

private citizens who are simply trying to take part in the public policy debate.

Madam Speaker, this cries out for a full investigation by the Congress, and I utterly reject the notion that the ritual naming and firing of a few hapless scapegoats is sufficient. Every government employee who abused their power needs to be identified, exposed, disgraced, dismissed, and debarred from ever again holding a position of authority or trust within this government.

When the Constitution was read by the New York Convention, Alexander Hamilton said:

Here, sir, the people govern; here they act by their immediate representatives.

Madam Speaker, the most cherished liberties of the American people are under attack, and we, their immediate representatives, have a solemn obligation to act in the defense of their freedom, their country, and their Constitution.

CLIMATE CHANGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) for 5 minutes.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Madam Speaker, a few days ago, the world's atmosphere passed 400 million parts per million level of carbon, higher than anything we have seen in the atmosphere for over 3 million years. This puts in stark focus the climate crisis and the indifference we are seeing from congressional leadership on this problem.

In the last 24 hours, all you needed to know about the state of play for climate science and dealing with global warming was in two articles in the newspaper. Yesterday, the business section of The New York Times by Eduardo Porter discussed how the reinsurance industry is entirely comfortable with the climate science, predicting more rapid extreme weather events and dire consequences.

They in the insurance industry, after all, don't have the luxury of debating science when they must deal with facts on the ground. This is dollar and cents for a vast industry trying to help people cope with the consequences of natural disaster. As a result of the market discipline, they have had to embrace reality, accept it, and plan for it.

It was poignant that Porter observed and probed their lack of engagement in government policies, at least in the United States, that would help minimize future damage. Remember, this is even as the scientists told us we have had the highest concentration of carbon for 3 million years.

In today's Washington Post, there is a front-page story about fish populations that aren't waiting for their habitat to make it impossible for them to live. Species all over the globe are moving. They are migrating to cooler climates. In a process that has been taking place for decades now, fish are sorting themselves out and leaving

areas that no longer sustain their quality of life, their ability to reproduce, and to thrive. They have steadily been moving to areas where the effects of climate change are not so pronounced.

Isn't it interesting that fish without fancy scientific instrumentation or computer analysis or, dare I say it, political focus groups have reacted to facts in the sea and move to where they can function, where they can live, where they can escape for the time being, at least, the impact of climate change?

They are also escaping from the people who depend on these fish for their living in the previous habitat. But that is another story about the devastation that local communities are facing because of the climate change consequences.

□ 1010

Isn't it time that the political process starts responding to a problem that even fish can figure out?

What is it going to take for people in this body to wake up to their responsibilities and act with the same insight as aquatic species that don't have graduate degrees in computers but, mercifully for them, don't have political blinders and ideological fervor, wasting huge amounts of time on pointless activities like debating whether to repeal ObamaCare for the 37th time?

Hopefully, insurance companies and the people who depend on these aquatic creatures will lend an air of reality to the discussion of climate change that is almost nonexistent here on Capitol Hill, maybe reaching the point where it is no longer a debate because it's really past time for a debate.

It is time for us to take action like our friends in the ocean. If Charlie the Tuna can figure it out, why can't the Republican leadership in Congress? Let's maybe spend a little time debating with the Safe Climate Caucus this existential crisis of climate change and global warming.

NATIONAL EXCHANGE CLUB BIRTHDAY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. WEBER) for 5 minutes.

Mr. WEBER of Texas. Today, I have the distinct honor of wishing a belated "happy birthday" to an organization that I am a proud member of back home in Texas 14.

I want to take a moment to say thank you to the Exchange Club of Pearland of which I have been a member for a number of years. They've done a lot of good work in the community, and I look forward to the expansion of their club and the work they will continue to do to better that community.

I would also like to welcome the newest chapter in Friendswood, Texas, where I currently reside. I look forward to working with them in promoting American exceptionalism and in helping to serve our community.

For those of you who might not know, the National Exchange Club is a service organization with over 700 clubs and 21,000 members throughout the United States and Puerto Rico. On March 27, 2013, they celebrated their 102nd birthday. From a handful of members in Detroit, Michigan, at the turn of the 20th century, Exchange has developed into an outstanding national service organization comprised of tens of thousands of men and women who serve their local communities and advance their motto of "Unity for Service."

Exchange-sponsored activities are designed to benefit, award, and develop our Nation's youth, promote crime prevention, serve senior citizens, and recognize military and public safety service providers. Exchange also promotes Americanism, and its national project is the Prevention of Child Abuse program. In addition to these programs, the National Exchange Club has been at the forefront of significant developments throughout American history, including the early days of aviation progress. The spirit of patriotism, along with a desire to heighten the awareness of our rich religious heritage, placed Exchange in a position of leadership with other organizations that led to the addition of the words "under God" to the Pledge of Allegiance in 1954.

As Reagan said, "If we ever forget we are 'one Nation under God,' we will be a Nation gone under."

The Exchange Club is America's service club, an organization that promotes American exceptionalism. I am a believer in our country's exceptionalism, and I will never apologize for it.

Think about this for a second, folks. Whether it's a hurricane, whether it's a tsunami, whether it's an earthquake, whatever it is, when the world has a catastrophe and dials 911, who is it that answers? It's America, isn't it? It's the red, white, and blue. It's the land of the free, the home of the brave.

For a safe world, we need a strong America. For a strong America, we need a safe America. The Exchange Club works ever so hard to keep America strong and safe.

So, to them, I wish a very happy birthday, especially to the Pearland club and the Friendswood club. I say thank you for all of your hard work.

I am RANDY WEBER, and that's the way I see it from where I sit here in America.

DEBT CEILING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CONNOLLY) for 5 minutes.

Mr. CONNOLLY. Madam Speaker, the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office's latest forecast says this year's Federal deficit will shrink by 41 percent compared to last year's. That point bears repeating. The deficit is shrinking—and dramatically—thanks to the bipartisan actions taken by this

Congress earlier this year. The CBO now projects a deficit of \$642 billion, which is \$200 billion less than projected just 3 months ago, the lowest level since 2008. Just 4 years ago, the deficit was over 10 percent of our GDP. This year, it's projected to fall below 5 percent—half of what it was just 4 years ago.

Now, I understand that this news may not fit neatly within the narrative of our friends on the other side of the aisle, who, just as they did in the summer of 2011 unfortunately, tried just last week to manufacture yet another debt crisis where none exists.

I would note that it was only a few months ago that we worked together in a bipartisan fashion to suspend the debt limit. On the heels of our New Year's Day compromise on the tax portions of the fiscal cliff, my Republican colleagues recognized the dangers of yet another debt showdown on the markets and on the possibility of downgrading U.S. creditworthiness; but rather than build on that rare moment of bipartisan comity and work with Democrats on a balanced plan to put our Nation back on the path of fiscal responsibility, House Republicans doubled down. They pushed ahead with their "cut spending at any cost" agenda. They pushed through a continuing resolution that baked in the harmful cuts of sequestration, which is a self-inflicted wound on our economy.

Ironically, House Republicans just last week pushed through on a party-line vote a bill that claims to protect the full faith and credit of the United States when, in reality, it would only place it more at risk by suggesting we won't be good for our debt. Furthermore, many of my Republican colleagues have relied on this debt crisis research done by two economists, Messrs. Reinhart and Rogoff, who have suggested that high levels of public debt always lead to lower rates of economic growth. That research has been the foundation of Republican austerity proposals in America, including the last three versions of the Ryan budget, which decimate public investments in our communities and the economy in the name of deficit reduction. It turns out the researchers aggregated the data incorrectly. They couldn't even read the Excel sheets properly, and that dramatically shifted the findings to show growth for high debt countries was more than 2 percent higher than they said it was, and it turns out there is no magical threshold of 90 percent that always leads to, in fact, economic contraction. In fact, it's quite the opposite.

Raising the debt limit is not a license to spend more money. It simply ensures that America will be good for its current debts and obligations. We've been good for that since Alexander Hamilton established the U.S. Treasury in George Washington's first Cabinet. The bipartisan agreement to suspend the debt ceiling expires this weekend, but with this latest forecast, the

CBO now says that that limit probably won't be reached until October or November of this year. Most news reports suggest this will reduce the political pressure to achieve a bipartisan deal on further reducing the deficit in a balanced way. I'd argue the urgency still remains and that this window of time presents us with a perfect opportunity for bipartisan negotiations to resume without the specter of that sort of debt ceiling limit over our heads immediately.

I am dismayed that my Republican friends continue to shun their own party's heritage for making strategic investments in infrastructure and innovation in favor of a blind adherence to slashing government spending with no acknowledgment for the consequences. I've consistently said that Federal spending must be reduced, but I've also said that it must be done in tandem with maintaining strategic Federal investments in things that create jobs, like R&D, infrastructure, innovation. I would suggest that my Republican friends look no further than the GDP growth from the last two quarters, showing it's not the Federal debt but their meat-ax approach to cutting those Federal investments that, in fact, has created what drag there is on the U.S. economy.

The last time Republicans played games with the debt ceiling we registered the lowest monthly job growth in 3 years; the stock market tumbled; and the S&P, for the first time ever, downgraded U.S. debt. The latest jobs numbers show we've been adding 208,000 jobs a month on average since November, prompting a surge in confidence reflected by the market's climb to record levels.

I implore my friends on the other side of the aisle to use this time to work with us on a balanced approach to deficit reduction and economic growth.

□ 1020

LIGHTS OUT AT OUR MILITARY BASES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER) for 5 minutes.

Mr. TURNER. Madam Speaker, it is literally "lights out" at our military bases.

Next to me is a photo that ran the other weekend in my hometown newspaper, which shows darkened hallways at the largest Air Force base in the world, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base. There wasn't a lack of power at the base that day, but a lack of leadership here in Washington. The lights were out because the Senate and the President have failed to take up the issue of sequestration.

Sequestration is having a devastating effect on the readiness and the morale of our servicemembers and civilian workforce. Imagine going to work and the President feels that you

are so insignificant that you don't even deserve to have the lights on.

The President promised the American people during his reelection campaign that this would not happen, but it has. It's time for the President to come to the table with a solution to this issue before our military is irreparably impacted.

GUN VIOLENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. KELLY) for 5 minutes.

Ms. KELLY of Illinois. Madam Speaker, by now you've all heard of the terrible shooting that took place on Sunday during the Mother's Day parade in New Orleans. Like all Americans, I was saddened to once again see a joyous public event marred by gun violence.

Yet, as the Mother's Day shooting unfolded in New Orleans, I was struck by another lesser known story about the toll of gun violence that was playing out more than 100 miles away in Chicago. It is the story of love and loss told by the mothers of those killed by gun violence who were facing Mother's Day without their children, perhaps for the first time.

A group of these mothers gathered at a memorial outside a Chicago church to mourn and remember their children. As a mother who was blessed to spend the day with my children, their pain and anguish is unimaginable.

For every mass shooting that grabs the headlines, there are dozens more that take place on America's streets every day that are leaving a lost generation in their wake. And yet, in the national debate about gun violence, these everyday killings, this slow-motion massacre is often overlooked. And so are the mothers who are left behind.

Just as the mothers who wept for their children in Newtown, these Chicago mothers are also the faces of the aftermath of gun violence. Because whether your child is shot in the classroom or on a street corner or in a park, your hopes and dreams for them were the same, and so is the agony of your loss.

It is for these mothers—Clara Allen, Tanya Butler, Angela Blakely, and others like them—that I raise my voice and will continue to raise my voice in memory of their children to implore my colleagues in Congress to pass reasonable and responsible gun legislation. We must act now to end the senseless scourge of killings in our streets due to gun violence.

I know there are those who think that new gun laws are not the solution. I say they're looking at the wrong equation. Commonsense gun restrictions are part of a multipronged approach to stemming gun violence that should also include increased access to mental health services and better community and social supports. It will take a village to save these children, our children.