideration for a subcontract under the contract.

“(5) The term ‘covered system’ means—

“(A) nuclear weapons;

“(B) components of nuclear weapons;

“(C) items associated with the design, development, production, and maintenance of nuclear weapons or components of nuclear weapons; and

“(D) items associated with the surveillance of the nuclear weapon stockpile; and

“(E) any national security system (as defined in section 3542(b)(2) of title 44, United States Code).

“(6) The term ‘supply chain risk’ means the risk that an adversary may sabotage, maliciously introduce an unwanted function, or otherwise subvert the design, integrity, manufacturing, production, distribution, installation, operation, or maintenance of a covered system so as to surveil, deny, disrupt, or otherwise degrade the function, use, or operation of such system.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents at the beginning of such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4805 the following new item:

“Sec. 4806. Enhanced procurement authority to manage supply chain risk.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 4806 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act, as added by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to—

(1) contracts that are awarded on or after the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(2) task and delivery orders that are issued on or after the date that is 180 days after such date of enactment under contracts awarded before, on, or after such date of enactment.

**SEC. 3116. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.**

(a) LIMITATION.—Except as provided by subsection (c), of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the National Nuclear Security Administration, \$139,500,000 may not be obligated or expended until the date on which

the Administrator for Nuclear Security submits to the congressional defense committees—

(1) a detailed plan to realize the planned efficiencies; and

(2) written certification that the planned efficiencies will be achieved during fiscal year 2014.

(b) UNREALIZED EFFICIENCIES.—If the Administrator does not submit to the congressional defense committees the matters described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a) by the date that is 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on—

(1) the amount of planned efficiencies that will not be realized during fiscal year 2014; and

(2) any effects caused by such unrealized planned efficiencies to the programs funded under the directed stockpile work and nuclear programs accounts.

(c) EXCEPTION.—The limitation in subsection (a) shall not—

(1) apply to funds authorized to be appropriated for directed stockpile work, nuclear programs, or Naval Reactors; or

(2) affect the authority of the Secretary under sections 4702, 4705, and 4711 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2742, 2745, and 2751).

(d) PLANNED EFFICIENCIES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “planned efficiencies” means the \$106,800,000, with respect to directed stockpile work, and \$32,700,000, with respect to nuclear programs, that the Administrator plans to save during fiscal year 2014 through management efficiency and workforce restructuring reductions, as described in the budget request for fiscal year 2014 that the President submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code.

**SEC. 3117. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Office of the Administrator, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended until—

(1) the President transmits to Congress the matters required to be transmitted during 2013 and 2014 under section 4205(f)(2) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2525(f)(2));

(2) the President transmits to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives the matters required to be transmitted during 2013 and 2014 under section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1576) with respect to such matters for which the Secretary of Energy is responsible;

(3) the Administrator for Nuclear Security submits to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives the reports required to be submitted during 2013 and 2014 under section 3122(b)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1710); and

(4) the Administrator submits to the congressional defense committees—

(A) the detailed report on the stockpile stewardship, management, and infrastructure plan required to be submitted during 2013 under paragraph (2) of section 4203(b) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2523(b)(2)); and

(B) the summary of the plan required to be submitted during 2014 under paragraph (1) of such section.

**SEC. 3118. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR GLOBAL THREAT REDUCTION INITIATIVE.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that, particularly in the current constrained budget environment, the National Nuclear Security Administration should—

(1) prioritize its primary mission of sustaining and modernizing the nuclear weapons stockpile; and

(2) shift funding from secondary missions if required to ensure critical nuclear weapons modernization programs stay on schedule and deliver nuclear warheads needed to support the military requirements of the United States.

(b) LIMITATION.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Global Threat Reduction Initiative of the National Nuclear Security Administration, not more than 80 percent may be obligated or expended unless, by not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator for Nuclear Security certifies to the congressional defense committees that the B61 life extension program will deliver a first production unit in fiscal year 2019.

(c) EXCEPTION.—The limitation in subsection (b) shall not affect the authority of the Secretary under Section 4702 of the AEDA (50 U.S.C. 2742).

**SEC. 3119. ESTABLISHMENT OF CENTER FOR SECURITY TECHNOLOGY, ANALYSIS, TESTING, AND RESPONSE.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall establish within the nuclear security enterprise (as defined in section 4002(5) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501(5)) a Center for Security Technology, Analysis, Testing, and Response.

(b) DUTIES.—The center established under subsection (a) shall carry out the following:

(1) Provide to the Administrator, the Chief of Defense Nuclear Security, and the management and operating contractors of the nuclear security enterprise a wide range of objective expertise on security technologies, systems, analysis, testing, and response forces.

(2) Assist the Administrator in developing standards, requirements, analysis methods, and testing criteria with respect to security.

(3) Collect, analyze, and distribute lessons learned with respect to security.

(4) Support inspections and oversight activities with respect to security.

(5) Promote professional development and training for security professionals.

(6) Provide for advance and bulk procurement for security-related acquisitions that affect multiple facilities of the nuclear security enterprise.

(7) Advocate for continual improvement and security excellence throughout the nuclear security enterprise.

**SEC. 3120. COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES FOR COMPETITION OF MANAGEMENT AND OPERATING CONTRACTS.**

(a) BID PROTEST.—Subsection (a) of section 3121 of the National Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2175) is amended by inserting “or the date on which a protest with respect to such a contract is resolved” before the period at the end.

(b) EXPECTED COST SAVINGS.—Subsection (b)(1) of such section is amended by inserting “, including a description of the assumptions used and analysis conducted to determine such expected cost savings” before the semicolon.

(c) NAVAL REACTORS.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) NAVAL REACTORS.—The requirement for reports under subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to a management and operations contract for a Naval Reactor facility.”

**SEC. 3121. W88-1 WARHEAD AND W78-1 WARHEAD LIFE EXTENSION OPTIONS.**

In carrying out Phase 6.2 and Phase 6.2A of the Joint W78/88-1 Warhead Life Extension Program, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy, acting through the Nuclear Weapons Council established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code, shall include during such phases a full analysis of feasibility, design definition, and cost estimation for each of the following life extension options:

(1) A separate life extension option to produce a W78-1 warhead.

(2) A separate life extension option to produce a W88-1 warhead.

(3) An interoperable W78/88-1 life extension option.

(4) Any other option that the Nuclear Weapons Council considers appropriate.

**SEC. 3122. EXTENSION OF PRINCIPLES OF PILOT PROGRAM TO ADDITIONAL FACILITIES OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) In April 2006, the Administrator for Nuclear Security initiated a pilot program to improve and streamline oversight of the Kansas City Plant of the National Nuclear Security Administration.

(2) In a memorandum initiating the pilot, the Administrator cited slow progress in implementing previous efforts to streamline such oversight, saying that such slow progress “is a reflection of excessive risk aversion”.

(3) The pilot program shifted away from reliance on directives of the Department of Energy and toward third-party certification and industrial standards whenever possible—but the pilot program specifically exempted certain high-hazard operations from its scope.

(4) An independent assessment conducted one year after initiation of the pilot found approximately \$14,000,000 had been saved in fiscal year 2007 because of the pilot program.

(5) The independent assessment found that “the replacement of Department of Energy prescriptive requirements with site specific standards and operating systems was observed to be a significant cost reduction driver. . . in several business areas, this reduction was accomplished by moving toward the use of metrics and benchmarks rather than transactional oversight.”

(6) The independent assessment further found that “no immediate or negative impacts were observed as a result” of the pilot program and that “the lessons learned at [the Kansas City Plant] can and should be applied at other NNSA and DOE sites”, while acknowledging that application of such lessons would be limited by the presence of high-risk, high-hazard activities at such locations.

(7) The independent assessment concluded, “it is our opinion that these elements can be encouraged and developed over time at each NNSA facility, subject to the limitations made necessary by the nature of the site.”

(b) EXTENSION OF POLICIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided by paragraph (2), the Administrator for Nuclear Security shall—

(A) ensure that the principles of the pilot program are permanently implemented at the Kansas City Plant of the National Nuclear Security Administration; and

(B) in accordance with paragraph (3), extend such principles of the pilot program, with modifications as the Administrator determines appropriate, to not less than two additional facilities of the nuclear security enterprise (as defined in section 4002(5) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501(5)), with such principles commencing at each facility not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) EXEMPTION.—In carrying out the extension of the principles of the pilot program pursuant to subparagraph (A) and (B) of paragraph (1), the Administrator—

(A) may exempt high-hazard or high-risk activities from such extension;

(B) shall exempt nuclear operations from such extension; and

(C) shall focus the initial extension of such principles on low-risk, high-reward initiatives.

(3) IMPLEMENTATION.—

(A) In extending the principles of the pilot program to not less than two facilities under paragraph (1)(B), the Administrator shall certify to the appropriate congressional committees that—

(i) the management and operating contractor for such a facility has sufficiently mature processes, as well as high performance, to enable the extension without undue risk; and

(ii) Federal oversight mechanisms are in place and sufficiently mature to enable the extension without undue risk.

(B) If the Administrator cannot make a certification under subparagraph (A) with respect to a facility—

(i) the Administrator shall delay the extension of the principles of the pilot program to such facility until the date on which the Administrator makes such certification; and

(ii) not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report regarding—

(I) the improvements to processes, procedures, and performance that are required to make such certification;

(II) a plan with respect to the activities that the Administrator will carry out to make such improvements; and

(III) the date by which the Administrator expects to make such certification and extend the principles of the pilot program.

(4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(i) The congressional defense committees.

(ii) The Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives.

(B) The term “principles of the pilot program” means the principles regarding the use of third-party certification, industrial standards, best business practices, and verification of internal procedures and performance to improve and streamline oversight, as demonstrated in the pilot program at the Kansas City Plant of the Administration described in subsection (a)(1).

**Subtitle C—Reports**

**SEC. 3131. ANNUAL REPORT AND CERTIFICATION ON STATUS OF THE SECURITY OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 4506 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2657) is amended to read as follows:

**“SEC. 4506. ANNUAL REPORT AND CERTIFICATION ON STATUS OF THE SECURITY OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.**

“Not later than September 30 of each year, the Administrator shall submit to the Secretary of Energy and to the congressional defense committees—

“(1) a report detailing the status of the security of the nuclear security enterprise, including the status of the security of special nuclear material, nuclear weapons, and classified information at each nuclear weapons production facility and national security laboratory; and

“(2) written certification that the special nuclear material, nuclear weapons, and classified information in the custody of the Administration are secure.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents at the beginning of such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4506 and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 4506. Annual report and certification on status of the security of the nuclear security enterprise.”

**SEC. 3132. MODIFICATIONS TO ANNUAL REPORTS REGARDING THE CONDITION OF THE NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE.**

(a) REPORT ON ASSESSMENTS.—Subsection (e) of section 4205 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2525) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (C), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in subparagraph (D), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) a concise summary of any significant finding investigations initiated or active during the previous year for which the head of the national security laboratory has full or partial responsibility.”; and

(2) by amending paragraph (4) to read as follows:

“(4) In the case of a report submitted by the Commander of the United States Strategic Command—

“(A) a discussion of the relative merits of other nuclear weapon types (if any), or compensatory measures (if any) that could be taken, that could enable accomplishment of the missions of the nuclear weapon types to which the assessments relate, should such assessments identify any deficiency with respect to such nuclear weapon types; and

“(B) a summary of all major assembly releases in place as of the date of the report for the active and inactive nuclear weapon stockpiles.”

(b) REPORTS SUBMITTED TO THE PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS.—Subsection (f) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) If the President does not forward to Congress the matters required under paragraph (2) by the date required under such paragraph, each official specified in subsection (b) shall submit to the congressional defense committees the report, without change, that the official submitted to the Secretary concerned under subsection (e).”

**SEC. 3133. REPEAL OF CERTAIN REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**

(a) REPORT ON COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY PRACTICES AT NATIONAL LABORATORIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 4507 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2658) is repealed.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents at the beginning of the Atomic Energy Defense Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4507.

(b) REPORTS ON ADVANCED SUPERCOMPUTER SALES TO CERTAIN FOREIGN NATIONS.—Section 3157 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105-85; 50 U.S.C. App. 2404 note) is repealed.

**Subtitle D—Other Matters**

**SEC. 3141. CONGRESSIONAL ADVISORY PANEL ON THE GOVERNANCE OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.**

Section 3166 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2208) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act” and inserting “October 1, 2013”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “February 1, 2014” and inserting “March 1, 2014”; and

(2) by amending subsection (f) to read as follows:

“(f) TERMINATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The advisory panel shall terminate not later than September 30, 2014.

“(2) FINAL REPORT.—Before terminating, the advisory panel may submit to the officials and committees specified in subsection (d)(1) a final report that includes a summary of the activities and recommendations of the advisory panel and such other matters as the advisory panel considers appropriate.”

**SEC. 3142. STUDY OF POTENTIAL REUSE OF NUCLEAR WEAPON SECONDARIES.**

(a) STUDY.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator for Nuclear Security shall conduct a study of the potential reuse of nuclear weapon secondaries that includes an assessment of the potential for reusing secondaries in future life extension programs, including—

(1) a description of which secondaries could be reused;

(2) the number of such secondaries available in the stockpile as of the date of the study; and

(3) the number of such secondaries that are planned to be available after such date as a result of the dismantlement of nuclear weapons.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The study under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) The feasibility and practicability of potential full or partial reuse options with respect to nuclear weapon secondaries.

(2) The benefits and risks of reusing such secondaries.

(3) A list of technical challenges that must be resolved to certify aged materials under dynamic loading conditions and the full stockpile-to-target sequence of weapons, including a program plan and timeline for resolving such technical challenges and an assessment of the importance of resolving outstanding materials issues on certifying aged secondaries.

(4) The potential costs and cost savings of such reuse.

(5) The effects of such reuse on the requirements for secondaries manufacturing.

(6) An assessment of how such reuse affects plans to build a responsive nuclear weapons infrastructure.

(c) **SUBMISSION.**—Not later than March 1, 2014, the Administrator shall submit to the congressional defense committees the study under subsection (a).

**SEC. 3143. CLARIFICATION OF ROLE OF SECRETARY OF ENERGY.**

The amendment made by section 3113 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2169) to section 4102 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2512) may not be construed as affecting the authority of the Secretary of Energy, in carrying out national security programs, with respect to the management, planning, and oversight of the National Nuclear Security Administration or as affecting the delegation by the Secretary of Energy of authority to carry out such activities, as set forth under subsection (a) of such section 4102 as it existed before the amendment made by such section 3113.

**SEC. 3144. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT TO ATOMIC ENERGY ACT OF 1954.**

Chapter 10 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2131 et seq.), as amended by section 3176 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2215), is amended in the matter following section 111 by inserting before “a. The Commission” the following: “**SEC. 112. DOMESTIC MEDICAL ISOTOPE PRODUCTION.**—”.

**TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD**

**SEC. 3201. AUTHORIZATION.**

There is authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014 \$29,915,000 for the operation of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board under chapter 21 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286 et seq.).

**SEC. 3202. IMPROVEMENTS TO THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD.**

(a) **COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS.**—Subsection (a) of section 315 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286d(a)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

“(3) The Secretary may request an analysis from the Board regarding the costs and benefits of any draft or final recommendation. If the Secretary requests such an analysis, the Board shall transmit to the Secretary such analysis by not later than 30 days after the date of the request. The Board shall make such analysis available to the public when the associated recommendation is made available to the public under subsection (b) or promptly thereafter. Additionally, if the Secretary requests such an analysis, the Secretary shall conduct an analysis of the costs and benefits of the rec-

ommendation and make such analysis available to the public together with the response of the Secretary to the Board under subsection (c).”.

(b) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—Paragraph (5) of section 312(b) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 2286a(b)(5)) is amended to read as follows:

“(5) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—The Board shall make such recommendations to the Secretary of Energy with respect to Department of Energy defense nuclear facilities, including operations of such facilities, standards, and research needs, as the Board determines are necessary to ensure adequate protection of public health and safety. In making its recommendations, the Board shall—

“(A) use rigorous, quantitative analysis;

“(B) specifically assess risk (whenever sufficient data exists);

“(C) specifically assess the use of various administrative, passive, and engineered controls for implementing the recommended measures; and

“(D) specifically assess the technical and economic feasibility of implementing the recommended measures.”.

**TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES**

**SEC. 3401. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

(a) **AMOUNT.**—There are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2014 for the purpose of carrying out activities under chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the naval petroleum reserves.

(b) **PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY.**—Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) shall remain available until expended.

**TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION**

**SEC. 3501. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY ASPECTS OF THE MERCHANT MARINE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2014, to be available without fiscal year limitation if so provided in appropriations Acts, for the use of the Department of Transportation for Maritime Administration programs associated with maintaining national security aspects of the merchant marine, as follows:

(1) For expenses necessary for operations of the United States Merchant Marine Academy, \$81,268,000, of which—

(A) \$67,268,000 shall remain available until expended for Academy operations; and

(B) \$14,000,000 shall remain available until expended for capital asset management at the Academy.

(2) For expenses necessary to support the State maritime academies, \$17,100,000, of which—

(A) \$2,400,000 shall remain available until expended for student incentive payments;

(B) \$3,600,000 shall remain available until expended for direct payments to such academies; and

(C) \$11,100,000 shall remain available until expended for maintenance and repair of State maritime academy training vessels.

(3) For expenses necessary to dispose of vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet, \$2,000,000, to remain available until expended.

(4) For expenses to maintain and preserve a United States-flag merchant marine to serve the national security needs of the United States under chapter 531 of title 46, United States Code, \$183,000,000.

(5) For the cost (as defined in section 502(5) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a(5)) of loan guarantees under the program authorized by chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, \$72,655,000, of which \$2,655,000 shall remain available until expended for administrative expenses of the program.

**SEC. 3502. 5-YEAR REAUTHORIZATION OF VESSEL WAR RISK INSURANCE PROGRAM.**

Section 53912 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by striking “December 31, 2015” and inserting “December 31, 2020”.

**SEC. 3503. SENSE OF CONGRESS.**

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds the following:

(1) It is in the interest of United States national security that the United States merchant marine, both ships and mariners, serve as a naval auxiliary in times of war or national emergency.

(2) The readiness of the United States merchant fleet should be augmented by a Government-owned reserve fleet comprised of ships with national defense features that may not be available immediately in sufficient numbers or types in the active United States-owned, United States-flagged, and United States-crewed commercial industry.

(3) The Ready Reserve Force of the Maritime Administration, a component of the National Defense Reserve Fleet, plays an important role in United States national security by providing necessary readiness and efficiency in the form of a Government-owned sealift fleet.

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) maintaining a United States shipbuilding base is critical to meeting United States national security requirements;

(2) it is of vital importance that the Ready Reserve Force of the Maritime Administration remains capable, modern, and efficient in order to best serve the national security needs of the United States in times of war or national emergency;

(3) Federal agencies must consider investment options for replacing aging vessels within the Ready Reserve Force to meet future operational commitments;

(4) investment in recapitalizing the Ready Reserve Force may include—

(A) construction of dual-use vessels, based on need, for use in the America’s Marine Highway Program of the Department of Transportation, as a recent study performed under a cooperative agreement between the Maritime Administration and the Navy demonstrated that dual-use vessels transporting domestic freight between United States ports could be called upon to supplement sealift capacity;

(B) construction of tanker vessels to meet military transport needs; and

(C) construction of vessels for use in transporting potential new energy exports; and

(5) the Department of Transportation, in consultation with the Navy, should pursue the most cost-effective means of recapitalizing the Ready Reserve Force, including by promoting the building of new vessels that are militarily useful and commercially viable.

**DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES**

**SEC. 4001. AUTHORIZATION OF AMOUNTS IN FUNDING TABLES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Whenever a funding table in this division specifies a dollar amount authorized for a project, program, or activity, the obligation and expenditure of the specified dollar amount for the project, program, or activity is hereby authorized, subject to the availability of appropriations.

(b) **MERIT-BASED DECISIONS.**—A decision to commit, obligate, or expend funds with or to a specific entity on the basis of a dollar amount authorized pursuant to subsection (a) shall—

(1) be based on merit-based selection procedures in accordance with the requirements of sections 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States Code, or on competitive procedures; and

(2) comply with other applicable provisions of law.

(c) **RELATIONSHIP TO TRANSFER AND PROGRAMMING AUTHORITY.**—An amount specified in the funding tables in this division may be transferred or reprogrammed under a transfer or reprogramming authority provided by another

provision of this Act or by other law. The transfer or reprogramming of an amount specified in such funding tables shall not count against a ceiling on such transfers or reprogrammings under section 1001 or section 1522 of this Act or any other provision of law, unless such transfer

or reprogramming would move funds between appropriation accounts.

(d) *APPLICABILITY TO CLASSIFIED ANNEX.*—This section applies to any classified annex that accompanies this Act.

(e) *ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS.*—No oral or written communication concerning any

amount specified in the funding tables in this division shall supersede the requirements of this section.

**TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT**

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT.**

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)**

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>			
<b>FIXED WING</b>			
001	UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT .....	19,730	19,730
002	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP) .....	142,050	142,050
003	MQ-1 UAV .....	518,460	518,460
004	RQ-11 (RAVEN) .....	10,772	10,772
<b>ROTARY</b>			
005	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH) .....	96,227	231,327
	Program increase for additional aircraft .....		[115,100]
	Program increase for fielding .....		[20,000]
006	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN .....	608,469	608,469
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	150,931	150,931
011	UH-60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL (MYP) .....	1,046,976	1,046,976
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	116,001	116,001
013	CH-47 HELICOPTER .....	801,650	801,650
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	98,376	98,376
<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>			
015	MQ-1 PAYLOAD—UAS .....	97,781	97,781
016	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP) .....	10,262	10,262
017	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP) .....	12,467	12,467
018	AH-64 MODS .....	53,559	53,559
019	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP) .....	149,764	149,764
020	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS .....	17,500	17,500
021	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS .....	74,095	74,095
022	KIOWA MODS WARRIOR .....	184,044	184,044
023	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN .....	152,569	152,569
024	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE .....	92,779	92,779
025	GATM ROLLUP .....	65,613	65,613
026	RQ-7 UAV MODS .....	121,902	121,902
<b>GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS</b>			
027	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT .....	47,610	47,610
028	SURVIVABILITY CM .....	5,700	5,700
029	CMWS .....	126,869	126,869
<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>			
030	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	6,809	6,809
031	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT .....	65,397	65,397
032	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS .....	45,841	45,841
033	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....	79,692	79,692
034	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	1,615	1,615
035	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET .....	2,877	2,877
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....</b>	<b>5,024,387</b>	<b>5,159,487</b>
<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>			
<b>SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM</b>			
002	MSE MISSILE .....	540,401	540,401
<b>AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM</b>			
003	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY .....	4,464	4,464
<b>ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS</b>			
004	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY .....	110,510	110,510
005	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY .....	49,354	49,354
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	19,965	19,965
007	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS) .....	237,216	237,216
008	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR) .....	19,022	19,022
<b>MODIFICATIONS</b>			
010	PATRIOT MODS .....	256,438	256,438
011	STINGER MODS .....	37,252	37,252
012	ITAS/TOW MODS .....	20,000	20,000
013	MLRS MODS .....	11,571	11,571
014	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS .....	6,105	6,105
<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>			
015	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	11,222	11,222
<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>			
016	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS .....	3,530	3,530
017	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES) .....	1,748	1,748
018	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT .....	5,285	5,285
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....</b>	<b>1,334,083</b>	<b>1,334,083</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY</b>			
<b>TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b>			
001	STRYKER VEHICLE .....	374,100	374,100
<b>MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b>			
002	STRYKER (MOD) .....	20,522	20,522
003	FIST VEHICLE (MOD) .....	29,965	29,965
004	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD) .....	158,000	158,000

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>House Authorized</b>
005	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD) .....	4,769	4,769
006	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM) .....	260,177	260,177
007	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES) .....	111,031	186,031
	Program increase .....		[75,000]
008	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD) .....	2,500	2,500
009	ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE .....	62,951	93,951
	Program increase .....		[31,000]
010	M88 FOV MODS .....	28,469	28,469
011	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE .....	2,002	2,002
012	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD) .....	178,100	178,100
013	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM .....		168,000
	Program increase .....		[168,000]
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>		
014	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV) .....	1,544	1,544
	<b>WEAPONS &amp; OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES</b>		
015	INTEGRATED AIR BURST WEAPON SYSTEM FAMILY .....	69,147	8,147
	Funding ahead of need .....		[-50,000]
	Transfer to PE 64601A per Army's request .....		[-11,000]
018	MORTAR SYSTEMS .....	5,310	5,310
019	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM) .....	24,049	24,049
021	CARBINE .....	70,846	48,846
	Funding ahead of need .....		[-22,000]
023	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION .....	56,580	56,580
024	HANDGUN .....	300	300
	<b>MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH</b>		
026	M777 MODS .....	39,300	39,300
027	M4 CARBINE MODS .....	10,300	10,300
028	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS .....	33,691	33,691
029	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS .....	7,608	7,608
030	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS .....	2,719	2,719
031	SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS .....	7,017	7,017
032	M119 MODIFICATIONS .....	18,707	18,707
033	M16 RIFLE MODS .....	2,136	2,136
034	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) .....	1,569	1,569
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>		
035	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) .....	2,024	2,024
036	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV) .....	10,108	10,108
037	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	459	459
038	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG) .....	1,267	1,267
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY</b> .....	<b>1,597,267</b>	<b>1,788,267</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b>		
	<b>SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION</b>		
002	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES .....	112,167	87,167
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction .....		[-25,000]
003	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES .....	58,571	53,571
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction .....		[-5,000]
004	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES .....	9,858	9,858
005	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES .....	80,037	55,037
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction .....		[-25,000]
007	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES .....	16,496	16,496
008	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES .....	69,533	50,033
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction .....		[-19,500]
009	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES .....	55,781	55,781
	<b>MORTAR AMMUNITION</b>		
010	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....	38,029	38,029
011	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....	24,656	24,656
012	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....	60,781	60,781
	<b>TANK AMMUNITION</b>		
013	CARTRIDGES, TANK, 105MM AND 120MM, ALL TYPES .....	121,551	121,551
	<b>ARTILLERY AMMUNITION</b>		
014	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES .....	39,825	39,825
015	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES .....	37,902	37,902
016	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982 .....	67,896	67,896
017	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL .....	71,205	71,205
	<b>ROCKETS</b>		
020	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....	1,012	1,012
021	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES .....	108,476	108,476
	<b>OTHER AMMUNITION</b>		
022	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....	24,074	24,074
023	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....	33,242	33,242
024	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES .....	7,609	7,609
025	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES .....	5,228	5,228
	<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>		
026	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES .....	16,700	16,700
027	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES .....	7,366	7,366
028	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES .....	3,614	3,614
029	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (AMMO) .....	12,423	12,423
030	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT .....	16,604	16,604
031	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO) .....	14,328	14,328
032	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES .....	108	108
	<b>PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT</b>		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
033	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	242,324	242,324
034	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION .....	179,605	179,605
035	ARMS INITIATIVE .....	3,436	3,436
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY .....</b>	<b>1,540,437</b>	<b>1,465,937</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>		
	<b>TACTICAL VEHICLES</b>		
001	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS .....	4,000	4,000
002	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED: .....	6,841	6,841
003	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) .....	223,910	223,910
004	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIP .....	11,880	11,880
005	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV) .....	14,731	14,731
006	PLS ESP .....	44,252	44,252
009	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV .....	39,525	39,525
011	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS .....	51,258	25,958
	Funding ahead of need .....		[-25,300]
012	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP .....	49,904	49,904
013	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS .....	2,200	2,200
	<b>NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES</b>		
014	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN .....	400	400
015	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES .....	716	716
016	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER .....	5,619	5,619
	<b>COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
018	WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK .....	973,477	973,477
019	SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	14,120	14,120
020	JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY .....	7,869	7,869
021	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM) .....	5,296	5,296
	<b>COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
022	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS .....	147,212	147,212
023	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS .....	7,998	7,998
024	SHF TERM .....	7,232	7,232
025	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	3,308	3,308
026	SMART-T (SPACE) .....	13,992	13,992
028	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC—GBS .....	28,206	28,206
029	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT) .....	2,778	2,778
	<b>COMM—C3 SYSTEM</b>		
031	ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS) .....	17,590	17,590
	<b>COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
032	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO) .....	786	786
033	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM .....	382,930	382,930
034	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNV) .....	19,200	19,200
035	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2) .....	1,438	1,438
036	SINGGARS FAMILY .....	9,856	9,856
037	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2 .....	14,184	14,184
038	TRACTOR DESK .....	6,271	6,271
040	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS .....	1,030	1,030
041	TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM .....	31,868	31,868
042	UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE .....	18,000	18,000
044	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY .....	1,166	1,166
045	FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE .....	22,867	22,867
	<b>COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM</b>		
048	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE .....	1,512	1,512
049	ARMY CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT .....	61,096	61,096
	<b>INFORMATION SECURITY</b>		
050	TSEC—ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS) .....	13,890	13,890
051	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP .....	23,245	23,245
052	BIOMETRICS ENTERPRISE .....	3,800	3,800
053	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) .....	24,711	24,711
	<b>COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
055	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS .....	43,395	43,395
	<b>COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
057	INFORMATION SYSTEMS .....	104,577	104,577
058	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS) .....	612	612
059	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	39,000	39,000
060	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM .....	248,477	248,477
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)</b>		
064	JTT/CIBS-M .....	824	824
065	PROPHET GROUND .....	59,198	59,198
067	DCGS-A (MIP) .....	267,214	267,214
068	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS) .....	9,899	9,899
069	TROJAN (MIP) .....	24,598	24,598
070	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP) .....	1,927	1,927
071	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS) .....	6,169	6,169
072	MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM-M .....	2,924	2,924
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)</b>		
074	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR .....	40,735	40,735
075	EW PLANNING & MANAGEMENT TOOLS (EWPMT) .....	13	13
076	ENEMY UAS .....	2,800	2,800
079	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES .....	1,237	1,237
080	CI MODERNIZATION .....	1,399	1,399
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)</b>		
082	SENTINEL MODS .....	47,983	47,983

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
083	SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW) .....	142	142
084	NIGHT VISION DEVICES .....	202,428	202,428
085	LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	5,183	5,183
086	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT .....	14,074	14,074
087	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF .....	22,300	22,300
089	GREEN LASER INTERDICTION SYSTEM (GLIS) .....	1,016	1,016
090	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS .....	55,354	55,354
091	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP .....	800	800
092	PROFILER .....	3,027	3,027
093	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS) .....	1,185	1,185
094	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM (JBC-P) .....	103,214	103,214
096	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR) .....	26,037	26,037
097	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM .....	23,100	23,100
098	COUNTERFIRE RADARS .....	312,727	312,727
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS</b>		
101	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY .....	43,228	43,228
102	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	14,446	14,446
103	FAAD C2 .....	4,607	4,607
104	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS .....	33,090	33,090
105	IAMD BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM .....	21,200	21,200
107	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS) .....	1,795	1,795
109	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE .....	54,327	54,327
110	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) .....	59,171	59,171
111	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM—ARMY (GCSS-A) .....	83,936	83,936
113	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION .....	25,476	25,476
114	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET .....	19,341	19,341
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION</b>		
115	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION .....	11,865	11,865
116	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP .....	219,431	219,431
117	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEMS FAM .....	6,414	6,414
118	HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PGM (HPCMP) .....	62,683	62,683
120	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS) .....	34,951	34,951
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)</b>		
121	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V) .....	7,440	7,440
122	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT) .....	1,615	1,615
	<b>ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT</b>		
123	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E) .....	554	554
124	BCT EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES .....	20,000	20,000
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
124A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	3,558	3,558
	<b>CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT</b>		
126	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE) .....	762	762
127	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS) .....	20,630	20,630
128	CBRN DEFENSE .....	22,151	22,151
	<b>BRIDGING EQUIPMENT</b>		
130	TACTICAL BRIDGING .....	14,188	14,188
131	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON .....	23,101	23,101
132	COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER (CBT) RECAP .....	15,416	15,416
	<b>ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT</b>		
134	GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTN SYSM (GSTAMIDS) .....	50,465	50,465
135	ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM (RCSS) .....	6,490	6,490
136	EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION .....	1,563	1,563
137	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT) .....	20,921	20,921
138	REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS .....	100	100
139	< \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT .....	2,271	2,271
	<b>COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
140	HEATERS AND ECU'S .....	7,269	7,269
141	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES .....	200	200
142	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT .....	1,468	1,468
143	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS) .....	26,526	26,526
144	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM .....	81,680	71,680
	Unjustified unit cost growth .....		[-10,000]
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT .....	28,096	28,096
148	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM .....	56,150	56,150
149	MORTUARY AFFAIRS SYSTEMS .....	3,242	3,242
150	FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS .....	38,141	38,141
151	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT) .....	5,859	5,859
	<b>PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT</b>		
152	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER .....	60,612	60,612
	<b>MEDICAL EQUIPMENT</b>		
153	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL .....	22,042	22,042
154	MEDEVAC MISSION EQUIPMENT PACKAGE (MEP) .....	35,318	35,318
	<b>MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT</b>		
155	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS .....	19,427	19,427
156	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ) .....	3,860	3,860
	<b>CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT</b>		
157	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE) .....	2,000	2,000
159	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING .....	36,078	36,078
160	MISSION MODULES—ENGINEERING .....	9,721	9,721
162	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR .....	50,122	50,122
163	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED .....	28,828	28,828
164	ALL TERRAIN CRANES .....	19,863	19,863

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
166	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE) .....	23,465	23,465
168	ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP .....	13,590	13,590
169	CONST EQUIP ESP .....	16,088	16,088
170	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP) .....	6,850	6,850
	<b>RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT</b>		
171	ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP .....	38,007	19,007
	Funding ahead of need .....		[-19,000]
172	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL) .....	10,605	10,605
	<b>GENERATORS</b>		
173	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP .....	129,437	129,437
	<b>MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT</b>		
174	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (RTCH) .....	1,250	1,250
175	FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS .....	8,260	8,260
	<b>TRAINING EQUIPMENT</b>		
176	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT .....	121,710	121,710
177	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM .....	225,200	225,200
178	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER .....	30,063	30,063
179	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER .....	34,913	34,913
180	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING .....	9,955	9,955
	<b>TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)</b>		
181	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT .....	8,241	8,241
182	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE) .....	67,506	67,506
183	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD) .....	18,755	18,755
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
184	M25 STABILIZED BINOCULAR .....	5,110	5,110
185	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	5,110	5,110
186	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3) .....	62,904	62,904
187	BASE LEVEL COMMON EQUIPMENT .....	1,427	1,427
188	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3) .....	96,661	96,661
189	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH) .....	2,450	2,450
190	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING .....	11,593	11,593
191	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3 .....	8,948	8,948
192	TRACTOR YARD .....	8,000	8,000
	<b>OPA2</b>		
195	INITIAL SPARES—C&E .....	59,700	59,700
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b> .....	<b>6,465,218</b>	<b>6,410,918</b>
	<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>		
	<b>COMBAT AIRCRAFT</b>		
001	EA-18G .....	2,001,787	1,956,787
	Program adjustment .....		[-45,000]
003	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET .....	206,551	206,551
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....		75,000
	Program increase .....		[75,000]
005	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV .....	1,135,444	1,135,444
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	94,766	94,766
007	JSF STOVL .....	1,267,260	1,267,260
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	103,195	103,195
009	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) .....	1,432,573	1,432,573
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	55,196	55,196
011	H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) .....	749,962	749,962
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	71,000	71,000
013	MH-60S (MYP) .....	383,831	383,831
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	37,278	37,278
015	MH-60R (MYP) .....	599,237	599,237
016	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	231,834	231,834
017	P-8A POSEIDON .....	3,189,989	3,189,989
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	313,160	313,160
019	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE .....	997,107	962,107
	Unjustified CRI Funding .....		[-35,000]
020	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	266,542	266,542
	<b>TRAINER AIRCRAFT</b>		
021	JPATS .....	249,080	249,080
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>		
022	KC-130J .....	134,358	134,358
023	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	32,288	32,288
025	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	52,002	52,002
026	MQ-8 UAV .....	60,980	60,980
028	OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT .....	14,958	14,958
	<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>		
029	EA-6 SERIES .....	18,577	18,577
030	AEA SYSTEMS .....	48,502	48,502
031	AV-8 SERIES .....	41,575	41,575
032	ADVERSARY .....	2,992	2,992
033	F-18 SERIES .....	875,371	875,371
034	H-46 SERIES .....	2,127	2,127
036	H-53 SERIES .....	67,675	67,675
037	SH-60 SERIES .....	135,054	135,054
038	H-1 SERIES .....	41,706	41,706
039	EP-3 SERIES .....	55,903	77,903
	12th Aircraft Spiral 3 Upgrade .....		[8,000]
	Multi-INT Sensor Kits & Installation .....		[14,000]



**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

<b>Line</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>House Authorized</b>
040	P-3 SERIES .....	37,436	37,436
041	E-2 SERIES .....	31,044	31,044
042	TRAINER A/C SERIES .....	43,720	43,720
043	C-2A .....	902	902
044	C-130 SERIES .....	47,587	47,587
045	FEWSG .....	665	665
046	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES .....	14,587	14,587
047	E-6 SERIES .....	189,312	189,312
048	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES .....	85,537	85,537
049	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT .....	3,684	16,684
	Engineering and Technical Services Support .....		[8,000]
	Multi-INT Sensor Kits & Installation .....		[5,000]
050	T-45 SERIES .....	98,128	98,128
051	POWER PLANT CHANGES .....	22,999	22,999
052	JPATS SERIES .....	1,576	1,576
053	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT MODS .....	6,267	6,267
054	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT .....	141,685	141,685
055	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES .....	120,660	120,660
056	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM .....	3,554	3,554
057	ID SYSTEMS .....	41,800	41,800
058	P-8 SERIES .....	9,485	9,485
059	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION .....	14,431	14,431
060	MQ-8 SERIES .....	1,001	1,001
061	RQ-7 SERIES .....	26,433	26,433
062	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY .....	160,834	160,834
063	F-35 STOVL SERIES .....	147,130	147,130
064	F-35 CV SERIES .....	31,100	31,100
	<b>AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
065	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	1,142,461	1,142,461
	<b>AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP &amp; FACILITIES</b>		
066	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT .....	410,044	410,044
067	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	27,450	27,450
068	WAR CONSUMABLES .....	28,930	28,930
069	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES .....	5,268	5,268
070	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	60,306	60,306
071	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....	1,775	1,775
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....</b>	<b>17,927,651</b>	<b>17,957,651</b>
	<b>WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>		
	<b>MODIFICATION OF MISSILES</b>		
001	TRIDENT II MODS .....	1,140,865	1,126,765
	Equipment related to New START treaty implementation .....		[-14,100]
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>		
002	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	7,617	7,617
	<b>STRATEGIC MISSILES</b>		
003	TOMAHAWK .....	312,456	312,456
	<b>TACTICAL MISSILES</b>		
004	AMRAAM .....	95,413	95,413
005	SIDEWINDER .....	117,208	117,208
006	JSOW .....	136,794	136,794
007	STANDARD MISSILE .....	367,985	367,985
008	RAM .....	67,596	67,596
009	HELLFIRE .....	33,916	33,916
010	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM) .....	6,278	6,278
011	AERIAL TARGETS .....	41,799	41,799
012	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT .....	3,538	3,538
	<b>MODIFICATION OF MISSILES</b>		
013	ESSM .....	76,749	76,749
014	HARM MODS .....	111,902	111,902
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT &amp; FACILITIES</b>		
015	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	1,138	1,138
016	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON .....	23,014	23,014
	<b>ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
017	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	84,318	84,318
	<b>TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP</b>		
018	SSTD .....	3,978	3,978
019	ASW TARGETS .....	8,031	8,031
	<b>MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP</b>		
020	MK-54 TORPEDO MODS .....	125,898	125,898
021	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS .....	53,203	53,203
022	QUICKSTRIKE MINE .....	7,800	7,800
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
023	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	59,730	59,730
024	ASW RANGE SUPPORT .....	4,222	4,222
	<b>DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION</b>		
025	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....	3,963	3,963
	<b>GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS</b>		
026	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS .....	12,513	12,513
	<b>MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS</b>		
027	CIWS MODS .....	56,308	56,308
028	COAST GUARD WEAPONS .....	10,727	10,727
029	GUN MOUNT MODS .....	72,901	72,901

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
030	CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS .....	1,943	1,943
031	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS .....	19,758	19,758
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
033	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	52,632	52,632
	<b>TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....</b>	<b>3,122,193</b>	<b>3,108,093</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC</b>		
	<b>NAVY AMMUNITION</b>		
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....	37,703	37,703
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....	65,411	65,411
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION .....	20,284	20,284
004	PRACTICE BOMBS .....	37,870	37,870
005	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES .....	53,764	53,764
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES .....	67,194	67,194
007	JATOS .....	2,749	2,749
008	LRLAP 6" LONG RANGE ATTACK PROJECTILE .....	3,906	3,906
009	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION .....	24,151	24,151
010	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION .....	33,080	33,080
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION .....	40,398	40,398
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO .....	61,219	61,219
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION .....	10,637	10,637
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	4,578	4,578
	<b>MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION</b>		
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION .....	26,297	26,297
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES .....	6,088	6,088
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES .....	7,644	7,644
018	60MM, ALL TYPES .....	3,349	3,349
020	120MM, ALL TYPES .....	13,361	13,361
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....	2,149	2,149
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....	27,465	27,465
026	FUZE, ALL TYPES .....	26,366	26,366
028	AMMO MODERNIZATION .....	8,403	8,403
029	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	5,201	5,201
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC .....</b>	<b>589,267</b>	<b>589,267</b>
	<b>SHIPBUILDING &amp; CONVERSION, NAVY</b>		
	<b>OTHER WARSHIPS</b>		
001	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM .....	944,866	944,866
003	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE .....	2,930,704	3,422,704
	Increase to Virginia class .....		[492,000]
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	2,354,612	2,354,612
005	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS .....	1,705,424	1,705,424
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	245,793	245,793
007	DDG 1000 .....	231,694	310,994
	Increase to DDG 1000 .....		[79,300]
008	DDG-51 .....	1,615,564	1,615,564
009	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	388,551	388,551
010	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP .....	1,793,014	1,793,014
	<b>AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS</b>		
012	AFLOAT FORWARD STAGING BASE .....	524,000	524,000
014	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL .....	2,732	2,732
	<b>AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YR PROGRAM COST</b>		
016	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	183,900	183,900
017	OUTFITTING .....	450,163	450,163
019	LCAC SLEP .....	80,987	80,987
020	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS .....	625,800	988,800
	DDG-51 .....		[332,000]
	Joint High Speed Vessel .....		[7,600]
	MTS .....		[23,400]
	<b>TOTAL SHIPBUILDING &amp; CONVERSION, NAVY .....</b>	<b>14,077,804</b>	<b>15,012,104</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>		
	<b>SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT</b>		
001	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE .....	10,180	10,180
002	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE .....	5,536	5,536
003	HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED) .....	16,956	16,956
	<b>GENERATORS</b>		
004	SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E .....	19,782	19,782
	<b>NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT</b>		
005	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT .....	39,509	39,509
	<b>PERISCOPES</b>		
006	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP .....	52,515	52,515
	<b>OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT</b>		
007	DDG MOD .....	285,994	285,994
008	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT .....	14,389	14,389
009	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD .....	2,436	2,436
010	LHA/LHD MIDLIFE .....	12,700	12,700
011	LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE PROGRAM .....	40,329	40,329
012	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT .....	19,603	19,603
013	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	8,678	8,678
014	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	74,209	74,209
015	LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	47,078	47,078

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>House Authorized</i>
016	SUBMARINE BATTERIES .....	37,000	37,000
017	LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	25,053	25,053
018	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP .....	12,986	12,986
019	DSSP EQUIPMENT .....	2,455	2,455
020	CG MODERNIZATION .....	10,539	10,539
021	LCAC .....	14,431	14,431
022	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS .....	36,700	36,700
023	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	119,902	119,902
024	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS .....	3,678	3,678
025	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	8,292	8,292
	<b>REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT</b>		
027	REACTOR COMPONENTS .....	286,744	286,744
	<b>OCEAN ENGINEERING</b>		
028	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT .....	8,780	8,780
	<b>SMALL BOATS</b>		
029	STANDARD BOATS .....	36,452	36,452
	<b>TRAINING EQUIPMENT</b>		
030	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....	36,145	36,145
	<b>PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT</b>		
031	OPERATING FORCES IPE .....	69,368	69,368
	<b>OTHER SHIP SUPPORT</b>		
032	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS .....	106,328	106,328
033	LCS COMMON MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT .....	45,966	45,966
034	LCS MCM MISSION MODULES .....	59,885	59,885
035	LCS SUW MISSION MODULES .....	37,168	37,168
	<b>LOGISTIC SUPPORT</b>		
036	LSD MIDLIFE .....	77,974	77,974
	<b>SHIP SONARS</b>		
038	SPQ-9B RADAR .....	27,934	27,934
039	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM .....	83,231	83,231
040	SSN ACOUSTICS .....	199,438	199,438
041	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	9,394	9,394
042	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS .....	12,953	12,953
043	ELECTRONIC WARFARE MILDEC .....	8,958	8,958
	<b>ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
044	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM .....	24,077	24,077
045	SSTD .....	11,925	11,925
046	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	94,338	94,338
047	SURTASS .....	9,680	9,680
048	MARITIME PATROL AND RECONNAISSANCE FORCE .....	18,130	18,130
	<b>ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT</b>		
049	AN/SLQ-32 .....	203,375	203,375
	<b>RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT</b>		
050	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT .....	123,656	123,656
051	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS) .....	896	896
	<b>SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT</b>		
052	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG .....	49,475	49,475
	<b>OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
053	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY .....	34,692	34,692
054	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS) .....	396	396
055	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS) .....	15,703	15,703
056	ATDLS .....	3,836	3,836
057	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS) .....	7,201	7,201
058	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT .....	54,400	54,400
059	SHALLOW WATER MCM .....	8,548	8,548
060	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE) .....	11,765	11,765
061	AMERICAN FORCES RADIO AND TV SERVICE .....	6,483	6,483
062	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP .....	7,631	7,631
	<b>TRAINING EQUIPMENT</b>		
063	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....	53,644	53,644
	<b>AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
064	MATCALs .....	7,461	7,461
065	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....	9,140	9,140
066	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM .....	20,798	20,798
067	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM .....	19,754	19,754
068	FLEET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	8,909	8,909
069	LANDING SYSTEMS .....	13,554	13,554
070	ID SYSTEMS .....	38,934	38,934
071	NAVAL MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS .....	14,131	14,131
	<b>OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
072	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND & CONTROL .....	3,249	3,249
073	MARITIME INTEGRATED BROADCAST SYSTEM .....	11,646	11,646
074	TACTICAL/MOBILE C4I SYSTEMS .....	18,189	18,189
075	DCGS-N .....	17,350	17,350
076	CANES .....	340,567	340,567
077	RADIAC .....	9,835	9,835
078	CANES-INTELL .....	59,652	59,652
079	GPETE .....	6,253	6,253
080	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY .....	4,963	4,963
081	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION .....	4,664	4,664
082	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	66,889	66,889
	<b>SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS</b>		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
084	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION .....	23,877	23,877
086	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M .....	28,001	28,001
	<b>SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
087	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT .....	7,856	7,856
088	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT .....	74,376	74,376
	<b>SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
089	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	27,381	27,381
090	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT) .....	215,952	215,952
	<b>SHORE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
091	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT .....	4,463	4,463
092	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS .....	778	778
	<b>CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
094	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) .....	133,530	133,530
095	MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM .....	1,000	1,000
	<b>CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT</b>		
096	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP .....	12,251	12,251
	<b>OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT</b>		
097	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT .....	2,893	2,893
	<b>SONOBUOYS</b>		
099	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES .....	179,927	179,927
	<b>AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
100	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	55,279	55,279
101	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS .....	8,792	8,792
102	AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT .....	11,364	11,364
103	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT .....	59,502	59,502
104	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT .....	19,118	19,118
105	DCRS/DPL .....	1,425	1,425
106	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT .....	29,670	29,670
107	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES .....	101,554	101,554
108	LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT .....	18,293	18,293
109	PORTABLE ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AIDS .....	7,969	7,969
110	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	5,215	5,215
111	AUTONOMIC LOGISTICS INFORMATION SYSTEM (ALIS) .....	4,827	4,827
	<b>SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT</b>		
112	NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM .....	1,188	1,188
113	GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT .....	4,447	4,447
	<b>SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT</b>		
114	NATO SEASPARROW .....	58,368	58,368
115	RAM GMLS .....	491	491
116	SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM .....	51,858	51,858
117	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	59,757	59,757
118	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	71,559	71,559
119	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS .....	626	626
120	MARITIME INTEGRATED PLANNING SYSTEM-MIPS .....	2,779	2,779
	<b>FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
121	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP .....	224,484	198,565
	New START treaty implementation .....		[-25,919]
	<b>ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
122	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	85,678	85,678
123	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	3,913	3,913
124	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	3,909	3,909
125	ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	28,694	28,694
	<b>OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
126	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP .....	46,586	46,586
127	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	11,933	11,933
	<b>OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE</b>		
128	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM .....	62,361	62,361
129	SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS .....	41,813	41,813
130	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS .....	26,672	26,672
	<b>CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
131	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES .....	5,600	5,600
132	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS .....	3,717	3,717
133	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP .....	10,881	10,881
134	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT .....	14,748	14,748
135	TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	5,540	5,540
136	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT .....	5,741	5,741
137	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT .....	3,852	3,852
138	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION .....	25,757	25,757
139	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES .....	1,182	1,182
	<b>SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
140	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT .....	14,250	14,250
141	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	6,401	6,401
142	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....	5,718	5,718
143	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS .....	22,597	22,597
	<b>TRAINING DEVICES</b>		
144	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	22,527	22,527
	<b>COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
145	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	50,428	50,428
146	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	2,292	2,292
147	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	4,925	4,925
149	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	3,202	3,202
151	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	24,294	24,294

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>House Authorized</i>
152	C4ISR EQUIPMENT .....	4,287	4,287
153	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	18,276	18,276
154	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	134,495	134,495
155	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY .....	324,327	324,327
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
156A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	12,140	12,140
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
157	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	317,234	316,959
	New START treaty implementation .....		[-275]
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b> .....	<b>6,310,257</b>	<b>6,284,063</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS</b>		
	<b>TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b>		
001	AAV7A1 PIP .....	32,360	32,360
002	LAV PIP .....	6,003	6,003
	<b>ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS</b>		
003	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	589	589
004	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER .....	3,655	3,655
005	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM .....	5,467	5,467
006	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION .....	20,354	20,354
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
007	MODIFICATION KITS .....	38,446	38,446
008	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM .....	4,734	4,734
	<b>GUIDED MISSILES</b>		
009	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE .....	15,713	15,713
010	JAVELIN .....	36,175	36,175
012	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H) .....	1,136	1,136
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
013	MODIFICATION KITS .....	33,976	33,976
	<b>COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS</b>		
014	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER .....	16,273	16,273
	<b>REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT</b>		
015	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT .....	41,063	41,063
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)</b>		
016	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	2,930	2,930
	<b>COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)</b>		
018	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) .....	1,637	1,637
019	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS .....	18,394	18,394
	<b>RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b>		
020	RADAR SYSTEMS .....	114,051	114,051
021	RQ-21 UAS .....	66,612	66,612
	<b>INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b>		
022	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	3,749	3,749
023	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	75,979	75,979
026	RQ-11 UAV .....	1,653	1,653
027	DCGS-MC .....	9,494	9,494
	<b>OTHER COMMELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b>		
028	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT .....	6,171	6,171
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)</b>		
029	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES .....	121,955	121,955
030	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS .....	83,294	83,294
031	RADIO SYSTEMS .....	74,718	74,718
032	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	47,613	47,613
033	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT .....	19,573	19,573
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
033A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	5,659	5,659
	<b>ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES</b>		
034	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES .....	1,039	1,039
035	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES .....	31,050	31,050
	<b>TACTICAL VEHICLES</b>		
036	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP) .....	36,333	36,333
037	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS .....	3,137	3,137
040	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS .....	27,385	27,385
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
041	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	7,016	7,016
	<b>ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT</b>		
042	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT .....	14,377	14,377
043	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT .....	24,864	24,864
044	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS .....	21,592	21,592
045	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED .....	61,353	61,353
046	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	4,827	4,827
047	EOD SYSTEMS .....	40,011	40,011
	<b>MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT</b>		
048	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	16,809	16,809
049	GARRISON MOBILE ENGINEER EQUIPMENT (GMEE) .....	3,408	3,408
050	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP .....	48,549	48,549
051	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....	190	190
	<b>GENERAL PROPERTY</b>		
052	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT .....	23,129	23,129
053	TRAINING DEVICES .....	8,346	8,346
054	CONTAINER FAMILY .....	1,857	1,857
055	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT .....	36,198	36,198

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
056	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN .....	2,390	2,390
	<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>		
057	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	6,525	6,525
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
058	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	13,700	13,700
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS</b> .....	<b>1,343,511</b>	<b>1,343,511</b>
	<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>TACTICAL FORCES</b>		
001	F-35 .....	3,060,770	3,060,770
002	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	363,783	363,783
	<b>OTHER AIRLIFT</b>		
005	C-130J .....	537,517	537,517
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	162,000	162,000
007	HC-130J .....	132,121	132,121
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	88,000	88,000
009	MC-130J .....	389,434	389,434
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	104,000	104,000
	<b>HELICOPTERS</b>		
015	CV-22 (MYP) .....	230,798	230,798
	<b>MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT</b>		
017	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C .....	2,541	2,541
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>		
020	TARGET DRONES .....	138,669	138,669
022	AC-130J .....	470,019	470,019
024	RQ-4 .....	27,000	27,000
027	MQ-9 .....	272,217	352,217
	Program increase .....		[80,000]
028	RQ-4 BLOCK 40 PROC .....	1,747	1,747
	<b>STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT</b>		
029	B-2A .....	20,019	20,019
030	B-1B .....	132,222	132,222
031	B-52 .....	111,002	110,502
	B-52 conversions related to New START treaty implementation .....		[-500]
032	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES .....	27,197	27,197
	<b>TACTICAL AIRCRAFT</b>		
033	A-10 .....	47,598	47,598
034	F-15 .....	354,624	354,624
035	F-16 .....	11,794	11,794
036	F-22A .....	285,830	285,830
037	F-35 MODIFICATIONS .....	157,777	157,777
	<b>AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT</b>		
038	C-5 .....	2,456	2,456
039	C-5M .....	1,021,967	1,021,967
042	C-17A .....	143,197	143,197
043	C-21 .....	103	103
044	C-32A .....	9,780	9,780
045	C-37A .....	452	452
046	C-130 AMP .....		47,300
	LRIP Kit Procurement .....		[47,300]
	<b>TRAINER AIRCRAFT</b>		
047	GLIDER MODS .....	128	128
048	T-6 .....	6,427	6,427
049	T-1 .....	277	277
050	T-38 .....	28,686	28,686
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>		
052	U-2 MODS .....	45,591	45,591
053	KC-10A (ATCA) .....	70,918	70,918
054	C-12 .....	1,876	1,876
055	MC-12W .....	5,000	5,000
056	C-20 MODS .....	192	192
057	VC-25A MOD .....	263	263
058	C-40 .....	6,119	6,119
059	C-130 .....	58,577	74,277
	C-130H Propulsion System Engine Upgrades .....		[15,700]
061	C-130J MODS .....	10,475	10,475
062	C-135 .....	46,556	46,556
063	COMPASS CALL MODS .....	34,494	34,494
064	RC-135 .....	171,813	171,813
065	E-3 .....	197,087	197,087
066	E-4 .....	14,304	14,304
067	E-8 .....	57,472	57,472
068	H-1 .....	6,627	6,627
069	H-60 .....	27,654	27,654
070	RQ-4 MODS .....	9,313	9,313
071	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS .....	16,300	16,300
072	OTHER AIRCRAFT .....	6,948	6,948
073	MQ-1 MODS .....	9,734	9,734
074	MQ-9 MODS .....	102,970	102,970
076	RQ-4 GSRA/CSRA MODS .....	30,000	30,000
077	CV-22 MODS .....	23,310	23,310
	<b>AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
078	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS .....	463,285	639,285
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall .....		[165,000]
	MQ-9 spares .....		[11,000]
	<b>COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
079	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP .....	49,140	49,140
	<b>POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT</b>		
081	B-1 .....	3,683	3,683
083	B-2A .....	43,786	43,786
084	B-52 .....	7,000	7,000
087	C-17A .....	81,952	81,952
089	C-135 .....	8,597	8,597
090	F-15 .....	2,403	2,403
091	F-16 .....	3,455	3,455
092	F-22A .....	5,911	5,911
	<b>INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS</b>		
094	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS .....	21,148	21,148
	<b>WAR CONSUMABLES</b>		
095	WAR CONSUMABLES .....	94,947	94,947
	<b>OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES</b>		
096	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES .....	1,242,004	1,242,004
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
101A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	75,845	67,545
	Program Decrease .....		[-8,300]
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>11,398,901</b>	<b>11,709,101</b>
	<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC</b>		
001	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC .....	39,104	39,104
	<b>TACTICAL</b>		
002	JASSM .....	291,151	291,151
003	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X) .....	119,904	119,904
004	AMRAAM .....	340,015	340,015
005	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE .....	48,548	48,548
006	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB .....	42,347	42,347
	<b>INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES</b>		
007	INDUSTR'L PREPAREDNS/POL PREVENTION .....	752	752
	<b>CLASS IV</b>		
009	MM III MODIFICATIONS .....	21,635	21,635
010	AGM-65D MAVERICK .....	276	276
011	AGM-88A HARM .....	580	580
012	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) .....	6,888	6,888
013	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB .....	5,000	5,000
	<b>MISSILE SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
014	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS .....	72,080	71,377
	Spares and repair parts related to New START treaty implementation .....		[-703]
	<b>SPACE PROGRAMS</b>		
015	ADVANCED EHF .....	379,586	379,586
016	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES(SPACE) .....	38,398	38,398
017	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	403,431	403,431
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....	74,167	74,167
019	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) .....	5,244	5,244
020	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) .....	55,997	55,997
021	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE) .....	95,673	95,673
022	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE) .....	1,852,900	1,852,900
023	SBIR HIGH (SPACE) .....	583,192	583,192
	<b>SPECIAL PROGRAMS</b>		
029	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS .....	36,716	36,716
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
029A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	829,702	829,702
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>5,343,286</b>	<b>5,342,583</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>ROCKETS</b>		
001	ROCKETS .....	15,735	15,735
	<b>CARTRIDGES</b>		
002	CARTRIDGES .....	129,921	129,921
	<b>BOMBS</b>		
003	PRACTICE BOMBS .....	30,840	30,840
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....	187,397	187,397
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	188,510	188,510
	<b>OTHER ITEMS</b>		
006	CAD/PAD .....	35,837	35,837
007	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD) .....	7,531	7,531
008	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	499	499
009	MODIFICATIONS .....	480	480
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	9,765	9,765
	<b>FLARES</b>		
011	FLARES .....	55,864	55,864
	<b>FUZES</b>		
013	FUZES .....	76,037	76,037
	<b>SMALL ARMS</b>		
014	SMALL ARMS .....	21,026	21,026

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b>	<b>759,442</b>	<b>759,442</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES</b>		
001	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	2,048	2,048
	<b>CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES</b>		
002	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE	8,019	8,019
003	CAP VEHICLES	946	946
004	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	7,138	7,138
	<b>SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES</b>		
005	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES	13,093	13,093
006	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	13,983	13,983
	<b>FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT</b>		
007	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES	23,794	23,794
	<b>MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT</b>		
008	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	8,669	8,669
	<b>BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT</b>		
009	RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP	6,144	6,144
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	1,580	1,580
	<b>COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT (COMSEC)</b>		
012	COMSEC EQUIPMENT	149,661	149,661
013	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)	726	726
	<b>INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS</b>		
014	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT	2,789	2,789
015	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT	31,875	31,875
016	ADVANCE TECH SENSORS	452	452
017	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	14,203	14,203
	<b>ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS</b>		
018	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS	46,232	46,232
019	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM	11,685	11,685
020	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED	19,248	19,248
021	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMENTS	19,292	19,292
022	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST	17,166	17,166
023	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL	22,723	22,723
024	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX	27,930	27,930
025	TAC SIGNIT SPT	217	217
	<b>SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS</b>		
027	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	49,627	49,627
028	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS	13,559	13,559
029	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL	11,186	11,186
030	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM	43,238	43,238
031	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	10,431	10,431
032	C3 COUNTERMEASURES	13,769	13,769
033	GCSS-AF FOS	19,138	19,138
034	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM	8,809	8,809
035	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYS	26,935	26,935
	<b>AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
036	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS	80,558	80,558
038	AFNET	97,588	97,588
039	VOICE SYSTEMS	8,419	8,419
040	USCENTCOM	34,276	34,276
	<b>SPACE PROGRAMS</b>		
041	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM SPACE	28,235	28,235
042	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE	2,061	2,061
043	NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE	4,415	4,415
044	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE	30,237	30,237
045	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	98,062	98,062
046	MILSATCOM SPACE	105,935	105,935
047	SPACE MODS SPACE	37,861	37,861
048	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	7,171	7,171
	<b>ORGANIZATION AND BASE</b>		
049	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	83,537	83,537
050	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATER	11,884	11,884
051	RADIO EQUIPMENT	14,711	14,711
052	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT	10,275	10,275
053	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	50,907	50,907
	<b>MODIFICATIONS</b>		
054	COMM ELECT MODS	55,701	55,701
	<b>PERSONAL SAFETY &amp; RESCUE EQUIP</b>		
055	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	14,524	14,524
056	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	28,655	28,655
	<b>DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ</b>		
057	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	9,332	9,332
	<b>BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
058	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	16,762	16,762
059	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	33,768	33,768
060	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT	2,495	2,495
061	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	12,859	12,859
062	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	1,954	1,954
	<b>SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS</b>		
064	DARP RC135	24,528	24,528
065	DCGS-AF	137,819	137,819



**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>House Authorized</b>
067	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM .....	479,586	479,586
068	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG. ....	45,159	45,159
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
068A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	14,519,256	14,519,256
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>		
069	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	25,746	25,746
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>16,760,581</b>	<b>16,760,581</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD</b>		
038	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD .....	37,345	37,345
039	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE .....	16,678	16,678
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA</b>		
037	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) .....	14,363	14,363
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS</b>		
041	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS .....	35,259	35,259
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA</b>		
008	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY .....	16,189	16,189
011	TELEPORT PROGRAM .....	66,075	66,075
012	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	83,881	83,881
013	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) .....	2,572	2,572
014	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK .....	125,557	125,557
016	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	16,941	16,941
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA</b>		
017	MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	13,137	13,137
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DSS</b>		
021	MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	5,020	5,020
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA</b>		
001	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	1,291	1,291
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS</b>		
040	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS .....	14,792	14,792
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY</b>		
025	THAAD .....	581,005	581,005
026	AEGIS BMD .....	580,814	580,814
027	BMDs AN/TPY-2 RADARS .....	62,000	62,000
028	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III .....	131,400	131,400
030	IRON DOME .....	220,309	220,309
032	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....		107,000
	Advanced Procurement of 14 GBIs, beginning with booster motor sets .....		[107,000]
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA</b>		
003	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION .....	47,201	47,201
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY</b>		
022	VEHICLES .....	100	100
023	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	13,395	13,395
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY</b>		
020	EQUIPMENT .....	978	978
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA</b>		
019	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS .....	1,454	1,454
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA</b>		
002	MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	5,711	5,711
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT</b>		
018	MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	15,414	15,414
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
041A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	544,272	544,272
	<b>AVIATION PROGRAMS</b>		
043	ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT .....	112,456	112,456
044	MH-60 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	81,457	81,457
045	NON-STANDARD AVIATION .....	2,650	2,650
046	U-28 .....	56,208	56,208
047	MH-47 CHINOOK .....	19,766	19,766
048	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....	850	850
049	CV-22 MODIFICATION .....	98,927	98,927
050	MQ-1 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....	20,576	20,576
051	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....	1,893	1,893
053	STUASLO .....	13,166	13,166
054	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE .....	107,687	107,687
055	AC/MC-130J .....	51,870	51,870
057	C-130 MODIFICATIONS .....	71,940	71,940
	<b>SHIPBUILDING</b>		
059	UNDERWATER SYSTEMS .....	37,439	37,439
	<b>AMMUNITION PROGRAMS</b>		
061	ORDNANCE ITEMS <\$5M .....	159,029	159,029
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS</b>		
064	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS .....	79,819	79,819
066	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	14,906	14,906
068	OTHER ITEMS <\$5M .....	81,711	81,711
069	COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS .....	35,053	35,053
072	SPECIAL PROGRAMS .....	41,526	41,526
073	TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	43,353	43,353
074	WARRIOR SYSTEMS <\$5M .....	210,540	210,540
076	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS .....	20,000	20,000
080	GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES .....	6,645	6,645

**SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT**  
*(In Thousands of Dollars)*

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>House Authorized</i>
081	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE .....	25,581	25,581
087	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....	191,061	191,061
	<b>CBDP</b>		
089	INSTALLATION FORCE PROTECTION .....	14,271	14,271
090	INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION .....	101,667	101,667
092	JOINT BIO DEFENSE PROGRAM (MEDICAL) .....	13,447	13,447
093	COLLECTIVE PROTECTION .....	20,896	20,896
094	CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE .....	144,540	144,540
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>	<b>4,534,083</b>	<b>4,641,083</b>
	<b>JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b>		
	<b>JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b>		
001	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND .....	98,800	0
	Program reduction .....		[-98,800]
	<b>TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND .....</b>	<b>98,800</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT .....</b>	<b>98,227,168</b>	<b>99,666,171</b>

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
*(In Thousands of Dollars)*

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>House Authorized</i>
	<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>		
	<b>FIXED WING</b>		
001A	SATURN ARCH (MIP) .....	48,000	48,000
003	MQ-1 UAV .....	31,988	31,988
	<b>ROTARY</b>		
008	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIB NEW BUILD .....	142,000	142,000
010	KIOWA WARRIOR WRA .....	163,800	163,800
013	CH-47 HELICOPTER .....	386,000	386,000
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....</b>	<b>771,788</b>	<b>771,788</b>
	<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>		
	<b>SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM</b>		
002	MSE MISSILE .....		25,887
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[25,887]
	<b>AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM</b>		
003	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY .....	54,000	54,000
	<b>ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS</b>		
007	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS) .....	39,045	39,045
009A	ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS (ATACMS)—SYS SUM .....	35,600	35,600
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....</b>	<b>128,645</b>	<b>154,532</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY</b>		
	<b>MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH</b>		
033	M16 RIFLE MODS .....		15,422
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[15,422]
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY .....</b>		<b>15,422</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b>		
	<b>SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION</b>		
002	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES .....	4,400	4,400
004	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES .....	1,500	1,500
005	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES .....	5,000	10,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[5,000]
008	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES .....	60,000	60,000
	<b>MORTAR AMMUNITION</b>		
010	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....	5,000	5,000
	<b>ARTILLERY AMMUNITION</b>		
014	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES .....	10,000	30,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[20,000]
015	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES .....	10,000	10,000
016	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982 .....	11,000	11,000
	<b>MINES</b>		
018	MINES & CLEARING CHARGES, ALL TYPES .....		9,482
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[9,482]
	<b>ROCKETS</b>		
021	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES .....	57,000	57,000
	<b>OTHER AMMUNITION</b>		
022	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....	4,000	4,000
023	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....	3,000	3,000
024	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES .....	8,000	8,000
	<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>		
028	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES .....	2,000	2,000
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY .....</b>	<b>180,900</b>	<b>215,382</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>		

**SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>TACTICAL VEHICLES</b>			
003	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) .....		2,500
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[2,500]
005	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV) .....		2,050
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[2,050]
013	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS .....	321,040	562,596
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[241,556]
<b>COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS</b>			
060	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM .....	25,000	25,000
<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)</b>			
067	DCGS-A (MIP) .....	7,200	7,200
071	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS) .....	5,980	5,980
<b>ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)</b>			
074	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR .....	57,800	83,255
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[25,455]
078	FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIE .....	15,300	15,300
079	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES .....	4,221	4,221
<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)</b>			
091	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP .....	1,834	1,834
093	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS) .....		8,400
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[8,400]
096	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR) .....	21,000	21,000
098	COUNTERFIRE RADARS .....	85,830	85,830
<b>ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS</b>			
110	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) .....		3,200
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[3,200]
112	SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE) .....		5,160
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[5,160]
<b>CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT</b>			
126	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE) .....		15,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[15,000]
127	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS) .....		24,932
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[24,932]
<b>ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT</b>			
137	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT) .....		3,565
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[3,565]
<b>COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>			
146	FORCE PROVIDER .....	51,654	51,654
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT .....	6,264	6,264
<b>PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT</b>			
152	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER .....		2,119
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[2,119]
<b>TRAINING EQUIPMENT</b>			
176	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT .....		7,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming .....		[7,000]
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b> .....	<b>603,123</b>	<b>944,060</b>
<b>JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND</b>			
<b>NETWORK ATTACK</b>			
001	ATTACK THE NETWORK .....	417,700	417,700
<b>JIEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT</b>			
002	DEFEAT THE DEVICE .....	248,886	248,886
<b>FORCE TRAINING</b>			
003	TRAIN THE FORCE .....	106,000	106,000
<b>STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE</b>			
004	OPERATIONS .....	227,414	227,414
	<b>TOTAL JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND</b> .....	<b>1,000,000</b>	<b>1,000,000</b>
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>			
<b>COMBAT AIRCRAFT</b>			
011	H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) .....	29,520	29,520
<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>			
026	MQ-8 UAV .....	13,100	13,100
<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>			
031	AV-8 SERIES .....	57,652	57,652
033	F-18 SERIES .....	35,500	35,500
039	EP-3 SERIES .....	2,700	2,700
049	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT .....	3,375	3,375
054	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT .....	49,183	49,183
055	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES .....	4,190	4,190
059	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION .....	20,700	20,700
<b>AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>			
065	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	24,776	24,776
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b> .....	<b>240,696</b>	<b>240,696</b>
<b>WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>			
<b>TACTICAL MISSILES</b>			
009	HELLFIRE .....	27,000	27,000
009A	LASER MAVERICK .....	58,000	58,000
010	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM) .....	1,500	1,500
	<b>TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b> .....	<b>86,500</b>	<b>86,500</b>

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC</b>			
<b>NAVY AMMUNITION</b>			
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....	11,424	11,424
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....	30,332	30,332
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION .....	8,282	8,282
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES .....	31,884	31,884
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION .....	409	409
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO .....	11,976	11,976
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION .....	2,447	2,447
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	7,692	7,692
<b>MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION</b>			
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION .....	13,461	13,461
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES .....	3,310	3,310
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES .....	6,244	6,244
018	60MM, ALL TYPES .....	3,368	3,368
019	81MM, ALL TYPES .....	9,162	9,162
020	120MM, ALL TYPES .....	10,266	10,266
021	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES .....	1,887	1,887
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....	1,611	1,611
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES .....	37,459	37,459
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES .....	970	970
025	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....	418	418
026	FUZE, ALL TYPES .....	14,219	14,219
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MC .....</b>	<b>206,821</b>	<b>206,821</b>
<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>			
<b>CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>			
135	TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	17,968	17,968
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....</b>	<b>17,968</b>	<b>17,968</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS</b>			
<b>GUIDED MISSILES</b>			
010	JAVELIN .....	29,334	29,334
011	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW .....	105	105
<b>OTHER SUPPORT</b>			
013	MODIFICATION KITS .....	16,081	16,081
<b>REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT</b>			
015	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT .....	16,081	16,081
<b>OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)</b>			
017	MODIFICATION KITS .....	2,831	2,831
<b>COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)</b>			
018	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) .....	8,170	8,170
<b>INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)</b>			
023	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	2,700	2,700
026	RQ-11 UAV .....	2,830	2,830
<b>OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)</b>			
029	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES .....	4,866	4,866
030	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS .....	265	265
<b>ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT</b>			
042	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT .....	114	114
043	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT .....	523	523
044	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS .....	365	365
045	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED .....	2,004	2,004
047	EOD SYSTEMS .....	42,930	42,930
<b>GENERAL PROPERTY</b>			
055	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT .....	385	385
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS .....</b>	<b>129,584</b>	<b>129,584</b>
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>			
<b>STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT</b>			
032	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES .....	94,050	94,050
<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>			
052	U-2 MODS .....	11,300	11,300
059	C-130 .....	1,618	1,618
064	RC-135 .....	2,700	2,700
<b>COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>			
079	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP .....	6,000	6,000
	<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>115,668</b>	<b>115,668</b>
<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>			
<b>TACTICAL</b>			
005	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE .....	24,200	24,200
	<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>24,200</b>	<b>24,200</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b>			
<b>ROCKETS</b>			
001	ROCKETS .....	326	326
<b>CARTRIDGES</b>			
002	CARTRIDGES .....	17,634	17,634
<b>BOMBS</b>			
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....	37,514	37,514
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	84,459	84,459

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
	<b>FLARES</b>		
011	FLARES .....	14,973	14,973
012	FUZES .....	3,859	3,859
	<b>SMALL ARMS</b>		
014	SMALL ARMS .....	1,200	1,200
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>159,965</b>	<b>159,965</b>
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS</b>		
022	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST .....	1,800	1,800
	<b>SPACE PROGRAMS</b>		
046	MILSATCOM SPACE .....	5,695	5,695
	<b>BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>		
059	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....	60,600	60,600
061	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT .....	68,000	68,000
	<b>SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS</b>		
068	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG. ....	58,250	58,250
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
068A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	2,380,501	2,380,501
	<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>2,574,846</b>	<b>2,574,846</b>
	<b>PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		
	<b>MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA</b>		
011	TELEPORT PROGRAM .....	4,760	4,760
	<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>		
041A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	78,986	78,986
	<b>AMMUNITION PROGRAMS</b>		
060	ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT .....	2,841	2,841
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS</b>		
064	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS .....	13,300	13,300
082	SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS .....	8,034	8,034
087	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....	3,354	3,354
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b> .....	<b>111,275</b>	<b>111,275</b>
	<b>JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b>		
	<b>JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b>		
001	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND .....	15,000	0
	Program reduction .....		[-15,000]
	<b>TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b> .....	<b>15,000</b>	<b>-15,000</b>
	<b>NATIONAL GUARD &amp; RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b>		
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
999	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT .....		400,000
	Program increase .....		[400,000]
	<b>TOTAL NATIONAL GUARD &amp; RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b> .....		<b>400,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT</b> .....	<b>6,366,979</b>	<b>7,168,707</b>

TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
		<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, ARMY</b>		
		<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>		
001	0601101A	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH .....	21,803	21,803
002	0601102A	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	221,901	221,901
003	0601103A	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	79,359	79,359
004	0601104A	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS .....	113,662	113,662
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH</b> .....	<b>436,725</b>	<b>436,725</b>
		<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>		
005	0602105A	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY .....	26,585	26,585
006	0602120A	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY .....	43,170	43,170
007	0602122A	TRACTOR HIP .....	36,293	36,293
008	0602211A	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY .....	55,615	55,615
009	0602270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	17,585	17,585
010	0602303A	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY .....	51,528	51,528
011	0602307A	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	26,162	26,162
012	0602308A	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION .....	24,063	24,063
013	0602601A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY .....	64,589	64,589
014	0602618A	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY .....	68,300	68,300
015	0602622A	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY .....	4,490	4,490
016	0602623A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM .....	7,818	7,818
017	0602624A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	37,798	37,798

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
018	0602705A	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES .....	59,021	59,021
019	0602709A	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY .....	43,426	43,426
020	0602712A	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS .....	20,574	20,574
021	0602716A	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	21,339	21,339
022	0602720A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY .....	20,316	20,316
023	0602782A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	34,209	34,209
024	0602783A	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY .....	10,439	10,439
025	0602784A	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	70,064	70,064
026	0602785A	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY .....	17,654	17,654
027	0602786A	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY .....	31,546	31,546
028	0602787A	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	93,340	93,340
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>885,924</b>	<b>885,924</b>
		<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>		
029	0603001A	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	56,056	56,056
030	0603002A	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	62,032	62,032
031	0603003A	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	81,080	81,080
032	0603004A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	63,919	63,919
033	0603005A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	97,043	97,043
034	0603006A	SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	5,866	5,866
035	0603007A	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	7,800	7,800
036	0603008A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	40,416	40,416
037	0603009A	TRACTOR HIKE .....	9,166	9,166
038	0603015A	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS .....	13,627	13,627
039	0603020A	TRACTOR ROSE .....	10,667	10,667
040	0603105A	MILITARY HIV RESEARCH .....		
041	0603125A	COMBATING TERRORISM—TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	15,054	15,054
042	0603130A	TRACTOR NAIL .....	3,194	3,194
043	0603131A	TRACTOR EGGS .....	2,367	2,367
044	0603270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	25,348	25,348
045	0603313A	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	64,009	64,009
046	0603322A	TRACTOR CAGE .....	11,083	11,083
047	0603461A	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	180,662	180,662
048	0603606A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	22,806	22,806
049	0603607A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM .....	5,030	5,030
050	0603710A	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	36,407	36,407
051	0603728A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	11,745	11,745
052	0603734A	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	23,717	23,717
053	0603772A	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY .....	33,012	33,012
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>882,106</b>	<b>882,106</b>
		<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b>		
054	0603305A	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	15,301	15,301
055	0603308A	ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	13,592	13,592
056	0603619A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV .....	10,625	10,625
057	0603627A	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV .....		
058	0603639A	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION .....	30,612	30,612
059	0603653A	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS) .....	49,989	49,989
060	0603747A	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY .....	6,703	6,703
061	0603766A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV .....	6,894	6,894
062	0603774A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	9,066	9,066
063	0603779A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—DEM/VAL .....	2,633	2,633
064	0603782A	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL—DEM/VAL .....	272,384	272,384
065	0603790A	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	3,874	3,874
066	0603801A	AVIATION—ADV DEV .....	5,018	5,018
067	0603804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV .....	11,556	11,556
068	0603805A	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYSTEM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS .....		
069	0603807A	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV .....	15,603	15,603
070	0603827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	14,159	14,159
071	0603850A	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE .....	79	79
072	0604115A	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES .....	55,605	55,605
073	0604131A	TRACTOR JUTE .....		
074	0604319A	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2—INTERCEPT (IFPC2) .....	79,232	79,232
075	0604785A	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE (BUDGET ACTIVITY 4) .....	4,476	4,476
076	0305205A	ENDURANCE UAVS .....	28,991	991
		LEMV program reduction .....		[−28,000]
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES .....</b>	<b>636,392</b>	<b>608,392</b>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>		
077	0604201A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS .....	76,588	76,588
078	0604220A	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE HELOS .....	73,309	73,309
079	0604270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	154,621	154,621
080	0604280A	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO .....	31,826	31,826
081	0604290A	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR) .....	23,341	23,341
082	0604321A	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM .....	4,839	4,839
083	0604328A	TRACTOR CAGE .....	23,841	23,841
084	0604601A	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS .....	79,855	90,855
		Transfer from WTCV line 15—XM25 development .....		[11,000]
085	0604604A	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	2,140	2,140
086	0604611A	JAVELIN .....	5,002	5,002
087	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	21,321	21,321
088	0604633A	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....	514	514

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
089	0604641A	TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE (TUGV) .....		
090	0604642A	LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES .....		
091	0604661A	FCS SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS ENGR & PROGRAM MGMT .....		
092	0604663A	FCS UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLES .....		
093	0604710A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—ENG DEV .....	43,405	43,405
094	0604713A	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT .....	1,939	1,939
095	0604715A	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—ENG DEV .....	18,980	18,980
096	0604716A	TERRAIN INFORMATION—ENG DEV .....		
097	0604741A	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—ENG DEV .....	18,294	18,294
098	0604742A	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	17,013	17,013
099	0604746A	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT .....	6,701	6,701
100	0604760A	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—ENG DEV .....	14,575	14,575
101	0604780A	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE .....	27,634	27,634
102	0604798A	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION .....	193,748	193,748
103	0604802A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV .....	15,721	15,721
104	0604804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV .....	41,703	41,703
105	0604805A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG DEV .....	7,379	7,379
106	0604807A	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV .....	39,468	39,468
107	0604808A	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG DEV .....	92,285	92,285
108	0604814A	ARTILLERY MUNITIONS—EMD .....	8,209	8,209
109	0604818A	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE .....	22,958	22,958
110	0604820A	RADAR DEVELOPMENT .....	1,549	1,549
111	0604822A	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBS) .....	17,342	17,342
112	0604823A	FIREFINDER .....	47,221	47,221
113	0604827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEMVAL .....	48,477	48,477
114	0604854A	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD .....	80,613	80,613
115	0604869A	PATRIOT/MEADS COMBINED AGGREGATE PROGRAM (CAP) .....		
116	0604870A	NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL MONITORING SENSOR NETWORK .....		
117	0605013A	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	68,814	68,814
118	0605018A	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPPS-A) .....	137,290	137,290
119	0605028A	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV) .....	116,298	116,298
120	0605030A	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC) .....	68,148	68,148
121	0605380A	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS) .....	33,219	33,219
122	0605450A	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) .....	15,127	15,127
123	0605455A	SLAMRAAM .....		
124	0605456A	PAC-3/MSE MISSILE .....	68,843	68,843
125	0605457A	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD) .....	364,649	364,649
126	0605625A	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE .....	592,201	592,201
127	0605626A	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR .....	10,382	10,382
128	0605766A	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION (MIP) .....	21,143	21,143
129	0605812A	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH. ....	84,230	84,230
130	0303032A	TROJAN—RH12 .....	3,465	3,465
131	0304270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	10,806	10,806
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION .....</b>	<b>2,857,026</b>	<b>2,868,026</b>
		<b>RDT&amp;E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>		
132	0604256A	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	16,934	16,934
133	0604258A	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	13,488	13,488
134	0604759A	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	46,672	46,672
135	0605103A	RAND ARROYO CENTER .....	11,919	11,919
136	0605301A	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL .....	193,658	193,658
137	0605326A	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM .....	37,158	37,158
138	0605502A	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH .....		
139	0605601A	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES .....	340,659	340,659
140	0605602A	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS .....	66,061	66,061
141	0605604A	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS .....	43,280	43,280
142	0605605A	DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER TEST FACILITY .....		
143	0605606A	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION .....	6,025	6,025
144	0605702A	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES .....	7,349	7,349
145	0605706A	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS .....	19,809	19,809
146	0605709A	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS .....	5,941	5,941
147	0605712A	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING .....	55,504	55,504
148	0605716A	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER .....	65,274	65,274
149	0605718A	ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG .....	1,283	1,283
150	0605801A	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	82,035	82,035
151	0605803A	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES .....	33,853	33,853
152	0605805A	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY .....	53,340	53,340
153	0605857A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT .....	5,193	5,193
154	0605898A	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D .....	54,175	54,175
155	0909999A	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS .....		
		<b>SUBTOTAL RDT&amp;E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>1,159,610</b>	<b>1,159,610</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>		
156	0603778A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	110,576	110,576
157	0607141A	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION .....	3,717	3,717
158	0607665A	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS .....		
159	0607865A	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT .....	70,053	70,053
160	0102419A	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE .....	98,450	68,450
		JLENS program reduction .....		[-30,000]
161	0203726A	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM .....	30,940	30,940
162	0203735A	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	177,532	177,532

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
163	0203740.A	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM .....	36,495	36,495
164	0203744.A	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	257,187	257,187
165	0203752.A	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	315	315
166	0203758.A	DIGITIZATION .....	6,186	6,186
167	0203801.A	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	1,578	1,578
168	0203802.A	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	62,100	62,100
169	0203808.A	TRACTOR CARD .....	18,778	18,778
170	0208053.A	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM .....	7,108	7,108
171	0208058.A	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV) .....		
173	0303028.A	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES .....	7,600	7,600
174	0303140.A	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	9,357	9,357
175	0303141.A	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	41,225	41,225
176	0303142.A	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE) .....	18,197	18,197
177	0303150.A	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM .....	14,215	14,215
179	0305204.A	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	33,533	33,533
180	0305208.A	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	27,622	27,622
181	0305219.A	MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS .....	10,901	10,901
182	0305232.A	RQ-11 UAV .....	2,321	2,321
183	0305233.A	RQ-7 UAV .....	12,031	12,031
184	0305235.A	VERTICAL UAS .....		
185	0307665.A	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE .....	12,449	12,449
186	0708045.A	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES .....	56,136	56,136
186.A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	4,717	4,717
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>1,131,319</b>	<b>1,101,319</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, ARMY .....</b>	<b>7,989,102</b>	<b>7,942,102</b>
		<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, NAVY</b>		
		<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>		
001	0601103.N	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	112,617	122,617
		Program increase .....		[10,000]
002	0601152.N	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH .....	18,230	18,230
003	0601153.N	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	484,459	484,459
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>615,306</b>	<b>625,306</b>
		<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>		
004	0602114.N	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH .....	104,513	104,513
005	0602123.N	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH .....	145,307	145,307
006	0602131.M	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY .....	47,334	47,334
007	0602235.N	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	34,163	34,163
008	0602236.N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH .....	49,689	49,689
009	0602271.N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	97,701	97,701
010	0602435.N	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH .....	45,685	63,685
		AGOR mid life refit .....		[18,000]
011	0602651.M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	6,060	6,060
012	0602747.N	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	103,050	103,050
013	0602750.N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH .....	169,710	169,710
014	0602782.N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	31,326	31,326
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>834,538</b>	<b>852,538</b>
		<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>		
015	0603114.N	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	48,201	48,201
016	0603123.N	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	28,328	28,328
017	0603235.N	COMMON PICTURE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....		
018	0603236.N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....		
019	0603271.N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	56,179	56,179
020	0603640.M	USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD) .....	132,400	132,400
021	0603651.M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	11,854	11,854
022	0603673.N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	247,931	247,931
023	0603729.N	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	4,760	4,760
024	0603747.N	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....		
025	0603758.N	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS .....	51,463	51,463
026	0603782.N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	2,000	2,000
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>583,116</b>	<b>583,116</b>
		<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b>		
027	0603207.N	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS .....	42,246	42,246
028	0603216.N	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY .....	5,591	5,591
029	0603237.N	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL .....	3,262	3,262
030	0603251.N	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS .....	74	74
031	0603254.N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	7,964	7,964
032	0603261.N	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE .....	5,257	5,257
033	0603382.N	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY .....	1,570	1,570
034	0603502.N	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES .....	168,040	168,040
035	0603506.N	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE .....	88,649	88,649
036	0603512.N	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	83,902	83,902
037	0603525.N	PILOT FISH .....	108,713	108,713
038	0603527.N	RETRACT LARCH .....	9,316	9,316
039	0603536.N	RETRACT JUNIPER .....	77,108	77,108
040	0603542.N	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL .....	762	762
041	0603553.N	SURFACE ASW .....	2,349	2,349
042	0603561.N	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT .....	852,977	874,977



**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
		<i>Unmanned Underwater Vehicle Development</i> .....		[22,000]
043	0603562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS .....	8,764	8,764
044	0603563N	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN .....	20,501	20,501
045	0603564N	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES .....	27,052	27,052
046	0603570N	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS .....	428,933	428,933
047	0603573N	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS .....	27,154	27,154
048	0603576N	CHALK EAGLE .....	519,140	519,140
049	0603581N	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) .....	406,389	406,389
050	0603582N	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION .....	36,570	36,570
051	0603609N	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS .....	8,404	8,404
052	0603611M	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES .....	136,967	136,967
053	0603635M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	1,489	1,489
054	0603654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT .....	38,422	38,422
055	0603658N	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT .....	69,312	69,312
056	0603713N	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	9,196	9,196
057	0603721N	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION .....	18,850	18,850
058	0603724N	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM .....	45,618	45,618
059	0603725N	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT .....	3,019	3,019
060	0603734N	CHALK CORAL .....	144,951	144,951
061	0603739N	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY .....	5,797	5,797
062	0603746N	RETRACT MAPLE .....	308,131	308,131
063	0603748N	LINK PLUMERIA .....	195,189	195,189
064	0603751N	RETRACT ELM .....	56,358	56,358
065	0603764N	LINK EVERGREEN .....	55,378	55,378
066	0603787N	SPECIAL PROCESSES .....	48,842	48,842
067	0603790N	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	7,509	7,509
068	0603795N	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY .....	5,075	5,075
069	0603851M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TESTING .....	51,178	51,178
070	0603860N	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS—DEM/VAL .....	205,615	205,615
071	0603889N	COUNTERDRUG RDT&E PROJECTS .....		
072	0604272N	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (TADIRCM) .....	37,227	37,227
073	0604279N	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION .....	169	169
074	0604653N	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE (JCREW) .....	20,874	10,874
		<i>Schedule delay</i> .....		[-10,000]
075	0604659N	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM .....	2,257	2,257
076	0604707N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT .....	38,327	38,327
077	0604786N	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT .....	135,985	135,985
078	0605812M	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH. ....	50,362	50,362
079	0303354N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP .....	8,448	8,448
080	0304270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP .....	153	153
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b> .....	<b>4,641,385</b>	<b>4,653,385</b>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>		
081	0604212N	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT .....	40,558	40,558
082	0604214N	AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV .....	35,825	35,825
083	0604215N	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT .....	99,891	99,891
084	0604216N	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT .....	17,565	17,565
085	0604218N	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING .....	4,026	4,026
086	0604221N	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	1,791	1,791
087	0604230N	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	11,725	11,725
088	0604231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM .....	68,463	68,463
089	0604234N	ADVANCED HAWKEYE .....	152,041	152,041
090	0604245N	H-1 UPGRADES .....	47,123	47,123
091	0604261N	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS .....	30,208	30,208
092	0604262N	V-22A .....	43,084	43,084
093	0604264N	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	11,401	11,401
094	0604269N	EA-18 .....	11,138	11,138
095	0604270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	34,964	34,964
096	0604273N	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT .....	94,238	94,238
097	0604274N	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ) .....	257,796	257,796
098	0604280N	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY) .....	3,302	3,302
099	0604307N	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING .....	240,298	240,298
100	0604311N	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	1,214	1,214
101	0604329N	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB) .....	46,007	46,007
102	0604366N	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS .....	75,592	75,592
103	0604373N	AIRBORNE MCM .....	117,854	117,854
104	0604376M	MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE (MAGTF) ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) FOR AVIATION ..	10,080	10,080
105	0604378N	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING .....	21,413	21,413
106	0604404N	UNMANNED CARRIER LAUNCHED AIRBORNE SURVEILLANCE AND STRIKE (UCLASS) SYSTEM. ....	146,683	146,683
107	0604501N	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS .....	275,871	275,871
108	0604503N	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION .....	89,672	89,672
109	0604504N	AIR CONTROL .....	13,754	13,754
110	0604512N	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS .....	69,615	69,615
111	0604518N	COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION .....		
112	0604558N	NEW DESIGN SSN .....	121,566	121,566
113	0604562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM .....	49,143	49,143
114	0604567N	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/ LIVE FIRE T&E .....	155,254	155,254
115	0604574N	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES .....	3,689	3,689
116	0604601N	MINE DEVELOPMENT .....	5,041	5,041
117	0604610N	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT .....	26,444	26,444

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
118	0604654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT .....	8,897	8,897
119	0604703N	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS .....	6,233	6,233
120	0604727N	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	442	442
121	0604755N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL) .....	130,360	130,360
122	0604756N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL) .....	50,209	50,209
123	0604757N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW) .....	164,799	164,799
124	0604761N	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING .....	1,984	1,984
125	0604771N	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT .....	9,458	9,458
126	0604777N	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM .....	51,430	51,430
127	0604800M	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD .....	512,631	512,631
128	0604800N	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD .....	534,187	534,187
129	0605013M	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	5,564	5,564
130	0605013N	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	69,659	69,659
131	0605018N	NAVY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (N-IMHRS) .....		
132	0605212N	CH-53K RDTE .....	503,180	503,180
133	0605450N	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) .....	5,500	5,500
134	0605500N	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) .....	317,358	317,358
135	0204202N	DDG-1000 .....	187,910	187,910
136	0304231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP .....	2,140	2,140
137	0304785N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS .....	9,406	9,406
138	0305124N	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM .....	22,800	22,800
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION .....</b>	<b>5,028,476</b>	<b>5,028,476</b>
		<b>MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>		
139	0604256N	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	43,261	43,261
140	0604258N	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	71,872	71,872
141	0604759N	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	38,033	38,033
142	0605126N	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION .....	1,352	1,352
143	0605152N	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY .....	5,566	5,566
144	0605154N	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES .....	48,345	48,345
145	0605502N	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH .....		
146	0605804N	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES .....	637	637
147	0605853N	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	76,585	76,585
148	0605856N	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT .....	3,221	3,221
149	0605861N	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT .....	72,725	72,725
150	0605863N	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT .....	141,778	141,778
151	0605864N	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT .....	331,219	331,219
152	0605865N	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY .....	16,565	16,565
153	0605866N	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT .....	3,265	3,265
154	0605867N	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT .....	7,134	7,134
155	0605873M	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT .....	24,082	24,082
156	0305885N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES .....	497	497
157	0909999N	FINANCIAL FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS .....		
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>886,137</b>	<b>886,137</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>		
159	0604227N	HARPOON MODIFICATIONS .....	699	699
160	0604402N	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT AND PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT. <i>X-47B Aerial Refueling Test &amp; Evaluation .....</i>	20,961	40,961
				[20,000]
161	0604717M	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT .....		
162	0604766M	MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS .....	35	35
163	0605525N	CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY (COD) FOLLOW ON .....	2,460	2,460
164	0605555N	STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT .....	9,757	9,757
165	0101221N	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT .....	98,057	121,957
		<i>Reentry System Applications and Strategic Guidance Applications .....</i>		[23,900]
166	0101224N	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	31,768	31,768
167	0101226N	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	1,464	1,464
168	0101402N	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS .....	21,729	21,729
169	0203761N	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT) .....	13,561	13,561
170	0204136N	F/A-18 SQUADRONS .....	131,118	131,118
171	0204152N	E-2 SQUADRONS .....	1,971	1,971
172	0204163N	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL) .....	46,155	46,155
173	0204228N	SURFACE SUPPORT .....	2,374	2,374
174	0204229N	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC) .....	12,407	12,407
175	0204311N	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	41,609	41,609
176	0204413N	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT) .....	7,240	7,240
177	0204460M	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR) .....	78,208	78,208
178	0204571N	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	45,124	45,124
179	0204574N	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT .....	2,703	2,703
180	0204575N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT .....	19,563	19,563
181	0205601N	HARM IMPROVEMENT .....	13,586	13,586
182	0205604N	TACTICAL DATA LINKS .....	197,538	197,538
183	0205620N	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION .....	31,863	31,863
184	0205632N	MK-48 ADCAP .....	12,806	12,806
185	0205633N	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS .....	88,607	88,607
186	0205658N	NAVY SCIENCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM .....		
187	0205675N	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS .....	116,928	116,928
188	0206313M	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	178,753	178,753
189	0206623M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS .....	139,594	113,794
		<i>Marine personnel carrier—funding ahead of need .....</i>		[-20,800]
		<i>Precision extended range munition program reduction .....</i>		[-5,000]

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>House Authorized</b>
190	0206624M	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT .....	42,647	42,647
191	0206625M	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP) .....	34,394	34,394
192	0207161N	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES .....	39,159	39,159
193	0207163N	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) .....	2,613	2,613
194	0208058N	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV) .....	986	986
199	0303109N	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE) .....	66,231	66,231
200	0303138N	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES) .....	24,476	24,476
201	0303140N	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	23,531	23,531
202	0303150M	WWWCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM .....		
203	0303238N	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES)—MIP .....		
205	0305149N	COBRA JUDY .....		
206	0305160N	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC) .....	742	742
207	0305192N	MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES .....	4,804	4,804
208	0305204N	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	8,381	8,381
209	0305206N	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....		
210	0305207N	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....		
211	0305208M	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	5,535	5,535
212	0305208N	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	19,718	19,718
213	0305220N	RQ-4 UAV .....	375,235	375,235
214	0305231N	MQ-8 UAV .....	48,713	48,713
215	0305232M	RQ-11 UAV .....	102	102
216	0305233N	RQ-7 UAV .....	710	710
217	0305234N	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO) .....	5,013	5,013
218	0305237N	MEDIUM RANGE MARITIME UAS .....		
219	0305239M	RQ-21A .....	11,122	11,122
220	0305241N	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT .....	28,851	28,851
221	0308601N	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT .....	5,116	5,116
222	0702207N	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) .....	28,042	28,042
223	0708011N	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	50,933	50,933
224	0708730N	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH) .....	4,998	4,998
224A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,185,132	1,185,132
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b> .....	<b>3,385,822</b>	<b>3,403,922</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, NAVY</b> .....	<b>15,974,780</b>	<b>16,032,880</b>
		<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, AF</b>		
		<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>		
001	0601102F	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	373,151	373,151
002	0601103F	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	138,333	138,333
003	0601108F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	13,286	13,286
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH</b> .....	<b>524,770</b>	<b>524,770</b>
		<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>		
004	0602102F	MATERIALS .....	116,846	116,846
005	0602201F	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES .....	119,672	119,672
006	0602202F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	89,483	89,483
007	0602203F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION .....	197,546	197,546
008	0602204F	AEROSPACE SENSORS .....	127,539	127,539
009	0602601F	SPACE TECHNOLOGY .....	104,063	104,063
010	0602602F	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS .....	81,521	81,521
011	0602605F	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY .....	112,845	112,845
012	0602788F	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS .....	138,161	138,161
013	0602890F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH .....	40,217	40,217
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH</b> .....	<b>1,127,893</b>	<b>1,127,893</b>
		<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>		
014	0603112F	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	39,572	49,572
		Program increase .....		[10,000]
015	0603199F	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T) .....	12,800	12,800
016	0603203F	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS .....	30,579	30,579
017	0603211F	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO .....	77,347	77,347
018	0603216F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY .....	149,321	149,321
019	0603270F	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY .....	49,128	49,128
020	0603401F	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY .....	68,071	68,071
021	0603444F	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS) .....	26,299	26,299
022	0603456F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	20,967	20,967
023	0603601F	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	33,996	33,996
024	0603605F	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	19,000	19,000
025	0603680F	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	41,353	41,353
026	0603788F	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION .....	49,093	49,093
027	0603924F	HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....		
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b> .....	<b>617,526</b>	<b>627,526</b>
		<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b>		
028	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	3,983	3,983
029	0603287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	3,874	3,874
030	0603430F	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) .....		
031	0603432F	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE) .....		
032	0603438F	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY .....	27,024	27,024
033	0603742F	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY .....	15,899	15,899
034	0603790F	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	4,568	4,568
035	0603791F	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D .....	379	379

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
036	0603830F	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP)	28,764	28,764
037	0603850F	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE—DEM/VAL		
038	0603851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—DEM/VAL	86,737	86,737
039	0603854F	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM RDT&E (SPACE)		
040	0603859F	POLLUTION PREVENTION—DEM/VAL	953	953
041	0603860F	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS—DEM/VAL		
042	0604015F	LONG RANGE STRIKE	379,437	379,437
043	0604283F	BATTLE MGMT COM & CTRL SENSOR DEVELOPMENT		
044	0604317F	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	2,606	2,606
045	0604327F	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM	103	103
046	0604330F	JOINT DUAL ROLE AIR DOMINANCE MISSILE		
047	0604337F	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	16,018	16,018
048	0604422F	WEATHER SYSTEM FOLLOW-ON		
049	0604458F	AIR & SPACE OPS CENTER	58,861	58,861
050	0604618F	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	2,500	2,500
051	0604635F	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	21,175	21,175
052	0604857F	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE		
053	0604858F	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	13,636	13,636
054	0105921F	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES	2,799	2,799
055	0207455F	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR (3DELRR)	70,160	70,160
056	0305164F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE)	137,233	137,233
057	0305178F	NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE SYSTEM (NPOESS).		
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT &amp; PROTOTYPES</b>	<b>876,709</b>	<b>876,709</b>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>		
058	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	977	977
059	0603840F	GLOBAL BROADCAST SERVICE (GBS)		
060	0604222F	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT		
061	0604233F	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	3,601	3,601
062	0604270F	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	1,971	1,971
063	0604280F	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO		
064	0604281F	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	51,456	51,456
065	0604287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	50	50
066	0604329F	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)—EMD	115,000	115,000
067	0604421F	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	23,930	23,930
068	0604425F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	400,258	400,258
069	0604429F	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	4,575	4,575
070	0604441F	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD Space Based Infrared Systems (SBIRS) Data Exploitation	352,532	372,532 [20,000]
071	0604602F	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	16,284	16,284
072	0604604F	SUBMUNITIONS	2,564	2,564
073	0604617F	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	17,036	17,036
074	0604706F	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	7,273	7,273
075	0604735F	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	33,200	33,200
076	0604740F	INTEGRATED COMMAND & CONTROL APPLICATIONS (IC2A)		
077	0604750F	INTELLIGENCE EQUIPMENT		
078	0604800F	F-35—EMD	816,335	816,335
079	0604851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—EMD	145,442	145,442
080	0604853F	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)—EMD	27,963	27,963
081	0604932F	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	5,000	5,000
082	0604933F	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION	129,411	129,411
083	0605213F	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B	131,100	131,100
084	0605221F	KC-46	1,558,590	1,558,590
085	0605229F	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION	393,558	393,558
086	0605278F	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	6,242	6,242
087	0605431F	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	272,872	272,872
088	0605432F	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	124,805	124,805
089	0605433F	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE)	13,948	13,948
090	0605931F	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	303,500	303,500
091	0101125F	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION	67,874	67,874
092	0207100F	LIGHT ATTACK ARMED RECONNAISSANCE (LAAR) SQUADRONS		
093	0207604F	READINESS TRAINING RANGES, OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE		
094	0207701F	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	4,663	4,663
095	0305230F	MC-12		
096	0401138F	C-27J AIRLIFT SQUADRONS		
097	0401318F	CV-22	46,705	46,705
098	0401845F	AIRBORNE SENIOR LEADER C3 (SLC3S)		
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>	<b>5,078,715</b>	<b>5,098,715</b>
		<b>MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>		
099	0604256F	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	17,690	17,690
100	0604759F	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	34,841	34,841
101	0605101F	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	32,956	32,956
102	0605502F	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH		
103	0605712F	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	13,610	13,610
104	0605807F	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	742,658	742,658
105	0605860F	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	14,203	14,203
106	0605864F	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	13,000	13,000
107	0605976F	FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	44,160	44,160
108	0605978F	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	27,643	27,643
109	0606323F	MULTI-SERVICE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING INITIATIVE	13,935	13,935

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
110	0606392F	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE .....	192,348	192,348
111	0702806F	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	28,647	28,647
112	0804731F	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING .....	315	315
113	0909999F	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS .....		
114	1001004F	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES .....	3,785	3,785
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>1,179,791</b>	<b>1,179,791</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>		
115	0603423F	GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT .....	383,500	383,500
116	0604263F	COMMON VERTICAL LIFT SUPPORT PLATFORM .....		
117	0604445F	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE .....	5,000	5,000
118	0605018F	AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS) .....	90,097	90,097
119	0605024F	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY .....	32,086	32,086
121	0101113F	B-52 SQUADRONS .....	24,007	24,007
122	0101122F	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) .....	450	450
123	0101126F	B-1B SQUADRONS .....	19,589	19,589
124	0101127F	B-2 SQUADRONS .....	100,194	100,194
125	0101313F	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM .....	37,448	37,448
126	0101314F	NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOM .....		
128	0102326F	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	1,700	1,700
129	0102823F	STRATEGIC AEROSPACE INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES .....		
130	0203761F	WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRANSITION FUND .....	3,844	3,844
131	0205219F	MQ-9 UAV .....	128,328	128,328
132	0207040F	MULTI-PLATFORM ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT .....		
133	0207131F	A-10 SQUADRONS .....	9,614	9,614
134	0207133F	F-16 SQUADRONS .....	177,298	177,298
135	0207134F	F-15E SQUADRONS .....	244,289	244,289
136	0207136F	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION .....	13,138	13,138
137	0207138F	F-22A SQUADRONS .....	328,542	328,542
138	0207142F	F-35 SQUADRONS .....	33,000	33,000
139	0207161F	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES .....	15,460	15,460
140	0207163F	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) .....	84,172	84,172
141	0207170F	JOINT HELMET MOUNTED CUEING SYSTEM (JHMCS) .....		
142	0207224F	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY .....	2,582	2,582
143	0207227F	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE .....	542	542
144	0207247F	AF TENCAP .....	89,816	89,816
145	0207249F	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT .....	1,075	1,075
146	0207253F	COMPASS CALL .....	10,782	10,782
147	0207268F	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	139,369	139,369
148	0207277F	ISR INNOVATIONS .....		
149	0207325F	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM) .....	6,373	6,373
150	0207410F	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC) .....	22,820	22,820
151	0207412F	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC) .....	7,029	7,029
152	0207417F	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS) .....	186,256	186,256
153	0207418F	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	743	743
154	0207423F	ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....		
156	0207431F	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES .....	4,471	4,471
157	0207438F	THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C4I .....		
158	0207444F	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY-MOD .....	10,250	10,250
159	0207448F	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK .....	1,431	1,431
160	0207449F	COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION .....	7,329	7,329
161	0207452F	DCAPES .....	15,081	15,081
162	0207581F	JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JSTARS) .....	13,248	13,248
163	0207590F	SEEK EAGLE .....	24,342	24,342
164	0207601F	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION .....	10,448	10,448
165	0207605F	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS .....	5,512	5,512
166	0207697F	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES .....	3,301	3,301
167	0208006F	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS .....	62,605	62,605
168	0208021F	INFORMATION WARFARE SUPPORT .....		
169	0208059F	CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES .....	68,099	68,099
170	0208087F	AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS .....	14,047	14,047
171	0208088F	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS .....	5,853	5,853
179	0301400F	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE .....	12,197	12,197
180	0302015F	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC) .....	18,267	18,267
181	0303131F	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN) .....	36,288	36,288
182	0303140F	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	90,231	90,231
183	0303141F	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	725	725
184	0303150F	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM .....		
185	0303601F	MILSATCOM TERMINALS .....	140,170	140,170
187	0304260F	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE .....	117,110	117,110
190	0305099F	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM) .....	4,430	4,430
191	0305103F	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	2,048	2,048
192	0305105F	DOD CYBER CRIME CENTER .....	288	288
193	0305110F	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE) .....	35,698	35,698
194	0305111F	WEATHER SERVICE .....	24,667	24,667
195	0305114F	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCALS) .....	35,674	35,674
196	0305116F	AERIAL TARGETS .....	21,186	21,186
199	0305128F	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES .....	195	195
200	0305145F	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION .....	1,430	1,430
201	0305146F	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES .....	330	330
203	0305164F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE) .....		
204	0305165F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND CONTROL SEGMENTS) .....		

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
206	0305173F	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER .....	3,696	3,696
207	0305174F	SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	2,469	2,469
208	0305179F	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS) .....	8,289	8,289
209	0305182F	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	13,345	13,345
210	0305193F	CYBER INTELLIGENCE .....		
211	0305202F	DRAGON U-2 .....	18,700	18,700
212	0305205F	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	3,000	3,000
213	0305206F	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	37,828	37,828
214	0305207F	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	13,491	13,491
215	0305208F	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	7,498	7,498
216	0305219F	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV .....	3,326	3,326
217	0305220F	RQ-4 UAV .....	134,406	134,406
218	0305221F	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING .....	7,413	7,413
219	0305236F	COMMON DATA LINK (CDL) .....	40,503	40,503
220	0305238F	NATO AGS .....	264,134	264,134
221	0305240F	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE .....	23,016	23,016
222	0305265F	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	221,276	221,276
223	0305614F	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM .....	58,523	58,523
224	0305881F	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION .....	2,218	2,218
225	0305887F	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION WARFARE .....		
226	0305913F	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	50,547	50,547
227	0305940F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS .....	18,807	18,807
228	0307141F	INFORMATION OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEVELOPMENT .....		
229	0308699F	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW) .....	1,079	1,079
230	0401115F	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON .....	400	26,400
		C-130H Propulsion System Propeller Upgrades .....		[26,000]
231	0401119F	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF) .....	61,492	61,492
232	0401130F	C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF) .....	109,134	109,134
233	0401132F	C-130J PROGRAM .....	22,443	22,443
234	0401134F	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM) .....	4,116	4,116
235	0401139F	LIGHT MOBILITY AIRCRAFT (LIMA) .....		
236	0401218F	KC-135S .....		
237	0401219F	KC-10S .....		
238	0401314F	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT .....	44,553	44,553
239	0408011F	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL .....	6,213	6,213
240	0702207F	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) .....	1,605	1,605
241	0708012F	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....		
242	0708610F	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT) .....	95,238	95,238
243	0708611F	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	10,925	10,925
244	0804743F	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING .....	1,347	1,347
245	0808716F	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES .....	65	65
246	0901202F	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY .....	1,083	1,083
247	0901218F	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM .....	1,577	1,577
248	0901220F	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION .....	5,990	5,990
249	0901226F	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY .....	786	786
250	0901279F	FACILITIES OPERATION—ADMINISTRATIVE .....	654	654
251	0901538F	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	135,735	135,735
252	0902998F	MANAGEMENT HQ—ADP SUPPORT (AF) .....		
252.A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	11,874,528	11,894,528
		Program Increase .....		[20,000]
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>16,297,542</b>	<b>16,343,542</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, AF .....</b>	<b>25,702,946</b>	<b>25,778,946</b>
		<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, DW</b>		
		<b>BASIC RESEARCH</b>		
001	0601000BR	DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE .....	45,837	45,837
002	0601101E	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	315,033	315,033
003	0601110D8Z	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	11,171	11,171
004	0601117E	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE .....	49,500	49,500
005	0601120D8Z	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM .....	84,271	89,271
		Restore PK-12 funding .....		[5,000]
006	0601228D8Z	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES/MINORITY INSTITUTIONS .....	30,895	35,895
		Program increase .....		[5,000]
007	0601384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	51,426	51,426
		<b>SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>588,133</b>	<b>598,133</b>
		<b>APPLIED RESEARCH</b>		
008	0602000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	20,065	13,565
		Decrease to insensitive munitions program .....		[-6,500]
009	0602115E	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	114,790	114,790
010	0602228D8Z	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES (HBCU) SCIENCE .....		
011	0602234D8Z	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM .....	46,875	46,875
012	0602250D8Z	SYSTEMS 2020 APPLIED RESEARCH .....		
013	0602251D8Z	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF S&T PRIORITIES .....	45,000	45,000
014	0602303E	INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	413,260	413,260
015	0602304E	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS .....	16,330	16,330
016	0602305E	MACHINE INTELLIGENCE .....		
017	0602383E	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE .....	24,537	24,537
018	0602384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	227,065	217,065
		Program decrease .....		[-10,000]
019	0602663D8Z	DATA TO DECISIONS APPLIED RESEARCH .....		

**SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Program Element</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>House Authorized</b>
020	0602668D8Z	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH .....	18,908	18,908
021	0602670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APPLIED RESEARCH .....		
022	0602702E	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	225,977	225,977
023	0602715E	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	166,654	166,654
024	0602716E	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY .....	243,469	243,469
025	0602718BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES .....	175,282	175,282
026	0602751D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE (SEI) APPLIED RESEARCH .....	11,107	11,107
027	1160401BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	29,246	29,246
		<b>SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>1,778,565</b>	<b>1,762,065</b>
		<b>ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</b>		
028	0603000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	26,646	26,646
029	0603121D8Z	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	19,420	19,920
		<i>Program increase for future information operations strategy .....</i>		[500]
030	0603122D8Z	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT .....	77,792	77,792
031	0603160BR	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT .....	274,033	274,033
032	0603175C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY .....	309,203	239,203
		<i>Decrease in funding of Common Kill Vehicle Technology Program .....</i>		[-70,000]
033	0603200D8Z	JOINT ADVANCED CONCEPTS .....		
034	0603225D8Z	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	19,305	19,305
035	0603264S	AGILE TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21)—THEATER CAPABILITY .....	7,565	7,565
036	0603274C	SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY .....	40,426	40,426
037	0603286E	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS .....	149,804	149,804
038	0603287E	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY .....	172,546	172,546
039	0603384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	170,847	170,847
040	0603618D8Z	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	9,009	9,009
041	0603648D8Z	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	174,428	167,428
		<i>Decrease to Strategic Capabilities Office efforts .....</i>		[-7,000]
042	0603662D8Z	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES .....	20,000	20,000
043	0603663D8Z	DATA TO DECISIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....		
044	0603665D8Z	BIOMETRICS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY .....		
045	0603668D8Z	CYBER SECURITY ADVANCED RESEARCH .....	19,668	19,668
046	0603670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....		
047	0603680D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	34,041	34,041
048	0603699D8Z	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	61,971	53,971
		<i>Decrease to Strategic Capabilities Office efforts .....</i>		[-8,000]
049	0603711D8Z	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM/AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS .....		
050	0603712S	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	20,000	20,000
051	0603713S	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY .....	30,256	30,256
052	0603716D8Z	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM .....	72,324	72,324
053	0603720S	MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT .....	82,700	82,700
054	0603727D8Z	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM .....	8,431	8,431
055	0603739E	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES .....	117,080	117,080
056	0603755D8Z	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....		
057	0603760E	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	239,078	239,078
058	0603765E	CLASSIFIED DARPA PROGRAMS .....		
059	0603766E	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	259,006	259,006
060	0603767E	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY .....	286,364	286,364
061	0603769SE	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	12,116	12,116
062	0603781D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE .....	19,008	19,008
063	0603826D8Z	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS .....	78,532	78,532
064	0603828D8Z	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION .....		
065	0603828J	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION .....	12,667	12,667
066	0603832D8Z	DOD MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE .....	41,370	41,370
067	0603901C	DIRECTED ENERGY RESEARCH .....		
068	0603902C	NEXT GENERATION AEGIS MISSILE .....		
069	0603941D8Z	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY .....	92,508	92,508
070	0604055D8Z	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT .....	52,001	60,001
		<i>Operational Energy Capability Improvement Fund .....</i>		[8,000]
071	0303310D8Z	CWMD SYSTEMS .....	52,053	52,053
072	1160402BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	46,809	46,809
073	1160422BB	AVIATION ENGINEERING ANALYSIS .....		
074	1160472BB	SOF INFORMATION AND BROADCAST SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....		
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>3,109,007</b>	<b>3,032,507</b>
		<b>ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES</b>		
075	0603161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P .....	63,641	63,641
076	0603527D8Z	RETRACT LARCH .....	19,152	19,152
077	0603600D8Z	WALKOFF .....	70,763	70,763
078	0603709D8Z	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM .....		
079	0603714D8Z	ADVANCED SENSORS APPLICATION PROGRAM .....	17,230	17,230
080	0603851D8Z	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM .....	71,453	71,453
081	0603881C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT .....	268,990	268,990
082	0603882C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT .....	1,033,903	1,174,303
		<i>Planning and Design (35% to 100% design) .....</i>		[50,000]
		<i>RDT&amp;E Ground Systems Development .....</i>		[70,000]
		<i>RDT&amp;E Site Activities, including EIS .....</i>		[20,400]
082A	0603XXXC	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY AND CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM .....		70,000
		<i>Common Kill Vehicle Technology Program .....</i>		[70,000]
083	0603884BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—DEM/VAL .....	196,237	196,237
084	0603884C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS .....	315,183	315,183
085	0603888C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST & TARGETS .....		

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
086	0603890C	BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS .....	377,605	377,605
087	0603891C	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA .....	286,613	286,613
088	0603892C	AEGIS BMD .....	937,056	937,056
089	0603893C	SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	44,947	44,947
090	0603895C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS .....	6,515	6,515
091	0603896C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATI. ....	418,355	418,355
092	0603898C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT .....	47,419	47,419
093	0603904C	MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC) .....	52,131	52,131
094	0603906C	REGARDING TRENCH .....	13,864	13,864
095	0603907C	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX) .....	44,478	44,478
096	0603913C	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS .....	95,782	283,782
		Development of increased capabilities for Iron Dome .....		[15,000]
		Increase Israeli Cooperative Programs .....		[173,000]
097	0603914C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST .....	375,866	375,866
098	0603915C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS .....	495,257	495,257
099	0603920D8Z	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING .....	11,704	11,704
100	0603923D8Z	COALITION WARFARE .....	9,842	9,842
101	0604016D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM .....	3,312	13,312
		Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....		[10,000]
102	0604250D8Z	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES .....	130,000	25,000
		Decrease to SCO efforts .....		[-105,000]
103	0604400D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT. ....	8,300	8,300
104	0604445J	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE .....	30,000	30,000
105	0604670D8Z	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING. ....		
106	0604775D8Z	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM .....		250,000
		Rapid Innovation Program .....		[250,000]
107	0604787D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION COMMAND (JSIC) .....		
108	0604787J	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	7,402	7,402
109	0604828D8Z	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TEAM .....		
110	0604828J	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TEAM .....	7,506	7,506
111	0604880C	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3) .....	129,374	129,374
112	0604881C	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT .....	308,522	308,522
113	0604883C	PRECISION TRACKING SPACE SYSTEM .....		
114	0604886C	ADVANCED REMOTE SENSOR TECHNOLOGY (ARST) .....		
115	0303191D8Z	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM .....	3,169	3,169
116	0305103C	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	946	946
		<b>SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES .....</b>	<b>5,902,517</b>	<b>6,455,917</b>
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION</b>		
117	0604051D8Z	DEFENSE ACQUISITION CHALLENGE PROGRAM (DACP) .....		
118	0604161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD .....	8,155	8,155
119	0604165D8Z	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT .....	65,440	65,440
120	0604384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—EMD .....	451,306	451,306
121	0604709D8Z	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM—EMD .....		
122	0604764K	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO) .....	29,138	29,138
123	0604771D8Z	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS) .....	19,475	19,475
124	0605000BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES .....	12,901	12,901
125	0605013BL	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	13,812	13,812
126	0605021SE	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	386	386
127	0605022D8Z	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM .....	3,763	3,763
128	0605027D8Z	OUS(D) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES .....	6,788	6,788
129	0605070S	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION .....	27,917	27,917
130	0605075D8Z	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION .....	22,297	22,297
131	0605080S	DEFENSE AGENCY INTIATIVES (DAI)—FINANCIAL SYSTEM .....	51,689	51,689
132	0605210D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITIES .....	6,184	6,184
133	0303141K	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	12,083	12,083
134	0305304D8Z	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM) .....	3,302	3,302
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION .....</b>	<b>734,636</b>	<b>734,636</b>
		<b>MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>		
135	0604774D8Z	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS) .....	6,393	6,393
136	0604875D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT .....	2,479	2,479
137	0604940D8Z	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP) .....	240,213	240,213
138	0604942D8Z	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS .....	2,127	2,127
139	0604943D8Z	THERMAL VICAR .....	8,287	8,287
140	0605100D8Z	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC) .....	31,000	31,000
141	0605104D8Z	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS .....	24,379	24,379
142	0605110D8Z	USD(A&T)—CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT .....		
143	0605117D8Z	FOREIGN MATERIEL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION .....	54,311	54,311
144	0605126J	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION (JIAMDO) .....	47,462	47,462
145	0605128D8Z	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P) .....		
146	0605130D8Z	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING .....	12,134	12,134
147	0605142D8Z	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING .....	44,237	44,237
148	0605151D8Z	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—OSD .....	5,871	5,871
149	0605161D8Z	NUCLEAR MATTERS—PHYSICAL SECURITY .....	5,028	5,028
150	0605170D8Z	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION .....	6,301	6,301
151	0605200D8Z	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE) .....	6,504	6,504
152	0605384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	92,046	92,046
153	0605502BR	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH .....		



SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
154	0605502C	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH—MDA .....		
155	0605502D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH .....		
156	0605502E	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH .....		
157	0605502S	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH .....		
158	0605790D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH (SBIR)/ SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER (S) .....	1,868	1,868
159	0605798D8Z	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS .....	8,362	8,362
160	0605801KA	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC) .....	56,024	56,024
161	0605803SE	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION .....	6,908	6,908
162	0605804D8Z	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION .....	15,451	19,451
		Program increase .....		[4,000]
163	0605897E	DARPA AGENCY RELOCATION .....		
164	0605898E	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D .....	71,659	71,659
165	0606100D8Z	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS .....	4,083	4,083
166	0606301D8Z	AVIATION SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES .....		
167	0203345D8Z	DEFENSE OPERATIONS SECURITY INITIATIVE (DOSI) .....	5,306	5,306
168	0204571J	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT .....	2,097	2,097
171	0303166D8Z	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES .....		
172	0303166J	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES .....	8,394	8,394
173	0303169D8Z	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RAPID ACQUISITION .....		
174	0305103E	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....		
175	0305193D8Z	CYBER INTELLIGENCE .....	7,624	7,624
177	0305400D8Z	WARFIGHTING AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED SUPPORT .....		
178	0804767D8Z	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (CE2T2) .....	43,247	43,247
179	0901598C	MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA .....	37,712	37,712
180	0901598D8Z	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS WHS .....	607	607
181	0909999D8Z	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS .....		
181A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	54,914	54,914
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>913,028</b>	<b>917,028</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT</b>		
182	0604130V	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS) .....	7,552	7,552
183	0605127T	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE INFORMATION MANA. ....	3,270	3,270
184	0605147T	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SYSTEM (OHASIS) .....	287	287
185	0607210D8Z	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT .....	14,000	14,000
186	0607310D8Z	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	1,955	1,955
187	0607327T	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS (G-TSCMIS) .....	13,250	13,250
188	0607384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT) .....	13,026	13,026
189	0607828D8Z	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY .....		
190	0607828J	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY .....	12,652	12,652
191	0208043J	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM (PDAS) .....	3,061	3,061
192	0208045K	C4I INTEROPERABILITY .....	72,726	72,726
194	0301144K	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING .....	6,524	6,524
201	0302016K	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT .....	512	512
202	0302019K	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION .....	12,867	12,867
203	0303126K	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DCS .....	36,565	36,565
204	0303131K	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN) .....	13,144	13,144
205	0303135G	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI) .....	1,060	1,060
206	0303136G	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI) .....	33,279	33,279
207	0303140D8Z	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	10,673	10,673
208	0303140G	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	181,567	179,291
		Excess to need .....		[-2,276]
209	0303140K	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....		
210	0303150K	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM .....	34,288	34,288
211	0303153K	DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION .....	7,741	7,741
212	0303170K	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) .....	3,325	3,325
213	0303260D8Z	DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE (DMDPO) .....	1,246	1,246
214	0303610K	TELEPORT PROGRAM .....	5,147	5,147
216	0304210BB	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES .....	17,352	17,352
220	0305103K	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	3,658	3,658
221	0305125D8Z	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP) .....	9,752	9,752
225	0305186D8Z	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS .....	3,210	3,210
227	0305199D8Z	NET CENTRICITY .....	21,602	21,602
230	0305208BB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	5,195	5,195
233	0305208K	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	3,348	3,348
235	0305219BB	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV .....	641	641
237	0305231BB	MQ-8 UAV .....		
238	0305387D8Z	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM .....	2,338	2,338
239	0305600D8Z	INTERNATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY AND ARCHITECTURES .....	4,372	4,372
244	0305889G	COUNTERDRUG INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT .....		
247	0708011S	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	24,691	24,691
248	0708012S	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	4,659	4,659
249	0902298J	MANAGEMENT HQ—OJCS .....	3,533	3,533
250	1105219BB	MQ-9 UAV .....	1,314	1,314
251	1105232BB	RQ-11 UAV .....		
252	1105233BB	RQ-7 UAV .....		
253	1160279BB	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH/SMALL BUS TECH TRANSFER PILOT PROG .....		
254	1160403BB	AVIATION SYSTEMS .....	156,561	156,561
255	1160404BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TACTICAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....		
256	1160405BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	7,705	7,705

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
257	1160408BB	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....	42,620	42,620
258	1160421BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS CV-22 DEVELOPMENT .....		
259	1160427BB	MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATION SYSTEMS (MTPS) .....		
260	1160429BB	AC/MC-130J .....		
261	1160431BB	WARRIOR SYSTEMS .....	17,970	17,970
262	1160432BB	SPECIAL PROGRAMS .....	7,424	7,424
263	1160474BB	SOF COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS .....		
264	1160476BB	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS .....		
265	1160477BB	SOF WEAPONS SYSTEMS .....		
266	1160478BB	SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS .....		
267	1160479BB	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS AND SENSOR SYSTEMS .....		
268	1160480BB	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	2,206	2,206
269	1160481BB	SOF MUNITIONS .....		
270	1160482BB	SOF ROTARY WING AVIATION .....		
271	1160483BB	MARITIME SYSTEMS .....	18,325	18,325
272	1160484BB	SOF SURFACE CRAFT .....		
273	1160488BB	SOF MILITARY INFORMATION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....		
274	1160489BB	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES .....	3,304	3,304
275	1160490BB	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE .....	16,021	16,021
275.A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	3,773,704	3,773,704
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>4,641,222</b>	<b>4,638,946</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, DW .....</b>	<b>17,667,108</b>	<b>18,139,232</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL TEST &amp; EVAL, DEFENSE MANAGEMENT SUPPORT</b>		
001	0605118OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION .....	75,720	75,720
002	0605131OTE	LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION .....	48,423	48,423
003	0605814OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES .....	62,157	62,157
		<b>SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....</b>	<b>186,300</b>	<b>186,300</b>
		<b>TOTAL OPERATIONAL TEST &amp; EVAL, DEFENSE .....</b>	<b>186,300</b>	<b>186,300</b>
		<b>TOTAL RDT&amp;E .....</b>	<b>67,520,236</b>	<b>68,079,460</b>

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
		<b>SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION</b>		
087	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	7,000	7,000
		<b>SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT &amp; DEMONSTRATION .....</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>7,000</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, ARMY .....</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>7,000</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>		
224.A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	34,426	34,426
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>34,426</b>	<b>34,426</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, NAVY .....</b>	<b>34,426</b>	<b>34,426</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</b>		
252.A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	9,000	9,000
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>9,000</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, AF .....</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>9,000</b>
		<b>OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT</b>		
275.A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	66,208	66,208
		<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>66,208</b>	<b>66,208</b>
		<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVAL, DW .....</b>	<b>66,208</b>	<b>66,208</b>
		<b>TOTAL RDT&amp;E .....</b>	<b>116,634</b>	<b>116,634</b>

TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>			
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	888,114	1,096,714
	Missile Defense Deployment to Guam .....		[13,100]
	Restore Army OPTEMPO to 90% .....		[195,500]
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	72,624	72,624
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	617,402	617,402
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	602,262	602,262
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,032,484	1,032,484
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	1,287,462	1,303,262
	Restore Army Flying Hour Program to 90% .....		[15,800]
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	3,559,656	3,559,656
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	454,477	454,477
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,481,156	1,481,156
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	7,278,154	7,278,154
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	2,754,712	3,011,712
	Realignment of Arlington National Cemetary operations .....		[-25,000]
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[282,000]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	425,271	425,271
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS .....	185,064	185,064
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS .....	463,270	456,594
	Realignment of SOUTHCOM Information Operations .....		[3,100]
	Unjustified EUCOM Growth .....		[-9,776]
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>21,102,108</b>	<b>21,576,832</b>
<b>MOBILIZATION</b>			
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY .....	360,240	360,240
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONING STOCKS .....	192,105	192,105
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	7,101	7,101
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION</b> .....	<b>559,446</b>	<b>559,446</b>
<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>			
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	115,992	115,992
220	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	52,323	52,323
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING .....	43,589	43,589
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS .....	453,745	453,745
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	1,034,495	1,034,495
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	1,016,876	1,016,876
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	186,565	186,565
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	652,514	652,514
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	485,500	485,500
300	EXAMINING .....	170,912	170,912
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	251,523	251,523
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	184,422	184,422
330	JUNIOR ROTC .....	181,105	181,105
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b> .....	<b>4,829,561</b>	<b>4,829,561</b>
<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	690,089	690,089
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES .....	774,120	779,120
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....		[5,000]
370	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	651,765	651,765
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT .....	453,051	453,051
390	ADMINISTRATION .....	487,737	487,737
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,563,115	1,563,115
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	326,853	326,853
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	234,364	234,364
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT .....	1,212,091	1,212,091
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES .....	243,540	243,540
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	241,101	241,101
460	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	226,291	226,291
470	SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS .....	426,651	457,851
	Realignment of NATO Special Operations Headquarters from O&M Defense-wide .....		[31,200]
480	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS .....	27,248	24,148
	Realignment of SOUTHCOM Information Operations .....		[-3,100]
525	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,023,946	1,023,946
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>8,581,962</b>	<b>8,615,062</b>
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>			
530	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-740,300
	Average civilian end strength above projection .....		[-284,300]
	Unobligated balances .....		[-456,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED</b> .....		<b>-740,300</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b> .....	<b>35,073,077</b>	<b>34,840,601</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES</b>			
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	1,621	1,621
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	24,429	24,429
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	657,099	657,099
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	122,485	122,485

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	584,058	584,058
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	79,380	79,380
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	471,616	471,616
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	74,243	74,243
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	70,894	70,894
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	569,801	569,801
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	294,145	323,245
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[29,100]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	51,853	51,853
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>3,001,624</b>	<b>3,030,724</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	10,735	10,735
140	ADMINISTRATION .....	24,197	24,197
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	10,304	10,304
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	10,319	10,319
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	37,857	37,857
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>93,412</b>	<b>93,412</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES .....</b>	<b>3,095,036</b>	<b>3,124,136</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARNG</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	800,880	800,880
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	178,650	178,650
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	771,503	771,503
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	98,699	98,699
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	38,779	38,779
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	922,503	922,503
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	761,056	761,056
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	62,971	62,971
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	233,105	233,105
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,019,059	1,019,059
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	712,139	786,339
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[74,200]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	1,013,715	1,013,715
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>6,613,059</b>	<b>6,687,259</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	10,812	10,812
140	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	1,551	1,551
150	ADMINISTRATION .....	78,284	78,284
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	46,995	46,995
170	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	6,390	6,390
180	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	297,105	297,105
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>441,137</b>	<b>441,137</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARNG .....</b>	<b>7,054,196</b>	<b>7,128,396</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	4,952,522	4,952,522
020	FLEET AIR TRAINING .....	1,826,404	1,826,404
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES .....	38,639	38,639
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT .....	90,030	90,030
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	362,700	362,700
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	915,881	915,881
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	35,838	35,838
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	379,914	448,414
	CLS for AVN Logistics .....		[68,500]
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	3,884,836	3,884,836
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING .....	734,852	734,852
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	5,191,511	5,191,511
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,351,274	1,351,274
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	701,316	691,722
	New START treaty implementation, excluding verification and inspection activities .....		[-9,594]
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE .....	97,710	97,710
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE .....	172,330	172,330
160	WARFARE TACTICS .....	454,682	454,682
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY .....	328,406	328,406
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	946,429	946,429
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE .....	142,249	148,249
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....		[6,000]
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,603	2,603
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS .....	102,970	102,970
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	199,128	199,128
230	CRUISE MISSILE .....	92,671	92,671
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE .....	1,193,188	1,193,188
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	105,985	105,985
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	532,627	532,627
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	304,160	304,160
280	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION .....	1,011,528	1,011,528

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<b>Line</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>House Authorized</b>
290	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	1,996,821	2,182,021
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[185,200]
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	4,460,918	4,460,918
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>32,610,122</b>	<b>32,860,228</b>
	<b>MOBILIZATION</b>		
310	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE .....	331,576	331,576
320	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS .....	6,638	6,638
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS .....	222,752	222,752
340	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS .....	73,310	73,310
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS .....	2,675	2,675
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT .....	23,794	23,794
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION</b> .....	<b>660,745</b>	<b>660,745</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
370	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	148,516	148,516
380	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	9,384	9,384
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS .....	139,876	139,876
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	630,069	630,069
410	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	9,294	9,294
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	169,082	169,082
430	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	164,368	164,368
440	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	241,733	242,833
	Naval Sea Cadets .....		[1,100]
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	139,815	139,815
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	94,632	94,632
470	JUNIOR ROTC .....	51,373	51,373
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b> .....	<b>1,798,142</b>	<b>1,799,242</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
480	ADMINISTRATION .....	886,088	886,088
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS .....	13,131	13,131
500	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	115,742	115,742
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	382,150	382,150
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	268,403	268,403
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	317,293	317,293
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	207,128	207,128
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN .....	295,855	295,855
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	1,140,484	1,140,484
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT .....	52,873	52,873
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS .....	27,587	27,587
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS .....	75,728	75,728
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE .....	543,026	543,026
680	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES .....	4,965	4,965
705	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	545,775	545,775
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>4,876,228</b>	<b>4,876,228</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
710	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-278,200
	Average civilian end strength above projection .....		[-38,500]
	Unobligated balances .....		[-239,700]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED</b> .....		<b>-278,200</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b> .....	<b>39,945,237</b>	<b>39,918,243</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES .....	837,012	902,012
	Crisis Response Force .....		[30,000]
	Marine Security Guard .....		[35,000]
020	FIELD LOGISTICS .....	894,555	898,555
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....		[4,000]
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	223,337	221,337
	Unjustified Growth HUMVEE Modifications .....		[-2,000]
040	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING .....	97,878	97,878
050	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	774,619	781,719
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[7,100]
060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	2,166,661	2,166,661
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>4,994,062</b>	<b>5,068,162</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
070	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	17,693	17,693
080	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	896	896
090	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	100,806	100,806
100	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	46,928	46,928
110	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	356,426	356,426
120	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	179,747	179,747
130	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	52,255	52,255
140	JUNIOR ROTC .....	23,138	23,138
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b> .....	<b>777,889</b>	<b>777,889</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	43,816	43,816
160	ADMINISTRATION .....	305,107	305,107
180	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	87,500	87,500
185	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	46,276	46,276
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>482,699</b>	<b>482,699</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
190	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-50,000
	Unobligated balances .....		[-50,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>-50,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS .....</b>	<b>6,254,650</b>	<b>6,278,750</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	586,620	586,620
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE .....	7,008	7,008
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	100,657	100,657
050	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	305	305
060	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	3,927	3,927
070	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	75,933	75,933
080	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING .....	601	601
090	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	44,364	44,364
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	15,477	15,477
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	115,608	115,608
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	1,967	1,967
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION .....	43,726	43,726
140	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	69,011	74,011
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[5,000]
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	109,604	109,604
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>1,174,808</b>	<b>1,179,808</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
160	ADMINISTRATION .....	2,905	2,905
170	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	14,425	14,425
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	2,485	2,485
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	3,129	3,129
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>22,944</b>	<b>22,944</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES .....</b>	<b>1,197,752</b>	<b>1,202,752</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	OPERATING FORCES .....	96,244	96,244
020	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	17,581	19,081
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance .....		[1,500]
030	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	32,438	32,738
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[300]
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	95,259	95,259
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>241,522</b>	<b>243,322</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
050	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	894	894
060	ADMINISTRATION .....	11,743	11,743
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	9,158	9,158
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>21,795</b>	<b>21,795</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE .....</b>	<b>263,317</b>	<b>265,117</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	3,295,814	3,295,814
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES .....	1,875,095	1,875,095
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) .....	1,559,109	1,559,109
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	5,956,304	5,961,304
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation .....		[5,000]
050	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	1,834,424	2,224,454
	Restoration, Modernization, and Demolition project shortfalls .....		[170,530]
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[219,500]
060	BASE SUPPORT .....	2,779,811	2,779,811
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING .....	913,841	913,841
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS .....	916,837	916,837
100	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES .....	720,349	720,349
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES .....	305,275	305,275
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	433,658	433,658
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	1,146,016	1,147,116
	NORTHCOM VOICE program .....		[1,100]
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS .....	231,830	231,830
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>21,968,363</b>	<b>22,364,493</b>
	<b>MOBILIZATION</b>		
150	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS .....	2,015,902	2,015,902

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
160	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS .....	147,216	147,216
170	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,556,232	1,556,232
180	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	167,402	167,402
190	BASE SUPPORT .....	707,040	707,040
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>4,593,792</b>	<b>4,593,792</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
200	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	102,334	102,334
210	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	17,733	17,733
220	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) .....	94,600	94,600
230	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	217,011	217,011
240	BASE SUPPORT .....	800,327	800,327
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	399,364	399,364
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	792,275	792,275
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	248,958	248,958
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	106,741	106,741
290	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	319,331	319,331
300	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	122,736	122,736
310	EXAMINING .....	3,679	3,679
320	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	137,255	137,255
330	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	176,153	176,153
340	JUNIOR ROTC .....	67,018	67,018
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>3,605,515</b>	<b>3,605,515</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS .....	1,103,684	1,103,684
360	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	919,923	919,923
370	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	56,601	52,601
	Heavy bomber eliminations related to New START treaty implementation .....		[-400]
	ICBM reductions related to New START implementation .....		[-3,600]
380	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	281,061	281,061
390	BASE SUPPORT .....	1,203,305	1,203,305
400	ADMINISTRATION .....	593,865	593,865
410	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	574,609	574,609
420	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	1,028,600	1,013,200
	De-MIRVing ICBMs related to New START treaty implementation .....		[-700]
	ICBM eliminations and Environmental Impact Study related to New START treaty implementation .....		[-14,700]
430	CIVIL AIR PATROL .....	24,720	24,720
460	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	89,008	89,008
465	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,227,796	1,222,996
	Classified Adjustment .....		[-4,800]
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>7,103,172</b>	<b>7,078,972</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
470	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-205,100
	Average civilian end strength above projection .....		[-18,700]
	Unobligated balances .....		[-186,400]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>-205,100</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>37,270,842</b>	<b>37,437,672</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	1,857,951	1,857,951
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	224,462	224,462
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	521,182	521,182
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	89,704	98,804
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[9,100]
050	BASE SUPPORT .....	360,836	360,836
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>3,054,135</b>	<b>3,063,235</b>
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>		
060	ADMINISTRATION .....	64,362	64,362
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	15,056	15,056
080	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC) .....	23,617	23,617
090	OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP) .....	6,618	6,618
100	AUDIOVISUAL .....	819	819
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>110,472</b>	<b>110,472</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE .....</b>	<b>3,164,607</b>	<b>3,173,707</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS .....	3,371,871	3,371,871
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	720,305	720,305
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,514,870	1,514,870
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	296,953	323,853
	Sustainment to 90% .....		[26,900]
050	BASE SUPPORT .....	597,303	597,303
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>6,501,302</b>	<b>6,528,202</b>
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES</b>		

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
060	ADMINISTRATION .....	32,117	32,117
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	32,585	32,585
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>64,702</b>	<b>64,702</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG .....</b>	<b>6,566,004</b>	<b>6,592,904</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF .....	472,239	472,239
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	5,261,463	5,230,711
	AFSOC Flying Hour Program .....		[70,100]
	International SOF Information Sharing System .....		[-7,017]
	Ongoing baseline contingency operations .....		[-35,519]
	Pilot program for SOF family members .....		[5,000]
	Preserve the force and families—human performance program .....		[-16,605]
	Preserve the force and families—resiliency .....		[-8,786]
	Realignment of NATO Special Operations Headquarters to O&M, Army .....		[-31,200]
	Regional SOF Coordination Centers .....		[-14,725]
	SOCOM National Capitol Region .....		[-10,000]
	USASOC Flying Hour Program .....		[18,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>5,733,702</b>	<b>5,702,950</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
040	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY .....	157,397	157,397
050	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY .....	84,899	84,899
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>242,296</b>	<b>242,296</b>
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>		
060	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS .....	144,443	165,443
	STARBASE .....		[21,000]
080	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY .....	612,207	612,207
090	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY .....	1,378,606	1,378,606
110	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY .....	763,091	763,091
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY .....	1,326,243	1,326,243
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY .....	29,933	29,933
150	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY .....	462,545	462,545
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY .....	222,979	222,979
170	DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE .....	21,594	21,594
180	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY .....	788,389	788,389
190	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE .....	546,603	546,603
210	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION .....	35,151	35,151
220	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY .....	438,033	438,033
240	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY .....	2,713,756	2,713,756
250	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY .....	256,201	256,201
270	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT .....	371,615	217,715
	Program reduction .....		[-153,900]
280	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE .....	2,010,176	1,992,676
	BRAC 2015 Initiative .....		[-8,000]
	Combatant Commanders Exercise Engagement Training Transformation .....		[90,500]
	Procurement Technical Assistance Program—Enhanced Business Support .....		[10,000]
	Realignment to Building Partnership Capacity authorities .....		[-35,000]
	Reduction to Building Partnership Capacity authorities .....		[-75,000]
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES .....	616,572	616,572
295	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	14,283,558	14,287,648
	Classified adjustment .....		[4,090]
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>27,021,695</b>	<b>26,875,385</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
305	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-320,000
	Section 514. Study of Reserve Component General and Flag Officers .....		[3,000]
	Section 551. Department of Defense Recognition of Spouses of Members of Armed Forces who Serve in Combat Zones .....		[5,000]
	Section 571 .DOD Supplementary Impact Aid .....		[25,000]
	Section 621. Expand the victims transitional compensation benefit .....		[10,000]
	Unobligated balances .....		[-363,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>-320,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>	<b>32,997,693</b>	<b>32,500,631</b>
	<b>MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b>		
	<b>MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b>		
050	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID .....	109,500	109,500
060	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION .....	528,455	528,455
080	ACQ WORKFORCE DEV FD .....	256,031	256,031
090	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY .....	298,815	298,815
160	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND .....	5,000	0
	Program reduction .....		[-5,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS .....</b>	<b>1,197,801</b>	<b>1,192,801</b>
	<b>MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b>		
100	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY .....	316,103	316,103
	<b>SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS .....</b>	<b>316,103</b>	<b>316,103</b>



SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b>			
110	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE .....	439,820	439,820
	<b>SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b> .....	<b>439,820</b>	<b>439,820</b>
<b>MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b>			
040	US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE .....	13,606	12,626
	Unjustified Growth .....		[-980]
120	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE .....	10,757	10,757
	<b>SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b> .....	<b>24,363</b>	<b>23,383</b>
<b>MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b>			
130	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES .....	237,443	237,443
	<b>SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b> .....	<b>237,443</b>	<b>237,443</b>
	<b>TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS</b> .....	<b>2,215,530</b>	<b>2,209,550</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</b> .....	<b>175,097,941</b>	<b>174,672,459</b>

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>			
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	217,571	247,571
	Missile Defense Deployment—Other .....		[15,000]
	Missile Defense Deployment to Turkey .....		[15,000]
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	8,266	8,266
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	56,626	56,626
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	4,209,942	4,209,942
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	950,567	950,567
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	474,288	474,288
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,349,152	1,349,152
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	655,000	655,000
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	301,563	796,563
	Restore High Priority Depot Maintenance .....		[495,000]
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	706,214	706,214
140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES .....	11,519,498	11,519,498
150	COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM .....	60,000	60,000
160	RESET .....	2,240,358	3,740,358
	Restore Critical Army Reset .....		[1,500,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>22,749,045</b>	<b>24,774,045</b>
<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	4,601,356	4,601,356
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT .....	17,418	17,418
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	110,000	110,000
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	94,820	94,820
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT .....	54,000	54,000
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	250,000	250,000
525	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,402,994	1,402,994
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>6,530,588</b>	<b>6,530,588</b>
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>			
530	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		91,100
	Increase to support higher fuel rates .....		[91,100]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED</b> .....		<b>91,100</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b> .....	<b>29,279,633</b>	<b>31,395,733</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES</b>			
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	6,995	6,995
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,332	2,332
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	608	608
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....		75,800
	Restore High Priority Depot Maintenance .....		[75,800]
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	33,000	33,000
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>42,935</b>	<b>118,735</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES</b> .....	<b>42,935</b>	<b>118,735</b>
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARNG</b>			
<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>			

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
010	MANEUVER UNITS .....	29,314	29,314
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	1,494	1,494
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	15,343	15,343
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	1,549	1,549
060	AVIATION ASSETS .....	64,504	64,504
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	31,512	31,512
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	42,179	42,179
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S .....	11,996	11,996
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>197,891</b>	<b>197,891</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,480	1,480
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>1,480</b>	<b>1,480</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ARNG .....</b>	<b>199,371</b>	<b>199,371</b>
	<b>AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND</b>		
	<b>MINISTRY OF DEFENSE</b>		
010	SUSTAINMENT .....	2,735,603	2,735,603
020	INFRASTRUCTURE .....	278,650	278,650
030	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION .....	2,180,382	2,180,382
040	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS .....	626,550	626,550
	<b>SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF DEFENSE .....</b>	<b>5,821,185</b>	<b>5,821,185</b>
	<b>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</b>		
060	SUSTAINMENT .....	1,214,995	1,214,995
080	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION .....	54,696	54,696
090	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS .....	626,119	626,119
	<b>SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF INTERIOR .....</b>	<b>1,895,810</b>	<b>1,895,810</b>
	<b>DETAINEE OPS</b>		
110	SUSTAINMENT .....	7,225	7,225
140	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS .....	2,500	2,500
	<b>SUBTOTAL DETAINEE OPS .....</b>	<b>9,725</b>	<b>9,725</b>
	<b>TOTAL AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND .....</b>	<b>7,726,720</b>	<b>7,726,720</b>
	<b>AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND</b>		
010	POWER .....	279,000	279,000
	<b>SUBTOTAL AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND .....</b>	<b>279,000</b>	<b>279,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND .....</b>	<b>279,000</b>	<b>279,000</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	845,169	845,169
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES .....	600	600
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT .....	17,489	17,489
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	78,491	78,491
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	162,420	202,420
	Restore critical depot maintenance .....		[40,000]
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,700	2,700
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	50,130	50,130
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	949,539	960,939
	Spares .....		[11,400]
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING .....	20,226	20,226
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,679,660	1,843,660
	Program increase .....		[164,000]
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....		126,000
	Program increase .....		[126,000]
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	37,760	37,760
160	WARFARE TACTICS .....	25,351	25,351
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY .....	20,045	20,045
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	1,212,296	1,665,296
	Combat forces equipment .....		[148,000]
	Combat forces shortfall .....		[305,000]
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE .....	10,203	10,203
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	127,972	127,972
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	221,427	221,427
290	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	13,386	13,386
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	110,940	110,940
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>5,585,804</b>	<b>6,380,204</b>
	<b>MOBILIZATION</b>		
340	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS .....	18,460	18,460
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT .....	227,033	227,033
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>245,493</b>	<b>245,493</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	50,269	50,269

**SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Line</i>	<i>Item</i>	<i>FY 2014 Request</i>	<i>House Author- ized</i>
430	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	5,400	5,400
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b> .....	<b>55,669</b>	<b>55,669</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
480	ADMINISTRATION .....	2,418	2,418
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS .....	516	516
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	5,107	5,107
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	1,411	1,411
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	2,545	2,545
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	153,427	153,427
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	8,570	8,570
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE .....	1,425	1,425
705	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	5,608	5,608
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>181,027</b>	<b>181,027</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
710	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		155,400
	Increase to support higher fuel rates .....		[155,400]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED</b> .....		<b>155,400</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b> .....	<b>6,067,993</b>	<b>7,017,793</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES .....	992,190	992,190
020	FIELD LOGISTICS .....	559,574	559,574
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	570,000	626,000
	Restore High Priority Depot Maintenance .....		[56,000]
060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	69,726	69,726
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>2,191,490</b>	<b>2,247,490</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
110	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	108,270	108,270
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b> .....	<b>108,270</b>	<b>108,270</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	365,555	365,555
160	ADMINISTRATION .....	3,675	3,675
185	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	825	825
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>370,055</b>	<b>370,055</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
190	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		5,400
	Increase to support higher fuel rates .....		[5,400]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED</b> .....		<b>5,400</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS</b> .....	<b>2,669,815</b>	<b>2,731,215</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	17,196	17,196
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE .....	200	200
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	6,000	6,000
070	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	12,304	12,304
090	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	6,790	6,790
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	13,210	13,210
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>55,700</b>	<b>55,700</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES</b> .....	<b>55,700</b>	<b>55,700</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	OPERATING FORCES .....	11,124	11,124
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	1,410	1,410
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES</b> .....	<b>12,534</b>	<b>12,534</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE</b> .....	<b>12,534</b>	<b>12,534</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE</b>		
	<b>OPERATING FORCES</b>		
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	1,712,393	1,782,393
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance .....		[70,000]
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES .....	836,104	836,104
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) .....	14,118	14,118
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,373,480	1,473,480
	Program increase .....		[100,000]
050	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	122,712	122,712
060	BASE SUPPORT .....	1,520,333	1,520,333
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING .....	31,582	31,582
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS .....	147,524	147,524
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES .....	857	857

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	8,353	8,353
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	50,495	50,495
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>5,817,951</b>	<b>5,987,951</b>
	<b>MOBILIZATION</b>		
150	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS .....	3,091,133	3,141,133
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance .....		[50,000]
160	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS .....	47,897	47,897
170	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	387,179	887,179
	Program increase .....		[500,000]
180	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	7,043	7,043
190	BASE SUPPORT .....	68,382	68,382
	<b>SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION .....</b>	<b>3,601,634</b>	<b>4,151,634</b>
	<b>TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>		
200	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	100	100
210	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	478	478
240	BASE SUPPORT .....	19,256	19,256
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	12,845	12,845
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	731	731
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	607	607
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	720	720
320	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	152	152
	<b>SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .....</b>	<b>34,889</b>	<b>34,889</b>
	<b>ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES</b>		
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS .....	86,273	86,273
360	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	2,511	2,511
390	BASE SUPPORT .....	19,887	19,887
400	ADMINISTRATION .....	3,493	3,493
410	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	152,086	152,086
420	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	269,825	269,825
460	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	117	117
465	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	16,558	16,558
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMIN &amp; SRVWD ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>550,750</b>	<b>550,750</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED</b>		
470	UNDISTRIBUTED .....		284,000
	Increase to support higher fuel rates .....		[284,000]
	<b>SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED .....</b>		<b>284,000</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>10,005,224</b>	<b>11,009,224</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE OPERATING FORCES</b>		
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	26,599	26,599
050	BASE SUPPORT .....	6,250	6,250
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>32,849</b>	<b>32,849</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE .....</b>	<b>32,849</b>	<b>32,849</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG OPERATING FORCES</b>		
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	22,200	22,200
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>22,200</b>	<b>22,200</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, ANG .....</b>	<b>22,200</b>	<b>22,200</b>
	<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE OPERATING FORCES</b>		
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	2,222,868	2,222,868
	<b>SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES .....</b>	<b>2,222,868</b>	<b>2,222,868</b>
	<b>ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>		
080	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY .....	27,781	27,781
090	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY .....	45,746	45,746
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY .....	76,348	76,348
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY .....	99,538	99,538
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY .....	9,620	9,620
180	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY .....	1,950,000	1,950,000
240	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY .....	100,100	100,100
280	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE .....	38,227	73,227
	Realignment to Building Partnerships Capacity authorities .....		[35,000]
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES .....	2,784	2,784
295	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,862,066	1,862,066
	<b>SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>4,212,210</b>	<b>4,247,210</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE .....</b>	<b>6,435,078</b>	<b>6,470,078</b>
	<b>TOTAL OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE .....</b>	<b>62,829,052</b>	<b>67,071,152</b>

## TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL

## SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL.

SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>Military Personnel Appropriations</b> .....	<b>130,399,881</b>	<b>130,219,281</b>
Flight Paramedic Training Pay and Allowances—Army Guard .....		[4,500]
Flight Paramedic Training Pay and Allowances—Army Reserve .....		[900]
Military Personnel unobligated balances .....		[-186,000]
<b>Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions</b> .....	<b>6,676,750</b>	<b>6,676,750</b>

SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS  
CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>Military Personnel Appropriations</b> .....	<b>9,689,307</b>	<b>9,689,307</b>
<b>Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions</b> .....	<b>164,033</b>	<b>164,033</b>

## TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

## SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS.

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY</b>		
PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS .....	25,158	25,158
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY</b> .....	<b>25,158</b>	<b>25,158</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE</b>		
SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS (MEDICAL/DENTAL) .....	61,731	61,731
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>61,731</b>	<b>61,731</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) .....	46,428	46,428
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE</b> .....	<b>46,428</b>	<b>46,428</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA</b>		
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA .....	1,412,510	1,412,510
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA</b> .....	<b>1,412,510</b>	<b>1,412,510</b>
<b>NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND</b>		
MPF MLP .....	134,917	134,917
POST DELIVERY AND OUTFITTING .....	43,404	43,404
LG MED SPD RO/RO MAINTENANCE .....	116,784	116,784
DOD MOBILIZATION ALTERATIONS .....	60,703	60,703
TAH MAINTENANCE .....	19,809	19,809
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	56,058	56,058
READY RESERVE FORCE .....	299,025	299,025
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND</b> .....	<b>730,700</b>	<b>730,700</b>
<b>DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b>		
IN-HOUSE CARE .....	8,880,738	8,880,738
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE .....	15,842,732	15,842,732
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT .....	2,505,640	2,505,640
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	1,450,619	1,450,619
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES .....	368,248	368,248
EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	733,097	733,097
BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,872,660	1,872,660
R&D RESEARCH .....	9,162	9,162
R&D EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT .....	47,977	47,977
R&D ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	291,156	291,156
R&D DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION .....	132,430	132,430
R&D ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT .....	161,674	161,674
R&D MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT .....	72,568	72,568
R&D CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT .....	14,646	14,646
PROC INITIAL OUTFITTING .....	89,404	89,404
PROC REPLACEMENT & MODERNIZATION .....	377,577	377,577
PROC IEHR .....	204,200	204,200
UNDISTRIBUTED .....		-276,800
DHP Unobligated .....		[-440,800]
Section 711. Future Availability of TRICARE Prime for Certain Beneficiaries Enrolled in TRICARE Prime .....		[164,000]
<b>TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b> .....	<b>33,054,528</b>	<b>32,777,728</b>
<b>CHEM AGENTS &amp; MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION</b>		
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE .....	451,572	451,572

**SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
RDT&E .....	604,183	604,183
PROCUREMENT .....	1,368	1,368
<b>TOTAL CHEM AGENTS &amp; MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION</b> .....	<b>1,057,123</b>	<b>1,057,123</b>
<b>DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF</b>		
OPERATING FORCES .....	815,965	815,965
DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM .....	122,580	122,580
<b>TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF</b> .....	<b>938,545</b>	<b>938,545</b>
<b>OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL</b>		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	311,131	311,131
PROCUREMENT .....	1,000	1,000
<b>TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL</b> .....	<b>312,131</b>	<b>312,131</b>
<b>TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS</b> .....	<b>37,638,854</b>	<b>37,362,054</b>

**SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

**SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY</b>		
PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS .....	44,732	44,732
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY</b> .....	<b>44,732</b>	<b>44,732</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE</b>		
C-17 CLS ENGINE REPAIR .....	78,500	78,500
TRANSPORTATION FALLEN HEROES .....	10,000	10,000
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE</b> .....	<b>88,500</b>	<b>88,500</b>
<b>WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) .....	131,678	131,678
<b>TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE</b> .....	<b>131,678</b>	<b>131,678</b>
<b>DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b>		
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE</b>		
IN-HOUSE CARE .....	375,958	375,958
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE .....	382,560	382,560
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT .....	132,749	132,749
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	2,238	2,238
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES .....	460	460
EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	10,236	10,236
<b>TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM</b> .....	<b>904,201</b>	<b>904,201</b>
<b>DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF</b>		
OPERATING FORCES .....	376,305	376,305
<b>TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF</b> .....	<b>376,305</b>	<b>376,305</b>
<b>OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL</b>		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	10,766	10,766
<b>TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL</b> .....	<b>10,766</b>	<b>10,766</b>
<b>TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS</b> .....	<b>1,556,182</b>	<b>1,556,182</b>

**TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.**

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
	Alaska			
Army	Fort Wainwright	Aviation Battalion Complex .....	45,000	45,000
Army	Fort Wainwright	Aviation Storage Hangar .....	58,000	58,000
	Colorado			
Army	Fort Carson	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	66,000	66,000
Army	Fort Carson	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	73,000	73,000
Army	Fort Carson	Central Energy Plant .....	34,000	34,000
Army	Fort Carson	Fire Station .....	12,000	12,000
Army	Fort Carson	Headquarters Building .....	33,000	33,000
Army	Fort Carson	Runway .....	12,000	12,000
Army	Fort Carson	Simulator Building .....	12,200	12,200
	Florida			
Army	Eglin AFB	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range .....	4,700	4,700

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Army	Georgia Fort Gordon	Adv Individual Training Barracks Cplx, Ph2 .....	61,000	61,000
Army	Hawaii Fort Shafter	Command and Control Facility—Admin .....	75,000	65,000
Army	Kansas Fort Leavenworth	Simulations Center .....	17,000	17,000
Army	Kentucky Fort Campbell	Battlefield Weather Support Facility .....	4,800	4,800
Army	Maryland Aberdeen Proving Ground	Operations and Maintenance Facilities .....	21,000	21,000
Army	Fort Detrick	Entry Control Point .....	2,500	2,500
Army	Fort Detrick	Hazardous Material Storage Building .....	4,600	4,600
Army	Missouri Fort Leonard Wood	Adv Individual Training Barracks Cplx, Ph1 .....	86,000	86,000
Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Simulator Building .....	4,700	4,700
Army	New York U.S. Military Academy	Cadet Barracks, Incr 2 .....	42,000	42,000
Army	North Carolina Fort Bragg	Command and Control Facility .....	5,900	5,900
Army	Texas Fort Bliss	Control Tower .....	10,800	10,800
Army	Fort Bliss	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Complex .....	36,000	36,000
Army	Virginia Joint Base Langley-Eustis	Adv Individual Training Barracks Cplx, Ph3 .....	50,000	50,000
Army	Washington Joint Base Lewis-Mcchord	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	79,000	79,000
Army	Joint Base Lewis-Mcchord	Airfield Operations Complex .....	37,000	37,000
Army	Joint Base Lewis-Mcchord	Aviation Battalion Complex .....	28,000	28,000
Army	Yakima	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range .....	9,100	9,100
Army	Worldwide Classified Classified Location	Company Operations Complex .....	33,000	33,000
Army	Kwajalein Kwajalein Atoll	Pier .....	63,000	63,000
Army	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Loca- tions	Host Nation Support Fy14 .....	33,000	23,000
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Minor Construction Fy14 .....	25,000	25,000
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design Fy14 .....	41,575	41,575
<b>Total Military Construction, Army .....</b>			<b>1,119,875</b>	<b>1,099,875</b>
Navy	California Barstow	Engine Dynamometer Facility .....	14,998	14,998
Navy	Camp Pendleton	Ammunition Supply Point Upgrade .....	13,124	13,124
Navy	Coronado	H-60 Trainer Facility .....	8,910	8,910
Navy	Point Mugu	Aircraft Engine Test Pads .....	7,198	7,198
Navy	Point Mugu	Bams Consolidated Maintenance Hangar .....	17,469	17,469
Navy	Port Hueneme	Unaccompanied Housing Conversion .....	33,600	33,600
Navy	San Diego	Steam Plant Decentralization .....	34,331	34,331
Navy	Twentynine Palms	Camp Wilson Infrastructure Upgrades .....	33,437	33,437
Navy	Florida Jacksonville	P-8a Training & Parking Apron Expansion .....	20,752	20,752
Navy	Key West	Aircraft Crash/Rescue & Fire Headquarters .....	14,001	14,001
Navy	Mayport	Lcs Logistics Support Facility .....	16,093	16,093
Navy	Georgia Albany	Cers Dispatch Facility .....	1,010	1,010
Navy	Albany	Weapons Storage and Inspection Facility .....	15,600	15,600
Navy	Savannah	Townsend Bombing Range Land Acq—Phase 1 .....	61,717	61,717
Navy	Guam Joint Region Marianas	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar—North Ramp .....	85,673	85,673
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Bams Forward Operational & Maintenance Hangar .....	61,702	61,702
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Dehumidified Supply Storage Facility .....	17,170	17,170
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Emergent Repair Facility Expansion .....	35,860	35,860
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Modular Storage Magazines .....	63,382	63,382
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Sierra Wharf Improvements .....	1,170	1,170
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	X-Ray Wharf Improvements .....	53,420	53,420
Navy	Hawaii Kaneohe Bay	3rd Radio Bn Maintenance/Operations Complex .....	25,336	25,336
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Aircraft Maintenance Expansion .....	16,968	16,968
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar Upgrades .....	31,820	31,820
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Armory Addition and Renovation .....	12,952	12,952
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Aviation Simulator Modernization/Addition .....	17,724	17,724
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Mv-22 Hangar .....	57,517	57,517
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Mv-22 Parking Apron and Infrastructure .....	74,665	74,665
Navy	Pearl City	Water Transmission Line .....	30,100	30,100
Navy	Pearl Harbor	Drydock Waterfront Facility .....	22,721	22,721
Navy	Pearl Harbor	Submarine Production Support Facility .....	35,277	35,277
Navy	Illinois Great Lakes	Unaccompanied Housing .....	35,851	35,851
Navy	Maine			

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>House Agreement</b>
Navy	Bangor	Nctams Vlf Commercial Power Connection .....	13,800	13,800
Navy	Kittery	Structural Shops Consolidation .....	11,522	11,522
Navy	Maryland Fort Meade	Marforcybercom HQ-Ops Building .....	83,988	83,988
Navy	Nevada Fallon	Wastewater Treatment Plant .....	11,334	11,334
Navy	North Carolina Camp Lejeune	Landfill—Phase 4 .....	20,795	20,795
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Operations Training Complex .....	22,515	22,515
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Steam Decentralization—BEQ Nodes .....	18,679	18,679
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Steam Decentralization—Camp Johnson .....	2,620	2,620
Navy	Camp Lejeune	Steam Decentralization—Hadnot Point .....	13,390	13,390
Navy	New River	Ch-53k Maintenance Training Facility .....	13,218	13,218
Navy	New River	Corrosion Control Hangar .....	12,547	12,547
Navy	New River	Regional Communication Station .....	20,098	20,098
Navy	Oklahoma Tinker AFB	Tacamo E-6B Hangar .....	14,144	14,144
Navy	Rhode Island Newport	Hewitt Hall Research Center .....	12,422	12,422
Navy	South Carolina Charleston	Nuclear Power Operational Training Facility .....	73,932	73,932
Navy	Virginia Dam Neck	Aerial Target Operation Consolidation .....	10,587	10,587
Navy	Norfolk	Pier 11 Power Upgrades for Cvn-78 .....	3,380	3,380
Navy	Quantico	Academic Instruction Facility Tecom Schools .....	25,731	25,731
Navy	Quantico	Atc Transmitter/Receiver Relocation .....	3,630	3,630
Navy	Quantico	Fuller Road Improvements .....	9,013	9,013
Navy	Yorktown	Small Arms Ranges .....	18,700	18,700
Navy	Washington Bremerton	Integrated Water Treatment Sys Dry Docks 3&4 .....	18,189	18,189
Navy	Kitsap	Explosives Handling Wharf #2 (Inc) .....	24,880	24,880
Navy	Whidbey Island	Ea-18g Facility Improvements .....	32,482	32,482
Navy	Whidbey Island	P-8a Hangar and Training Facilities .....	85,167	85,167
Navy	Djibouti Camp Lemonier	Armory .....	6,420	6,420
Navy	Camp Lemonier	Unaccompanied Housing .....	22,580	22,580
Navy	Japan Camp Butler	Airfield Security Upgrades .....	5,820	5,820
Navy	Yokosuka	Communication System Upgrade .....	7,568	7,568
Navy	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Loca- tions	Mcon Design Funds .....	89,830	89,830
Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Loca- tions	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	19,740	19,740
<b>Total Military Construction, Navy .....</b>			<b>1,700,269</b>	<b>1,700,269</b>
AF	Arizona Luke AFB	F-35 Field Training Detachment .....	5,500	5,500
AF	Luke AFB	F-35 Sq Ops/Aircraft Maintenance Unit #3 .....	21,400	21,400
AF	California Beale AFB	Distributed Common Ground Station Ops Bldg .....	62,000	62,000
AF	Florida Tyndall AFB	F-22 Munitions Storage Complex .....	9,100	9,100
AF	Guam Joint Region Marianas	Par—Fuel Sys Hardened Bldgs .....	20,000	20,000
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Par—Strike Tactical Missile Mxs Facility .....	10,530	10,530
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Par—Tanker Gp Mx Hangar/AMU/Sqd Ops .....	132,600	132,600
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Prtc Red Horse Airfield Operations Facility .....	8,500	8,500
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Prtc Sf Fire Rescue & Emergency Mgt .....	4,600	4,600
AF	Kansas Mcconnell AFB	KC-46a 2-Bay Corrosion Control/Fuel Cell Hangar .....	0	82,000
AF	Mcconnell AFB	KC-46a 3-Bay General Purpose Maintenance Hangar .....	0	80,000
AF	Mcconnell AFB	KC-46a Aircraft Parking Apron Alteration .....	0	2,200
AF	Mcconnell AFB	KC-46a Aprons Fuels Distribution System .....	0	12,800
AF	Mcconnell AFB	KC-46a Flight Simulator Facility Phase 1 .....	0	2,150
AF	Mcconnell AFB	KC-46a General Maintenance Hangar .....	0	32,000
AF	Mcconnell AFB	KC-46a Miscellaneous Facilities Alteration .....	0	970
AF	Mcconnell AFB	KC-46a Pipeline Student Dormatory .....	0	7,000
AF	Hawaii Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	C-17 Modernize Hgr 35, Docks 1&2 .....	4,800	4,800
AF	Kentucky Fort Campbell	19th Air Support Operations Sqdrn Expansion .....	8,000	8,000
AF	Maryland Fort Meade	Cybercom Joint Operations Center, Increment 1 .....	85,000	85,000
AF	Joint Base Andrews	Helicopter Operations Facility .....	30,000	30,000
AF	Missouri Whiteman AFB	Wsa Mop Igloos and Assembly Facility .....	5,900	5,900
AF	Nebraska Offutt AFB	Usstratcom Replacement Facility, Incr 3 .....	136,000	136,000
AF	Nevada Nellis AFB	Add Rpa Weapons School Facility .....	20,000	20,000



SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
AF	Nellis AFB	Dormitory (240 Rm) .....	35,000	35,000
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Alt Mission Equip (Ame) Storage .....	5,000	5,000
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar .....	9,400	9,400
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Parts Store .....	9,100	9,100
New Mexico				
AF	Cannon AFB	Airmen and Family Readiness Center .....	5,500	5,500
AF	Cannon AFB	Dormitory (144 Rm) .....	22,000	22,000
AF	Cannon AFB	Satellite Dining Facility .....	6,600	6,600
AF	Holloman AFB	F-16 Aircraft Covered Washrack and Pad .....	2,250	2,250
AF	Kirtland AFB	Nuclear Systems Wing & Sustainment Center (Ph .....	30,500	30,500
North Dakota				
AF	Minot AFB	B-52 Adal Aircraft Maintenance Unit .....	15,530	15,530
AF	Minot AFB	B-52 Munitions Storage Igloos .....	8,300	8,300
Oklahoma				
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46a FtU Adal Fuel Systems Maintenance Dock .....	0	3,350
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46a FtU Adal Squad Ops/AMU .....	0	7,400
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46a FtU Flight Training Center Simulators Facility Phase 1 .....	0	12,600
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46a FtU Fuselage Trainer Phase 1 .....	0	6,300
AF	Altus AFB	KC-46a FtU Renovate Facility .....	0	1,200
AF	Tinker AFB	KC-46a Land Acquisition .....	8,600	8,600
Texas				
AF	Fort Bliss	F-16 Bak 12/14 Aircraft Arresting System .....	3,350	3,350
Utah				
AF	Hill AFB	F-35 Aircraft Mx Unit Hangar 45e Ops #1 .....	13,500	13,500
AF	Hill AFB	Fire Crash Rescue Station .....	18,500	18,500
Virginia				
AF	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	4-Bay Conventional Munitions Inspection Bldg .....	4,800	4,800
Greenland				
AF	Thule Ab	Thule Consolidation, Phase 2 .....	43,904	43,904
Mariana Islands				
AF	Saipan	Par—Airport Pol/Bulk Storage Ast .....	18,500	18,500
AF	Saipan	Par—Hazardous Cargo Pad .....	8,000	8,000
AF	Saipan	Par—Maintenance Facility .....	2,800	2,800
United Kingdom				
AF	Croughton Raf	Main Gate Complex .....	12,000	0
AF	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	Guardian Angel Operations Facility .....	22,047	22,047
Worldwide Unspecified				
AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Loca- KC-46a FtU Facility Projects .....	63,000	0
AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Loca- KC-46a Mob #1 Facility Projects .....	192,700	0
AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Loca- Planning & Design .....	11,314	11,314
AF	Unspecified Worldwide	Loca- Unspecified Minor Construction .....	20,448	20,448
<b>Total Military Construction, Air Force</b> .....			<b>1,156,573</b>	<b>1,138,843</b>
Alaska				
Def-Wide	Clear AFS	Bmds Upgrade Early Warning Radar .....	17,204	17,204
Def-Wide	Fort Greely	Mechanical-Electrical Bldg Missile Field #1 .....	82,000	82,000
California				
Def-Wide	Brawley	SOF Desert Warfare Training Center .....	23,095	23,095
Def-Wide	Defense Distribution Depot-Tracy	General Purpose Warehouse .....	37,554	37,554
Def-Wide	Miramar	Replace Fuel Pipeline .....	6,000	6,000
Colorado				
Def-Wide	Fort Carson	SOF Group Support Battalion .....	22,282	22,282
Florida				
Def-Wide	Hurlburt Field	SOF Add/Alter Operations Facility .....	7,900	7,900
Def-Wide	Jacksonville	Replace Fuel Pipeline .....	7,500	7,500
Def-Wide	Key West	SOF Boat Docks .....	3,600	0
Def-Wide	Panama City	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility .....	2,600	2,600
Def-Wide	Tyndall AFB	Replace Fuel Pipeline .....	9,500	9,500
Georgia				
Def-Wide	Fort Benning	Faith Middle School Addition .....	6,031	6,031
Def-Wide	Fort Benning	White Elementary School Replacement .....	37,304	37,304
Def-Wide	Fort Stewart	Diamond Elementary School Replacement .....	44,504	44,504
Def-Wide	Hunter Army Airfield	Replace Fuel Island .....	13,500	13,500
Def-Wide	Moody AFB	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility .....	3,800	3,800
Hawaii				
Def-Wide	Ford Island	DISA Pacific Facility Upgrades .....	2,615	2,615
Def-Wide	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	Alter Warehouse Space .....	2,800	2,800
Kentucky				
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell	Fort Campbell High School Replacement .....	59,278	59,278
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell	Marshall Elementary School Replacement .....	38,591	38,591
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell	SOF Group Special Troops Battalion .....	26,342	26,342
Def-Wide	Fort Knox	Ambulatory Health Center .....	265,000	265,000
Def-Wide	Fort Knox	Consolidate/Replace Van Voorhis-Mudge Es .....	38,023	38,023
Maryland				
Def-Wide	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Public Health Command Lab Replacement .....	210,000	110,000
Def-Wide	Bethesda Naval Hospital	Mech & Electrical Improvements .....	46,800	46,800

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>House Agreement</b>
Def-Wide	Bethesda Naval Hospital	Parking Garage .....	20,000	20,000
Def-Wide	Fort Detrick	USAMRIID Replacement Stage 1, Incr 8 .....	13,000	0
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	High Performance Computing Capacity Inc 3 .....	431,000	431,000
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	NSAW Recapitalize Building #1/Site M Inc 2 .....	58,000	58,000
Def-Wide	Joint Base Andrews	Ambulatory Care Center Inc 2 .....	76,200	63,800
	Massachusetts			
Def-Wide	Hanscom AFB	Hanscom Primary School Replacement .....	36,213	36,213
	New Jersey			
Def-Wide	Joint Base Mcguire-Dix-Lakehurst	Replace Fuel Distribution Components .....	10,000	10,000
	New Mexico			
Def-Wide	Holloman AFB	Medical Clinic Replacement .....	60,000	60,000
Def-Wide	Holloman AFB	Replace Hydrant Fuel System .....	21,400	21,400
	North Carolina			
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune	SOF Performance Resiliency Center .....	14,400	0
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune	SOF Sustainment Training Complex .....	28,977	28,977
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	Consolidate/Replace Pope Holbrook Elementary .....	37,032	37,032
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Civil Affairs Battalion Annex .....	37,689	37,689
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Combat Medic Skills Sustain. Course Bldg .....	7,600	7,600
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Engineer Training Facility .....	10,419	10,419
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Language and Cultural Center .....	64,606	64,606
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Upgrade Training Facility .....	14,719	14,719
	North Dakota			
Def-Wide	Minot AFB	Replace Fuel Pipeline .....	6,400	6,400
	Oklahoma			
Def-Wide	Altus AFB	Replace Refueler Parking .....	2,100	2,100
Def-Wide	Tinker AFB	Replace Fuel Distribution Facilities .....	36,000	36,000
	Pennsylvania			
Def-Wide	Def Distribution Depot New Cumberland	Upgrade Hazardous Material Warehouse .....	3,100	3,100
Def-Wide	Def Distribution Depot New Cumberland	Upgrade Public Safety Facility .....	5,900	5,900
	South Carolina			
Def-Wide	Beaufort	Bolden Elementary/Middle School Replacement .....	41,324	41,324
	Tennessee			
Def-Wide	Arnold Air Force Base	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility .....	2,200	2,200
	Texas			
Def-Wide	Fort Bliss	Hospital Replacement Incr 5 .....	252,100	152,100
Def-Wide	Joint Base San Antonio	Sammc Hyperbaric Facility Addition .....	12,600	12,600
	Virginia			
Def-Wide	Dam Neck	SOF Human Performance Center .....	11,147	0
Def-Wide	Def Distribution Depot Richmond	Operations Center Phase 1 .....	87,000	87,000
Def-Wide	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story	SOF Logsu Two Operations Facility .....	30,404	30,404
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Boundary Channel Access Control Point .....	6,700	6,700
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Pentagon South Pedestrian Safety Project .....	1,850	1,850
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Pfpa Support Operations Center .....	14,800	14,800
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Raven Rock Administrative Facility Upgrade .....	32,000	32,000
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Raven Rock Exterior Cooling Tower .....	4,100	4,100
Def-Wide	Quantico	Quantico Middle/High School Replacement .....	40,586	40,586
	Washington			
Def-Wide	Whidbey Island	Replace Fuel Pier Breakwater .....	10,000	10,000
	Worldwide Classified			
Def-Wide	Classified Location Bahrain Island	an/Tpy-2 Radar Site .....	15,000	15,000
	Bahrain Island			
Def-Wide	Sw Asia	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement .....	45,400	45,400
	Belgium			
Def-Wide	Brussels	NATO Headquarters Facility .....	38,513	38,513
Def-Wide	Brussels	NATO Headquarters Fit-Out .....	29,100	29,100
	Germany			
Def-Wide	Kaiserslautern Ab	Kaiserslautern Elementary School Replacement .....	49,907	49,907
Def-Wide	Ramstein Ab	Ramstein High School Replacement .....	98,762	98,762
Def-Wide	Rhine Ordnance Barracks	Medical Center Replacement, Incr 3 .....	151,545	151,545
Def-Wide	Weisbaden	Hainerberg Elementary School Replacement .....	58,899	58,899
Def-Wide	Weisbaden	Wiesbaden Middle School Replacement .....	50,756	50,756
	Japan			
Def-Wide	Atsugi	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility .....	4,100	4,100
Def-Wide	Iwakuni	Construct Hydrant Fuel System .....	34,000	34,000
Def-Wide	Kadena Ab	Kadena Middle School Addition/Renovation .....	38,792	38,792
Def-Wide	Torri Commo Station	SOF Facility Augmentation .....	71,451	64,071
Def-Wide	Yokosuka	Upgrade Fuel Pumps .....	10,600	10,600
	Korea			
Def-Wide	Camp Walker	Daegu Middle/High School Replacement .....	52,164	52,164
	Romania			
Def-Wide	Deveselu	Aegis Ashore Missile Def Sys Cmplx, Increm. 2 .....	85,000	80,000
	United Kingdom			
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	Replace Fuel Storage .....	17,732	17,732
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Airfield Pavements and Hangar/AMU .....	0	48,448
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Airfield Pavements .....	24,077	0
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Hangar/AMU .....	24,371	0
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Mrsp and Parts Storage .....	6,797	6,797

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
*(In Thousands of Dollars)*

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>House Agreement</b>
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Squadron Operations Facility .....	11,652	11,652
Def-Wide	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	Lakenheath High School Replacement .....	69,638	69,638
	<i>Worldwide Unspecified</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Contingency Construction .....	10,000	0
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Energy Conservation Investment Program .....	150,000	150,000
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Exercise Related Minor Construction .....	9,730	9,730
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Planning & Design .....	10,891	10,891
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Planning and Design .....	75,905	75,905
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Planning and Design .....	36,866	36,866
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Planning and Design .....	6,931	6,931
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Planning and Design .....	50,192	50,192
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Planning and Design .....	57,053	57,053
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	2,000	2,000
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	7,430	7,430
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	5,170	5,170
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	5,409	5,409
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	1,500	1,500
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	9,578	9,578
	<i>tions</i>			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	3,000	3,000
	<i>tions</i>			
	<b>Total Military Construction, Defense-Wide .....</b>		<b>3,985,300</b>	<b>3,708,373</b>
	<i>Kentucky</i>			
Chem Demil	Blue Grass Army Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph Xiv .....	122,536	122,536
	<b>Total Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense .....</b>		<b>122,536</b>	<b>122,536</b>
	<i>Worldwide Unspecified</i>			
NATO	NATO Security Investment Pro-	NATO Security Investment Program .....	239,700	199,700
	<i>gram</i>			
	<b>Total NATO Security Investment Program .....</b>		<b>239,700</b>	<b>199,700</b>
	<i>Alabama</i>			
Army NG	Decatur	National Guard Readiness Center Add/Alt .....	4,000	4,000
	<i>Arkansas</i>			
Army NG	Fort Chaffee	Scout/Recce Gunnery Complex .....	21,000	21,000
	<i>Florida</i>			
Army NG	Pinellas Park	Ready Building .....	5,700	5,700
	<i>Illinois</i>			
Army NG	Kankakee	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	28,000	28,000
Army NG	Kankakee	Readiness Center .....	14,000	14,000
	<i>Massachusetts</i>			
Army NG	Camp Edwards	Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training Add .....	19,000	19,000
	<i>Michigan</i>			
Army NG	Camp Grayling	Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training .....	17,000	17,000
	<i>Minnesota</i>			
Army NG	Stillwater	Readiness Center .....	17,000	17,000
	<i>Mississippi</i>			
Army NG	Camp Shelby	Water Supply/Treatment Building, Potable .....	3,000	3,000
Army NG	Pascagoula	Readiness Center .....	4,500	4,500
	<i>Missouri</i>			
Army NG	Macon	Vehicle Maintenance Shop .....	9,100	9,100
Army NG	Whiteman AFB	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar .....	5,000	5,000
	<i>New York</i>			
Army NG	New York	Readiness Center Add/Alt .....	31,000	31,000
	<i>Ohio</i>			
Army NG	Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant	Sanitary Sewer .....	5,200	5,200
	<i>Pennsylvania</i>			
Army NG	Fort Indiantown Gap	Aircraft Maintenance Instructional Building .....	40,000	40,000
	<i>Puerto Rico</i>			
Army NG	Camp Santiago	Maneuver Area Training & Equipment Site Addit .....	5,600	5,600
	<i>South Carolina</i>			
Army NG	Greenville	Readiness Center .....	13,000	13,000
Army NG	Greenville	Vehicle Maintenance Shop .....	13,000	13,000

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
**(In Thousands of Dollars)**

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>House Agreement</b>
Army NG	Texas Fort Worth	Armed Forces Reserve Center Add .....	14,270	14,270
Army NG	Wyoming Afton	National Guard Readiness Center .....	10,200	10,200
Army NG	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Planning and Design .....	29,005	24,005
Army NG	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	12,240	12,240
<b>Total Military Construction, Army National Guard .....</b>			<b>320,815</b>	<b>315,815</b>
Army Res	California Camp Parks	Army Reserve Center .....	17,500	17,500
Army Res	Fort Hunter Liggett	Tass Training Center (Ttc) .....	16,500	16,500
Army Res	Maryland Bowie	Army Reserve Center .....	25,500	25,500
Army Res	New Jersey Joint Base Mcguire-Dix-	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun (Mpmg) .....	9,500	9,500
Army Res	Lakehurst Joint Base Mcguire-Dix-	Central Issue Facility .....	7,900	7,900
Army Res	Lakehurst Joint Base Mcguire-Dix-	Consolidated Dining Facility .....	13,400	13,400
Army Res	Lakehurst Joint Base Mcguire-Dix-	Modified Record Fire Range .....	5,400	5,400
Army Res	New York Bullville	Army Reserve Center .....	14,500	14,500
Army Res	North Carolina Fort Bragg	Army Reserve Center .....	24,500	24,500
Army Res	Wisconsin Fort McCoy	Access Control Point/Mail/Freight Center .....	17,500	17,500
Army Res	Fort McCoy	Nco Academy Dining Facility .....	5,900	5,900
Army Res	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Planning and Design .....	14,212	14,212
Army Res	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	1,748	1,748
<b>Total Military Construction, Army Reserve .....</b>			<b>174,060</b>	<b>174,060</b>
N/MC Res	California March AFB	NOSC Moreno Valley Reserve Training Center .....	11,086	11,086
N/MC Res	Missouri Kansas City	Reserve Training Center—Belton, Missouri .....	15,020	15,020
N/MC Res	Tennessee Memphis	Reserve Boat Maintenance and Storage Facility .....	4,330	4,330
N/MC Res	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Mcnr Planning & Design .....	1,500	1,500
N/MC Res	Unspecified Worldwide Loca-	Usmer Planning and Design .....	1,040	1,040
<b>Total Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps Reserve .....</b>			<b>32,976</b>	<b>32,976</b>
Air NG	Alabama Birmingham IAP	Add to and Alter Distributed Ground Station F .....	8,500	8,500
Air NG	Indiana Hulman Regional Airport	Add/Alter Bldg 37 for Dist Common Ground Sta .....	7,300	7,300
Air NG	Maryland Fort Meade	175th Network Warfare Squadron Facility .....	4,000	0
Air NG	Martin State Airport	Cyber/ISR Facility .....	8,000	0
Air NG	Montana Great Falls IAP	Intra-Theater Airlift Conversion .....	22,000	22,000
Air NG	New York Fort Drum	Mq-9 Flight Training Unit Hangar .....	4,700	4,700
Air NG	Ohio Springfield Beckley-Map	Alter Intelligence Operations Facility .....	7,200	7,200
Air NG	Pennsylvania Fort Indiantown Gap	Communications Operations and Training Facili .....	7,700	7,700
Air NG	Rhode Island Quonset State Airport	C-130J Flight Simulator Training Facility .....	6,000	6,000
Air NG	Tennessee Mcghee-Tyson Airport	Tec Expansion- Dormitory & Classroom Facility .....	18,000	18,000
Air NG	Worldwide Unspecified Various Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design .....	13,400	13,400
Air NG	Various Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction .....	13,000	13,000
<b>Total Military Construction, Air National Guard .....</b>			<b>119,800</b>	<b>107,800</b>
AF Res	California March AFB	Joint Regional Deployment Processing Center, .....	19,900	19,900

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation			Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
AF Res	Florida Homestead AFS			Entry Control Complex .....	9,800	9,800
AF Res	Oklahoma Tinker AFB			Air Control Group Squadron Operations .....	12,200	12,200
AF Res	Worldwide Unspecified Various Worldwide Locations			Planning and Design .....	2,229	2,229
AF Res	Various Worldwide Locations			Unspecified Minor Construction .....	1,530	1,530
<b>Total Military Construction, Air Force Reserve .....</b>					<b>45,659</b>	<b>45,659</b>
FH Con Army	Wisconsin Fort McCoy			Family Housing New Construction (56 Units) .....	23,000	23,000
FH Con Army	Germany South Camp Vilseck			Family Housing New Construction (29 Units) .....	16,600	16,600
FH Con Army	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Family Housing P & D .....	4,408	4,408
<b>Total Family Housing Construction, Army .....</b>					<b>44,008</b>	<b>44,008</b>
FH Ops Army	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Furnishings .....	33,125	33,125
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Leased Housing .....	180,924	180,924
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Maintenance of Real Property Facilities .....	107,639	107,639
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Management Account .....	54,433	54,433
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Military Housing Privatization Initiative .....	25,661	25,661
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Miscellaneous .....	646	646
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Services .....	13,536	13,536
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Utilities .....	96,907	96,907
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Army .....</b>					<b>512,871</b>	<b>512,871</b>
FH Con AF	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Improvements .....	72,093	72,093
FH Con AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Planning and Design .....	4,267	4,267
<b>Total Family Housing Construction, Air Force .....</b>					<b>76,360</b>	<b>76,360</b>
FH Ops AF	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Furnishings Account .....	39,470	39,470
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Housing Privatization .....	41,436	41,436
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Leasing .....	54,514	54,514
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Maintenance (Rpma Rpme) .....	110,786	110,786
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Management Account .....	53,044	53,044
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Miscellaneous Account .....	1,954	1,954
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Services Account .....	16,862	16,862
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Utilities Account .....	70,532	70,532
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Air Force .....</b>					<b>388,598</b>	<b>388,598</b>
FH Con Navy	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Design .....	4,438	4,438
FH Con Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Improvements .....	68,969	68,969
<b>Total Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps .....</b>					<b>73,407</b>	<b>73,407</b>
FH Ops Navy	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations			Loca- Furnishings Account .....	21,073	21,073

**SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION**  
*(In Thousands of Dollars)*

<b>Account</b>	<b>State/Country and Installation</b>			<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>House Agreement</b>
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Leasing .....	74,962	74,962
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Maintenance of Real Property .....	90,122	90,122
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Management Account .....	60,782	60,782
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Miscellaneous Account .....	362	362
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Privatization Support Costs .....	27,634	27,634
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Services Account .....	20,596	20,596
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Utilities Account .....	94,313	94,313
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps .....</b>					<b>389,844</b>	<b>389,844</b>
Worldwide Unspecified						
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Furnishings Account .....	67	67
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Furnishings Account .....	20	20
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Furnishings Account .....	3,196	3,196
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Leasing .....	10,994	10,994
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Leasing .....	40,433	40,433
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Maintenance of Real Property .....	311	311
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Maintenance of Real Property .....	74	74
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Management Account .....	418	418
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Services Account .....	32	32
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Utilities Account .....	288	288
FH Ops DW	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Utilities Account .....	12	12
<b>Total Family Housing Operation &amp; Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....</b>					<b>55,845</b>	<b>55,845</b>
Worldwide Unspecified						
FHIF	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Family Housing Improvement Fund .....	1,780	1,780
<b>Total DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund .....</b>					<b>1,780</b>	<b>1,780</b>
Worldwide Unspecified						
BRAC	Base Realignment & Closure, Army			Base Realignment and Closure .....	180,401	180,401
BRAC	Base Realignment & Closure, Navy			Base Realignment & Closure .....	108,300	108,300
BRAC	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Dod BRAC Activities—Air Force .....	126,376	126,376
BRAC	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Don-100: Planning, Design and Management .....	7,277	7,277
BRAC	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Don-101: Various Locations .....	20,988	20,988
BRAC	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Don-138: NAS Brunswick, ME .....	993	993
BRAC	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Don-157: Mcsa Kansas City, MO .....	40	40
BRAC	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Don-172: NWS Seal Beach, Concord, CA .....	5,766	5,766
BRAC	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Don-84: JRB Willow Grove & Cambria Reg Ap .....	1,216	1,216
<b>Total Base Realignment and Closure—Army .....</b>					<b>451,357</b>	<b>451,357</b>
Worldwide Unspecified						
PYS	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Prior Year Savings—ANG Unspecified Minor Construction .....	0	-45,623
PYS	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Prior Year Savings—Army Bid Savings .....	0	-14,000
PYS	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Prior Year Savings—Army Planning and Design Fy12 .....	0	-50,000
PYS	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Prior Year Savings—Defense Wide Bid Savings .....	0	-358,400
PYS	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Prior Year Savings—Defense Wide Unspecified Minor Construction ....	0	-16,470

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation			Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
PYS	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Prior Year Savings—Navy Bid Savings .....	0	-49,920
PYS	Unspecified	Worldwide	Loca-	Prior Year Savings—Section 1013 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966, As Amended.	0	-50,000
<b>Total Prior Year Savings .....</b>					<b>0</b>	<b>-584,413</b>
<b>Total Military Construction .....</b>					<b>11,011,633</b>	<b>10,055,563</b>

TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS.

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
<b>Discretionary Summary By Appropriation</b>		
<b>Energy And Water Development, And Related Agencies</b>		
<b>Appropriation Summary:</b>		
<b>Energy Programs</b>		
Electricity delivery and energy reliability .....	16,000	0
Nuclear Energy .....	94,000	94,000
<b>Atomic Energy Defense Activities</b>		
<b>National nuclear security administration:</b>		
Weapons activities .....	7,868,409	8,088,409
Defense nuclear nonproliferation .....	2,140,142	2,140,142
Naval reactors .....	1,246,134	1,246,134
Office of the administrator .....	397,784	389,784
<b>Total, National nuclear security administration .....</b>	<b>11,652,469</b>	<b>11,864,469</b>
<b>Environmental and other defense activities:</b>		
Defense environmental cleanup .....	5,316,909	4,958,909
Other defense activities .....	749,080	749,080
<b>Total, Environmental &amp; other defense activities .....</b>	<b>6,065,989</b>	<b>5,707,989</b>
<b>Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities .....</b>	<b>17,718,458</b>	<b>17,572,458</b>
<b>Total, Discretionary Funding .....</b>	<b>17,828,458</b>	<b>17,666,458</b>
<b>Electricity Delivery &amp; Energy Reliability</b>		
<b>Electricity Delivery &amp; Energy Reliability</b>		
Infrastructure security & energy restoration (HS) .....	16,000	0
<b>Nuclear Energy</b>		
Idaho sitewide safeguards and security .....	94,000	94,000
<b>Weapons Activities</b>		
<b>Life extension programs and major alterations</b>		
B61 Life extension program .....	537,044	581,044
W76 Life extension program .....	235,382	245,082
W78/88-1 Life extension program .....	72,691	78,291
W88 ALT 370 .....	169,487	169,487
<b>Total, Stockpile assessment and design .....</b>	<b>1,014,604</b>	<b>1,073,904</b>
<b>Stockpile systems</b>		
B61 Stockpile systems .....	83,536	83,536
W76 Stockpile systems .....	47,187	47,187
W78 Stockpile systems .....	54,381	54,381
W80 Stockpile systems .....	50,330	50,330
B83 Stockpile systems .....	54,948	60,948
W87 Stockpile systems .....	101,506	101,506
W88 Stockpile systems .....	62,600	62,600
<b>Total, Stockpile systems .....</b>	<b>454,488</b>	<b>460,488</b>
<b>Weapons dismantlement and disposition</b>		
Operations and maintenance .....	49,264	49,264
<b>Stockpile services</b>		
Production support .....	321,416	351,016
Research and development support .....	26,349	29,549
R&D certification and safety .....	191,259	209,559
Management, technology, and production .....	214,187	214,187
Plutonium sustainment .....	156,949	166,449
<b>Total, Stockpile services .....</b>	<b>910,160</b>	<b>970,760</b>
<b>Total, Directed stockpile work .....</b>	<b>2,428,516</b>	<b>2,554,416</b>
<b>Campaigns:</b>		
<b>Science campaign</b>		

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Author- ized
Advanced certification .....	54,730	54,730
Primary assessment technologies .....	109,231	109,231
Dynamic materials properties .....	116,965	116,965
Advanced radiography .....	30,509	30,509
Secondary assessment technologies .....	86,467	86,467
<b>Total, Science campaign .....</b>	<b>397,902</b>	<b>397,902</b>
<b>Engineering campaign</b>		
Enhanced surety .....	51,771	54,271
Weapon systems engineering assessment technology .....	23,727	23,727
Nuclear survivability .....	19,504	19,504
Enhanced surveillance .....	54,909	58,909
<b>Total, Engineering campaign .....</b>	<b>149,911</b>	<b>156,411</b>
<b>Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign</b>		
Ignition .....	80,245	80,245
Support of other stockpile programs .....	15,001	15,001
Diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support .....	59,897	59,897
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion .....	5,024	5,024
Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas .....	8,198	8,198
Facility operations and target production .....	232,678	232,678
<b>Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign .....</b>	<b>401,043</b>	<b>401,043</b>
Advanced simulation and computing campaign .....	564,329	564,329
<b>Readiness Campaign</b>		
Component manufacturing development .....	106,085	106,085
Tritium readiness .....	91,695	91,695
<b>Total, Readiness campaign .....</b>	<b>197,780</b>	<b>197,780</b>
<b>Total, Campaigns .....</b>	<b>1,710,965</b>	<b>1,717,465</b>
<b>Nuclear programs</b>		
Nuclear operations capability .....	265,937	265,937
Capabilities based investments .....	39,558	39,558
<b>Construction:</b>		
12-D-301 TRU waste facilities, LANL .....	26,722	26,722
11-D-801 TA-55 Reinvestment project Phase 2, LANL .....	30,679	30,679
07-D-220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment facility upgrade project, LANL .....	55,719	55,719
06-D-141 PED/Construction, Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project Y-12 .....	325,835	325,835
<b>Total, Construction .....</b>	<b>438,955</b>	<b>438,955</b>
<b>Total, Nuclear programs .....</b>	<b>744,450</b>	<b>744,450</b>
<b>Secure transportation asset</b>		
Operations and equipment .....	122,072	122,072
Program direction .....	97,118	97,118
<b>Total, Secure transportation asset .....</b>	<b>219,190</b>	<b>219,190</b>
<b>Site stewardship</b>		
Nuclear materials integration .....	17,679	17,679
Corporate project management .....	13,017	13,017
Minority serving institution partnerships program .....	14,531	14,531
<b>Enterprise infrastructure</b>		
Site Operations .....	1,112,455	1,112,455
Site Support .....	109,561	109,561
Sustainment .....	433,764	498,864
Facilities disposition .....	5,000	5,000
<b>Subtotal, Enterprise infrastructure .....</b>	<b>1,660,780</b>	<b>1,725,880</b>
<b>Total, Site stewardship .....</b>	<b>1,706,007</b>	<b>1,771,107</b>
<b>Defense nuclear security</b>		
Operations and maintenance .....	664,981	664,981
<b>Construction:</b>		
14-D-710 DAF Argus, NNSS .....	14,000	14,000
<b>Total, Defense nuclear security .....</b>	<b>678,981</b>	<b>678,981</b>
NNSA CIO activities .....	148,441	170,941
Legacy contractor pensions .....	279,597	279,597
<b>Subtotal, Weapons activities .....</b>	<b>7,916,147</b>	<b>8,136,147</b>
<b>Adjustments</b>		
Use of prior year balances .....	-47,738	-47,738
<b>Total, Adjustments .....</b>	<b>-47,738</b>	<b>-47,738</b>
<b>Total, Weapons Activities .....</b>	<b>7,868,409</b>	<b>8,088,409</b>

Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation  
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs



**SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

<i>Program</i>	<b>FY 2014 Request</b>	<b>House Author- ized</b>
Global threat reduction initiative .....	424,487	447,487
<b>Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&amp;D</b>		
Operations and maintenance .....	388,838	388,838
Nonproliferation and international security .....	141,675	141,675
International material protection and cooperation .....	369,625	346,625
<b>Fissile materials disposition</b>		
<b>U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition</b>		
<b>Operations and maintenance</b>		
U.S. plutonium disposition .....	157,557	157,557
U.S. uranium disposition .....	25,000	25,000
<b>Total, Operations and maintenance</b> .....	<b>182,557</b>	<b>182,557</b>
<b>Construction:</b>		
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC .....	320,000	320,000
<b>Total, Construction</b> .....	<b>320,000</b>	<b>320,000</b>
<b>Total, U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition</b> .....	<b>502,557</b>	<b>502,557</b>
<b>Total, Fissile materials disposition</b> .....	<b>502,557</b>	<b>502,557</b>
Legacy contractor pensions .....	93,703	93,703
<b>Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs</b> .....	<b>1,920,885</b>	<b>1,920,885</b>
Nuclear counterterrorism incident response program .....	181,293	181,293
Counterterrorism and counterproliferation programs .....	74,666	74,666
<b>Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation</b> .....	<b>2,176,844</b>	<b>2,176,844</b>
<b>Adjustments</b>		
Use of prior year balances .....	-36,702	-36,702
<b>Total, Adjustments</b> .....	<b>-36,702</b>	<b>-36,702</b>
<b>Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation</b> .....	<b>2,140,142</b>	<b>2,140,142</b>
<b>Naval Reactors</b>		
Naval reactors operations and infrastructure .....	455,740	453,740
Naval reactors development .....	419,400	419,400
Ohio replacement reactor systems development .....	126,400	126,400
S8G Prototype refueling .....	144,400	144,400
Program direction .....	44,404	44,404
<b>Construction:</b>		
14-D-902 KL Materials characterization laboratory expansion, KAPL .....	1,000	1,000
14-D-901 Spent fuel handling recapitalization project, NRF .....	45,400	45,400
13-D-905 Remote-handled low-level waste facility, INL .....	21,073	21,073
13-D-904 KS Radiological work and storage building, KSO .....	600	2,600
Naval Reactor Facility, ID .....	1,700	1,700
<b>Total, Construction</b> .....	<b>69,773</b>	<b>71,773</b>
<b>Subtotal, Naval Reactors</b> .....	<b>1,260,117</b>	<b>1,260,117</b>
<b>Adjustments:</b>		
Use of prior year balances (Naval reactors) .....	-13,983	-13,983
<b>Total, Naval Reactors</b> .....	<b>1,246,134</b>	<b>1,246,134</b>
<b>Office Of The Administrator</b>		
Office of the administrator .....	397,784	389,784
<b>Total, Office Of The Administrator</b> .....	<b>397,784</b>	<b>389,784</b>
<b>Defense Environmental Cleanup</b>		
<b>Closure sites:</b>		
Closure sites administration .....	4,702	4,702
<b>Hanford site:</b>		
River corridor and other cleanup operations .....	393,634	393,634
Central plateau remediation .....	513,450	513,450
Richland community and regulatory support .....	14,701	14,701
<b>Total, Hanford site</b> .....	<b>921,785</b>	<b>921,785</b>
<b>Idaho National Laboratory:</b>		
Idaho cleanup and waste disposition .....	362,100	362,100
Idaho community and regulatory support .....	2,910	2,910
<b>Total, Idaho National Laboratory</b> .....	<b>365,010</b>	<b>365,010</b>
<b>NNSA sites</b>		
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory .....	1,476	1,476
Nuclear facility D & D Separations Process Research Unit .....	23,700	23,700
Nevada .....	61,897	61,897
Sandia National Laboratories .....	2,814	2,814
Los Alamos National Laboratory .....	219,789	219,789

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS  
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Author- ized
<b>Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites</b> .....	<b>309,676</b>	<b>309,676</b>
<b>Oak Ridge Reservation:</b>		
OR Nuclear facility D & D .....	73,716	73,716
OR cleanup and disposition .....	115,855	115,855
OR reservation community and regulatory support .....	4,365	4,365
<b>Total, Oak Ridge Reservation</b> .....	<b>193,936</b>	<b>193,936</b>
<b>Office of River Protection:</b>		
<b>Waste treatment and immobilization plant</b>		
01-D-416 A-E/ORP-0060 / Major construction .....	690,000	690,000
<b>Tank farm activities</b>		
Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition .....	520,216	520,216
<b>Total, Office of River protection</b> .....	<b>1,210,216</b>	<b>1,210,216</b>
<b>Savannah River sites:</b>		
Savannah River risk management operations .....	432,491	432,491
SR community and regulatory support .....	11,210	11,210
<b>Radioactive liquid tank waste:</b>		
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition .....	552,560	647,560
<b>Construction:</b>		
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River .....	92,000	92,000
<b>Total, Construction</b> .....	<b>92,000</b>	<b>92,000</b>
<b>Total, Radioactive liquid tank waste</b> .....	<b>644,560</b>	<b>739,560</b>
<b>Total, Savannah River site</b> .....	<b>1,088,261</b>	<b>1,183,261</b>
<b>Waste Isolation Pilot Plant</b>		
Waste isolation pilot plant .....	203,390	203,390
<b>Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant</b> .....	<b>203,390</b>	<b>203,390</b>
Program direction .....	280,784	280,784
Program support .....	17,979	17,979
<b>Safeguards and Security:</b>		
Oak Ridge Reservation .....	18,800	18,800
Paducah .....	9,435	9,435
Portsmouth .....	8,578	8,578
Richland/Hanford Site .....	69,078	69,078
Savannah River Site .....	121,196	121,196
Waste Isolation Pilot Project .....	4,977	4,977
West Valley .....	2,015	2,015
Technology development .....	24,091	34,091
<b>Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup</b> .....	<b>4,853,909</b>	<b>4,958,909</b>
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution .....	463,000	0
<b>Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup</b> .....	<b>5,316,909</b>	<b>4,958,909</b>
<b>Other Defense Activities</b>		
<b>Health, safety and security</b>		
Health, safety and security .....	143,616	143,616
Program direction .....	108,301	108,301
<b>Total, Health, safety and security</b> .....	<b>251,917</b>	<b>251,917</b>
Specialized security activities .....	196,322	196,322
<b>Office of Legacy Management</b>		
Legacy management .....	163,271	163,271
Program direction .....	13,712	13,712
<b>Total, Office of Legacy Management</b> .....	<b>176,983</b>	<b>176,983</b>
<b>Defense-related activities</b>		
<b>Defense related administrative support</b>		
Chief financial officer .....	38,979	38,979
Chief information officer .....	79,857	79,857
<b>Total, Defense related administrative support</b> .....	<b>118,836</b>	<b>118,836</b>
Office of hearings and appeals .....	5,022	5,022
<b>Subtotal, Other defense activities</b> .....	<b>749,080</b>	<b>749,080</b>
<b>Total, Other Defense Activities</b> .....	<b>749,080</b>	<b>749,080</b>

The Acting CHAIR. No amendment to that amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except those printed in part B of House Report 113-108 and amendments en bloc de-

scribed in section 3 of House Resolution 260. Except as provided by the order of the House of today, each amendment printed in part B of House Report 113-

108 shall be considered only by the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read,

shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

It shall be in order at any time for the chair of the Committee on Armed Services or his designee to offer amendments en bloc consisting of amendments printed in part B of House Report 113-108 not earlier disposed of. Amendments en bloc shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 20 minutes equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Armed Services or their designees, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question. The original proponent of an amendment included in such amendments en bloc may insert a statement in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD immediately before the disposition of the amendments en bloc.

□ 1440

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. MCKEON

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 1 printed in Part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the manager's amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 400, line 15, after "committees" insert the following: "the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives".

Page 405, line 9, after the period insert the following: "The Secretary of Defense shall submit any such classified annex to the congressional defense committees."

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. MCKEON. This is the manager's amendment, and it has been worked on and agreed to by the minority. It contains technical and conforming changes, and it's noncontroversial.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, although I'm not in opposition, I rise to claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I yield myself the balance of my time just to say I agree with the chairman. These are technical corrections that we have agreed to, and I urge support.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I ask our colleagues to support this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. BLUMENAUER

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 2 printed in Part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of subtitle C of title I, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 123. MODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN NUMBER OF AIRCRAFT CARRIERS OF THE NAVY.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 5062(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking "11" and inserting "10".

(b) CONFORMING REPEAL.—Section 1023 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2447) is repealed.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 2 minutes.

I appreciate the hard work that the committee has undertaken. We have before you a bipartisan amendment also offered by my colleagues Mr. MULVANEY and Mr. BENTIVOLIO. The purpose of the amendment is simple: it will help make the naval fleet stronger and more sustainable by allowing the Navy to decide the level of aircraft carriers in the future; stay at the current level of 10 at some point in the future instead of going back to a congressionally mandated level. It does not eliminate any aircraft carriers.

The entire Department of Defense is in the midst of a major reality check as budgets shrink, priorities change, and new technologies emerge. I don't pretend to be a naval expert, but our Navy is being pushed into shallow waters as a result of sequestration. And now more than ever, we should allow them to make the decisions.

I have been a little concerned that some people in opposition say that this amendment would make a 10-carrier fleet permanent. Nothing could be further from the truth. It simply will allow the Navy to decide if it wants 10 aircraft carriers at some point in the next three decades. Now, if they're afraid that this will happen, then it means they think that the Navy 5 years, 10 years, 20 years from now will decide that they have higher strategic needs.

The history of the 12-carrier requirement was imposed for the first time in two centuries by Congress in 2006. That number, being unsustainable, was reduced to 11 in 2007. That cap still being too high, the Navy had to seek a waiver from the Congress to temporarily drop it to 10.

If the amendment passes, the Navy will still go back to 11 carriers in 2016

when the *Ford* is commissioned. But at that point, we should allow the Navy to decide, not people in Congress.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I rise to claim the 5 minutes in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCKEON. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. MCINTYRE).

Mr. MCINTYRE. I rise to oppose this amendment. The Navy is already down to 11 aircraft carriers from a high of 15 during the Cold War. We clearly need these 11 aircraft carriers to maintain a continuous presence in the Middle East, the western Pacific, and wherever else we may be called upon to go. Protecting our national security interests with our allies, such as Israel and Japan, and keeping trade lanes open, require the fleet of carriers that we have today.

Also, these carriers allow the U.S. to maintain influence without having a base in a foreign country. Talk about saving money; carriers are, in reality, mobile bases. This is a critical military capability for the United States, and it must be maintained. Keeping aircraft carrier production on track is also a major jobs issue. We know that tens of thousands of skilled workers support building and maintaining our aircraft carriers, and without them, we would soon lose our ability to build large ships of any kind.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. WITTMAN), a subcommittee chairman on the Armed Services Committee.

Mr. WITTMAN. Simply put, this amendment seriously jeopardizes national security and also our ability to project power and maintain a forward presence in an ever-growing dangerous world. The backbone of our Navy is our carrier strike force. In order to have seven carriers, we need to have 11. There are carriers that are in port to be refueled, sailors that have to rest. Eleven equals seven.

Today we see in the Central Command, they request two aircraft carriers. They're only provided one in the most dangerous area of the world, the Middle East. If we can't meet the requirements that our commanders are asking for, then why would we want to be reducing the number of carriers? That just doesn't make sense.

There's a misconception, too, that because we're moving out of Afghanistan, that somehow there won't be a need for a presence of an aircraft carrier there in the Arabian Gulf. That is absolutely wrong. We need that presence there. The way we maintain that presence is to make sure that we have a minimum of 11 aircraft carriers.

Our forward presence is needed today, and we want to make sure that this is done, especially with the repositioning to the Asian Pacific.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to vote against this amendment.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. MULVANEY).

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Chairman, you can imagine my surprise when I found out that for the last 7 years, Congress has been dictating the number of carriers that are in the Navy. For 230 years we were satisfied to let the Navy make that decision. I was just stunned to find that this was actually happening. I wish I had known. I could have offered an amendment to simply get rid of the requirement entirely, but I applaud my friend from Oregon for at least offering this small improvement.

I would respectfully disagree with my friend from Virginia—this amendment has no impact at all on national security or national defense. Again, there's no impact on national security or national defense.

If the amendment passes, the Navy could have 20 carriers next year if the Navy decided that that's what it wanted to do. All we're doing is taking the congressional mandate down from 11 to 10.

I go back to the words of former Secretary Gates in 2010 to the Navy League. I thought it was interesting what he said. He said:

Our current plan is to have 11 carrier strike groups through 2040 to be sure the need to project power across the seas will never go away, but consider the massive overmatch the U.S. already enjoys. Consider, too, the growing anti-ship capabilities of adversaries. Do we really need 11 carrier strike groups for another 30 years when no other country has more than one? Any future plans must address these realities.

That's all we are doing, Mr. Chairman, is simply giving the Navy more control over how many carriers the Navy has.

With all due respect to all of my colleagues here, I am perfectly willing to trust the Navy with the operations of our naval warfare, more so than I am Congress. With that, I ask my friends to support this amendment, which has no impact on national defense but gives more control to the Navy, to the experts in the field.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. COURTNEY).

Mr. COURTNEY. Mr. Chairman, as long as we are on the subject of the Navy, the Navy actually did report to Congress in February 2013 with their force structure assessment, which called for 11 carriers to be in the force, which followed the strategic review which President Obama and Secretary Gates conducted in 2011, reported in early 2012, which talked about the repositioning to the Asian Pacific, which my friend, Mr. WITTMAN, talked about. And, in fact, articulated the fact that we are going to need more naval projection with that shift in strategy and focus for our country's future national security needs.

Strategy should drive decisions here in Congress, both in terms of the defense bill and our budgets. The Navy has spoken, in fact, as recently as February of this year, with a report which I would be happy to share with any of my colleagues, which clearly articulated an 11-carrier force is what we need today and fits within the strategic review, which we have just exhaustively conducted under the leadership of Secretary Gates and President Obama. I urge a "no" vote on the amendment.

□ 1450

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 30 seconds just to say the Navy is going to have 11 carriers when the one under construction goes into operation. Nothing in this amendment denies them that.

What it says is that, subsequently, going out 20 or 30 years, the decision about the minimum level will be left to the Navy, not Congress.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, who has the right to close?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California has the right to close and has 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. MCKEON. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the remainder of the time.

The notion here that somehow, unless we impose a permanent mandate on the Navy, they are not going to do what my friends from Connecticut and Virginia say they're going to do, I think, is ludicrous.

This is a symbol of Congress micromanaging, substituting its judgment for that of the command structure. It is, I think, important for us to, in a small way, express confidence in them. They will have their 11 aircraft carriers, as the *Gerald Ford* is commissioned. They'll be back at 11.

The question is, are we going to have a mandate in perpetuity to substitute our judgment for the realities of the Navy in 5 years, 10 years, 30 years, regardless of force structure, threats or technology?

This is a small symbol of what's wrong with the process here and why we can't get control over many of the budget issues.

I'd respectfully suggest support for this bipartisan amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield the balance of our time to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. FORBES), subcommittee chairman on the Armed Services Committee.

Mr. FORBES. I thank the chairman.

Mr. Chairman, one of the things that unites Republicans and Democrats in opposition to this amendment, that's why you've heard them take this floor, is that the Constitution of the United States mandates Congress to build strong navies. It doesn't mandate the Pentagon, it doesn't mandate the White House, it doesn't mandate any-

body. It mandates us, and we will not walk away from that mandate.

And if you look at every independent analysis, every QDR since 2001 says we need 11 carriers. If you really believe the Navy's going to come in here and say they don't need them, that's not the truth. What's going to happen is somebody's going to give them a budget figure and say the budget needs to drive the strategy, and that's why you need to cut it down. And we're not going to put them in that position.

Three things: they talk about costs. The reality is it could cost more to have fewer carriers because they don't take into consideration the deployment times we're going to put on the backs of our sailors, or the turnaround time we're going to have, or the increased maintenance cost.

The second thing they don't look at is the fact that, in 2007, we were able to meet 90 percent of our combatant commanders' needs through the Navy. This year we'll only meet 51 percent because of cuts we've placed on their backs.

But the final thing, Mr. Chairman—and this is the essence of all of it—they will come in here, and the people who advocate that will say this is acceptable risk.

Do you know what acceptable risk means to them?

It means how many ships we can lose, how many men and women we can lose, how much equipment we can lose in a conflict and still have the potential of winning if every other assumption we've made holds true.

Mr. Chairman, we're committed to changing the definition of acceptable risk, and saying this: when one of our men and women go into battle, we're going to make sure we've done everything we can reasonably do to make sure they have the highest probability possible of returning to the country they're fighting for and the families that they love. And you can't do it with fewer than 11 carriers.

That's why we're standing with this, and that's why I hope we will reject soundly this amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Oregon will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MRS. LUMMIS

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 3 printed in Part B of House Report 113-108.

Mrs. LUMMIS. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 79, after line 23, insert the following:  
**SEC. 241. READINESS OF INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE FORCE.**

The Secretary of Defense shall preserve each intercontinental ballistic missile silo that contains a deployed missile as of the date of the enactment of this Act in, at minimum, a warm status that enables such silo to—

(1) remain a fully functioning element of the interconnected and redundant command and control system of the missile field; and  
 (2) be made fully operational with a deployed missile.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentlewoman from Wyoming (Mrs. LUMMIS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Wyoming.

Mrs. LUMMIS. Mr. Chairman, my amendment is cosponsored by Mr. DAINES of Montana and Mr. CRAMER of North Dakota. It would require DOT to maintain all current 450 intercontinental ballistic missile silos in warm status.

This amendment would maintain our nuclear triad, where ICBMs, along with submarines and bombers, work together to complicate and deter any attempts at a successful first strike on our country and our allies.

China's nuclear arsenal is expanding. Russia and other nuclear states like Pakistan are modernizing. With inexperienced leaders like Kim Jung Un in North Korea, now is the time not to reduce our most reliable and transparent deterrence.

President Obama continues to suggest further reductions in U.S. nuclear forces beyond the New START Treaty levels and is now bypassing Congress to negotiate directly with President Putin on additional unilateral reductions.

It's important for Congress to legislatively require that any final force structure decisions occur in FY15, as currently planned, and not be prematurely executed.

The ICBM force is in the final stages of more than a decade-long effort to replace and modernize critical-mission components. This makes it extremely cost effective to maintain the Minuteman III fleet over the next two decades.

This amendment is budget-neutral. It simply keeps silos in warm status, so as not to take steps backward that would be costly to reverse at a later date, especially if we encountered unforeseen geopolitical changes.

Congress needs to weigh in on the importance of maintaining our land-based forces so the decision is not made without us.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. CRAMER).

Mr. CRAMER. Mr. Chairman, George Washington said:

To be prepared for war is one of the most effective means of preserving peace.

Besides the United States and the United Kingdom, the rest of the world

has never seriously considered entertaining the idea of eliminating their nuclear weapons. China, France, India, Iran, North Korea, Pakistan, and Russia are all engaged in maintaining, expanding, or modernizing their weapons programs.

We should not continue down the path of reduction and degradation of our nuclear programs, including this important ICBM force. The cost of maintaining this force is minor compared to the price tag associated with rebuilding it should we judge incorrectly.

Now, some will argue that the U.S. taxpayer is funding the maintenance of weapons never used. I submit, Mr. Chairman, that the U.S. taxpayer is funding the maintenance of weapons being used every day, successfully deterring our enemies from launching their own nuclear weapons.

Mr. Chairman, this amendment will save money and may very well save our country.

Mrs. LUMMIS. Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Tennessee is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I have the highest regard for the gentlewoman from Wyoming. She is an outstanding Member of this body. She is doing a superb job of representing her constituents in Wyoming.

I haven't had the pleasure of really getting to know the other gentlemen, but it is no secret that these three, the sponsors of the amendment, each represent an ICBM missile silo field. And these are wonderful bases in our fine country, but these are also bases that we should not give a blank check to and allow to flourish in perpetuity.

The Cold War is over. Our men and women in uniform, led by our generals and admirals, are making some very important decisions about the best way to structure our triad, not to, in any way, give up on the triad, but to accommodate such things as, for example, the New START Treaty, which was overwhelmingly ratified by the other body just a few years ago.

□ 1500

There are lots of technical factors having to do with the silo fields and with the capability of Minuteman III missiles. There are lots of technical factors having to do with the other elements of our triad. But I would urge my colleagues to oppose this amendment despite the fine qualities of the sponsors of this amendment because what's good for a missile base in Wyoming is not necessarily good for American defense policy. And while I have the highest admiration for the gentlewoman from Wyoming, we really need to put this in perspective.

This should be seriously considered by our colleagues; and I would urge

them, at this point, to reject the amendment overwhelmingly.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. LUMMIS. Mr. Chairman, I yield 90 seconds to the gentleman from Montana (Mr. DAINES).

Mr. DAINES. Mr. Chairman, I want to thank Representative LUMMIS for her leadership on this critically important issue and show my strong support for this amendment which I have joined her and our friend from North Dakota in introducing today.

Our Nation's intercontinental ballistic missiles are a vital component of our nuclear deterrence strategy to keep the American people safe from mankind's most dangerous threat. And for several decades, this "peace through strength" policy has worked.

Malmstrom Air Force Base in Great Falls, Montana, is home to 150 of our Nation's ICBMs. I recently visited Malmstrom and met with the leaders of the 341st Missile Wing to discuss the importance of our ICBM mission to our national security. In fact, at the conclusion of the visit, Colonel Robert Stanley, the commander at Malmstrom, gave me this commander's coin. The motto embossed on it summarizes why our defense strategy is effective. And let me read it. It says this:

Scaring the hell out of America's enemies since 1962.

I am grateful for their role in keeping America secure and their enormous contributions to Montana. I believe it will be deeply unwise to rewrite our effective policy for peace. Our potential adversaries in the 21st century may differ from those during the Cold War, but a comprehensive nuclear deterrence capability will remain crucial to our national security.

Our amendment requires the Pentagon to keep our ICBM silos in warm status even as adjustments pursuant to the New START Treaty are made. It will keep potential adversaries at bay and ensure that our crucial nuclear force remains flexible and responsive.

I urge all my colleagues to vote for it.

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the ranking member of the Armed Services Committee, Mr. SMITH of Washington.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, there are two very compelling reasons to oppose this amendment.

First of all, this is, again, not recognizing the reality of sequestration and the defense budget. The way Congress seems to have reacted to the reality of the fact that the defense budget has already been cut substantially and that because of sequestration—which nobody seems to want to put forward a plan to get rid of or certainly won't pass the House and the Senate—the defense budget is going to be cut. So the way Congress reacts is, okay, fine, but I have to protect mine. Don't close my base, don't shut down a ship, don't shut down a plane, and don't move anything out of the National Guard.

All of this is an effort to preserve, in these three States, their military presence, which means money. And I get that. But the Pentagon is going to have to reduce their budget. Every time we pass one of these things that says you can't do this and you can't save money here and you can't save money there, we are creating a hollow force. The Pentagon will not have the funds necessary to train our troops to be ready to perform the missions that we need to if they can't save money anywhere because Congress has stepped in and said you can't because it's mine and I don't want to give it up.

The second reason is we have well over 5,000 nuclear weapons. We will be amply able to scare the living crap out of everybody in the world for a very long time even if we reduce that somewhat and sensibly.

This amendment just cramps the ability of the Pentagon to make those types of sensible decisions. It will not eliminate our nuclear deterrence. Our nuclear deterrence is overwhelming. There is money to be saved in the nuclear programs. The Pentagon can sensibly do that. But here comes Congress, again, to say, I have to protect my own, and I don't care what it does to the budget.

Fiscal conservatives should not support this amendment. We've got to get our budget in order. We've got to do it logically, and logically is not "protect mine and I don't care about the big picture." That's not the way to approach this budget if we're going to have an adequate national security.

Mrs. LUMMIS. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. ROGERS).

Mr. ROGERS of Alabama. I thank the gentlelady.

As chairman of the Strategic Forces Subcommittee, I rise in support of this amendment, and I don't have any silos in Alabama, although I would like to have some.

One of the things I want people to be cognizant of is we need to maintain our resiliency as we go through these negotiations. The New START Treaty does not require these silos be demolished. The fact is, as we just learned with our ground-based interceptors which President Obama decided 4 years ago to reduce from 44 to 30, he reversed course when the world got a little bit more dangerous, and now we're going back to put those additional 14 GBIs in Fort Greeley.

We never know when the world's landscape is going to change. It is much more expensive and cumbersome to try to put new silos in than it is to keep these warm. I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment.

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. HULTGREN). The gentleman from Tennessee has 1½ minutes remaining. The gentlewoman from Wyoming's time has expired.

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, let me close.

Again, I have the highest regard for the gentlelady from Wyoming, but this is an issue of national importance. We should not allow parochial concerns to dominate here. She is doing an extraordinary job of representing her constituents, particularly those of that base. But I would urge, particularly my colleague from North Dakota, to be aware that to the extent he preserves these ICBM missile fields, he may be hurting, unintentionally, his nuclear-capable bomber force. So watch out. If you're going to be parochial, let's go all the way and be thoroughly parochial and don't leave part out.

So this is a very important thing. We realize, as Members, we should put the national interests first. Let's listen to the Air Force, let's listen to STRATCOM, and let's not make pork-barrel decisions back home that may benefit us politically but are not in the national interest. We're all for a strong national defense, and I think there is overwhelming and bipartisan opposition to this amendment.

So I urge my colleagues to strongly and forcefully oppose it.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Wyoming (Mrs. LUMMIS).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Wyoming will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 4 OFFERED BY MR. PEARCE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 4 printed in Part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 93, after line 7, insert the following:  
**SEC. 267. APPROVAL OF CERTAIN NEW USES OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION LAND.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Defense, or the head of any other department or agency of the Federal Government, may not finalize any decision regarding new land use activity on covered land unless the Secretary concerned approves such activity in writing.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "covered land" means ranges, test areas, or other land in the contiguous United States used by the Secretary of Defense for activities related to research, development, test, and evaluation that the Secretary determines, for purposes of this section, to be critical to national security.

(2) The term "new land use activity" means an activity regarding the use of covered land that—

(A) as of the date of the enactment of this Act, is not carried out on covered land; and

(B) is carried out by, or in cooperation with, a department or agency of the Federal Government other than the Department of Defense.

(3) The term "Secretary concerned" has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(9) of title 10, United States Code.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. PEARCE) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Mexico.

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 1½ minutes.

Right now, emerging technologies critical to our readiness and the safety of our soldiers has developed 23 major range and test facilities within DOD. Recently, a problem has come to our attention, and that problem plays out in the White Sands Missile Range that's in my district.

Basically, this center piece of the range is controlled by DOD, the land and the air above it. These pieces here, the north and the south, the air is controlled by the Department of Defense, the Secretary of the Army, but the land is controlled by the BLM. And the BLM recently has approved an encroachment across this land which threatens 33 percent of the missions in White Sands.

There's a launch facility that is up in this very northern corner, and we use the entire 140-mile length. It's the largest overland test base, and we use that to test these new emerging technologies. With the encroachment, then it endangers fully one-third of the missions of the base.

So our amendment simply says that no Secretary of any agency should be able to come in here and put at risk these tests of the 23 different sites located with DOD and with a split jurisdiction like we have here. It's a very simple amendment. It simply says that you've got to go through the process and ask the people here.

With that, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1510

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

While I understand the importance of the Department of Defense's role in all this, there are other agencies that also have an important role.

The National Resources Committee minority has expressed concerns about this because the Bureau of Land Management has their interests, as well as a bunch of other Federal agencies. So this basically gives the Department of Defense a veto power over land use. I want to make sure that the Department of Defense's interests are looked after, but they're not the only interests that exists in our country. So a proper balance of those interests I think would be a proper approach.

This amendment just says Department of Defense basically gets the ultimate veto, and I think that gives it too much power. So I'd prefer to see a more balanced approach and oppose the amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PEARCE. I yield the gentleman from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACK) 1½ minutes.

Mrs. BLACK. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Chairman, as a cochairman of the Congressional Range and Testing Center Caucus, I rise in support of Congressman PEARCE's amendment to the National Defense Authorization Act.

The Major Range and Test Facility Base is made up of 23 installations across the country, including the Arnold Air Force Base based in Tullahoma, Tennessee. The critical testing and evaluation capabilities of the installations are truly a national asset vital to our security. The testing and evaluation performed at these facilities, though often done behind the scenes, helps to ensure that our men and women in uniform have the equipment and the technologies they need to defend our country.

It is vital that we protect these facilities against the various forms of encroachment that can undermine the effectiveness of their operation. My colleague's amendment would ensure that any new use of lands already owned by the Federal Government around these installations be approved by the Department of Defense.

I urge my colleagues to join me in support of this commonsense amendment that strengthens our national security.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. BISHOP).

Mr. BISHOP of Utah. I appreciate the invitation from Mr. PEARCE.

This problem illustrates a couple of overwhelming problems we have. One is that agencies don't talk one with another. When the FAA closed towers down, they put three military bases in a difficult situation because they didn't talk. When NASA changed its policy on manned space flight, it increased the cost of our missile defense system because the agencies flat out didn't talk.

Here is another situation of agencies that simply are not working together, which illustrates a second reason why, in this bill, when we try to talk about land, we're not talking about withdrawing land so that two different agencies have the same land. We're trying to do transfers of land so one agency can make the decision—in this case, it should be the military.

Now, as subcommittee chairman for the Public Lands Subcommittee in the Resources, I want to say I support this amendment, and I would ask that people would pass this amendment. There may be some areas of trying to change

some of the language to limit the scope of what we are doing here, which could easily be done in conference if this amendment is placed on the table in the first place. We already have language in there that deals with White Sands, but this amendment would have to be in addition to that.

So I would urge my colleagues to actually vote in favor of this. If there are some areas that we need to scope down again, we can easily accomplish that if we have the opportunity of doing so in a conference.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Chairman, again, the situation is quite simple and quite transparent. We're just trying to resolve who can make the decisions on land that is owned by one agency and aerospace owned by the other.

Nowhere else in the U.S., nowhere else in the world do we have this long, uninterrupted range in which we can test weapons. The recovery of the bodies of those weapons gives us great insight into the failures or the successes. So if we're going to preserve this national asset, this ability to test new and different weapons, then let's get a clear line of understanding.

I would urge passage of the amendment and yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time and just say I think the gentleman from Utah makes a very reasonable point. Certainly, one agency shouldn't be shutting something down that has a negative impact on another without consulting them. Perhaps if we work on this amendment to figure out some way where consultation is required, there is some sort of balance. It's just the way this amendment is written, it gives the Department of Defense the ability to do what the gentleman from Utah just said the other agency did, which is just whack it and not talk to anybody else.

So we're happy to continue to work on this going forward. In its present form, I am still opposed to it.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. PEARCE).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 5 OFFERED BY MR. COFFMAN

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 5 printed in Part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

In section 301, strike "Funds are hereby authorized" and insert the following:

(a) IN GENERAL.—Funds are hereby authorized

in section 301, add at the end the following:

(b) FUNDING.—

(1) INCREASE.—Notwithstanding the amounts specified in the funding tables in di-

vision D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for operation and maintenance, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, is hereby increased by a total of \$250,000,000, to alleviate training and readiness shortfalls, to be derived as follows:

(A) Operation and Maintenance, Army, for Maneuver Units, Line 010, \$85,000,000.

(B) Operation and Maintenance, Army, for Aviation Assets, Line 060, \$35,000,000.

(C) Operation and Maintenance, Navy, for Mission and Other Flight Operations, Line 010, \$32,500,000.

(D) Operation and Maintenance, Navy, for Fleet Air Training, Line 020, \$7,500,000.

(E) Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps, for Operational Forces, Line 010, \$25,000,000.

(F) Operation and Maintenance, Air Force, for Primary Combat Forces, Line 010, \$65,000,000.

(2) OFFSET.—Notwithstanding the amounts specified in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Defensewide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201 for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program, is hereby reduced by \$250,000,000.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. COFFMAN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Colorado.

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Chairman, my amendment, No. 208, cuts \$250 million from the Defense Rapid Innovation Program—or commonly called DRIP—and moves the money to alleviate training and readiness shortfalls.

The DRIP program is a relatively new program started by Congress in the wake of the earmark ban in 2010. The funding was not requested by the Department of Defense, and Congress uses the program through DOD to provide grants to small businesses. The funding can be better applied.

Yesterday, Deputy Defense Secretary Ash Carter said the sequester hits particularly hard in the operations and maintenance accounts. As a result, training is hurt and our Nation's military readiness plummets. This is unacceptable.

But we can't just bemoan this fact; we have to address it. It is our duty to our men and women in uniform and our Nation's security to ensure that we spend our defense dollars in the most efficient and critical way possible. A quarter billion dollars for the DRIP program is not the wisest use of our tax dollars.

As a former small business owner, I am naturally very protective of our Nation's small businesses. I understand the pressures they operate under.

But I am also aware of the effect sequestration is having on our military's operations and maintenance accounts. We are seeing across-the-board cuts to vital operational funding. The Air Force grounded 13 squadrons for the year. The Navy has canceled ship deployments and deferred maintenance. The Army has canceled major training exercises for the year.

While I am sure that there have been good results from some of the spending in the DRIP program, I am sure that this program is duplicative of many other efforts in the Department of Defense.

There is already \$76 million for quick reaction special projects, \$62 million for emerging capabilities technology development, \$174 million for joint capability technology demonstrations, and \$34 million for the Defense-Wide Manufacturing Science & Technology program.

There is over \$1 billion for Department of Defense Small Business Innovation Research funding, and so on, DARPA, joint programs, and technical support programs. Transferring this money will not leave small businesses or technology development without funding. What it will do is signal to the American people that we are willing to make the hard choices necessary to prioritize our men and women in uniform by supporting the operations and maintenance accounts they rely on, which are a higher priority than the potential DRIP results.

I repeat, the DRIP program was set up in 2010 as a way to get around the ban on earmarks. In today's restrictive fiscal climate, we have higher defense spending priorities that we should fund instead. I ask for your support for this amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, if this amendment passes, we will strip away one of the main tools that we have in the defense budget to ensure that small businesses continue to be part of the defense industrial base.

□ 1520

The Rapid Innovation Fund was created a couple of years ago in order to ensure that small businesses that had technology, that had resources to help the war fighter could get funding to develop that technology to develop those resources and get service to the warfighter sooner rather than later.

In 2011 alone, over 3,500 white papers were submitted and evaluated—proposals for the Rapid Innovation Fund—3,500. Two hundred final proposals were invited. Out of that total 3,500, 177 awards were made, 95 percent of which went to small businesses, 80 percent to current or prior SBIR participants; and the average product value of \$2.2 million, awards to companies in 32 States and in the District of Columbia.

This is an important program to help small businesses continue to be part of the defense industrial base. We should not strip RIF funding out of the bill. If we are going to deal with operations and maintenance, let's do what everybody on the committee wants to do: let's stop the sequester, replace the sequester with something more balanced

to ensure that the O&M accounts, as well as great programs like RIF, are funded.

With that, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Chairman, every dollar wasted in the defense budget is a dollar not spent on defending this country. This is not a program that was ever requested by the Department of Defense. This is a jobs program. I think, given the fact that the Defense Department is under incredible stress, that we've got to fund the priorities that our men and women on the front lines need. And that is putting this \$250 million to operations and maintenance—\$250 million to the spending program that is already duplicated in other parts of the Department of Defense budget.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I would like to request how much time I have remaining.

The ACTING CHAIR. The gentleman from Washington has 3½ minutes. The gentleman from Colorado has 1½ minutes.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I would like to yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SHUSTER).

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I just want to echo what the gentleman from Washington said. This is an extremely important program to small business that operates in the defense industrial base. We are making it more and more difficult for them to operate. This fund, the Rapid Innovation Fund, is just the solution to keeping them involved in the innovation and coming out with new products, faster products. So in the long run, this is going to save money. It is going to have new products the warfighters need. This fund has been very important to them.

Mr. LARSEN and I chaired a panel on this, a panel on business challenges in the defense industry. We traveled the country listening to small businesses. This was what they asked for. It was so important to the development of their products. In fact, when we started this, we had Secretary Rumsfeld come before the committee and say, when I asked him, What would you recommend to businesses doing business with the Department of Defense? And he said, I recommend they don't do business. It's so difficult. In fact, he said, It's like sleeping with a hippopotamus. Eventually, it's going to roll over and crush you, and it will never know that it did it.

This is extremely important to the small business community to keep them engaged. The big defense contractors need the small folks there developing and innovating.

I urge a "no" vote on the Coffman amendment.

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Chairman, the question before us, in an environment

of limited resources, is whether we fund an economic development program for small business. And as a former small business owner, I certainly would think under normal circumstances that would be important. But we're doing it out of the Department of Defense budget, and we're doing it at the expense of priorities within the Department of Defense.

The Department of Defense is not asking for this program. What the Department of Defense is saying is that there are shortages in funding operations and maintenance. So I believe that it's critically important to take this \$250 million that the Department of Defense is now requesting and put it into an area where they are requesting.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentlelady from California (Ms. SANCHEZ).

Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to this amendment. I understand my colleague's concern with the shortfall in the Department's operations and maintenance accounts, but that's really a product of sequestration.

What we are really talking about is innovation here. Innovation generally doesn't happen in the big companies. It happens in the small companies, the companies that are able to move quickly so that we get what we need. That's what the RIF program is about. This is not an earmark. In fact, just yesterday, the pre-notification for the fiscal year '13 process was released. It said: "Any and all companies can put forward proposals." That's not an earmark.

The RIF process contributes to cost savings to the services' training activities. In fact, the Navy added "cost reduction" as a critical focus area in the fiscal year '12 Rapid Innovation Fund broad agency announcement. Several of these selected RIF projects actually seek to reduce operations and maintenance costs to include the cost of training.

I urge a "no" vote on this amendment.

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The ACTING CHAIR. The gentleman from Colorado has 30 seconds remaining.

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Chairman, in this bill, there's already \$76 million for Quick Reaction Special Projects, \$62 million for emerging capabilities technology development, \$174 million for joint capability technology demonstrations, and \$34 million for the Defense-Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology program. The Department of Defense Small Business Innovation Research and Small Business Technology Transfer programs spend about \$1 billion per year in research and development funding for our Nation's small technology companies. The issues that they're talking about are already addressed in multiple ways; and this is,



unfortunately, wasteful Pentagon spending that should be cut.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, could I request how much time I have remaining.

The ACTING CHAIR. The gentleman from Washington has 1½ minutes remaining.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. LANGEVIN).

(Mr. LANGEVIN asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

While I fully understand and appreciate the gentleman from Colorado's intention, I must strongly disagree with his amendment, specifically its choice of offset. Cutting our future to pay for the present is the very definition of penny-wise and pound-foolish. This amendment would have a severe negative impact on small businesses in the defense industry.

The Rapid Innovation Fund was created by the Armed Services Committee. It is a fully competitive program to facilitate the rapid insertion of innovative small business technologies and processes into military systems or programs that meet critical national security needs of the warfighter. Projects are under way now, and just yesterday the pre-notification for the FY 13 process was released.

The Rapid Innovation Fund process contributes to the cost savings of the services' training activities. In fact, the Navy added cost reduction as a critical focus area in its FY 12 Rapid Innovation Fund broad agency announcement. Several of the selected RIF projects actually seek to reduce O&M costs, to include the cost of training. According to the Department:

RIF has a high return on investment while providing a venue for the timely, innovative solutions from small businesses to our near-term challenges.

The ACTING CHAIR. The gentleman from Washington is recognized for 30 seconds.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

In conclusion, I would ask my colleagues to vote "no" on this amendment. I think we have made a good case. I think folks have heard the argument.

Just a final note. The defense business panel that Mr. SHUSTER of Pennsylvania spoke of, we did travel around the country, talking to small businesses all around the country in many States; and everywhere we heard that this is the program, the Rapid Innovation Fund is the program that they see as most valuable. They want us to keep this in place.

I would ask my colleagues to vote "no" on this amendment, and with that I yield back the balance of my time.

□ 1530

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. COFFMAN).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado will be postponed.

AMENDMENTS EN BLOC NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. MCKEON

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, pursuant to H. Res. 260, I offer amendments en bloc.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendments en bloc.

Amendments en bloc No. 1 consisting of amendment Nos. 7, 8, 16, 17, 24, 26, 30, 34, 35, 40, 41, 42, 48, 62, 94, 111, 113, 130, 154, and 159, printed in House Report No. 113-108, offered by Mr. MCKEON of California:

AMENDMENT NO. 7 OFFERED BY MS. FRANKEL OF FLORIDA

At the end of section 549, add the following new subsections:

(c) ADDITIONAL DUTY FOR RESPONSE SYSTEMS PANEL REGARDING INSTANCES OF MEMBERS' ABUSING CHAIN OF COMMAND POSITION TO GAIN ACCESS TO OR COERCE ANOTHER PERSON FOR A SEX-RELATED OFFENSE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(1) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1758) shall conduct an assessment of instances in the Armed Forces in which a member of the Armed Forces has committing a sexual act upon another person by abusing one's position in the chain of command of the other person to gain access to or coerce the other person.

(2) SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.—The panel shall include the results of the assessment and its recommendations and comments in the report required by subsection (c)(1) of such section 576, as amended by subsection (b) of this section.

(d) ADDITIONAL DUTY FOR JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS PANEL REGARDING ADDITIONAL REVISION OF DEFINITION OF ARTICLE 120 SEX-RELATED OFFENSES.—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(2) of section 576 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1758) shall assess the likely consequences of amending of definition of rape and sexual assault under article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice to expressly cover a situation in which a person subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice commits a sexual act upon another person by abusing one's position in the chain of command of the other person to gain access to or coerce the other person. The panel shall include the results of the assessment in one of the reports required by subsection (c)(2)(B) of such section 576.

AMENDMENT NO. 8 OFFERED BY MR. PIERLUISI OF PUERTO RICO

Page 110, after line 15, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 334. ORDNANCE RELATED RECORDS REVIEW AND REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR VIEQUES AND CULEBRA ISLANDS, PUERTO RICO.**

(a) IDENTIFICATION OF MILITARY MUNITIONS AND NAVY OPERATIONAL HISTORY.—

(1) RECORDS REVIEW.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of all existing Department of Defense records to determine and describe the historical use of military munitions and military training on the islands of Vieques and Culebra, Puerto Rico, and in the nearby cays and waters. The review shall, to the extent practicable and based on historical documents available, identify the type of munitions, the quantity of munitions, and the location where such munitions may have potentially been used or may be remaining on the islands of Vieques and Culebra, Puerto Rico, and in the nearby cays or waters. The historical review shall also determine the type of various military training exercises that occurred on each island and in the nearby cays and waters.

(2) COOPERATION AND CONSULTATION.—The Secretary of Defense may request the assistance of other Federal agencies and may consult the Governor of Puerto Rico as may be deemed appropriate in conducting the review required by this subsection and in preparing the report required by subsection (b).

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 450 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate, and shall make publicly available, a report detailing the findings and determinations of the review required by subsection (a). The report shall be organized to include the information detailed in subsection (a) in addition to site history, site description, real estate ownership information, and any other information about known military munitions and military training that occurred historically on the islands of Vieques and Culebra, Puerto Rico, and in the nearby cays and waters. The report shall include any information and recommendations that the Secretary deems appropriate about the potential hazards to the public associated with unexploded ordnance on the islands of Vieques and Culebra, Puerto Rico, and in the nearby cays and waters.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "military munitions" has the meaning given that term in section 101(e)(4) of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term "unexploded ordnance" has the meaning given that term in section 101(e)(5) of title 10, United States Code.

AMENDMENT NO. 16 OFFERED BY MR. HUELSKAMP OF KANSAS

At the end of subtitle C of title V, add the following:

**SEC. 5. MEETINGS WITH RESPECT TO RELIGIOUS LIBERTY.**

(a) NOTICE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Department of Defense shall provide to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate advance written notice of any meeting to be held between Department employees and civilians for the purpose of writing, revising, issuing, implementing, enforcing, or seeking advice, input, or counsel regarding military policy related to religious liberty.

(2) CONTENTS OF NOTICE.—Notice provided under paragraph (1) shall include information on the time, date, location, and anticipated attendees of the meeting and information on who initiated the meeting.

(3) VERBAL NOTICE.—If a meeting to which this subsection applies is scheduled less than 24 hours in advance of the meeting, the notice requirement under paragraph (1) may be satisfied by a phone call if Committee staff provide verbal confirmation of receipt of the notice.

(b) REPORTS.—Not later than 72 hours after the conclusion of a meeting to which subsection (a) applies, the Secretary of Defense

shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate a report on the meeting, which shall include information on the time, date, location, duration, and attendees of the meeting and information on who initiated the meeting.

AMENDMENT NO. 17 OFFERED BY MR. FITZPATRICK OF PENNSYLVANIA

Page 243, after line 8, insert the following:  
**SEC. 568. REQUIREMENT TO CONTINUE PROVISION OF TUITION ASSISTANCE FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

The Secretary of each military department shall carry out tuition assistance programs for members of an Armed Force under the jurisdiction of that Secretary during fiscal year 2014 using an amount not less than the sum of any amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for tuition assistance for members of that Armed Force for fiscal year 2014.

AMENDMENT NO. 24 OFFERED BY MR. GRAYSON OF FLORIDA

Beginning on page 270, strike line 23 and all that follows through page 271, line 2.

Page 270, line 22, after "State" insert "the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and American Samoa."

AMENDMENT NO. 26 OFFERED BY MR. BILIRAKIS OF FLORIDA

At the end of title VI, add the following new section:

**SEC. 6. TRANSPORTATION ON MILITARY AIRCRAFT ON A SPACE-AVAILABLE BASIS FOR DISABLED VETERANS WITH A SERVICE-CONNECTED, PERMANENT DISABILITY RATED AS TOTAL.**

(a) AVAILABILITY OF TRANSPORTATION.—Section 2641b of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 622 of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (g); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection (f):

"(f) SPECIAL PRIORITY FOR CERTAIN DISABLED VETERANS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall provide, at no additional cost to the Department of Defense and with no aircraft modification, transportation on scheduled and unscheduled military flights within the continental United States and on scheduled overseas flights operated by the Air Mobility Command on a space-available basis for any veteran with a service-connected, permanent disability rated as total.

"(2) Notwithstanding subsection (d)(1), in establishing space-available transportation priorities under the travel program, the Secretary shall provide transportation under paragraph (1) on the same basis as such transportation is provided to members of the armed forces entitled to retired or retainer pay.

"(3) The requirement to provide transportation on Department of Defense aircraft on a space-available basis on the priority basis described in paragraph (2) to veterans covered by this subsection applies whether or not the travel program is established under this section.

"(4) In this subsection, the terms 'veteran' and 'service-connected' have the meanings given those terms in section 101 of title 38."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Subsection (f) of section 2641b of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall take effect at the end of the 90-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

AMENDMENT NO. 30 OFFERED BY MR. GRAYSON OF FLORIDA

At the end of title VIII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 833. REPORT ON PROCUREMENT SUPPLY CHAIN VULNERABILITIES.**

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on how sole source suppliers of components to the Department of Defense procurement supply chain create vulnerabilities to military attack, terrorism, natural disaster, industrial shock, financial crisis, or geopolitical crisis, such as an embargo of key raw materials or industrial inputs.

(b) MATTERS COVERED.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(1) A list of the components in the Department of Defense procurement supply chain for which there is a supplier that controls over 50 percent of the global market.

(2) A list of parts of the supply chain where there is inadequate information to ascertain whether there is a single source supplier of components.

(3) The Secretary's recommendations on which single source suppliers create vulnerabilities, as well recommendations on how to reduce those vulnerabilities.

(c) FORM OF REPORT.—The report required by subsection (a) may be classified.

AMENDMENT NO. 34 OFFERED BY MR. CUELLAR OF TEXAS

At the end of subtitle G of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. UNMANNED AIRCRAFT JOINT TRAINING AND USAGE PLAN.**

(a) METHODS.—The Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration jointly shall develop and implement plans and procedures to review the potential of joint testing and evaluation of unmanned aircraft equipment and systems with other appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government that may serve the dual purpose of providing capabilities to the Department of Defense to meet the future requirements of combatant commanders and domestically to strengthen international border security.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 270 days after date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration shall jointly submit to Congress a report on the status of the development of the plans and procedures required under subsection (a), including a cost benefit analysis of the shared expenses between the Department of Defense and other appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government to support such plans.

AMENDMENT NO. 35 OFFERED BY MR. MCCAUL OF TEXAS

At the end of subtitle I of title X, add the following:

**SEC. 1090. TRANSFER OR LOAN OF EQUIPMENT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY RELATING TO BORDER SECURITY.**

The Secretary of Defense may coordinate with the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify and provide for the transfer or long-term loan to the Department of Homeland Security of equipment the Secretary of Defense determines to be excess and the Secretary of Homeland Security determines to be appropriate in order to increase situational awareness and achieve operational control of the international borders of the United States.

AMENDMENT NO. 40 OFFERED BY MS. DUCKWORTH OF ILLINOIS

Page 582, insert after line 25 the following (and conform the table of contents accordingly):

**SEC. 1607. REPORTING ON GOALS FOR PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS AWARDED TO SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS.**

Subsection (h) of section 15 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644) is amended to read as follows:

"(h) REPORTING ON GOALS FOR PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS AWARDED TO SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS.—

"(1) AGENCY REPORTS.—At the conclusion of each fiscal year, the head of each Federal agency shall submit to the Administrator a report describing—

"(A) the extent of the participation by small business concerns, small business concerns owned and controlled by veterans (including service-disabled veterans), qualified HUBZone small business concerns, small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, and small business concerns owned and controlled by women in the procurement contracts of such agency during such fiscal year;

"(B) whether the agency achieved the goals established for the agency under subsection (g)(2) with respect to such fiscal year;

"(C) any justifications for a failure to achieve such goals; and

"(D) a remediation plan with proposed new practices to better meet such goals, including analysis of factors leading to any failure to achieve such goals.

"(2) REPORTS BY ADMINISTRATOR.—Not later than 60 days after receiving a report from each Federal agency under paragraph (1) with respect to a fiscal year, the Administrator shall submit to the President and Congress, and to make available on a public Web site, an annual report that includes—

"(A) a copy of each report submitted to the Administrator under paragraph (1);

"(B) a determination of whether each goal established by the President under subsection (g)(1) for such fiscal year was achieved;

"(C) a determination of whether each goal established by the head of a Federal agency under subsection (g)(2) for such fiscal year was achieved;

"(D) the reasons for any failure to achieve a goal established under paragraph (1) or (2) of subsection (g) for such fiscal year and a description of actions planned by the applicable agency to address such failure, including the Administrator's comments and recommendations on the proposed remediation plan; and

"(E) for the Federal Government and each Federal agency, an analysis of the number and dollar amount of prime contracts awarded during such fiscal year to—

"(i) small business concerns—

"(I) in the aggregate;

"(II) through sole source contracts;

"(III) through competitions restricted to small business concerns; and

"(IV) through unrestricted competition;

"(ii) small business concerns owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans—

"(I) in the aggregate;

"(II) through sole source contracts;

"(III) through competitions restricted to small business concerns;

"(IV) through competitions restricted to small business concerns owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans; and

"(V) through unrestricted competition;

"(iii) qualified HUBZone small business concerns—

"(I) in the aggregate;

"(II) through sole source contracts;

“(III) through competitions restricted to small business concerns;

“(IV) through competitions restricted to qualified HUBZone small business concerns;

“(V) through unrestricted competition where a price evaluation preference was used; and

“(VI) through unrestricted competition where a price evaluation preference was not used;

“(iv) small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals—

“(I) in the aggregate;

“(II) through sole source contracts;

“(III) through competitions restricted to small business concerns;

“(IV) through competitions restricted to small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals;

“(V) through unrestricted competition; and

“(VI) by reason of that concern’s certification as a small business owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals;

“(v) small business concerns owned by an Indian tribe (as such term is defined in section 8(a)(13)) other than an Alaska Native Corporation—

“(I) in the aggregate;

“(II) through sole source contracts;

“(III) through competitions restricted to small business concerns;

“(IV) through competitions restricted to small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals; and

“(V) through unrestricted competition;

“(vi) small business concerns owned by a Native Hawaiian Organization—

“(I) in the aggregate;

“(II) through sole source contracts;

“(III) through competitions restricted to small business concerns;

“(IV) through competitions restricted to small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals; and

“(V) through unrestricted competition;

“(vii) small business concerns owned by an Alaska Native Corporation—

“(I) in the aggregate;

“(II) through sole source contracts;

“(III) through competitions restricted to small business concerns;

“(IV) through competitions restricted to small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals; and

“(V) through unrestricted competition; and

“(viii) small business concerns owned and controlled by women—

“(I) in the aggregate;

“(II) through competitions restricted to small business concerns;

“(III) through competitions restricted to using the authority under section 8(m)(2);

“(IV) through competitions restricted to using the authority under section 8(m)(2) and in which the waiver authority under section 8(m)(3) was used; and

“(V) through unrestricted competition; and

“(F) for the Federal Government, the number, dollar amount, and distribution with respect to the North American Industry Classification System of subcontracts awarded during such fiscal year to small business concerns, small business concerns owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans, qualified HUBZone small business concerns, small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, and small business concerns owned and controlled by women,

provided that such information is publicly available through data systems developed pursuant to the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282), or otherwise available as provided in paragraph (3).

“(3) ACCESS TO DATA.—

“(A) FEDERAL PROCUREMENT DATA SYSTEM.—To assist in the implementation of this section, the Administration shall have access to information collected through the Federal Procurement Data System, Federal Subcontracting Reporting System, or any new or successor system.

“(B) AGENCY PROCUREMENT DATA SOURCES.—To assist in the implementation of this section, the head of each contracting agency shall provide, upon request of the Administration, procurement information collected through agency data collection sources in existence at the time of the request. Contracting agencies shall not be required to establish new data collection systems to provide such data.”

AMENDMENT NO. 41 OFFERED BY MR. MURPHY OF FLORIDA

At the end of subtitle B of title XXVIII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 28 . . . REPORT ON UTILIZATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REAL PROPERTY.**

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the utilization of real property across the Department of Defense.

(b) ELEMENTS OF REPORT.—The report required by subsection (a) shall describe the following:

(1) The strategy of the Department of Defense for maximizing utilization of existing facilities, progress implementing this strategy, and obstacles to implementing this strategy.

(2) The efforts of the Department of Defense to systematically collect, process, and analyze data on real property utilization to aid in the planning and implementation of the strategy referred to in paragraph (1).

(3) The number of underutilized Department facilities, to be defined as facilities rated less than 66 percent utilization, and unutilized Department facilities, to be defined as facilities rated at zero percent utilization, in the Real Property Inventory Database of the Department of Defense.

(4) The annual cost of maintaining and improving such underutilized and unutilized Department facilities.

(5) The efforts of the Department of Defense to dispose of underutilized and unutilized facilities.

(c) CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—The report required by subsection (a) may include a classified annex if necessary to fully describe the matters required by subsection (b).

AMENDMENT NO. 42 OFFERED BY MR. MCCAUL OF TEXAS

At the end of subtitle I of title X, add the following:

**SEC. 1090. TRANSFER TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY OF THE TETHERED AEROSTAT RADAR SYSTEM.**

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, not later than September 30, 2013, the Secretary of Defense is authorized to transfer to the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Secretary of Homeland Security is authorized to accept from the Secretary of Defense, full contract ownership and management responsibilities for the existing Tethered Aerostat Radar System (TARS) program and contracts. Neither the Department of Defense nor the Department of Homeland Security shall be required to reimburse the other agency for any services under the TARS program.

AMENDMENT NO. 48 OFFERED BY MS. BROWNLEY OF CALIFORNIA

At the end of subtitle B of title III, add the following new section:

**SEC. 3 . . . MILITARY READINESS AND SOUTHERN SEA OTTER CONSERVATION.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SOUTHERN SEA OTTER MILITARY READINESS AREAS.—Chapter 631 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**“§ 7235. Establishment of the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas**

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish areas to be known as ‘Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas’ for national defense purposes. Such areas shall include each of the following:

“(1) The area that includes Naval Base Ventura County, San Nicolas Island, and Begg Rock and the adjacent and surrounding waters within the following coordinates:

“N. Latitude/W. Longitude

“33°27.8’/119°34.3’

“33°20.5’/119°15.5’

“33°13.5’/119°11.8’

“33°06.5’/119°15.3’

“33°02.8’/119°26.8’

“33°08.8’/119°46.3’

“33°17.2’/119°56.9’

“33°30.9’/119°54.2’;

“(2) That area that includes Naval Base Coronado, San Clemente Island and the adjacent and surrounding waters running parallel to shore to 3 nautical miles from the high tide line designated by 33 C.F.R. part 165 on May 20, 2010, as the San Clemente Island 3NM Safety Zone.

“(b) ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE SOUTHERN SEA OTTER MILITARY READINESS AREAS.—

“(1) INCIDENTAL TAKINGS UNDER ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT OF 1973.—Sections 4 and 9 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533, 1538) shall not apply with respect to the incidental taking of any southern sea otter in the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas in the course of conducting a military readiness activity.

“(2) INCIDENTAL TAKINGS UNDER MARINE MAMMAL PROTECTION ACT OF 1972.—Sections 101 and 102 of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1371, 1372) shall not apply with respect to the incidental taking of any southern sea otter in the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas in the course of conducting military readiness activities.

“(3) TREATMENT AS SPECIES PROPOSED TO BE LISTED.—For purposes of any military readiness activity, any southern sea otter while within the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas shall be treated for the purposes of section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1536) as a member of a species that is proposed to be listed as an endangered species or a threatened species under section 4 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533).

“(c) REMOVAL.—Nothing in this section or any other Federal law shall be construed to require that any southern sea otter located within the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas as of the effective date of this section or thereafter be removed from the Areas.

“(d) REVISION OR TERMINATION OF EXCEPTIONS.—The Secretary of the Interior may revise or terminate the application of subsection (b) if the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Navy, determines that military activities authorized under subsection (b) are impeding southern sea otter conservation or the return of southern sea otters to optimum sustainable population levels.

“(e) MONITORING.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Navy shall conduct monitoring and research

within the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas to determine the effects of military readiness activities on the growth or decline of the sea otter population and on the near-shore eco-system. Monitoring and research parameters and methods shall be determined in consultation with the service.

“(2) **REPORTS.**—Within 24 months after the effective date of this section and every three years thereafter, the Secretary of the Navy shall report to Congress and the public on monitoring undertaken pursuant to paragraph (1).

“(f) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) **INCIDENTAL TAKING.**—The term ‘incidental taking’ means any take of a southern sea otter that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity.

“(2) **OPTIMUM SUSTAINABLE POPULATION.**—The term ‘optimum sustainable population’ means, with respect to any population stock, the number of animals that will result in the maximum productivity of the population or the species, keeping in mind the carrying capacity of the habitat and the health of the ecosystem of which they form a constituent element.

“(3) **SOUTHERN SEA OTTER.**—The term ‘southern sea otter’ means any member of the subspecies *Enhydra lutris nereis*.

“(4) **TAKE.**—The term ‘take’—

“(A) when used in reference to activities subject to regulation by the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531–1544) shall have the meaning given such term in that statute; and

“(B) when used in reference to activities subject to regulation by the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361–1423h), shall have the meaning given such term in that statute.

“(5) **MILITARY READINESS ACTIVITY.**—The term ‘military readiness activity’ has the meaning given that term in section 315(f) of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–314; 116 Stat. 2509; 16 U.S.C. 703 note), and includes all training and operations of the Armed Forces that relate to combat, and the adequate and realistic testing of military equipment, vehicles, weapons, and sensors for proper operation and suitability for combat use.”

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following: “7235. Establishment of the Southern Sea Otter Military Readiness Areas.”

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 1 of Public Law 99–625 (16 U.S.C. 1536 note) is repealed.

AMENDMENT NO. 62 OFFERED BY MS. BROWNLEY OF CALIFORNIA

Page 232, after line 18, insert the following:  
**SEC. 555. TRANSITION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND THEIR FAMILIES FROM MILITARY TO CIVILIAN LIFE.**

(a) **FINDINGS.**—The Congress finds the following:

(1) Members of the Armed Forces and their families make great sacrifices on behalf of the United States, and, when their active duty service is successfully concluded, members deserve the opportunity to also make a successful transition to the civilian labor force.

(2) When transitioning from active duty in the Armed Forces to civilian employment, members often face barriers that make it difficult to fully utilize the skills and training they gained during their military service.

(3) Members and veterans are too often required to repeat education or training in

order to receive industry certifications and State occupational licenses, even though their military training and experience often overlaps with the certification or licensing requirements.

(4) When members are transferred from military assignment to military assignment, their spouses often face barriers to transferring their credentials and to securing employment in their new location.

(5) More than one million members will make the transition to civilian life in the coming years.

(6) The Department of Defense established the Military Credentialing and Licensing Task Force in 2012.

(7) The Joining Forces program, a national initiative to mobilize all sectors of society to give members of the Armed Forces and their families the opportunities and support they have earned, will make it easier for members and their families to transfer skills learned while the member was serving in the Armed Forces to civilian employment.

(b) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Federal Government and State governments should make the transition of a member of the Armed Forces and the member’s spouse from military to civilian life as seamless as possible by creating opportunities for the member and spouse to earn, while the member is in the Armed Forces, civilian occupational credentials and licenses, with an emphasis on well-paying industries and occupations that have a high demand for skilled workers, including: manufacturing, information technology, transportation and logistics, health care, and emergency medical services;

(2) the Federal Government should assist State governments in translating military training and experience into credit towards professional licensure; and

(3) State governments should streamline approaches for assessing the equivalency of military training and experience, and accelerate occupational licensing processes for members, veterans, and their spouses.

AMENDMENT NO. 94 OFFERED BY MR. FITZPATRICK OF PENNSYLVANIA

Page 335, after line 12, insert the following:  
**SEC. 833. STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF CONTRACTING WITH VETERAN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESSES.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Administrator of the Small Business Administration and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall issue a report that includes—

(1) a description of the impacts of Department of Defense contracting with small business concerns owned and controlled by veterans and small business concerns owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans on veteran entrepreneurship and veteran unemployment;

(2) a description of the effect that increased economic opportunity for veterans has on issues such as veteran suicide and veteran homelessness; and

(3) an analysis of the feasibility and expected impacts of the implementation within the Department of Defense of a contracting program modeled on the program authorized under section 8127 of title 38, United States Code.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section—

(1) the term “veteran” has the meaning given the term under section 101(2) of title 38, United States Code; and

(2) the terms “small business concern owned and controlled by veterans” and “small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans” have the meanings given such terms under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632).

AMENDMENT NO. 111 OFFERED BY MR. MCCAUL OF TEXAS

At the end of subtitle I of title X, add the following:

**SEC. 1090. SALE OR DONATION OF EXCESS PERSONAL PROPERTY FOR BORDER SECURITY ACTIVITIES.**

Section 2576a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (1)(A), by inserting “border security activities and” before “law enforcement activities”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting “, the Secretary of Homeland Security,” after “Attorney General”; and

(2) in subsection (d), by inserting “border security activities or” before “counterdrug”.

AMENDMENT NO. 113 OFFERED BY MR. TURNER OF OHIO

Page 463, after line 6, insert the following:  
**SEC. 10 . UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS AND NATIONAL AIRSPACE.**

(a) **MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Defense may enter into a memorandum of understanding with a non-Department of Defense entity that is engaged in the test range program authorized under section 332(c) of the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012 (49 U.S.C. 40101 note) to allow such entity to access non-regulatory special use airspace if such access—

(1) is used by the entity as part of such test range program; and

(2) does not interfere with the activities of the Secretary or otherwise interrupt or delay missions or training of the Department of Defense.

(b) **ESTABLISHED PROCEDURES.**—The Secretary shall carry out subsection (a) using the established procedures of the Department of Defense with respect to entering into a memorandum of understanding.

(c) **CONSTRUCTION.**—A memorandum of understanding entered into under subsection (a) between the Secretary and a non-Department of Defense entity shall not be construed as establishing the Secretary as a partner, proponent, or team member of such entity in the test range program specified in such subsection.

AMENDMENT NO. 130 OFFERED BY MR. TURNER OF OHIO

Amend section 1244 to read as follows:

**SEC. 1244. STATEMENT OF CONGRESS ON DEFENSE COOPERATION WITH GEORGIA.**

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds the following:

(1) The Republic of Georgia is a highly valued ally of the United States and has repeatedly demonstrated its commitment to advancing the mutual interests of both countries, including the deployment of Georgian forces as part of the NATO-led International Security Assistance Force in Afghanistan and the Multi-National Force in Iraq.

(2) The peaceful transfer of power as the result of the free and fair parliamentary elections in Georgia in October 2012 represents a major accomplishment toward the Georgian people’s creation of a free society and full democracy.

(3) However, since the October 2012 parliamentary elections the new Georgian Government has taken a series of measures against former officials and members of the current political opposition that appear to be motivated by political considerations.

(4) Over 100 former Georgian Government officials have been charged with criminal violations since the October 2012 parliamentary elections.

(5) Similar charges have been filed against members of the political opposition, including Vano Merabishvili, the Secretary General of the United National Movement.

(6) The arrest of the leader of an opposition party is especially troubling, particularly its chilling effect on political freedom prior to the presidential election scheduled for October 2013.

(7) The Georgian Government has taken insufficient action to prevent further violence against members of the United National Movement and to punish offenders.

(8) These actions call into question the Georgian Government's continued progress toward the creation of a free and democratic society in which basic freedoms, including freedom for political opposition, are guaranteed.

(b) STATEMENT OF CONGRESS.—Congress declares that—

(1) the United States remains committed to assisting the people of Georgia in establishing a free and democratic society in their country;

(2) the measures taken by the Georgian Government against former officials and political opponents, apparently in part motivated by political considerations, may have a significant negative impact on cooperation between the United States and Georgia, including efforts to build a stronger relationship in political, economic, and security matters, as well as progress on integrating Georgia into international organizations;

(3) the United States must be unambiguous when democratic backsliding occurs in a key ally after a peaceful and democratic transfer of power between political parties; and

(4) the people of the United States and the Members of Congress express their deepest condolences to the Georgian people on the tragic loss of seven soldiers of Georgia in a suicide bombing on June 6, 2013, and the deaths of three soldiers killed in another suicide bombing on May 13, 2013, while they were supporting United States and NATO forces in Afghanistan.

AMENDMENT NO. 154 OFFERED BY MR. TURNER OF OHIO

At the end of section 2801, add the following new subsection:

(d) MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR LABORATORY REVITALIZATION PROJECTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (d) of section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking “not more than \$2,000,000” and inserting “not more than \$4,000,000, notwithstanding subsection (c)”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking the first sentence and inserting the following: “For purposes of this subsection, an unspecified minor military construction project is a military construction project that (notwithstanding subsection (a)) has an approved cost equal to or less than \$4,000,000.”

(C) in paragraph (5), by striking “2016” and inserting “2020”.

(2) APPLICATION TO CURRENT PROJECTS.—The amendments made by paragraph (1) do not apply to any laboratory revitalization project for which the design phase has been completed as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

AMENDMENT NO. 159 OFFERED BY MR. BILIRAKIS OF FLORIDA

At the end of title XXVIII, add the following new section:

SEC. 28 . ESTABLISHMENT OF MILITARY DIVERS MEMORIAL AT WASHINGTON NAVY YARD.

(a) MEMORIAL AUTHORIZED.—Consistent with the sense of the Congress expressed in section 2855 of the National Defense Author-

ization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, the Secretary of the Navy may permit a third party to establish and maintain, at a suitable location at the former Navy Dive School at the Washington Navy Yard in the District of Columbia, a memorial to honor the members of the United States Armed Forces who have served as divers and whose service in defense of the United States has been carried out beneath the waters of the world.

(b) LOCATION AND DESIGN OF MONUMENT.—The actual location at the Washington Navy Yard for the memorial authorized by subsection (a) and the final design of the memorial shall be subject to the approval of the Secretary. In selecting the site to serve as the location for the memorial, the Secretary shall seek to maximize visitor access to the memorial.

(c) MILITARY SUPPORT.—The Secretary shall provide military ceremonial support at the dedication of the memorial authorized by subsection (a).

(d) USE OF FEDERAL FUNDS PROHIBITED.—Federal funds may not be used to design, procure, prepare, install, or maintain the memorial authorized by subsection (a), but the Secretary may accept and expend contributions of non-Federal funds and resources for such purposes.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON) and the gentleman from Washington (Mr. SMITH) each will control 10 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I urge the committee to adopt the amendments en bloc, all of which have been examined by both the majority and the minority.

At this time, I yield 1 minute to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS).

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I rise today in support of my amendment, which would allow disabled veterans with a service-connected permanent disability rated as “total” to travel on military aircraft on a space-available basis.

My amendment would allow disabled veterans, who have bravely served our country and who have made enormous personal sacrifices that follow them in their daily lives, to travel through the Space-A program at no additional cost to the Department of Defense. The space-available program is a DOD program which allows Active Duty servicemembers, their families, retirees, and certain others to fill empty seats on DOD flights. While Active Duty members and their families will remain the primary beneficiaries of this program in order to assist them with the rigors of military life, my amendment allows these veterans the same benefit.

I would like to thank Chairman MCKEON and Ranking Member SMITH and their staffs for their assistance in the amendment process.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlelady from Illinois (Ms. DUCKWORTH).

Ms. DUCKWORTH. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of my amendment, which will strengthen small business participation in government contracts.

In my district and across the country, small businesses are the backbone of our economy. Small businesses innovate, know how to operate on a tight budget and know how to create good-paying jobs. I want small businesses in places like Elgin, Illinois, to be able to win government contracts from the Department of Defense because I know they will do more with taxpayer dollars and provide superior products and services for our men and women in uniform.

However, the government is lagging behind on awarding contracts to small businesses. We are not meeting our goal of 23 percent of contracts going to small businesses, and 23 percent is a pretty low bar that we should be raising even higher, not be struggling to meet. It is even more unfortunate that we are also failing to award enough contracts to women- and veteran-owned small businesses.

My amendment seeks to remedy this problem by asking the Small Business Administration and Federal agencies to include remediation plans in their annual reports on small business contracting goals. The government should explain why it is not meeting its small business goals. It should identify faulty past practices and propose new practices to increase small business participation. We need an action plan to support our small businesses, and my amendment will do just that.

I thank Chairman MCKEON and Ranking Member SMITH and the committee staffs for their help on this amendment, and I urge my colleagues to support this amendment and our small businesses.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FITZPATRICK).

Mr. FITZPATRICK. I thank the chairman for the opportunity to address two amendments which are part of this package.

The first is my amendment to protect military tuition assistance, an important tool for members of the armed services to obtain the necessary professional development education and to prepare themselves for the civilian job market upon leaving the service. Last year, approximately 300,000 servicemembers used tuition assistance to pursue their educational goals. Unfortunately, last March, the administration chose to end this program, and it took congressional action to overturn that decision.

This amendment would prevent even the specter of ending this benefit from ever happening again. Our soldiers, sailors, airmen, and marines deserve better.

Second, included in this package is an amendment requiring the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on veteran-owned small business contracting and to examine the feasibility of putting a priority on meeting veteran-owned small business contracting goals first, similar to a successful program in

the VA. They will be examining how fair contracting practices for veteran-owned small businesses could positively affect veteran unemployment, homelessness and even suicide.

Mr. Chairman, the fact is there are 250,000 servicemembers transitioning each year from military life to civilian life. One in seven is self-employed or is a small business owner, and about a quarter of our veterans say they are interested in starting or in buying their own small businesses.

They play an important role in our economy. This Congress needs to help them in the transition and in getting America back to work. So I would like to thank the chairman and ranking member on the bill, and I urge support of these amendments.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I yield 2 minutes to the gentledady from Florida (Ms. FRANKEL).

Ms. FRANKEL of Florida. I am the mother of a United States marine war veteran, and I remember well the pride my son felt when he put on his uniform. My constituent, Elisha Morrow, felt the same pride when at age 22 she joined the United States Coast Guard. She started boot camp full of hope for her future.

That hope quickly turned into humiliation and sorrow as her company commander became her enemy. First, he ordered her to clean his office, and he later harassed her with sexual innuendoes and advances night after night. Feeling hopeless and fearing retribution, Elisha stayed silent until the commander became more emboldened. He again ordered another female recruit to his office at night. This time, he ordered her to remove her clothes and engage in unwanted sex.

Thankfully, the victimized servicewoman was brave enough to pursue charges, but because it was determined that she was not under physical threat and that she did not fear for her life, her assailant got away with the lesser offenses of cruelty and maltreatment and adultery, instead of being charged with rape.

This is not full justice. When our daughters and our sons put on the uniform to protect us, the United States, they must be protected to the utmost extent from such an abuse of power. Mr. Chair, the intention of this amendment is to do just that.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. HUELSKAMP).

Mr. HUELSKAMP. I want to thank the chairman for yielding to me. I appreciate, especially, his efforts on the NDAA last year in which we were able to add language that would require the Department of Defense to adopt new regulations to protect the religious liberties of our military personnel, especially of our brave chaplains.

However, since March and since the adoption of that law, we have sent three letters to the Department of Defense, asking for progress updates. The

Department has only responded with an acknowledgment that it has received our letters, but, to date, we are unaware of any progress. Instead, it seems that secretive meetings continue with individuals actually opposed to religious liberties.

In light of this delay, my amendment is very simple. It would require the Department to provide Congress with a report of meetings between employees and civilians with respect to the development of military policy related to religious liberty. I encourage my colleagues to support this amendment.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CUELLAR).

Mr. CUELLAR. Mr. Chairman, I rise today to encourage my colleagues to support my amendment to the National Defense Authorization Act. This amendment will bring the Department of Defense and other Federal, State and local agencies together to map out the futures of UAVs.

I first want to thank Chairman MCKEON and Ranking Member SMITH and their staffs for their assistance on this important issue. I also want to thank those who have cosponsored this amendment—Representative GENE GREEN, Representative TED POE and Chairman MICHAEL MCCAUL.

□ 1540

This amendment calls for the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Department of Homeland Security and the Federal Aviation Administration, to develop and implement plans to review the potential of joint testing training that might serve the dual purpose of providing capabilities to the Department of Defense to protect us abroad and on the international border. This amendment will go a long way to make sure we utilize all available resources and not waste taxpayers' money.

I urge all my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. BRIDENSTINE).

Mr. BRIDENSTINE. Mr. Chairman, I'd like to thank my friend from Kansas (Mr. HUELSKAMP) for offering amendment No. 236. He is a champion for religious freedom.

As a Navy pilot with Iraq and Afghanistan combat tours, I am concerned that senior Air Force officials have taken advice from an anti-Christian zealot when drafting guidance on culture and standards.

The president of the badly misnamed Military Religious Freedom Foundation, Mr. Mikey Weinstein, has described Christians as human monsters and monstrously savage, responsible for racism, bigotry, and prejudice. He even called the presence of committed Christians in the military a national security threat comparable to al Qaeda.

Mr. Chairman, we're not asking to approve the military's calendar ap-

pointments, but given this situation, Congress needs to know when the military meets with anti-Christian fanatics on issues regarding religious liberty.

With that, I urge my colleagues to support this amendment.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, we have no further speakers.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. As I have no further speakers either, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. I encourage our colleagues to support the en bloc amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MURPHY of Florida. Mr. Chair, I rise today to offer an amendment to the National Defense Authorization Act that would address wasteful government spending on unused and underutilized facilities. The Department of Defense has hundreds, possibly thousands, of buildings and structures that it has rated at zero percent utilization. This is an incredible number of useless facilities that taxpayers are paying to maintain.

The extent of this wasteful spending, however, is not currently known, even by the Department itself. My amendment would fix that, requiring the Department of Defense to disclose just how many of its facilities are unused or underutilized and how much it is costing American taxpayers to maintain these facilities. The Department of Defense would be required to report back to Congress in six months, explaining what they are doing either to dispose of these wasteful facilities or increase their utilization.

By forcing the Department of Defense to take a serious look at its facilities, gather data on how these facilities are managed, and develop a coherent plan for reducing costs and improving efficiency, my amendment seeks to eliminate this wasteful government spending.

Unfortunately, the Department of Defense is not the only federal agency that is currently wasting taxpayer money on maintaining unused or underutilized facilities. As a whole, the federal government must do a better job at managing its facilities. At times of record debt, taxpayers should not continue paying for unused and underused buildings. That is not good government, and that is not smart spending.

That is why I recently introduced the SAVE Act to root out up to \$200 billion in wasteful and duplicative government spending over the next 10 years. This amendment is an extension of one of the 11 common-sense solutions included in the bipartisan SAVE Act, holding the Department of Defense accountable for spending taxpayer money on facilities the Department itself has found to be unused or underutilized.

We all agree that we need to reduce government spending. We should also all agree that the best place to start is by rooting out waste. This is a common-sense solution to do just that and I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support this amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendments en bloc offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON).

The en bloc amendments were agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 6 OFFERED BY MR. TURNER

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 6 printed in Part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of subtitle D of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5. DISCHARGE OR DISMISSAL, AND CONFINEMENT REQUIRED FOR CERTAIN SEX-RELATED OFFENSES COMMITTED BY MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) MANDATORY PUNISHMENTS.—

(1) IMPOSITION.—Section 856 of title 10, United States Code (article 56 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended—

(A) by inserting “(a)” before “The punishment”;

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b)(1) While a person subject to this chapter who is found guilty of an offense specified in paragraph (2) shall be punished as a general court-martial may direct, such punishment must include, at a minimum—

“(A) dismissal or dishonorable discharge;

and

“(B) confinement for two years.

“(2) Paragraph (1) applies to the following offenses:

“(A) An offense in violation of subsection (a) or (b) of section 920 (article 120(a) or (b)).

“(B) Forcible sodomy under section 925 of this title (article 125).

“(C) An attempt to commit an offense specified in subparagraph (A) or (B) that is punishable under section 880 of this title (article 80).”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(A) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“§ 856. Art. 56. Maximum and minimum limits”.

(B) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter VIII of chapter 47 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 856 and inserting the following new item:

“856. Art 56. Maximum and minimum limits.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and apply to offenses specified in section 856(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code (article 56(b)(2) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by subsection (a)(1), committed after that date.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I want to thank Chairman MCKEON and Ranking Member ADAM SMITH for their efforts. They had given to Representative TSONGAS and myself the task of doing a bipartisan package to address the issue of sexual assault in the military.

We all know and people have spoken on this House floor eloquently of the tragedy of the issue of sexual assault in the military. We have to do something both to change the culture and to

change the legislative regime that affects the prosecution and the prevention of sexual assault and the protection of victims.

Many times victims report they are revictimized by the system. It is our effort in changing the system so that the perpetrator fears the system, not the victim.

There is one other thing that we need to address. Mr. Chairman, many people have taken this House floor and say we need to go further. The Turner amendment is what we need to do to go further.

We have put in this bill currently a mandatory minimum, meaning if you commit a sexual assault, you are subject to a statutory minimum. That minimum in this bill, unfortunately, is only that you're out of the military. We want to increase that to include 2 years of confinement.

Mr. Chairman, 22 States have mandatory minimums that include confinement, incarceration. Of those 22 States, we took the minimum of those so that we're not going higher than any State.

But here is the issue, Mr. Chairman, that we need to remedy: unfortunately, under current law, if you commit a sexual assault on a base that's in a State that has a mandatory minimum, you might actually avoid a mandatory minimum. That has happened.

In the case of Marine Corps Gunnery Sergeant Nicholas Howard, he committed a rape on a 23-year-old woman. He was a recruiter in Alaska. He was convicted of sexual assault due to DNA testing, and he was found guilty of first degree sexual assault. He was given a dishonorable discharge but no jail time. In Alaska, he would have been subject to incarceration.

Mr. Chairman, we should not have people who are in uniform or on base committing sexual assaults actually avoid jail time because they're in the military. We shouldn't have a lower standard.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield 1½ minutes to Mrs. WALORSKI.

Mrs. WALORSKI. Mr. Chairman, I'd like to thank Representative TURNER for giving me this opportunity to speak in favor of his amendment. He's been a leader on this issue, and I applaud his efforts and commitment to this cause.

Currently, there's no minimum punishment required when someone is convicted of military sexual assault. This means a servicemember can be convicted of a serious crime and receive no punishment. The amendment will impose a mandatory minimum sentence of 2 years confinement and a dishonorable discharge for conviction of rape and sexual assault.

Right now, 22 States have mandatory minimum sentences for those convicted of rape and sexual assault. My State, Indiana, is one of those States. In Indiana, there's a mandatory sentence of not less than 6 years for rape.

It's inexcusable that servicemembers guilty of the most heinous crime should be allowed to remain in the

military, allowing them to coexist with victims and potentially commit repeated offenses. Criminals must receive the full weight of justice for their wrongdoings.

America's sons and daughters deserve protection while serving in the military and should never feel vulnerable or revictimized after suffering from any form of sexual assault or misconduct. This amendment is a much-needed reform that ensures victims receive the justice they deserve.

Mrs. DAVIS of California. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. DAVIS of California. Mr. Chairman, I really respect the gentleman and what he's bringing forward, but the reality is that mandatory minimums have been shown to actually reduce the incidence of reporting.

Judges and juries need the ability to decide with discretion and not strictly by its appearance. Sometimes—and we've seen this many times—mandatory minimums can have the opposite effect: encouraging jurors to make a decision based on the potential sentence as opposed to the facts.

That's why I'm standing in opposition, because we also know that organizations who have worked very hard to look at this issue worry that this could go in the wrong direction. Protect our Defenders, which has been a very strong advocacy group for victims, worries that when a jury knows that a perpetrator will automatically be dishonorably discharged, that the jury will be less likely to assign confinement charges in addition. They need to see the full picture.

So we must take caution to judge every case individually.

As we have additional speakers, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TURNER. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. DAVIS of California. I'm pleased to yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Ms. TSONGAS).

Ms. TSONGAS. Mr. Chairman, I value my partnership with Congressman TURNER as cochairs of the Military Sexual Assault Prevention Caucus and with the legislation we have crafted on combating the horrific crime of sexual assault in the military.

This year, our work together on the Better Enforcement for Sexual Assault Free Environments Act, otherwise known as BE SAFE, led to its incorporation into the NDAA before us today. However, I must take exception to the amendment before us.

I do agree that we must make sure that all individuals who are convicted of sexual assault in the military are punished with confinement—absolutely—but there are many different ideas about the best way to do that. Some argue that a better approach would be a system similar to Federal sentencing guidelines, and that's why Mr. TURNER and I wrote a provision in the defense authorization before us

that requires the Secretary of Defense to provide Congress with a report on sentencing guidelines and mandatory minimum sentencing provisions under the UCMJ.

Before we make additional changes to the UCMJ, we need to see this report. Since we've introduced the BE SAFE Act, we have heard from many groups. One letter from the National Alliance to End Sexual Violence says:

Long mandatory minimum sentences can have a chilling effect on reporting and prosecuting sexual assault in the civilian system, and the National Alliance to End Sexual Violence does not recommend them.

We have to listen to these various voices. We cannot afford to take this risk in the military. Reporting of sexual assault in the military already happens at abysmal rates. We need more reporting, not less. Less reporting equals fewer prosecutions, which ultimately will fail to deter the perpetrators from carrying out this heinous crime.

I urge a "no" vote on this amendment.

□ 1550

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Ohio has 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. TURNER. Do I have the right to close, Mr. Chairman?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from California has the right to close.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the concern that I have heard from the other side of the aisle. The issue, I think, comes down to being in a military uniform should not be a get-out-of-jail-free card. Basically the state of the law is that if you're in a State that has a mandatory minimum and you commit a sexual assault or a rape, you're going to jail. But yet under our law, you could be a member of the military and commit a sexual assault or rape and be free from incarceration even if you commit the assault or rape off base.

When we talk about wanting to make certain that we uphold the victims and make certain that the perpetrator is the person who feels insecure and threatened by the system, you can't have a system that threatens the perpetrator when the perpetrator knows that being a man or woman in uniform or by being on base and a member of the military that you're subject to a lower standard in conviction and sentencing.

The case we have in Alaska where a member of our military, a member of the Marine Corps, committed a rape and then received no jail time whatsoever—no jail time whatsoever—and if he had been off base or if he been a civilian in Alaska, he would have been subject to a significant mandatory minimum of incarceration.

When people ask what's different in the military, this is different. We need a mandatory minimum that says if you commit a sexual assault and you're

convicted, you are out of the military, you are dishonorably discharged, and you are going to jail. And that mandatory minimum will be at least 2 years of incarceration.

I was just at a facility where I asked the commanders what had occurred on their facility with sexual assault, and they reported there had been a sexual assault and there was currently someone in jail, in the brig for 7 months. They didn't get a dishonorable discharge. That's all they got, 7 months. They were going to be out walking among their fellow men and women, and they will have committed a sexual assault. That has a chilling effect both on reporting, and it also creates an environment where people who are perpetrators feel they could be safe.

Our law, this amendment, would make it: you're out, mandatory, dishonorable discharge, 2 years in prison, and that's it. We urge support for the Turner amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mrs. DAVIS of California. I yield 1 minute to the gentlelady from California (Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ).

Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California. Mr. Chairman, I thank the ranking member.

I rise in opposition to this amendment. Congress is of course outraged over the ongoing cases of sexual assault and sexual harassment occurring in our military. There's no one in this Chamber who doesn't believe that criminals should pay for such violent and atrocious crime, and so I understand why my good colleague on the other side would offer such an amendment.

I'll say several things. First, I'm pretty much opposed to mandatory minimum sentences in general. But this base bill, the base bill that we're considering today, the committee requires the Department of Defense to provide a report on mandatory minimums and sentencing guidelines in order to make sure that such sentencing reforms will not discourage the victims from reporting.

And in addition to that, in the base bill, if you are convicted of these crimes, you will be dishonorably discharged. So in order to avoid imposing laws that may harm victims, I urge my colleagues to vote against this amendment.

Mrs. DAVIS of California. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

This is a complex issue. We know that. I think what we feel is this further complicates it. I think my colleague has introduced with Congresswoman TSONGAS a bill that does much of what we're talking about here, but there is an exception in terms of the way that the jury is able to move forward here. We think that this actually makes sense so that the decisions that are made are absolutely based on an individual case and what we can offer in terms of making certain that the perpetrator is held accountable.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 9 OFFERED BY MR. RIGELL

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 9 printed in Part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. RIGELL. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of title III, insert the following:  
**SEC. 352. MODIFICATION OF TEMPORARY SUSPENSION OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE COMPETITIONS FOR CONVERSION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FUNCTIONS TO CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE.**

(a) MODIFICATION.—Section 325 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2253) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking "Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees the certification required under subsection (d)" and inserting "Comptroller General submits to the congressional defense committees the assessment required under subsection (c)"; and

(2) by striking subsection (d).

(b) EXEMPTION OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS.—The Secretary of Defense may exempt from study or competition pursuant to Office of Management and Budget Circular A-76 those functions or workloads which are the subject of an existing public-private partnership.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. RIGELL) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. RIGELL. Mr. Chairman, these are very, very challenging fiscal times. Our deficit continues to grow, and that is putting pressure on every single line of our Federal budget, including defense. And yet the world has not become a safer place. So what's clear is we have a duty, an absolute duty, to invest each and every dollar of our defense dollars wisely, and that's exactly what my amendment does. It does that by eliminating a regulation that's holding back competition; and in doing so, it's hurting the American taxpayer.

When it comes to understanding the value of introducing competition into things, the American people get it. From groceries to computers, we know when competition is introduced, good things happen. The prices go down and the quality goes up.

The same is true, or it should be true, when it comes to the Department of Defense and the ability of the private sector and the public sector to compete. President Obama put it this way. He said:

Taxpayers may receive more value for their dollars if not inherently governmental activities that can be provided commercially are subject to the forces of competition.

In my service to my district, I'm always looking for commonsense ideas



and common ground. On this particular issue, I see both in the President's statement.

My amendment moves competition forward by eliminating a full moratorium that Congress has put in place. The Department of Defense said in 2011 that it wanted that particular moratorium removed so it could meet its statutory obligation. What is that statutory obligation? It's this, and this comes right out of their own report and recommendations:

The Secretary of Defense shall use the least costly form of personnel consistent with military requirements and other needs of the Department.

Well, we know that some activities are inherently governmental. For example, criminal investigations. My amendment has nothing to do with those types of activities. They should be performed by the Federal Government. But other activities, Mr. Chairman, for example, janitorial services, that's not inherently governmental and should be subject to competition. That's what this amendment opens up. It really isn't effecting what's known as the 50/50 rule and those core services that are provided by depots. I believe that the amendment represents both common sense and common ground. I urge my colleagues to vote for it.

I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. COFFMAN).

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Chairman, over the past few years, the prevailing trend within the Department of Defense has been an overreliance on Federal employees to perform commercial services. Given our Nation's need for fiscal austerity, a problem made more acute by mandated sequester cuts, it is important that Congress provide the Pentagon with the necessary tools to drive efficiencies and cost savings. Public-private competitions are one such tool.

Public-private competitions are an effective way of injecting performance and accountability into government operations. The private sector constantly competes for new business opportunities. When the Federal Government performs commercial functions, they, too, should be required to compete. Unfortunately, Congress has placed a moratorium on public-private cost competitions, effectively granting monopoly power to the Federal Government when it comes to providing commercially available goods and services. We all know that without competition, both innovation and quality suffer.

The amendment does not mandate the use of public-private competitions. It simply unlocks an essential tool that the Defense Department can use to drive cost effectiveness and efficiencies, and save valuable taxpayer dollars.

Mr. RIGELL. I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. HANABUSA. Mr. Chairman, I rise to claim the time in opposition to the Rigell amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Hawaii is recognized for 5 minutes.

□ 1600

Ms. HANABUSA. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 1 minute.

Mr. Chairman, the Rigell amendment would lift the current moratorium on the public-private competition to the A-76 process. Unfortunately, it is based on very faulty assumptions.

Lifting the moratorium will eliminate the incentives the Department needs to fix the A-76 process, as well as finish the service contracts inventory. It's based on the following assumption, which has been proven to be faulty, that the private contractors, for some reason, save money; and we know from the program reports that that is not true.

As DOD evaluates the correct balance between civilian and contractor personnel, it is critical to make sure that our Federal employees, the strength of our country, the backbone of defense, are protected. Efficient government requires focused attention on supporting and strengthening our dedicated Federal workforce and making sure that they have the tools they need to complete our mission.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RIGELL. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I just would respond to the gentlelady. I appreciate her comments. And I, too, am a strong supporter of our Federal workforce. I just believe that they can compete and should compete.

This is good for America, good for our ability to defend our great country, and good for the American taxpayer.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. HANABUSA. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. CARTWRIGHT).

Mr. CARTWRIGHT. Thank you, Representative HANABUSA.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to this amendment and in support of the over 5,000 men and women who work at the Tobyhanna Army Depot who support our warfighters.

The OMB circular A-76 process, which this amendment seeks to reinstate, has been prohibited because it's unfair to Federal employees and wasteful of taxpayer dollars.

The OMB and the Pentagon, who have historically been the biggest boosters of this process, both acknowledge that A-76 is flawed and they oppose its revival.

The DOD acknowledges it's still improving its statutorily required improvements, and it would be rash for us to jump past their internal procedures for improvement.

Lifting the moratorium would eliminate the incentives the Department needs to fix the A-76 process, and we would not be doing our jobs if we rushed to allow a flawed procedure to lay off our dedicated civilian workforce and, in many cases, hurt the taxpayers in the process.

Mr. RIGELL. Mr. Chairman, I just refuse to agree with the gentleman's proposition there about laying Federal employees off. This does not state that, has nothing to do with that, in fact. It just simply says that this is a tool for the Department of Defense to use. It does not require public-private competitions to go forward.

I just believe in the Federal worker. I believe in the free market as well, that competition is a good thing, and it needs to be introduced, because this is how we will make our defense dollars go as far as they can possibly go.

I see this as a duty to the American people to advance this amendment. I ask my colleagues to support it.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. HANABUSA. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. BISHOP).

Mr. BISHOP of Utah. Mr. Chairman, even though I appreciate the efforts and the goals of the gentlemen who are introducing this amendment, the experience at most of our air logistics centers simply means that A-76 has brought along delays; and those delays, even if they're in the form of a study, have caused the work to delay, meaning the product given to the warfighter is delayed, and the fixed cost overhead that our depots obviously have faced have to be paid from some source, which is, indeed, the taxpayer.

A-76 is about low cost and not necessarily best value, which means if you're dealing with a market system where something goes out there, you see if it sells or not, that's okay. But you're dealing with military equipment which must be performed and must be prepared on a timely basis and in a specific way. And that is why the Department of Defense and the Office of Management and Budget are both opposing this amendment, as well as why they halted the process in the first place, because they found there are structural flaws inherent in this process.

It is better to go about finding a better solution to this, and that is public-private partnerships, which we are already doing at the air logistics centers. By taking the creativity of the private sector with the stability of the public workforce, we actually get the best of both worlds. That would be far better than tearing this open for a food fight that would affect the quality of military equipment which is at stake.

Ms. HANABUSA. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES).

Mr. JONES. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to this amendment. We fought this issue of the A-76 several years ago in a bipartisan way and we were able to put it on the shelf for a period of time, and I think trying to activate it and bring it back is absolutely the wrong thing to do.

I have Cherry Point Marine Air Station in my district. I have a depot there with over 4,000 workers. They pick up and go overseas and fight these wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, leave

their families back home, and stand right there with the warfighter.

We need to kill this amendment because it is opposed by the OMB, by the DOD, and there is no reason to reactivate the A-76. It should be dead and buried.

Ms. HANABUSA. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. STEWART).

Mr. STEWART. Mr. Chairman, I had the honor of serving as a B-1 pilot in the United States Air Force for 14 years. My last assignment was working as a liaison between the Air Force and a multitude of private contractors. And because of this, I saw firsthand the struggles that the military had in successfully implementing A-76 contract requirements. I saw it lead to a slowdown in work that was being performed and, in some cases, actual complete work stoppage.

As a conservative, and I want to be clear on this, I have always supported free markets and open competition. But markets can only be free when there's a level playing field, and that is not possible under the current rules regarding A-76 contracting.

Neither the military nor the private contractors are well-served by a flawed process that leads to a flawed result, which is the reason why the Department of Defense has spoken out so strongly against this amendment.

The Department appreciates the value of A-76 public-private competition as a tool to help the Department's workforce, and I do as well. However, the Department has also identified a number of improvements in policy changes that could lead to implementation before the moratorium is removed. The Department is working hard to put these processes in place. Let's give them a little more time to do that.

Ms. HANABUSA. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Chairman, it is faulty to assume, when the Department of Defense has not resolved longstanding problems in the A-76 process that have been identified by the GAO and the DOD, that this is the way to proceed. We must keep that moratorium on until we are certain that, in fact, this is in the best interest of the people of our great Nation.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LOEBSACK. Mr. Chair, I rise today in strong opposition to this amendment.

The current moratorium on the use of A-76 competitions was put in place under President Bush after the Department of Defense Inspector General and the non-partisan GAO found significant flaws with the A-76 process, including that the costs of A-76 competitions often exceeded the estimated savings. Those flaws have not been fixed.

Put simply—lifting the moratorium on A-76 would not save taxpayer dollars, would not be in the best interest of our military readiness, and is not supported by the Department of Defense.

In fact, the Department of Defense opposes lifting this moratorium until the significant problems with these competitions are addressed.

Moreover, as co-chair of the Depot Caucus, I appreciate that this amendment would exempt public-private partnerships but I'm deeply concerned that lifting the A-76 moratorium and putting back into place a severely flawed system would do significant damage to our organic industrial base, including our arsenals and depots, at a time when it is critical that we maintain these facilities' capabilities to equip our troops.

I proudly represent Rock Island Arsenal, where thousands of highly skilled people work every day to equip our troops. Our organic industrial base has time and again shown their critical importance to our men and women in uniform. When our troops on the ground needed improved armor on their vehicles, it was Rock Island Arsenal that was able to rapidly produce and field that life-saving armor to protect our troops. As a military parent, I am thankful that the workforce at Rock Island Arsenal and in organic industrial base facilities across our country is there to equip our men and women in uniform.

In addition, I strongly support public-private partnerships between our organic industrial base and the private sector because they leverage the skills and capabilities from both sectors to equip our troops and improve our national security readiness while benefiting the taxpayer and supporting the highly skilled workforce at our arsenals and depots.

Conversely, A-76 competitions do not produce best value for the Department of Defense and our service men and women.

The deeply flawed A-76 process should not be reinstated and I strongly oppose lifting the moratorium.

For these reasons, I oppose this amendment and urge my colleagues to join me in voting against it.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. SIMPSON). The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. RIGELL).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. RIGELL. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 10 OFFERED BY MR. MCGOVERN

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 10 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Strike section 1222 and insert the following:

**SEC. 1222. COMPLETION OF ACCELERATED TRANSITION OF UNITED STATES COMBAT AND MILITARY AND SECURITY OPERATIONS TO THE GOVERNMENT OF AFGHANISTAN.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—It is the policy of the United States that, in coordination with the Government of Afghanistan, North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) member countries, and other allies in Afghanistan, the President shall—

(1) complete the accelerated transition of United States combat operations to the Gov-

ernment of Afghanistan by not later than December 31, 2013;

(2) complete the accelerated transition of United States military and security operations to the Government of Afghanistan and redeploy United States Armed Forces from Afghanistan (including operations involving military and security-related contractors) by not later than December 31, 2014; and

(3) pursue robust negotiations leading to a political settlement and reconciliation of the internal conflict in Afghanistan, to include the Government of Afghanistan, all interested parties within Afghanistan and with the observance and support of representatives of donor nations active in Afghanistan and regional governments and partners in order to secure a secure and independent Afghanistan and regional security and stability.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that should the President determine the necessity to maintain United States troops in Afghanistan to carry out missions after December 31, 2014, and such presence and missions should be authorized by a separate vote of Congress not later than June 1, 2014.

(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed so as to limit or prohibit any authority of the President to—

(1) modify the military strategy, tactics, and operations of United States Armed Forces as such Armed Forces redeploy from Afghanistan;

(2) attack Al Qaeda forces wherever such forces are located;

(3) provide financial support and equipment to the Government of Afghanistan for the training and supply of Afghanistan military and security forces; or

(4) gather, provide, and share intelligence with United States allies operating in Afghanistan and Pakistan.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Massachusetts.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 1 minute.

Mr. Chairman, the war in Afghanistan has gone on for more than 12 years, the longest war in American history. 2,235 U.S. military personnel have been killed, over 17,000 have been wounded, and more will fall before our troops finally come home. The human and financial costs are staggering; \$778 billion on Operation Enduring Freedom, nearly all of that in Afghanistan, \$7.2 billion each and every month.

The President has announced and is implementing a timetable to wind down U.S. military operations in Afghanistan. He's carrying it out.

This amendment requires the President to stick to his timetable, accelerate it if he can. And depending on your point of view, this amendment puts the wind at the President's back, or holds his feet to the fire, to fulfill the promises he made to our brave troops, their families, and the American people.

More importantly, it expresses that should U.S. troops be asked to remain in Afghanistan beyond 2014, then Congress needs to take its constitutional

responsibility seriously and hold a specific vote to authorize that mission and troop presence.

The future and fate of tens of thousands of uniformed men and women deserve a vote. I ask all my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to vote "yes" on the McGovern-Jones-Smith-Lee-Garamendi amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1610

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I rise to claim the time in opposition, although I will not oppose the amendment in its current form.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCKEON. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This amendment is a reflection of the President's current policy in Afghanistan. My concerns about the President's Afghanistan policy are well documented. I do not believe we should have given our enemies the comfort of a date certain for our withdrawal. I believe the commander in chief should only commit our troops to combat if he is committed to getting the job done right.

Notwithstanding my underlying concerns, I must acknowledge the amendment articulates a policy that reflects the President's current policy.

I look forward to working with the sponsors of this amendment to further perfect the language going forward. In particular, I note that the amendment expresses the sense of Congress that a post-2014 troop presence should be authorized by a vote in Congress. This Congressman does not agree with that assertion. The Congress does not vote on Status of Forces Agreements with other countries. They are not defense treaties. It would be bad policy and a bad precedent to treat Afghanistan any differently. Moreover, the underlying bill takes meaningful steps to ensure any Bilateral Security Agreement with Afghanistan protects U.S. interests and our troops' ability to defend themselves.

This is not a trivial issue. Our vital national security interests are at stake during this delicate time period in Afghanistan. My position is unchanged. The transition of the mission should be based upon the conditions on the ground and the input of our commanders. I, for one, hope that the President's decisionmaking process is not based on a non-binding restatement of his current policies. Rather, I hope his decisionmaking is commensurate with the national security interests at stake.

I look forward to working with the gentleman further and reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Chairman, at this time, it's my pleasure to yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES), a cosponsor.

Mr. JONES. Mr. Chairman, it's been said before, we have been in Afghani-

stan for 12 years. We in Congress should have the opportunity to vote "yes" or "no" on any commitment of troops after 2014.

As a former Commandant of the United States Marine Corps who agrees with my opinion that we should withdraw our troops from Afghanistan said to me, and I quote the Commandant:

What do we say to the mother and father—to the wife—of the last marine or soldier killed to support a corrupt government and a corrupt leader in a war that cannot be won?

Mr. Chairman, Congress has neglected this war for far too long. We should not allow another American to die in Afghanistan unless we vote on the policy.

The American people want our troops out. The American people know that Afghanistan is a failed policy. The American people do not want any more blood or any more treasure to be spent in Afghanistan.

I join my friend from Massachusetts and my other friends: please vote for this amendment offered by Mr. MCGOVERN, myself, and others. It is the right thing to do for our military, it's the right thing to do for our Nation, and it is our constitutional responsibility.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Chairman, I'm privileged to now yield 1½ minutes to the ranking member of the Armed Services Committee, Mr. SMITH.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts for his leadership on this issue.

My opinion is that we have done what we can do in Afghanistan. A substantial portion of the mission, which was very clear, was to try to contain the Taliban and contain al Qaeda so they could never again use it as a base to attack our country. And it is not easy work. As Mr. JONES pointed out, and others, there are many, many problems and challenges in Afghanistan, not the least of which is the corruption within the government.

Our goal has always been clear: whatever the minimum is to get a government that can stand and deny a safe haven to those who threaten America. That was a fight worth doing. But we have done what we can do. We have trained hundreds of thousands of Afghan national security forces, and it is time to turn that responsibility over to Afghanistan.

It will always be a challenging part of the world. In both Afghanistan and Pakistan, violent extremists are abundant, and we'll have to keep an eye on it. But we do not need to have the troop levels that we have now. We need to draw down in a very responsible way, and I think the gentleman's amendment lays out a plan to do that.

Therefore, I support it, and, again, I support him for his efforts to get us out of Afghanistan as soon as we responsibly can.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Chairman, how much time remains?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Massachusetts has 1¾ minutes remaining.

Mr. MCGOVERN. I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from California (Mr. ROHRBACHER).

Mr. ROHRBACHER. Keeping our troops in Afghanistan any longer than they have to absolutely be there is a disservice to those people who are protecting our country. They are now doing what we said they would do once we have announced that we were leaving; they're picking our people off. How do we explain to those parents who are losing their children in the next few months?

Let's not send any more over there, and if we do, let's make sure that it's a decision made by the House of the people rather than just by a clique someplace in the Pentagon or elsewhere. We need to make sure that we're watching out for our troops, and I think that this is the best amendment that would do just that.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Chairman, I will close. I yield myself the remaining time.

Mr. Chairman, hundreds of billions of dollars and tens of thousands of U.S.-NATO allies and Afghan lives have been lost. It is time to end the war in Afghanistan, bring our troops home, and take seriously our duty as a Congress to specifically authorize any mission and troop presence beyond 2014.

We are not bystanders in this war. We are responsible for sending thousands and thousands of men and women over into Afghanistan. The least we can do is take seriously our duty as a Congress and authorize any mission and troop presence beyond 2014.

Members of Congress ought to go on record as to where they stand on this. We owe it to our troops, and we owe it to their families and the American people. I urge my colleagues to support the McGovern-Jones-Smith amendment on Afghanistan and send a signal to the administration and to others that we take our responsibility in this matter very seriously. We will have a vote if this war goes beyond what the President has stated.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, at this time, I yield the balance of my time to the gentleman from Texas, the vice chairman of the committee, Mr. THORNBERRY.

Mr. THORNBERRY. I thank the chairman for yielding.

Mr. Chairman, I would simply want to point out that Members have a variety of opinions about Afghanistan, and a number of Members have come to the floor to voice their opinion that we ought to leave Afghanistan. I understand that. That's not what this amendment says. This amendment basically restates the President's policy with regard to Afghanistan, and then, as the gentleman from Massachusetts said, it says Congress ought to exercise its responsibilities under the Constitution.

Now, we can do that in a variety of ways. We can have oversight hearings,

and we can have amendments dealing with funding. And we've had those sorts of things before. But the point is that some of the rhetoric doesn't match the amendment. As the chairman pointed out, the underlying bill tries to encourage a Bilateral Security Agreement so that looking ahead beyond 2014, it is very important to many of us that any American troops who are remaining in Afghanistan have the protections that they should have under such an agreement. So the underlying bill has a fence on some of the funding going to Afghanistan until there is that sort of Bilateral Security Agreement.

So the point is, moving ahead beyond 2014, there are lots of unknowns at this stage. We're trying to help shape it in a way that is beneficial for our security but also protects our troops. But the underlying amendment, to get back to what's before us, basically restates the President's position and says that Congress ought to exercise its responsibilities. I think that's true. Meanwhile, Members can have their own opinions about Afghanistan and what should happen between now and then.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Massachusetts will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 11 OFFERED BY MR. GOODLATTE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 11 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I offer amendment No. 11.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of subtitle D of title X, add the following:

**SEC. 10 . . . PROCEDURES GOVERNING UNITED STATES CITIZENS APPREHENDED INSIDE THE UNITED STATES PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORIZATION FOR USE OF MILITARY FORCE.**

(a) AVAILABILITY OF WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.—Nothing in the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note), or any other law, shall be construed to deny the availability of the writ of habeas corpus to any United States citizen apprehended inside the United States pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note).

(b) PROCEDURES.—In any habeas proceeding brought by a United States citizen apprehended inside the United States pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note), the government shall have the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that such citizen is an unprivileged enemy belligerent and there shall be no presumption that any

evidence presented by the government as justification for the apprehension and subsequent detention is accurate and authentic.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia.

□ 1620

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

On September 18, 2001, Congress enacted the Authorization for the Use of Military Force, which empowered the President to “use all necessary and appropriate force against those nations, organizations, or persons he determines planned, authorized, committed, or aided the terrorist attacks,” in order to prevent “any future acts of international terrorism against the United States.”

Section 1021 of the fiscal year 2012 National Defense Authorization Act reaffirms the President's authority to detain so-called “enemy combatants” by “affirming that the authority of the President to use all necessary and appropriate force pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force includes the authority for the Armed Forces of the United States to detain covered persons pending disposition under the law of war.”

A number of Members from both sides of the aisle have expressed extreme discomfort and even outrage at the notion that a United States citizen apprehended on United States soil can potentially be held indefinitely under this act. To that end, I supported an amendment to the fiscal year 2013 National Defense Authorization Act that reaffirmed the availability of the writ of habeas corpus for any person detained in the United States pursuant to the 2001 AUMF or the fiscal year 2012 NDAA.

While this provision was a step in the right direction, many would view the current habeas proceedings as unfair to the petitioner. For instance, the government enjoys a rebuttable presumption that its evidence is accurate and authentic, and it must only prove its case by a preponderance of the evidence. To most Americans, this would not seem to be a fair fight. For United States citizens, the burden should be on the government to prove that the detainee is an enemy belligerent. U.S. citizens should not be put in a position to prove that they are not a terrorist.

Today, with this amendment, I want to make clear that nothing in the AUMF or the fiscal year 2012 NDAA—or any other law for that matter—can be construed to deny the great writ of habeas corpus.

Further, this amendment requires that in habeas proceedings for United States citizens apprehended in the United States pursuant to the AUMF, the government must prove by clear

and convincing evidence that the citizen is an unprivileged enemy combatant, and there is no presumption that the government's evidence is accurate and authentic.

This is an important amendment that should alleviate any of the well-founded concerns of the American people concerning the possibility of indefinite detention of United States citizens. The presumption of innocence until proven guilty will be preserved by adopting this amendment.

I want to thank the chairman of the Armed Services Committee for supporting this amendment. I appreciate his commitment to ensuring that this language stays in the bill as it moves through the legislative process.

I urge my colleagues to support this amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I rise to claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I yield myself 2 minutes.

Mr. Chairman, make no mistake about it, even with this amendment, the President of the United States and the Department of Justice will still have the ability to indefinitely detain people captured in the U.S.—be they U.S. citizens or not—without the normal due process of law. Habeas will be available, but even with this increased standard, it is a very minimum standard; and it does not afford the normal article III court rights that are in the Constitution for everybody else. The President will still have the ability to indefinitely detain people here in the U.S.

This amendment is insufficient, first of all, to deal with the concerns that I think people legitimately have about excessive executive power over people in the U.S. The Executive will continue to maintain, under the Authorization for the Use of Military Force, the ability to indefinitely detain anyone who is deemed to be a covered person, an enemy combatant; and, yes, it is a slightly higher standard, but it is not the beyond-a-reasonable standard that is normally required to incarcerate somebody.

The President doesn't need this power. President Obama has never exercised it. President Bush only briefly exercised it in three instances. He doesn't need the power. But to keep it on the books is a threat to liberty and a threat to freedom here in the U.S.

The specific problem with this amendment is it carves out U.S. citizens, whereas the constitutional protections—and deliberately—were for any person. If you read the Constitution and the Bill of Rights, it doesn't say any U.S. citizen. It says any person.

Now, on habeas, you will have two different standards. You will have the standard to hold a noncitizen—which will be, I gather, still the preponderance of the evidence. The government

will still have the presumption that what they're saying is true, but for a U.S. citizen you will have a different standard. That really messes with the Constitution.

There's a very simple way to do this. I will have an amendment in a couple of amendments that gets rid of the ability to indefinitely detain anyone captured in the U.S.—straight forward, no question, no weasel words, no back and forth between U.S. citizens and not. It gets rid of indefinite detention.

I would urge support for that amendment and opposition to this one, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 1 minute. I want to respond to the gentleman.

First of all, the contention that there is no distinction drawn between United States citizens and noncitizens in the context of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Supreme Court has held that the Fourth Amendment does not operate to protect all citizens regardless of their connections to American society. So the 9/11 hijackers are not in the same status as individuals in this country who are citizens of the United States. Rather, the Fourth Amendment operates only to protect the class of persons who are part of a national community or who have otherwise developed sufficient connection with this country to be considered part of that community. The farther that an individual is removed from such community, then the weaker the claim he has to constitutional protection.

I agree with the gentleman that rewriting the Authorization for Use of Military Force and extending this protection, particularly as it pertains to the United States citizens, greater should be done; but what the gentleman wants to do does not have the kind of strong bipartisan support that's necessary to pass the House. This amendment does, and I urge my colleagues to support it.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER).

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to this amendment. Mr. GOODLATTE and Chairman SMITH and I are in agreement, I think, on the goal, though I think the three of us mutually disagree on elements of this amendment.

The amendment, while intended to enhance protections for U.S. citizens, in fact does the opposite. Right now, Americans on U.S. soil cannot be detained indefinitely without charge or trial. Rather than affirming this fundamental principle, the amendment implicitly authorizes the military to detain Americans on U.S. soil indefinitely by premising its protection on the mistaken assertion that the AUMF, the Authorization for Military Force, allows such detention—which I disagree with Chairman SMITH, it does not. No such authority exists.

The AUMF does not grant this authority, and we should do nothing to suggest otherwise. In fact, we should be taking clear and immediate steps to ban indefinite military detention altogether. The Smith-Gibson amendment, which I support, takes a good first step in doing this by prohibiting the detention without charge of any person arrested or detained in the United States.

We should also pass my No Detention Without Charge Act, which would cure the problem altogether by preventing indefinite detention without charge or trial for all persons in U.S. custody, at home or overseas.

Secondly, this amendment would create greater uncertainty in habeas corpus cases and raises significant constitutional concerns. The amendment seeks to raise the burden on the U.S. Government to prove that a U.S. citizen is an unprivileged enemy belligerent. But that is not the same as requiring proof that the person is being lawfully detained, which is what habeas corpus is designed to do.

The creation of a two-tiered habeas system with one set of standards for U.S. citizens and different, lesser standards for noncitizens raises very troubling constitutional concerns. Our Constitution simply does not permit us to permit greater basic due process rights based solely on citizenship.

Although the chairman, Mr. GOODLATTE, is right in citing the case that he cited, he talked about connection with the United States. Someone who is in the United States—physically in the United States—and is arrested there has the same constitutional Fourth Amendment protections as an American citizen.

Any changes to habeas protections should be studied carefully through regular order, not through rushed attachments to the defense authorization act. Passing this amendment would be a serious and dangerous mistake. I urge my colleagues to vote against it.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, may I ask how much time each side has remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Virginia has 1 minute remaining. The gentleman from Washington has 1 minute remaining.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time to say to the gentleman from New York, you cause the Members of the Congress to have a Hobson's choice of having to choose to give the protection to every single person in the United States—including the 9/11 hijackers and others—or you have the opportunity to choose to give it clearly to United States citizens who clearly are entitled to have it.

You do not have the ability, with your amendment, to draw that line between those who are lawfully present in the United States and would be entitled, and those who do not. As a result of that, I would urge my colleagues to oppose the amendment that the gentleman describes and support this

amendment, which will advance the cause of giving United States citizens greater protections, reversing the burden of proof, putting that burden on the government—which is, after all, the American way. It is, after all, what the Bill of Rights provides.

You have to show that in order to convict a United States citizen in our courts—or certainly in an article III court—and it should be in these military tribunals—the burden of proof on government to do that and do it by a higher standard than they have to under the law that exists right now in the AUMF, which is only reasonable proof, not clear and convincing as this amendment requires.

I urge my colleagues to support this amendment as the best way to move forward in protecting the rights of American citizens.

I yield back the balance of my time.

□ 1630

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield the balance of my time to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ANDREWS).

(Mr. ANDREWS asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Chairman, I share with the author of this amendment the goal of clarifying and strengthening the time-honored writ of habeas corpus for all American citizens. But my concern is that sometimes by omission we limit people's rights.

This amendment is very carefully, but narrowly, drawn in such a way it begs questions about the exclusion of those outside the ambit of this amendment and their rights. The gentleman, I know in good faith, is trying to promulgate an amendment that broadens the right of the writ of habeas corpus. But I think when compared with the Smith-Gibson language that this modifies, that it raises by omission an intention of the Congress to narrow the right of habeas corpus. So although it is not the gentleman's intent, I believe it is the effect of this amendment.

Those who believe that the right of habeas should be strengthened and broadened, I believe should oppose this amendment and support the underlying language as I, in fact, do.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Washington will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 12 OFFERED BY MR. RADEL

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 12 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. RADEL. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 442, after line 9, insert the following:  
**SEC. 1080. REPORT ON UNITED STATES CITIZENS SUBJECT TO MILITARY DETENTION.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress an annual report on United States citizens subject to military detention. Such report shall include, for the period covered by the report, each of the following:

(1) The name of each United States citizen subject to military detention during such period.

(2) The legal justification for such detention of such citizen.

(3) The steps taken to provide judicial process for or to release each such citizen.

(b) **FORM OF REPORT.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall be in unclassified form but may contain a classified annex.

(c) **AVAILABILITY OF REPORT.**—The report submitted under subsection (a) shall be made available to all members of Congress.

(d) **SAVINGS CLAUSE.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize or express approval for subjecting United States citizens to military detention.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. RADEL) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. RADEL. Mr. Chairman, for too long, and at the hands of both parties, the White House has been operating with secret memos and behind closed doors hidden from you. This is why we are offering this amendment requiring the Department of Defense to submit an annual report to Congress which basically goes over who, why, and what.

Who? The names of any U.S. citizens subject to military detention.

Why? The legal justification for their detention.

What? The steps the executive branch is taking to either provide them some sort of judicial process or the path of possible release.

Now, this amendment requires that an unclassified version of the report be made available to every Member of Congress. This amendment shines light where there has been darkness in this country, ensuring freedom, liberty, and justice for all.

While there is a legitimate need that we recognize that the government protects us from terrorism, we almost always must ensure—we must ensure—that Americans' rights to their due process and their day in court are always, always protected. You need to be guaranteed that your government is looking out for your rights.

Upon our founding, every American was guaranteed fundamental God-given rights that cannot be taken away by the government. These amendments ensure that these rights are safeguarded.

I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. SALMON).

Mr. SALMON. Mr. Chairman, this little document here, the Constitution of

the United States, is something that we all cherish, something when we were all sworn in we raised our right arm to the square and we said that we would uphold this document. It is the framework this Nation is built upon. There are certain unalienable rights that are created in that document that we just can't waive away.

Some concerns about these rights are embedded in this bill. I have real concerns about American citizens being detained for an unspecified amount of time. I believe that this amendment goes a long way toward shedding the light, the light of transparency, on how these American citizens are handled. I think that's the very least that we can do as a body to make sure that our people's fundamental rights of freedom are protected.

One of the great leaders and Founding Fathers of this Nation, Benjamin Franklin, once said:

Those who are willing to trade their freedom for security deserve neither and shall probably lose both.

Mr. RADEL. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The ACTING CHAIR. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. This has happened a couple of times.

Isn't it the normal order that one person speaks, then they reserve, and then the opposition speaks? A couple of times they just moved on to their next speaker and have gone through. As I understand it parliamentarily, that is not the way it is supposed to happen.

The ACTING CHAIR. That is the normal pattern of alternation, but recognition is within the discretion of the Chair.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. At the discretion of the Chair. That's fine.

Mr. Chairman, I rise to claim the time in opposition, even though I am not in opposition to the amendment.

The ACTING CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

There was no objection.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the opportunity to speak. I think it is very straightforward. Certainly we should acknowledge and have this bit of information made available to us, and I don't oppose that.

I just want to take the time to raise the issue of the next amendment—the Smith-Gibson amendment—that's coming up on this whole broader issue. This is a very simple, straightforward debate, that is, the militarization of U.S. law enforcement.

That's really what we're concerned about with indefinite detention. There are some who believe that any terrorist act committed within the U.S., that the U.S. military should basically take over. You should have indefinite detention; you should basically get rid of the

normal due process contained in the Constitution.

I think that is dangerous, wrong, and wholly unnecessary. I think the U.S. Constitution and the Department of Justice have proven themselves more than capable of investigating, capturing, prosecuting, trying, convicting, and incarcerating all the terrorists in the U.S.; and I think it is a dangerous step towards executive and military power to allow things like indefinite detention under military control within the U.S.

That's the heart and the essence of this issue. We are dancing around the U.S. citizen question. I take Mr. GOODLATTE at his word. I believe that the Constitution doesn't apply to everybody, but it doesn't just apply to U.S. citizens either, as he acknowledged. It applies to U.S. persons, broadly speaking, people who have a connection to this country. We shouldn't just protect U.S. citizens; we should protect U.S. persons under that constitutional definition.

In a very straightforward way, do you believe the President of the United States should have the power to indefinitely detain people captured within the U.S. without the normal due process of law? I don't, and honestly I don't think most Americans do, and I don't think most Members of Congress do. We have gotten bogged down in different little subpieces of the debate and U.S. citizens and who counts and who doesn't count.

But the fundamental question is, Do you believe that the President should have the power to indefinitely detain people captured in the U.S. without normal due process of law? If you don't, if you are concerned about that executive power, then the only way to take that out of our law is to vote for Smith-Gibson. The rest of this just sort of moves it around on the edges, but very clearly leaves that power with the President, a power I don't think that he should have.

With that, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. RADEL).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 13 OFFERED BY MR. SMITH OF WASHINGTON

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 13 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 405, after line 9, insert the following:  
**SEC. 1040B. DISPOSITION OF COVERED PERSONS DETAINED IN THE UNITED STATES PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORIZATION FOR USE OF MILITARY FORCE.**

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This section may be cited as the "Due Process and Military Detention Amendments Act".

(b) **DISPOSITION.**—Section 1021 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal

Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1562; 10 U.S.C. 801 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by striking “The disposition” and inserting “Except as provided in subsection (g), the disposition”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(g) DISPOSITION OF PERSONS DETAINED IN THE UNITED STATES.—

“(1) PERSONS DETAINED PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORIZATION FOR USE OF MILITARY FORCE OR THE FISCAL YEAR 2012 NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT.—In the case of a covered person who is detained in the United States, or a territory or possession of the United States, pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force or this Act, disposition under the law of war shall occur immediately upon the person coming into custody of the Federal Government and shall only mean the immediate transfer of the person for trial and proceedings by a court established under Article III of the Constitution of the United States or by an appropriate State court. Such trial and proceedings shall have all the due process as provided for under the Constitution of the United States.

“(2) PROHIBITION ON TRANSFER TO MILITARY CUSTODY.—No person detained, captured, or arrested in the United States, or a territory or possession of the United States, may be transferred to the custody of the Armed Forces for detention under the Authorization for Use of Military Force or this Act.

“(h) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—This section shall not be construed to authorize the detention of a person within the United States, or a territory or possession of the United States, under the Authorization for Use of Military Force or this Act.”

(c) REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR MILITARY CUSTODY.—

(1) REPEAL.—Section 1022 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 is hereby repealed.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1029(b) of such Act is amended by striking “applies to” and all that follows through “any other person” and inserting “applies to any person”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. SMITH) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington.

□ 1640

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER).

Mr. NADLER. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I rise in strong support of the Smith-Gibson amendment.

When we considered the fiscal year 2012 version of this bill, I argued in opposition to sections 1021 and 1022. I argued then—and I still believe now—that these provisions go far beyond the AUMF to suggest that the President has the authority to detain even U.S. citizens without charge indefinitely. The AUMF gives the President no such authority.

Clearly, we must roll back these provisions. The Smith-Gibson amendment prohibits the detention without charge of any person arrested or detained in the United States, and it is the first step towards restoring the due process

of law. It is a good first step, but the scope is limited to U.S. soil and to the present AUMF. We should do more.

That’s why I’ve introduced the No Detention Without Charge Act, which would apply to all persons in U.S. custody—at home and overseas—and to all Authorizations to Use Military Force—present and future. It not only prohibits detention without charge of people arrested in the United States, but it also prohibits the detention of any person anywhere, except to the extent permitted by the Constitution and the law of war, and it restores a meaningful right of action for detainees to challenge the legality of the detentions.

The notion that the United States ought to conduct itself according to the Constitution and the law of war should not be controversial. Smith-Gibson takes the first step, and I have proposed the next, which is towards affirming our values and securing our liberty. This clarifies that the AUMF does not give any President the authority to detain people without the due process of law and to detain them indefinitely.

I urge my colleagues to support this amendment and to sign on as cosponsors of my bill but to, right now, support the Smith-Gibson amendment.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I rise to claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to my friend and colleague, the chairman of the Homeland Security Committee, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. MCCAUL).

Mr. MCCAUL. I thank the gentleman from California, my fellow chairman.

I rise in opposition to this amendment. As the terrorist attacks in Benghazi and Boston demonstrate, our fight against those who mean us harm and those they inspire is far from over.

This amendment is of questionable constitutional standing as it seeks to deprive any President of lawful options that he needs to protect America; it hinders our ability to gather information; and it actually provides an incentive for terrorists to come here to attack us. It prohibits the President from ever detaining anyone in the United States, including a foreign terrorist, under the authority of the 2001 Authorization for Use of Military Force.

This amendment requires that foreign terrorists could only be prosecuted in civilian courts. But what if they could not be successfully prosecuted? Currently, there are detainees in Guantanamo who are too dangerous to release but who are not prosecutable. Under this amendment, if similarly situated terrorists were captured here at home, they would have to be released. Our experience in trying to deport illegal aliens whose native lands refuse to accept their return demonstrates the untenable position this amendment would leave us in.

If we can’t use the AUMF to hold detainees and if we can’t successfully prosecute them in a civilian court, then what can we do? The amendment ignores the reality of the threats that we face every day.

Consistent with the laws of war, we have long recognized the authority to detain enemy combatants for the duration of hostilities. In the 2004 Hamdi decision, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the authority to detain a U.S. citizen captured fighting with the Taliban and who was later detained in the United States, and that such detainees have the right to challenge their detentions. Then the 2012 NDAA reaffirmed the detention authority provided by the 2001 AUMF as well as the right to habeas corpus determinations.

The amendment overturns established legal precedent as well as undermines the statutory support for the AUMF. It does not make us safer, and it increases our peril. I urge my colleagues to vote against it.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. GIBSON).

Mr. GIBSON. I thank the gentleman from Washington. I am honored to be offering this amendment with him.

From our Bill of Rights, the Fifth Amendment: no person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process. From our Sixth Amendment: the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial.

That is the supreme law of the land.

Evidently, we have some ambiguity based out of the 2001 AUMF. So, clearly, we need to offer this amendment here today, and I rise in strong support of it.

We think about the founding in that period, shortly after the Revolution, and remember the fact that we had Americans who at the time did not support the Revolution. There were a lot of hard feelings in the immediate aftermath of the war, but the one thing that united everyone was the way that we arrayed our institutions—to check absolute power—and, further, to check the power between the Federal and the State governments. Of course, underpinning all of that was the Bill of Rights, the Bill of Rights that unites all of us—united us then, unites us now.

That’s why I think it is very important that we bring clarity to this matter, that we pass Smith-Gibson and that we ensure that we bring clarity to the situation, which is that our Bill of Rights is the supreme law of the land.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. COTTON).

Mr. COTTON. Thank you.

When I was a child, I played a game called hide-and-seek, as I suspect many of you might have played. There was a phrase in that game called “ollie ollie oxen free,” which meant you could come out, that you were safe, that you no longer had to hide.

This amendment is the “ollie ollie oxen free” amendment of the war on terrorism. It invites al Qaeda and associated forces to send terrorists to the United States and to recruit terrorists on U.S. soil.

Think about what happens if you're detained in the U.S. for committing an act of terrorism: you will not be detained while you are interrogated; you cannot be used to stop future attacks. Think about what will happen: you will get your Miranda warnings; you will get an attorney at taxpayer expense; and you will, if acquitted and not accepted by your home country, be released into the streets of the United States. We are encouraging al Qaeda to send terrorists here if we adopt this amendment.

Consider also about an illegal alien crossing our border. If he does so to get a job, Customs and Border Patrol can detain him and summarily deport him. If he is detained by intelligence or military professionals, what happens? He goes into the court system, and he gets all the rights due to a common burglar.

The concerns that we have about due process are misplaced. The law plainly lets every person—a citizen or foreigner—file a petition for the writ of habeas corpus to challenge his detention. I strongly oppose this amendment.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. AMASH).

Mr. AMASH. In 2011, Congress enacted a provision of the NDAA that authorizes the indefinite detention of Americans caught on U.S. soil. That provision, which is permanent law and continues to apply to this day, authorizes the President to detain persons who “substantially supported” forces “associated” with terrorists.

It is important to note that “substantial support” and being “associated” with terrorists were not defined in 2011 and still have not been defined by Congress. There is a good argument that this provision is unconstitutionally vague. In fact, a Federal court has already ruled that the provision is unconstitutional because it chills First Amendment association and free speech.

Our Constitution does not permit the Federal Government to detain anyone in the United States indefinitely without charge or trial. I strongly believe in protecting the country's security and in equipping our Armed Forces with the tools they need to defeat our enemies, but the American people cannot support measures that, in the name of security, violate our constitutionally protected rights.

The Constitution entitles all people to be charged with a crime and to be given a trial when the government detains them in the United States. Join me in affirming this right by voting for Smith-Gibson, which is the only amendment that protects the rights of those of you watching at home.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from New York (Mr. KING).

Mr. KING of New York. I thank my good friend, the chairman, for yielding, and I rise in opposition to the Smith-Gibson amendment.

Let me express my deepest respect for the ranking member, Mr. SMITH, and for my colleague from New York, Mr. GIBSON, who has served his Nation long and well and who certainly acts with the very best of intentions. I certainly admire his patriotism and dedication.

Having said that, I strongly identify with the remarks of Chairman McCaul and of Congressman Cotton. I think the ultimate fact here is that we would be giving terrorists more rights if they come to the United States than if they'd been captured overseas. To say that everyone captured in the United States is entitled to the full rights of a citizen or of a person lawfully in this country takes away from the fact that if a Nazi soldier had attacked the United States during World War II, would he have been entitled to all the rights of a citizen?

□ 1650

In fact, the Supreme Court ruled on that. We had Nazi saboteurs land in New York during World War II. They were arrested, tried before a military commission, and executed with the approval of the United States Supreme Court.

In the Hamdi decision several years ago, the plurality of the Court said:

There is no bar to this Nation's holding one of its own citizens as an enemy combatant. A citizen, no less than an alien, can be part of supporting forces hostile to the United States or coalition partners and engage in an armed conflict against the United States.

The fact is we should not be saying there's an incentive for a terrorist to come from Afghanistan and come to the United States to fight because if he's captured here, he gets more rights than if he was captured in Afghanistan. This goes against, to me, common sense, and it in no way is what is happening under the AUMF and in any way a violation of the Constitution.

With that, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I yield myself the balance of my time.

There is no incentive for U.S. terrorists to come here. They are trying to attack us. But we capture them successfully, try them, and prosecute them.

Abdulmutallab came here. He was captured. Yes, he was Mirandized. Even after he was Mirandized, he gave out an enormous amount of information that was very helpful. We convicted him.

What this is essentially saying is that we don't trust the Department of Justice to do their job, so therefore we have to give the President the power to detain someone whether they have any

evidence of a crime or not. If they come here, the Department of Justice does its job.

We have tried and convicted over 400 terrorists in this country successfully. The only incentive to come here is if they're not going to commit a crime. All of the inmates down at Guantanamo were not captured in the U.S. No one who has been captured in the U.S. as a terrorist have we failed to convict.

Let's trust the Constitution. The Constitution doesn't threaten us. The Constitution protects us. Let us use it, and use it to bring these terrorists to justice, as every single time we have successfully done.

I urge support for the amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chair, I rise in support of amendment No. 13 to H.R. 1960, “National Defense Authorization Act for FY2014,” offered by Ranking Member SMITH and Congressman GIBSON of New York.

The amendment strikes Section 1022 of the FY2012 National Defense Authorization Act and amends Section 1021 of same law to eliminate indefinite military detention of any person detained under AUMF authority in the United States and its territories and possessions by providing immediate transfer to trial and proceedings by a court established under Article III of the Constitution of the United States or by an appropriate State court.

This amendment would bar any President or any other government official from ordering the military to put anyone in the United States, or its territories or possessions, into indefinite detention without charge or trial, or to put anyone in the United States on trial before a military commission.

Federal criminal courts are open, operating, experienced, and secure—and are the appropriate venue for any proceedings here in the United States itself.

The Bill of Rights applies to all persons within the United States and its territories, this amendment is consistent with 233 years of constitutional precedent as it does not pick and choose between which persons on located on U.S. soil will receive constitutional protections.

Further, the amendment bars the transfer of anyone in the United States to the military for indefinite detention without charge or trial. This provision is consistent with the Posse Comitatus Act, and would provide an additional protection against any misuse of civilian law enforcement as a way to put suspects into military detention without charge or trial.

It is fully consistent with the Constitution, with the Posse Comitatus Act of 1878, and with the Non-Detention Act of 1971. It will reinforce the protections that most Americans assume apply—and do apply—within the United States.

Since 2001, this executive power has only been utilized 3 times which makes it clear that it is not necessary to protect our national security; however, creates a gap in our civil liberties.

This amendment would repeal section 1022 of the FY2012 NDAA. Section 1022 requires the military to put some civilian suspects into military detention.

The current Administration has waived application of section 1022 to many groups of potential suspects, but it has not foreclosed the



possibility of section 1022 being applied to all categories of civilians, including even within the United States itself. To ensure this provision will not be used against those living in the United States, we must repeal section 1022.

Our military is designed to fight and win our battles overseas and to protect our borders; it is not designed to enforce domestic laws.

The military has not been required to enforce domestic laws since the Civil War. We have a Department of Justice, State and Federal Prosecutors, and local law enforcement that have been successful for hundreds of years.

The amendment reaffirms the importance and availability of due process protections for all persons within the United States. It prohibits the NDAA detention provisions from providing any authority for the military to detain persons under any claim of authority under the NDAA or the Authorization for Use of Military Force of 2001.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting civil liberties and upholding the constitution by supporting this amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Washington (Mr. SMITH).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Washington will be postponed.

AMENDMENTS EN BLOC NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. MCKEON

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, pursuant to H. Res. 260, I offer amendments en bloc.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendments en bloc.

Amendments en bloc No. 2 consisting of amendment Nos. 27, 31, 38, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 49, 54, 81, 84, 85, 95, 96, 97, 114, 143, 164, and 165, printed in House Report No. 113-108, offered by Mr. MCKEON of California:

AMENDMENT NO. 27 OFFERED BY MR. LARSON OF CONNECTICUT

Page 299, after the matter following line 23, insert the following:

**SEC. 703. BEHAVIORAL HEALTH TREATMENT OF DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES UNDER TRICARE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1077 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g)(1) Subject to paragraph (3)(A), in providing health care under subsection (a), the treatment of developmental disabilities (as defined by section 102(8) of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15002(8))), including autism spectrum disorder, shall include behavioral health treatment, including applied behavior analysis, when prescribed by a physician.

“(2) In carrying out this subsection, the Secretary shall ensure that—

“(A) except as provided by subparagraph (B), a person who is authorized to provide behavioral health treatment is licensed or certified by a State or accredited national certification board; and

“(B) applied behavior analysis or other behavioral health treatment may be provided by an employee, contractor, or trainee of a

person described in subparagraph (A) if the employee, contractor, or trainee meets minimum qualifications, training, and supervision requirements as set forth by the Secretary.

“(3)(A) This subsection shall not apply to—

“(i) a medicare eligible beneficiary (as defined in section 1111(b) of this title); or

“(ii) a covered beneficiary who is a beneficiary by reason of being a retired member of the Coast Guard, the Commissioned Corp of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, or the Commissioned Corp of the Public Health Service, or by being a dependent of such a retired member.

“(B) Except as provided in subparagraph (A), nothing in this subsection shall be construed as limiting or otherwise affecting the benefits otherwise provided to a covered beneficiary under—

“(i) this chapter;

“(ii) title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.); or

“(iii) any other law.”.

(b) FUNDING.—

(1) INCREASE.—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 1406 for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4501, for Private Sector Care is hereby increased by \$60,000,000.

(2) OFFSET.—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 4301 for operation and maintenance, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for the Office of the Secretary of Defense (Line 280) is hereby reduced by \$60,000,000.

AMENDMENT NO. 31 OFFERED BY MR. YOUNG OF ALASKA

At the end of title VIII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 833. REVISIONS TO REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO JUSTIFICATION AND APPROVAL OF SOLE-SOURCE DEFENSE CONTRACTS.**

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall modify the provisions of the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation that implement section 811 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2401) to clarify that the authority of the head of an agency (as defined in section 811(c)(2)(A) of such section) to make an award pursuant to such section is delegable.

AMENDMENT NO. 38 OFFERED BY MR. BENTIVOLIO OF MICHIGAN

At the end of subtitle E of title XII, add the following:

**SEC. 1259. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING RELATIONS WITH TAIWAN.**

It is the sense of Congress that the United States should—

(1) allow all high-level officials of Taiwan to enter into the United States or its embassies and consulates under conditions which demonstrate appropriate respect for the dignity of such leaders;

(2) allow meetings between all high-level Taiwan and United States officials in United States executive departments;

(3) allow the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office and all other instrumentalities established in the United States by Taiwan to conduct business activities, including activities which involve participation by Members of Congress and other representatives of Federal, State, and local governments, and all high-level Taiwan officials, without obstruction from the United States Government or any foreign power; and

(4) adopt a policy of allowing high-ranking Taiwan leaders to make official visits with high-ranking officials of the United States, including official visits by Taiwan's democratically elected president, and allowing for visits between these officials in Washington, D.C.

AMENDMENT NO. 43 OFFERED BY MR. LAMBORN OF COLORADO

Page 59, after line 12, insert the following:  
**SEC. 225. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR SPACE-BASED INFRA-RED SYSTEMS SPACE PROGRAM.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense, not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended for the space-based infrared systems space modernization initiative wide-field-of-view testbed until the Executive Agent for Space of the Department of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary of Defense is carrying out the Operationally Responsive Space Program Office in accordance with section 2273a of title 10, United States Code.

AMENDMENT NO. 44 OFFERED BY MR. HOLT OF NEW JERSEY

At the end of subtitle D of title II, insert the following:

**SEC. 255. REPORT ON SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING, AND MATHEMATICS SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM.**

Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that assesses whether the Science, Mathematics and Research for Transformation (SMART) scholarship program, or related scholarship or fellowship programs within the Department of Defense, are providing the necessary number of undergraduate and graduate students in the fields of science, technology, engineer, and mathematics to meet the recommendations contained in the report of the Commission on Research and Development in the United States Intelligence Community, as well as recommendation for how SMART and similar program might be improved to better satisfy those recommendations.

AMENDMENT NO. 45 OFFERED BY MR. HUDSON OF NORTH CAROLINA

At the end of subtitle E of title II, add the following:

**SEC. 2 \_\_\_\_ CANINES AS STAND-OFF DETECTION OF EXPLOSIVES AND EXPLOSIVE PRECURSORS.**

Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall provide to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate a report that—

(1) describes how the Department of Defense intends to maintain the capability and infrastructure required to support canines as stand-off detection of explosives and explosive precursors;

(2) specifies the appropriate office to oversee the acquisition process, research and development, technology advancement, testing and evaluation, and production and procurement with respect to canines as stand-off detection of explosives and explosive precursors;

(3) specifies the plan to sustain and enhance the partnerships and relationships of the Department of Defense with service laboratories, private sector companies, and academic institutions to ensure that the latest data and information regarding canine capabilities are distributed throughout the Department and other Federal agencies that could benefit from such information; and

(4) specifies any technologies capable of replacing the canine as a stand-off detection capability during the next 2 years.

AMENDMENT NO. 46 OFFERED BY MRS.  
BACHMANN OF MINNESOTA

Page 93, after line 18, insert the following:  
**SEC. 302. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR MARINE SECURITY GUARD.**

(a) INCREASE.—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for Operation and Maintenance, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for Marine Security Guard is hereby increased by \$13,400,000.

(b) OFFSET.—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for Operation and Maintenance, Army, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, is hereby reduced by \$13,400,000, to be derived from the Maneuver Units.

AMENDMENT NO. 47 OFFERED BY MRS.  
BACHMANN OF MINNESOTA

Page 93, after line 18, insert the following:  
**SEC. 302. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR CRISIS RESPONSE FORCE.**

(a) INCREASE.—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for Operation and Maintenance, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for the Crisis Response Force is hereby increased by \$10,600,000.

(b) OFFSET.—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for Operation and Maintenance, Army, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, is hereby reduced by \$10,600,000, to be derived from the Maneuver Units.

AMENDMENT NO. 49 OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON  
LEE OF TEXAS

Page 106, after line 8, insert the following:  
**SEC. 324. ASSESSMENT OF OUTREACH FOR SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY WOMEN AND MINORITIES REQUIRED BEFORE CONVERSION OF CERTAIN FUNCTIONS TO CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE.**

No Department of Defense function that is performed by Department of Defense civilian employees and is tied to a certain military base may be converted to performance by a contractor until the Secretary of Defense conducts an assessment to determine if the Department of Defense has carried out sufficient outreach programs to assist small business concerns owned and controlled by women (as such term is defined in section 8(d)(3)(D) of the Small Business Act) and small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals (as such term is defined in section 8(d)(3)(C) of the Small Business Act) that are located in the geographic area near the military base.

AMENDMENT NO. 54 OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON  
LEE OF TEXAS

Page 223, after line 23, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 550A. ENHANCEMENT TO REQUIREMENTS FOR AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION ON SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE RESOURCES.**

(a) REQUIRED POSTING OF INFORMATION ON SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE RESOURCES.—

(1) POSTING.—The Secretary of Defense shall require that there be prominently posted, in accordance with paragraph (2), notice

of the following information relating to sexual assault prevention and response, in a form designed to ensure visibility and understanding:

(A) Resource information for members of the Armed Forces, military dependents, and civilian personnel of the Department of Defense with respect to prevention of sexual assault and reporting of incidents of sexual assault.

(B) Contact information for personnel who are designated as Sexual Assault Response Coordinators and Sexual Assault Victim Advocates.

(C) The Department of Defense “hotline” telephone number, referred to as the Safe Helpline, for reporting incidents of sexual assault, or any successor operation.

(2) POSTING PLACEMENT.—Posting under subsection (a) shall be at the following locations, to the extent practicable:

(A) Any Department of Defense duty facility.

(B) Any Department of Defense dining facility.

(C) Any Department of Defense multi-unit residential facility.

(D) Any Department of Defense health care facility.

(E) Any Department of Defense commissary or exchange.

(F) Any Department of Defense Community Service Agency.

(G) Any Department of Defense website.

(b) NOTICE TO VICTIMS OF AVAILABLE ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary of Defense shall require that procedures in the Department of Defense for responding to a complaint or allegation of sexual assault submitted by or against a member of the Armed Forces include prompt notice to the person making the complaint or allegation of the forms of assistance available to that person from the Department of Defense and, to the extent known to the Secretary, through other departments and agencies, including State and local agencies, and other sources.

AMENDMENT NO. 81 OFFERED BY MR. HOLT OF  
NEW JERSEY

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, insert the following:

**SEC. 726. DATA SHARING WITH STATE ADJUTANT GENERALS TO FACILITATE SUICIDE PREVENTION EFFORTS.**

Upon the request of any adjutant general of a State, the Secretary of Defense shall share the contact information of members of the Individual Ready Reserve and individual mobilization augmentees who reside in the State of such adjutant general for the purpose of conducting suicide prevention outreach efforts.

AMENDMENT NO. 84 OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON  
LEE OF TEXAS

Page 308, after line 21, add the following new section:

**SEC. 726. INCREASED COLLABORATION WITH NIH TO COMBAT TRIPLE NEGATIVE BREAST CANCER.**

The Office of Health of the Department of Defense shall work in collaboration with the National Institutes of Health to—

(1) identify specific genetic and molecular targets and biomarkers for triple negative breast cancer; and

(2) provide information useful in biomarker selection, drug discovery, and clinical trials design that will enable both—

(A) triple negative breast cancer patients to be identified earlier in the progression of their disease; and

(B) the development of multiple targeted therapies for the disease.

AMENDMENT NO. 85 OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON  
LEE OF TEXAS

Page 308, after line 21, insert the following:

**SEC. 726. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MENTAL HEALTH COUNSELORS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND THEIR FAMILIES.**

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Secretary of Defense should develop a plan to ensure a sustainable flow of qualified counselors to meet the long-term needs of members of the Armed Forces and their families for counselors; and

(2) the plan should include the participation of accredited schools and universities, health care providers, professional counselors, family service or support centers, chaplains, and other appropriate resources of the Department of Defense.

AMENDMENT NO. 95 OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON  
LEE OF TEXAS

Page 335, after line 12, insert the following:

**SEC. 833. IMPROVED MANAGEMENT OF DEFENSE EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES THROUGH AUTOMATED INFORMATION AND DATA CAPTURE TECHNOLOGIES.**

The Secretary of Defense shall improve the management of defense equipment and supplies throughout their life cycles by adopting and implementing Item Unique Identification (IUID), Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), biometrics, and other automated information and data capture (AIDC) technologies for the tracking, management, and accountability for assets deployed across the Department of Defense.

AMENDMENT NO. 96 OFFERED BY MR. YOUNG OF  
ALASKA

At the end of subtitle A of title IX, add the following new section:

**SEC. 9. REPORT ON STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE OF UNITED STATES MILITARY INSTALLATION OF THE U.S. PACIFIC COMMAND.**

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the strategic value of each major installation that supports operations in the United States Pacific Command.

(b) CONTENT OF REPORT.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, an assessment of the following with respect to each major installation covered by the report:

(1) The strategic value of the operations of the installation in the Pacific Command Area of Responsibility, including the strategic value of the installation for the global deployment of airpower, military personnel, and logistical support.

(2) The usefulness of the installation for potential future missions, including military, search and rescue, and humanitarian missions in a changing Pacific and Arctic region.

(3) The suitability of the installation for basing of F-35 aircraft and other future weapons systems in the Pacific Command Area of Responsibility.

(4) The suitability of the installation for mission growth, including relocation of combat-coded aircraft, Army units, naval vessels, and Marine Corps units from overseas bases.

(5) How critical the installation is in maintaining and expanding the North and Southern Pacific air refueling bridge.

(6) The availability of the installation for basing remotely piloted aircraft.

(7) The proximity of the installation to scoreable, instrumented training ranges, with an emphasis on joint-training.

(8) The impact of urban encroachment on the installation and its training ranges.

(c) CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—The report required by subsection (a) may include a classified

annex if necessary to fully describe the matters required by subsection (b).

AMENDMENT NO. 97 OFFERED BY MR. YOUNG OF ALASKA

At the end of subtitle A of title IX, add the following new section:

**SEC. 9 . COMPTRROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON POTENTIAL RELOCATION OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TENANTS ON ASIA-PACIFIC AND ARCTIC-ORIENTED UNITED STATES MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.**

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than March 1, 2014, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report containing the results of a review of the potential for—

(1) effectively consolidating underused facilities on military installations; or

(2) vacating costly leased space by relocating Federal Government agency tenants, activities, missions, and personnel onto such installations.

(b) SPECIFIC CONSIDERATION OF ASIA-PACIFIC AND ARCTIC-ORIENTED INSTALLATIONS.—As a result of the Federal Government's decision to emphasize Asia-Pacific security issues and changes in the Arctic environment, the Comptroller General shall specifically evaluate potential consolidation of Federal tenants on Asia-Pacific and Arctic-oriented installations, focusing on Federal entities with homeland security, defense, international trade, commerce, and other national security-related functions that are compatible with the missions of the military installations.

AMENDMENT NO. 114 OFFERED BY MRS. BACHMANN OF MINNESOTA

Page 463, after line 6, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 1090. DAYS ON WHICH THE POW/MIA FLAG IS DISPLAYED ON CERTAIN FEDERAL PROPERTY.**

Section 902 of title 36, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following new subsection:

“(c) DAYS FOR FLAG DISPLAY.—For the purposes of this section, POW/MIA flag display days are all days on which the flag of the United States is displayed.”

AMENDMENT NO. 143 OFFERED BY MR. LAMBORN OF COLORADO

At the end of subtitle E of title XII of division A, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE THREAT POSED BY HEZBOLLAH.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) Hezbollah has been designated a foreign terrorist organization by the Department of State since October 8, 1997.

(2) Hezbollah has been responsible for numerous terrorist attacks and attempted terrorist attacks around the world, including attacks against United States citizens.

(3) Hezbollah is active in Europe and has been linked to a July 18, 2012, suicide bombing in Bulgaria which killed five people.

(4) Hezbollah operatives have been captured around the world attacking or attempting to attack Western and Israeli targets.

(5) The United States is working with its European allies to combat terrorism through a variety of means, including through NATO's Partnership Action Plan against Terrorism and the Defence Against Terrorism Programme of Work.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States should continue to use all necessary means to fight against terrorism, including Hezbollah;

(2) President Obama should strongly encourage his European counterparts to publicly condemn Hezbollah;

(3) European allies should seek to officially recognize Hezbollah as a terrorist organization;

(4) any attempt to distinguish between military and civilian wings in Hezbollah is meaningless; and

(5) all countries should work together to fight radical terrorist organizations like Hezbollah.

AMENDMENT NO. 164 OFFERED BY MR. YOUNG OF ALASKA

At the end of title XXXV, add the following new section:

**SEC. 35 . TREATMENT OF FUNDS FOR INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION MARITIME FACILITY, PORT OF ANCHORAGE, ALASKA.**

Section 10205 of Public Law 109-59 (119 Stat. 1934) is amended by striking “shall” and inserting “may”.

AMENDMENT NO. 165 OFFERED BY MR. YOUNG OF ALASKA

At the end of title XXXV (page 730, after line 19) add the following:

**SEC. 350 . STRATEGIC SEAPORTS.**

(a) PRIORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Under the port infrastructure development program established under section 50302(c) of title 46, United States Code, the Maritime Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, may give priority to providing funding to strategic seaports in support of national security requirements.

(2) STRATEGIC SEAPORT DEFINED.—In this subsection the term “strategic seaport” means a military port or and commercial port that is subject to a port planning order or Basic Ordering Agreement (or both) that is projected to be used for the deployment of forces and shipment of ammunition or sustainment supplies in support of military operations.

(b) FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.—Section 50302(c)(2)(D) of title 46, United States Code, is amended by inserting “and financial assistance, including grants,” after “technical assistance”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON) and the gentleman from Washington (Mr. SMITH) each will control 10 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to my friend and colleague, the gentlelady from Minnesota (Mrs. BACHMANN).

Mrs. BACHMANN. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in support of this amendment package which includes three amendments which I've offered to protect and honor America's brave men and women in uniform.

The first and the second amendments would both properly train and equip and staff the Marine Embassy Security Group and the Crisis Response Task Force. In the wake of Benghazi and the tragedy there, protecting our Nation's Embassy personnel and classified materials has never been more important.

The third amendment requires certain Federal buildings that are already required to fly the POW-MIA flags on Federal holidays to fly those flags every day. We owe it to the memory of those who serve, to honor their commitment and give them the funding and the support that they need.

I urge my colleagues to support this package, and I want to thank Mr. MCKEON.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 4 minutes to the gentlelady from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE).

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Let me thank the gentleman from Washington for his leadership and the gentleman from California for their work and their work with my office and staff.

One of the efforts that we have been working on—when I say that, Congress and individual Members—is to approach and to continue to work on the issue of breast cancer that impacts women throughout this country, and certainly women and men in the United States military.

I'm very pleased in this en bloc to have an amendment by Jackson Lee that really cements the collaboration between the Department of Defense Office of Health to collaborate with the National Institutes of Health to provide resources, to identify specific genetic and molecular targets and biomarkers for triple negative breast cancer, often not heard of.

I will tell you, in the course of my involvement, I've had daughters of those who lost their lives—triple negative breast cancer is a particularly negative but deadly form of breast cancer where the victim does not last very long. I've lost dear friends. And this highlighting the biomarker will bring down the cost of treatment, but more importantly will help to stem the tide of those who quickly die because there is no treatment because it accelerates so quickly and lives are lost.

I also am grateful that we are beginning to make some steps. Though I indicated my support for the Speier and Gabbard amendment, I am pleased to be able to have in this language, a board, a place where sexual assault prevention information and resources bring it out in the open and let people know, men and women, how they can access resources. Let's put it forward so that people are safe, so that we can stop it and get in the gap. I think honoring our men and women in the United States military is particularly important.

Over the years, I have supported increased funding for PTSD and recognized the crises that many of our soldiers are facing in the need for mental health services. We can see some of the impact of those in terms of family situations and violence, domestic violence. So I have in this en bloc an amendment that will provide more mental health counselors, or focus on more mental health counselors, to ensure that the 200,000 veterans of military service and Active Duty soldiers will have the ability to be able to get that kind of service.

It is also important to be fiscally responsible, and I have an amendment that improves the management of defense equipment and supplies through automated information data-captured technology. This will support the work of the DOD to adopt a proven private sector method for more efficiently

managing inventory, and that's inventory going from what we have in Afghanistan to left over in Iraq and to many other places. We want to save money.

I also am very grateful that there is a manager's amendment in this en bloc, something very close and near and dear to my heart, and that is the outreach by the Department of Defense to small businesses, minority-owned businesses and women-owned businesses. I can tell you that they are the backbone of America. Even though we are downsizing on some of the contractual relationships, I can tell you that obviously they bring about \$537 billion as obligated by Federal agencies. These small businesses can benefit. They create jobs. And the outreach is going to be vital beyond where the bases are, beyond where the areas where you would likely think.

Let me also say this. I want to thank the committee for working with me on an amendment that I thought was very important, and that is the study of the procurement practices of our intelligence assets and to be able to improve how we deal with intelligence. I know that we will work together on that going forward, and I believe that it is important that we do work together.

These are amendments that I believe will improve the conditions of our very important military personnel. And again, to all of them, Happy Father's Day.

Mr. MCKEON. At this time, Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Alaska (Mr. YOUNG) for the purpose of a colloquy.

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. I thank the gentleman for yielding. I rise to thank my good friend and chairman of the House Armed Services Committee for including my amendment on section 811 of the fiscal year 2010 National Defense Authorization Act in one of today's en bloc packages and to ask if he is concerned, as I am, that implementation by Federal agencies of section 811 of the FY10 National Defense Authorization Act has been inconsistent and contrary to the congressional intent.

Mr. MCKEON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. I yield to the gentleman from California.

□ 1700

Mr. MCKEON. I thank the gentleman from Alaska for raising concern over inconsistency in implementation of section 811 and bringing it to our attention.

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. I thank the gentleman and ask the distinguished chairman of the Armed Services Committee if he agrees with me that section 811 was not intended to be a cap or bar on sole-source awards above \$20 million, and to also ask if he is concerned about the growing number of reports that agencies are treating the threshold requiring sole-source jus-

tification as a prohibition on such awards.

Mr. MCKEON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. MCKEON. I agree that section 811 was intended to provide increased oversight of sole-source contract awards but was not intended to be a prohibition on such contracts.

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. Mr. Chairman, I ask you to join me and my colleague from Hawaii (Ms. HANABUSA) in continued oversight of Federal agency implementation of section 811 and in requesting the Comptroller General provide us a full report with respect to any inconsistencies in the ways agencies are implementing section 811, the negative impacts such section is having on Native American contractors, and provide recommendations on how the provision should be better implemented. Such a report will aid Congress in ensuring that section 811 is implemented so as to make clear that the provision does not impose a cap or limit on awards covered by the provision, so long as the justifications and approval are obtained pursuant to provision, and that the provision is intended to provide a level of oversight and approval, not act as a prohibition or limit on awards.

Mr. MCKEON. I will be happy to work with the gentleman and with our colleague from Hawaii to send a joint letter to the Comptroller General in the manner he suggests and to continue oversight on this issue.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. HAHN).

Ms. HAHN. Mr. Chairman, I rise to speak in support of amendment 246, which is a bipartisan amendment that I cosponsored with my good friend and colleague, Congressman DON YOUNG.

Despite the vital role they play to our economic strength and national security, our ports, unlike nearly every other mode of transportation, still do not have a dedicated source of Federal funding for infrastructure projects.

Ensuring ports have the infrastructure funding they need is not only critical to strengthening our economy, but also to making sure that our ports can handle the sudden needs of rapid deployment in the outbreak of war or during a national emergency.

This amendment would allow the Maritime Administration to provide infrastructure grants to our Nation's ports and prioritize funding for our strategic seaports.

By finally giving MARAD the tools that they need to successfully mitigate congestion and increase the flow of goods at U.S. ports, we will ensure our ports will be fully prepared to serve our national defense and continue to be the strong economic engine that drives our Nation's prosperity.

I want to thank Congressman YOUNG for working with me on this amend-

ment, as well as my colleague from California, Chairman MCKEON, and Ranking Member SMITH for accepting this amendment en bloc.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Alaska (Mr. YOUNG).

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. I thank the chairman for including my amendment in this package.

My amendment is very simple. It asks the Department of Defense to report on what actually makes an installation in the Pacific strategically important. In my many meetings with military leaders, I'm always told about the strategic importance of various installations in Alaska and all across this country; however, "strategic" is never fully defined. My amendment merely asks the Department of Defense to qualify and quantify exactly what makes an installation strategic.

As every Alaskan knows, Alaska has numerous strategic installations that proudly protect this country from harm. Among those bases is Eielson Air Force Base in Fairbanks, which is the home to the 18th Aggressor Squadron. This squadron provides our Nation's pilots with real-life training they need to be the best in the world. Throughout the year, but especially during Red Flag-Alaska, the F-16 Aggressors fight realistic mock battles in the largest training range in the United States, and it's one of the most terrain diverse training areas in the world.

Eielson Air Force Base is also home to the strategically important 168th Air Guard Refueling Wing. These KC-135s provide legs for our Nation's northern air bridge, which allows us to project power into the Arctic and the Northern Pacific. I have confidence that my amendment and the following DOD report will show what General Billy Mitchell recognized in 1935, namely, that Alaska is the most strategic place in the world.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Hawaii (Ms. HANABUSA).

Ms. HANABUSA. Mr. Chairman, I rise to speak in support of en bloc amendment 244, the Young-Hanabusa amendment.

Section 811 of the fiscal year 2010 NDAA required that any 8(a) Native American sole-source contract in excess of \$20 million go through a heightened justification and approval.

The justification and approval is often interpreted to be approved by a "head of agency." This requirement is shown by a recent GAO report to cause a 60 percent decline in revenue from these contracts. It has resulted in a loss of jobs, reduced benefits to Native Americans, and has led to a large amount of unintended discrimination against Native community-owned firms. What the amendment does is it makes it a delegable authority.

In my home State of Hawaii, there are numerous 8(a) enterprises known as Native Hawaiian Organizations. These

entities are conducting critical research for our defense industry and other sectors of government while also supporting critical programs within the community. It makes no sense to place onerous requirements on these successful organizations that significantly decrease the ability to conduct business.

Further, I support a letter to the GAO requesting a full and detailed report with respect to any inconsistencies in the way the agencies are implementing section 811, the negative impacts such section is having on Native American contractors, along with recommendations of how the provisions should be implemented.

Mr. Chairman, I would also like to thank the chairman, the ranking member, and my colleague Mr. YOUNG from Alaska for this amendment and for placing it in the en bloc package.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. LAMBORN).

Mr. LAMBORN. Mr. Chairman, I rise today to highlight my amendment No. 143, which expresses the sense of Congress highlighting the threat posed by Hezbollah.

Hezbollah is one of the world's most dangerous terrorist organizations. After al Qaeda, it is responsible for the most deaths of American citizens. Hezbollah is behind a series of terrorist attacks around the world, including the foiled plot to assassinate the Saudi Ambassador here in Washington.

Hezbollah is backed by the Iranian regime and has now joined the fight to protect another Iranian proxy, the Assad regime in Syria.

Hezbollah was behind an attack last summer in Bulgaria that killed five people. Unfortunately, the European Union has not yet listed Hezbollah as a terrorist organization.

My amendment calls on the EU to recognize that Hezbollah is a terrorist organization. Please vote "yes" on this amendment to stand against terrorism.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, we have no further speakers. I urge adoption of the en bloc amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chair, I thank Chairman McKEON and Ranking Member SMITH and the Rules Committee for making in order and including in En Bloc Amendment #1 Amendment Number 35 and Amendment Number 111 to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014.

These amendments are offered by the House Committee on Homeland Security's Chairman MCCAUL, Ranking Member BENNIE THOMPSON, as well as the Subcommittee on Border and Maritime Security's Chairwoman MILLER and Ranking Member JACKSON LEE.

In addition to the Jackson Lee amendments offered to this bill, I joined my Colleagues on the Committee on Homeland Security in supporting an amendment to promote collaboration and cooperation between the Department of Defense and Department of Homeland Security

regarding the identification of equipment, either declared excess, or made available to DHS on a long-term loan basis that will help increase security along the border.

I also request that my colleagues support another amendment that I joined in sponsoring along with the leadership of the House Committee on Homeland Security, which would allow the transfer of technology from DOD to state and local law enforcement. Before the creation of DHS a program was created to facilitate this type of equipment transfer and this amendment adds the Secretary of Homeland Security in a consultative role in the equipment transfer process. This amendment also gives applicants seeking DOD equipment for use in border security preference in this statute. This will facilitate expedited transfer of equipment that Federal, state and local first responders can use to strengthen our border security efforts.

I thank Chairman McKEON and Ranking Member SMITH for including these amendments in the En Bloc Amendment #1 and I urge all members to vote in favor of this amendment.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chair, I thank Chairman McKEON and Ranking Member SMITH and the Rules Committee for making in order and including in En Bloc Amendment #2 three amendments that I offered to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014.

The Jackson Lee Amendments are simple and if adopted would improve the final bill.

The Jackson Lee Amendment designated as #81 calls for the collaboration between the Department of Defense and the National Institutes of Health to combat Triple Negative Breast Cancer. The Amendment directs the Department of Defense Office of Health to collaborate with the National Institutes of Health to provide resources to identify specific genetic and molecular targets and biomarkers for TNBC.

#### TRIPLE NEGATIVE BREAST CANCER (TNBC)

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is a term used to describe breast cancers whose cells do not have estrogen receptors and progesterone receptors, and do not have an excess of the "HER2" protein on their cell membrane of tumor cells.

Between 10–17% of female breast cancer patients have the triple negative subtype.

Three times more likely to cause death than the most common form of breast cancer, 70% of women with metastatic triple negative breast cancer do not live more than five years after being diagnosed.

There is no targeted treatment available for TNBC. The American Cancer Society calls this particular strain of breast cancer "an aggressive subtype associated with lower survival rates."

Triple Negative Breast Cancer (TNBC) cells are usually of a higher grade and size; onset at a younger age; more aggressive; and more likely to metastasize.

TNBC is in fact a heterogeneous group of cancers with varying differences in prognosis and survival rate between various subtypes. This has led to a lot of confusion amongst both physicians and patients.

Apart from surgery, cytotoxic chemotherapy is the only available treatment; targeted molecular treatments, while being investigated, are not accepted treatment.

#### POPULATIONS AFFECTED BY TNBC

TNBC disproportionately impacts younger women, African American women, Hispanic/Latina women, and women with a "BRCA1" genetic mutation, which is prevalent in Jewish women.

TNBC usually affects women under 50 years of age.

More than 30% of all breast cancer diagnoses in African American are of the triple negative variety. Black women are far more susceptible to this dangerous subtype than white or Hispanic women.

Women with TNBC are more likely to have distant metastases in the brain and lung than more common subtypes of breast cancer.

Breast cancers with specific, targeted treatment methods, such as hormone and gene based strains, have higher survival rates than the triple negative subtype, highlighting the need for a targeted treatment.

The Jackson Lee Amendment designated as #88 directs the Department of Defense to post information on sexual assault prevention and response resources online for ease of access by men and women in the armed services.

There is no greater crime that an individual can commit than the crime of sexual molestation and sexual assault. The perpetrators of these crimes rob victims of their dignity and sense of wellbeing. Victimization is not easily relieved by treating the immediate physical injuries that may result, but can last for years. Moreover, victims of sexual assault are profoundly affected for the rest of their lives, often with PTSD or other medical conditions. As elected officials, we have an obligation to condemn this violence, work for stronger enforcement of laws and provide adequate funding for programs to assist individuals who may have experienced such abuse.

When the victim is a member of the armed forces, the crime is much more traumatic because the assailant may be a superior officer or the location of the crime far from our shores. Further, the mechanisms in place to support civilians who are victims of sexual violence are often not available to men and women in the military.

In 2012, the Department of Defense estimates that 26,000 men and women in the armed forces are victims of some type of unwanted sexual contact. This reflects a 40 percent increase over a two year period. The report provided by the Defense Department suggests that the majority of the crimes involved rape, aggravated sexual assault or non-consensual sodomy.

We know that victims of sexual violence or abuse among civilians are routinely under-reported. The Defense Department report states that of the 26,000 estimated victims only 3,374 crimes were reported and just 302 of the 2,558 incidents pursued by victims were prosecuted.

This crime is not limited to women who are victims of men, but include men who are victims of other men. The Defense Department report states that 81 percent of sexual violence against men goes unreported and just 5 percent of attacks are reported to civilian law enforcement. The stigma is great for any victim of sexual violence, but it may be more so for men because civilian society has accepted the reality of sexual violence against women and children but is just becoming aware of crimes against men.

In 2011, the Journal of Trauma and Disso- ciation reports that men in the military face barriers to reporting sexual crimes that include avoidance/blocking the incident, fear of retribu- tion, fear of facing charges under the Uniform Code of Military Justice for associated behav- ior like drinking, fear that reporting will dam- age their military career and fear of not being believed.

Sexual assault can be verbal, visual, or any- thing that forces a person to join in unwanted sexual contact or attention. Examples of this are voyeurism (when someone watches pri- vate sexual acts), exhibitionism (when some- one exposes him/herself in public), incest (sexual contact between family members), and sexual harassment. It can happen in different situations, by a stranger in an isolated place, on a date, or in the place where a person sleeps by someone they know.

The negative impacts of sexual assault go beyond the physical trauma of the attack itself. The victims suffer psychological trauma, emo- tional scarring, shame, anger, the stigma of being victimized. Victims often suffer in silence for years before they can gain the courage to seek help.

Unfortunately, sexual assault is an issue that has plagued the Nation and we are learn- ing that men and women in the armed forces cannot escape its effects. In my home state of Texas, nearly 2 million adult Texans, or 12.6% of the population, have been sexually as- saulted, and more than half of all sexual as- saults are committed against children under age 18.

An estimated 82% of rapes go unreported. The vast majority of rape victims—nearly 80%—know the person who rapes them.

#### PURPOSE OF MY AMENDMENT

The goal of the amendment is to make sure that information is available and easily acces- sible to military personnel for the purpose of raising awareness, promoting education and the long term goal of influencing organizational culture around the issue of sexual violence.

Many in the military are just learning that there is a huge difference between sex and sexual violence. My amendment would edu- cate both victims, potential victims, witnesses or victimizers that these are acts of violence and should be treated as such. It may also help influence thinking among military leaders on the nature of these crimes and promote changes in policy to aggressively provide sup- port to victims and judicial remedies to prose- cute and punish criminal behavior.

It will take more than just stronger preven- tion and enforcement of the law to prevent sexual molestation and other forms of sexual assault. It is also raising awareness, which is what my amendment does. In order to end this serious epidemic that plagues the Amer- ican Armed forces, all segments of the military from the most junior to the most senior officers should be aware of what a sexual crime is and how to reduce the incidents or them. Victims are not at fault—victimizers are criminals who intend to subjugate, humiliate, dominate and hurt their victims. They are predators among those who honorably serve in defense of our nation. They should not be tolerated, con- doned, protected, given refuge or enabled in any way.

The Jackson Lee Amendment designated as #82 expresses the sense of the Congress that the Secretary of Defense should develop a plan to ensure a sustainable flow of qualified

mental health counselors to meet the long- term needs of members of the Armed Forces, veterans, and their families.

I have always been a supporter of the men and women in the military, visiting every com- bat zone, including Bosnia, Kosovo, Albania, with numerous visits to Afghanistan and Iraq.

Houston is home to one of the largest popu- lations of military service members and their families in the nation. There are over 200,000 veterans of military service who live and work in Houston; more than 13,000 are veterans from Iraq and Afghanistan. For the brave men and women who have been wounded in com- bat, help is on the way.

Although some of a soldier's wounds are in- visible to the naked eye they are still wounds that should be properly treated. One of the best ways to increase access to treatment is to increase the number of medical facilities and mental health professionals who are avail- able to serve the needs of men and women currently serving and those who have become veterans.

The current conflict in Afghanistan is the most continuous combat operations since Viet- nam.

One study published in the American Jour- nal of Medicine indicated that 94% of soldiers returning from Iraq reported receiving small- arms fire.

In addition, 86% of soldiers in Iraq reported knowing someone who was seriously injured or killed, 68% reported seeing dead or seri- ously injured Americans, and 51% reported handling or uncovering human remains.

The majority, 77%, of soldiers deployed to Iraq reported shooting or directing fire at the enemy, 48% reported being responsible for the death of an enemy combatant, and 28% reported being responsible for the death of a noncombatant. (Hoge et al., 2004).

In addition to these Jackson Lee Amend- ments, I joined my Colleagues on the Com- mittee on Homeland Security in supporting an amendment to promote collaboration between the Department of Defense and Department of Homeland security regarding the identification of equipment, either declared excess, or made available to DHS on a long-term loan basis that will help increase security along the bor- der.

This is a common-sense way to leverage equipment the taxpayer has already paid for and is coming back from overseas and no longer needed for military purposes is a wise use of these resources.

I also request that my colleagues support another amendment that I joined in sponsoring along with the leadership of the House Com- mittee on Homeland Sec, which would allow the transfer of technology from DOD to state and local law enforcement. Before the creation of DHS, a program was created to facilitate this type of equipment transfer, and this amendment adds the Secretary of Homeland Security in a consultative role in the equip- ment transfer process. This amendment also gives applicants seek DOD equipment for use in border security preference in this statute. This will facilitate expedited transfer of equip- ment that Federal, state and local first re- sponders can use to strengthen our border se- curity efforts.

I thank Chairman MCKEON and Ranking Member SMITH for including these amend- ments in the En Bloc Amendment #2 and I urge all members to vote in favor of this amendment.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Chair, the Rules Committee made several amendments I of- fered to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 in order.

The Jackson Lee Amendments are simple and if adopted would improve the final bill.

The Jackson Lee Amendment designated as #95 provides for the improved manage- ment of defense equipment and supplies through automated information and data capture tech- nologies. The private sector has leaped for- ward in using inventory tracking technology to monitor large and small products from the time they leave manufacturing facilities until they are sold at retail or wholesale stores.

Adoption and implementation of DOD's Item Unique Identification (IUID) policy for serial- ized asset control will make several asset management and supply chain management improvements. Once fully implemented, if an item is in the inventory of any DOD facility anywhere in the world, it would be easy to lo- cate and deliver where and when it is needed. This happens every day in retail settings and it should be the standard way DOD inventory is managed. My amendment would support the work of the DOD to adopt a proven private sector method for more efficiently managing inventory.

The most advanced warehouse inventory management systems are fully automated and biometrically controlled to track items and create records of people who make request to transport items from storage to use. These systems make sure that persons' seeking to move items have the authority to do so and that the requests create records that can be tracked as well as track the items moved. These fully automated warehouses have no staff, but rely upon technology that is designed to store and retrieve items in the most cost ef- fective and efficient manner possible.

The automated warehouse systems are in use in the private sector and are one of the many innovations that may assist the DOD in improving efficiency of equipment manage- ment while saving potentially millions of dollars in labor and acquisition costs.

The Jackson Lee Amendment designated as #49 requires outreach by the DOD to small business concerns owned and controlled by women and minorities before conversion of certain functions to contractor performance. Federal contracting can be an important re- venue source for businesses of any size. In fis- cal year 2011, federal agencies obligated a total of around \$537 billion in government con- tracts to businesses. However, federal agen- cies goal for contracting with women and mi- nority owned businesses is five percent.

The Department of Defense is a major con- sumer of products and services that range from office products to military specific equip- ment. The wide ranges of business opportuni- ties provide ample reasons to engage women and minority owned businesses as contractors or subcontractors.

This Amendment requires outreach by the DOD to small business concerns owned and controlled by women and minorities before conversion of certain functions to contractor performance. Federal contracting can be an important revenue source for businesses of any size. In fiscal year 2011, federal agencies obligated a total of around \$537 billion in gov- ernment contracts to businesses. However, federal agencies goal for contracting with women and minority owned businesses is five percent.

The Jackson Lee Amendment designated as #95 provides an improved management of defense equipment and supplies through automated information and data capture technologies. The private sector has leaped forward in using inventory tracking technology to monitor large and small products from the time they leave manufacturing facilities until they are sold at retail or wholesale stores.

Adoption and implementation of DOD's Item Unique Identification (IUID) policy for serialized asset control will make several asset management and supply chain management improvements. Once fully implemented, if an item is in the inventory of any DOD facility anywhere in the world, it would be easy to locate and deliver where and when it is needed. This happens every day in retail settings and it should be the standard way DOD inventory is managed. My amendment would support the work of the DOD to adopt a proven private sector method for more efficiently managing inventory.

The most advanced warehouse inventory management systems are fully automated and biometrically controlled to track items and create records of people who make request to transport items from storage to use. These systems make sure that persons seeking to move items have the authority to do so and that the requests create records that can be tracked as well as track the items moved. These fully automated warehouses have no staff, but rely upon technology that is designed to store and retrieve items in the most cost effective and efficient manner possible.

Fully automated warehouse systems, such as the ones operated by Genco, are in use in the private sector and are one of the many innovations that may assist the DOD in improving efficiency of equipment management while saving potentially millions of dollars in labor and acquisition costs.

I urge all members to support these amendments.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendments en bloc offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEAN).

The en bloc amendments were agreed to.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in part B of House Report 113-108 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 2 by Mr. BLUMENAUER of Oregon.

Amendment No. 3 by Mrs. LUMMIS of Wyoming.

Amendment No. 5 by Mr. COFFMAN of Colorado.

Amendment No. 9 by Mr. RIGELL of Virginia.

Amendment No. 10 by Mr. MCGOVERN of Massachusetts.

Amendment No. 11 by Mr. GOODLATTE of Virginia.

Amendment No. 12 by Mr. SMITH of Washington.

The Chair will reduce to 2 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR.

BLUMENAUER

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded

vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 106, noes 318, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 222]

AYES—106

Amash Higgins Pallone  
 Bass Himes Peterson  
 Becerra Holt Petri  
 Benishek Honda Polis  
 Bentivolio Horsford Price (NC)  
 Blumenauer Huffman Quigley  
 Bonamici Jeffries Rangel  
 Braley (IA) Johnson (GA) Rohrabacher  
 Capps Kennedy Roybal-Allard  
 Capuano Kildee Rush  
 Carson (IN) Kind Sanford  
 Castor (FL) Labrador Sarbanes  
 Clarke Lee (CA) Schakowsky  
 Clay Lipinski Schrader  
 Cleaver Loeb sack Sensenbrenner  
 Cohen Lofgren Serrano  
 Conyers Lowenthal Sherman  
 Cooper Maloney, Sires  
 Davis, Danny Carolyn  
 DeFazio Massie Slaughter  
 DeGette Matsui Speier  
 Doggett McClintock Stutzman  
 Doyle McColium Swalwell (CA)  
 Duckworth McDermott Thompson (CA)  
 Duncan (TN) McGovern Tierney  
 Edwards McNerney Titus  
 Ellison Meeks Van Hollen  
 Eshoo Meng Velázquez  
 Farr Miller, George Walz  
 Foster Moore Waters  
 Garamendi Mulvaney Watt  
 Griffith (VA) Nadler Waxman  
 Grijalva Napolitano Welch  
 Gutierrez Negrete McLeod Wilson (FL)  
 Hahn Nolan Yarmuth  
 Hastings (FL) O'Rourke Yoho

NOES—318

Aderholt Capito DeSantis  
 Alexander Cárdenas DesJarlais  
 Amodei Carney Deutch  
 Andrews Carter Diaz-Balart  
 Bachmann Cartwright Dingell  
 Bachus Cassidy Duff  
 Barber Castro (TX) Duncan (SC)  
 Barletta Chabot Ellmers  
 Barr Chaffetz Engel  
 Barrow (GA) Cicilline Enyart  
 Beatty Clyburn Esty  
 Bera (CA) Coble Farenthold  
 Bilirakis Coffman Fincher  
 Bishop (GA) Cole Fitzpatrick  
 Bishop (NY) Collins (GA) Fleischmann  
 Bishop (UT) Collins (NY) Fleming  
 Black Conaway Flores  
 Blackburn Connolly Forbes  
 Bonner Cook Fortenberry  
 Boustany Costa Foxx  
 Brady (PA) Cotton Frankel (FL)  
 Brady (TX) Courtney Franks (AZ)  
 Bridenstine Cramer Frelinghuysen  
 Brooks (AL) Crawford Fudge  
 Brooks (IN) Crenshaw Gabbard  
 Broun (GA) Crowley Gallego  
 Brown (FL) Cuellar Garcia  
 Brownley (CA) Culberson Gardner  
 Buchanan Cummings Garrett  
 Bucshon Daines Gerlach  
 Burgess Davis (CA) Gibbs  
 Bustos Davis, Rodney Gibson  
 Butterfield Delaney Gingrey (GA)  
 Calvert DeLauro Gohmert  
 Camp DelBene Goodlatte  
 Cantor Denham Gosar  
 Dent Gowdy

Granger Maffei Ruiz  
 Graves (GA) Maloney, Sean Runyan  
 Graves (MO) Marchant Ruppertsberger  
 Grayson Marino Ryan (OH)  
 Green, Al Matheson Ryan (WI)  
 Green, Gene McCarthy (CA) Salmon  
 Griffin (AR) McCaul Sánchez, Linda  
 Grimm McHenry T.  
 Guthrie McIntyre Sanchez, Loretta  
 Hall McKeon Scalise  
 Hanabusa McKinley Schiff  
 Hanna McMorris Schneider  
 Harper Rodgers Schock  
 Harris Meadows Schwartz  
 Hartzler Meehan Schweikert  
 Hastings (WA) Messer Scott (VA)  
 Heck (NV) Mica Scott, Austin  
 Heck (WA) Michaud Scott, David  
 Hensarling Miller (FL) Sessions  
 Herrera Beutler Miller (MI) Sewell (AL)  
 Hinojosa Miller, Gary Shimkus  
 Holding Moran Shuster  
 Hoyer Mullin Simpson  
 Hudson Murphy (FL) Sinema  
 Huelskamp Murphy (PA) Smith (MO)  
 Huizenga (MI) Neugebauer Smith (NE)  
 Hultgren Noem Smith (NJ)  
 Hunter Nugent Smith (TX)  
 Hurt Nunes Smith (WA)  
 Israel Nunnelee Southerland  
 Issa Olson Stewart  
 Jackson Lee Owens Stivers  
 Jenkins Palazzo Stockman  
 Johnson (OH) Pascrell Takano  
 Johnson, E. B. Pastor (AZ) Terry  
 Johnson, Sam Paulsen  
 Jones Pearce Thompson (MS)  
 Jordan Schakowsky Thompson (PA)  
 Joyce Pelosi Thornberry  
 Keating Perlmutter  
 Kelly (IL) Perry Tipton  
 Kelly (PA) Peters (CA) Tonko  
 Kilmer Peters (MI) Tsongas  
 King (IA) King (ME) Pittenger  
 King (NY) King (IA) Turner  
 Kingston Pitts Upton  
 Kinzinger (IL) Pocan Valadao  
 Kirkpatrick Pompeo Vargas  
 Kline Posey Veasey  
 Kuster Price (GA) Vela  
 LaMalfa Radel Visclosky  
 Lamborn Rahall Wagner  
 Lance Reed Walberg  
 Langevin Reichert Walden  
 Lankford Renacci Wasserman  
 Larsen (WA) Ribble Schultz  
 Larson (CT) Rice (SC) Weber (TX)  
 Latham Richmond Webster (FL)  
 Latta Rigell Wenstrup  
 Levin Roby Westmoreland  
 LoBiondo Roe (TN) Whitfield  
 Long Rogers (AL) Williams  
 Lowey Rogers (KY) Wilson (SC)  
 Lucas Rogers (MI) Wittman  
 Luetkemeyer Rokita Wolf  
 Lujan Grisham Rooney Womack  
 (NM) Ros-Lehtinen Woodall  
 Luján, Ben Ray Roskam Yoder  
 (NM) Ross Young (AK)  
 Lummis Rothfus Young (FL)  
 Lynch Royce Young (IN)

NOT VOTING—10

Campbell Lewis Payne  
 Chu Markey Shea-Porter  
 Fattah McCarthy (NY)  
 Kaptur Neal

□ 1734

Messrs. FRANKS of Arizona, HARPER, GENE GREEN of Texas, LUETKEMEYER, BARROW of Georgia, MEADOWS, BISHOP of Utah, CICILLINE, GARCIA, DELANEY, UPTON, LARSON of Connecticut, CLYBURN, THOMPSON of Mississippi, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Ms. KELLY of Illinois, and Mrs. KIRKPATRICK changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Messrs. STUTZMAN, DOYLE, MEEKS, and Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MRS. LUMMIS

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Wyoming (Mrs. LUMMIS) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 235, noes 189, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 223]

AYES—235

Aderholt	Gardner	McKeon
Alexander	Garrett	McKinley
Amodei	Gerlach	McMorris
Bachmann	Gibbs	Rodgers
Bachus	Gibson	Meadows
Barletta	Gingrey (GA)	Meehan
Barr	Gohmert	Messer
Barton	Goodlatte	Mica
Benishek	Gosar	Miller (FL)
Bentivolio	Gowdy	Miller (MI)
Bilirakis	Granger	Miller, Gary
Bishop (UT)	Graves (GA)	Mullin
Black	Graves (MO)	Murphy (PA)
Blackburn	Green, Gene	Neugebauer
Bonner	Griffin (AR)	Noem
Boustany	Griffith (VA)	Nugent
Brady (TX)	Grimm	Nunes
Bridenstine	Guthrie	Nunnelee
Brooks (AL)	Hall	Olson
Brooks (IN)	Hanna	Palazzo
Broun (GA)	Harper	Paulsen
Buchanan	Harris	Pearce
Buchson	Hartzler	Perry
Burgess	Hastings (WA)	Peterson
Calvert	Heck (NV)	Petri
Camp	Hensarling	Pittenger
Cantor	Herrera Beutler	Pitts
Capito	Holding	Poe (TX)
Carter	Hudson	Pompeo
Cassidy	Huelskamp	Posey
Chabot	Huizenga (MI)	Price (GA)
Chaffetz	Hultgren	Radel
Coble	Hunter	Reed
Coffman	Hurt	Reichert
Cole	Issa	Renacci
Collins (GA)	Jenkins	Ribble
Collins (NY)	Johnson (OH)	Rice (SC)
Conaway	Johnson, Sam	Rigell
Cook	Jones	Roby
Cotton	Jordan	Roe (TN)
Cramer	Joyce	Rogers (AL)
Crawford	Kelly (PA)	Rogers (KY)
Crenshaw	King (IA)	Rogers (MI)
Culberson	King (NY)	Rokita
Daines	Kingston	Rooney
Davis, Rodney	Kinzinger (IL)	Ros-Lehtinen
Denham	Kline	Roskam
Dent	Labrador	Ross
DeSantis	LaMalfa	Rothfus
DesJarlais	Lamborn	Royce
Diaz-Balart	Lance	Ruiz
Duffy	Lankford	Runyan
Duncan (SC)	Latham	Ryan (OH)
Duncan (TN)	Latta	Ryan (WI)
Ellmers	LoBiondo	Salmon
Farenthold	Long	Sanford
Fincher	Lucas	Scalise
Fitzpatrick	Luetkemeyer	Schock
Fleischmann	Lummis	Schweikert
Fleming	Marchant	Scott, Austin
Flores	Marino	Sensenbrenner
Forbes	McCarthy (CA)	Sessions
Fortenberry	McCaul	Sewell (AL)
Fox	McClintock	Sherman
Franks (AZ)	McHenry	Shimkus
Frelinghuysen	McIntyre	Shuster

Simpson	Tiberi
Smith (MO)	Tipton
Smith (NE)	Turner
Smith (NJ)	Upton
Smith (TX)	Valadao
Southerland	Wagner
Stewart	Walberg
Stivers	Walden
Stockman	Walorski
Stutzman	Weber (TX)
Terry	Webster (FL)
Thompson (PA)	Wenstrup
Thornberry	Westmoreland

NOES—189

Amash	Garcia
Andrews	Grayson
Barber	Green, Al
Barrow (GA)	Grijalva
Bass	Hahn
Beatty	Hanabusa
Becerra	Hastings (FL)
Bera (CA)	Heck (WA)
Bishop (GA)	Higgins
Bishop (NY)	Himes
Blumenauer	Hinojosa
Bonamici	Holt
Brady (PA)	Honda
Braley (IA)	Horsford
Brown (FL)	Hoyer
Brownley (CA)	Huffman
Bustos	Israel
Butterfield	Jackson Lee
Capps	Jeffries
Capuano	Johnson (GA)
Cárdenas	Johnson, E. B.
Carney	Kaptur
Carson (IN)	Keating
Cartwright	Kelly (IL)
Castor (FL)	Kennedy
Castro (TX)	Kildee
Cicilline	Kilmer
Clarke	Kind
Clay	Kirkpatrick
Cleaver	Kuster
Clyburn	Langevin
Cohen	Larsen (WA)
Connolly	Larson (CT)
Conyers	Lee (CA)
Cooper	Levin
Costa	Lipinski
Courtney	Loebsack
Crowley	Lowenthal
Cuellar	Lowe
Cummings	Lujan Grisham
Davis (CA)	(NM)
Davis, Danny	Luján, Ben Ray
DeFazio	(NM)
DeGette	Lynch
Delaney	Maffei
DeLauro	Maloney,
DeBene	Carolyn
Deutch	Maloney, Sean
Dingell	Massie
Doggett	Matheson
Doyle	Matsui
Duckworth	McCollum
Edwards	McDermott
Ellison	McGovern
Engel	McNerney
Enyart	Meeks
Eshoo	Meng
Esty	Michaud
Farr	Miller, George
Foster	Moore
Frankel (FL)	Moran
Fudge	Mulvaney
Gabbard	Murphy (FL)
Gallego	Nadler
Garamendi	Napolitano

NOT VOTING—10

Campbell	Lewis
Chu	Lofgren
Fattah	Markey
Gutierrez	McCarthy (NY)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1739

Ms. SINEMA changed her vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Whitfield	Williams
Wilson (SC)	Wittman
Wolf	Womack
Woodall	Yoder
Yoho	Young (AK)
Young (FL)	Young (IN)

AMENDMENT NO. 5 OFFERED BY MR. COFFMAN

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. COFFMAN) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 206, noes 220, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 224]

AYES—206

Alexander	Goodlatte	Paulsen
Amash	Gosar	Pearce
Amodei	Gowdy	Perlmutter
Bachmann	Granger	Petri
Bachus	Graves (GA)	Pittenger
Barletta	Griffin (AR)	Pitts
Barr	Griffith (VA)	Poe (TX)
Barton	Grimm	Pompeo
Bentivolio	Guthrie	Posey
Bilirakis	Hall	Price (GA)
Bishop (UT)	Hanna	Radel
Black	Hartzler	Reichert
Blackburn	Hastings (WA)	Ribble
Bonner	Heck (NV)	Rice (SC)
Boustany	Hensarling	Rigell
Brady (TX)	Himes	Roby
Bridenstine	Holding	Roe (TN)
Brooks (IN)	Hudson	Rogers (KY)
Broun (GA)	Huelskamp	Rogers (MI)
Buchanan	Huizenga (MI)	Rohrabacher
Bucshon	Hultgren	Rokita
Burgess	Hurt	Rooney
Calvert	Issa	Ros-Lehtinen
Cantor	Jenkins	Roskam
Capito	Johnson (OH)	Ross
Carter	Johnson, Sam	Rothfus
Cartwright	Jones	Royce
Cassidy	Jordan	Runyan
Chabot	Kelly (PA)	Ryan (WI)
Chaffetz	King (IA)	Salmon
Coble	Kingston	Sanford
Coffman	Kinzinger (IL)	Scalise
Cole	Kline	Schock
Collins (GA)	Labrador	Schweikert
Collins (NY)	Lamborn	Scott, Austin
Conaway	Lance	Sensenbrenner
Cook	Lankford	Sessions
Cooper	Latham	Smith (MO)
Costa	Latta	Smith (NE)
Cotton	Lucas	Smith (NJ)
Cramer	Luetkemeyer	Smith (TX)
Crawford	Lummis	Southerland
Culberson	Marchant	Speier
Daines	Massie	Stewart
Davis, Rodney	Matheson	Stockman
Denham	McCarthy (CA)	Stutzman
Dent	McCaul	Terry
DeSantis	McClintock	Thompson (PA)
DesJarlais	McHenry	Tipton
Diaz-Balart	McKeon	Upton
Duffy	McKinley	Valadao
Duncan (SC)	McMorris	Wagner
Duncan (TN)	Rodgers	Walberg
Ellmers	Meadows	Walden
Farenthold	Messer	Walorski
Fincher	Mica	Walz
Fleischmann	Miller (MI)	Weber (TX)
Fleming	Miller, Gary	Webster (FL)
Flores	Mullin	Wenstrup
Forbes	Mulvaney	Williams
Fortenberry	Fox	Wilson (SC)
Fox	Frankel (FL)	Wittman
Franks (AZ)	Franks (AZ)	Wolf
Frelinghuysen	Gardner	Womack
	Garrett	Woodall
	Gerlach	Yoder
	Gibbs	Yoho
	Gingrey (GA)	Young (AK)
	Gohmert	Young (IN)
	Palazzo	



NOES—220

Aderholt	Gutierrez	Pallone
Andrews	Hahn	Pascarell
Barber	Hanabusa	Pastor (AZ)
Barrow (GA)	Harper	Payne
Bass	Harris	Pelosi
Beatty	Hastings (FL)	Perry
Becerra	Heck (WA)	Peters (CA)
Benishek	Herrera Beutler	Peters (MI)
Bera (CA)	Higgins	Peterson
Bishop (GA)	Hinojosa	Pingree (ME)
Bishop (NY)	Holt	Pocan
Blumenauer	Honda	Polis
Bonamici	Horsford	Price (NC)
Brady (PA)	Hoyer	Quigley
Braley (IA)	Huffman	Rahall
Brooks (AL)	Hunter	Rangel
Brown (FL)	Israel	Reed
Brownley (CA)	Jackson Lee	Renacci
Bustos	Jeffries	Richmond
Butterfield	Johnson (GA)	Rogers (AL)
Camp	Johnson, E. B.	Roybal-Allard
Capps	Joyce	Ruiz
Capuano	Kaptur	Ruppersberger
Cardenas	Keating	Rush
Carney	Kelly (IL)	Ryan (OH)
Carson (IN)	Kennedy	Sánchez, Linda T.
Castor (FL)	Kildee	Sanchez, Loretta
Castro (TX)	Kilmer	Sarbanes
Cicilline	Kind	Schakowsky
Clarke	King (NY)	Schiff
Clay	Kirkpatrick	Schneider
Cleaver	Kuster	Schrader
Clyburn	LaMalfa	Schwartz
Cohen	Langevin	Scott (VA)
Connolly	Larsen (WA)	Scott, David
Conyers	Larson (CT)	Serrano
Courtney	Lee (CA)	Sewell (AL)
Crenshaw	Levin	Sherman
Crowley	Lipinski	Shimkus
Cuellar	LoBiondo	Shuster
Cummings	Loeb sack	Sinema
Davis (CA)	Lofgren	Sires
Davis, Danny	Long	Slaughter
DeFazio	Lowenthal	Smith (WA)
DeGette	Lowe y	Stivers
Delaney	Lujan Grisham	Swalwell (CA)
DeLauro	(NM)	Takano
DelBene	Luján, Ben Ray	Thompson (CA)
Deutch	(NM)	Thompson (MS)
Dingell	Lynch	Thornberry
Doggett	Maffei	Tiberi
Doyle	Maloney,	Tierney
Duckworth	Carolyn	Titus
Edwards	Maloney, Sean	Tonko
Ellison	Marino	Tsongas
Engel	Matsui	Turner
Enyart	McCollum	Van Hollen
Eshoo	McDermott	Vargas
Esty	McGovern	Veasey
Farr	McIntyre	Vela
Fitzpatrick	McNerney	Velázquez
Flores	Meehan	Visclosky
Foster	Meeks	Walz
Frelinghuysen	Meng	Wasserman
Fudge	Michaud	Watt
Gabbard	Miller (FL)	Waxman
Galleo	Miller, George	Welch
Garamendi	Moore	Westmoreland
Garcia	Moran	Wilson (FL)
Gibson	Murphy (FL)	Wolf
Graves (MO)	Nadler	Yarmuth
Grayson	Napolitano	Young (AK)
Green, Al	Negrete McLeod	
Green, Gene	Nolan	
Grijalva	O'Rourke	

NOT VOTING—8

Campbell	Lewis	Neal
Chu	Markey	Shea-Porter
Fattah	McCarthy (NY)	

□ 1747

Mr. DEFAZIO changed his vote from “aye” to “no.”

Mr. COLLINS of New York changed his vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 9 OFFERED BY MR. RIGELL

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. RIGELL)

on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 178, noes 248, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 225]

AYES—178

Aderholt	Griffin (AR)	Petri
Alexander	Griffith (VA)	Pittenger
Amash	Guthrie	Pitts
Amodei	Hanna	Poe (TX)
Bachmann	Harper	Pompeo
Bachus	Harris	Posey
Barr	Hartzler	Radel
Benishek	Hastings (WA)	Reed
Bentivolio	Heck (NV)	Renacci
Bilirakis	Hensarling	Ribble
Black	Herrera Beutler	Rigell
Blackburn	Holding	Roby
Bonner	Hudson	Roe (TN)
Boustany	Huelskamp	Rogers (KY)
Brady (TX)	Huizenga (MI)	Rogers (MI)
Brooks (AL)	Hultgren	Rohrabacher
Brooks (IN)	Hunter	Rokita
Broun (GA)	Hurt	Rooney
Buchanan	Jenkins	Ros-Lehtinen
Bucshon	Johnson (OH)	Roskam
Calvert	Johnson, Sam	Ross
Camp	Jordan	Rothfus
Cantor	Kelly (PA)	Royce
Carter	King (IA)	Ryan (WI)
Cassidy	Kinzinger (IL)	Salmon
Chabot	Kline	Sanford
Coble	Labrador	Scalise
Coffman	LaMalfa	Schock
Collins (NY)	Lamborn	Schweikert
Conaway	Lance	Sensenbrenner
Connolly	Latham	Sessions
Cotton	Latta	Smith (MO)
Cramer	Long	Smith (NE)
Crawford	Lucas	Smith (TX)
Culberson	Luetkemeyer	Southerland
Daines	Lummis	Stivers
Denham	Marchant	Stockman
DeSantis	McCarthy (CA)	Stutzman
DesJarlais	McCaull	Terry
Diaz-Balart	McClintock	Thornberry
Duffy	McHenry	Upton
Duncan (SC)	McKeon	Valadao
Duncan (TN)	McMorris	Wagner
Dumais	Rodgers	Walberg
Fincher	Meadows	Walden
Fleischmann	Messer	Walorski
Fleming	Mica	Weber (TX)
Flores	Miller (FL)	Webster (FL)
Fortenberry	Miller (MI)	Wenstrup
Fox	Miller, Gary	Whitfield
Franks (AZ)	Mulvaney	Williams
Frelinghuysen	Neugebauer	Wilson (SC)
Gardner	Noem	Wittman
Garrett	Nugent	Womack
Gibbs	Nunes	Woodall
Goodlatte	Nunnelee	Yoder
Gosar	Olson	Yoho
Gowdy	Palazzo	Young (FL)
Granger	Paulsen	Young (IN)
Graves (MO)	Perry	

NOES—248

Andrews	Bonamici	Carney
Barber	Brady (PA)	Carson (IN)
Barletta	Braley (IA)	Cartwright
Barrow (GA)	Bridenstine	Castor (FL)
Barton	Brown (FL)	Castro (TX)
Bass	Brownley (CA)	Chaffetz
Beatty	Burgess	Cicilline
Becerra	Bustos	Clarke
Bera (CA)	Butterfield	Clay
Bishop (GA)	Capito	Cleaver
Bishop (NY)	Capps	Clyburn
Bishop (UT)	Capuano	Cohen
Blumenauer	Cardenas	Cole

Collins (GA)	Joyce	Price (GA)
Conyers	Kaptur	Price (NC)
Cook	Keating	Quigley
Cooper	Kelly (IL)	Rahall
Costa	Kennedy	Rangel
Courtney	Kildee	Reichert
Crenshaw	Kilmer	Rice (SC)
Crowley	Kind	Richmond
Cuellar	King (NY)	Rogers (AL)
Cummings	Kingston	Roybal-Allard
Davis (CA)	Kirkpatrick	Ruiz
Davis, Danny	Kuster	Runyan
Davis, Rodney	Langevin	Ruppersberger
DeFazio	Lankford	Rush
DeGette	Larsen (WA)	Ryan (OH)
Delaney	Larson (CT)	Sánchez, Linda T.
DeLauro	Lee (CA)	Sanchez, Loretta
DelBene	Levin	Sarbanes
Dent	Lipinski	Schakowsky
Deutch	LoBiondo	Schiff
Dingell	Loeb sack	Lofgren
Doggett	Lofgren	Lowenthal
Doyle	Lowey	Lujan Grisham
Duckworth	Edwards	(NM)
Edwards	Engel	Luján, Ben Ray
Engel	Enyart	(NM)
Eshoo	Eshoo	Lynch
Esty	Esty	Maffei
Farenthold	Farr	Maloney,
Farr	Fitzpatrick	Carolyn
Fitzpatrick	Forbes	Maloney, Sean
Forbes	Foster	Marino
Foster	Frankel (FL)	Massie
Frankel (FL)	Fudge	Matheson
Fudge	Gabbard	Matsui
Gabbard	Galleo	McCollum
Galleo	Garamendi	McDermott
Garamendi	Garcia	McGovern
Garcia	Gerlach	McIntyre
Gibson	Gibson	McKinley
Gingrey (GA)	Gingrey (GA)	McNerney
Gohmert	Gohmert	Meehan
Graves (GA)	Graves (GA)	Meeks
Grayson	Green, Al	Meng
Green, Al	Green, Gene	Michaud
Grijalva	Grijalva	Miller, George
Grimm	Grimm	Moore
Gutierrez	Gutierrez	Moran
Hahn	Hahn	Mullin
Hall	Hanabusa	Murphy (FL)
Hanabusa	Hastings (FL)	Murphy (PA)
Hastings (FL)	Heck (WA)	Nadler
Heck (WA)	Higgins	Napolitano
Higgins	Himes	Negrete McLeod
Himes	Hinojosa	Nolan
Hinojosa	Holt	O'Rourke
Holt	Honda	Owens
Honda	Horsford	Pallone
Horsford	Hoyer	Pascarell
Hoyer	Huffman	Pascrell
Israel	Issa	Payne
Issa	Jackson Lee	Pearce
Jackson Lee	Jeffries	Pelosi
Jeffries	Johnson (GA)	Perlmutter
Johnson (GA)	Johnson, E. B.	Peters (CA)
Johnson, E. B.	Jones	Peters (MI)
Jones		Peterson
		Pingree (ME)
		Pocan
		Polis

NOT VOTING—8

Campbell	Lewis	Neal
Chu	Markey	Shea-Porter
Fattah	McCarthy (NY)	

□ 1750

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 10 OFFERED BY MR. MCGOVERN  
The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 305, noes 121, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 226]

AYES—305

Alexander	Fortenberry	Maloney, Sean
Amash	Foster	Massie
Amodei	Frankel (FL)	Matsui
Andrews	Fudge	McClintock
Bachus	Gabbard	McCollum
Barber	Garamendi	McDermott
Barton	Garcia	McGovern
Bass	Garrett	McIntyre
Beatty	Gibson	McKeon
Becerra	Graves (GA)	McKinley
Benishek	Grayson	McMorris
Bentivolio	Green, Al	Rodgers
Bera (CA)	Green, Gene	McNerney
Bilirakis	Griffin (AR)	Meadows
Bishop (GA)	Griffith (VA)	Meeks
Bishop (NY)	Grijalva	Meng
Blumenauer	Guthrie	Mica
Bonamici	Gutierrez	Michaud
Bonner	Hahn	Miller (FL)
Brady (PA)	Hanabusa	Miller (MI)
Braley (IA)	Hanna	Miller, Gary
Bridenstine	Harper	Miller, George
Brooks (AL)	Hartzler	Moore
Broun (GA)	Hastings (FL)	Moran
Brownley (CA)	Hastings (WA)	Mulvaney
Buchanan	Heck (NV)	Murphy (FL)
Burgess	Heck (WA)	Nadler
Bustos	Herrera Beutler	Napolitano
Butterfield	Higgins	Negrete McLeod
Calvert	Himes	Neugebauer
Camp	Hinojosa	Nolan
Capito	Holt	Nugent
Capps	Honda	Nunnelee
Capuano	Horsford	O'Rourke
Cárdenas	Hoyer	Pallone
Carney	Huelskamp	Pascrell
Carson (IN)	Huffman	Pastor (AZ)
Cartwright	Hultgren	Paulsen
Cassidy	Hurt	Payne
Castor (FL)	Israel	Pelosi
Castro (TX)	Jackson Lee	Perlmutter
Chaffetz	Jeffries	Peters (CA)
Cicilline	Johnson (GA)	Peters (MI)
Clarke	Johnson (OH)	Peterson
Clay	Johnson, E. B.	Petri
Cleaver	Johnson, Sam	Pingree (ME)
Clyburn	Jones	Pittenger
Coble	Jordan	Pitts
Coffman	Joyce	Pocan
Cohen	Kaptur	Poe (TX)
Cole	Keating	Polis
Connolly	Kelly (IL)	Posey
Conyers	Kennedy	Price (GA)
Cook	Kildee	Price (NC)
Cooper	Kilmer	Quigley
Costa	Kind	Rahall
Courtney	King (IA)	Rangel
Crawford	Kingston	Reed
Crowley	Kirkpatrick	Reichert
Cummings	Kline	Ribble
Daines	Kuster	Richmond
Davis (CA)	Lamborn	Rigell
Davis, Danny	Lance	Roe (TN)
Davis, Rodney	Langevin	Rogers (AL)
DeFazio	Larsen (WA)	Rogers (KY)
DeGette	Larson (CT)	Rohrabacher
Delaney	Latham	Rooney
DeLauro	Lee (CA)	Roybal-Allard
DelBene	Levin	Royce
Deutch	Lipinski	Ruiz
Dingell	LoBiondo	Runyan
Doggett	Loeb sack	Rush
Doyle	Lofgren	Ryan (OH)
Duckworth	Lowenthal	Ryan (WI)
Duffy	Lowe y	Salmon
Duncan (TN)	Lujan Grisham	Sánchez, Linda
Edwards	(NM)	T.
Ellison	Luján, Ben Ray	Sanchez, Loretta
Engel	(NM)	Sanford
Eshoo	Lummis	Sarbanes
Esty	Lynch	Schakowsky
Farr	Maffei	Schiff
Fitzpatrick	Maloney,	Schneider
Forbes	Carolyn	Schrader

Schwartz	Thompson (CA)	Walz
Scott (VA)	Thompson (MS)	Wasserman
Scott, David	Thornberry	Schultz
Sensenbrenner	Tiberi	Waters
Serrano	Tierney	Watt
Sherman	Tipton	Waxman
Shimkus	Titus	Webster (FL)
Shuster	Tonko	Welch
Simpson	Tsongas	Westmoreland
Sinema	Turner	Whitfield
Sires	Upton	Whitfield
Slaughter	Valadao	Wilson (FL)
Smith (NJ)	Van Hollen	Wittman
Smith (WA)	Vargas	Wolf
Southerland	Veasey	Womack
Speier	Vela	Woodall
Stivers	Velázquez	Yarmuth
Swalwell (CA)	Viscosky	Yoho
Takano	Walden	Young (AK)
Terry	Walorski	Young (FL)

NOES—121

Aderholt	Gardner	Nunes
Bachmann	Gerlach	Olson
Barletta	Gibbs	Owens
Barr	Gingrey (GA)	Palazzo
Barrow (GA)	Gohmert	Pearce
Bishop (UT)	Goodlatte	Perry
Black	Gosar	Pompeo
Blackburn	Gowdy	Radel
Boustany	Granger	Renacci
Brady (TX)	Graves (MO)	Rice (SC)
Brooks (IN)	Grimm	Roby
Brown (FL)	Hall	Rogers (MI)
Bucshon	Harris	Rokita
Cantor	Hensarling	Ros-Lehtinen
Carter	Holding	Roskam
Chabot	Hudson	Ross
Collins (GA)	Huizenga (MI)	Rothfus
Collins (NY)	Hunter	Ruppersberger
Conaway	Issa	Scalise
Cotton	Jenkins	Schock
Cramer	Kelly (PA)	Schweikert
Crenshaw	King (NY)	Scott, Austin
Cuellar	Kinzinger (IL)	Sessions
Culberson	Labrador	Sewell (AL)
Denham	LaMalfa	Smith (MO)
Dent	Lankford	Smith (NE)
DeSantis	Latta	Smith (TX)
DesJarlais	Long	Stewart
Diaz-Balart	Lucas	Stockman
Duncan (SC)	Luetkemeyer	Stutzman
Elmgers	Marchant	Thompson (PA)
Enyart	Marino	Wagner
Farenthold	Matheson	Walberg
Fincher	McCarthy (CA)	Weber (TX)
Fleischmann	McCaul	Wenstrup
Fleming	McHenry	Williams
Flores	Meehan	Wilson (SC)
Fox	Messer	Yoder
Franks (AZ)	Mullin	Young (IN)
Frelinghuysen	Murphy (PA)	
Gallego	Noem	

NOT VOTING—8

Campbell	Lewis	Neal
Chu	Markey	Shea-Porter
Fattah	McCarthy (NY)	

□ 1754

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 11 OFFERED BY MR. GOODLATTE

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 214, noes 211, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 227]

AYES—214

Aderholt	Granger	Perry
Alexander	Graves (GA)	Petri
Amodei	Graves (MO)	Pittenger
Bachmann	Griffin (AR)	Pitts
Bachus	Grimm	Poe (TX)
Barletta	Guthrie	Posey
Barr	Hall	Price (GA)
Barrow (GA)	Hanna	Rahall
Barton	Harper	Reed
Benishek	Harris	Reichert
Bentivolio	Hartzler	Renacci
Bilirakis	Hastings (WA)	Ribble
Bishop (UT)	Heck (NV)	Rice (SC)
Black	Hensarling	Rigell
Blackburn	Herrera Beutler	Roby
Bonner	Holding	Roe (TN)
Boustany	Hudson	Rogers (AL)
Brady (TX)	Huizenga (MI)	Rogers (KY)
Bridenstine	Hultgren	Rogers (MI)
Brooks (AL)	Hunter	Rokita
Brooks (IN)	Hurt	Rooney
Broun (GA)	Issa	Ros-Lehtinen
Buchanan	Johnson (OH)	Roskam
Bucshon	Johnson, Sam	Ross
Burgess	Jordan	Rothfus
Calvert	Joyce	Royce
Camp	Kelly (PA)	Runyan
Cantor	King (IA)	Ryan (WI)
Capito	King (NY)	Scalise
Carter	Kingston	Schock
Cassidy	Kinzinger (IL)	Scott, Austin
Chabot	Kline	Sensenbrenner
Coble	LaMalfa	Sessions
Coffman	Lamborn	Shimkus
Cole	Lance	Shuster
Collins (GA)	Lankford	Simpson
Collins (NY)	Latham	Smith (MO)
Conaway	Latta	Smith (NE)
Cook	LoBiondo	Smith (NJ)
Cramer	Long	Smith (TX)
Crawford	Lucas	Southerland
Crenshaw	Luetkemeyer	Stewart
Culberson	Lummis	Stivers
Daines	Marchant	Stutzman
Davis, Rodney	Marino	Terry
Denham	McCarthy (CA)	Thompson (PA)
Dent	McCaul	Thornberry
DeSantis	McHenry	Tiberi
DesJarlais	McKeon	Tipton
Diaz-Balart	McKinley	Turner
Duffy	McMorris	Upton
Duncan (SC)	Rodgers	Valadao
Duncan (TN)	Meadows	Wagner
Ellmgers	Meehan	Walberg
Fincher	Messer	Walden
Fitzpatrick	Mica	Walorski
Fleischmann	Miller (FL)	Weber (TX)
Fleming	Miller (MI)	Webster (FL)
Flores	Miller, Gary	Wenstrup
Forbes	Mullin	Westmoreland
Fortenberry	Mulvaney	Whitfield
Fox	Murphy (PA)	Williams
Franks (AZ)	Neugebauer	Wilson (SC)
Frelinghuysen	Noem	Wittman
Gardner	Nugent	Wolf
Garrett	Nunes	Womack
Gerlach	Olson	Woodall
Gibbs	Palazzo	Yoder
Gingrey (GA)	Pearce	Young (AK)
Gohmert		Young (FL)
Goodlatte		Young (IN)
Gowdy		

NOES—211

Amash	Capuano	Cotton
Andrews	Cárdenas	Courtney
Barber	Carney	Crowley
Bass	Carson (IN)	Cuellar
Beatty	Cartwright	Cummings
Becerra	Castor (FL)	Davis (CA)
Bera (CA)	Castro (TX)	Davis, Danny
Bishop (GA)	Chaffetz	DeFazio
Bishop (NY)	Cicilline	DeGette
Blumenauer	Clarke	Delaney
Bonamici	Clay	DeLauro
Brady (PA)	Cleaver	DelBene
Braley (IA)	Clyburn	Deutch
Brown (FL)	Cohen	Dingell
Brownley (CA)	Connolly	Doggett
Bustos	Conyers	Doyle
Butterfield	Cooper	Duckworth
Capps	Costa	Edwards

Ellison	Larson (CT)	Rangel	Bentivolio	Hahn	Payne	Holding	Mica	Sanchez, Loretta
Engel	Lee (CA)	Richmond	Bera (CA)	Hanabusa	Pelosi	Hudson	Miller (FL)	Scalise
Enyart	Levin	Rohrabacher	Bishop (GA)	Hastings (FL)	Perlmutter	Huizenga (MI)	Miller (MI)	Schock
Eshoo	Lipinski	Royalb-Allard	Bishop (NY)	Heck (WA)	Peters (CA)	Hultgren	Miller, Gary	Schweikert
Esty	Loeb	Ruiz	Higgins	Higgins	Peters (MI)	Hunter	Mullin	Scott, Austin
Farenthold	Lofgren	Ruppersberger	Himes	Himes	Peterson	Hurt	Mulvaney	Sensenbrenner
Farr	Lowenthal	Rush	Hinojosa	Hinojosa	Petri	Issa	Murphy (PA)	Sessions
Foster	Lowey	Ryan (OH)	Holt	Holt	Pingree (ME)	Jenkins	Neugebauer	Shuster
Frankel (FL)	Lujan Grisham	Salmon	Honda	Honda	Pocan	Johnson (OH)	Noem	Simpson
Fudge	(NM)	Sánchez, Linda	Horsford	Horsford	Polis	Johnson, Sam	Nugent	Smith (MO)
Gabbard	Luján, Ben Ray	T.	Hoyer	Hoyer	Pocan	Jordan	Nunes	Smith (NE)
Galleo	(NM)	Sanchez, Loretta	Huelskamp	Huelskamp	Posey	Joyce	Nunnelee	Smith (NJ)
Garamendi	Lynch	Sanford	Huffman	Huffman	Price (NC)	Kelly (PA)	Olson	Smith (TX)
Garcia	Maffei	Sarbanes	Israel	Israel	Quigley	King (IA)	Owens	Southerland
Gibson	Maloney,	Schakowsky	Israel Lee	Israel Lee	Rahall	King (NY)	Palazzo	Stewart
Gosar	Carolyn	Schiff	Jeffries	Jeffries	Rangel	Kingston	Paulsen	Stivers
Grayson	Maloney, Sean	Schneider	Johnson (GA)	Johnson (GA)	Ribble	Kinzinger (IL)	Pearce	Stockman
Green, Al	Massie	Schrader	Johnson, E. B.	Johnson, E. B.	Richmond	Kline	Perry	Stutzman
Green, Gene	Matheson	Schwartz	Jones	Jones	Roybal-Allard	LaMalfa	Pittenger	Terry
Griffith (VA)	Matsui	Schweikert	Kaptur	Kaptur	Ruiz	Lamborn	Pitts	Thompson (PA)
Grijalva	McClintock	Scott (VA)	Keating	Keating	Rush	Lance	Poe (TX)	Thornberry
Gutierrez	McCollum	Serrano	Kelly (IL)	Kelly (IL)	Ryan (OH)	Lankford	Pompeo	Tiberi
Hahn	McDermott	Sewell (AL)	Kennedy	Kennedy	Sánchez, Linda	Latham	Price (GA)	Turner
Hanabusa	McGovern	Sherman	Kildee	Kildee	T.	Latta	Radel	Upton
Hastings (FL)	McIntyre	Sinema	Kilmer	Kilmer	Sanford	Levin	Reed	Valadao
Heck (WA)	McNerney	Sires	Kind	Kind	Sarbanes	Lipinski	Reichert	Vela
Higgins	Meeks	Slaughter	Kirkpatrick	Kirkpatrick	Schakowsky	LoBiondo	Renacci	Wagner
Himes	Meng	Smith (WA)	Kuster	Kuster	Schiff	Long	Rice (SC)	Walberg
Hinojosa	Michaud	Speier	Labrador	Labrador	Schneider	Lucas	Rigell	Walden
Holt	Miller, George	Stockman	Langevin	Langevin	Schrader	Luetkemeyer	Roby	Walorski
Honda	Moore	Swalwell (CA)	Larsen (WA)	Larsen (WA)	Schwartz	Lummis	Roe (TN)	Weber (TX)
Horsford	Moran	Takano	Larson (CT)	Larson (CT)	Scott (VA)	Maloney, Sean	Rogers (AL)	Webster (FL)
Hoyer	Murphy (FL)	Thompson (CA)	Lee (CA)	Lee (CA)	Scott, David	Marchant	Rogers (KY)	Westen
Huelskamp	Nadler	Thompson (MS)	Loeb	Loeb	Scott, David	Marino	Rogers (MI)	Westmoreland
Huffman	Napolitano	Tierney	Loeb	Loeb	Serrano	Matheson	Rohrabacher	Whitfield
Israel	Negrete McLeod	Titus	Lofgren	Lofgren	Sewell (AL)	McCarthy (CA)	Rokita	Williams
Jackson Lee	Nolan	Tonko	Lowey	Lowey	Sherman	McCaul	Rooney	Wilson (SC)
Jeffries	O'Rourke	Tsongas	Delaney	Delaney	Shimkus	McHenry	Ros-Lehtinen	Wittman
Jenkins	Pallone	Van Hollen	DeLauro	DeLauro	Sinema	McIntyre	Roskam	Wolf
Johnson (GA)	Pascrell	Vargas	DelBene	DelBene	Sires	McKeon	Ross	Womack
Johnson, E. B.	Pastor (AZ)	Veasey	Deutsch	Deutsch	Slaughter	McKinley	Rothfus	Woodall
Jones	Payne	Vela	Dingell	Dingell	Smith (WA)	McMorris	Royce	Yoder
Kaptur	Pelosi	Velázquez	Doggett	Doggett	Speier	Rodgers	Runyan	Young (AK)
Keating	Perlmutter	Visclosky	Doyle	Doyle	Swalwell (CA)	Meadows	Ruppersberger	Young (FL)
Kelly (IL)	Peters (CA)	Walz	Duckworth	Duckworth	Takano	Meehan	Ryan (WI)	Young (IN)
Kennedy	Peters (MI)	Wasserman	Duncan (TN)	Duncan (TN)	Thompson (CA)	Messer	Salmon	
Kildee	Peterson	Schultz	Edwards	Edwards	Thompson (MS)			
Kilmer	Pingree (ME)	Waters	Ellison	Ellison	Tierney			
Kind	Pocan	Watt	Engel	Engel	Tipton			
Kirkpatrick	Polis	Waxman	Enyart	Enyart	Titus			
Kuster	Pompeo	Welch	Eshoo	Eshoo	Tonko			
Labrador	Price (NC)	Wilson (FL)	Esty	Esty	Tsongas			
Langevin	Quigley	Yarmuth	Farr	Farr	Van Hollen			
Larsen (WA)	Radel	Yoho	Foster	Foster	Vargas			
			Frankel (FL)	Frankel (FL)	Veasey			
			Fudge	Fudge	Velázquez			
			Gabbard	Gabbard	Visclosky			
			Garamendi	Garamendi	Walz			
			Garcia	Garcia	Wasserman			
			Gibson	Gibson	Schultz			
			Gosar	Gosar	Nadler			
			Grayson	Grayson	Napolitano			
			Green, Al	Green, Al	Negrete McLeod			
			Green, Gene	Green, Gene	Nolan			
			Griffith (VA)	Griffith (VA)	O'Rourke			
			Grijalva	Grijalva	Pallone			
			Gutierrez	Gutierrez	Pascrell			
					Pastor (AZ)			

## NOT VOTING—9

Campbell Lewis Neal  
Chu Markey Scott, David  
Fattah McCarthy (NY) Shea-Porter

## □ 1758

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 13 OFFERED BY MR. SMITH OF WASHINGTON

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Washington (Mr. SMITH) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

## RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 200, noes 226, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 228]

AYES—200

Amash Barber Beatty  
Andrews Bass Becerra

## NOES—226

Aderholt Flores  
Alexander Forbes  
Amodei Fortenberry  
Bachmann Cole  
Bachus Collins (GA)  
Barietta Collins (NY)  
Barr Conaway  
Barrow (GA) Cook  
Barton Costa  
Benishek Cotton  
Bilirakis Cramer  
Bishop (UT) Crawford  
Black Crenshaw  
Blackburn Cuellar  
Bonner Culberson  
Boustany Daines  
Brady (TX) Davis, Rodney  
Bridenstine Denham  
Brooks (AL) Dent  
Brooks (IN) DeSantis  
Buchanan DesJarlais  
Bucshon Diaz-Balart  
Burgess Duffy  
Calvert Duncan (SC)  
Camp Ellmers  
Cantor Farenthold  
Capito Fincher  
Carter Fitzpatrick  
Cassidy Fleischmann  
Chabot Fleming

## NOT VOTING—8

Campbell Lewis Neal  
Chu Markey Shea-Porter  
Fattah McCarthy (NY)

## □ 1803

Ms. WATERS and Mr. CUMMINGS changed their vote from “no” to “aye.” So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. POE of Texas) having assumed the chair, Mr. SIMPSON, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1960) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for military activities of the Department of Defense and for military construction, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that, during further consideration of H.R. 1960 pursuant to House Resolution 260, amendments 14 and 23 printed in part B of House Report 113-108 may be considered out of sequence.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 260 and rule

XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 1960.

Will the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) kindly assume the chair.

□ 1809

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 1960) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for military activities of the Department of Defense and for military construction, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes, with Mr. POE of Texas (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, the second set of en bloc amendments offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON) had been disposed of.

□ 1810

AMENDMENT NO. 15 OFFERED BY MR. DENHAM

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 15 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. DENHAM. I rise to offer my amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of subtitle C of title V, add the following new section:

**SECTION 530E. AUTHORITY TO ENLIST IN THE ARMED FORCES CERTAIN ALIENS WHO ARE UNLAWFULLY PRESENT IN THE UNITED STATES AND LEGAL STATUS OF SUCH ENLISTEES BY REASON OF HONORABLE SERVICE IN THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) CERTAIN ALIENS AUTHORIZED FOR ENLISTMENT.—Subsection (b)(1) of section 504 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) An alien who was unlawfully present in the United States on December 31, 2011, who has been unlawfully and continuously present in the United States since that date, who was younger than 15 years of age on the date the alien initially entered the United States, and who, disregarding such unlawful status, is otherwise eligible for original enlistment in a regular component of the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, or Coast Guard under section 505(a) of this title and regulations issued to implement such section.”.

(b) CONDITIONAL ADMISSION TO PERMANENT RESIDENCE OF ALIEN ENLISTEES.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) CONDITIONAL ADMISSION TO PERMANENT RESIDENCE OF ALIEN ENLISTEES.—(1) The Secretary of Homeland Security shall adjust the status of an alien described in subsection (b)(1)(D) who enlists in a regular component of the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, or Coast Guard to the status of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence under the provisions of section 249 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1259), except that the alien does not have to—

“(A) establish that he or she entered the United States prior to January 1, 1972; or

“(B) comply with section 212(e) of such Act (8 U.S.C. 1182(e)).

“(2) The lawful permanent resident status of an alien described in subsection (b)(1)(D) who enlisted in a regular component of the armed forces and whose status was adjusted under paragraph (1) is automatically rescinded, by operation of law, if the alien is separated from the armed forces under other than honorable conditions before the alien serves the term of enlistment of such alien. Such grounds for rescission are in addition to any other grounds for rescission provided by law. Proof of separation from the armed forces under other than honorable conditions shall be established by a duly authenticated certification from the armed force in which the alien last served.

“(3) Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to alter—

“(A) the process prescribed by sections 328, 329, and 329A of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1439, 1440, 1440-1) by which a person may naturalize through service in the armed forces; or

“(B) the qualifications for original enlistment in the armed forces described in section 505(a) of this title and regulations issued to implement such section.”.

(c) OFFSET AND DELAYED EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) BUDGETARY EFFECTS.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress an analysis of the budgetary effects of enactment of this section and a determination regarding whether such enactment would result in an increase in the deficit in the current year, the budget year, or the subsequent nine fiscal years.

(2) DELAYED EFFECTIVE DATE.—With the exception of paragraph (1), this section and the amendments made by this section shall become effective only upon enactment of an Act referencing this section and the title of which is as follows: “An Act to provide budgetary treatment of changes to enlistment policies of the Armed Forces.”.

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) SECTION HEADING.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“§504. Persons not qualified; citizenship or residency requirements; exceptions”.

(2) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 31 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 504 and inserting the following new item:

“504. Persons not qualified; citizenship or residency requirements; exceptions.”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from California (Mr. DENHAM) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Chairman, thank you for giving me an opportunity to speak on behalf of my amendment to authorize the enlistment in the Armed Forces of undocumented immigrants who entered the U.S. under 15 years of age, who entered the country on or before December 31, 2011, and who are otherwise qualified for enlistment.

This amendment will also provide a way for this group of undocumented immigrants to be lawfully admitted to the United States for permanent residence by reason of their honorable

service and sacrifice in the United States military.

As a Nation, we have never made citizenship a requirement for service in our Armed Forces. Half of the U.S. military enlistees in the 1840s were immigrants, and more than 660,000 military veterans sought naturalization between 1862 and 2000.

Mr. Chairman, I have worn the uniform. I have served with many immigrants in Desert Storm and Somalia. My uncle and godfather served with immigrants during Vietnam. My grandfather and grandmother served in Korea, where Europeans were encouraged to sign up for the United States military. Filipinos from 1947 to 2000 were encouraged to sign up and serve in the military.

This is one opportunity for those that have gone to school here, that have graduated from high school, that are in our communities, to show their ultimate support for this great Nation and are willing to sacrifice in support of our country.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. BECERRA).

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Chairman, I would like to engage the gentleman from California in a colloquy, if I may.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Washington controls the time.

Mr. BECERRA. I would like to engage in a colloquy with the gentleman from California (Mr. DENHAM), and what I'd like to ask is, in conversations that have taken place between Members on this particular amendment, there is obviously quite a bit of support on this side of the House for legislation that would honor the service of any American, including those Americans who have come to this country through no fault of their own without documentation, have essentially become Americans through their time as youngsters in this country, and then wanted to fulfill service to this Nation by applying to serve in our Armed Forces.

This amendment, however, has some flaws in it that make it very difficult for the very people that the gentleman is trying to help to actually receive the opportunity to serve our country and then be able to adjust their status to lawful permanent residents, and ultimately, we hope, to become United States citizens.

There is also a further flaw in the bill that would prevent any part of this from ever taking effect unless the gentleman were able to find the resources to implement this. As he and I discussed before this amendment was put on the floor, that would be very difficult unless we were prepared to make some substantial changes to the current funding of some very important

mandatory programs, including retirement pay for our soldiers; TRICARE, which is health care services for our military servicemembers; mortgage refinancing for our servicemembers.

So I would ask the gentleman if the gentleman was intent on pursuing this amendment today, or if he was prepared to withdraw and have further conversation to see if these flaws could be corrected.

I would also note that for many of us who have been working for over 20 years to try to reform a broken immigration system, this is certainly one aspect of a broken immigration system that must be fixed. There are any number of hardworking individuals in this country who we believe, through a comprehensive fix of our broken immigration system, would have an opportunity to show all Americans citizens that they have tried to work very hard to earn a chance to become tax-paying American citizens.

So while many of us prefer to be able to deal with all aspects of a broken immigration system, this is certainly one that truly needs to be dealt with and deserves attention. But this amendment has two very substantial flaws, and I would ask the gentleman what his intentions are with regard to pursuing this amendment on the floor this evening?

With the permission of our ranking member, I would ask that Mr. DENHAM be yielded time to respond.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I yield to the gentleman from California (Mr. DENHAM).

Mr. DENHAM. In addressing his concern about the cost of this bill, it is yet to be defined. It's something that we will need the administration to define the cost of, as we would with any bill that goes through the appropriations process. We look forward to working not only with the gentleman from California on the amendment, but certainly working with the administration to define an unknown cost that we are realizing today.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman has 2½ minutes remaining.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE).

Mr. GOODLATTE. I thank the gentleman very much for yielding. I also want to tell him how much I appreciate him raising this very important issue.

There is no doubt that individuals brought to the United States as young children by their illegal immigrant parents are the most sympathetic group of people not lawfully present in the United States today, and that is particularly true of those who desire to serve in the Armed Forces of the United States. We should embrace these individuals whose goal it is to integrate into American society and live and work by the rules of our Nation.

This is an issue that we plan to look at in the Judiciary Committee, and so I want to thank the gentleman from California (Mr. BECERRA) also for raising the issue in the context of our overall efforts to deal with immigration reform, and if the gentleman from California would withdraw his amendment, I would commit to him to work with him in addressing the situation and immigration status of these individuals. This should and can be done in the broad spectrum of the entire immigration debate, which as you know we are fully engaged in in the House Judiciary Committee.

Mr. DENHAM. I look forward to working with the gentleman, but at this time I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. DENHAM. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART).

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Mr. Chairman, actually I rise to thank you, Mr. DENHAM, for bringing up this very important issue. The gentleman mentioned historically the great contributions that the folks that he mentioned today, people just like that, have made throughout our history. Let me tell you, Mr. DENHAM, you are bringing up an issue which I am glad finally someone has brought up, and I know you're going to continue to, as you have, show the leadership on this issue that you've had from day one.

I just want to tell you that I'm willing to do whatever I can to be of help because I think the issue that you have brought up today is essential not only for a group of individuals, but more importantly, for the national security interests of the United States. So again, thank you, sir, for bringing this up.

□ 1820

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, do I understand that we have the right to close?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is correct.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. BECERRA).

Mr. BECERRA. I thank the gentleman for yielding. And I appreciate the gentleman from California being willing to withdraw the amendment, and certainly appreciate the work of the chairman of the Judiciary Committee, Mr. GOODLATTE, in proposing that we try to resolve this in this Chamber.

I think we want to make it very clear. As I think every one of my colleagues who has spoken on this amendment has said, this is an important issue because we have a lot of young Americans who are trapped in a situation where they have to live in the shadows. And especially for those who wish to provide service to our country in uniform, I think all of us believe, if you're willing to give that highest call-

ing of service, that we want to be there to be not only appreciative of your service, but recognizing the valor involved.

And so I want to make sure we're very clear. We all support the notion of trying to help these young Americans, who are Americans in everything but legal title, the opportunity to serve this country. This amendment, unfortunately, would not accomplish that if it were to go forward, and that's why I think it's so important, as Mr. GOODLATTE, our chairman of the Judiciary Committee pointed out, that we withdraw the amendment and try to make corrections so we can get to the point of dealing with immigration reform.

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California has 1½ minutes remaining.

Mr. DENHAM. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. COFFMAN).

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman from California for yielding and for bringing up this critical issue.

My father was a veteran of both World War II and Korea, and he taught me, growing up, that there's no greater demonstration of American citizenship than serving one's country in the military. And in my congressional district, there are a lot of young people who came to this country by their parents illegally, who grew up in the United States, who went to school here, and who want to serve this country in the military. It is the only country that they've known, and so they ought to be afforded the right to do that and to demonstrate what is the greatest, I think, form of citizenship, and that is service in the United States military.

So I think that this is something that we've got to accomplish as a part of comprehensive immigration reform and something, certainly, that will make our country a better place.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chair, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Chairman, let me just finish by saying the precedence is here. Legal permanent residents are already serving in our military from American Samoa, from Micronesia, from Palau. We have a long history of over 660,000 immigrants serving in our military from other countries.

This seems like something that should be a bipartisan, commonsense way to address this problem, allowing people to not only be able to serve in the military, that great opportunity that they have, but, ultimately, the ultimate sacrifice, giving your life for a great country like this.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to withdraw my amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the amendment is withdrawn.

There was no objection.

AMENDMENT NO. 21 OFFERED BY MR. TURNER

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 21 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 79, after line 23, insert the following:  
**SEC. 241. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON NEGOTIATIONS AFFECTING THE MISSILE DEFENSES OF THE UNITED STATES.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) On April 15, 2013, the National Security Advisor to the President, Tom Donilon, conveyed a personal letter from President Obama to the President of the Russian Federation, Vladimir Putin.

(2) Press reports indicate that in this letter the President proposed, “developing a legally-binding agreement on transparency, which would include exchange of information to confirm that our programs do not pose a threat to each other’s deterrence forces,” through “a so-called executive agreement, for which [the President] does not need to seek the consent of Congress.”

(3) The Deputy Foreign Minister of Russia, Sergei Ryabkov, stated in response to the letter that, “the proposals of the U.S. side on the issue are quite concrete and are related in a certain way to the discussions our countries had at various levels in the past years. And it cannot be said from this point of view that the offers are decorative and not serious. No, I want to emphasize that we are committing to the seriousness of these proposals but we note their insufficiency.”

(4) Press reports indicate that the Secretary of the Russian Security Council, Nikolai Patrushev, conveyed a response to the letter from President Putin.

(5) President Obama’s proposed deal with Russian President Putin has been kept secret from Congress and the American people.

(6) The Administration has systematically denied Congress information about past offers of U.S. missile defense concessions to Russia, including written requests from Members of the House of Representatives.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the President should promptly convey to Congress the details of any proposed deals with the Russian Federation concerning the missile defenses or nuclear arms of the United States; and

(2) the missile defenses of the United States are central to the defense of the homeland from ballistic missile threats, particularly if nuclear deterrence fails, thus such defenses are not something that the President should continue to trade away for the prospects of nuclear arms reductions with Russia, the People’s Republic of China, or any other foreign country.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chairman, last year I stood here on the House floor and I asked the President of the United States to make available to us, to Congress and the American public, the details of what I believe is, and many have seen is, a secret deal that the President has with the Russians concerning the United States missile defense.

Everyone is very much aware that the President had an open mic incident where he didn’t expect the American public to hear what he was saying when he was meeting in Seoul, South Korea, with then-President Medvedev of Russia, and he said to him that he needed some space from the Russians.

He said to him, as we all are familiar with now, “This is my last election,” Obama told Medvedev during the two-day nuclear summit. He said, “After my election, I will have more flexibility.”

You don’t have to take my word for it. You can see this on YouTube, where the President offers the issue of missile defense as one that’s negotiable with the Russians after he’s no longer answering to the American public through the election.

What’s troubling is that, as we stood on the House floor and demanded the President make public the terms of this secret deal that he was talking about with Medvedev, the President didn’t make any of those details available. But, instead, after the election, with the stroke of his pen, abandoned phase IV of his own phased adaptive approach missile defense plan that would have provided missile defense protection for the United States homeland. It was a portion of the missile defense shield that was objected to by the Russians.

So here we have the President sitting with Medvedev saying wait till after the election, I’ll have more flexibility, and then subsequent to the election, abandoning a portion of the missile defense shield that was intended to protect the homeland.

But what’s more troubling is Russian press reports indicate that President Putin says that they have received from the United States indications of a further deal and further negotiations, further offers from this administration to what I believe weaken and diminish our missile defense shield.

The President needs to make these public. We are asking for a sense of Congress demanding that the President of the United States make public the details of the terms of what he is offering President Putin.

The President has said he’s going to be the most open, most transparent administration; and yet this is an area where not only did the administration deny negotiations are ongoing, which we know to be the case, but he even denies the American public and us the terms of those negotiations.

Our sense of Congress says, Mr. President, make these public.

As we know, South Korea is incredibly vulnerable to North Korea. Now the United States is vulnerable, as North Korea has taken missiles and put them on a launch pad. We have Iran that’s emerging. We have real concerns and threats to the United States. This President should not be negotiating away our missile defense shield, especially not in a manner that’s not open and transparent to the Members of Congress.

With that, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I claim time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chair, I’d encourage our colleagues to vote “no” on this amendment. The amendment implies that the President is negotiating some secret deal with Russia that would weaken U.S. security for the ideological pursuit of nuclear weapons reductions.

Now, we know the President has the constitutional power to conduct formulations, and Congress has the authority to provide advice and consent to ratification and to deny funding for any implementation of any treaty. The administration has provided regular briefings and has supplied senior State and Defense officials over here to our committee and to the House Foreign Affairs Committee and informed us on talks on Russia.

This amendment also is not necessary. The bill already contains numerous provisions asking for information on U.S.-Russian missile defense cooperation and blocking nuclear weapons reductions. So I’d ask my colleagues to oppose this amendment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Ohio has 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. TURNER. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. BRIDENSTINE).

Mr. BRIDENSTINE. Mr. Chairman, I rise today to support the Turner amendment.

The President, as everybody remembers, told then-Russian President Medvedev that we would have more flexibility to cut a secret deal—of course, he didn’t use the word “secret,” but I think we all understand that’s what it was—on missile defense after the 2012 elections.

We also know that the National Security Adviser, Tom Donilon, conveyed a letter from the President to Russian President Putin that reportedly proposed a missile defense agreement that would avoid congressional review and consent. Given this administration’s lack of transparency, I have no confidence in the President’s abilities to negotiate on missile defense or on nuclear weapons.

Mr. Chairman, missile defenses protect our Nation. They protect our deployed forces and our allies from attack. Our nuclear deterrent is a stabilizing force that promotes restraint and assures our allies of security.

□ 1830

Given our economic and military superiority currently, we have military dominance when compared to Russia. I personally don’t trust this President to negotiate it away. And I think it’s important that we, as Members of Congress, should have oversight here.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chair, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ANDREWS).

(Mr. ANDREWS asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Chairman, I think virtually everyone on our side of the aisle in this Chamber would agree that if the President wants to submit a treaty, he has to follow the Constitution to get it approved. I think all of us should agree that if the President wants to implement a so-called executive agreement not subject to treaty confirmation that we should vigorously exercise our power of the purse and our oversight authority to make sure that that's in the best interest of the American people, and if it's not, we shouldn't fund it, as the Constitution gives us the prerogative.

The problem with this amendment is, if it's said that we call on the President to give us complete information about what's going on between us and Russia, I would vote for it; but I can't vote for an amendment that has findings that are hearsay at best and inaccurate at worst.

But the word "finding" in the operation of this institution implies that there's been a sober, thorough, and factual inquiry as to what's gone on. These findings are pure hearsay. They say that certain Members have read newspaper articles. Well, that's interesting, but that's not a finding. It then characterizes—characterizes—the President as trading away for the prospect of nuclear arms reductions certain weapons system or defense systems. And I would really ask anyone on the other side if they could cite to us any instance where the President has, in fact, made an agreement where he has traded away any defense system to the Russians or anyone else. I don't think they can.

The right vote on this is "no." We should exercise oversight. We should not engage in science fiction.

Mr. TURNER. I yield the balance of my time to the chairman of the Strategic Forces Subcommittee, the gentleman from Alabama, MIKE ROGERS.

Mr. ROGERS of Alabama. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the Turner amendment.

This administration must be transparent with the Congress on negotiating proposals with foreign states, especially on something as important to U.S. security as missile defense. Numerous members of the HASC, including Chairman MCKEON, have written asking questions of DOD and the President as to the content of proposals that the administration is and may be making with the Russians.

We see over and over again Russian officials, after visits by U.S. officials, referencing proposals that have been made on U.S. missile defenses. We know from these press reports that the President is proposing "executive agreements" and drafting executive or-

ders to provide "legally binding" constraints on our missile defenses. When we, as Members of Congress, ask about these proposals, we're told next to nothing.

It's unacceptable for this administration to stiff-arm the Congress when negotiating over U.S. missile defenses. I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, again, I would ask my colleagues to vote "no" on this amendment.

We have heard from this side not just the content of this amendment being sort of out of whack with reality, but also when we consider whether or not it's necessary to commit a case, this is not a necessary amendment given the provisions that are already in H.R. 1960.

So I ask my colleagues to vote "no" on this amendment and yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Ohio will be postponed.

AMENDMENTS EN BLOC NO. 3 OFFERED BY MR. MCKEON

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, pursuant to H. Res. 260, I offer amendments en bloc.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendments en bloc.

Amendments en bloc No. 3 consisting of amendment Nos. 29, 50, 51, 52, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 63, 65, 66, 68, 71, 75, 80, and 160, printed in House Report No. 113-108, offered by Mr. MCKEON of California:

AMENDMENT NO. 29 OFFERED BY MR. RIGELL OF VIRGINIA

Page 317, line 20, strike "and" at the end.  
Page 317, line 23, strike the period at the end and insert a semicolon.

Page 317, insert after line 23 the following new paragraphs:

- (3) by striking subsection (c);
- (4) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (c); and
- (5) by striking paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection (c) (as so redesignated) and redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (2).

AMENDMENT NO. 50 OFFERED BY MR. MCKEON OF CALIFORNIA

Page 136, after line 24, insert the following:  
**SEC. 1065. DESIGNATION OF STATE STUDENT CADET CORPS AS DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE YOUTH ORGANIZATIONS.**

Section 508(d) of title 32, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) by redesignating paragraph (14) as paragraph (15); and
- (2) by inserting after paragraph (13) the following new paragraph (14):

"(14) Any State student cadet corps authorized under State law."

AMENDMENT NO. 51 OFFERED BY MR. HECK OF WASHINGTON

Page 170, after line 4, insert the following:

**SEC. 530F. PROOF OF PERIOD OF MILITARY SERVICE FOR PURPOSES OF INTEREST RATE LIMITATION UNDER THE SERVICEMEMBERS CIVIL RELIEF ACT.**

Section 207(b)(1) of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App 527(b)(1)) is amended by inserting after "calling the servicemember to military service" the following: " , or other appropriate indicator of military service, including a certified letter from a commanding officer or information from the Defense Manpower Database Center."

AMENDMENT NO. 52 OFFERED BY MR. KLINE OF MINNESOTA

At the end of subtitle C of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5. POLICY ON MILITARY RECRUITMENT AND ENLISTMENT OF GRADUATES OF SECONDARY SCHOOLS.**

(a) **CONDITIONS ON USE OF TEST, ASSESSMENT, OR SCREENING TOOLS.**—In the case of any test, assessment, or screening tool utilized under the policy on recruitment and enlistment required by subsection (b) of section 532 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1403; 10 U.S.C. 503 note) for the purpose of identifying persons for recruitment and enlistment in the Armed Forces, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) implement a means for ensuring that graduates of a secondary school (as defined in section 9101(38) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801(38)), including all persons described in subsection (a)(2) of section 532 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, are required to meet the same standard on the test, assessment, or screening tool; and

(2) use uniform testing requirements and grading standards.

(b) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in section 532(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 or this section shall be construed to permit the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department to create or use a different grading standard on any test, assessment, or screening tool utilized for the purpose of identifying graduates of a secondary school (as defined in section 9101(38) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801(38)), including all persons described in subsection (a)(2) of section 532 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, for recruitment and enlistment in the Armed Forces.

AMENDMENT NO. 55 OFFERED BY MS. VELÁZQUEZ OF NEW YORK

At the end of subtitle D of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5. MILITARY HAZING PREVENTION OVERSIGHT PANEL.**

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established a panel to be known as the Military Hazing Prevention Oversight Panel (in this section referred to as the "Panel").

(b) **MEMBERSHIP.**—The Panel shall be composed of the following members:

- (1) The Secretary of the Army or the Secretary's designee.
- (2) The Secretary of the Navy or the Secretary's designee.
- (3) The Secretary of the Air Force or the Secretary's designee.
- (4) The Secretary of Homeland Security (with respect to the Coast Guard) or the Secretary's designee.

(5) Members appointed by the Secretary of Defense from among individuals who are not officers or employees of any government and who have expertise in advocating for—

- (A) women;
- (B) racial or ethnic minorities;

(C) religious minorities; or  
 (D) gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender individuals.

(c) DUTIES.—The Panel shall—

(1) make recommendations to the Secretary concerned (as defined in section 101(a)(9) of title 10, United States Code) on the development of the policies, programs, and procedures to prevent and respond to hazing in the Armed Forces; and

(2) monitor any policies, programs, and procedures in place to prevent and respond to hazing in the Armed Forces and make recommendations to the Secretary concerned on ways to improve such policies, programs, and procedures.

(d) INITIAL MEETING.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Panel shall hold its initial meeting.

(e) MEETINGS.—The Panel shall meet not less than annually.

AMENDMENT NO. 56 OFFERED BY MRS. LOWEY OF NEW YORK

At the end of subtitle D of title V, add the following:

**SEC. 550A. PREVENTION OF SEXUAL ASSAULT AT MILITARY SERVICE ACADEMIES.**

The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that each of the military service academies adds a section in the ethics curricula of such academies that outlines honor, respect, and character development as such pertain to the issue of preventing sexual assault in the Armed Forces. Such curricula shall include a brief history of the problem of sexual assault in the Armed Forces, a definition of sexual assault, information relating to reporting a sexual assault, victims' rights, and dismissal and dishonorable discharge for offenders. Such ethics training shall be provided within 60 days after the initial arrival of a new cadet or midshipman at a military services academy and repeated in annual ethics training requirements.

AMENDMENT NO. 57 OFFERED BY MS. PINGREE OF MAINE

At the end of subtitle D of title V of the bill, add the following:

**SEC. 550A. ENSURING AWARENESS OF POLICY TO INSTRUCT VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT SEEKING SECURITY CLEARANCE TO ANSWER "NO" TO QUESTION 21.**

(a) ENSURING AWARENESS OF POLICY.—The Secretary of Defense shall inform members of the United States Armed Forces of the policy described in subsection (b)—

(1) at the earliest time possible, such as upon enlistment and commissioning; and

(2) during sexual assault awareness training and service member interactions with sexual assault response coordinators.

(b) POLICY DESCRIBED.—The policy described in this subsection is the policy of instructing an individual to answer "no" to question 21 of Standard Form 86 of the Questionnaire for National Security Positions with respect to consultation with a health care professional if—

(1) the individual is a victim of a sexual assault; and

(2) the consultation occurred with respect to an emotional or mental health condition strictly in relation to the sexual assault.

AMENDMENT NO. 58 OFFERED BY MS. LEE OF CALIFORNIA

At the end of subtitle D of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 550A. REPORT ON POLICIES AND REGULATIONS REGARDING SERVICE MEMBERS LIVING WITH OR AT RISK OF CONTRACTING HIV.**

(a) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress and make publicly available

a report on the use of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, the Manual for Courts-Martial, and related policies, punitive articles, and regulations with regard to service members living with or at risk of contracting HIV.

(b) CONTENTS.—The report shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of whether the Uniform Code of Military Justice, the Manual for Courts-Martial, and related policies, punitive articles, and regulations are exercised in a way that demonstrates an evidence-based, medically accurate understanding of—

(A) the multiple factors that lead to HIV transmission;

(B) the relative risk of HIV transmission routes;

(C) the associated benefits of treatment and support services for people living with HIV; and

(D) the impact of HIV-specific policies and regulations on public health and on people living with or at risk of contracting HIV.

(2) A review of court-martial decisions in recent years preceding the date of enactment of this Act.

(3) Recommendations for adjustments to the Uniform Code of Military Justice, the Manual for Courts-Martial, and related policies, punitive articles, and regulations, as may be necessary, in order to ensure that policies and regulations regarding service members living with or at risk of contracting HIV are in accordance with a contemporary understanding of HIV transmission routes and associated benefits of treatment.

(c) DEFINITION OF HIV.—In this section, the term "HIV" means infection with the human immunodeficiency virus.

AMENDMENT NO. 59 OFFERED BY MS. DELAURO OF CONNECTICUT

At the end subtitle D of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5 . ADDITIONAL MODIFICATION OF ANNUAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS REGARDING SEXUAL ASSAULTS AND PREVENTION AND RESPONSE PROGRAM.**

(a) ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS OF EACH REPORT.—Section 1631(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4433; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

"(11) A description of the implementation of the comprehensive policy on the retention of and access to evidence and records relating to sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces required to comply with section 586 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1434; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note).

"(12) The policies, procedures, and processes implemented by the Secretary concerned to ensure detailed evidence and records are transmitted to the Department of Veterans Affairs, including medical records of sexual assault victims that accurately and completely describe the physical and emotional injuries resulting from a sexual trauma that occurred during active duty service."

(b) APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.—The amendment made by this section shall apply beginning with the report regarding sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces required to be submitted by March 1, 2014, under section 1631 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011.

AMENDMENT NO. 60 OFFERED BY MR. CUMMINGS OF MARYLAND

Page 232, after line 18, insert the following:

**SEC. 555. MORTGAGE PROTECTION FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES, SURVIVING SPOUSES, AND CERTAIN VETERANS AND OTHER IMPROVEMENTS TO THE SERVICEMEMBERS CIVIL RELIEF ACT.**

(a) MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES, SURVIVING SPOUSES, AND CERTAIN DISABLED VETERANS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Title III of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 501 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 303A, as added by section 553, the following new section:

**"SEC. 303B. MORTGAGES AND TRUST DEEDS OF CERTAIN SERVICEMEMBERS, SURVIVING SPOUSES, AND DISABLED VETERANS.**

"(a) MORTGAGE AS SECURITY.—This section applies only to an obligation on real or personal property owned by a covered individual that—

"(1) originated at any time and for which the covered individual is still obligated; and

"(2) is secured by a mortgage, trust deed, or other security in the nature of a mortgage.

"(b) STAY OF PROCEEDINGS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with subsection (d)(1), in a judicial action pending or in a nonjudicial action commenced during a covered time period to enforce an obligation described in subsection (a), a court—

"(A) may, after a hearing and on its own motion, stay the proceedings until the end of the covered time period; and

"(B) shall, upon application by a covered individual, stay the proceedings until the end of the covered time period.

"(2) OBLIGATION TO STOP PROCEEDINGS.—Upon receipt of notice provided under subsection (d)(1), a mortgagee, trustee, or other creditor seeking to foreclose on real property secured by an obligation covered by this section using any judicial or nonjudicial proceedings shall immediately stop any such proceeding until the end of the covered time period.

"(c) SALE OR FORECLOSURE.—A sale, judicial or nonjudicial foreclosure, or seizure of property for a breach of an obligation described in subsection (a) that is not stayed under subsection (b) shall not be valid during a covered time period except—

"(1) upon a court order granted before such sale, judicial or nonjudicial foreclosure, or seizure with a return made and approved by the court; or

"(2) if made pursuant to an agreement as provided in section 107.

"(d) NOTICE REQUIRED.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—To be covered under this section, a covered individual shall provide to the mortgagee, trustee, or other creditor written notice that such individual is so covered.

"(2) MANNER.—Written notice under paragraph (1) may be provided electronically.

"(3) TIME.—Notice provided under paragraph (1) shall be provided during the covered time period.

"(4) CONTENTS.—With respect to a servicemember described in subsection (g)(1)(A), notice shall include—

"(A) a copy of the servicemember's official military orders, or any notification, certification, or verification from a servicemember's commanding officer that provides evidence of servicemember's eligibility for special pay as described in subsection (g)(1)(A); or

"(B) an official notice using a form designed under paragraph (5).

"(5) OFFICIAL FORMS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall design and distribute an official Department of Defense form that can be used by an individual to give notice under paragraph (1).



“(B) USE OF OFFICIAL FORM NOT REQUIRED.—Failure by any individual to use a form designed or distributed under subparagraph (A) to provide notice shall not make such provision of notice invalid.

“(e) AGGREGATE DURATION.—The aggregate duration for which a covered individual (except a servicemember described in subsection (g)(1)(A)) may be covered under this section is one year.

“(f) MISDEMEANOR.—A person who knowingly makes or causes to be made a sale, foreclosure, or seizure of property that is prohibited by subsection (c), or who knowingly attempts to do so, shall be fined as provided in title 18, United States Code, or imprisoned for not more than one year, or both.

“(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) COVERED INDIVIDUAL.—The term ‘covered individual’ means the following individuals:

“(A) A servicemember who is or was eligible for hostile fire or imminent danger special pay under section 310 of title 37, United States Code, during a period of military service.

“(B) A servicemember placed on convalescent status, including a servicemember transferred to the temporary disability retired list under section 1202 or 1205 of title 10, United States Code.

“(C) A veteran who was medically discharged and retired under chapter 61 of title 10, United States Code, except for a veteran described in section 1207 of such title.

“(D) A surviving spouse (as defined in section 101(3) of title 38, United States Code, and in accordance with section 103 of such title) of a servicemember who died while in military service if such spouse is the successor in interest to property covered under subsection (a).

“(2) COVERED TIME PERIOD.—The term ‘covered time period’ means the following time periods:

“(A) With respect to a servicemember who is or was eligible for hostile fire or imminent danger special pay under section 310 of title 37, United States Code, during a period of military service, during the period beginning on the first day on which the servicemember is or was eligible for such special pay during such period of military service and ending on the date that is one year after the last day of such period of military service.

“(B) With respect to a servicemember described in paragraph (1)(B), during the one-year period beginning on the date on which the servicemember is placed on convalescent status or transferred to the temporary disability retired list under section 1202 or 1205 of title 10, United States Code.

“(C) With respect to a veteran described in paragraph (1)(C), during the one-year period beginning on the date of the retirement of such veteran.

“(D) With respect to a surviving spouse of a servicemember as described in paragraph (1)(D), during the one-year period beginning on the date on which the spouse receives notice of the death of the servicemember.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 303 the following new item:

“Sec. 303B. Mortgages and trust deeds of certain servicemembers, surviving spouses, and disabled veterans.”

(3) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 107 of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 517) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) OTHER INDIVIDUALS.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘servicemember’ includes any covered individual under section 303B.”

(b) INCREASED CIVIL PENALTIES FOR MORTGAGE VIOLATIONS.—Paragraph (3) of section 801(b) of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 597(b)(3)) is amended to read as follows:

“(3) to vindicate the public interest, assess a civil penalty—

“(A) with respect to a violation of section 207, 303, or 303B regarding real property—

“(i) in an amount not exceeding \$110,000 for a first violation; and

“(ii) in an amount not exceeding \$220,000 for any subsequent violation; and

“(B) with respect to any other violation of this Act—

“(i) in an amount not exceeding \$55,000 for a first violation; and

“(ii) in an amount not exceeding \$110,000 for any subsequent violation.”

(c) CREDIT DISCRIMINATION.—Section 108 of such Act (50 U.S.C. App. 518) is amended—

(1) by striking “Application by” and inserting “(a) APPLICATION OR RECEIPT.—Application by”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) ELIGIBILITY.—In addition to the protections under subsection (a), an individual who is entitled to any right or protection provided under this Act may not be denied or refused credit or be subject to any other action described under paragraphs (1) through (6) of subsection (a) solely by reason of such entitlement.”

(d) REQUIREMENTS FOR LENDING INSTITUTIONS THAT ARE CREDITORS FOR OBLIGATIONS AND LIABILITIES COVERED BY THE SERVICEMEMBERS CIVIL RELIEF ACT.—Section 207 of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 527) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (e) and (f), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection (d):

“(d) LENDING INSTITUTION REQUIREMENTS.—

“(1) COMPLIANCE OFFICERS.—Each lending institution subject to the requirements of this section shall designate an employee of the institution as a compliance officer who is responsible for ensuring the institution’s compliance with this section and for distributing information to servicemembers whose obligations and liabilities are covered by this section.

“(2) TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE NUMBER.—During any fiscal year, a lending institution subject to the requirements of this section that had annual assets for the preceding fiscal year of \$10,000,000,000 or more shall maintain a toll-free telephone number and shall make such telephone number available on the primary Internet website of the institution.”

(e) PENSION FOR CERTAIN VETERANS COVERED BY MEDICAID PLANS FOR SERVICES FURNISHED BY NURSING FACILITIES.—Section 5503(d)(7) of title 38, United States Code, is amended by striking “November 30, 2016” and inserting “March 1, 2017”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 303B of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act, as added by subsection (a), and the amendments made by this section (other than the amendment made by subsection (e)), shall take effect on the date that is one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

AMENDMENT NO. 61 OFFERED BY MS. MICHELE LUJAN GRISHAM OF NEW MEXICO

Page 232, after line 18, insert the following:  
**SEC. 555. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RECOGNITION OF DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO SERVE IN COMBAT ZONES.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT AND PRESENTATION OF LAPEL BUTTONS.—Chapter 57 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1126 the following new section:

“§ 1126b. **Dependent-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button: eligibility and presentation**

“(a) DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY.—A lapel button, to be known as the dependent-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button, shall be designed, as approved by the Secretary of Defense, to identify and recognize the dependent of a member of the armed forces who is serving or has served in a combat zone for a period of more than 30 days.

“(b) PRESENTATION.—The Secretary concerned may authorize the use of appropriated funds to procure dependent-of-a-combat-veteran lapel buttons and to provide for their presentation to eligible dependents of members.

“(c) EXCEPTION TO TIME-PERIOD REQUIREMENT.—The 30-day period specified in subsection (a) does not apply if the member is killed or wounded in the combat zone before the expiration the period.

“(d) LICENSE TO MANUFACTURE AND SELL LAPEL BUTTONS.—Section 901(c) of title 36 shall apply with respect to the dependent-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button authorized by this section.

“(e) COMBAT ZONE DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘combat zone’ has the meaning given that term in section 112(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(f) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall issue such regulations as may be necessary to carry out this section. The Secretary shall ensure that the regulations are uniform for each armed force to the extent practicable.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1126 the following new item:

“1126b. **Dependent-of-a-combat-veteran lapel button: eligibility and presentation.**”

AMENDMENT NO. 63 OFFERED BY MR. GENE GREEN OF TEXAS

Page 243, after line 8, insert the following:  
**SEC. 568. INTERNET ACCESS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMY, NAVY, AIR FORCE, AND MARINE CORPS SERVING IN COMBAT ZONES.**

(a) PROVISION OF INTERNET ACCESS REQUIREMENT.—The Secretaries of the military departments shall ensure that members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps who are deployed in an area for which imminent danger pay or hazardous duty pay is authorized under section 310 or 351 of title 37, United States Code, have reasonable access to the Internet in order to permit the members—

(1) to engage in video-conferencing and other communication with their families and friends; and

(2) to enjoy the educational and recreational capabilities of the Internet via websites approved by the Secretary concerned.

(b) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of a military department may waive the requirement imposed by subsection (a) for an area, or for certain time periods in an area, if the Secretary determines that the security environment of the area does not reasonably allow for recreational Internet use.

(c) NO CHARGE FOR ACCESS AND USE.—Internet access and use shall be provided to members under this section without charge.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The requirement imposed by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2014.

AMENDMENT NO. 65 OFFERED BY MRS. BLACKBURN OF TENNESSEE

At the end of subtitle F of title V, insert the following:

**SEC. 568. REPORT ON THE TROOPS TO TEACHERS PROGRAM.**

Not later than March 1, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees

on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the Troops to Teachers program that includes each of the following:

(1) An evaluation of whether there is a need to broaden eligibility to allow service members and veterans without a bachelor's degree admission into the program and whether the program can be strengthened.

(2) An evaluation of whether a pilot program should be established to demonstrate the potential benefit of an institutional based award for troops to teachers, as long as any such pilot maximizes benefits to soldiers and minimizes administrative and other overhead costs at the participating academic institutions.

AMENDMENT NO. 66 OFFERED BY MR. CULBERSON OF TEXAS

Page 255, after line 9, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 589. REQUIRED GOLD CONTENT FOR MEDAL OF HONOR.**

(a) ARMY.—  
(1) GOLD CONTENT.—Section 3741 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking “The President” and inserting “(a) AWARD.—The President”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) GOLD CONTENT.—The metal content of the Medal of Honor shall be 90 percent gold and 10 percent alloy.”

(2) EXCEPTION FOR DUPLICATE MEDAL.—Section 3754 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Section 3741(b) of this title shall not apply to the issuance of a duplicate Medal of Honor under this section.”

(b) NAVY.—  
(1) GOLD CONTENT.—Section 6241 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking “The President” and inserting “(a) AWARD.—The President”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) GOLD CONTENT.—The metal content of the Medal of Honor shall be 90 percent gold and 10 percent alloy.”

(2) EXCEPTION FOR DUPLICATE MEDAL.—Section 6256 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Section 6241(b) of this title shall not apply to the issuance of a duplicate Medal of Honor under this section.”

(c) AIR FORCE.—  
(1) GOLD CONTENT.—Section 8741 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking “The President” and inserting “(a) AWARD.—The President”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) GOLD CONTENT.—The metal content of the Medal of Honor shall be 90 percent gold and 10 percent alloy.”

(2) EXCEPTION FOR DUPLICATE MEDAL.—Section 8754 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Section 8741(b) of this title shall not apply to the issuance of a duplicate Medal of Honor under this section.”

(d) COAST GUARD.—  
(1) GOLD CONTENT.—Section 491 of title 14, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking “The President” and inserting “(a) AWARD.—The President”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) GOLD CONTENT.—The metal content of the Medal of Honor shall be 90 percent gold and 10 percent alloy.”

(2) EXCEPTION FOR DUPLICATE MEDAL.—Section 504 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Section 491(b) of this title shall not apply to the issuance of a duplicate Medal of Honor under this section.”

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to Medals of Honor awarded after the date of the enactment of this Act.

AMENDMENT NO. 68 OFFERED BY MR. HUNTER OF CALIFORNIA

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 589. CONSIDERATION OF SILVER STAR AWARD NOMINATIONS.**

The Secretary of the Army shall consider the nominations for the Silver Star Award, as previously submitted, for retired Master Sergeants Michael McElhiney, Ronnie Raikes, Gilbert Magallanes, and Staff Sergeant Wesley McGirr.

AMENDMENT NO. 71 OFFERED BY MR. MCKINLEY OF WEST VIRGINIA

Page 273, after line 10, insert the following:  
**SEC. 595. ELECTRONIC TRACKING OF CERTAIN RESERVE DUTY.**

The Secretary of Defense shall establish an electronic means by which members of the Ready Reserve of the Armed Forces can track their operational active-duty service performed after January 28, 2008, under section 12301(a), 12301(d), 12301(g), 12302, or 12304 of title 10, United States Code. The tour calculator shall specify early retirement credit authorized for each qualifying tour of active duty, as well as cumulative early reserve retirement credit authorized to date under section 12731(f) of such title.

AMENDMENT NO. 75 OFFERED BY MR. TERRY OF NEBRASKA

At the end of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5 . MILITARY SALUTE DURING RECITATION OF PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE BY MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES NOT IN UNIFORM AND BY VETERANS.**

Section 4 of title 4, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Members of the Armed Forces not in uniform and veterans may render the military salute in the manner provided for persons in uniform.”

AMENDMENT NO. 80 OFFERED BY MR. TERRY OF NEBRASKA

Page 306, after line 10, insert the following new subsection:

(f) ADDITIONAL REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the methods, as of the date of the report, employed by the military departments to collect charges from third-party payers incurred at military medical treatment facilities, including specific data with respect to the dollar amount of third-party collections that resulted from each method currently being used throughout the military departments. The Secretary shall take into account the results of such report in evaluating the results of the pilot program under subsection (a)(1).

AMENDMENT NO. 160 OFFERED BY MR. BEN RAY LUIJÁN OF NEW MEXICO

At the end of subtitle B of title XXXI, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 3123. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF ENERGY TO ENTER INTO TRANSACTIONS TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN RESEARCH PROJECTS.**

Section 646(g)(10) of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7256(g)(10)) is amended by striking “September 30, 2015” and inserting “September 30, 2020”.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that amendment No. 29 be modified in the form I have placed at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will report the modification.

Modification to amendment No. 29 offered by Mr. MCKEON of California:

Page 317, strike lines 15 to 23 and insert the following:

Section 808 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1489) is amended—

(1) in subsections (a) and (b), by striking “fiscal year 2012 or 2013” and inserting “fiscal year 2012, 2013, 2014 or 2015”;

(2) in subsection (c)—  
(A) by striking “during fiscal years 2012 and 2013” in the matter preceding paragraph (1);

(B) by striking paragraphs (1) and (2) and redesignating paragraphs (3), (4), and (5) as paragraphs (1), (2), and (3), respectively; and  
(C) in paragraph (3), as so redesignated, by striking “fiscal years 2012 and 2013” and inserting “fiscal years 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015”;

(3) in subsection (d)(4), by striking “fiscal year 2012 or 2013” and inserting “fiscal year 2012, 2013, 2014 or 2015”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(e) CARRYOVER OF REDUCTIONS REQUIRED.—If the reductions required by subsection (c)(2) for fiscal years 2012 and 2013 are not implemented, the amounts remaining for those reductions in fiscal years 2012 and 2013 shall be implemented in fiscal years 2014 and 2015.

“(f) ANTI-DEFICIENCY ACT VIOLATION.—Failure to comply with subsections (a) and (e) shall be considered violations of section 1341 of title 31, United States Code (popularly referred to as the Anti-Deficiency Act).”

Mr. MCKEON (during the reading). Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that the reading of the modification be dispensed with.

The Acting CHAIR. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the amendment is modified.

There was no objection.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON) and the gentleman from Washington (Mr. SMITH) each will control 10 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I urge the committee to adopt the amendments en bloc, all of which have been examined by both the majority and the minority.

At this time, I yield 1 minute to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CULBERSON).

Mr. CULBERSON. Mr. Chairman, my amendment No. 66 in the bill is very straightforward.

The Medal of Honor is our Nation's highest award, given only to those soldiers who have performed personal acts of valor above and beyond the call of duty. The medal has been made of brass. My amendment today would ensure that from this day forward, the Medal of Honor be made of gold. It's the least we can do for our bravest soldiers who have earned America's highest award, and I would move passage.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. CÁRDENAS).

Mr. CÁRDENAS. Mr. Chairman, I'd also like to thank Chairman MCKEON and Ranking Member SMITH for their leadership in bringing this bill to the floor. I also want to thank them for allowing me to speak on my amendments, even though they will be considered later on today.

The three amendments that I have offered will strengthen our Nation's cybersecurity so we can effectively defend our Nation, economy, and innovation.

We all know that cyber-based terrorism, espionage, computer intrusions, and fraud are not going away any time soon. These attacks occur far more frequently and far more rapidly and are more sophisticated than most people would care to know. Anonymity makes it difficult to trace the origin of these attacks and prosecute criminals.

These attacks are not only intended to steal defense secrets and technology, but are also targeted at some of our most critical industries. According to a Mandiant study, those industries include construction and manufacturing; media, advertisement, and entertainment; financial services; health care; food and agriculture; and education. This is not only a national security issue but also an economic issue as well.

My first amendment strengthens our preparedness and ability to fend off attacks by expanding our understanding of the economic impact of cyber intrusions on the U.S. defense industry. It also requires the Department of Defense to identify ways to protect our intellectual property when attacks occur.

My second amendment directs the Secretary of Defense to establish an outreach and education program to educate small businesses on cyber threats and assist them in developing plans to protect intellectual property and their networks.

My third amendment ensures that the comprehensive mission analysis of cyber operations mandated in this bill also includes an assessment of the retention, recruitment, and management of the cyber workforce.

The Department of Defense must provide appropriate incentives, opportunities, and professional development paths that will encourage civilians and servicemembers to enter and hone their technical skills that they need to be part of this cyber field.

These amendments will strengthen our national security, and I urge their passage.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, at this time, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. YOUNG) for the purpose of a colloquy.

Mr. YOUNG of Indiana. I thank the distinguished gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Chairman, I rise to commend the Armed Services Committee on their excellent work here, and I want to take this opportunity to highlight an issue addressed in last year's NDAA which required the Secretary of Defense to

produce a report this fall that examines an issue of great importance.

During my prior service on the Armed Services Committee, I learned of a discrepancy in the law where military facilities closed outside of the BRAC process are not given the same indemnification against liabilities that are a result of hazardous substances left over from any previous DOD activities.

Several Army ammunition plants were closed outside of the BRAC process, and because DOD is not required to maintain responsibility for potential problems related to military use, we are hindering redevelopment of these properties.

□ 1840

Last year, I wrote a bill called the Base Redevelopment and Indemnification Correction Act, or the BRIC Act, that would extend the same BRAC protections to non-BRAC closed facilities. It was included in the House-passed NDAA but was removed during conference. However, language was adopted that requires a DOD assessment of the status of these former defense facilities as well as recommendations to facilitate their redevelopment. Local redevelopers should not be held responsible for any lingering issues that were a result of DOD operations.

I anticipate the Secretary's report on this matter will provide a path forward for these former military installations that remain disadvantaged without these important indemnification protections. I thank the chairman for his continued support to address this ongoing issue and look forward to working with the committee after the report is released to address this glaring anomaly.

I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. MCKEON. I thank the gentleman.

Reuse of former military installations is essential for the local communities and in many circumstances represents a real opportunity to amortize the initial costs of a new development.

I also look forward to receiving a copy of the Secretary's report and I hope it will inform Congress so that we may address this important issue in a deliberate and thoughtful manner. I specifically look forward to hearing the Secretary's recommendations in dealing with this important matter.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO).

Mr. TAKANO. I thank the gentleman from Washington for yielding.

Mr. Chairman, I rise today to express my strong support for the amendments submitted by the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS), known previously as H.R. 1842, the Military Family Home Protection Act.

As a member of the Veterans Affairs Committee and the ranking member of the Economic Opportunity Subcommittee, taking care of our service-

members and their families is one of my top priorities. This legislation does just that: it takes care of our heroes.

By staying foreclosures when servicemembers are receiving hostile fire or when they are medically discharged, by doubling the civil penalties for mortgage-related violations, and by prohibiting banks from discriminating against servicemembers, veterans or surviving spouses, the Military Family Home Protection Act no longer allows our heroes and their families to be taken advantage of.

Since the economic downturn, more than 700 servicemembers have been wrongfully foreclosed on, and more than 1,500 servicemembers have been subjected to illegal practices by mortgage providers. The men and women who fight bravely for our Nation deserve better.

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. HOLDING). The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I yield an additional 15 seconds to the gentleman.

Mr. TAKANO. We owe it to them not to allow their families to be thrown out of their houses when they are putting their lives on the line in the name of freedom.

I urge my colleagues to support our military families.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield to the chairman of the Foreign Affairs Committee for the purpose of a colloquy.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Chairman, I have a colloquy on an amendment I intend to withdraw.

Mr. Chairman, we are facing a serious and growing national security threat in central Africa. Rebel groups, long active in the region, have taken on a new form of illicit activity to fill their coffers, and that form is poaching. On the black market, ivory from elephant tusks runs over \$1,000 per kilo. Rhino horns are worth more than their weight in gold—\$30,000 per pound.

The black market for wildlife is now in the league of drug smuggling. The low risk and high reward of poaching makes it ideal for criminal groups, but also for extremist groups. Indeed, groups like the Lord's Resistance Army, which the U.S. military is helping Africans to track down, and the al Qaeda-linked al-Shabaab are reaping the benefits by brutally slaughtering these majestic, defenseless animals.

These aren't your poor man's poachers either. Many poachers today are outfitted with night-vision goggles and sophisticated GPS equipment. They fly helicopters, slaughtering these endangered species from above.

A recent U.N. report cites an increase in advanced weapons used in poaching, which can be traced back to the fall of Qadhafi in Libya.

Earlier this year, testifying on worldwide threats, the head of our intelligence community noted that the multibillion-dollar industry of illicit wildlife trade "threats to disrupt the rule of law in important countries

around the world,” and that this trade involves “disparate actors—from government and military personnel to members of insurgent groups and transnational organized crime organizations.”

Unfortunately, African nations trying to fight off transnational poachers lack the capacity to address the problem. With relatively few security resources dedicated to combating them, poachers operate freely.

This amendment would have provided authority for the Defense Department to advise and assist Africans to suppress this illicit wildlife trade. AFRICOM is rightly involved in many of these regions, focusing on counterterrorism and on counternarcotics. Since these illicit activities are interwoven, this is an ideal area to further our cooperation with African partners, helping their stability, our security, and the chances that magnificent species aren't extinguished.

The Acting CHAIR. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. McKEON. I yield the gentleman 1 additional minute.

The Acting CHAIR. The chairman's time has expired.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. LOWEY).

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Chairman, the sexual assault epidemic plaguing our military has taken hold at the academies, which reported 80 cases of sexual assault last year—a 23 percent increase—and these are just the cases that were reported. My amendment would require the service academies to incorporate sexual assault prevention into their ethics curricula.

Cadets and midshipmen enter academies at an impressionable age. Using ethics as an avenue to teach sexual assault prevention can strengthen the core messages of honor and respect in character development. It would also put discussion of this essential policy at the center of the service's culture, which must be changed to stop sexual assault in the military.

I thank the chair and the ranking member for including my amendment in the en bloc.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, may I inquire how much time is remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California (Mr. McKEON) has 5 minutes remaining on the en bloc amendments.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume, and I yield to the gentleman from California to finish when we were so rudely interrupted.

Mr. ROYCE. I thank the gentleman.

Chairman McKEON, I know you share my concern with this growing transnational threat of poaching. And I am hopeful that, looking ahead, we can work together to address any concerns that may exist and support a more ag-

gressive U.S. commitment to this problem.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I hope you appreciate my weak attempt at humor.

The Acting CHAIR. Indeed.

Mr. McKEON. I would like to start off first by acknowledging the longstanding work that Chairman ROYCE has done on this issue.

The gentleman from California spelled out the growing links between poaching and terrorist groups in Africa. I share his concern. He is also correct that AFRICOM is continuing to engage with our African partners in a variety of ways.

Under Chairman ROYCE's leadership, I understand that the Foreign Affairs Committee will be continuing to look into illegal wildlife trafficking in Africa and the national security consequences. I fully support that effort. I believe that we should seek a greater understanding of the linkages between these illicit activities and find an interagency approach to counter this threat.

The U.S. military has a role to play in countering terrorist groups and their networks that would target our national interests in this region. So I look forward to our two committees continuing to work together.

Mr. ROYCE. I appreciate the Chairman's comments.

Mr. McKEON. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. HOLT).

Mr. HOLT. I thank the gentleman.

I just wanted to describe two amendments that I have that the chairman and the leadership were kind enough to include en bloc.

I am a member of the United States commission on research and development in the intelligence community. I won't go through the whole report—in fact, it's being declassified in part now—but we talk about the great looming threat to our technological advantage in the intelligence arena—the shortage of scientists, engineers, and mathematicians.

□ 1850

I have an amendment directing the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress within 60 days from this bill on whether the science, mathematics, and research transformation of the SMART scholarship program is providing adequate help to undergraduate and graduate students to meet our scientific and technical needs.

Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the Rules Committee making this amendment in order. My amendment's purpose is to ensure that the United States defense and intelligence communities have the necessary scientific and technical talent in the years ahead so that our nation maintains its ability to avoid strategic surprise and preserve our technological edge against known or potential opponents.

For the last 18 months, I have served as a member of the United States Commission on

Research and Development in the Intelligence Community. Although the Commission was created in law as part of the annual Intelligence Authorization Act passed a decade ago, it was not funded and no Commissioners were appointed until the Fiscal Year 2012 budget was passed. Indeed, funding for the Commission was blocked for several years by the then chairman of HPSCI. The Commission did not formally start meeting until early 2012. My colleague from Texas, Rep. CONAWAY, is also a member of the Commission, as are Senators MARK WARNER of Virginia and DAN COATS of Indiana. The balance of the commission is made up of former government officials with S&T expertise, a former NSC official, a Silicon Valley venture capitalist, a Wall Street banker, and a university president with a deep scientific background, among others.

Our mandate was to examine the current state of the IC's R&D efforts & make recommendations for changes where necessary. Classified and unclassified versions of our report were delivered to the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence just before Memorial Day, and the unclassified version will be released soon, most likely before the July 4th holiday. I encourage all Members to take the time to review the full classified report at their earliest convenience.

Because the unclassified version remains under an embargo for the moment, I cannot discuss directly our key findings. However, I can and will give you this Commissioner's view on the single greatest looming threat to our technological advantage in the intelligence arena: a potential shortage of scientists, engineers, and mathematicians.

My amendment seeks to address that potential shortage by directing the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress within 60 days of the enactment of this bill on whether the Science, Mathematics and Research for Transformation or SMART scholarship program is providing the necessary number of undergraduate students to meet our scientific and technical needs, specifically in the defense and intelligence communities. If the Secretary assess that the existing SMART program will not be sufficient, he is to make recommendations to Congress on what measures would be necessary to ensure our scientific and technical talent pipeline is sufficient to meet our projected needs.

I offer this amendment because I have already seen evidence that such an assessment is overdue and urgently needed.

At a recent cyber briefing on the Hill, CYBERCOM officials told my staff that NSA is seeing a marked increase in employees asking to have resumes undergo clearance reviews so they can look for other jobs. During my own visit to some other NSA facilities in the DC metro area last year, I heard from NSA officials that some universities are now telling NSA—in writing—that their pay scales are not sufficiently competitive. These are warning signs of a potential skilled personnel shortage in the S&T components of the IC, and the Commission found others as you will see in our report. If adopted, this amendment will allow us to take a much needed step towards assessing our defense and intelligence personnel needs in the areas of science, technology, mathematics and engineering. Accordingly, I urge adoption of my amendment.

I have another amendment that grew out of a suicide tragedy in my district.

This amendment would allow any State adjutant general to request information for any Individual Ready Reserve or any individual mobilization augmentee living in the State so that the adjutant general can provide suicide prevention and outrage services for such Reservists.

Mr. Chairman, I thank the Rules Committee for making this amendment in order. The purpose of this amendment is to ensure that suicide outreach and prevention programs reach specific at-risk populations of Reservists.

Sergeant Coleman Bean of East Brunswick, New Jersey did two combat tours in Iraq. In between and after those tours, he sought treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Because Sgt. Bean was a member of the Individual Ready Reserve (IRR)—a pool of Reserve soldiers not assigned to any unit but available for mobilization if needed—he could not get treatment for his condition because the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs refused to take ownership of Sgt. Bean and the thousands like him. Since his death in the fall of 2008, I have worked in a bipartisan way to secure additional funding for suicide prevention and outreach services for our active duty, Guard and Reserve members, and for our veterans. One component of that outreach effort must involve our state National Guard Adjutant Generals.

My amendment would allow any state AG to request contact information for any IRR or Individual Mobilization Augmentee (IMA) living in their state so that the AG can provide suicide prevention and outreach services to such Reservists.

Within my own state, our extremely successful Vet2Vet and the national Vets4Warriors program have been providing peer-to-peer counseling services for years. Its success was so great—no servicemember who used the program took his or her life—that the 2010 DoD Task Force on the Prevention of Suicide by Members of the Armed Forces recommended that Vet2Vet should be examined as a potential national model. In December 2011, the National Guard Bureau decided to support a parallel, national program to Vet2Vet, named Vets4Warriors to denote its national character, and designated it as the program of record for Guard personnel nationwide who were seeking counseling services.

The key reason these programs work so well is that every person who takes a call from a servicemember or veteran is also a former servicemember. This peer-to-peer connection is vital in building the trust necessary to get a soldier or veteran with a problem to open up about their experiences, fears, needs and hopes. Both Vet2Vet and Vets4Warriors work in direct partnership with the New Jersey Department of Military and Veterans Affairs, and thus passing this amendment would allow all Adjutant Generals, including New Jersey's, to conduct targeted suicide prevention and outreach to IRR and IMA members in their states.

Mr. Chairman, the suicide epidemic sweeping our armed forces can only be eliminated if we utilize every tool at our disposal to reach every servicemember or veteran who may be at risk. Passing this amendment would give us one more such tool, I urge my colleagues to support this amendment.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, we have no more speakers on the en bloc, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, how much time do I have remaining?

The ACTING CHAIR. The gentleman from California has 3¾ minutes.

Mr. McKEON. Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of that time.

Just an hour ago, the President confirmed chemical weapons, including sarin gas, have been used by the Assad regime against Syrian civilians. The President has stated that a red line has been crossed. But I would observe that red lines are meaningless unless they are backed by action.

The underlying bill reflects a sense of Congress that any red line should be backed with substantive measures. The White House stated this evening that President Obama agrees with this sentiment.

Tonight, representatives of the National Security Council stated:

The President has made the decision to support Syrian opposition. That includes military support.

I expect to see more details in the coming days from the White House and the Department of Defense.

I am, however, deeply concerned about our ability to honor and uphold red lines. Our military readiness and our ability to respond is degraded today. Seventeen combat coded Air Force squadrons are grounded due to budget cuts. A carrier battle group should be in the Middle East, but instead is in port. We just pulled the last A-10 ground attack squadron out of Germany because some felt that a forward-operating presence was unnecessary for a so-called Cold War mission.

Yet we have an amendment here this evening that would cut \$5 billion that, in addition to funding the troops in Afghanistan, provides support to help alleviate deep readiness problems that are porting our ships and grounding our fighter jets.

Another amendment this evening would strike the very sense of Congress that all courses of action, not just military, should be considered in Syria and that our red lines must have meaning.

Reality has overwhelmed both of these proposals.

To my friends who think there is no risk to ever-deeper cuts, I ask you to tell that to the airman and the sailor who may well face down Syrian missiles in the coming weeks. To my friends who are contemplating further cuts when they vote tomorrow, consider that you may be denying that warfighter the hour of training or the piece of hardware that means the difference between life and death. None of us is comfortable in putting them into harm's way at this time, or in that place; but that does not mean that they may not have to go. And that does not mean we shouldn't give them all they need.

Here Congress and the White House agree in principle. Boundaries are useless unless they are enforced and resourced.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendments en bloc offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. McKEON).

The en bloc amendments were agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 22 OFFERED BY MR. HOLT

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 22 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. HOLT. I have an amendment at the desk, Mr. Chairman.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Strike page 59, line 15, and all that follows through page 72, line 12.

Strike page 72, line 23, and all that follows through page 79, line 23.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. HOLT) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey.

Mr. HOLT. I thank the Chair, and I thank the Rules Committee and the leadership of the Armed Services Committee for making this amendment in order.

Mr. Chairman, the amendment's purpose is simple: to eliminate the missile defense-related portions of the bill with the exception of the relatively successful Iron Dome program.

As some of you may know, I have been involved with arms control issues for decades, since I was a part of the U.S. Geneva delegation sent to investigate the then-Soviet phased array radar in Krasnoyarsk in the early 1980s. My training as a physicist, as well as the decades I've spent dealing with these issues, long ago led me to conclude a couple of things: effective strategic ballistic missile defense systems have not been and are not likely to be technically feasible; and, second, attempting to build them only fuels the international arms race.

If you don't believe the latter point, let me quote from a Russian Television story on June 8 of this year:

Russia's Strategic Missile Forces have reported a successful launch of a next-generation ICBM that can supposedly pierce any antiballistic missile system. The test came after the U.S. announced it would resume its ABM program in Europe.

That is a description of the arms race that should have ended years ago.

The article goes on to quote Russian Deputy Prime Minister Dmitry Rogozin who says that the new Russian ICBM was a "missile defense killer. Neither current nor future American missile defense systems will be able to prevent that missile from hitting the target dead on."

Yes, arms race.

Just as it has for over 30 years, our continued pursuit of a strategic ballistic missile defense system is perpetuating the arms race, in this case between the United States and Russia, and would perpetuate arms races between the United States and China or others. It is also an expense we cannot afford.

The Missile Defense Agency itself estimates that since fiscal year 1985, Congress has appropriated \$149.5 billion for strategic ballistic missile defense programs, and the system has still never been tested successfully against any of the kind of real-world threats offered by missiles equipped with decoys, jammers, and so on.

This bill proposes to continue throwing good money after bad, with one exception: the tactical Iron Dome missile defense system. Our Israeli allies, with funding approved by this Congress and that I have supported, and many here have supported, have developed what is arguably the best, and certainly most well-tested, tactical missile defense system in the world. It is not perfect, and the missile defense experts, both here and in Israel, continue to debate the exact kill rate, which Israeli officials claim is 84 percent. What is clear is that this system is more practical and more immediately useful for the defense of Israel than our strategic defense system is for us.

What my amendment would do is stop the United States from throwing more money at a failed, politically destabilizing strategic missile defense system and instead would allow continuing funding for further development of efforts for Iron Dome and tactical systems like that—the kind of systems that may help save lives in Israel and save lives of deployed American troops should they face opponents like North Korea or Iran.

Accordingly, I urge my colleagues to support this, and I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1900

Mr. McKEON. I rise to claim time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, at this time, I yield 3 minutes to my friend and colleague, the chairman of the Strategic Forces Subcommittee, the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. ROGERS).

Mr. ROGERS of Alabama. I thank the chairman.

I rise in vigorous opposition to Mr. HOLT's amendment. At a time when the technical and strategic case for missile defense has never been stronger, the gentleman's amendment would strike bipartisan provisions that will improve our missile defenses.

For example, the amendment would strike a provision the committee adopted that would improve the kill assessment capability of the Ground-Based Midcourse Defense system. Why

would the gentleman want a national missile defense system with a less robust kill assessment capability than is technically possible?

The amendment would strike a provision dealing with an Analysis of Alternatives for the future space sensor architecture for our missile defense system. Does the gentleman not want an informed judgment and study on a persistent overhead space sensor system? The gentleman may be laboring under misimpressions of missile defense.

I know there are so-called "experts" in the disarmament community who labor to create doubts about our missile defense system, but I ask, How many of these "experts" have been briefed on what the system does, on the incredibly technically demanding tests that the warfighters create? I would say none.

I urge the gentleman to withdraw his amendment, to come get some classified briefings; and let's see if we can't add him to the overwhelming bipartisan group of policymakers that supports a strong and robust national and regional missile defense system.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I ask the Members to vote "no."

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Chairman, may I ask the time remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from New Jersey has 45 seconds remaining.

Mr. HOLT. I thank the Chair.

I will just quickly say then, in closing, that the desire for a strategic missile defense system may be as strong as it ever has been; but the demonstrations, the accomplishments of the work towards such a system are no further along than they have been for decades.

We can repeal legislation—we could repeal, perhaps, ObamaCare if the other side had its way—but we cannot repeal the laws of physics, and long experience with this tells me this is a wasteful program. The Iron Dome tactical system and systems like that, on the other hand, are worth pursuing, and I propose keeping that funding intact.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California has 3½ minutes remaining.

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Chairman, I yield the balance of my time to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. LAMBORN).

Mr. LAMBORN. I thank the chairman of the full committee. I also appreciate what the chairman of the subcommittee, the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. ROGERS), has said. I totally agree with what he has already put forward.

Against this amendment, I would have to add that one of the other things it does which is harmful to our national defense is to stop the progress we are making on creating a third site on the eastern coast of the United States for missile defense.

The gentleman quoted some Russian television commentator. That has no

relevance to what Ground-Based Midcourse Defense is all about. Ground-Based Midcourse Defense is against a rogue missile fired from a North Korea or an Iran or from some country like that or is an accidental single launch from another country. It is not to fight against the Russians or the Chinese. That's not it at all. We have some interceptors already in place in Alaska and in California. The eastern site would add that same capability of defense against a rogue missile on the east coast.

I happen to believe that we should be protecting the east coast better than we are today. I think the people of New Jersey, let's say, deserve just as much protection as the people of California, and we have that capability.

You said it hasn't defeated jammers and decoys. The North Koreans don't have jammers and decoys. The Iranians aren't even that far along. They're not as far along as the North Koreans.

We don't have to have the perfect. In this case, the perfect would be the enemy of the good. We have had missiles in tests like a bullet shooting down a bullet. We have had many successful tests, and we could stop a North Korean or an Iranian missile. We have that on the west coast. We should have that on the east coast. This Congress in last year's NDAA put in language calling for an environmental assessment and study of the east coast site. That should go forward. Unfortunately, this amendment, should it pass, would stop this progress in its tracks.

So for that reason and for the reasons already stated by Representative ROGERS, I would urge a strong "no." This would be destructive of missile defense. This would be destructive of our national defense. Please vote "no."

Mr. THORNBERRY. I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. HOLT).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Jersey will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 25 OFFERED BY MS. MCCOLLUM

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 25 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of title V, add the following new section:

SEC. 5 \_\_\_\_ . PROHIBITION ON ARMY NATIONAL GUARD SPONSORSHIPS OF PROFESSIONAL WRESTLING ENTERTAINMENT OR MOTOR SPORTS.

Section 503(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) Recruiting and advertising campaigns authorized by paragraphs (1) and (2) or by any other provision of law, including section 561(b) of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106-398; 114 Stat. 1654A-129; 10 U.S.C. 503 note), for the purposes of branding or marketing of, or promoting enlistment in, the Army National Guard may not include payments for professional wrestling entertainment sponsorships or motor sports sponsorships. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to prohibit recruiters from making direct, personal contact with secondary school students and other prospective recruits.”.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. MCCOLLUM) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Minnesota.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. The Army National Guard is spending over \$53 million in taxpayer funds this year to sponsor World Wrestling Entertainment and motor sports racing.

That's right. At a time of enormous Federal budget deficits, endless borrowing from China, sequestration's harming military readiness, and deep cuts to services for vulnerable children, seniors and people with disabilities, the Army National Guard is spending over \$53 million to have its logo highlighted at World Wrestling Entertainment events and to sponsor NASCAR racing and IndyCar racing. After years of congressional debate, the Army National Guard still cannot provide any data—zero statistics—to demonstrate anyone has signed a recruiting contract as a result of this program.

This amendment can bring both liberals and Tea Party conservatives together. The fact that \$53 million in taxpayer funds is going to sponsor some of the most violent and sexist entertainment on television and NASCAR racing teams that result in zero recruits is a waste of money, and it should be stopped.

As a member of the Defense Appropriations Subcommittee, over and over these past 3 months, our subcommittee has heard from military leaders that sequestration is causing a crisis: military readiness is diminished; hundreds of thousands of critical civilian Pentagon employees are being furloughed; and vital services, like access to mental health care, are being cut. In fact, the National Guard testified that, because of sequestration, 115,000 traditional National Guard forces will not receive their annual medical or dental examinations.

The Guard says: “This reduction in examinations will bring total force medical readiness down by 39 percent.”

Yet the National Guard can afford to pay one NASCAR race car driver \$29 million and to pay another driver \$14 million for IndyCar racing?

Clearly, this is a case of misplaced priorities. Congress has to make tough choices and smart cuts. Terminating this wasteful, ineffective program is an easy choice unless you want to protect

government handouts to millionaire race car drivers and owners.

In the past, some of my conservative friends have made the claim that cutting this wasteful spending was micro-managing the Pentagon.

□ 1910

My job is not to protect race car track owners and millionaire race car drivers. Cutting government waste and protecting taxpayer dollars is not micromanaging. It is our job.

In recent years, the Army, Navy, and the Marine Corps have all terminated NASCAR sponsorships because these sponsorships failed to meet their recruiting goals. They're making other more effective investments in recruiting dollars.

The Army is sponsoring high school football, the All-American Bowl. That's fantastic. They're also sponsoring robotic competitions to engage with and help our young people develop the skills to best serve our Nation and to serve in the Armed Forces.

The very best marketing and branding the Army National Guard gets is not from a logo on a race car or a violent wrestling performance. It is from the lifesaving work that our National Guardsmen and -women perform during times of crisis in our communities during the floods, during the forest fires, and during national disasters.

I am so proud of the service and sacrifice of the Minnesota National Guardsmen and -women who have served our Nation in Kosova, Iraq, Afghanistan, and at home in Minnesota over the past decade. They are heroes.

The opponents of my amendment believe that a \$29 million taxpayer-funded logo on a race car results in National Guard recruits and reenlistments. Based on what? The National Guard has failed to prove any data, no program measures, that this program has resulted in any recruits—zero data, zero recruits.

This Republican Congress is cutting children off of school lunch programs and kicking them off of Head Start to save money. This Congress is willing to inflict sequestration on our military, and it undermines our readiness. This amendment gives Members an opportunity to cut real waste.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I claim time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. HUDSON).

Mr. HUDSON. Mr. Chairman, I rise amongst a broad bipartisan coalition to oppose this misguided amendment offered by my colleague from Minnesota.

Mr. Chairman, facts are a stubborn thing. The National Guard has reiterated time and time again the immense value of their recruiting and retention

programs in professional motor sports. The facts of this program show a successful return on investment for the taxpayers.

To demonstrate the success of this program, I would like to cite three crystal clear numbers which support strong opposition to this amendment:

First, 90 percent. In the June 4 letter to House Appropriations, the National Guard Association of the United States and their counterpart, the Enlisted Association of the National Guard, stated that a recent independent study found that 90 percent of the Army National Guard soldiers who enlisted or reenlisted were exposed to the Guard from recruiting or retention materials featuring NASCAR drivers and their cars. That's a real return on your investment, a return on the invest of the American people;

Second, 85 percent. Of those who enlisted or reenlisted during that time period, 85 percent agree that professional sports are beneficial to attracting and retaining good soldiers. That's, again, a good return on your investment;

And the last number is 400,000. Since embarking on a more robust use of professional sport sponsorships in fiscal year 2007, the Army National Guard has added more than 400,000 new soldiers. That, Mr. Chairman, is a return on your investment.

Mr. Chairman, these facts come from sound research and independent study which the National Guard has shared with us, and I will enter into the RECORD my remarks here today.

I submit these facts to my colleagues and encourage them to consider the tremendous return on investment we would be stealing from our Nation's military and hardworking taxpayers if this amendment were to pass. I urge my colleagues to vote “no.”

NATIONAL GUARD ASSOCIATION OF  
THE UNITED STATES, ENLISTED AS-  
SOCIATION OF THE NATIONAL  
GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES,

June 4, 2013.

Hon. BILL YOUNG,  
Chairman, House Appropriations Committee,  
Defense Subcommittee, Washington, DC.

Hon. PETE VISCLOSKEY,  
Ranking Member, House Appropriations Com-  
mittee, Defense Subcommittee, Washington,  
DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN AND RANKING MEMBER OF THE HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE ON DEFENSE: As you may be aware, there have been proposals in Congress to restrict the Department of Defense's ability to utilize sports sponsorships as part of recruitment and retention campaigns. We would urge you to oppose any effort to restrict DoD leadership's ability to utilize creative and innovative tactics to ensure that the National Guard is able to promote their career opportunities.

Recruiting for the all-volunteer force isn't what it used to be. Only one in every four young people today is even eligible to join. Today, you have to know how smart, fit young people think, where they live and play, and go to them. Innovative techniques such as sports sponsorships help the National Guard do just that.

The enhanced use of sponsorships was a direct response to specific recruiting challenges faced during the height of the wars in

Iraq and Afghanistan in 2006 and 2007, when traditional and more expensive recruiting efforts were failing to attract enough quality applicants. It was during this time period that recruiting goals were reached, partly, by lowering minimum entrance requirements and accepting enlistees who lacked high school diplomas, had low scores on the military's aptitude test, or received waivers for criminal and medical problems. However, since embarking on a more robust use of professional sports sponsorships in fiscal year 2007, the Army National Guard has added more than 400,000 new soldiers.

A recent study has found that 90 percent of Army National Guard soldiers who enlisted or re-enlisted since 2007 were exposed to the Guard through recruiting or retention materials featuring NASCAR cars and/or drivers. Of those who enlisted or re-enlisted during that time period, 85 percent agree that professional sports are beneficial to attracting and retaining good soldiers. The survey also found that racing fans are an especially receptive group for National Guard recruiting. NASCAR enthusiasts aged 18–34, the National Guard's target age demographic, are twice as likely to consider a military career than non-fans.

For the National Guard, marketing through sports is a direct appeal to our target audience and their influencers, providing the opportunity to reach individuals who are like-minded. Any limitations or bans on this may look good on the surface in a tight fiscal environment, but, in reality, it would provide no savings and hinder the National Guard's effort to reach the most qualified potential recruits.

Sponsorships provide the Guard a national platform to build relationships, promote our image and aid in recruiting efforts. The recruiting and retention dollars spent through sports sponsorships increase the National Guard's prestige and visibility, as well as help generate recruiting leads at events.

But these sport sponsorships go beyond a race or match. They extend into the community, creating partnerships to develop a national effort to address issues affecting military personnel and their spouses, including providing education assistance, combating unemployment, fostering technology sharing and innovation, and sharing the story of the National Guard.

Pro sports sponsorships are not just a matter of money. They are an effective and important marketing platform for awareness and development to target future potential recruits, while also working to improve the lives of our Guardsmen and women.

I ask that you please support the National Guard's continued efforts to partner with professional sports programs and create lasting community partnerships that positively impact our National Guard.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter.

GUS HARGETT,

Major General, USA,  
(Ret.), President,  
NGAUS.

JOHN HELBERT,  
CSM, ARNG, Presi-  
dent, EANGUS.

NEW RESEARCH: SPORTS SPONSORSHIPS  
VALUABLE TO MILITARY RECRUITMENT

New research paints a clear picture of the value sponsorships and marketing around professional sports provide the U.S. military and its efforts to recruit and retain soldiers. Conducted by respected independent firm Alan Newman Research, the empirical study was deployed through a joint initiative by the Enlisted Association of the National Guard of the United States (EANGUS) and the National Guard Association of the United States (NGAUS). The effort surveyed thousands of Americans, including general population, sports fans and, for the first time, more than 1,300 currently serving and retired National Guard soldiers and airmen.

NASCAR DRIVES RECRUITING

The Army National Guard has added more than 400,000 new soldiers since fiscal year 2007 when the Department of Defense embarked on a more robust use of professional sports sponsorships for recruiting purposes. During this time, the National Guard has leveraged NASCAR as a key platform to promote its career opportunities, reporting a three-to-one return on the current sponsorship program while routinely meeting and exceeding recruiting targets. Most recently, the Army National Guard exceeded fiscal-year-to-date 2013 accession goal by more than 1,000 recruits (or 104 percent). Ninety percent of Army National Guard soldiers who enlisted or re-enlisted from 2007–2013 said they have been exposed to the Guard through recruiting or retention materials that incorporated NASCAR. Of those who enlisted or re-enlisted since 2007, 85 percent agree that professional sports are beneficial to the National Guard's overall efforts to attract and retain soldiers. More than six of ten of all National Guard respondents have seen NASCAR leveraged at a recruiting center or event.

FANS ADVOCATE FOR MILITARY CAREERS

Research confirms the NASCAR audience is tailor-made for programs promoting career opportunities in the U.S. military. Young fans (age 18–34) of NASCAR are twice as likely as non-fans in the same age group to consider the military as a career option. In addition, NASCAR fans are more passionate advocates for military careers. They are 20 percent more likely than non-fans to be "very likely" to support a friend or family members choice to pursue military service.

THE POWER OF PATRIOTISM

National Guard members consider NASCAR, which hosts swearing-in ceremonies for hundreds of new recruits each

year, the most patriotic of all major professional sports. In a powerful statement for recruiting and retention programs that utilize NASCAR, a nearly unanimous 92 percent of National Guard respondents say they are more likely to engage with an organization that they perceive as pathetic over competitors.

AMERICANS SUPPORT MILITARY RECRUITMENT

Americans clearly support the ability of the U.S. military to recruit where it sees fit. An overwhelming 83 percent believe military branches should be able to promote career opportunities where the branches feel a receptive audience will be found. Just 12 percent of Americans do not feel that fans of professional sports represent a reasonable target audience for recruiting programs.

OPPORTUNITIES ACROSS PRO SPORTS

Nearly all members of the National Guard are avid fans of at least one major professional sport, notably the National Football League, Major League Baseball and NASCAR. Guard soldiers are similarly interested in pro sports in their home areas—such as minor league baseball and hockey, arena football and local short-track racing—indicating opportunities for recruiting and retention programs at the grassroots level in hundreds of communities around the country. A majority of Americans—64 percent—are more likely to engage with organizations that, like the National Guard, are affiliated with a favorite sport, team or athlete.

CONCLUSION: SPONSORSHIPS WORK FOR THE  
MILITARY

For the same reasons they are a preferred venue for corporate advertising, NASCAR and other professional sports are a prime place for recruitment advertising due to wide and devoted fan bases and demographics ideal for messaging regarding military careers. For the National Guard, participation in NASCAR allows the opportunity to leverage the largest American spectator sport with a massive and loyal fan base of 75 million. Sports marketing is a widely accepted and important piece of the marketing mix for the most successful brands and organizations in the world, and it should remain so for the U.S. military.

Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The Army decided to end its 10-year NASCAR sponsorship, calling it "not a good investment" because 5 percent of NASCAR viewers weren't even the age for recruitment.

Let's end this wasteful program. Let's put the money to work to recruit and keep a strong military. I ask for the Members to support my amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Name	Contract Number	Prime	2013 Cost	End Date
<b>Army National Guard</b>				
NASCAR	W9133L08D0100	LM&O under marketing IDIQ	\$29,962,425	30-Nov-13
Indy Race League	W9133L08D0100	LM&O under marketing IDIQ	\$14,496,424	28-Sep-13
American Motorcycle Association	W9133L09D0002	MPSC	\$3,960,100	17-Oct-13
World Wrestling Entertainment	W9133L11C0057	WWE, INC.	\$5,150,000	25-Sep-13
Total			\$53,568,949	
<b>Air National Guard</b>				
MOTOCROSS	W9133L-08-D-0100-0092	Lucas Oil	\$380,000	30-Sep-13

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlelady from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX).

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding and for his leadership on this issue.

NASCAR is a vital part of the National Guard's outreach to young Americans. NASCAR fans between the

age of 18 and 34 are twice as likely as their peers to consider the military. Their fellow fans are more likely to support their friends and family members choosing the military as a career option.



Advertising through NASCAR gives the military a cost-effective way to reach 75 million patriotic fans. That's why it is has reported a 3-to-1 return on the program's investment.

NASCAR support of the military goes beyond mere sponsorship opportunities. NASCAR holds swearing in ceremonies for hundreds of new recruits each year, giving the fans a real-life example of the patriotism they support. NASCAR is a real part of hundreds of American communities.

The National Guard has chosen to use its limited recruiting budget through the means it feels are most effective. We should not force it to turn its back on a proven means of leveraging that budget and introducing millions of potential heroes to their opportunity to serve.

I urge my fellow Americans to oppose this amendment and thank the chairman for the opportunity to speak.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from New York (Mr. COLLINS).

Mr. COLLINS of New York. Mr. Chairman, this amendment wrongly targets one of our best recruiting practices of the National Guard.

Motor sports sponsorship programs have helped the Guard add 400,000 new citizen soldiers since it was begun in 2007, many of whom were sworn in right at the track. Why would we want to cut something that's working?

Every season, the National Guard emblem is seen by millions on the hood of Dale Earnhardt, Jr.'s car, one of the most popular drivers during the last 10 years.

Since the National Guard is prohibited from advertising on broadcast television, motor sports sponsorships are one of the few ways the Guard can market to a national audience while still interacting with local communities.

This amendment takes a strong program proven valuable to our military readiness and arbitrarily cuts it for the sake of political posturing. This amendment does not save any money. It does not address any government excess or impropriety. It unnecessarily attacks our National Guard, and it shackles their best opportunity to recruit and retain the very best for national security.

As in the previous two defense authorization acts, I urge Members to hold strong and continue to oppose this amendment.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished subcommittee chairman of the Strategic Forces Subcommittee, Mr. ROGERS of Alabama.

Mr. ROGERS of Alabama. I thank the chairman.

I rise in strong opposition to the McCollum amendment. The McCollum amendment would prohibit the Army National Guard from sponsoring and advertising in professional motor sports. This amendment would have a negative impact on the recruiting of

soldiers to enlist or reenlist in the National Guard.

Recent studies have shown that around 90 percent of the Army National Guard soldiers who enlisted or reenlisted since 2007 were exposed to this form of advertising. Additionally, these creative advertising techniques reach a sport with over 75 million loyal viewers, many of whom are between the age of 18 and 34 years old, the target audience to recruit quality soldiers for the Army National Guard.

I fully recognize the need for Congress to cut unnecessary spending, and I have voted many times to rein in government spending; however, I see no need to prohibit this successful form of advertising which works to recruit quality men and women to help protect and defend our Nation.

I urge a "no" vote on McCollum amendment No. 25.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. MCCOLLUM).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Minnesota will be postponed.

The Chair understands that amendment No. 28 will not be offered.

AMENDMENT NO. 32 OFFERED BY MR. NOLAN

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 32 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. NOLAN. Mr. Chairman, I offer the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of subtitle A of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10. ACROSS-THE-BOARD FUNDING REDUCTION.**

Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, the total amount authorized to be appropriated in this Act is hereby reduced by 9.4 percent.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. NOLAN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Minnesota.

□ 1920

Mr. NOLAN. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I rise today on a matter of the highest priority critical to the future of our great Nation and our people. It is time to put an end to the wars of choice and nation-building abroad, and to start rebuilding America. Daily we are reminded of our Nation's fiscal crises, massive deficits,

and unemployment, and shortage of revenue for the things that we know we need to do. The simple truth is, the trillions of dollars spent on the wars of choice and nation-building abroad are the primary cause of our current financial crises, not Social Security and Medicare as some would have us believe.

The sad fact is our own bridges are falling down. Our infrastructure is crumbling, and our education system is struggling, while millions more middle class men and women are unemployed or underemployed.

Mr. Chairman, I strongly support a strong national defense, but I also agree completely with my Republican colleague, Congressman MO BROOKS of Alabama, who recently said:

I don't believe America can financially afford to be the police cop on every street corner in the world. We no longer have the financial resources to do that.

In fact, the \$652 billion we spent on the military last year amounts to 57 percent of our discretionary budget. Mind you, education is at 6 percent; agriculture at 1 percent; transportation at 2 percent.

Moreover, that \$652 billion spent last year accounts for more than the next 10-largest military budgets in the world combined—China, Russia, U.K., Japan, France, Saudi, India, Germany, Italy, Brazil—we spent more than all of them combined. This \$60 billion cut that is proposed in this amendment, or a 9.4 percent cut that I propose, is not an unreasonable amount. In fact, it is exactly the same amount the Commission on Wartime Contracting estimates to have been wasted through fraud and abuse in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Understand that my amendment is not an across-the-board cut from every line item as in sequestration, which makes no sense at all. My proposed cut is a cut from the bottom line that would give the Appropriations Committee the authority to decide where the cuts can most prudently be made. And to me, those categories are crystal clear. We want to cut our excessive network of military bases in every nook and cranny of the world. We need to cut the failed infrastructure and investments in nation-building abroad. We need to cut assistance to the armed combatants in every sectional and civil war in the world. We need to cut discretionary funds to initiate new programs not authorized by the Congress. We need to cut funds for the extravagant compensation of CEOs for giant defense contractors. We need to cut military weapons systems that were not requested by our military. We need to cut funds maintaining unnecessary facilities in Guantanamo, and we need to cut funding maintaining out-of-date weapons systems and naval vessels.

Now, let me be clear where we must not cut. We must not cut veterans benefits. We must not cut the National Guard. It's our most efficient bang for the dollar that we get in our national defense. We must not cut compensation

to soldiers and their families. We must not cut assistance to Israel and our other strategic allies. We should not cut funds to the teams and other elite units that can respond to crises abroad. And we cannot cut efforts to fund reform of military justice and reduce sexual assaults in the military.

Moreover, it is my recommendation that the savings achieved here can be used for deficit reduction and used for investment in our own infrastructure—our roads, our bridges, our ports, our education, and the unmet human development needs in this country. We can use this money to improve the quality of American lives, to stimulate our economy, to strengthen our power as a Nation, and to help restore America's confidence in the future.

Finally, Mr. Chairman, my amendment is entirely consistent with the spirit of the bipartisan Budget Control Act of 2011, which recommended \$1 trillion in defense cuts over the next decade, and consistent as well with the significant cuts recommended across the spectrum by liberal policy groups such as the Center for American Progress, as well as conservative and libertarian groups.

Mr. Chairman, we all support a strong national defense. We support our troops, and we are committed to our veterans. This amendment is not politics, it is commonsense economics.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. THORNBERRY. I yield myself 2 minutes.

Mr. Chairman, in some ways I admire the gentleman from Minnesota because he states clearly what he believes. In my opinion, his argument, however, is dangerous, and it will mean a very much more dangerous world for the United States and many of the people around the world who depend upon us.

If we're going to talk numbers, we ought to just remind everybody that in 1960 the defense budget was about half of the total budget of the United States Government. Today, it's 17 percent of the budget for the United States budget. Now it's true it's most of the discretionary spending, but that completely leaves out the entitlements or the mandatory spending programs which are a vast majority of the government.

In more recent history, let's think about that defense already took a reduction of \$487 billion over a 10-year period. In the current fiscal year, it was cut another \$55 billion. And now this amendment would take another \$60 billion on top of that.

Mr. Chairman, I think defense has been cut enough. If anyone has been listening to some of the debates we've been having today, you'd hear about readiness being down, about training not occurring, and about more expensive procurements because we can't buy at the most efficient rate. And this amendment would take another \$60 billion on top of the other things, and

would include the personnel accounts which were exempt under sequestration.

But the ironic thing, Mr. Chairman, is that after you take this \$60 billion out of defense, it would get hit again once sequestration kicks in. So in effect this doubles the cuts that come on defense from sequestration. It is mistaken. It is tragically mistaken, and I think it should be rejected.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Alabama (Mr. ROGERS).

Mr. ROGERS of Alabama. Mr. Chairman, I never ceased to be amazed in this Chamber. The only time I see my colleagues on the other side of the aisle concerned about fiscal restraint and cutting spending is when it comes to national defense. You know, one of the most knuckle-headed things this Congress has done is the sequestration framework that I, unfortunately, was a part of setting into place. But as you just heard my colleague from Texas state, we had already cut \$480 billion out of defense before sequestration comes into play. Now we have sequestration coming into play.

The thought that we could be in a war, defend against potential areas of war that are emerging around the world with further cuts is mindless and irresponsible. We owe it to the men and women in this country who serve in uniform and their families to make sure they have everything they need to be safe and successful when we send them into a theater of war.

This amendment is dangerous, and I urge my colleagues to reject it.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I appreciate my colleague from Alabama and I would just conclude with two points. One, is that it is in the Constitution where it clearly provides that a primary, and I believe the primary responsibility of the Federal Government, is to defend the country. You can't do that on the cheap. Obviously, you have to be efficient. You shouldn't waste money, but the first job of the Federal Government is to defend the country.

□ 1930

The second point I'd want to make is this: there are some people who seem to want to stick their head in the sand and believe that all the threats have gone away.

As a matter of fact, the President seemed to say a few weeks ago in his speech that the war on terrorism was over. And then today, the President acknowledges that there is evidence that is clear, at least in his mind, that chemical weapons have been used in Syria.

So, whether you think about al Qaeda and its affiliates spreading out all over the world or whether you think about the very real dangers of chemical weapons in Syria, not just being used against Syrians, but potentially getting in the hands of terrorists

and being used against us, or whether you think about the new domain of warfare, which is cybersecurity, or warfare in space, whether you think about the potential military rise of China and what that means for the United States and its interests, as you just wrap your mind around the headlines and the news of the world, my point is, the threats have not gone away. This is a dangerous world.

Only the United States is a super power to maintain stability and to protect the lives and freedoms of Americans. That takes some resources.

We've already cut defense. We've already cut defense enough, and certainly, we should not cut defense again.

This amendment, as I say, Mr. Chairman, is dangerous. It should be rejected.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. NOLAN).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. NOLAN. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Minnesota will be postponed.

AMENDMENTS EN BLOC NO. 4 OFFERED BY MR. THORNBERRY

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, as a designee of the chairman, and pursuant to H. Res. 260, I offer amendments en bloc.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendments en bloc.

Amendments en bloc No. 4 consisting of amendment Nos. 64, 67, 69, 70, 72, 74, 77, 78, 79, 82, 83, 102, 107, and 126, printed in House Report No. 113-108, offered by Mr. THORNBERRY of Texas:

AMENDMENT NO. 64 OFFERED BY MR. ANDREWS OF NEW JERSEY

At the end of subtitle F of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5. SECRETARY OF DEFENSE REPORT ON FEASIBILITY OF REQUIRING AUTOMATIC OPERATION OF CURRENT PROHIBITION ON ACCRUAL OF INTEREST ON DIRECT STUDENT LOANS OF CERTAIN MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, after consultation with relevant Federal agencies, shall submit to Congress a report addressing the following:

(1) Whether application of the benefits provided under section 455(o) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087e(o)) could occur automatically for members of the Armed Forces eligible for the benefits.

(2) How the Department of Defense would implement the automatic operation of the current prohibition on the accrual of interest on direct student loans of certain members, including the Federal agencies with which the Department of Defense would coordinate.

(3) If the Secretary determines that automatic operation is not feasible, an explanation of the reasons for that determination.

AMENDMENT NO. 67 OFFERED BY MRS. BUSTOS OF ILLINOIS

At the end of subtitle H of title V (page 255, after line 9), insert the following new section:

**SEC. 589. REPORT ON ARMY REVIEW, FINDINGS, AND ACTIONS PERTAINING TO MEDAL OF HONOR NOMINATION OF CAPTAIN WILLIAM L. ALBRACHT.**

Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report describing the Army's review, findings, and actions pertaining to the Medal of Honor nomination of Captain William L. Albracht. The report shall account for all evidence submitted with regard to the case.

AMENDMENT NO. 69 OFFERED BY MS. ESTY OF CONNECTICUT

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5. REPLACEMENT OF MILITARY DECORATIONS.**

(a) PROMPT REPLACEMENT REQUIRED; ANNUAL REPORT.—Section 1135 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (d); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsections:

“(b) PROMPT REPLACEMENT REQUIRED.—When a request for the replacement of a military decoration is received under this section or section 3747, 3751, 6253, 8747, or 8751 of this title, the Secretary concerned shall ensure that—

“(1) all actions to be taken with respect to the request, including verification of the service record of the recipient of the military decoration, are completed within one year; and

“(2) the replacement military decoration is mailed to the person requesting the replacement military decoration within 60 days after verification of the service record.

“(c) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees an annual report regarding compliance by the military departments with the performance standards imposed by subsection (b). Each report shall include—

“(1) for the one-year period covered by the report—

“(A) the average number of days it took to verify the service record and entitlement of members and former members of the armed forces for replacement military decorations;

“(B) the average number of days between receipt of a request and the date on which the replacement military decoration was mailed; and

“(C) the average number of days between verification of a service record and the date on which the replacement military decoration was mailed; and

“(2) an estimate of the funds necessary for the next fiscal year to meet or exceed such performance standards.”

(b) PLAN REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees (as defined in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code) a plan to implement the amendments made by subsection (a), including an estimate of the funds necessary for fiscal year 2015 to meet or exceed the performance standards imposed by such amendments.

AMENDMENT NO. 70 OFFERED BY MR. KIND OF WISCONSIN

At the end of subtitle H of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 589. AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD OF THE MEDAL OF HONOR TO FIRST LIEUTENANT ALONZO H. CUSHING FOR ACTS OF VALOR DURING THE CIVIL WAR.**

(a) AUTHORIZATION.—Subject to subsection (c), notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the President is authorized and requested to award the Medal of Honor under section 3741 of such title to then First Lieutenant Alonzo H. Cushing for conspicuous acts of gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of life and beyond the call of duty in the Civil War, as described in subsection (b).

(b) ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.—The acts of valor referred to in subsection (a) are the actions of then First Lieutenant Alonzo H. Cushing while in command of Battery A, 4th United States Artillery, Army of the Potomac, at Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, on July 3, 1863, during the American Civil War.

(c) REPORT SUBMISSION.—Subsection (a) shall take effect upon receipt by the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives of the report, as required in House Report 112-705, providing information on the process and materials used by review boards for the consideration of Medal of Honor recommendations for acts of heroism that occurred during the Civil War.

AMENDMENT NO. 72 OFFERED BY MRS. KIRKPATRICK OF ARIZONA

Page 273, after line 10, insert the following:

**SEC. 595. PROVISION OF SERVICE RECORDS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall make the covered records of each member of the Armed Forces available to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in an electronic format.

(b) TIMELINE.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the covered records of members are made available to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs as follows:

(1) With respect to a member of the Armed Forces who was discharged or released from the Armed Forces during the period beginning on September 11, 2001, and ending on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, not later than 120 days after the date of such discharge or release.

(2) With respect to a member of the Armed Forces who is discharged or released from the Armed Forces on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, not later than 90 days after the date of such discharge or release.

(c) CERTIFICATION.—For each member of the Armed Forces whose covered records are made available under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall transmit to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs a letter certifying that—

(1) the Secretary of Defense thoroughly reviewed the records of the member;

(2) the information provided in the covered records of such member is complete as of the date of the letter;

(3) no other information that should be included in such covered records exist as of such date; and

(4) if other information is later discovered—

(A) such other information will be added to such covered records; and

(B) the Secretary of Defense will notify the Secretary of Veterans Affairs of such addition.

(d) SHARING OF PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION.—For purposes of the regulations promulgated under section 264(c) of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (42 U.S.C. 1320d-2 note),

making medical records available to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs under subsection (a) shall be treated as a permitted disclosure.

(e) CURRENTLY AVAILABLE RECORDS.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall ensure that the covered records of members of the Armed Forces that are available to the Secretary as of the date of the enactment of this Act are made electronically accessible and available in real-time to the Veterans Benefits Administration.

(f) COVERED RECORDS DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered records” means, with respect to a member of the Armed Forces—

(1) service treatment records;

(2) accompanying personal records;

(3) relevant unit records; and

(4) medical records created by reason of treatment or services received pursuant to chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code.

AMENDMENT NO. 74 OFFERED BY MR. BISHOP OF NEW YORK

At the end of title V, add the following new section:

**SEC. 5. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE RECOVERY OF THE REMAINS OF CERTAIN MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES KILLED IN THURSTON ISLAND, ANTARCTICA.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Commencing August 26, 1946, though late February 1947 the United States Navy Antarctic Developments Program Task Force 68, codenamed “Operation Highjump” initiated and undertook the largest ever-to-date exploration of the Antarctic continent.

(2) The primary mission of the Task Force 68 organized by Rear Admiral Richard E. Byrd Jr. USN, (Ret) and led by Rear Admiral Richard H. Cruzen, USN, was to do the following:

(A) Establish the Antarctic research base Little America IV.

(B) In the defense of the United States of America from possible hostile aggression from abroad - to train personnel test equipment, develop techniques for establishing, maintaining and utilizing air bases on ice, with applicability comparable to interior Greenland, where conditions are similar to those of the Antarctic.

(C) Map and photograph a full two-thirds of the Antarctic Continent during the classified, hazardous duty/volunteer-only operation involving 4700 sailors, 23 aircraft and 13 ships including the first submarine the U.S.S. Sennet, and the aircraft carrier the U.S.S. Philippine Sea, brought to the edge of the ice pack to launch (6) Navy ski-equipped, rocket-assisted R4Ds.

(D) Consolidate and extend United States sovereignty over the largest practicable area of the Antarctic continent.

(E) Determine the feasibility of establishing, maintaining and utilizing bases in the Antarctic and investigating possible base sites.

(3) While on a hazardous duty/all volunteer mission vital to the interests of National Security and while over the eastern Antarctica coastline known as the Phantom Coast, the PBM-5 Martin Mariner “Flying Boat” “George 1” entered a whiteout over Thurston Island. As the pilot attempted to climb, the aircraft grazed the glacier’s ridgeline and exploded within 5 seconds instantly killing Ensign Maxwell Lopez, Navigator and Wendell “Bud” Hendersin, Aviation Machinists Mate 1st Class while Frederick Williams, Aviation Radioman 1st Class died several hours later. Six other crewmen survived including the Captain of the “George 1’s” seaplane tender U.S.S. Pine Island.

(4) The bodies of the dead were protected from the desecration of Antarctic scavenging birds (Skuas) by the surviving crew wrapping the bodies and temporarily burying the men under the starboard wing engine nacelle.

(5) Rescue requirements of the “George-1” survivors forced the abandonment of their crewmates’ bodies.

(6) Conditions prior to the departure of Task Force 68 precluded a return to the area to the recover the bodies.

(7) For nearly 60 years Navy promised the families that they would recover the men: “If the safety, logistical, and operational prerequisites allow a mission in the future, every effort will be made to bring our sailors home.”

(8) The Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command twice offered to recover the bodies of this crew for Navy.

(9) A 2004 NASA ground penetrating radar overflight commissioned by Navy relocated the crash site three miles from its crash position.

(10) The Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command offered to underwrite the cost of an aerial ground penetrating radar (GPR) survey of the crash site area by NASA.

(11) The Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command studied the recovery with the recognized recovery authorities and national scientists and determined that the recovery is only “medium risk”.

(12) National Science Foundation and scientists from the University of Texas, Austin, regularly visit the island.

(13) The crash site is classified as a “perishable site”, meaning a glacier that will calve into the Bellingshausen Sea.

(14) The National Science Foundation maintains a presence in area - of the Pine Island Glacier.

(15) The National Science Foundation Director of Polar Operations will assist and provide assets for the recovery upon the request of Congress.

(16) The United States Coast Guard is presently pursuing the recovery of 3 WWII air crewmen from similar circumstances in Greenland.

(17) On Memorial Day, May 25, 2009, President Barack Obama declared: “. . . the support of our veterans is a sacred trust. . . we need to serve them as they have served us. . . that means bringing home all our POWs and MIAs. . .”.

(18) The policies and laws of the United States of America require that our armed service personnel be repatriated.

(19) The fullest possible accounting of United States fallen military personnel means repatriating living American POWs and MIAs, accounting for, identifying, and recovering the remains of military personnel who were killed in the line of duty, or providing convincing evidence as to why such a repatriation, accounting, identification, or recovery is not possible.

(20) It is the responsibility of the Federal Government to return to the United States for proper burial and respect all members of the Armed Forces killed in the line of duty who lie in lost graves.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—In light of the findings under subsection (a), Congress—

(1) reaffirms its support for the recovery and return to the United States, the remains and bodies of all members of the Armed Forces killed in the line of duty, and for the efforts by the Joint POW-MIA Accounting Command to recover the remains of members of the Armed Forces from all wars, conflicts and missions;

(2) recognizes the courage and sacrifice of all members of the Armed Forces who participated in Operation Highjump and all missions vital to the national security of the United States of America;

(3) acknowledges the dedicated research and efforts by the US Geological Survey, the National Science Foundation, the Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command, the Fallen American Veterans Foundation and all persons and organizations to identify, locate, and advocate for, from their temporary Antarctic grave, the recovery of the well-preserved frozen bodies of Ensign Maxwell Lopez, Naval Aviator, Frederick Williams, Aviation Machinist’s Mate 1ST Class, Wendell Hendersin, Aviation Radioman 1ST Class of the “George 1” explosion and crash; and

(4) encourages the Department of Defense to review the facts, research and to pursue new efforts to undertake all feasible efforts to recover, identify, and return the well-preserved frozen bodies of the “George 1” crew from Antarctica’s Thurston Island.

AMENDMENT NO. 77 OFFERED BY MR. THOMPSON OF PENNSYLVANIA

Page 299, after the matter following line 23, insert the following:

**SEC. 703. EXTENSION OF TRANSITIONAL ASSISTANCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.**

(a) **TELEMEDICINE.**—In carrying out the Transitional Assistance Management Program, the Secretary of Defense shall extend the coverage of such program to individuals by an additional 180 days for treatment provided through telemedicine.

(b) **MENTAL HEALTH CARE AND BEHAVIORAL SERVICES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall extend the coverage of the Transitional Assistance Management Program for covered treatment to covered individuals for a period determined necessary by a health care professional treating the covered individual.

(2) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this subsection:

(A) The term “covered individual” means an individual who—

(i) during the initial 180-day period of being enrolled in the Transitional Assistance Management Program, received any mental health care treatment or covered treatment; or

(ii) during the one-year period preceding separation or discharge from the Armed Forces, received any mental health care treatment.

(B) The term “covered treatment” means behavioral services provided through telemedicine.

(3) **SUNSET.**—The authority of the Secretary to carry out paragraph (1) shall terminate on December 31, 2018, if the Secretary determines that by that date the suicide rates for both members of the Armed Forces serving on active duty and for members of a reserve component are 50 percent less than such rates as of December 31, 2012.

(c) **TELEMEDICINE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “telemedicine” means the use by a health care provider of telecommunications to assist in the diagnosis or treatment of a patient’s medical condition, including for behavioral services.

AMENDMENT NO. 78 OFFERED BY MR. GUTHRIE OF KENTUCKY

Page 299, after the matter following line 23, insert the following:

**SEC. 703. COMPREHENSIVE POLICY ON IMPROVEMENTS TO CARE AND TRANSITION OF SERVICE MEMBERS WITH UROTRAUMA.**

(a) **COMPREHENSIVE POLICY REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than January 1, 2014, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly develop and implement a comprehensive policy on improvements to the care, management, and transition of recovering service members with urotrauma.

(2) **SCOPE OF POLICY.**—The policy shall cover each of the following:

(A) The care and management of the specific needs of service members who are

urotrauma patients, including eligibility for the Recovery Care Coordinator Program pursuant to the Wounded Warrior Act (10 U.S.C. 1071 note).

(B) The return of service members who have recovered to active duty when appropriate.

(C) The transition of recovering service members from receipt of care and services through the Department of Defense to receipt of care and services through the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(3) **CONSULTATION.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall develop the policy in consultation with the heads of other appropriate departments and agencies of the Federal Government, with representatives of military service organizations representing the interests of service members who are urotrauma patients and with appropriate nongovernmental organizations having an expertise in matters relating to the policy.

(b) **REPORT.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall jointly submit to Congress a report that includes a review identifying and options for responding to gaps in the care of service members who are urotrauma patients.

AMENDMENT NO. 79 OFFERED BY MR. GALLEGO OF TEXAS

Page 308, line 7, strike “and” after the semicolon.

Page 308, line 11, strike the period and insert “; and”.

Page 308, after line 11, insert the following:

(3) determine the effectiveness of the efforts of the Department of Defense in reducing suicide rates of members of the Armed Forces.

AMENDMENT NO. 82 OFFERED BY MS. KUSTER OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

At the end of subtitle C of title VII, insert the following:

**SEC. 726. REPORT ON ROLE OF DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.**

Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate a report on the centers of excellence established under sections 1621, 1622, and 1623 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note). Such report shall include each of the following:

(1) The amount of resources that have been obligated by Department of Veterans Affairs in support of each of the centers since the dates on which they were established, including the amount of personnel, time, money, and function provided in support of the centers.

(2) An estimate of the amount of resources the Secretary expects the Department to dedicate to each of the centers during each of fiscal years 2014 through 2018.

(3) A description of the role of the Department within each of the centers.

AMENDMENT NO. 83 OFFERED BY MR. THOMPSON OF PENNSYLVANIA

Page 308, after line 21, insert the following:

**SEC. 726. PRELIMINARY MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENTS.**

Before any individual enlists in the Armed Forces or is commissioned as an officer in the Armed Forces, the Secretary of Defense shall provide the individual with a mental health assessment. The Secretary shall use such results as a baseline for any subsequent mental health examinations, including such examinations provided under sections 1074f

and 1074m of title 10, United States Code, and section 1074n of such title, as added by section 702.

AMENDMENT NO. 102 OFFERED BY MR. DESANTIS OF FLORIDA

At the end of subtitle D of title IX, add the following new section:

**SEC. —. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR COLLABORATIVE CYBERSECURITY ACTIVITIES WITH CHINA.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act may be used for collaborative cybersecurity activities with the People's Republic of China or any entity owned or controlled by China, including cybersecurity war games, cybersecurity working groups, the exchange of classified cybersecurity technologies or methods, and the exchange of procedures for investigating cyber intrusions.

AMENDMENT NO. 107 OFFERED BY MR. BROUN OF GEORGIA

At the end of subtitle H of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 1080. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PALOMARES NUCLEAR WEAPONS ACCIDENT REVISED DOSE EVALUATION REPORT.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the implementation of the recommendations of the Palomares Nuclear Weapons Accident Revised Dose Evaluation Report released in April by the Air Force in 2001.

AMENDMENT NO. 126 OFFERED BY MR. CONAWAY OF TEXAS

At the end of subtitle D of title XII of division A, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12. INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAMS AT TRAINING LOCATIONS IN SOUTHWEST ASIA.**

Section 544(c)(1) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2347c(1)) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by inserting after "programs" the following: "and integrated air and missile defense programs"; and

(2) in the second sentence, by striking "post-undergraduate flying and tactical leadership" and inserting "such".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. THORNBERRY) and the gentleman from Washington (Mr. LARSEN) each will control 10 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I urge the committee to adopt the amendments en bloc, all of which have been examined by both the majority and the minority.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the en bloc amendment and encourage our colleagues to support it.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. POSEY).

Mr. POSEY. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I am pleased that my bipartisan bill, the Deployed Troops Support Act, is part of an en bloc amendment later this evening.

My amendment simply allows the Department of Defense to transport, on a

space-available basis, goods supplied by nonprofit organizations to members of the armed services who are deployed overseas.

We ensure that the Secretary has the authority to determine that there is a legitimate need for the goods being shipped, and that the supplies are suitable for distribution, and that adequate arrangements have been made for the distribution when the shipment arrives.

This legislative idea was brought to me by veterans in my congressional district, specifically, AVET Project. If enacted into law, it would give our troops the same consideration on a space available as currently granted to foreigners under the Denton Program.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. ESTY).

Ms. ESTY. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of this en bloc amendment, which includes my amendment to add the Proper Replacement of Medals and Performance Tracking, the PROMPT Act, to the underlying legislation.

I want to first thank Chairman MCKEON and Ranking Member SMITH for their leadership and cooperation. And I'd also like to thank my colleague, Dr. JOE HECK, for making the PROMPT Act a bipartisan effort aimed at improving our service to veterans, servicemembers, and their families.

I drafted this legislation after working with several veterans in my district to replace medals and decorations that they've been waiting months, and sometimes years, to receive.

One constituent, a Korean War veteran, has grandchildren that want to see his medals and document his service as part of the family history. He should not have to wait indefinitely for the medals he earned in service to this country.

Nor should Paul Sypek, the Vietnam veteran in my district seeking to replace his Army Commendation Medal. He first had to correct a clerical error that omitted the decoration from his separation papers. More than 2 years later, he's still waiting for the replacement medal he requested.

The PROMPT Act creates performance standards to ensure that requests are fulfilled in a timely and organized fashion. We can and we must do better for those who served our country with distinction. Adding the PROMPT Act to H.R. 1960 ensures that we will. I urge support for the en bloc amendment.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. COLLINS).

Mr. COLLINS of New York. I rise tonight to speak on behalf of an amendment to be offered later. This amendment provides a sense of Congress to the Secretary of Defense and urges my colleagues to support this amendment, which will help maintain a strong National Guard and Reserve.

We've seen, time and again, whether it's Superstorm Sandy or other na-

tional catastrophes, the National Guard and the Reserve that have come to the Nation and helped support us in a time of need. September 11 it was the members of the Air National Guard that flew jets over New York City and this Nation's Capitol.

Many members of both the Guard and Reserve have fought and died for this country in Iraq and Afghanistan. Time and again we have called on them to support us, and this proposed amendment just urges the Secretary of Defense to make sure that we send the message that he should make every effort to ensure our military Reserve and National Guard forces are fully manned and fully funded to help the United States fulfill its longstanding commitment to the unyielding defense of this country.

Mr. Chairman, the brave men and women who fill the ranks of both the National Guard and Reserve deserve nothing else.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GALLEGO).

Mr. GALLEGO. Mr. Chairman, I too would like to thank the chairman and the ranking member as I rise today in support of the en bloc amendments. These amendments include two amendments which I have authored to support the men and women who serve in our armed services, and to support their families.

The first amendment ensures that the Department of Defense can continue to fast-track and to expedite the hiring of critical health care workers who treat our wounded warriors and provide care to military families. Members of our armed services make incredible sacrifices, and taking care of them, and taking care of their loved ones, is one of the most sacred promises that our country can make.

This amendment helps folks who receive medical attention at places like Brooke Army Medical Center and Fort Bliss William Beaumont Army Medical Center.

The amendment would designate critical health care workers as part of a special "shortage category" and thus make them eligible for salaries that are competitive with the higher salaries that are offered by the VA for similar positions.

We need to ensure the highest standard of treatment for the men and the women who have given so much to our country.

There is no increase in costs associated. The Department of Defense has already budgeted for this proposal.

The second amendment helps ensure that the Secretary of Defense can take measures, as he sees fit, to determine the effectiveness of our efforts to reduce suicide by members of our armed services.

The military suicide rate hit a record high last year with 349 people who took their own lives across the four branches. That averages out to 1 every 25 hours.

□ 1940

We must take any and all measures to help reduce the suicide rates among those who serve our country. As a member of the Armed Services Committee, again, I thank the chairman and the ranking member and all of the members of the committee for their hard work on a very vital piece of legislation, including these provisions to treat wounded warriors and to reduce suicide rates.

Mr. Chairman, I encourage passage of the en bloc amendments.

Mr. TURNER. We have no further speakers, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendments en bloc offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. THORNBERRY).

The en bloc amendments were agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 33 OFFERED BY MR. LARSEN OF WASHINGTON

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 33 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I rise to offer amendment 33 as the designee of Mr. COOPER.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 425, after line 23, insert the following:  
**SEC. 1060. NEW START TREATY FUNDING.**

(a) REDUCTION.—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D—

(1) the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for research, development, test, and evaluation, defense-wide, Space Programs and Technology, is decreased by \$50,000,000; and

(2) the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for operation and maintenance, defense-wide, Office of the Secretary of Defense is decreased by \$20,491,000.

(b) INCREASES.—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D:

(1) The amount authorized to be appropriated in section 101, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4101, for procurement is increased as follows:

(A) Weapons Procurement, Navy, Trident II Modifications by \$14,100,000.

(B) Other Procurement, Navy, Strategic Missiles System Equipment by \$25,919,000.

(C) Other Procurement, Navy, Spares and repair Parts by \$275,000.

(D) Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, B52 by \$500,000.

(2) The amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4201, for Missile Procurement, Air Force, Initial Spares/Repair Parts is increased by \$703,000.

(3) The amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301, as specified in the corresponding funding table in section 4301, for operation and maintenance is increased as follows:

(A) Combat Communications by \$9,594,000.

(B) Depot Maintenance by \$4,000,000.

(C) Other Service-wide Activities by \$15,400,000.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. LARSEN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, this amendment would restore funding for commonsense nuclear weapons reductions that have already been approved with the advice and consent of the Senate and that the Navy and the Air Force have planned for fiscal year 2014.

The \$70 million cut in the bill keeps nuclear weapons at Cold War levels, and denying fiscal year '14 funding risks the United States missing the deadline for treaty compliance as there will be insufficient lead time for procurement and installation to support conversion efforts to implement the reductions required by 2018, the date of entry into force.

This amendment is funded by an offset of \$50 million from DARPA's space technology program due to the recently terminated System F6, which was aimed to distribute functions of big satellites into several small ones orbiting in tight formation—so this funding is available—and \$20 million from the \$2.1 billion in the Office of the Secretary of Defense O&M funds which pays OSD staff. This is a 1 percent cut with minimal impact, as the Secretary of Defense has indicated that he intends to make cuts to overhead.

So I urge my colleagues to support this amendment.

With that, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I claim time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Alabama, the chairman of the Strategic Forces Subcommittee.

Mr. ROGERS of Alabama. I thank the chairman.

Today I rise in opposition to the amendment offered by my good friend and colleague from Tennessee (Mr. COOPER) as well as my good friend from Washington State.

The committee zeroed out these funds because the administration appears to be expecting a blank check from the Congress to implement this treaty. The House, through the appropriation power, must have a chance to evaluate whether the implementation of a treaty and the manner in which an administration intends to implement a treaty is in the U.S. national security interest. That's the reason the 1042 report was required in the FY12 NDAA in the first place.

I remind the House, this report is mandated by law. Are we really comfortable in this House with letting the President ignore the law of the land as he sees fit?

Additionally, while the gentleman from Tennessee withdrew the amendment at the full committee level because the offset he selected was of concern, the offset he has now is also a problem. It takes a program in DARPA that has been eliminated recently, and the funds for that program are planned to support transition activities to two other DARPA programs. Diverting \$50 million from this effort now would significantly slow down the schedule for these two programs.

Additionally, we expect President Obama to announce, likely next week in Berlin, that he will seek to reduce our deployed nuclear forces by one-third—beyond the New START treaty reductions we have yet to put in place. We need to put the brakes on this rush to zero. This President is proposing dangerous and irreversible changes to our nuclear forces.

I urge my colleagues to reject the amendment.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, how much time remains?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Washington has 3¾ minutes remaining.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to yield the balance of the time to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. COOPER).

The Acting CHAIR. Without objection, the gentleman from Tennessee will control the remainder of the time.

There was no objection.

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, it's a shame that President Ronald Reagan would have a hard time getting nominated in today's Republican Party. If you look back at what President Reagan said, he called for the abolition of "all nuclear weapons." Furthermore, he went on to say that these weapons are "totally irrational, totally inhumane, good for nothing but killing, possibly destructive of life on Earth and civilization."

Now, no one on this side of the aisle is calling for abolition, but we are calling for the United States of America to live up to its legitimate treaty commitments as passed by an overwhelming majority of the United States Senate. Now, I know there is very little love lost for the other body, but it was an overwhelming vote, and it was just 2 or 3 years ago.

The treaty is supposed to be implemented in 2018. Why the other side of the aisle is not more interested in reducing Russian nuclear weapons, I do not know, but this just simply allows us to live up to our legitimate and legal treaty commitments.

The other side is welcome to have suspicions of all sorts of things, but we should obey the treaties that we have ratified. So this calls for \$70 million to do that.

We can always question offsets. I've worked very hard with the other side to try to find appropriate offsets. But the key is let's restore the \$70 million that our own military wants so that we

can implement this treaty which could reduce nuclear risk in this world as President Reagan called for.

This is an opportunity. This is a necessity if we're going to live up to our legal obligations. I have the utmost respect for my friend from Alabama, the chairman of the subcommittee. This is a fixable problem. This is a needless political fight. In the full committee, as the gentleman knows, we try to work very closely with folks on the other side of the aisle. This is just \$70 million to live up to our existing treaty commitments.

I would urge my colleagues of good faith on both sides of the aisle, we can do this. We must do this. Let's follow what President Reagan would have wanted and let's support this treaty commitment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. THORNBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished chairman of the Tactical Air and Land Forces Subcommittee, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER).

Mr. TURNER. Mr. Chairman, let's be clear. It has been misstated that if this amendment doesn't pass that we would leave nuclear weapons at the Cold War level. Our nuclear weapons have already been reduced by 90 percent since the peak of the Cold War and 75 percent since the end of the Cold War.

Ronald Reagan never said that the United States should disarm itself at its disadvantage. He saw a world where no one would have nuclear weapons, not that we would place ourselves at a disadvantage. I certainly believe that, as Ronald Reagan would look around the world today, he would have never foreseen a nuclear-capable North Korea, and he certainly wouldn't have seen the world watch as Iran marches to become a nuclear state.

This amendment actually would take money from programs that are important, but it would put money toward something that is just not ready. We know we're not ready for New START treaty implementation, and we also know that we are certainly not going to be in breach.

This is not an issue of our walking away from a treaty obligation. This is not at all an issue of saying that Russia should not reduce their nuclear weapons. In fact, we believe that Russia ought to further reduce especially their tactical nuclear weapons, the overwhelming thousands that they have pointed at Europe that are in greater numbers than Europe or the United States would ever imagine.

We believe that we should stand up to the treaty obligations. But to fulfill those, we have to look to what the President promised, which, as the President said, in order for us to go to the New START treaty levels, that America has work to do. That work needs to be done.

While the President walks away from his commitments to nuclear modernization of our infrastructure and our weapons and fails to turn in the

1042 report that would give us the understanding of what our overall strategy is, the President wants to continue down this path of dismantling nuclear weapons when we're just not ready. New START can wait until we satisfy the convictions that even the President had put forward.

□ 1950

But even further, we have to look at what the President currently is doing. The President has signaled that he wants to reduce nuclear weapons further even before we've gone to New START. The problem is that obviously North Korea has just recently marched a weapon to the launch pad that could threaten the United States. This is not the time to do this.

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, may I inquire as to how much time I have remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Tennessee has 1¾ minutes remaining.

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, let me speak very briefly, and then I will yield the balance of my time to my friend from California.

My colleagues on the other side of the aisle, no one can know for sure what President Reagan would have done today. But read what Henry Kissinger is writing today, George Shultz—keepers, I think, of the Reagan legacy. They are working with Sam Nunn and others to do what we can to have enforceable, reliable treaties with the former Soviet Union, with Russia. And I would urge my colleagues to do what our own military is requesting, to give them the means to implement this treaty.

I yield the balance of my time to the gentleman from California (Mr. GARAMENDI).

Mr. GARAMENDI. Trust and verify. Wow. In the New START treaty, it's trust and verify. But to get to the trust and verify, we're going to have to begin the process—\$70 million requested by the military to begin the process.

I know the gentleman on the other side of this question has spent days and days working through this budget. The military plans years ahead; And in order to carry out the New START treaty and see the reductions that we need to make on our side, as obligated by that treaty, we need to begin that planning process now.

It's not a matter of throwing the weapons out or disposing of these weapons today. It's how we go about getting to that point, and the \$70 million is essential for that.

We'll delay by one whole year the process of carrying out our treaty obligations. Russia is in the process of carrying out their treaty obligations, and we should too.

It's very simple, guys. It's about carrying out an obligation that we have. It's about giving the military the money that they need today to begin the planning, to do the early parts of that process.

Trust and verify. The Russians want us—they trust us, maybe, but they want verification. We want verification from them also. This is all about carrying out a treaty obligation, getting it going.

Mr. COOPER. I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. FORTENBERRY. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Chairman, none of us of course could know what President Reagan would have done. I would suggest, however, that if we had a Reagan approach to defense, we would not still be arguing about missile defense, and we certainly wouldn't be debating \$60 billion cuts to defense.

The other point I would make is ratification of the New START treaty was conditioned in the Senate upon certain investments to our nuclear deterrent infrastructure. Unfortunately, those investments have not been forthcoming.

Let me talk about the offset for just a second. The most cutting-edge done for our military is done at DARPA. DARPA funding is flat, and there are a number of us who are concerned about that. But what they do at DARPA is they evaluate the projects they have; and if one seems less promising than others, they move that money around. So what this amendment does is punish them for doing that, because as they are moving money from one project to another that seems more promising, it takes that money away. When you're looking at funding research, it seems to me we want to encourage that sort of flexibility towards the most promising avenues of the research, and yet this amendment takes exactly the opposite approach.

For a variety of reasons, Mr. Chairman, I think this amendment is not a good idea, and I would recommend Members vote against it.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Washington (Mr. LARSEN).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. COOPER. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Washington will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 36 OFFERED BY MR. GIBSON

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 36 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. GIBSON. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Strike section 1251.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from New York (Mr. GIBSON) and a

Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York.

Mr. GIBSON. Mr. Chairman, this amendment is very simple. It strikes the language in section 1251, which pertains to Syria—a very serious subject that's been talked about here this evening. In my view, we should be debating this in regular order, and there should be a stand-alone resolution that deals with Syria.

You know, this language that we have in the underlying bill, the intent of it I understand, it was supposed to deal with the weapons of mass destruction in Syria, the control of them. That would be one thing. But I just want every Member to understand what's in the underlying language.

Subsection b, subsection 1:

President Obama should fully consider all courses of action to remove President Assad from power.

That sounds a lot like unilateral action for regime change to me.

Subsection 5:

The United States should continue to support Syrian opposition forces with nonlethal aid.

I don't remember authorizing any aid to begin with, much less continuing.

Subsection 8:

Should the President decide to employ any military assets in Syria, the President should provide a supplemental budget request to Congress.

Well, yes on the supplemental budget request if it ever comes to it. But should the President decide to employ any military assets, that's for us to decide, not for the President.

So, Mr. Chairman, I have concerns. I certainly understand the initial intent. It is my strongest recommendation that we strike this language, that we work together on language that is more suitable for an NDAA, and then, if desired, to have broader discussion with a separate resolution if somebody wants to move forward with regard to action in Syria.

I would say that I oppose military action in Syria, but I certainly think there should be voices. We should have Representatives speaking for their people.

And I hope that we learn. You know, in 2011, I came here very concerned about Libya. I spoke out against military action. We ended up taking military action. I was concerned that we would empower forces hostile to us. And I regret to say on September 11 of last year, we ended up with a situation in Benghazi that we all are very saddened by. I want to see us learn from this, and I certainly want to see us strike this language.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. I rise to claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, at this time I yield 2½ minutes to my friend

and colleague, the gentlelady from Indiana (Mrs. WALORSKI).

Mrs. WALORSKI. Mr. Chairman, while I greatly respect the author of this amendment, I must rise in opposition.

This amendment does, in fact, strike section 1251 of the underlying bill that expresses a sense of Congress in regard to Syria. Section 1251 says the President should have a plan in place to secure U.S. interests in Syria; that the U.S. should support the stability of our allies like Israel—our strongest ally in the region; and that the U.S. should continue to conduct rigorous planning to secure any chemical and biological stockpiles. It does not say that the U.S. should intervene in Syria. And it requires the President to provide a supplemental budget should military action be necessary.

Although much delayed, the confirmation from the Obama administration just a few hours ago that the Assad regime has used chemical weapons against rebel forces demonstrates why section 1251 is needed. It's time to get serious about addressing Syria and develop a plan to protect American interests in the region.

According to the President, Assad has crossed a red line. By turning a blind eye to this civil war that has already claimed more than 90,000 lives, we lose credibility within the region and embolden bad actors like Iran and Hezbollah.

I would ask my colleagues to vote against this amendment.

Mr. GIBSON. Mr. Chairman, I'll yield myself about 20 seconds, and then I'm going to yield to my good friend from California.

I just want to say in response that, with regard to planning, absolutely. I know our military forces are always in the process of planning.

Standing with Israel, absolutely. But I just would remind my colleagues to read the language in here. This is inappropriate for an NDAA. It is not in our interest to be affirming this language.

At this point, I'd like to yield to my good friend from California (Mr. GARAMENDI).

□ 2000

Mr. GARAMENDI. May I inquire as to the time available?

The ACTING CHAIR. The gentleman has 2¼ minutes remaining.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

A lot of analogies come to mind: slippery slopes, camel's nose under the tent.

Syria is an extremely serious matter, and the role of the United States in the serious issue of Syria needs to be fully vetted by the Congress and the Senate. We are debating; 435 of us are given 10 minutes, 10 minutes of time to this issue, plus perhaps another 5 minutes in the committee hearing, to this matter of what is the role of the United States in the serious Syrian issue.

Slippery slope, red lines, military aid, nonlethal aid. What does it all

mean? Where is the House Foreign Affairs Committee on this matter? And by the way, how did this slip by the requirement of dual referencing? It did. We're here.

Ten minutes, 10 minutes on a matter that could very easily suck the United States into another war in the Middle East. We need time. We need to debate this. We need to understand all the ramifications of this. The language in this particular section is really serious language. It's far more than has been stated on the floor. Put it aside, step back, take our time, understand what all the ramifications are.

Mr. GIBSON. I would like to yield my remaining time to my colleague from Minnesota.

Mr. NOLAN. Madam Chairman, I rise in support of the Gibson-Garamendi amendment. I'm using this time to express my regret that a resolution that I presented to the Rules Committee requiring the Congress to decide on whether or not we should send arms and troops to the rebels was denied an opportunity to be heard today.

The fact is, this is a centuries old conflict between the Sunnis and the Shiites. We have no friends in this fight. Believe me, I've lived in the Middle East, I've done business in the Middle East, I've studied the language, I've studied the culture. We have no friends in this conflict. The rebels—make no mistake about it—are the al Mazraa affiliated with al Qaeda.

The Acting CHAIR. (Ms. ROSLEHTINEN). The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chair, at this time, I yield the balance of my time to the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. LAMBORN).

Mr. LAMBORN. Madam Chairman, I thank the chairman of the full committee for yielding me time.

I have total respect for my colleague and friend from New York who authored this amendment, but I believe on this one this amendment is misguided and we should defeat it. This does not call for a declaration of war or any kinds of the, I think exaggerated responses I've heard in favor of this amendment. This says that the President should have a course of action.

The President earlier stated that there are red lines concerning weapons of mass destruction. I believe, if the news today is correct, then belatedly maybe there is a step toward recognizing that and taking some action for red lines just in the last few hours.

But we've been working on this amendment, we debated it in committee, because up until now, and even going forward—I'm not sure how much—there hasn't been very much planning. There hasn't been a stated plan or a course of action by the administration. We need to have that in place.

We can and should and will debate this further. But the administration, I believe, has been lacking in leadership—too much leading from behind, as



we've seen in other places. There needs to be leadership.

This is a volatile area of the world—there's no question about that. That doesn't mean, though, that we can be disengaged. We can't just throw our hands up and withdraw and put our heads in the sand.

We have allies in the region, especially Israel. Israel needs to be supported and defended. We are the most powerful country in the world. We need to take a role of at least planning for what's happening.

That's what this sense of Congress language does. Section 1251, the amendment offered by my friend and colleague from New York, would strike the language.

I would urge a "no" vote on this amendment. Let's have some planning for once by this administration on this important issue.

Mr. McKEON. I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. GIBSON).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. GIBSON. Madam Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New York will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 37 OFFERED BY MR. COFFMAN

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 37 printed in part B of House Report 113-108.

Mr. COFFMAN. Madam Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

After section 1256, insert the following new section (and redesignate subsequent sections accordingly):

**SEC. 1257. REMOVAL OF BRIGADE COMBAT TEAMS FROM EUROPE.**

(a) FINDING.—Congress finds that, because defense spending among European NATO countries fell 12% since 2008, from \$314 billion to \$275 billion, so that currently only 4 out of the 28 NATO allies of the United States are spending the widely agreed-to standard of 2% of their GDP on defense, the United States must look to more wisely allocate scarce resources to provide for the national defense.

(b) REMOVAL REQUIRED.—The President shall end the permanent basing of the 2nd Cavalry Regiment (2CR) in Vilseck, Germany and return that Brigade Combat Team currently stationed in Europe to the United States, without permanent replacement, leaving one Brigade Combat Team and one Combat Aviation Brigade.

(c) USE OF ROTATIONAL FORCES TO SATISFY SECURITY NEEDS.—It is the policy of the United States that the deployment of units of the United States Armed Forces on a rotational basis at military installations in European member nations of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization pursuant to the Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) process is a force-structure arrangement sufficient to permit the United States—

(1) to satisfy the commitments undertaken by United States pursuant to Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty, signed at Washington, District of Columbia, on April 4, 1949, and entered into force on August 24, 1949 (63 Stat. 2241; TIAS 1964);

(2) to address the current security environment in Europe; and

(3) to contribute to peace and stability in Europe.

(d) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed so as to require the removal of Landstuhl Regional Medical Center or to prohibit the utilization of the 82nd Airborne's Division Readiness Brigade, Marine Corps Fleet Anti-Terrorism Security Teams, Marine Corps Special-Purpose Marine Air-Ground Task Forces, Marine Corps expeditionary units, Special Operations Command forces, or other quick-response forces to respond to threats in Europe and in the vicinity of the U.S. European Command (EUCOM) area.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. COFFMAN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Colorado.

Mr. COFFMAN. Madam Chairman, I am proud to be joined by Representatives POLIS, GRIFFITH, and BLUMENAUER in offering an amendment to move away from our Cold War posture to meet the challenges of the future. This bipartisan amendment will end the permanent basing of the 2nd Cavalry Regiment in Germany and return that brigade combat team to the United States, without permanent replacement. There is no longer a strategic reason to maintain our current troop numbers in Europe.

This action will still leave one brigade combat team and one combat aviation brigade in Europe. Nothing in this amendment demands the removal of our European medical facilities or rapid response forces.

Should a crisis occur, it is not the BCTs that will be called to respond. In fact, just last month, the U.S. moved marines from a crisis-response force to Italy in anticipation that it could be needed to respond to growing unrest in Libya. In an emergency, expeditionary forces, such as the Marine Corp Special MAG Task Force and FAST teams, or even the Army's 82nd Airborne, would be called to action, not the BCTs in Germany.

Only 4 out of 28 NATO allies spend even the required 2 percent of their GDP on defense. The U.S. spends 4.7 percent. Our allies keep defense spending low because they take for granted that we will guarantee their security. This is an unfair burden to U.S. taxpayers. We should reprioritize our commitments while meeting our security obligations to our NATO allies by utilizing rotational forces.

I ask my colleagues to join in supporting this amendment to better deal with the strategic challenges of the future.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia. Madam Chairman, I rise to claim time in opposition.

The ACTING CHAIR. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia. Madam Chairman, I yield myself 2 minutes.

First of all, this is, a very dangerous, dangerous amendment. I am a member of the NATO Parliamentary Assembly. I am also the vice chairman of the Science and Technology Committee of NATO. I have been a member of NATO for 11 years. That means I get to travel across the world two or three times each year into this region. I can tell you firsthand that this is a dangerous amendment, it is very dangerous at this time.

Now, you have mentioned about some of our NATO colleagues. And yes, we are having a challenge. Each nation is going through economic challenges. But let me tell you, they are increasing their input and their financial resources each year.

□ 2010

One thing is for certain: the wrong message that we should send to them now and to encourage them to contribute more is for us to cut and run and contribute less, and that's exactly what this amendment that you are offering will do.

The other point as to why it is dangerous is that we would sit here in Congress and force the hand of President Obama—or, for that matter, of any President or future President—to publicly state that he is going to remove a contingent of a brigade like the 2nd Cavalry Regiment in Vilseck, Germany, and then return that brigade to the United States and not put anything in its place. Europe and the Mediterranean and the Middle East—there is no more volatile, unpredictable place on this planet. At the same time, there is no place on this planet that we have the strength of alliances as we have here.

With that, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. COFFMAN. I think we both have experience in NATO. You serve on this parliamentary committee, and I served in the United States Army in the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, in the very type of unit that we are talking about today.

That unit was designed to defend the border between what was West Germany and Czechoslovakia against Warsaw Pact forces that were on the other side. That border no longer exists. The Warsaw Pact no longer exists. Yet we still maintain a regiment there, which is not an expeditionary unit, to do the very things that you're talking about. We also have the capability to move our forces when needed over there.

When I was in Europe during the height of the Cold War, protecting the very border in the same units that we are talking about today, we did the Reforger exercise on an annual basis in which U.S. forces would come over to Europe, in about the middle of western Germany, to reinforce our positions

and to push those Warsaw Pact forces back.

Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia. Will the gentleman yield 10 seconds?

Mr. COFFMAN. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia. Even today, yes, you are absolutely right; but what did they do in Europe when we asked them to stand with us in Afghanistan? They stood with us. What did they do when we asked in Iraq? All I am simply saying is that we have an obligation today and in the future.

Mr. COFFMAN. I reclaim my time.

There is nothing in the NATO charter that says we have to maintain permanent bases in Europe. I certainly support rotational forces. I support our involvement and our obligations to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, but it doesn't say we need to have a unit that is not an expeditionary force in the middle of Europe protecting a border that no longer exists.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia. I yield 2 minutes to my dear friend, the gentleman from Ohio, Mr. MIKE TURNER.

Mr. TURNER. This amendment is unlike anything that you're going to see in the National Defense Authorization Act. That's because this is not a function of Congress.

This amendment says the President shall end the permanent basing of the 2nd Cavalry Regiment in Germany and return them to the United States.

We don't move troops. There is a reason we don't move troops. There is nowhere in this bill you're going to find any provision that we move troops. That's because, in 10 minutes, we shouldn't have a debate about where troops would be.

The gentleman is absolutely, dangerously incorrect. These troops are not like the troops with whom he served in the early seventies. These troops are active in defending the United States and our allies. They are absolutely necessary for forward deployment. We need the 3 to 5 days it would take for these troops to make it to the important areas of Afghanistan, Israel, the Middle East, and it would take 20 days from the United States.

Mr. COFFMAN. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. TURNER. No, I will not yield to the gentleman.

Here is the most important thing:

The gentleman says that these troops need to be reduced. We've reduced troops. Mind you, the gentleman served in the early seventies. Here is 1989. We had 213,000 troops. We've already drastically reduced them. They're already down to one-sixth of what they were. We are headed towards 30,000 troops in 2013.

You have to think about what it is that these troops do—they do regional security; they do international cooperation; they do partner nation training; they're part of our ISAF support in Afghanistan; they're part of NATO cooperation. These troops are

active. If you go and meet with any of our troops who are currently in Europe, they are actively working—our men and women in uniform—on our operations now.

No one since the seventies has been staring down the bad Soviet Union. They are there protecting the United States and the United States' interests. They are our active men and women in uniform, and we have them forward-based because they help the United States in its functions of being able to deliver forces and our men and women to the important areas of where there are conflicts.

General Breedlove of the European Command says that this is as far as we can go. He vehemently opposes this. Even those people who might be for reducing troops should not be for this. Congress should not be specifically telling the Commander in Chief where troops should be and how to move them. This is dangerously wrong. If the gentleman wants to move troops, he should apply for a job at the DOD if he is qualified.

The Acting CHAIR. The time of the gentleman has expired.

The gentleman from Colorado has 1 minute remaining.

Mr. COFFMAN. In closing, I did apply and work for the DOD. In fact, I served in both the Army and the Marine Corps, and in the 1980s, I served in NATO as well as in the 1970s.

The mission has changed. Times have changed. We need to change. In doing so, we've got a unit there that really has no tactical purpose at this time. It is not an expeditionary force that can be readily moved. It would have to be moved to a railhead and then to a port facility and then be brought by ship in the most cost-effective manner.

We are at a time when we have excess capacity in the United States in terms of the United States Army. The last report was in 2004 of 20 percent excess capacity for the United States, and the administration wants to do another Base Realignment and Closure Commission. We ought to bring that unit home to the United States. It can deploy as needed, where needed, and not be in a country that's spending less than 2 percent of its GDP on defense when we're at 4.7 percent.

I yield back the balance of my time.  
Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia. Madam Chair, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Georgia has 1 minute remaining.

Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia. I would like to say this very quickly.

How things have changed. Messages that go out from this floor go out around the world. As we speak, just at our most recent NATO meeting, we were able to get 27 nations out of the 28 nations of NATO to pass a resolution supporting the United States' and Israel's position against Iran's acquiring a nuclear bomb. That's how relevant we are today.

With that, Madam Chairman, I yield the balance of my time to the gen-

tleman from Oklahoma (Mr. BRIDENSTINE).

Mr. BRIDENSTINE. I would just like to make a couple of points.

Number one, these troops are not for NATO. These troops are for the European Command. These troops are for the United States of America. I'm a Navy pilot myself. I've been a part of units that deploy, that rotate. What I can say is that, when units rotate, the training that we get with our allies is less robust and is just not as good as if you have a permanent presence where you can integrate with our NATO allies. It's true that we are integrated with our NATO allies, but it is also true that these troops are for European Command.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. COFFMAN).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. COFFMAN. Madam Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado will be postponed.

□ 2020

AMENDMENTS EN BLOC NO. 5 OFFERED BY MR. MCKEON

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chairman, pursuant to H. Res. 260, I offer amendments en bloc.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendments en bloc.

Amendments en bloc No. 5 consisting of amendment Nos. 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 98, 99, 100, 101, 103, 104, 105, 109, 112, 115, 119, 121, and 142, printed in House Report No. 113-108, offered by Mr. MCKEON of California:

AMENDMENT NO. 86 OFFERED BY MR. PASCRELL OF NEW JERSEY

Page 308, after line 21, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 726. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY PLAN.**

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) section 739(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1822) requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a plan to Congress to improve the coordination and integration of the programs of the Department of Defense that address traumatic brain injury and the psychological health of members of the Armed Forces not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of such Act;

(2) the requirement to submit the plan is still in effect and the contents of the plan are still important; and

(3) the Secretary of Defense should deliver the report within the required time frame.

AMENDMENT NO. 87 OFFERED BY MR. PASCRELL OF NEW JERSEY

Page 308, after line 21, insert the following:

**SEC. 726. REPORT ON MEMORANDUM REGARDING TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURIES.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on how the Secretary will identify, refer, and treat traumatic brain injuries with respect to members

of the Armed Forces who served in Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom before the date in June 2010 on which the memorandum regarding using a 50-meter distance from an explosion as a criterion to properly identify, refer, and treat members for potential traumatic brain injury took effect.

AMENDMENT NO. 88 OFFERED BY MR. SESSION OF TEXAS

Page 308, after line 21, insert the following:  
**SEC. 726. PILOT PROGRAM FOR INVESTIGATIONAL TREATMENT OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FOR TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY AND POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER.**

(a) **PROCESS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a five-year pilot program under which the Secretary shall establish a process through which the Secretary shall provide payment for investigational treatments (including diagnostic testing) of traumatic brain injury or post-traumatic stress disorder received by members of the Armed Forces in health care facilities other than military treatment facilities. Such process shall provide that payment be made directly to the health care facility furnishing the treatment.

(b) **CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL.**—The approval by the Secretary for payment for a treatment pursuant to subsection (a) shall be subject to the following conditions:

(1) Any drug or device used in the treatment must be approved or cleared by the Food and Drug Administration for any purpose and its use must comply with rules of the Food and Drug Administration applicable to investigational new drugs or investigational devices.

(2) The treatment must be approved by the Secretary following approval by an institutional review board operating in accordance with regulations issued by the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(3) The patient receiving the treatment must demonstrate an improvement under criteria approved by the Secretary, as a result of the treatment on one or more of the following:

(A) Standardized independent pre-treatment and post-treatment neuropsychological testing.

(B) Accepted survey instruments including, such instruments that look at quality of life.

(C) Neurological imaging.

(D) Clinical examination.

(4) The patient receiving the treatment must be receiving the treatment voluntarily and based on informed consent.

(5) The patient receiving the treatment may not be a retired member of the Armed Forces who is entitled to benefits under part A, or eligible to enroll under part B, of title XVIII of the Social Security Act.

(c) **ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary may establish additional restrictions or conditions for reimbursement as the Secretary determines appropriate to ensure the protection of human research subjects, appropriate fiscal management, and the validity of the research results.

(d) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary shall make payments under this section for treatments received by members of the Armed Forces using the authority in subsection (c)(1) of section 1074 of title 10, United States Code.

(e) **AMOUNT.**—A payment under this section shall be made at the equivalent Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services reimbursement rate in effect for appropriate treatment codes for the State or territory in which the treatment is received. If no such rate is in effect, payment shall be made on a cost-reimbursement basis, as determined by the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(f) **DATA COLLECTION AND AVAILABILITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall develop and maintain a database containing data from each patient case involving the use of a treatment under this section. The Secretary shall ensure that the database preserves confidentiality and that any use of the database or disclosures of such data are limited to such use and disclosures permitted by law and applicable regulations.

(2) **PUBLICATION OF QUALIFIED INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD STUDIES.**—The Secretary shall ensure that an Internet website of the Department of Defense includes a list of all civilian institutional review board studies that have received a payment under this section.

(g) **ASSISTANCE FOR MEMBERS TO OBTAIN TREATMENT.**—

(1) **ASSIGNMENT TO TEMPORARY DUTY.**—The Secretary of a military department may assign a member of the Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary to temporary duty or allow the member a permissive temporary duty in order to permit the member to receive treatment for traumatic brain injury or post-traumatic stress disorder, for which payments shall be made under subsection (a), at a location beyond reasonable commuting distance of the permanent duty station of the member.

(2) **PER DIEM.**—A member who is away from the permanent station of the member may be paid a per diem in lieu of subsistence in an amount not more than the amount to which the member would be entitled if the member were performing travel in connection with a temporary duty assignment.

(3) **GIFT RULE WAIVER.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive any rule of the Department of Defense regarding ethics or the receipt of gifts with respect to any assistance provided to a member of the Armed Forces for travel or per diem expenses incidental to receiving treatment under this section.

(h) **MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING.**—The Secretary shall enter into memoranda of understandings with civilian institutions for the purpose of providing members of the Armed Forces with treatment carried out by civilian health care practitioners under treatment—

(1) approved by and under the oversight of civilian institutional review boards; and

(2) that would qualify for payment under this section.

(i) **OUTREACH.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a process to notify members of the Armed Forces of the opportunity to receive treatment pursuant to this section.

(j) **REPORT TO CONGRESS.**—Not later than 30 days after the last day of each fiscal year during which the Secretary is authorized to make payments under this section, the Secretary shall submit to Congress an annual report on the implementation of this section and any available results on investigational treatment studies authorized under this section.

(k) **TERMINATION.**—The authority to make a payment under this section shall terminate on the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(l) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$10,000,000 for each fiscal year during which the Secretary is authorized to make payments under this section.

(m) **FUNDING INCREASE AND OFFSETTING REDUCTION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding the amounts set forth in the funding tables in division D, to carry out this section during fiscal year 2014—

(A) the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 1406 for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the corresponding

funding table in division D, is hereby increased by \$10,000,000, with the amount of the increase allocated to the Defense Health Program, as set forth in the table under section 4501, to carry out this section; and

(B) the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 301 for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, as specified in the corresponding funding table in division D, is hereby reduced by \$10,000,000, with the amount of the reduction to be derived from Line 280, Office of the Secretary of Defense as set forth in the table under section 4301.

(2) **MERIT-BASED OR COMPETITIVE DECISIONS.**—A decision to commit, obligate, or expend funds referred to in paragraph (1)(A) with or to a specific entity shall—

(A) be based on merit-based selection procedures in accordance with the requirements of sections 2304(k), 2361, and 2374 of title 10, United States Code, or on competitive procedures; and

(B) comply with other applicable provisions of law.

AMENDMENT NO. 89 OFFERED BY MR. MCKEON OF CALIFORNIA

Page 308, after line 21, insert the following:  
**SEC. 726. INTEGRATED ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF DEFENSE AND VETERANS AFFAIRS.**

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) despite repeated attempts at cooperation over the past 20 years, the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs have failed to implement a solution that allows for seamless electronic sharing of medical health care data;

(2) the recent decision by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to abandon their earlier agreement and pursue separate paths to integration jeopardizes the stated goal of providing “a patient-centered health care system that delivers excellent quality, access, satisfaction, and value, consistently across the Departments”;

(3) despite the repeated concerns and objections of the congressional committees of jurisdiction, the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs seem to be on a continued path to fail in achieving the goal of creating a seamless health record that integrates data across the Departments; and

(4) the President should make the necessary leadership changes to assure timely completion of this requirement.

(b) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall—

(1) implement an integrated electronic health record to be used by each of the Secretaries; and

(2) deploy such record by not later than October 1, 2016.

(c) **DESIGN PRINCIPLES.**—The integrated electronic health record established under subsection (b) shall adhere to the following principles:

(1) To the extent practicable, efforts to establish such record shall be based on objectives, activities, and milestones established by the Joint Executive Committee Joint Strategic Plan Fiscal Years 2013–2015, including any requirements, definition, documents, or analyses previously developed to satisfy said Joint Strategic Plan.

(2) Principles with respect to open architecture standards, including—

(A) modular designs based on standards with loose coupling and high cohesion that allow for independent acquisition of system components;

(B) if existing national standards do not exist as of the date on which the record is being established, the Secretaries shall agree upon and adopt a standard for purposes of

the record until such time as national standards are established;

(C) enterprise investment strategies that maximize reuse of proven system designs;

(D) implementation of aggressive life-cycle sustainment planning that uses proven technology insertion strategies and product upgrade techniques;

(E) enforcement of system design transparency, continuous design disclosure and improvement, and peer reviews that include government, academia, and industry; and

(F) strategies for data-use rights to ensure a level competitive playing field and access to alternative solutions and sources across the life-cycle of the program.

(3) By the point of full deployment decision, such record must be at a generation 3 level or better for a health information technology system.

(d) PROGRAM PLAN.—Not later than January 31, 2014, the Secretaries shall jointly develop and submit to the appropriate congressional committees a program plan for the oversight and execution of the integrated electronic health record program established under this section. This plan shall include—

- (1) program objectives;
- (2) organization;
- (3) responsibilities of the Departments;
- (4) technical system requirements;
- (5) milestones, including a schedule for industry competitions for capabilities needed to satisfy the technical system requirements;
- (6) technical system standards being adopted by the program;
- (7) outcome-based metrics proposed to measure the performance and effectiveness of the program; and
- (8) level of funding for fiscal years 2014 through 2017.

(e) ASSESSMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretaries shall jointly commission an independent assessment of the program plan under subsection (d).

(2) SUBMISSION.—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the program plan under subsection (d) is submitted to the appropriate congressional committees, the Secretaries shall jointly submit to such committees the independent assessment conducted under paragraph (1).

(f) LIMITATION OF FUNDS.—Not more than 25 percent of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for development, modernization, or enhancement of the integrated electronic health record within the Department of Veterans Affairs or for operation and maintenance for the Defense Health Agency of the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended until the date on which the program plan under subsection (d) is submitted to the appropriate congressional committees.

(g) MONTHLY REPORTING.—On a monthly basis, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall each submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the expenditures incurred by the Secretary in the development of an integrated electronic health record under this section. Such reports shall include obligations by major categories of spending and by support of milestones identified in the program plan required under subsection (d).

(h) REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than October 1, 2014, all health care information contained in the Department of Defense AHLTA and the Department of Veterans Affairs Vista systems shall be available and actionable in real-time to health care providers in each Department through shared technology.

(2) CERTIFICATION.—At such time as the operational capability described in para-

graph (1) is achieved, the Secretaries shall jointly certify to the appropriate congressional committees that the Secretaries have implemented such operational capability.

(3) LIMITATION OF FUNDS.—Neither the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of Veterans Affairs may obligate or expend more than 10 percent of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for the research, development, test, and evaluation, or procurement for the Virtual Lifetime Electronic Record until the date on which the certification is made under paragraph (2).

(4) RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall each identify a senior official to be responsible for the electronic health record established under this section, including the operational capability described in paragraph (1). Such official shall have included within their performance evaluation performance metrics related to the execution of the responsibilities under this paragraph. Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, each Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the name of the senior official selected under this paragraph.

(5) ACCOUNTABILITY REVIEW.—If the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs fail to meet the requirements under paragraph (1), the Secretaries shall jointly conduct an accountability review to identify the following:

(A) The root cause of the failure and if the failure is a result of technology or human performance.

(B) The work sections responsible for the failure.

(C) The milestones and resource investment required to achieve such requirements.

(D) The recommendations for corrective actions, to include personnel actions, to achieve such requirements.

(6) SUBMISSION OF ACCOUNTABILITY REVIEW.—If the Secretaries conduct a review under paragraph (5), the Secretaries shall jointly submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report of the results of the review by not later than November 30, 2014.

(i) ADVISORY PANEL.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretaries shall jointly establish an advisory panel to support the development and validation of requirements, programmatic assessment, and other actions, as needed by the Secretaries, with respect to the integrated electronic health record established under subsection (b). The panel shall certify to the appropriate congressional committees that such record meets the definition of “integrated” as specified in subsection (j)(4).

(2) MEMBERSHIP.—The panel established under paragraph (1) shall consist of not more than 14 members, appointed by the Secretaries as follows:

(A) Two co-chairs, one appointed by each of the Secretaries.

(B) The chief information officer of the Department of Defense and the chief information officer of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(C) One member from the acquisition community of the Department of Defense and one member from such community of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(D) Two members from the academic community appointed by the Secretary of Defense.

(E) Two members from the academic community appointed by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(F) Two members from industry appointed by the Secretary of Defense.

(G) Two members from industry appointed by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(3) REPORTING.—The Advisory panel established under paragraph (1) shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a quarterly report on the activities of the panel. The panel shall submit the first report by not later than December 31, 2013.

(j) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “actionable” means information that is directly useful to customers for immediate use in clinical decision making.

(2) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the congressional defense committees; and

(B) the Committees on Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

(3) The term “generation 3” means, with respect to an electronic health systems, a system that has the technical capability to bring evidence-based medicine to the point of care and provide functionality for multiple care venues.

(4) The term “integrated” means one single core technology or an inherent cross-platform capability without the need for additional patch development to accomplish this capability.

AMENDMENT NO. 90 OFFERED BY MR. WILSON OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Page 308, after line 21, insert the following:

**SEC. 726. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON RECOVERY AUDIT PROGRAM FOR TRICARE.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that evaluates the similarities and differences in the approaches to identifying and recovering improper payments across Medicare and TRICARE. The report shall contain an evaluation of the following:

(1) Medicare and TRICARE claims processing efforts to prevent improper payments by denying claims prior to payment.

(2) Medicare and TRICARE claims processing efforts to correct improper payments post-payment.

(3) The effectiveness of Medicare and TRICARE post-payment audit programs in place to identify and correct improper payments that are returned to the government plans.

AMENDMENT NO. 91 OFFERED BY MR. SARBANES OF MARYLAND

At the end of title VIII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 833. REVISION OF DEFENSE SUPPLEMENT TO THE FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT SOURCING LAWS.**

Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Department of Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation shall be revised to implement the requirements imposed by sections 129, 129a, 2330a, 2461, and 2463 of title 10, United States Code.

AMENDMENT NO. 98 OFFERED BY MR. CARDENAS OF CALIFORNIA

Page 360, after line 8, insert the following new paragraph:

(3) An assessment of the mechanisms for improving recruitment, retention, and management of cyber operations forces, including through focused recruiting; educational, training, or certification scholarships; bonuses; or the use of short-term or virtual deployments without the need for permanent relocation.

AMENDMENT NO. 99 OFFERED BY MR. CARDENAS OF CALIFORNIA

Page 363, line 10, insert after “investigation” the following: “, an estimate of the

economic losses from the intrusion, and any additional actions needed to improve the protection of intellectual property”.

Page 363, line 24, insert after “compromised,” the following: “an estimate of the economic losses from the intrusion.”.

AMENDMENT NO. 100 OFFERED BY MR. RUIZ OF CALIFORNIA

Page 365, after line 22, insert the following:  
**SEC. 936. SMALL BUSINESS CYBERSECURITY SOLUTIONS OFFICE.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit a report to the Congress on the feasibility of establishing a small business cyber technology office to assist small business concerns in providing cybersecurity solutions to the Federal Government.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms “small business concern” has the meaning given such term in section 3 of the Small Business Act.

AMENDMENT NO. 101 OFFERED BY MR. CARDENAS OF CALIFORNIA

Page 365, after line 22, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 936. SMALL BUSINESS CYBER EDUCATION.**

The Secretary of Defense shall establish an outreach and education program to assist small businesses (as defined in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)) contracted by the Department of Defense to assist such businesses to—

(1) understand the gravity and scope of cyber threats;

(2) develop a plan to protect intellectual property; and

(3) develop a plan to protect the networks of such businesses.

AMENDMENT NO. 103 OFFERED BY MR. LANGEVIN OF RHODE ISLAND

Page 385, after line 2, insert the following:  
**SEC. 1035. REPORT COMPARING COSTS OF DDG 1000 AND DDG 51 FLIGHT III SHIPS.**

Not later than March 15, 2014, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report providing an updated comparison of the costs and risks of acquiring DDG 1000 and DDG 51 Flight III vessels equipped for enhanced ballistic missile defense capability. The report shall include each of the following:

(1) An updated estimate of the total cost to develop, procure, operate, and support ballistic missile defense capable DDG 1000 destroyers equipped with the air and missile defense radar that would be procured in addition to the three prior-year-funded DDG 1000 class ships, and in lieu of Flight III DDG-51 destroyers.

(2) The estimate of the Secretary of the total cost of the current plan to develop, procure, operate, and support Flight III DDG 51 destroyers.

(3) Details on the assumed ballistic missile defense requirements and construction schedules for both the DDG 1000 and DDG 51 Flight III destroyers referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively.

(4) An updated comparison of the program risks and the resulting ship capabilities in all dimensions (not just ballistic missile defense) of the options referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2).

(5) Any other information the Secretary determines appropriate.

AMENDMENT NO. 104 OFFERED BY MR. CONYERS OF MICHIGAN

Page 401, line 23, add at the end before the period the following: “for purposes of interpreting the scope of section 2 of the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40; 115 Stat. 224; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note)”.

AMENDMENT NO. 105 OFFERED BY MR. ROSS OF FLORIDA

Page 405, after line 9, insert the following:

**SEC. 1040B. PROHIBITION ON THE USE OF FUNDS FOR RECREATIONAL FACILITIES FOR INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise available to the Department of Defense may be used to provide additional or upgraded recreational facilities for individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

AMENDMENT NO. 109 OFFERED BY MR. POSEY OF FLORIDA

Page 452, after line 6, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 1082A. TRANSPORTATION OF SUPPLIES TO MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FROM NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 402 the following new section:

**“§ 403. Transportation of supplies from nonprofit organizations**

“(a) AUTHORIZATION OF TRANSPORTATION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense may transport to any country, without charge, supplies that have been furnished by a nonprofit organization and that are intended for distribution to members of the armed forces. Such supplies may be transported only on a space available basis.

“(b) LIMITATIONS.—(1) The Secretary may not transport supplies under subsection (a) unless the Secretary determines that—

“(A) the transportation of the supplies is consistent with the policies of the United States;

“(B) the supplies are suitable for distribution to members of the armed forces and are in usable condition;

“(C) there is a legitimate need for the supplies by the members of the armed forces for whom they are intended; and

“(D) adequate arrangements have been made for the distribution and use of the supplies.

“(2) PROCEDURES.—The Secretary shall establish procedures for making the determinations required under paragraph (1). Such procedures shall include inspection of supplies before acceptance for transport.

“(3) PREPARATION.—It shall be the responsibility of the nonprofit organization requesting the transport of supplies under this section to ensure that the supplies are suitable for transport.

“(c) DISTRIBUTION.—Supplies transported under this section may be distributed by the United States Government or a nonprofit organization.

“(d) DEFINITION OF NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION.—In this section, the term ‘nonprofit organization’ means an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 20 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 402 the following new item:

“403. Transportation of supplies from nonprofit organizations.”.

AMENDMENT NO. 112 OFFERED BY MR. HANNA OF NEW YORK

Page 463, after line 6, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 1090. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICES.**

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the use of improvised explosive devices (in this section referred to as “IEDs”) against members of the Armed Forces or people of the United States should be condemned;

(2) unwavering support for members of the Armed Forces, first responders, and explo-

sive ordnance disposal personnel of the United States who face the threat of IEDs and put their lives on the line to defeat them should be expressed;

(3) all relevant agencies of the Government should be called on to coordinate with international partners and other responsible entities to reduce the use of IEDs and curb their proliferation; and

(4) the exchange of blast trauma research data should be facilitated between all relevant agencies of the Government.

AMENDMENT NO. 115 OFFERED BY MR. COLLINS OF NEW YORK

Page 463, after line 6, insert the following:  
**SEC. 1090. SENSE OF CONGRESS TO MAINTAIN A STRONG NATIONAL GUARD AND MILITARY RESERVE FORCE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The first volunteer militia unit in America was formed in 1636 in Massachusetts Bay, followed by other units in the colonies of Virginia and Connecticut. The American founding fathers wrote article I, section 8, of the United States Constitution to keep the militia model, authorizing a standing military force that could organize, train, and equip militia volunteers when needed.

(2) In World War I, nearly all National Guardsmen were mobilized into Federal service, and while they represented only 15 percent of the total United States Army, they comprised 40 percent of the American divisions sent to France and sustained 43 percent of the casualties in combat. In World War II, the National Guard comprised 19 Army divisions and 29 observation squadrons with aircraft assigned to the United States Army Air Forces.

(3) On September 11, 2001, the first fighter jets over New York City and Washington, DC, were Air National Guard F-15 and F-16 aircraft from Massachusetts and North Dakota, with over 400 more Air National Guard fighter aircraft on alert by that afternoon. Over 600,000 Air and Army National Guard soldiers and airmen have deployed in the many campaigns since 9/11.

(4) Air and Army National Guard soldiers and airmen have been involved in countless domestic response missions, including missions in response to hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, and forest fires including the more recent events of Superstorm Sandy and the tornados in Oklahoma.

(5) The volunteer National Guard and Reserve have time and again demonstrated their readiness to meet operational requirements through cost-effective means.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Secretary of Defense should make every effort to ensure the Military Reserve and National Guard forces are sustained by a fully manned and fully funded force and that the United States fulfill its longstanding commitment to unyielding readiness in terms of defense;

(2) the Secretary of Defense should act with the knowledge that the National Guard and Reserve are critical components to the Armed Forces, particularly as means of preserving combat power during a time of budget austerity; and

(3) Congress repudiates proposals to diminish the National Guard or Reserve and affirms the growth of these components as circumstances warrant.

AMENDMENT NO. 119 OFFERED BY MR. LANGEVIN OF RHODE ISLAND

At the end of title XI, add the following new section:

**SEC. 1108. COMPLIANCE WITH LAW REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF FUNDING FOR CIVILIAN PERSONNEL.**

(a) REGULATIONS.—No later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act,

the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations implementing the authority in subsection (a) of section 1111 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 10 U.S.C. 1580 note prec.).

(b) **COORDINATION.**—The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, shall be responsible for coordinating the preparation of the regulations required under subsection (a).

(c) **LIMITATIONS.**—The regulations required under subsection (a) shall not be restricted by any civilian full-time equivalent or end-strength limitation, nor shall such regulations require offsetting civilian pay funding, civilian full-time equivalents, or end-strength.

AMENDMENT NO. 121 OFFERED BY MR. ROHRBACHER OF CALIFORNIA

Page 490, after line 6, add the following new subparagraph:

(C) That Pakistan is not using its military or any funds or equipment provided by the United States to persecute minority groups for their legitimate and nonviolent political and religious beliefs, including the Balochi, Sindhi, and Hazara ethnic groups and minority religious groups, including Christian, Hindu, and Ahmadiyya Muslim.

AMENDMENT NO. 142 OFFERED BY MS. ROSELEHTINEN OF FLORIDA

At the end of subtitle E of title XII (page 551, after line 12), add the following new section:

**SEC. 1259. COMBATING CRIME THROUGH INTELLIGENCE CAPABILITIES.**

The Secretary of Defense is authorized to deploy assets, personnel, and resources to the Joint Interagency Task Force South, in coordination with SOUTHCOM, to combat the following by supplying sufficient intelligence capabilities:

- (1) Transnational criminal organizations.
- (2) Drug trafficking.
- (3) Bulk shipments of narcotics or currency.
- (4) Narco-terrorism.
- (5) Human trafficking.
- (6) The Iranian presence in the Western Hemisphere.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON) and the gentleman from Washington (Mr. LARSEN) each will control 10 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chair, I urge the committee to adopt the amendments en bloc, all of which have been examined by the majority and the minority.

At this time I yield 2 minutes to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. KELLY).

Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania. I rise today with an amendment that will be considered later tonight that creates a 1-year ban on the use of funds by the Department of Defense to implement the U.N. Arms Trade Treaty, unless the ATT fulfills all constitutional and legal requirements needed to take domestic legal effect, including the passage of implementing legislation.

Over the last year, I have been joined by over 140 bipartisan Members of this body to express deep concerns with the United Nations Arms Trade Treaty and to urge its rejection.

First, the United Nations Arms Trade Treaty undermines our Second

Amendment rights by omitting the fundamental, individual right to keep and bear arms and imposing a national responsibility to prevent firearms diversion, thus opening the door to new gun control measures.

Secondly, the United Nations Arms Trade Treaty undermines our sovereignty by imposing vague, readily politicized requirements on the United States and inviting United Nations-led investigations into what U.S. policymakers knew or should have known regarding arms transfers that allegedly violate the United Nations arms trade treaty.

Ultimately, the United Nations Arms Trade Treaty will stop the good from doing good without stopping the bad from doing bad. As then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton once said, the U.S. maintains the “gold standard” of arms export controls.

My amendment upholds our current policies, as well as our enduring values.

I would like to thank the chairman and the ranking member for including this amendment in the en bloc amendments.

With that, I urge adoption of this amendment.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Chair, I too, encourage my colleagues to support this en bloc, and I now yield 3 minutes to Mr. ELLISON from Minnesota.

Mr. ELLISON. Madam Chairman, allow me to thank the ranking member and the chair of the committee.

This graphic I have in front of me, Madam Chairman, was taken in Tahrir Square a few years ago now when the people were peacefully demonstrating to overcome the Mubarak regime which had oppressed them for so many years. They're holding up tear gas, and it says “Made in America” on it.

When our government transferred those riot and anti-riot materials to that government, I believe we didn't have any reason to know that it would be misused by a tyrannical regime to oppress and down press peaceful demonstrators. I propose, though, that when our government has reason to know that there is a tyrannical regime using repressive techniques to put down their peaceful demonstrators, that our government should withhold exports of that nature.

The fact is that the tyranny that people lived under under Hosni Mubarak was not made in America. It was made by Hosni Mubarak. But we should not be implicated in the kind of oppression if we know about it, and therefore I think we should have the authority in our government to withhold those kinds of transfers when they come to our attention.

So the young man holding up this tear gas canister that police fired at the pro-democracy demonstrators is labeled “Made in the USA.” This is a misrepresentation because it was Mubarak who oppressed his own people, but we should not be implicated in this, particularly if we have reason to know.

Madam Chairman, this is not the message we should be sending. Whether it's being sent deliberately or not, it's not the message we should send to the people who are seeking nothing more than what we want in the United States, which is to democratically control their own country. It's not in our interest, and we should have the authority to stop it.

The United States should not supply tear gas to governments that use it to repress democracy, and my amendment helps us to move to that goal.

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chair, at this time, we have no further speakers.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Chair, we yield back the balance of our time.

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chair, I ask that all of our colleagues support this group of en bloc amendments, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendments en bloc offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON).

The en bloc amendments were agreed to.

AMENDMENTS EN BLOC NO. 6 OFFERED BY MR. MCKEON

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chairman, pursuant to H. Res. 260, I offer amendments en bloc.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendments en bloc.

Amendments en bloc No. 6 consisting of amendment Nos. 106, 108, 110, 116, 117, 118, 120, 127, 128, 129, 132, 133, 134, 136, 138, 139, 140 and 145, printed in House Report No. 113-108, offered by Mr. MCKEON of California:

AMENDMENT NO. 106 OFFERED BY MR. BRALEY OF IOWA

At the end of subtitle H of title X, insert the following:

**SEC. 1080. REPORT ON LONG-TERM COSTS OF OPERATION IRAQI FREEDOM AND OPERATION ENDURING FREEDOM.**

(a) **REPORT REQUIREMENT.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President, with contributions from the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of State, and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, shall submit to Congress a report containing an estimate of previous costs of Operation New Dawn (the successor contingency operation to Operation Iraqi Freedom) and the long-term costs of Operation Enduring Freedom for a scenario, determined by the President and based on current contingency operation and withdrawal plans, that takes into account expected force levels and the expected length of time that members of the Armed Forces will be deployed in support of Operation Enduring Freedom.

(b) **ESTIMATES TO BE USED IN PREPARATION OF REPORT.**—In preparing the report required by subsection (a), the President shall make estimates and projections through at least fiscal year 2023, adjust any dollar amounts appropriately for inflation, and take into account and specify each of the following:

(1) The total number of members of the Armed Forces expected to be deployed in support of Operation Enduring Freedom, including—

(A) the number of members of the Armed Forces actually deployed in Southwest Asia in support of Operation Enduring Freedom;

(B) the number of members of reserve components of the Armed Forces called or ordered to active duty in the United States for

the purpose of training for eventual deployment in Southwest Asia, backfilling for deployed troops, or supporting other Department of Defense missions directly or indirectly related to Operation Enduring Freedom; and

(C) the break-down of deployments of members of the regular and reserve components and activation of members of the reserve components.

(2) The number of members of the Armed Forces, including members of the reserve components, who have previously served in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, or Operation Enduring Freedom and who are expected to serve multiple deployments.

(3) The number of contractors and private military security firms that have been used and are expected to be used during the course of Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, and Operation Enduring Freedom.

(4) The number of veterans currently suffering and expected to suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, or other mental injuries.

(5) The number of veterans currently in need of and expected to be in need of prosthetic care and treatment because of amputations incurred during service in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, or Operation Enduring Freedom.

(6) The current number of pending Department of Veterans Affairs claims from veterans of military service in Iraq and Afghanistan, and the total number of such veterans expected to seek disability compensation from the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(7) The total number of members of the Armed Forces who have been killed or wounded in Iraq or Afghanistan, including noncombat casualties, the total number of members expected to suffer injuries in Afghanistan, and the total number of members expected to be killed in Afghanistan, including noncombat casualties.

(8) The amount of funds previously appropriated for the Department of Defense, the Department of State, and the Department of Veterans Affairs for costs related to Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, and Operation Enduring Freedom, including an account of the amount of funding from regular Department of Defense, Department of State, and Department of Veterans Affairs budgets that has gone and will go to costs associated with such operations.

(9) Previous, current, and future operational expenditures associated with Operation Enduring Freedom and, when applicable, Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation New Dawn, including—

(A) funding for combat operations;

(B) deploying, transporting, feeding, and housing members of the Armed Forces (including fuel costs);

(C) activation and deployment of members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces;

(D) equipping and training of Iraqi and Afghan forces;

(E) purchasing, upgrading, and repairing weapons, munitions, and other equipment consumed or used in Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, or Operation Enduring Freedom; and

(F) payments to other countries for logistical assistance in support of such operations.

(10) Past, current, and future costs of entering into contracts with private military security firms and other contractors for the provision of goods and services associated with Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, and Operation Enduring Freedom.

(11) Average annual cost for each member of the Armed Forces deployed in support of Operation Enduring Freedom, including room and board, equipment and body armor, transportation of troops and equipment (including fuel costs), and operational costs.

(12) Current and future cost of combat-related special pays and benefits, including reenlistment bonuses.

(13) Current and future cost of calling or ordering members of the reserve components to active duty in support of Operation Enduring Freedom.

(14) Current and future cost for reconstruction, embassy operations and construction, and foreign aid programs for Iraq and Afghanistan.

(15) Current and future cost of bases and other infrastructure to support members of the Armed Forces serving in Afghanistan.

(16) Current and future cost of providing health care for veterans who served in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, or Operation Enduring Freedom, including—

(A) the cost of mental health treatment for veterans suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder and traumatic brain injury, and other mental problems as a result of such service; and

(B) the cost of lifetime prosthetics care and treatment for veterans suffering from amputations as a result of such service.

(17) Current and future cost of providing Department of Veterans Affairs disability benefits for the lifetime of veterans who incur disabilities while serving in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, or Operation Enduring Freedom.

(18) Current and future cost of providing survivors' benefits to survivors of members of the Armed Forces killed while serving in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, or Operation Enduring Freedom.

(19) Cost of bringing members of the Armed Forces and equipment back to the United States upon the conclusion of Operation Enduring Freedom, including the cost of demobilization, transportation costs (including fuel costs), providing transition services for members of the Armed Forces transitioning from active duty to veteran status, transporting equipment, weapons, and munitions (including fuel costs), and an estimate of the value of equipment that will be left behind.

(20) Cost to restore the military and military equipment, including the equipment of the reserve components, to full strength after the conclusion of Operation Enduring Freedom.

(21) Amount of money borrowed to pay for Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, and Operation Enduring Freedom, and the sources of that money.

(22) Interest on money borrowed, including interest for money already borrowed and anticipated interest payments on future borrowing, for Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation New Dawn, and Operation Enduring Freedom.

AMENDMENT NO. 108 OFFERED BY MR. ANDREWS OF NEW JERSEY

Page 447, line 20, strike "is capable and available" and insert "are available and capable".

Page 449, line 5, insert "or subcontract" after "contract".

AMENDMENT NO. 110 OFFERED BY MS. SPEIER OF CALIFORNIA

Add at the end of subtitle I of title X the following new section:

**SEC. 1090. ACCESS OF EMPLOYEES OF CONGRESSIONAL SUPPORT OFFICES TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FACILITIES.**

(a) FINDING.—Congress finds that Congressional support offices perform a critical role

in enabling Congress to carry out its Constitutionally mandated task of performing oversight of the executive branch.

(b) ACCESS IN SAME MANNER AS EMPLOYEES OF DEFENSE COMMITTEES.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide employees of any Congressional support office who work on issues related to national security with access to facilities of the Department of Defense in the same manner, and subject to the same terms and conditions, as employees of the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and Senate.

(c) CONGRESSIONAL SUPPORT OFFICES DEFINED.—In this section, the term "Congressional support office" means any of the following:

- (1) The Congressional Budget Office.
- (2) The Congressional Research Service of the Library of Congress.
- (3) The Government Accountability Office.

AMENDMENT NO. 116 OFFERED BY MR. LEWIS OF GEORGIA

At the end of title X, add the following new section:

**SEC. 10 . COST OF WARS.**

The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service and the Director of the Bureau of Economic Analysis, shall post on the public Web site of the Department of Defense the costs, including the relevant legacy costs, to each American taxpayer of each of the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq.

AMENDMENT NO. 117 OFFERED BY MR. FARR OF CALIFORNIA

At the end of title X, insert the following:

**SEC. 1090. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING CONSIDERATION OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND CULTURES IN THE BUILDING OF PARTNER CAPACITY.**

It is the sense of Congress that the head of each element of the Department of Defense should take into consideration foreign languages and cultures during the development by such element of the Department of training, tools, and methodologies to engage in military-to-military activities and in the building of partner capacity.

AMENDMENT NO. 118 OFFERED BY MR. GALLEGOS OF TEXAS

At the end of title XI, add the following new section:

**SEC. 11 . EXTENSION OF ENHANCED APPOINTMENT AND COMPENSATION AUTHORITY FOR CIVILIAN PERSONNEL FOR CARE AND TREATMENT OF WOUNDED AND INJURED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) EXTENSION.—Subsection (c) of section 1599c of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking "December 31, 2015" both places it appears and inserting "December 31, 2020".

(b) REPEAL OF FULFILLED REQUIREMENT.—Such section is further amended—

- (1) by striking subsection (b); and
- (2) by redesignating subsection (c), as amended by subsection (a), as subsection (b).

(c) REPEAL OF REFERENCES TO CERTAIN TITLE 5 AUTHORITIES.—Subsection (a)(2)(A) of such section is amended—

- (1) by striking "sections 3304, 5333, and 5753 of title 5" and inserting "section 3304 of title 5"; and

- (2) in clause (ii), by striking "the authorities in such sections" and inserting "the authority in such section".

AMENDMENT NO. 120 OFFERED BY MR. CONNOLLY OF VIRGINIA

At the end of subtitle A of title XII of division A, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . MONITORING AND EVALUATION OF OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISTASTER, AND CIVIC AID PROGRAMS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act to carry

out sections 401, 402, 404, 407, 2557, and 2561 of title 10, United States Code, up to 5 percent of such amounts may be made available to conduct monitoring and evaluation of programs conducted pursuant to such authorities during fiscal year 2014.

(b) BRIEFING.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall provide a briefing to the appropriate congressional committees on mechanisms to evaluate the programs conducted pursuant to the authorities listed in subsection (a). The briefing shall include the following:

(1) A description of how the Department of Defense evaluates program and project outcomes and impact, including cost effectiveness and extent to which programs meet designated goals.

(2) An analysis of steps taken to implement the recommendations from the following reports:

(A) The Government Accountability Office's Report entitled "Project Evaluations and Better Information Sharing Needed to Manage the Military's Efforts".

(B) The Department of Defense Inspector General Report numbered "DODIG-2012-119".

(C) The RAND Corporation's Report prepared for the Office of the Secretary of Defense entitled "Developing a Prototype Handbook for Monitoring and Evaluating Department of Defense Humanitarian Assistance Projects".

(c) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "appropriate congressional committees" means the following:

(1) The congressional defense committees.

(2) The Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

AMENDMENT NO. 127 OFFERED BY MR. GRIMM OF NEW YORK

At the end of subtitle D of title XII of division A, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12. STATEMENT OF POLICY ON CONDEMNING THE GOVERNMENT OF IRAN FOR ITS STATE-SPONSORED PERSECUTION OF ITS BAHAI MINORITY.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) In 1982, 1984, 1988, 1990, 1992, 1994, 1996, 2000, 2006, 2008, 2009, 2012, and 2013, Congress declared that it deplored the religious persecution by the Government of Iran of the Baha'i community and would hold the Government of Iran responsible for upholding the rights of all Iranian nationals, including members of the Baha'i faith.

(2) The United States Commission on International Religious Freedom 2012 Report stated, "The Baha'i community has long been subject to particularly severe religious freedom violations in Iran. Baha'is, who number at least 300,000, are viewed as 'heretics' by Iranian authorities and may face repression on the grounds of apostasy."

(3) The United States Commission on International Religious Freedom 2012 Report stated, "Since 1979, Iranian government authorities have killed more than 200 Baha'i leaders in Iran and dismissed more than 10,000 from government and university jobs."

(4) The United States Commission on International Religious Freedom 2012 Report stated, "Baha'is may not establish places of worship, schools, or any independent religious associations in Iran."

(5) The United States Commission on International Religious Freedom 2012 Report stated, "Baha'is are barred from the military and denied government jobs and pensions as well as the right to inherit property. Their marriages and divorces also are not recognized, and they have difficulty obtaining

death certificates. Baha'i cemeteries, holy places, and community properties are often seized or desecrated, and many important religious sites have been destroyed."

(6) The United States Commission on International Religious Freedom 2012 Report stated, "The Baha'i community faces severe economic pressure, including denials of jobs in both the public and private sectors and of business licenses. Iranian authorities often pressure employers of Baha'is to dismiss them from employment in the private sector."

(7) The Department of State 2011 International Religious Freedom Report stated, "The government prohibits Baha'is from teaching and practicing their faith and subjects them to many forms of discrimination that followers of other religions do not face."

(8) The Department of State 2011 International Religious Freedom Report stated, "According to law, Baha'i blood is considered 'mobah', meaning it can be spilled with impunity."

(9) The Department of State 2011 International Religious Freedom Report stated that "members of religious minorities, with the exception of Baha'is, can serve in lower ranks of government employment", and "Baha'is are barred from all leadership positions in the government and military".

(10) The Department of State 2011 International Religious Freedom Report stated, "Baha'is suffered frequent government harassment and persecution, and their property rights generally were disregarded. The government raided Baha'i homes and businesses and confiscated large amounts of private and commercial property, as well as religious materials belonging to Baha'is."

(11) The Department of State 2011 International Religious Freedom Report stated, "Baha'is also are required to register with the police".

(12) The Department of State 2011 International Religious Freedom Report stated that "[p]ublic and private universities continued to deny admittance to and expelled Baha'i students" and "[d]uring the year, at least 30 Baha'is were barred or expelled from universities on political or religious grounds".

(13) The Department of State 2011 International Religious Freedom Report stated, "Baha'is are regularly denied compensation for injury or criminal victimization."

(14) On March 6, 2012, the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Islamic Republic of Iran issued a report (A/HRC/19/66), which stated that "the Special Rapporteur continues to be alarmed by communications that demonstrate the systemic and systematic persecution of members of unrecognized religious communities, particularly the Baha'i community, in violation of international conventions" and expressed concern regarding "an intensive defamation campaign meant to incite discrimination and hate against Baha'is".

(15) On May 23, 2012, the United Nations Secretary-General issued a report, which stated that "the Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief . . . pointed out that the Islamic Republic of Iran had a policy of systematic persecution of persons belonging to the Baha'i faith, excluding them from the application of freedom of religion or belief by simply denying that their faith had the status of a religion".

(16) On August 22, 2012, the United Nations Secretary-General issued a report, which stated, "The international community continues to express concerns about the very serious discrimination against ethnic and religious minorities in law and in practice, in particular the Baha'i community. The Spe-

cial Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Islamic Republic of Iran expressed alarm about the systemic and systematic persecution of members of the Baha'i community, including severe socioeconomic pressure and arrests and detention. He also deplored the Government's tolerance of an intensive defamation campaign aimed at inciting discrimination and hate against Baha'is."

(17) On September 13, 2012, the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Islamic Republic of Iran issued a report (A/67/369), which stated, "Reports and interviews submitted to the Special Rapporteur also continue to portray a disturbing trend with regard to religious freedom in the country. Members of both recognized and unrecognized religions have reported various levels of intimidation, arrest, detention and interrogation that focus on their religious beliefs," and stated, "At the time of drafting the report, 105 members of the Baha'i community were reported to be in detention."

(18) On November 27, 2012, the Third Committee of the United Nations General Assembly adopted a draft resolution (A/C.3/67/L.51), which noted, "[I]ncreased persecution and human rights violations against persons belonging to unrecognized religious minorities, particularly members of the Baha'i faith and their defenders, including escalating attacks, an increase in the number of arrests and detentions, the restriction of access to higher education on the basis of religion, the sentencing of twelve Baha'is associated with Baha'i educational institutions to lengthy prison terms, the continued denial of access to employment in the public sector, additional restrictions on participation in the private sector, and the de facto criminalization of membership in the Baha'i faith."

(19) On December 20, 2012, the United Nations General Assembly adopted a resolution (A/RES/67/182), which called upon the government of Iran "[t]o eliminate discrimination against, and exclusion of . . . members of the Baha'i Faith, regarding access to higher education, and to eliminate the criminalization of efforts to provide higher education to Baha'i youth denied access to Iranian universities," and "to accord all Baha'is, including those imprisoned because of their beliefs, the due process of law and the rights that they are constitutionally guaranteed".

(20) On February 28, 2013, the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Islamic Republic of Iran issued a report (A/HRC/22/56), which stated, "110 Baha'is are currently detained in Iran for exercising their faith, including two women, Mrs. Zohreh Nikayin and Mrs. Taraneh Torabi, who are reportedly nursing infants in prison".

(21) In March and May of 2008, intelligence officials of the Government of Iran in Mashhad and Tehran arrested and imprisoned Mrs. Fariba Kamalabadi, Mr. Jamaloddin Khanjani, Mr. Afif Naeimi, Mr. Saeid Rezaei, Mr. Behrouz Tavakkoli, Mrs. Mahvash Sabet, and Mr. Vahid Tizfahm, the seven members of the ad hoc leadership group for the Baha'i community in Iran.

(22) In August 2010, the Revolutionary Court in Tehran sentenced the seven Baha'i leaders to 20-year prison terms on charges of "spying for Israel, insulting religious sanctities, propaganda against the regime and spreading corruption on earth".

(23) The lawyer for these seven leaders, Mrs. Shirin Ebadi, the Nobel Laureate, was denied meaningful or timely access to the prisoners and their files, and her successors as defense counsel were provided extremely limited access.

(24) These seven Baha'i leaders were targeted solely on the basis of their religion.



(25) Beginning in May 2011, Government of Iran officials in four cities conducted sweeping raids on the homes of dozens of individuals associated with the Baha'i Institute for Higher Education (BIHE) and arrested and detained several educators associated with BIHE.

(26) In October 2011, the Revolutionary Court in Tehran sentenced seven of these BIHE instructors and administrators, Mr. Vahid Mahmoudi, Mr. Kamran Mortezaie, Mr. Mahmoud Badavam, Ms. Nooshin Khadem, Mr. Farhad Sedghi, Mr. Riaz Sobhani, and Mr. Ramin Zibaie, to prison terms for the crime of "membership of the deviant sect of Baha'ism, with the goal of taking action against the security of the country, in order to further the aims of the deviant sect and those of organizations outside the country".

(27) Six of these educators remain imprisoned, with Mr. Mortezaie serving a 5-year prison term and Mr. Badavam, Ms. Khadem, Mr. Sedghi, Mr. Sobhani, and Mr. Zibaie serving 4-year prison terms.

(28) Since October 2011, four other BIHE educators, Ms. Faran Hessami, Mr. Kamran Rahimian, Mr. Kayvan Rahimian, and Mr. Shahin Negari have been sentenced to 4-year prison terms, which they are now serving.

(29) The efforts of the Government of Iran to collect information on individual Baha'is have recently intensified as evidenced by a letter, dated November 5, 2011, from the Director of the Department of Education in the county of Shahriar in the province of Tehran, instructing the directors of schools in his jurisdiction to "subtly and in a confidential manner" collect information on Baha'i students.

(30) The Baha'i community continues to undergo intense economic and social pressure, including an ongoing campaign in the town of Semnan, where the Government of Iran has harassed and detained Baha'is, closed 17 Baha'i owned businesses in the last three years, and imprisoned several members of the community, including three mothers along with their infants.

(31) Ordinary Iranian citizens who belong to the Baha'i faith are disproportionately targeted, interrogated, and detained under the pretext of national security.

(32) The Government of Iran is party to the International Covenants on Human Rights and is in violation of its obligations under the Covenants.

(b) STATEMENT OF POLICY.—Congress—

(1) condemns the Government of Iran for its state-sponsored persecution of its Baha'i minority and its continued violation of the International Covenants on Human Rights;

(2) calls on the Government of Iran to immediately release the seven imprisoned leaders, the ten imprisoned educators, and all other prisoners held solely on account of their religion; and

(3) calls on the President and Secretary of State, in cooperation with responsible nations, to immediately condemn the Government of Iran's continued violation of human rights and demand the immediate release of prisoners held solely on account of their religion.

AMENDMENT NO. 128 OFFERED BY MR. CONNOLLY OF VIRGINIA

Page 522, line 8, insert before the semicolon the following: ", including those involved in Egyptian civil society and democratic promotion efforts through nongovernmental organizations".

AMENDMENT NO. 129 OFFERED BY MS. ROSELEHTINEN OF FLORIDA

Page 522, after line 18, insert the following:

(D) A description of the strategic objectives of the United States regarding the provision of United States security assistance to the Government of Egypt.

(E) A description of biennial outlays of United States security assistance to the Government of Egypt for the purposes of strategic planning, training, provision of equipment, and construction of facilities, including funding streams.

(F) A description of vetting and end-user monitoring systems in place by both Egypt and the United States for defense articles and training provided by the United States, including human rights vetting.

(G) A description of actions that the Government of Egypt is taking to—

(i) repudiate, combat, and stop incitement to violence against the United States and United States citizens and prohibit the transmission within its domains of satellite television or radio channels that broadcast such incitement; and

(ii) adopt and implement legal reforms that protect the religious and democratic freedoms of all citizens and residents of Egypt.

(H) Recommendations, including with respect to required resources and actions, to maximize the effectiveness of United States security assistance provided to Egypt.

Page 523, after line 3, insert the following:

(c) GAO REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the submission of the report required under subsection (b), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that—

(1) reviews and comments on the report required under subsection (b); and

(2) provides recommendations regarding additional actions with respect to the provision of United States security assistance to Egypt, if necessary.

AMENDMENT NO. 132 OFFERED BY MR. LAMBORN OF COLORADO

Page 539, after line 7, insert the following new paragraph:

(4) the sale or transfer of advanced anti-aircraft weapons systems to Syria poses a grave risk to Israel and the United States supports Israel's right to respond to this grave threat as needed;

Page 539, line 8, through page 540, line 12, redesignate paragraphs (4) through (10) as paragraphs (5) through (11), respectively.

AMENDMENT NO. 133 OFFERED BY MR. KELLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

At the end of subtitle E of title XII of division A, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS TO IMPLEMENT THE ARMS TRADE TREATY.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 or any fiscal year thereafter for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to implement the Arms Trade Treaty, or to make any change to existing programs, projects, or activities as approved by Congress in furtherance of, pursuant to, or otherwise to implement the Arms Trade Treaty, unless the Arms Trade Treaty has been signed by the President, received the advice and consent of the Senate, and has been the subject of implementing legislation by the Congress.

AMENDMENT NO. 134 OFFERED BY MR. RIGELL OF VIRGINIA

At the end of subtitle E of title XII of division A, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . WAR POWERS OF CONGRESS.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) In 1793, George Washington said, "The constitution vests the power of declaring war in Congress; therefore no offensive expedition of importance can be undertaken until after they shall have deliberated upon the subject and authorized such a measure."

(2) In a letter to Thomas Jefferson in 1798, James Madison wrote: "The constitution supposes, what the History of all Governments demonstrates, that the Executive is the branch of power most interested in war, and most prone to it. It has accordingly with studied care vested the question of war to the Legislature."

(3) In 1973, Congress passed the War Powers Resolution which states in section 2: "The constitutional powers of the President as Commander-in-Chief to introduce United States Armed Forces into hostilities, or into situations where imminent involvement in hostilities is clearly indicated by the circumstances, are exercised only pursuant to (1) a declaration of war, (2) specific statutory authorization, or (3) national emergency created by attack upon the United States, its territories or possessions, or its armed forces."

(4) In its April 1, 2011, Memorandum to President Obama, the Office of Legal Counsel concluded: "President Obama could rely on his constitutional power to safeguard the national interest by directing the anticipated military operations in Libya—which were limited in their nature, scope, and duration—without prior congressional authorization."

(5) On June 15, 2011, in a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives from the Department of Defense and Department of State, the Departments informed Congress that "The President is of the view that the current U.S. military operations in Libya are consistent with the War Powers Resolution and do not under that law require further congressional authorization, because U.S. military operations are distinct from the kind of 'hostilities contemplated by the Resolution's 60 day termination provision'."

(6) The precedence set by the Executive Branch in its assertion that Congress plays no role in military actions like those taken in Libya is contrary to the intent of the Framers and of the Constitution which vests sole authority to declare war in the Legislative Branch.

(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize any use of military force.

AMENDMENT NO. 136 OFFERED BY MR. BROUN OF GEORGIA

At the end of subtitle E of title XII of division A, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . PROHIBITION ON USE OF DRONES TO KILL UNITED STATES CITIZENS.**

(a) PROHIBITION.—The Department of Defense may not use a drone to kill a citizen of the United States.

(b) EXCEPTION.—The prohibition under subsection (a) shall not apply to an individual who is actively engaged in combat against the United States.

(c) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "drone" means an unmanned aircraft (as defined in section 331 of the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012 (49 U.S.C. 40101 note)).

AMENDMENT NO. 138 OFFERED BY MR. CONNOLLY OF VIRGINIA

At the end of subtitle E of title XII of division A, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . SALE OF F-16 AIRCRAFT TO TAIWAN.**

The President shall carry out the sale of no fewer than 66 F-16C/D multirole fighter aircraft to Taiwan.

AMENDMENT NO. 139 OFFERED BY MR. ROSKAM OF ILLINOIS

At the end of subtitle E of title XII of division A, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . STATEMENT OF POLICY AND REPORT ON THE INHERENT RIGHT OF ISRAEL TO SELF-DEFENSE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The United States-Israel Enhanced Security Cooperation Act of 2012 (22 U.S.C. 8601 et seq.) established the policy of the United States to support the inherent right of Israel to self-defense.

(2) The United States-Israel Enhanced Security Cooperation Act of 2012 (22 U.S.C. 8601 et seq.) expressed the sense of Congress that the Government of the United States should transfer to the Government of Israel defense articles and defense services such as air refueling tankers, missile defense capabilities, and specialized munitions.

(3) The inherent right of Israel to self-defense necessarily includes the possession and maintenance by Israel of an independent capability to remove existential threats to its security and defend its vital national interests.

(b) POLICY OF THE UNITED STATES.—It is the policy of the United States to take all necessary steps to ensure that Israel possesses and maintains an independent capability to remove existential threats to its security and defend its vital national interests.

(c) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that air refueling tankers and advanced bunker-buster munitions should immediately be transferred to Israel to ensure our democratic ally has an independent capability to remove any existential threat posed by the Iranian nuclear program and defend its vital national interests.

(d) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter, the President shall submit to the House and Senate Armed Services committees, the House Foreign Affairs Committee, the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, and the House and Senate Appropriations committees a report that—

(1) identifies all aerial refueling platforms, bunker-buster munitions, and other capabilities and platforms that would contribute significantly to the maintenance by Israel of a robust independent capability to remove existential security threats, including nuclear and ballistic missile facilities in Iran, and defend its vital national interests;

(2) assesses the availability for sale or transfer of items necessary to acquire the capabilities and platforms described in paragraph (1) as well as the legal authorities available for making such transfers; and

(3) describes the steps the President is taking to immediately transfer the items described in paragraph (1) pursuant to the policy described in subsection (b).

AMENDMENT NO. 140 OFFERED BY MR. BRIDENSTINE OF OKLAHOMA

Add at the end of subtitle E of title XII the following:

**SEC. 1259. REPORT ON COLLECTIVE AND NATIONAL SECURITY IMPLICATIONS OF CENTRAL ASIAN AND SOUTH CAUCASUS ENERGY DEVELOPMENT.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) Assured access to stable energy supplies is an enduring concern of both the United

States and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO).

(2) Adopted in Lisbon in November 2010, the new NATO Strategic Concept declares that “[s]ome NATO countries will become more dependent on foreign energy suppliers and in some cases, on foreign energy supply and distribution networks for their energy needs”.

(3) The report required by section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81) reaffirmed the Strategic Concept’s assessment of growing energy dependence of some members of the NATO alliance and also noted there is value in the assured access, protection, and delivery of energy.

(4) Development of energy resources and transit routes in the areas surrounding the Caspian Sea can diversify sources of supply for members of the NATO alliance, particularly those in Eastern Europe.

(b) REPORT.—

(1) REPORT.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Energy, submit to the appropriate congressional committees a detailed report on the implications of new energy resource development and distribution networks, both planned and under construction, in the areas surrounding the Caspian Sea for energy security strategies of the United States and NATO.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) An assessment of the dependence of NATO members on a single oil or natural gas supplier or distribution network.

(B) An assessment of the potential of energy resources of the areas surrounding the Caspian Sea to mitigate such dependence on a single supplier or distribution network.

(C) Recommendations, if any, for ways in which the United States can help support increased energy security for NATO members.

(3) SUBMISSION OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—The report under this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

AMENDMENT NO. 145 OFFERED BY MR. BRIDENSTINE OF OKLAHOMA

Page 551, after line 12, insert the following:

**SEC. 1259. REPORT ON MILITARY AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.**

(a) REPORT.—Not later than June 1, 2014, and June 1 of each year thereafter through 2017, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the specified congressional committees a report, in both classified and unclassified form, on the current and future military power of the Russian Federation (in this section referred to as “Russia”). The report shall address the current and probable future course of military-technological development of the Russian military, the tenets and probable development of Russian security strategy and military strategy, and military organizations and operational concepts, for the 20-year period following submission of such report.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—A report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the security situation in regions neighboring Russia.

(2) The goals and factors shaping Russian security strategy and military strategy.

(3) Trends in Russian security and military behavior that would be designed to achieve, or that are consistent with, the goals described in paragraph (2).

(4) An assessment of Russia’s global and regional security objectives, including objectives that would affect the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, the Middle East, and the People’s Republic of China.

(5) A detailed assessment of the sizes, locations, and capabilities of Russian nuclear, special operations, land, sea, and air forces.

(6) Developments in Russian military doctrine and training.

(7) An assessment of the proliferation activities of Russia and Russian entities, as a supplier of materials, technologies, or expertise relating to nuclear weapons or other weapons of mass destruction or missile systems.

(8) Developments in Russia’s asymmetric capabilities, including its strategy and efforts to develop and deploy cyberwarfare and electronic warfare capabilities, details on the number of malicious cyber incidents originating from Russia against Department of Defense infrastructure, and associated activities originating or suspected of originating from Russia.

(9) The strategy and capabilities of Russian space and counterspace programs, including trends, global and regional activities, the involvement of military and civilian organizations, including state-owned enterprises, academic institutions, and commercial entities, and efforts to develop, acquire, or gain access to advanced technologies that would enhance Russian military capabilities.

(10) Developments in Russia’s nuclear program, including the size and state of Russia’s stockpile, its nuclear strategy and associated doctrines, its civil and military production capacities, and projections of its future arsenals.

(11) A description of Russia’s anti-access and area denial capabilities.

(12) A description of Russia’s command, control, communications, computers, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance modernization program and its applications for Russia’s precision guided weapons.

(13) In consultation with the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of State, developments regarding United States-Russian engagement and cooperation on security matters.

(14) The current state of United States military-to-military contacts with the Russian Federation Armed Forces, which shall include the following:

(A) A comprehensive and coordinated strategy for such military-to-military contacts and updates to the strategy.

(B) A summary of all such military-to-military contacts during the one-year period preceding the report, including a summary of topics discussed and questions asked by the Russian participants in those contacts.

(C) A description of such military-to-military contacts scheduled for the 12-month period following such report and the plan for future contacts.

(D) The Secretary’s assessment of the benefits the Russians expect to gain from such military-to-military contacts.

(E) The Secretary’s assessment of the benefits the Department of Defense expects to gain from such military-to-military contacts, and any concerns regarding such contacts.

(F) The Secretary’s assessment of how such military-to-military contacts fit into the larger security relationship between the United States and the Russian Federation.

(15) A description of Russian military-to-military relationships with other countries,

including the size and activity of military attaché offices around the world and military education programs conducted in Russia for other countries or in other countries for the Russians.

(16) Other military and security developments involving Russia that the Secretary of Defense considers relevant to United States national security.

(c) DEFINITION.—In this section the term “specified congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON) and the gentleman from Washington (Mr. LARSEN) each will control 10 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chair, I urge the committee to adopt the amendments en bloc, all of which have been examined by both the majority and the minority.

At this time, I yield 2 minutes to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. GINGREY).

Mr. GINGREY of Georgia. Madam Chairman, I thank the chairman for recognizing me, and I rise in strong support of the Connolly-Granger-Diaz-Balart-Gingrey-Sires-Carter amendment No. 185 to H.R. 1960 that was included in the en bloc amendment No. 6.

As a former cochair of the Congressional Taiwan Caucus, I believe this amendment embodies the spirit of the Taiwan Relations Act of 1979 in providing assistance to Taiwan for its own defense.

Through the Taiwan Relations Act, we are able to conduct arms sales to Taipei. Over the past 30 years, we have done this time and time again. Unfortunately, the Obama administration has failed to proceed on Taiwan's top request: the F-16/CD aircraft.

Taiwan has an aging fixed-wing aircraft fleet; and with the growing military gap across the Taiwan Strait, it is critical that we sell them this aircraft.

Our bipartisan amendment does just that by requiring the President to move forward on the sale of no fewer than 66 F-16/CDs. And I urge my colleagues to uphold our commitment to Taiwan and support the Connolly-Granger-Diaz-Balart-Gingrey-Sires-Carter amendment.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chair, at this time I yield 2 minutes to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. BRIDENSTINE).

Mr. BRIDENSTINE. Madam Chair, I rise in support of my amendments, No. 116 and No. 158, in the en bloc package.

My first amendment requires the Department of Defense to annually assess military and security developments involving the Russian Federation.

To be quite frank, the Obama administration's so-called “reset policy”

with Russia is in shambles. Moscow has been intransigent on Iran, continues to supply Syria with weapons, occupies Georgia, has repeatedly threatened our NATO allies with nuclear strikes, and continually seeks to undermine the political independence of former Soviet satellite states.

Vladimir Putin announced plans to spend about \$750 billion to modernize the Russian military. The Putin buildup envisions modernized and robust nuclear, space and cyber forces. By the way, Madam Chairman, not too long ago Putin called the Soviet Union's collapse “the greatest geopolitical catastrophe for the century.”

□ 2030

Russian military modernization concerns us and our allies and our friends, particularly those in Eastern Europe and the Caucasus. It is imperative that Congress understand the implications of Russia's military buildup for our bilateral relationship and regional stability.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Chair, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. How much time do we have left?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California has 7 minutes remaining.

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chair, I yield 2 minutes to my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. BROUN).

Mr. BROUN of Georgia. I thank the chairman for yielding.

I am pleased to support this en bloc amendment which includes important language to put a stop to the practice of using drones to kill Americans and prevent any administration in the future from doing so as well. The only exception would be if a citizen is actively engaged in combat against the United States. Not plotting, not suspected, but currently engaging in combat.

Attorney General Eric Holder made it perfectly clear in a recent white paper that the administration believes that they have the right to be judge, jury and executioner of any and all American citizens.

My amendment would correct this dangerous overreach and defend Americans' God-given constitutionally protected rights.

However, while this will curtail the threat of drones, I'm disappointed that another of my amendments was not made in order to address another overarching issue.

Along with my colleague from California, Congresswoman LEE, I sponsored an amendment to sunset the Authorization for Use of Military Force in Afghanistan, a provision that has outrageously expanded the powers of the Federal Government. This law has allowed our government to engage in indefinite detention, extrajudicial targeted killing, warrantless surveillance and wiretapping activities, and the open-ended expansion of military operations abroad.

It's time for this provision to go. And if we need additional war authorizations, they should be narrow and clear, as our Founders intended. It's time to end this abuse of power by our Federal Government. I will continue working with my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to meet that goal and to restore liberty in America.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Chair, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chair, I yield 1 minute to my good friend from Georgia, Dr. PHIL GINGREY.

Mr. GINGREY of Georgia. Madam Chair, I rise today to urge my colleagues to support my commonsense amendment that was included in one of the en bloc amendments to be considered tomorrow that would express the sense of Congress that Active Duty military personnel who live in or are stationed in Washington, D.C., should be exempt from existing District of Columbia firearms restrictions.

It is no secret that the District of Columbia has historically had some of the most restrictive firearm regulations in the Nation, even after the victory for Second Amendment rights in the 2008 ruling by the Supreme Court in the District of Columbia v. Heller. With approximately 40,000 servicemen and -women across all branches of the Armed Forces either living in or actually stationed on Active Duty within the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area, these individuals are subject to the very laws of the District of Columbia that make the lawful possession of firearms nearly impossible.

My amendment would recognize that the D.C. handgun law, especially in regard to trained servicemen and -women, punishes individuals.

The Acting CHAIR. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. MCKEON. I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentleman.

Mr. GINGREY of Georgia. I thank the gentleman.

Madam Chairman, my amendment would recognize that the D.C. handgun law, especially in regard to trained servicemen and -women, punishes individuals well-equipped to protect themselves and others while emboldening perpetrators of violent crime.

I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support this amendment.

Mr. MCKEON. We have no further speakers.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CONNOLLY. Madam Chair, I am pleased to offer a simple bipartisan amendment with Representatives WOLF and SCHNEIDER to expand an existing report required by Section 1242 of the bill. The amendment clarifies that the report ought to include information on how the Egyptian military is supporting the rights of individuals involved in civil society and democratic promotion efforts through non-governmental organizations or NGOs.

This a timely issue, given the guilty verdict rendered by an Egyptian court June 4th against 43 NGO workers—including 17 Americans—because of their involvement with pro-democracy groups. The guilty verdict renews concerns about Egypt's commitment to democratic principles. In fact, I am circulating a bipartisan letter with my Virginia colleague, Representative WOLF, urging Egyptian President Morsi to immediately reconsider this action and permit the NGOs to continue their important work. So far, more than 50 Members of Congress have signed our bipartisan letter, including Representative SCHNEIDER, who also cosponsored this amendment.

The United States supports the aspirations of the Egyptian people to become a free and fair society, in which all NGOs—regardless of their nation of origin—are allowed to operate freely. I hope that Egyptian officials will come to this same realization and return property confiscated from the NGOs 18 months ago, remove their staff from the no-fly list, and permit them to continue their work supporting a fair and open election process and helping to improve the lives of all Egyptians.

If the U.S. government and the American people are to have any confidence that the Egyptian government is undertaking a genuine transition to a democratic state, under civilian control, where the freedoms of assembly, association, religion, and expression are guaranteed and the rule of law is upheld, then we must see a swift and satisfactory resolution to this case.

As my colleagues will recall, this ordeal began a year and a half ago, when Egyptian forces raided both American and non-American NGO offices. During the raids, Egyptian forces seized records, computers, other electronic equipment, and hard currency. At every turn Egyptian authorities assured the NGOs and U.S. authorities that the situation would be appropriately resolved, only to renege on their word. For example, three days after the raids, U.S. NGOs were waiting for the return of their confiscated property as promised by Field Marshal Tantawi while simultaneously, another Egyptian official—Fayza Abou Naga, the government minister in charge of coordinating foreign aid—was holding a press conference saying the property would not be returned. Abou Naga also accused the NGOs of illicitly funneling money to the April 6th Youth Movement.

When I traveled to Egypt in March of last year, my colleagues and I raised the issue of the NGOs with General Tantawi. During that trip, we also met with the Egyptian staffers who were facing charges. They were in a precarious position, and their situation has only worsened with the June 4th verdict.

We cannot in good conscience ignore the results of the recent trial, which comes on the heels of a draft law that further restricts NGOs, fails to meet Egypt's international commitments with respect to freedom of association, and lends credence to the opinion that there is an ongoing war against civil society in Egypt.

U.S. law with regard to this issue is clear in the restrictions placed on the \$1.3 billion in military aid for Egypt: Prior to the obligation of funds appropriated by this Act under the heading "Foreign Military Financing Program," the Secretary of State shall certify to the Committees on Appropriations that the Government of Egypt is supporting the transition to civilian

government including holding free and fair elections; implementing policies to protect freedom of expression, association, and religion, and due process of law.

With the current state of affairs in Egypt, any such certification that Egypt is, in fact, implementing policies to guarantee the pillars of a free society would be met with skepticism. That is why news reports of Secretary Kerry's recent action to waive the restrictions on that military aid are of particular concern. It is not too late to include these important NGO issues in a larger discussion about releasing (or withholding) other tranches of money to Egypt.

Our amendment would further support the transition to democracy by requiring the Pentagon report on how Egyptian military activities contribute to an atmosphere where pro-democracy NGOs can operate freely. I encourage my colleagues to support the Connolly/Wolf/Schneider amendment and to sign the related letter to President Morsi of Egypt.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendments en bloc offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON).

The en bloc amendments were agreed to.

AMENDMENTS EN BLOC NO. 7 OFFERED BY MR. MCKEON

Mr. MCKEON. Mr. Chairman, pursuant to H. Res. 260, I offer amendments en bloc.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendments en bloc.

Amendments en bloc No. 7 consisting of amendment Nos. 76, 92, 93, 122, 124, 125, 131, 135, 141, 144, 147, 148, 151, 155, 162, 167, 168, and 169, printed in House Report No. 113-108, offered by Mr. MCKEON of California:

AMENDMENT NO. 76 OFFERED BY MS. SCHAKOWSKY OF ILLINOIS

At the end of subtitle D of title VI, add the following new section:

**SEC. 6. EXCHANGE STORE SYSTEM PARTICIPATION IN THE ACCORD ON FIRE AND BUILDING SAFETY IN BANGLADESH.**

(a) SPECIAL PROCUREMENT GUIDANCE FOR GARMENTS MANUFACTURED IN BANGLADESH.—The senior official of the Department of Defense designated pursuant to section 2481(c) to oversee the defense commissary system and the exchange store system shall require, consistent with applicable international agreements, that the exchange store system—

(1) for the purchase of garments manufactured in Bangladesh for the private label brands of the exchange store system, becomes a signatory of or otherwise complies with applicable requirements set forth in the Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh;

(2) for the purchase of licensed apparel manufactured in Bangladesh, gives a preference to licensees that are signatories to the Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh; and

(3) for the purchase of garments manufactured in Bangladesh from retail suppliers, gives a preference to retail suppliers that are signatories to the Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh.

(b) NOTICE OF EXCEPTIONS.—If any garments manufactured in Bangladesh are purchased from suppliers that are not signatories to the Accord on Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh, the Department of Defense official referred to in subsection (a) shall no-

tify Congress of the purchase and the reasons therefor.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The requirements imposed by this section shall take effect 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act or as soon after that date as the Secretary of Defense determines to be practicable so as to avoid disruption in garment supplies for the exchange store system.

AMENDMENT NO. 92 OFFERED BY MR. RIGELL OF VIRGINIA

At the end of title VIII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 833. PROHIBITION ON PURCHASE OF MILITARY COINS NOT MADE IN UNITED STATES.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act may be used to purchase military coins that are not produced in the United States.

AMENDMENT NO. 93 OFFERED BY MS. TSONGAS OF MASSACHUSETTS

At the end of title VIII, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 833. COMPLIANCE WITH DOMESTIC SOURCE REQUIREMENTS FOR FOOTWEAR FURNISHED TO ENLISTED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES UPON THEIR INITIAL ENTRY INTO THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) REQUIREMENT.—Section 418 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d)(1) In the case of athletic footwear needed by members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps upon their initial entry into the armed forces, the Secretary of Defense shall furnish such footwear directly to the members instead of providing a cash allowance to the members for the purchase of such footwear.

“(2) In procuring athletic footwear to comply with paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall comply with the requirements of section 2533a of title 10, without regard to the applicability of any simplified acquisition threshold under chapter 137 of title 10 (or any other provision of law).—

“(3) This subsection does not prohibit the provision of a cash allowance to a member described in paragraph (1) for the purchase of athletic footwear if such footwear—

“(A) is medically required to meet unique physiological needs of the member; and

“(B) cannot be met with athletic footwear that complies with the requirements of this subsection.”.

(b) CERTIFICATION.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall not take effect until the Secretary of Defense certifies that there are at least two sources that can provide athletic footwear to the Department of Defense that is 100 percent compliant with section 2533a of title 10, United States Code.

AMENDMENT NO. 122 OFFERED BY MR. LYNCH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Page 497, line 13, strike “(g), (h), and (i)” and insert “(h), (i), and (j)”.

Page 497, line 15, strike “subsection” and insert “subsections”.

Page 498, line 11, before the closing quotation marks insert the following:

“(g) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED: ASSESSMENT OF CAPABILITY OF ANSF TO PROVIDE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FUNCTIONS.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include a detailed assessment of the capability of the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF) to provide operations and maintenance functions for infrastructure projects constructed for the ANSF after January 1, 2015, including—

“(1) a description of training provided to the ANSF by the United States and the International Security Assistance Force;

“(2) a comprehensive evaluation of operations and maintenance capabilities and skills; and

“(3) the Government of Afghanistan’s financial wherewithal to perform or contract out such functions.

AMENDMENT NO. 124 OFFERED BY MR. JOHNSON OF GEORGIA

At the end of subtitle C of title XII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . LIMITATION ON FUNDS TO ESTABLISH PERMANENT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS OR BASES IN AFGHANISTAN.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act may be obligated or expended by the United States Government to establish any military installation or base for the purpose of providing for the permanent stationing of United States Armed Forces in Afghanistan.

AMENDMENT NO. 125 OFFERED BY MR. SCHNEIDER OF ILLINOIS

Page 509, line 7, strike “and” at the end.

Page 509, line 11, strike the first period, the closing quotation marks, and the second period and insert “; and”.

Page 509, after line 11, add the following new subparagraph:

“(G) an analysis of how sanctions on Iran are effecting its military capability and its ability to export terrorism to proxy groups within its Threat Network.”.

AMENDMENT NO. 131 OFFERED BY MR. SCHNEIDER OF ILLINOIS

Page 539, strike lines 4 through 7 and insert the following:

(3) the conflict in Syria threatens the vital national security interests of Israel and the stability of Jordan, Lebanon, and Turkey, the implications of which should be sufficiently weighed by the President when considering policy approaches towards the conflict in Syria;

Page 540, line 11, strike “and” at the end.

Page 540, line 14, strike the period at the end and insert “; and”.

Page 540, after line 14, insert the following new paragraph:

(11) the President should use all diplomatic means to disrupt the flow of arms into Syria, including efforts to dissuade Russia from further arms sales with Syria, the influx of weapons and fighters from Hezbollah, and the infiltration of weapons and fighters from Iran.

AMENDMENT NO. 135 OFFERED BY MR. ELLISON OF MINNESOTA

At the end of subtitle E of title XII of division A of the bill, add the following:

**SEC. 12xx. LIMITATION ON ASSISTANCE TO PROVIDE TEAR GAS OR OTHER RIOT CONTROL ITEMS.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act may be used to provide tear gas or other riot control items to the government of a country undergoing a transition to democracy in the Middle East or North Africa unless the Secretary of Defense certifies to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives that the security forces of such government are not using excessive force to repress peaceful, lawful, and organized dissent.

AMENDMENT NO. 141 OFFERED BY MR. WELCH OF VERMONT

At the end of subtitle E of title XII, add the following:

**SEC. 1259. REPORT ON CERTAIN FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO AFGHAN MILITARY.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on measures to monitor and ensure that United States financial assistance to the Afghan National Security Forces to purchase fuel is not used to purchase fuel from Iran in violation of United States sanctions.

AMENDMENT NO. 144 OFFERED BY MR. GOSAR OF ARIZONA

At the end of subtitle E of title XII of division A, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . ISRAEL’S RIGHT TO SELF-DEFENSE.**

Congress fully supports Israel’s lawful exercise of self-defense, including actions to halt regional aggression.

AMENDMENT NO. 147 OFFERED BY MR. WALORSKI OF INDIANA

At the appropriate place in title XII insert the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . SENSE OF CONGRESS STRONGLY SUPPORTING THE FULL IMPLEMENTATION OF UNITED STATES AND INTERNATIONAL SANCTIONS ON IRAN AND URGING THE PRESIDENT TO CONTINUE TO STRENGTHEN ENFORCEMENT OF SANCTIONS LEGISLATION.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) On May 14, 1948, the people of Israel proclaimed the establishment of the sovereign and independent State of Israel.

(2) On March 28, 1949, the United States Government recognized the establishment of the new State of Israel and established full diplomatic relations.

(3) Since its establishment nearly 65 years ago, the modern State of Israel has rebuilt a nation, forged a new and dynamic democratic society, and created a thriving economic, political, cultural, and intellectual life despite the heavy costs of war, terrorism, and unjustified diplomatic and economic boycotts against the people of Israel.

(4) The people of Israel have established a vibrant, pluralistic, democratic political system, including freedom of speech, association, and religion; a vigorously free press; free, fair, and open elections; the rule of law; a fully independent judiciary; and other democratic principles and practices.

(5) Since the 1979 revolution in Iran, the leaders of the Islamic Republic of Iran have repeatedly made threats against the existence of the State of Israel and sponsored acts of terrorism and violence against its citizens.

(6) On October 27, 2005, President of Iran Mahmoud Ahmadinejad called for a world without America and Zionism.

(7) In February 2012, Supreme Leader of Iran Ali Khamenei said of Israel, “The Zionist regime is a true cancer tumor on this region that should be cut off. And it definitely will be cut off.”.

(8) In August 2012, Supreme Leader Khamenei said of Israel, “This bogus and fake Zionist outgrowth will disappear off the landscape of geography.”.

(9) In August 2012, President Ahmadinejad said that “in the new Middle East . . . there will be no trace of the American presence and the Zionists”;

(10) The Department of State has designated the Islamic Republic of Iran as a state sponsor of terrorism since 1984 and has characterized the Islamic Republic of Iran as the “most active state sponsor of terrorism” in the world.

(11) The Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran has provided weapons, training, funding, and direction to terrorist groups, including Hamas, Hizballah, and Shiite militias in Iraq that are responsible for the murder of hundreds of United States service members and innocent civilians.

(12) The Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran has provided weapons, training, and funding to the regime of Bashar al Assad that has been used to suppress and murder its own people.

(13) Since at least the late 1980s, the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran has engaged in a sustained and well-documented

pattern of illicit and deceptive activities to acquire a nuclear weapons capability.

(14) Since September 2005, the Board of Governors of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) has found the Islamic Republic of Iran to be in non-compliance with its safeguards agreement with the IAEA, which Iran is obligated to undertake as a non-nuclear-weapon State Party to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, done at Washington, London, and Moscow July 1, 1968, and entered into force March 5, 1970 (NPT).

(15) The United Nations Security Council has adopted multiple resolutions since 2006 demanding of the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran its full and sustained suspension of all uranium enrichment-related and reprocessing activities and its full cooperation with the IAEA on all outstanding issues related to its nuclear activities, particularly those concerning the possible military dimensions of its nuclear program.

(16) The Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran has refused to comply with United Nations Security Council resolutions or to fully cooperate with the IAEA.

(17) In November 2011, the IAEA Director General issued a report that documented “serious concerns regarding possible military dimensions to Iran’s nuclear programme”, and affirmed that information available to the IAEA indicates that “Iran has carried out activities relevant to the development of a nuclear explosive device” and that some activities may be ongoing.

(18) The Government of Iran stands in violation of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights for denying its citizens basic freedoms, including the freedoms of expression, religion, peaceful assembly and movement, and for flagrantly abusing the rights of minorities and women.

(19) In his State of the Union Address on January 24, 2012, President Barack Obama stated, “Let there be no doubt: America is determined to prevent Iran from getting a nuclear weapon, and I will take no options off the table to achieve that goal.”.

(20) Congress has passed and the President has signed into law legislation imposing significant economic and diplomatic sanctions on Iran to encourage the Government of Iran to abandon its pursuit of nuclear weapons and end its support for terrorism.

(21) These sanctions, while having significant effect, have yet to persuade Iran to abandon its illicit pursuits and comply with United Nations Security Council resolutions.

(22) More stringent enforcement of sanctions legislation, including elements targeting oil exports and access to foreign exchange, could still lead the Government of Iran to change course.

(23) In his State of the Union Address on February 12, 2013, President Obama reiterated, “The leaders of Iran must recognize that now is the time for a diplomatic solution, because a coalition stands united in demanding that they meet their obligations. And we will do what is necessary to prevent them from getting a nuclear weapon.”.

(24) On March 4, 2012, President Obama stated, “Iran’s leaders should understand that I do not have a policy of containment; I have a policy to prevent Iran from obtaining a nuclear weapon.”.

(25) On October 22, 2012, President Obama said of Iran, “The clock is ticking . . . And we’re going to make sure that if they do not meet the demands of the international community, then we are going to take all options necessary to make sure they don’t have a nuclear weapon.”.

(26) On May 19, 2011, President Obama stated, “Every state has the right to self-defense, and Israel must be able to defend itself, by itself, against any threat.”.

(27) On September 21, 2011, President Obama stated, “America’s commitment to Israel’s security is unshakable. Our friendship with Israel is deep and enduring.”.

(28) On March 4, 2012, President Obama stated, “And whenever an effort is made to delegitimize the state of Israel, my administration has opposed them. So there should not be a shred of doubt by now: when the chips are down, I have Israel’s back.”.

(29) On October 22, 2012, President Obama stated, “Israel is a true friend. And if Israel is attacked, America will stand with Israel. I’ve made that clear throughout my presidency . . . I will stand with Israel if they are attacked.”.

(30) In December 2012, 74 United States Senators wrote to President Obama “As you begin your second term as President, we ask you to reiterate your readiness to take military action against Iran if it continues its efforts to acquire a nuclear weapon. In addition, we urge you to work with our European and Middle Eastern allies to demonstrate to the Iranians that a credible and capable multilateral coalition exists that would support a military strike if, in the end, this is unfortunately necessary.”.

(31) The United States-Israel Enhanced Security Cooperation Act of 2012 (Public Law 112-150) stated that it is United States policy to support Israel’s inherent right to self-defense.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—Congress—

(1) reaffirms the special bonds of friendship and cooperation that have existed between the United States and the State of Israel for more than sixty years and that enjoy overwhelming bipartisan support in Congress and among the people of the United States;

(2) strongly supports the close military, intelligence, and security cooperation that President Obama has pursued with Israel and urges this cooperation to continue and deepen;

(3) deplores and condemns, in the strongest possible terms, the reprehensible statements and policies of the leaders of the Islamic Republic of Iran threatening the security and existence of Israel;

(4) recognizes the tremendous threat posed to the United States, the West, and Israel by the Government of Iran’s continuing pursuit of a nuclear weapons capability;

(5) reiterates that the policy of the United States is to prevent Iran from acquiring a nuclear weapon capability and to take such action as may be necessary to implement this policy;

(6) reaffirms its strong support for the full implementation of United States and international sanctions on Iran and urges the President to continue and strengthen enforcement of sanctions legislation;

(7) declares that the United States has a vital national interest in, and unbreakable commitment to, ensuring the existence, survival, and security of the State of Israel, and reaffirms United States support for Israel’s right to self-defense; and

(8) urges that, if the Government of Israel is compelled to take military action in legitimate self-defense against Iran’s nuclear weapons program, the United States Government should stand with Israel and provide, in accordance with United States law and the constitutional responsibility of Congress to authorize the use of military force, diplomatic, military, and economic support to the Government of Israel in its defense of its territory, people, and existence.

(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as an authorization for the use of force or a declaration of war.

AMENDMENT NO. 148 OFFERED BY MR. PORTENBERRY OF NEBRASKA

At the end of title XIII, add the following new section:

**SEC. 13 . . . STRATEGY TO MODERNIZE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION AND PREVENT THE PROLIFERATION OF WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION AND RELATED MATERIALS IN THE MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH AFRICA REGION.**

(a) STRATEGY REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Energy, shall establish a comprehensive and broad nonproliferation strategy to modernize cooperative threat reduction and advance cooperative efforts with international partners to reduce the threat from the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials in the Middle East and North Africa region.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The strategy required by subsection (a) shall—

(1) build upon the current activities of the Departments of Defense, State, and Energy’s nonproliferation programs that aim to mitigate the range of threats in the Middle East and North Africa region posed by weapons of mass destruction;

(2) review issues relating to the threat from the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials in the Middle East and North Africa region on a regional basis as well as on a country-by-country basis;

(3) review the activities and achievements in the Middle East and North Africa region of the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program and the nonproliferation programs at the Department of State and Department of Energy and other United States Government agencies and departments designed to address nuclear, radiological, chemical, and biological safety and security issues;

(4) ensure the continued coordination of cooperative nonproliferation efforts within the United States Government and further mobilize and leverage additional resources from partner nations, nongovernmental and multilateral organizations, and international institutions;

(5) include an assessment of what countries are financially, materially, or technologically supporting proliferation in this region and how the strategy will prevent, stop or interdict the support;

(6) include an estimate of associated costs required to plan and execute the proposed cooperative threat reduction activities in order to execute the comprehensive strategy to prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and related materials; and

(7) include a discussion of the metrics to measure the strategy’s and activities’ success in reducing the regional threat of the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction.

(c) INTEGRATION AND COORDINATION.—The strategy required by subsection (a) shall include an assessment of gaps in current cooperative nonproliferation efforts, an articulation of agencies’ threat reduction priorities in the Middle East and North Africa region, the establishment of appropriate metrics for determining success in the region, and steps to ensure that the strategy fits in broader United States efforts to reduce the threat from weapons of mass destruction.

(d) CONSULTATION.—In establishing the strategy required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may consult with both governmental and nongovernmental experts from a diverse set of views.

(e) STRATEGY AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—Not later than March 31, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the specified congressional committees the cooperative

threat reduction modernization strategy required by subsection (a), as well as a plan for the implementation of the strategy required by subsection (a).

(f) FORM.—The strategy required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(g) SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—In this section, the term “specific congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

AMENDMENT NO. 151 OFFERED BY MR. SCHRADER OF OREGON

At the end of title XVI, insert the following new section:

**SEC. 1607. PROGRAM TO PROVIDE FEDERAL CONTRACTS TO EARLY STAGE SMALL BUSINESSES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

**“SEC. 48. PROGRAM TO PROVIDE FEDERAL CONTRACTS TO EARLY STAGE SMALL BUSINESSES.**

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Administrator shall establish and carry out a program in accordance with the requirements of this section to provide improved access to Federal contract opportunities for early stage small business concerns.

“(b) PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Administrator, in consultation with other Federal agencies, shall identify procurement contracts of Federal agencies for award under the program.

“(2) CONTRACT AWARDS.—Under the program established pursuant to this section, the award of a procurement contract of a Federal agency identified by the Administrator pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be made by the agency to an eligible program participant selected, and determined to be responsible, by the agency.

“(3) COMPETITION.—

“(A) SOLE SOURCE.—A contracting officer may award a sole source contract under this program if such concern is determined to be a responsible contractor with respect to performance of such contract opportunity and the contracting officer does not have a reasonable expectation that 2 or more early stage small business concerns will submit offers for the contracting opportunity and in the estimation of the contracting officer, the contract award can be made at a fair and reasonable price.

“(B) RESTRICTED COMPETITION.—A contracting officer may award contracts on the basis of competition restricted to early stage small business concerns if the contracting officer has a reasonable expectation that not less than 2 early stage small business concerns will submit offers and that the award can be made at a fair market price.

“(4) CONTRACT VALUE.—Contracts shall be awarded under this program if its value is greater than \$3,000 and less than half the upper threshold of section 15(j)(1) of the Small Business Act.

“(c) ELIGIBILITY.—Only an early stage small business concern shall be eligible to compete for a contract to be awarded under the program. The Administrator shall certify that a small business concern is an early stage small business concern, or the Administrator shall approve a Federal agency, a State government, or a national certifying entity to certify that the business meets the eligibility criteria of an early stage small business concern.

“(d) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The Administrator shall provide early stage small business concerns with technical assistance and counseling with regard to—

“(1) applying for and competing for Federal contracts; and

“(2) fulfilling the administrative responsibilities associated with the performance of a Federal contract.

“(e) ATTAINMENT OF CONTRACT GOALS.—All contract awards made under the program shall be counted toward the attainment of the goals specified in section 15(g) of the Small Business Act.

“(f) REGULATIONS.—The Administrator shall—

“(1) issue proposed regulations to carry out this section not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act; and

“(2) issue final regulations to carry out this section not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

“(g) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than April 30, 2015, the Administrator shall transmit to the Congress a report on the performance of the program.

“(h) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the following definitions shall apply:

“(1) PROGRAM.—The term ‘program’ means a program established pursuant to subsection (a).

“(2) EARLY STAGE SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.—The term ‘early stage small business concern’ means a small business concern that—

“(A) has not more than 15 employees; and

“(B) has average annual receipts that total not more than \$1,000,000, except if the concern is in an industry with an average annual revenue standard that is less than \$1,000,000, as defined by the North American Industry Classification System.”

(b) REPEAL OF SIMILAR PROGRAM.—Section 304 of the Small Business Administration Reauthorization and Amendments Act of 1994 (15 U.S.C. 644 note) is repealed.

AMENDMENT NO. 155 OFFERED BY MR. GARCIA OF FLORIDA

Page 617, after line 7, insert the following:  
**SEC. 2807A. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REPORT ON MILITARY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION INITIATIVE.**

Not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue a report to Congress on the Military Housing Privatization Initiative under subchapter IV of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code. The report shall include the details of any project where the project owner has outstanding local, county, city, town or State tax obligations dating back over 12 months, as determined by a final judgment by a tax authority.

AMENDMENT NO. 162 OFFERED BY MR. PEARCE OF NEW MEXICO

Page 723, after line 23, insert the following:  
**SEC. 3145. GOVERNMENT WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT EXTENSION.**

(a) EXTENSION OF WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT MISSION.—The Secretary of Energy shall manage WIPP in such a way as to include, in addition to the disposal of wastes authorized by section 213 of the Department of Energy National Security and Military Applications of Nuclear Energy Authorization Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-164; 93 Stat. 1259, 1265), the transportation and disposal of any non-defense Federal Government-owned transuranic waste that can be shown to meet the applicable criteria described in the document entitled “Transuranic Waste Acceptance Criteria For The Waste Isolation Pilot Plant”, published by the Department of Energy on April 21, 2011, or any successor document.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) DISPOSAL; TRANSURANIC WASTE.—The terms “disposal” and “transuranic waste”

have the meanings given those terms in section 2 of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Land Withdrawal Act (Public Law 102-579; 106 Stat. 4777).

(2) WIPP.—The term “WIPP” means the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant project authorized under section 213 of the Department of Energy National Security and Military Applications of Nuclear Energy Authorization Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-164; 93 Stat. 1259, 1265).

AMENDMENT NO. 167 OFFERED BY MR. WHITFIELD OF KENTUCKY

Add at the end of subtitle C of title X the following:

**SEC. 1090. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON ESTABLISHMENT OF AN ADVISORY BOARD ON TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND WORKER HEALTH.**

It is the sense of Congress that the President should establish an Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health, as described in the report of the Comptroller General of the United States titled “Energy Employees Compensation: Additional Independent Oversight and Transparency Would Improve Program’s Credibility”, numbered GAO-10-302, to—

(1) advise the President concerning the review and approval of the Department of Labor site exposure matrix;

(2) conduct periodic peer reviews of, and approve, medical guidance for part E claims examiners with respect to the weighing of a claimant’s medical evidence;

(3) obtain periodic expert review of evidentiary requirements for part B claims related to lung disease regardless of approval;

(4) provide oversight over industrial hygienists, Department of Labor staff physicians, and Department of Labor’s consulting physicians and their reports to ensure quality, objectivity, and consistency; and

(5) coordinate exchanges of data and findings with the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health to the extent necessary (under section 3624 the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7384o).

AMENDMENT NO. 168 OFFERED BY MR. FRANKS OF ARIZONA

At the end of subtitle E of title XII of division A of the bill, add the following new section:

**SEC. 12 . SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE ILLEGAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS PROGRAMS OF IRAN AND NORTH KOREA.**

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the paramount security concern of the United States is the ongoing and illegal nuclear weapons programs of the Islamic Republic of Iran and the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea;

(2) it should be the primary objective of the President of the United States to ensure that North Korea’s nuclear program is completely and verifiably eliminated and that Iran, and its terrorist proxies, are not allowed to develop nuclear weapons capability and the means to deliver them;

(3) the continuing failure to compel Iran and North Korea to comply with their respective obligations under international law risks greater nuclear proliferation throughout already unstable regions by states that have chosen, but not irreversibly so, to refrain from developing or acquiring their own nuclear weapons capability;

(4) nuclear arms reductions by the United States and the Russian Federation have not persuaded or otherwise incentivized Iran and North Korea to halt or reverse their destabilizing and dangerous nuclear weapons programs, nor have they resulted in increased cooperation by other states to deal with these threats; and

(5) the President should use all international fora available to the President to

pursue the complete and verifiable elimination of the nuclear weapons programs of Iran and North Korea as the President’s paramount obligation to the security of the American people.

AMENDMENT NO. 169 OFFERED BY MR. FRANKS OF ARIZONA

Page 456, line 12, strike “Secretary of the Defense” and insert “Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.”

Page 456, line 15, after “‘TCAs’)” insert the following: “that receive power supply from commercial or other non-military sources”.

Page 456, line 21, strike “Secretary of the Defense” and insert “Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.”

Page 457, lines 3 through 4, after “Department of Defense” insert the following: “, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.”

Page 457, line 8, after “Department” insert the following: “, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.”

Page 457, line 12, after “Department” insert the following: “, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.”

Page 457, line 18, after “Secretary of Defense” insert the following: “, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.”

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 260, the gentleman from California (Mr. MCKEON) and the gentleman from Washington (Mr. LARSEN) each will control 10 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chair, I urge the committee to adopt the amendments en bloc, all of which have been examined by both the majority and the minority. We have no speakers on these amendments.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LARSEN of Washington. Madam Chair, we have no speakers, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCKEON. Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MICHAUD. Madam Chair, I rise today to support the Tsongas-Michaud amendment, which will strengthen the Department of Defense’ (DOD) Buy America requirements.

According to the Berry Amendment, the Department of Defense (DOD) cannot procure clothing items unless they are produced in the United States. In recent years, however, DOD has circumvented this policy by issuing cash allowances to soldiers for their own purchase of physical training shoes.

The amendment that Representative TSONGAS and I sponsored to the Defense Authorization bill would require that any footwear furnished or provided by cash allowance to members of the Armed Forces upon initial entry be Berry compliant. Two major, domestic athletic footwear brands—New Balance and Wolverine World Wide—are already prepared to produce 100% Berry compliant athletic shoes for the U.S. military. And at least one of those companies can do so at a lower price than the value of the cash allowances DOD gives soldiers now.

If DOD started complying with the Berry Amendment, I feel confident many more companies would jump into the market as well.

This would be good for our troops and good for our economy. This amendment makes Congress' intent of the Berry Amendment explicit and will ensure that all components of our troops' PT uniforms are made in the U.S.A.

Madam Chair, this amendment will guarantee our troops fight and train in American-made uniforms from head to toe.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendments en bloc offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. McKEON).

The en bloc amendments were agreed to.

Mr. McKEON. Madam Chair, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY) having assumed the chair, Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1960) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2014 for military activities of the Department of Defense and for military construction, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Mr. McKEON. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 8 o'clock and 39 minutes p.m.), the House adjourned until tomorrow, Friday, June 14, 2013, at 9 a.m.

#### EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

1834. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Vice Admiral Gerald R. Beaman, United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

1835. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Richard P. Formica, United States Army, and his advancement on the retired list in the grade of lieutenant general; to the Committee on Armed Services.

1836. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Francis J. Wiercinski, United States Army, and his advancement on the retired list in the grade of lieutenant general; to the Committee on Armed Services.

1837. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Vice Admiral Kendall L. Card, United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

1838. A letter from the Assistant Legal Advisor for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting report prepared by the Department of State concerning inter-

national agreements other than treaties entered into by the United States to be transmitted to the Congress within the sixty-day period specified in the Case-Zablocki Act; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

1839. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting notification of the determination of a waiver under Subsection 402(d)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974 with respect to Belarus; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

1840. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting the Department's report entitled, "Country Reports on Terrorism 2012"; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

1841. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting as required by section 401(c) of the National Emergencies Act, 50 U.S.C. 1641(c), and section 204(c) of the International Economic Powers Act, 50 U.S.C. 1703(c), a six-month periodic report on the national emergency blocking property of the Government of the Russian Federation relating to the disposition of the highly enriched uranium extracted from nuclear weapons that was declared in Executive Order 13617 of June 25, 2012; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

1842. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting as required by section 401(c) of the National Emergencies Act, 50 U.S.C. 1641(c), and section 204(c) of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, 50 U.S.C. 1703(c), a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to North Korea that was declared in Executive Order 13466 of June 26, 2008; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

1843. A letter from the Director, International Broadcasting Bureau, Broadcasting Board of Governors, transmitting the Board's semiannual report from the office of the Inspector General for the period October 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

1844. A letter from the Acting Secretary, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's semiannual report from the office of the Inspector General for the period October 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. app. (Insp. Gen. Act), section 5(b); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

1845. A letter from the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, transmitting the 2012 management report and statements on system of internal controls of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 9106; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

1846. A letter from the Chairman, Railroad Retirement Board, transmitting the semiannual report on activities of the Office of Inspector General for the period of October 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

1847. A letter from the Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Final 2013-2015 Spiny Dogfish Fishery Specifications [Docket No.: 130103002-3396-02] (RIN: 0648-BC85) received June 3, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1848. A letter from the Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and

Management Act Provisions; Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Monkfish Fishery; Emergency Action [Docket No.: 121126649-3347-02] (RIN: 0648-BC79) received June 3, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1849. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; 2013 Sector Operations Plans and Contracts and Allocation of Northeast Multispecies Annual Catch Entitlements [Docket No.: 120912442-3395-02] (RIN: 0648-XC240) received June 3, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1850. A letter from the Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries Off West Coast States; West Coast Salmon Fisheries; 2013 Management Measures [Docket No.: 130108020-3409-01] (RIN: 0648-XC438) received June 3, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1851. A letter from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Greenland Turbot in the Aleutian Islands Subarea of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 121018563-3148-02] (RIN: 0648-XC369) received June 3, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1852. A letter from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Summer Flounder Fishery; Quota Transfer [Docket No.: 121009528-2729-02] (RIN: 0648-XC634) received June 3, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1853. A letter from the Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Snapper-Grouper Fishery off the Southern Atlantic States; Amendment 18B [Docket No.: 120404257-3325-02] (RIN: 0648-BB58) received June 3, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1854. A letter from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Atka Mackerel in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 121018563-3148-02] (RIN: 0648-XC654) received June 3, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1855. A letter from the Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Temporary Rule To Extend the Increase of the Commercial Annual Catch Limit for South Atlantic Yellowtail Snapper [Docket No.: 120919471-2584-01] (RIN: 0648-BC59) received June 3, 2013, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

1856. A letter from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod