

| Sewell (AL) | Thompson (CA) | Waters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shea-Porter | Thornberry | Watt |
| Sherman | Titus | Weber (TX) |
| Shuster | Tonko | Webster (FL) |
| Simpson | Tsongas | Welch |
| Sinema | Upton | Westmoreland |
| Smith (NE) | Van Hollen | Williams |
| Smith (NJ) | Vargas | Wilson (FL) |
| Smith (TX) | Vela | Wilson (SC) |
| Smith (WA) | Wagner | Wittman |
| Speier | Walden | Wolf |
| Stewart | Walorski | Womack |
| Stutzman | Walz | Yarmuth |
| Swalwell (CA) | Wasserman | Young (FL) |
| Takano | Schultz | Young (IN) |
|  | NAYS-140 |  |
| Amash | Griffin (AR) | Palazzo |
| Andrews | Griffith (VA) | Pallone |
| Bass | Hartzler | Pastor (AZ) |
| Beatty | Hastings (FL) | Paulsen |
| Benishek | Heck (NV) | Peters (MI) |
| Bishop (NY) | Herrera Beutler | Peterson |
| Brady (PA) | Honda | Pittenger |
| Broun (GA) | Hoyer | Poe (TX) |
| Burgess | Hudson | Price (GA) |
| Carson (IN) | Huizenga (MI) | Radel |
| Castor (FL) | Hunter | Rahall |
| Chaffetz | Israel |  |
| Chu | Jackson Lee | Reed |
| Clarke | Jeffries | Renacci |
| Cleaver | Jenkins | Rigell |
| Clyburn | Johnson (OH) | Rohrabacher |
| Coffman | Johnson, E. B. | Rooney |
| Collins (GA) | Jones | Rush |
| Conaway | Jordan | Ryan (OH) |
| Connolly | Joyce | Salmon |
| Conyers | Kelly (IL) | Sánchez, Linda |
| Costa | Kilmer | T. |
| Cotton | Kind | Sanchez, Loretta |
| Courtney | Kinzinger (IL) | Sarbanes |
| Crawford | Kirkpatrick | Schakowsky |
| Crowley | Lance | Schock |
| Davis, Rodney | Latham | Sires |
| DeFazio | Lee (CA) | Slaughter |
| Denham | Levin | Southerland |
| Dent | Lewis | Stivers |
| DeSantis | LoBiondo | Stockman |
| Dingell | Lynch | Terry |
| Duckworth | Maffei | Thompson (MS) |
| Duffy | Maloney, |  |
| Fitzpatrick | Carolyn | Tiberi |
| Fleming | Maloney, Sean |  |
| Foxx | Markey | Tipton |
| Fudge | Matheson | Turner |
| Garamendi | Matsui | Valadao |
| Garcia | McDermott | Veasey |
| Gardner | McIntyre | Velázquez |
| Gerlach | Meehan | Visclosky |
| Gibson | Meng | Walberg |
| Gingrey (GA) | Miller, George | Wenstrup |
| Graves (GA) | Moore | Woodall |
| Graves (MO) | Neal | Yoder |
| Green, Al | Negrete McLeod | Yoho |
| Green, Gene | Nolan | Young (AK) |

ANSWERED "PRESENT",-1
Owens

|  | NOT VOTING-27 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bishop (GA) | Hanna | Pascrell |
| Butterfield | Hensarling | Pearce |
| Capuano | Holding | Pitts |
| Cohen | Hultgren | Quigley |
| Doggett | King (IA) | Shimkus |
| Flores | Larson (CT) | Tierney |
| Forbes | Lowenthal | Waxman |
| Franks (AZ) | McGovern | Whitfield |
| Gohmert | McMorris |  |
| Grijalva | Rodgers |  |

$\square 1147$
Mrs. BEATTY changed her vote from "yea" to "nay."
So the Journal was approved.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.
Stated for:
Mr. FLORES. Mr. Speaker, I missed rollcall vote 142 to H.R. 807 taken on May 9, 2013. Had I been present for this vote, I would have voted "aye."
I was not present for this vote due to a speaking engagement at Texas A\&M University.

Mr. PEARCE. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 142, I am not recorded because I was absent from the House of Representatives for personal reasons. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

## MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Ms. Curtis, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has agreed to without amendment a concurrent resolution of the House of the following title:
H. Con. Res. 32. Concurrent resolution authorizing the use of the Capitol Grounds for the National Honor Guard and Pipe Band Exhibition.

The message also announced that the Senate has a passed bill of the following title in which the concurrence of the House is requested:
S. 622. An act to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to reauthorize user fee programs relating to new animal drugs and generic new animal drugs.

## 1150

## LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BRADY) for the purposes of inquiring of the schedule for the week to come. Mr. Brady, as I understand, is the designee of the majority leader, and I welcome and appreciate his participation.

Mr. BRADY of Texas. First, I thank the gentleman from Maryland, the Democratic whip, for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, on Monday, the House will meet at 2 p.m. in pro forma session. On Tuesday, the House will meet at noon for morning hour and $2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. for legislative business. Votes will be postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning hour and at noon for legislative business. On Friday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business. Last votes of the week are expected no later than 3 p.m.

Mr. Speaker, the House will consider a few suspensions on Tuesday and Wednesday, a complete list of which will be announced by the close of business tomorrow.

In addition, Mr. Speaker, I expect the House to consider H.R. 45, a bill sponsored by Representative Michele BachMANN, to fully repeal ObamaCare.

We will also consider H.R. 1062, the SEC Regulatory Accountability Act, authored by Representative ScoTT Garrett. This bill requires the SEC to conduct cost benefit analysis on any rulemaking to ensure that the benefits outweigh the costs.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for that information and, again, I want to thank him. I know that the majority leader could not be here and he's filling in, and I appreciate the fact that he is doing so.

Mr. BRADY, I notice that there is not on the notice for the schedule for next
week any reference about a motion to go to conference on the budget. As you know, the Senate has now passed a budget, which it had not done for some years. Your side, in particular, but all of us wanted the Senate to pass a budget. They have now passed a budget. We passed a budget. We would hope on this side of the aisle that we would now go to conference.

I'm wondering whether the gentleman can-in light of the fact that it is regular order that two sides pass, now try to compromise the differences that exist between the two Housescan the gentleman tell me whether or not there is a plan to go to conference and, if so, what that schedule might be? And I yield to my friend.
Mr. BRADY of Texas. Thank you. As you know, Chairman RYAN and Chairman Murray are in discussions about the budget. It is I think encouraging that for the first time in 4 years this is actually occurring, the Senate has finally passed a budget.
But we know both sides take a considerably different view toward our financial budget future. These talks are aimed at sort of narrowing those differences. We certainly don't want to short-circuit those discussions because we're all encouraged.

Mr. HOYER. I appreciate the fact that you're encouraged. Frankly, our side has not heard an encouraging word. In fact, we continue to hear discouraging words, as the song says.
I'm very hopeful we can bridge the gap that exists, which is about $\$ 100$ billion, as the gentleman knows. The Senate marked $\$ 1.058$ trillion, which of course was consistent with the Budget Control Act that we agreed upon, we voted on, and passed. The President signed the Budget Control Act, including that figure for the fiscal year '14 budget. The Ryan budget, as you know reflects a $\$ 966$ billion 302 allocation; that is, general discretionary spending levels.
I'm wondering when you say you're encouraged, do you know whether there's been any progress toward trying to bridge that gap? Obviously, as a former appropriator, many times it's $50 / 50$ you come to the middle, which would be about $\$ 1$ trillion or a little more than that. I'm wondering whether or not the gentleman knows whether any progress has been made on that? And I yield to my friend.
Mr. BRADY of Texas. Thank you for yielding. As you know, there are significant differences. The Senate budget includes over $\$ 1$ trillion in new tax hikes on small businesses and families, which would be very damaging for the economy. The Senate Democrat bill adds I think about $\$ 8$ trillion to the deficit and doesn't take what we think are critical steps to saving Social Security and Medicare over the long haul. That's why these discussions, I think, are so critical.

Again, I'm encouraged that both sides are discussing them, trying to

